scientific reports



OPEN The effectiveness of various gargle formulations and salt water against SARS-CoV-2

Vunjia Tiong^{1,4}, Pouya Hassandarvish^{1,4}, Sazaly Abu Bakar¹, Nurul Azmawati Mohamed^{2 ,} Wan Shahida Wan Sulaiman², Nizam Baharom², Farishah Nur Abdul Samad³ & Ilina Isahak²

The COVID-19 is difficult to contain due to its high transmissibility rate and a long incubation period of 5 to 14 days. Moreover, more than half of the infected patients were young and asymptomatic. Virus transmission through asymptomatic patients is a major challenge to disease containment. Due to limited treatment options, preventive measures play major role in controlling the disease spread. Gargling with antiseptic formulation may have potential role in eliminating the virus in the throat. Four commercially available mouthwash/gargle formulations were tested for virucidal activity against SARS-CoV-2 in both clean (0.3 q/l BSA) and dirty (0.3 q/l BSA + 3 mL/L human erythrocytes) conditions at time points 30 and 60 s. The virus was isolated and propagated in Vero E6 cells. The cytotoxicity of the products to the Vero E6 was evaluated by kill time assay based on the European Standard EN14476:2013/FprA1:2015 protocol. Virus titres were calculated as 50% tissue culture infectious dose (TCID50/mL) using the Spearman-Karber method. A reduction in virus titer of 4 log₁₀ corresponds to an inactivation of ≥ 99.99%. Formulations with cetylperidinium chloride, chlorhexidine and hexitidine achieved > 4 log10 reduction in viral titres when exposed within 30 s under both clean and dirty conditions. Thymol formulations achieved only 0.5 log₁₀ reduction in viral titres. In addition, salt water was not proven effective. Gargle formulations with cetylperidinium chloride, chlorhexidine and hexetidine have great potential in reducing SAR-CoV-2 at the source of entry into the body, thus minimizing risk of transmission of COVID-19.

Since its first discovery in December 2019, coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has affected more than 200 million people and caused more than 4 million deaths worldwide¹. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) has been implicated as the causative agent of COVID-19 based on genetic analysis of the virus from the first few cases detected in Wuhan, China². SARS-CoV-2 is an envelope, non-segmented, positive sense RNA virus identified as a novel beta coronavirus after SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV³. The virus spreads via respiratory droplets when infected individuals sneeze or cough or indirectly through objects contaminated with respiratory droplets. The pathogenesis begins when SARS-CoV-2 attacks the nasal cavity, nasopharynx, oropharynx and eye mucus membranes. The virus replicates in the nose and throat, as evidenced by the high viral load at the early stage of infection, at the beginning of symptoms⁴.

The COVID-19 is difficult to contain due to high transmissibility rate and a long incubation period of 5 to 14 days⁵. Moreover, more than half of the infected patients were young and asymptomatic⁶. Virus transmission through asymptomatic patients is a major challenge to disease containment. To date, there is no effective specific antiviral for SARS-CoV-2. Even though remdesivir, hydroxychloroquine and lopinavir-ritonavir combination has been tested clinically, many trials suggest that these drugs are not completely effective for the treatment of COVID-19⁷. Due to limited treatment options for COVID-19, preventive measures play a major role in controlling the disease spread. The World Health Organization recommends four measures which are regular hand washing, mask wearing, physical distancing and cough etiquette8. In addition, many countries have adopted movement control orders or lockdown to enhance the measures 9,10. COVID-19 vaccine that has been introduced in late 2020 has been shown to effectively reduce the severity of the disease¹¹, however the number of cases and deaths are still growing worldwide¹. Therefore, more research has to be done to explore new treatment and preventive strategies in order to curb the spread of the disease.

