# Association of a Rare Haplotype in Kinesin Light Chain 1 Gene with Age-Related Cataract in a Han Chinese Population

## Lu Zhang<sup>1\*9</sup>, Jia-Wei Xu<sup>29</sup>, Xin Qu<sup>3</sup>, Dong-Rui Liu<sup>1</sup>, Ping Liu<sup>1</sup>, Xin-Zhi Zhao<sup>2\*</sup>

1 Eye Hospital, The First Affiliated Hospital, Harbin Medical University, Harbin, China, 2 Children's Hospital and Institutes of Biomedical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai, China, 3 The Third Affiliated Hospital, Harbin Medical University, Harbin, China

## Abstract

*Purpose:* The causal genes for congenital cataract are good candidates for the genetic susceptibility for age-related cataract (ARC). The aim of this study was to investigate association between the polymorphisms in the causal genes for congenital cataract and ARC in a Chinese population. Meanwhile, we performed the replication study for previous identified risk genes for ARC.

*Methods:* We recruited 212 sporadic Han Chinese patients with age-related cataracts (ARC) and 172 normal controls in this study. We analyzed 31 SNPs from 13 genes which mostly possible contributes the progress of ARC in a Chinese population, comprising 212 cataract patients and 172 controls. Polymorphism-spanning fragments were amplified by using the multiplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and genotyped using primer extension method in MassARRAY platform. Allelic and haplotypic difference in the frequencies were estimated using the SHEsis software platform. P-value was adjusted by the Bonferroni correction.

**Results:** There was no difference in the frequencies of the genotype and allele of the all SNPs between the patients with ARC and the controls. In the haplotypic analysis, the haplotypes consisting of rs7154572, rs7150141 and rs12432994 in Kinesin Light Chain 1 Gene (*KLC1*) showed significant association with ARC (p = 0.000878). A rare haplotype CGT was more frequent in patients (p = 0.000106, and p = 0.00795 after corrected for 75 tests).

*Conclusions:* Our study provides evidence that the combined effect of three variants within the *KLC1* gene may predispose to ARC, but the precise mechanism needs further investigating.

Citation: Zhang L, Xu J-W, Qu X, Liu D-R, Liu P, et al. (2013) Association of a Rare Haplotype in Kinesin Light Chain 1 Gene with Age-Related Cataract in a Han Chinese Population. PLoS ONE 8(6): e64052. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064052

Editor: Deepak Shukla, University of Illinois at Chicago, United States of America

Received January 29, 2013; Accepted April 9, 2013; Published June 11, 2013

**Copyright:** © 2013 Zhang et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Funding:** This research was supported with funds from the Natural Science Foundation of China (81200722) and the Heilongjiang Postdoctoral Science-Research Foundation (LBH-Q10032). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

\* E-mail: 13796089809@163.com (LZ); xzzhao@fudan.edu.cn (XZZ)

• These authors contributed equally to this work.

## Introduction

Cataract is the world's leading cause of blindness, affecting approximately 16 million people [1]. The only effective treatment available is surgery with intraocular lens implantation which is expensive [2]. Cataract is primarily an age-related disorder, agerelated cataracts (ARC) usually occur after age 40, and are devided into three main types by their clinical appearance: nuclear, cortical, and posterior subcapsular, which may present alone or in combination [2,3].

Genetic predisposition and environmental elements contribute to the development of ARC, which are complex and multifactorial [3]. Age-related cataract is highly heritable. Genetic effects play an important role in nuclear cataracts, explaining almost 50% of the variation in the severity of this disease [4,5,6]. The exact genetic mechanism and pathogenesis of age-related cataract remain unclear. In the past two decades, the identification of the genetic mutations has improved our understanding of the mechanisms of cataractogenesis and normal lens development. The transcription factor Pitx3 is critical for lens development and formation [7], and previous studies have revealed that mutations in *PITX3* are associated with cataracts [8,9]. MAF is a basic region of leucine zipper transcription factor and R288P substitution within the *MAF* gene affected members of a family with cataract [10]. The common genetic polymorphisms in these genes may also influence the gene function and therefore be involved in the pathophysiology of ARC. For instance, the mutations in *EPHA2* gene would causing the congenital cataract [11,12], while the common SNPs in this gene were associated with the ARC [13].

Meanwhile, association studies have found candidate genes for susceptibility to ARC. It is reported that the GG genotype of rs8702 in KLC1 is a high risk for cataract in an Estonian population [14], and a polymorphism of *SOD* is reported

Table 1. Demographic data of the patients and controls.							
 Demographic data	Cataract group	Control group					
Male, n (%)	112(52.8)	93(54.1)					
Female, n (%)	100(47.2)	79(45.9)					
Total	212	172					
Age, years (Mean±SD)	68.4±11.4	64.7±7.2					

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064052.t001

associated with an increased risk of cataract in a Chinese population [15]. *EPHA2* was selected as a candidate gene for ARC and three single nucleotide polymorphisms in this gene were studied in an Indian population [16]. The genes contributing to congenital cataract and the coding functional factor in the development of ARC promoted our understanding of the cataract. The common genetic polymorphisms in genes may also influence gene function and therefore be involved in the pathophysiology of ARC. However, more independent replication studies are necessary for the genes.

In order to confirm a possible association between single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) and the risk of age-related cataract in the Chinese population, we performed a populationbased genetic association study including common genetic variations of 31 SNPs in 13 genes, all the selected SNPs were linkage disequilibrium based, and previously reported positive SNPs (Table S1).

## **Materials and Methods**

#### **Ethics Statement**

The research was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital, Harbin Medical University, Harbin, P.R. China. Informed consent in writing was obtained from each participant personally.

#### Subjects

The subjects were 212 sporadic Han Chinese patients with agerelated cataracts (ARC) and 172 normal controls (Table 1). All subjects were unrelated individuals from North China and recruited from the Eye Hospital, of the First Affiliated Hospital. Patients with secondary cataracts caused by trauma, uveitis, high myopia, glaucoma, or degenerative ocular diseases were excluded from the study. No patients have any history of hypertension, diabetes, and cancers. Controls were individuals who visited the same hospital for a routine ophthalmic examination and were matched by age-, sex-, and ethnic matched with the ARC patients. The control group included unrelated healthy individuals with no history of cataract, hypertension, diabetes, cancers, or other ocular diseases. All ARC patients and control subjects underwent a full ophthalmic examination, including visual acuity, lens examination with slit lamp biomicroscope after mydriasis, and ophthalmoscopic examination. The main types of ARC clarified among patients (nuclear-65; cortical-55; posterior subcapsular-35; mixed-57). The degrees of cataract in all patients' eyes were CII or CIII according to the Lens Opacities Classification System, version II (LOCS II). All the patients then received phacoemulsification and intraocular lens implantation surgery. The power to detect allele association in population-based samples is 0.70, assuming a frequency of risk allele in population 0.2 and a relative risk of 1.5.

## Blood samples and DNA isolation

We collected 5 ml of venous blood from all the patients and the healthy controls, and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C until use. Genomic DNA was extracted from leukocytes using the QIAamp Blood DNA Mini Kit according to the manufacturer's instructions.

## Genotyping

Genomic DNA was diluted to a final concentration of 10– 15 ng/uL for the MassARRAY genotyping assays. Polymorphismspanning fragments were amplified by using the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and genotyped using the Sequenom MassARRAY SNP genotyping platform (Sequenom, San Diego, CA, USA). The primer sequence and UEP sequence was show in Table S2. PCR and probe sequences are available upon request. Six no-template controls and four duplicated samples in each 384-well format were used for quality control. The genotyping rate for the nine SNPs ranged from 99.1% to 100%. The consistency rate observed in these duplicated samples was 100%.

## Statistical analysis

The case-control study, pairwise linkage disequilibrium (LD) estimation and haplotype reconstruction were performed using a SHEsis software platform (http://analysis.bio-x.cn) [17]. To avoid the problem of multiple testing in genetic analysis of disease association, the permutation test was employed to correct P-values for all the SNPs investigated. The P-values of 1-df test for haplotypic association were corrected using the Bonferroni correction.

## Results

## Single marker association analyses

The genotypic distributions of all 31 markers accorded with Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (P < 0.05). The distributions of allelic frequencies of the tested *SNPs* are shown in Table 2. We did not observe a significance association between single allele and patients, or any difference in the distribution of the age and gender ratio between ARC patients and controls (data not shown).