¹Tropical Infectious Diseases Research and Education Centre (TIDREC), University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. ²Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universiti Sains Islam Malaysia, Persiaran Ilmu, Bandar Baru Nilai, 78000 Nilai, Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia. ³Ministry of Health, Putrajaya, Malaysia. ⁴These authors contributed equally: Vunjia Tiong and Pouya Hassandarvish. Memail: drnurul@usim.edu.my

Product	Trade name	Active ingredients			
A	Oradex*	0.12% chlorhexidine digluconate			
В	Colgate Plax* Fruity Fresh	0.075% Cetylperidinium chloride, 0.05% Sodium fluoride			
С	Thymol* Mouthwash by Xepa	0.05% Thymol			
D	Bactidol*	0.1% Hexetidine 9% Ethanol			
Е	Salt water	2% (0.34 M) Sodium chloride			

Table 1. List of mouthwash/gargle formulations.

Saliva samples of COVID-19 positive patients have been shown to contain live viruses that may cause viral transmission, putting healthcare workers who work in close proximity with the face and oral cavities, like dental professionals, at high risk of acquiring infection¹². Moreover, usage of ultrasonic and high-speed handpiece instruments generates high amount of blood and salivary aerosols. Indirect contact with contaminated surfaces or instruments also promotes cross-infection¹³. The American Dental Association (ADA) and Centre of Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) have urgently called for dental professionals to use the highest level of infection control since the beginning of this novel coronavirus outbreak. Pre-procedural mouth rinsing (PPMR) has been advocated as a measure to prevent saliva-mediated disease transmission in a dental setting¹⁴. In accordance with this, the Ministry of Health Malaysia has recommended in its guideline the use of mouthwash containing 1.0–1.5% hydrogen peroxide or 0.2–1.0% povidone iodine prior to dental examination and treatments¹⁵.

The effectiveness of povidone-iodine (PVP-I) against SARS-CoV-2 has been proven by various studies. An in vivo study among four COVID-19 positive patients suggested that povidone iodine gargling could reduce the saliva viral load of SARS-CoV-2 for at least three hours after gargling ¹⁶. Meanwhile, an in vitro study showed that povidone-iodine mouthwash/gargle/ 1% achieved > 5 log10 reduction in the SARS-CoV-2 titre after at least 15 s of treatment ¹⁷. However, PVP-I is not recommended for those with active thyroid disease, children, pregnancy, patients undergoing radioactive iodine therapy and those with anaphylactic allergy to iodine ¹⁸. It is also costly and not widely available in the market; these factors are important in encouraging uptake of simple preventive measures that the general public can use. Therefore, there is a need to explore other commercial mouthwash formulations, to provide alternatives for the healthcare workers and the public to prevent COVID-19. In this study, we tested four commercially available mouthwash/gargle formulations and salt water against SARS-CoV-2.

Material and methods

Cells and viruses. Vero E6 cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) (Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA) added with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and kept inside CO₂ incubator with 37°C. Vero E6 was used for virus propagation and titration. TCID50 method used to determine virus titration and it expressed in TCID50/mL. SARS-CoV-2 virus was isolated from nasopharyngeal/oropharyngeal (NP/OP) swab sample from SARS-CoV-2-positive individual. The NP/OP swabs were collected in commercially available virus transport medium which contains antimicrobial agents as stated in the data sheet. Patient characteristics were not recorded for the propagation of the virus. Briefly, the NP/OP sample was inoculated into Vero E6 cells and incubated until visible cytopathic effect. To prevent microbial growth during the propagation of the virus, antibiotics and antimycotics were added to the culture medium during the propagation. The clarified supernatant containing SARS-CoV-2 was harvested as virus stock, titrated by plaque assay, and stored at – 80 °C until further use. The virus stocks prepared (SARS-COV-2/MY/UM/6-3; TIDREC) were confirmed by qPCR prior to use for subsequent experiments (data not shown). All procedures that required handling of live virus was performed in the Biosafety Level 3 (BSL3) laboratory at the Tropical Infectious Diseases Research & Education Centre (TIDREC), Universiti Malaya.

Mouthwash/gargle formulations. Four commercially available mouthwash/gargle formulations and laboratory prepared salt water (2% sodium chloride) were used in this study as shown in Table 1.