### Haplotype association analyses

We calculated linkage disequilibrium (LD) for all pairs of markers in each gene. The LD test for all pairs of markers in *KLC1* showed strong LD ( $r^2>0.8$ ) for rs7154572, rs7150141 and rs12432994 (Figure S1). Our results indicated that these three SNPs were in one LD block with a haplotype frequency. The overall haplotype frequency revealed significant differences between cases and controls (p = 0.000878). The C-G-T haplotype which was more frequent in patients, proved to be significantly associated with ARC (p = 0.000106) (Table 3). The association remained significant even after a stringent Bonferroni correction (p = 0.00795, corrected for 75 tests). However, the haplotypes in the remaining genes showed no significance association with ARC (data not shown).

## Discussion

In this study, we tested the association between ARC and 31 SNPs located in 13 genes in a Han Chinese population. We found no significant single marker associated with ARC patients. We found that the haplotype C-G-T of *KLC1* is highly associated with ARC, although the frequency is low in both cases and controls. Moreover the three SNPs genotyped showed high linkage disequilibrium. Our results suggest that the interaction of the potential functional variants among genetic variants within the

**Table 2.** Association analysis of 31 makers of 13 candidate genes among patients and controls.

Genes	SNPs	Allele <sup>a</sup>	ARC (%)	Controls (%)	p value	OR	(95% CI)
KLC1 r r	rs7154572	Ţ	54.4	57.2	0.449	1.12	0.836-1.498
		С	45.6	42.8			
	rs7150141	<u>A</u>	10.1	10.5	0.845	0.954	0.591-1.539
		G	89.9	89.5			
	rs12432994	Ţ	50	53	0.415	1.13	0.845-1.505
		С	50	47			
MIP	rs34287864	A	26.7	26.9	0.949	1.011	0.723-1.400
		G	73.3	73.1			
EPHA2	rs924201	Ţ	72.8	71.3	0.197	1.077	0.775–1.497
		С	27.2	28.7			
	rs3820610	G	71	29	0.216	1.231	0.885–1.713
		А	75.1	24.9			
	rs6603856	<u>A</u>	15.5	84.5	0.877	0.968	0.642-1.458
		G	15.9	84.1			
	rs3820609	<u>A</u>	9.2	11.4	0.314	1.27	0.794-2.041
		С	90.8	88.6			
RCC2	rs1799787	Ţ	7	6.5	0.7878	0.082	0.610-1.920
		С	93	93.5			
	rs3916874	G	82.4	80.9	0.6216	1.102	0.748-1.623
		С	17.6	19.1			
	rs50871	G	28.3	25.3	0.359	1.165	0.840-1.615
		Т	71.7	74.7			
OD	rs2070424	G	46.8	40.5	0.0988	1.291	0.953-1.748
		A	53.2	59.5			
PITX3	rs733283	<u>A</u>	22.7	24.8	0.519	1.12	0.795–1.573
		т	77.3	75.2			
FSP2	rs517255	A	47.3	55.3	0.035398	1.3803	1.022~1.864
		С	52.7	44.7			
	rs9877839	Ţ	20.2	17.4	0.345489	0.8331	0.570~1.218
		G	79.8	82.6			
	rs7628262	<u>c</u>	32.4	32.9	0.878533	1.0254	0.744~1.414
		Т	67.6	67.1			
OXE3	rs2405922	<u>A</u>	41.2	58.8	0.239138	0.8349	0.618~1.128
		G	45.7	54.3			
TL	rs905238	G	41.1	58.9	0.286644	1.183	0.868~1.612
		A	37.1	62.9			
	rs1039442	<u>A</u>	46.1	53.9	0.14744	0.804	0.598~1.080
		G	51.5	48.5			
GALK1	rs8669	G	46.3	53.7	0.933917	1.013	0.751~1.365
		С	46	54			
	rs9367	<u>c</u>	45.3	54.7	0.17602	1.225	0.913~1.643
		т	40.4	59.6			
GJA3	rs8000719	G	47.3	46.9	0.9133	1.017	0.755–1.370
		C	52.7	53.1			
	rs1886176	<u>A</u>	21	20.7	0.921	0.982	0.680-1.416
		С	79	79.3			
	rs17077137	<u>c</u>	55.9	52.1	0.2992	1.165	0.873-1.555
		т	44.1	47.9			
	rs7319745	<u>T</u>	24.5	25.7	0.699	1.068	0.766-1.489
		С	75.5	74.3			