Viral kill time assay. The gargle formulations were tested against SARS-CoV-2 in accordance to the European Standard EN14476:2013/FprA1:2015 protocol as described by Eggers et al. ¹⁹. Briefly, the products were tested under 2 different conditions (interfering substance): clean (0.3 g/l BSA) and dirty (0.3 g/l BSA + 3 mL/L human erythrocytes. The test assay comprised of 100 μl of interfering substance, 100 μl of virus suspension at concentration of 5×10^5 TCID50/mL and 800 μl of test product (mouthwash/gargle). After the specified contact time (30 s and 60 s), the virucidal activity of the product was suppressed by a dilution tenfold dilution in ice cold media (DMEM+2% FBS). Serial dilutions were then prepared and added to Vero E6 cells to determine the TCID50/mL. Virus controls for this test was cell culture medium water in place of test product for both dirty and clean conditions. The cells were incubated for 96 h till obvious CPE developed. 4% paraformaldehyde and 0.5% crystal violet were used to fix and stain the infected cells, respectively. The virus titers were determined using the Spearman-Karber method and expressed TCID50/ml. The virucidal activity was determined by the difference of the logarithmic titer of the virus control minus the logarithmic titer of the test virus (Δ log10 TCID50/ml). A reduction in virus titer of \geq 4 log10 corresponded to an inactivation of \geq 99.99% virus.

						Dirty condition	
Product	Trade name	Active ingredients	Virus	30 s	60 s	30 s	60 s
A	Oradex*	0.12% chlorhexidine digluconate	SARS-CoV-2	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
В	Colgate Plax* Fruity Fresh	0.075% Cetylperidinium chloride, 0.05% Sodium flouride		5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
С	Thymol® Mouthwash by Xepa	0.05% Thymol		0.5	0.75	0.5	0.5
D	Bactidol*	0.1% Hexetidine 9% Ethanol		5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Е	Salt water	2% (0.34 M) Sodium chloride		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Table 2. Virucidal activity of mouthwash/gargle formulations against SARS-CoV-2.

Contact time (s)	Interfering substance	Viral Titre [Control] (TCID50/ml)	Viral Titre [After product suppression] (TCID50/ml)	Difference in Viral Titre (TCID50/ml)
60	clean conditions	5.4×10 ⁵	5.4×10^5	0.00
60	dirty conditions	5.4×10 ⁵	5.4×10 ⁵	0.00

Table 3. Suppression of product virucidal activity.

Formulations suppression assay. The suppression assay was performed to ensure the mouthwashes virucidal effect after neutralization don't show any effectivity. Briefly, all mouthwash formulations were diluted $10 \times$ inside DMEM with 2% FBS. The $10 \times$ diluted formulations were added inside the tube conation $100 \, \mu l$ of SARS-CoV-2 virus and allowed for 1 min of contact time. The mixtures were suppressed by performing $10 \times$ serial dilution after 1 min contact time and $100 \, \mu l$ of different dilution were added into the cells. The cells were compared to virus control and present of virus cytopathic effect is sign of the formulations don't show any effectivity after mouthwashes suppression.

Calculation of the virucidal activity of the products. The virucidal activity was determined by the difference of the logarithmic titre of the virus control minus the logarithmic titre of the test virus (Delta log10 TCID50/ml).

Results

Virucidal activity of mouthwash/gargle formulations. The SARS-CoV-2 titre in the control-treated samples under clean and dirty conditions were both at 5.4×10^5 TCID50/ml. Cetylperidinium chloride and hexetidine achieved > 5 log10 reduction in viral titers when exposed within 30 s under both clean and dirty conditions. Chlorhexidine digluconate achieved 4 log10 reduction in viral titers within 30 s under both clean and dirty conditions. Salt water and thymol did not show any significant reduction in viral load. (Table 2).

Results from the suppression assay showed no difference in viral titres compared to controls (Table 3). This suggested that addition of cold media and serial dilution effectively suppressed the product activity, resulting in no reduction of the viral titre.