## Table 2. Cont.

Genes	SNPs	Allele <sup>a</sup>	ARC (%)	Controls (%)	p value	OR	(95% CI)
GJA8	rs7541950	<u>c</u>	37.9	38.2	0.9374	0.988	0.729–1.338
		Т	62.1	61.8			
	rs6674829	G	38.5	37.3	0.7282	0.947	0.700-1.285
		А	61.5	62.7			
	rs1532399	<u>T</u>	37.8	34.8	0.3971	0.875	0.643–1.191
		G	62.2	65.2			
	rs7544630	<u>c</u>	27.1	29.6	0.503	0.887	0.623-1.261
		Т	72.9	70.4			
MAF	rs8045611	<u>c</u>	60.6	54.1	0.108	1.3	0.943–1.794
		Т	39.4	45.9			

a: means the upper are minor alleles.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064052.t002

Table 3. KLC1 haplotype frequencies and p values among ARCs and controls.

KLC1 haplotype	Case(freq)	Control(freq)	Chi2	p value	Odds Ratio	95%CI
C-A-C	35.74(0.093)	32.08(0.101)	0.115	0.73438	0.917	0.555–1.515
C-G-C	122.55(0.319)	103.92(0.327)	0.04	0.842135	0.968	0.704–1.331
T-G-C	28.48(0.074)	14.00(0.044)	2.802	0.094225	1.744	0.903-3.367
T-G-T	175.30(0.457)	165.08(0.519)	2.675	0.102015	0.779	0.578-1.051
C-G-T	17.67(0.046)	0.00(0.000)	15.044	0.000106	-	-

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064052.t003

*KLC1* gene may contribute to an increased risk of ARC, and this supports the inference that *KLC1* is a susceptibility gene for age-related cataract [14].

KLC1, a member of the kinesin superfamily, is an important ATP-dependent intracellular transport protein [18]. Like Parkinson's disease and Alzheimer's disease, ARC is a conformational disease directly or indirectly linked to impaired kinesin-mediated transport [19]. Moreover, kinesin has been co-localized with amyloid- $\beta$  precursor protein(APP) and APP-like proteins in the lens, and the similarity of the cargo-trafficking in neurons and lens fiber cells suggests that impaired transport could be a potential overlapping pathogenic mechanism for ARC in these tissues [20]. Kinesin is composed of two heavy chains which can form tetramers with two light chains, the heavy chains contain the microtubule-binding and ATP-utilizing domains that bind to and are responsible for movement along the microtubules [21]. Hence, kinesin light chains bind to the heavy chains and contain the cargo-binding domain of the complex, kinesin may play an important role in the development of ARC.

In our study, C-G-T haplotype in *KLC1* was observed 4.6% of ARC, whereas it was not observed it in the control group. A previous study revealed that rare variants, as well as common variants, can also contribute to the multifactorial inheritance of common diseases [22]. *KLC1* was reported to be a novel susceptibility gene for age-related cataract, and the GG genotype of rs8702 was also significantly associated with cataract patients [14]. Although each single variation may not be sufficient to confer

susceptibility to ARC in our population, the combination of a few variants within a gene could have an additive effect on the risk of disease.

In conclusion, our study provides a suggestion that the combined effect of three variants within the *KLC1* gene may predispose to ARC, but the precise mechanism needs further investigating.

## **Supporting Information**

**Figure S1 LD plot for** *KLC1***.** The LD plot was generated by SHEsis.  $r^2$  between maker pairs is indicated by the shaded matrices. This figure shows that strong LD was observed between rs7154572, rs7150141 and rs12432994. (TIF)

## Table S1Selected genes and SNPs detail.(DOC)

## **Table S2** Primer sequences and UEP primers used for MassARRAY IPLEX Genotyping. (DOC)

(DOC)

## **Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: LZ XZZ. Performed the experiments: LZ JWX XQ DRL PL XZZ. Analyzed the data: JWX XZZ. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: LZ JWX XQ DRL PL XZZ. Wrote the paper: LZ JWX XZZ.