Discussions

This study shows that cetylperidinium chloride and hexetidine-containing mouthwash/gargle were able to decrease > 99.99% of SARS-CoV-2 concentration from the initial level after as early as 30 s exposure. Cetylperidinium chloride is a cationic quaternary ammonium compound that is frequently used as detergents and antiseptics²⁰. It causes virus inactivation by disrupting the lipid envelope, destroying the capsid, as well as through its lysosomotropic action²¹. This study showed that cetylperidinium chloride in combination with sodium fluoride was very effective in inactivating SARS-CoV-2 after 30 s of contact time. An earlier study demonstrated its efficacy against different strains of influenza viruses²². Due to the mechanism of action, it is believed that cetylperinidium may also act effectively against other enveloped viruses such as respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) and parainfluenza.

Hexetidine, has broad spectrum antibacterial and antifungal activities, particularly against gram-positive bacteria and fungi. Hexetidine destructs bacterial cell walls and inhibit oxidative metabolic reactions (thiamine antagonist). Though the antiviral properties was not well studied, it has been shown that hexetidine attenuated highly virulent influenza virus A/H5N1, pandemic influenza virus A/H1N1, respiratory syncytial virus, and herpes simplex virus type 1 after a short-term exposure²³. Ethanol was known to exert antibacterial and antiviral effect at a minimum concentration of $40-60\%^{24}$. Lower concentration of ethanol in combination with hexetidine in this study may further enhance the antiviral effect against SARS-Cov-2 virus.

Chlorhexidine mainly acts on bacteria by disrupting bacterial membrane thus increasing membrane permeability that results in cell death and lysis²⁵. It is widely used in dentistry for the management bacteria related conditions such as gingivitis and periodontitis²⁶ and in the intensive care setting for the prevention of nosocomial pneumonia²⁷. This study found that the virucidal activity of chlorhexidine containing mouthwash against SARS-CoV-2 was slightly lesser than those of cetylperidinium chloride and hexetidine. This result is incongruent with a study which showed log reduction factors ranging from 0.33 to 0.78 only²⁸. However, other recent in vitro and in vivo studies showed that chlorhexidine (0.12% to 2% concentration) was effective against SARS-CoV-2^{29,30}.

Thymol is naturally found in the oils of thyme (*Thymus vulgaris L.*, *oregano (Origanum vulgare*), *Satureja thymbra*, *Thymbra capitata and Monarda fistulosa L.*³¹. Its aqueous extract showed high antiviral activity against HSV-1, HSV-2 and an acyclovir-resistant strain of HSV-1³². Thymol in combination with other essential oils had been shown to work effectively against enveloped virus such as influenza A and HSV-1 & 2 but not against non-enveloped viruses namely rotavirus and adenovirus³³. A recent study also found that a combination of thymol, eucalyptol, menthol and methyl salicylate was effective against SARS-CoV-2 both *in-vivo* and *in-vitro*^{28,34}. However, thymol alone showed very minimal virucidal effect (log10 0.5 to 0.75) against SARS-CoV-2 as shown in this study²⁸.

Salt water gargling has long been recognised as a popular home remedy to alleviate symptoms for sore throat and colds. An on-going study is examining its effect of COVID-19 symptom relief ³⁷, but besides that there is little evidence for the antiviral activity of salt water. A study by Lieleg et al. demonstrated that mucin in buffers with high salt concentration (0.3 M) increased the mucin barrier function and could blocked viral infection in vitro ³⁸. Ramalingam et al. revealed that increasing concentration of sodium chloride (NaCl) enhanced the antiviral activity of epithelial cells through inhibition of RNA and DNA viral replications including human coronavirus 229E³⁵. However, this study found that the NaCl solution had no virucidal activity against SARS-CoV-2, even though high concentration of NaCl (0.34 M) was used. More study should be done using various NaCl concentrations to determine the effect of NaCl against SARS-CoV-2.

This is in vitro virucidal activity of mouthwash/gargle formulations against SARS-CoV-2 suggesting that the formulations are able to reduce virus load inside the nasopharynx and oropharynx by targeting virus particles. It is emphasized that the data obtained in this study is applicable to the particular brand of mouthwash/gargle formulations; other products containing the same active ingredients would have to be verified as formulations may vary among different brands. Nonetheless, this study and other recent studies showed that most commercially available mouthwash/gargle formulations were able to inactivate SARS-CoV-2. The most effective active ingredients are povidone-iodine¹⁷, benzylkonium chloride, essential oils²⁸, cetylperidinium chloride and hexetidine.

Conclusions

A number of commercially available mouthwash/gargle formulations containing cetylperidinium chloride and hexetidine demonstrated potent and rapid virucidal activity of \geq 5 log10 reduction of SARS-CoV-2 at 30 s. Chlorhexidine digluconate was slightly less effective,while salt water and thymol did not show any significant reduction in virus titre. This information gives more options for healthcare workers particularly dentists, anaesthetists, maxillofacial surgeons and otorhinolaryngologists to routinely practice pre-procedural gargling with readily available formulations. The practice of using tested mouthwash/gargle products should also be extended to high-risk groups and the general population to minimize the risk of viral transmission particularly from asymptomatic/presymptomatic carriers.

Appendix

Raw data according to the virus CPE. Number 4 indicating of full CPE in each well and number 0 indicate as healthy cells. Each dilution has 4 replicates.

		Contact time	Dilution (Log10)					
	Mouthwash		1	2	3	4	5	Log10 reduction
	Colgate	30	0000	0000	0000	0000 0000	0000	5
	Clean Dirty	60	0000	0000	0000 0000	0000 0000	0000	5 5
	Oradex	30	4444 4444	0000	0000 0000	0000 0000	0000	4 4
	Clean Dirty	60	4444 4444	0000	0000 0000	0000 0000	0000 0000	4 4
	Thymol	30	4444 4444	4444 4444	4444 4444	4444 4444	0044 0044	0.5 0.5
	Clean Dirty	60	4444 4444	4444 4444	4444 4444	4444 4444	0444 0044	0.75 0.5
First assay	Bactidol	30	0000	0000	0000 0000	0000 0000	0000 0000	5 5
	Clean Dirty	60	0000 0000	0000 0000	0000 0000	0000 0000	0000 0000	5 5
	Salt water	30	4444 4444	4444 4444	4444 4444	4444 4444	4444 4444	0 0
	Clean Dirty	60	4444 4444	4444 4444	4444 4444	4444 4444	4444 4444	0 0
	Virus control	30	4444 4444	4444 4444	4444 4444	4444 4444	4444 4444	
	Clean Dirty	60	4444 4444	4444 4444	4444 4444	4444 4444	4444 4444	-
	Colgate	30	0000 0000	0000 0000	0000 0000	0000 0000	0000 0000	5 5
	Clean Dirty	60	0000 0000	0000 0000	0000 0000	0000 0000	0000 0000	5 5
	Oradex	30	4444 4444	0000 0000	0000 0000	0000 0000	0000 0000	4 4
	Clean Dirty	60	0444 4444	0000 0000	0000 0000	0000 0000	0000 0000	4.25 4
	Thymol	30	4444 4444	4444 4444	4444 4444	4444 4444	0044 0044	0.5 0.5
Second assay	Clean Dirty	60	4444 4444	4444 4444	4444 4444	4444 4444	0444 0044	0.75 0.5
Second assay	Bactidol	30	0000 0000	0000 0000	0000 0000	0000 0000	0000 0000	5 5
	Clean Dirty	60	0000 0000	0000 0000	0000 0000	0000 0000	0000 0000	5 5
	Salt water	30	4444 4444	4444 4444	4444 4444	4444 4444	4444 4444	0 0
	Clean Dirty	60	4444 4444	4444 4444	4444 4444	4444 4444	4444 4444	0 0
	Virus control	30	4444 4444	4444 4444	4444 4444	4444 4444	4444 4444	-
	Clean Dirty	60	4444 4444	4444 4444	4444 4444	4444 4444	4444 4444	