#### References

- Resnikoff S, Pascolini D, Etya'ale D, Kocur I, Pararajasegaram R, et al. (2004) Global data on visual impairment in the year 2002. Bull World Health Organ 82: 844–851.
- Asbell PA, Dualan I, Mindel J, Brocks D, Ahmad M, et al. (2005) Age-related cataract. Lancet 365: 599–609.
- Hammond CJ, Snieder H, Spector TD, Gilbert CE (2000) Genetic and environmental factors in age-related nuclear cataracts in monozygotic and dizygotic twins. N Engl J Med 342: 1786–1790.
- Hammond CJ, Duncan DD, Snieder H, de Lange M, West SK, et al. (2001) The heritability of age-related cortical cataract: the twin eye study. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 42: 601–605.
- Reddy MA, Francis PJ, Berry V, Bhattacharya SS, Moore AT (2004) Molecular genetic basis of inherited cataract and associated phenotypes. Surv Ophthalmol 49: 300–315.
- Robman L, Taylor H (2005) External factors in the development of cataract. Eye (Lond) 19: 1074–1082.
- Medina-Martinez O, Shah R, Jamrich M (2009) Pitx3 controls multiple aspects of lens development. Dev Dyn 238: 2193–2201.
- Semina EV, Ferrell RE, Mintz-Hittner HA, Bitoun P, Alward WL, et al. (1998) A novel homeobox gene PITX3 is mutated in families with autosomal-dominant cataracts and ASMD. Nat Genet 19: 167–170.
- Berry V, Francis PJ, Prescott Q, Waseem NH, Moore AT, et al. A novel 1-bp deletion in PITX3 causing congenital posterior polar cataract. Mol Vis 17: 1249–1253.
- Jamieson RV, Perveen R, Kerr B, Carette M, Yardley J, et al. (2002) Domain disruption and mutation of the bZIP transcription factor, MAF, associated with cataract, ocular anterior segment dysgenesis and coloboma. Hum Mol Genet 11: 33–42.

- Shiels A, Bennett TM, Knopf HL, Maraini G, Li A, et al. (2008) The EPHA2 gene is associated with cataracts linked to chromosome 1p. Mol Vis 14: 2042– 2055.
- Zhang T, Hua R, Xiao W, Burdon KP, Bhattacharya SS, et al. (2009) Mutations of the EPHA2 receptor tyrosine kinase gene cause autosomal dominant congenital cataract. Hum Mutat 30: E603–611.
- Jun G, Guo H, Klein BE, Klein R, Wang JJ, et al. (2009) EPHA2 is associated with age-related cortical cataract in mice and humans. PLoS Genet 5: e1000584.
- Andersson ME, Zetterberg M, Tasa G, Seibt-Palmer M, Juronen E, et al. (2007) Variability in the kinesin light chain 1 gene may influence risk of age-related cataract. Mol Vis 13: 993–996.
- Zhang Y, Zhang L, Sun D, Li Z, Wang L, et al. (2011) Genetic polymorphisms of superoxide dismutases, catalase, and glutathione peroxidase in age-related cataract. Mol Vis 17: 2325–2332.
- Sundaresan P, Ravindran RD, Vashist P, Shanker A, Nitsch D, et al. (2012) EPHA2 polymorphisms and age-related cataract in India. PLoS One 7: e33001.
- Shi YY, He L (2005) SHEsis, a powerful software platform for analyses of linkage disequilibrium, haplotype construction, and genetic association at polymorphism loci. Cell Res 15: 97–98.
- Stokin GB, Goldstein LS (2006) Axonal transport and Alzheimer's disease. Annu Rev Biochem 75: 607–627.
- von Otter M, Landgren S, Nilsson S, Lundvall C, Minthon L, et al. (2010) Kinesin light chain 1 gene haplotypes in three conformational diseases. Neuromolecular Med 12: 229–236.
- Goldstein LS (2001) Kinesin molecular motors: transport pathways, receptors, and human disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98: 6999–7003.
- Hirokawa N, Noda Y (2008) Intracellular transport and kinesin superfamily proteins, KIFs: structure, function, and dynamics. Physiol Rev 88: 1089–1118.
- Bodmer W, Bonilla C (2008) Common and rare variants in multifactorial susceptibility to common diseases. Nat Genet 40: 695–701.