Received: 26 February 2021; Accepted: 24 September 2021

Published online: 15 October 2021

References

- 1. World Health Organization. WHO Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Dashboard. (2021).
- 2. Zhu, N. et al. A novel coronavirus from patients with pneumonia in China, 2019. N. Engl. J. Med. 382, 727-733 (2020).
- 3. Shereen, M. A., Khan, S., Kazmi, A., Bashir, N. & Siddique, R. COVID-19 infection: Origin, transmission, and characteristics of human coronaviruses. *J. Adv. Res.* 24, 91 (2020).
- 4. Wölfel, R. et al. Virological assessment of hospitalized patients with COVID-2019. Nature 581, 465-469 (2020).
- 5. Xie, M. & Chen, Q. Insight into 2019 novel coronavirus—An updated intrim review and lessons from SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV. *Int. J. Infect. Dis.* **94**, 119–124 (2020).
- Sim, B. L. H. et al. Clinical characteristics and risk factors for severe COVID-19 infections in Malaysia: A nationwide observational study. Lancet Reg. Health Pac. 4, 100055 (2020).
- Maciorowski, D. et al. A review of the preclinical and clinical efficacy of remdesivir, hydroxychloroquine, and lopinavir-ritonavir treatments against COVID-19. SLAS Discov. Adv. Sci. Drug Discov. 25, 1108–1122 (2020).
- 8. World Health Organisation (WHO). Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) advice for the public. (2020).
- 9. BBC. Covid-19: PM announces four-week England lockdown. (2020).
- 10. Tang, K. H. D. Movement control as an effective measure against Covid-19 spread in Malaysia: An overview. *J. Public Health (Bangkok)* https://doi.org/10.1007/s10389-020-01316-w (2020).

- 11. Pilishvili, T. et al. Interim estimates of vaccine effectiveness of Pfizer-BioNTech and Moderna COVID-19 vaccines among health care personnel—33 US Sites, January–March 2021. Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep. 70, 753 (2021).
- 12. Azzi, L. et al. Saliva is a reliable tool to detect SARS-CoV-2. J. Infect. 81, e45-e50 (2020).
- 13. Meng, L., Hua, F. & Bian, Z. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): Emerging and future challenges for dental and oral medicine. J. Dent. Res. 99, 481–487 (2020).
- 14. Peng, X. et al. Transmission routes of 2019-nCoV and controls in dental practice. Int. J. Oral Sci. 12, 1-6 (2020).
- 15. MOH. Garis Panduan Perkhidmatan Kesihatan Pergigian Pasca Perintah Kawalan Pergerakan Pandemik COVID-19 2/2020. (2020).
- Martínez Lamas, L. et al. Is povidone iodine mouthwash effective against SARS-CoV-2? First in vivo tests. Oral Dis. https://doi. org/10.1111/odi.13526 (2020).
- 17. Hassandarvish, P. et al. Povidone iodine gargle and mouthwash. Br. Dent. J. 228, 900 (2020).
- 18. Tessema, B., Frank, S. & Bidra, A. SARS-CoV-2 viral inactivation using low dose povidone-iodine oral rinse-immediate application for the prosthodontic practice. *J. Prosthodont.* 29(6), 459 (2020).
- Eggers, M., Eickmann, M., Kowalski, K., Zorn, J. & Reimer, K. Povidone-iodine hand wash and hand rub products demonstrated excellent in vitro virucidal efficacy against Ebola virus and modified vaccinia virus Ankara, the new European test virus for enveloped viruses. BMC Infect. Dis. 15, 1–8 (2015).
- 20. Herrera, D., Serrano, J., Roldán, S. & Sanz, M. Is the oral cavity relevant in SARS-CoV-2 pandemic?. Clin. Oral Investig. 24, 2925–2930 (2020).
- 21. Baker, N., Williams, A. J., Tropsha, A. & Ekins, S. Repurposing quaternary ammonium compounds as potential treatments for COVID-19. *Pharm. Res.* 37, 1–4 (2020).
- 22. Popkin, D. L. et al. Cetylpyridinium chloride (CPC) exhibits potent, rapid activity against influenza viruses in vitro and in vivo. Pathog. Immun. 2, 253 (2017).
- 23. Deryabin, P. G., Galegov, G. A., Andronova, V. A. & Botikov, A. G. Analysis of antiviral properties of hexoral in vitro against some viruses that cause acute respiratory infections and herpes. *Bull. Exp. Biol. Med.* 160, 353–356 (2016).
- 24. Sauerbrei, A. Bactericidal and virucidal activity of ethanol and povidone-iodine. Microbiologyopen 9, e1097 (2020).
- 25. Fiorillo, L. Chlorhexidine gel use in the oral district: A systematic review. Gels 5, 31 (2019).
- 26. Pinto Filho, J. M., Spanemberg, J. C. & Cardoso, J. A. Coronavirus pandemic: Is H2O2 mouthwash going to overcome the chlorhexidine in dental practices?. *J. Stomatol. Oral Maxillofac. Surg.* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jormas.2020.11.001 (2020).
- 27. Klompas, M. Oropharyngeal decontamination with antiseptics to prevent ventilator-associated pneumonia: Rethinking the benefits of chlorhexidine. Semin. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 38, 381–390 (2017).
- 28. Meister, T. L. *et al.* Virucidal efficacy of different oral rinses against severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2. *J. Infect. Dis.* **222**, 1289–1292 (2020).
- Huang, Y. H. & Huang, J. T. Use of chlorhexidine to eradicate oropharyngeal SARS-CoV-2 in COVID-19 patients. J. Med. Virol. 93, 4370 (2021).
- 30. Jain, S. et al. Effect of training school teachers on oral hygiene status of 8–10 years old government school children of Udaipur City. India. J. Clin. Diagn. Res. 10, ZC95–ZC99 (2016).
- 31. Sharifi-Rad, J. et al. Susceptibility ofherpes simplex virus type 1 to monoterpenes thymol, carvacrol, p-cymene and essential oils of Sinapis arvensis L., Lallemantia royleana Benth. and Pulicaria vulgaris Gaertn. Cell Mol. Biol. (Noisy le Gd.) 63, 42 (2017).
- 32. Akbar, S. Thymus vulgaris L. (Lamiaceae). In Handbook of 200 Medicinal Plants (ed. Akbar, S.) 1795-1810 (Springer, 2020).
- 33. Dennison, D. K., Meredith, G. M., Shillitoe, E. J. & Caffesse, R. G. The antiviral spectrum of Listerine antiseptic. *Oral Surg. Oral Med. Oral Pathol. Oral Radiol. Endodontol.* 79, 442–448 (1995).
- 34. Mohamed, N. A. et al. Early viral clearance among Covid-19 patients when gargling with povidone-iodine and essential oils—A clinical trial. Int. Med. J. 24, 673 (2020).
- 35. Ramalingam, S. et al. Antiviral innate immune response in non-myeloid cells is augmented by chloride ions via an increase in intracellular hypochlorous acid levels. Sci. Rep. 8, 1–11 (2018).

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the Tropical Infectious Disease Research and Education Centre (TIDREC) for usage of the BSL 3 facilities.

Authors contributions

V.T and P.H performed the experiments and drafted the methodology section, S.A.B gave advice on laboratory methods and checked the final draft, N.A.M and W.S.W.S contributed for idea and conceptualization, N.B and F.N.A.S drafted the manuscript, I.I gave advice on the whole project and checked the final draft.

Funding

This study was funded by Universiti Sains Islam Malaysia COVID-19 Fund (PPPI/COVID19_0120/FPSK/051000/15220) and the Ministry of Education, Malaysia for niche area research under the Higher Institution Centre of Excellence (HICoE) program (Project MO002-2019).

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to N.A.M.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2021