



# Article Potential Common Mechanisms of Cytotoxicity Induced by Amide Herbicides via TRPA1 Channel Activation

Xiaoning Wang <sup>1,2</sup>, Yangyang Sun <sup>2</sup>, Qian Wang <sup>2</sup>, Fengying Liu <sup>2</sup>, Weijie Yang <sup>2</sup>, Xin Sui <sup>2</sup>, Jun Yang <sup>2</sup>, Minmin Zhang <sup>2</sup>, Shuai Wang <sup>2</sup>, Zhenyu Xiao <sup>2</sup>, Yuan Luo <sup>2,\*,†</sup>, Yongan Wang <sup>2,†</sup> and Tong Zhu <sup>1,\*,†</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Institute of Process Equipment and Environmental Engineering, School of Mechanical Engineering and Automation, Northeastern University, Shenyang 110819, China; wangxn5593@163.com
- <sup>2</sup> State Key Laboratory of Toxicology and Medical Countermeasures, Beijing Institute of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Beijing 100850, China; sunyangsongyang@126.com (Y.S.); 307396444@163.com (Q.W.); lly610\_yy@163.com (F.L.); yangwj\_1997@163.com (W.Y.); sx\_226@163.com (X.S.); ajaway@126.com (J.Y.); ozhangmm@163.com (M.Z.); ws20095072016@163.com (S.W.); zhenyuxiao2021@163.com (Z.X.); yonganw@126.com (Y.W.)
- <sup>4</sup> Correspondence: luoyuan2006@163.com (Y.L.); tongzhu@mail.neu.edu.cn (T.Z.); Tel.: +86-10-66930602 (Y.L.); +86-13940281581 (T.Z.)
- + These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: The "Multi-Threat Medical Countermeasure (MTMC)" strategy was proposed to develop a single drug with therapeutic efficacy against multiple pathologies or broad-spectrum protection against various toxins with common biochemical signals, molecular mediators, or cellular processes. This study demonstrated that cytotoxicity, expression of transient receptor potential cation channel subfamily A member 1 (TRPA1) mRNA, and intracellular calcium influx were increased in A549 cells exposed to amide herbicides (AHs), in which the order of cytotoxicity was metolachlor > acetochlor > propisochlor > alachlor > butachlor > propanil > pretilachlor, based on IC<sub>50</sub> values of 430, 524, 564, 565, 619, 831, and 2333 µM, respectively. Inhibition/knockout of TRPA1 efficiently protected against cytotoxicity, decreased TRPA1 mRNA expression, and reduced calcium influx. The results suggested that the TRPA1 channel could be a key common target for AHs poisoning. The order of TRPA1 affinity for AHs was propanil > pretilachlor > metolachlor > (propiso/ala/aceto/ butachlor), based on  $K_D$  values of 16.2, 309, and 364  $\mu$ M, respectively. The common molecular mechanisms of TRPA1-AHs interactions were clarified, including toxicity-effector groups (benzene ring, nitrogen/oxygen-containing functional groups, halogen) and residues involved in interactions (Lys787, Leu982). This work provides valuable information for the development of TRPA1 as a promising therapeutic target for broad-spectrum antitoxins.

**Keywords:** amide herbicides; TRPA1 channel; cytotoxicity; computational simulation; common mechanisms

### 1. Introduction

In recent decades, agricultural pesticides have been investigated by environmental or toxicology researchers due to their wide use and accumulation in ecosystems, evident toxic effects on human health, and environmental safety concerns [1–3]. Consequently, medical prevention strategies against multifarious chemical poisonings are being explored. The traditional model of drug discovery provides a specific medical countermeasure against a single symptom or pathology of a chemical toxin but is not suitable against multiple toxins [4,5]. Alternatively, the "Multi-Threat Medical Countermeasure (MTMC)" strategy supports the possibility of developing a single countermeasure drug with prophylactic or therapeutic efficacy against signaling pathways or inflammation pathologies caused by multiple toxins [4,6]. This study aimed to investigate the common interaction mechanisms within a family of toxic compounds, laying the groundwork for future research based on MTMC.



Citation: Wang, X.; Sun, Y.; Wang, Q.; Liu, F.; Yang, W.; Sui, X.; Yang, J.; Zhang, M.; Wang, S.; Xiao, Z.; et al. Potential Common Mechanisms of Cytotoxicity Induced by Amide Herbicides via TRPA1 Channel Activation. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2022, *19*, 7985. https:// doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19137985

Academic Editor: Jaeku Kang

Received: 27 May 2022 Accepted: 27 June 2022 Published: 29 June 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Various inflammatory reactions are caused by toxic compounds via cytomembrane or organelle receptors [7–9]. Transient receptor potential ion channels (TRPs), especially TRP subfamily A member 1 (TRPA1), are membrane proteins with high permeability to calcium that are considered as "chemical switches", as the targets of many environmental aromatic compounds (e.g., cinnamaldehyde, allicin, formaldehyde, acrolein, allyl isothiocyanate, etc.) and proinflammatory agents that induce inflammatory reactions [10–13]. Specifically, TRPA1 ankyrin-like repeat domain (TRPA1 ARD), within the N-terminal domain of human TRPA1 (hTRPA1), interacts with electrophilic aromatic molecules, which leads to intracellular calcium influx and triggers a series of intracellular cascade reactions or apoptosis [14–18]. Thus, a common mechanism underlying the effects of toxins on biological systems may be determined by elucidating the interactions between TRPA1 and aromatic compound-containing toxins.

Amide herbicides (AHs), such as propanil, propisochlor, metolachlor, alachlor, acetochlor, pretilachlor, and butachlor, are members of the chloroacetanilide family and contain benzene rings. AHs are used globally in agricultural environments and contribute to endocrine disruption and inflammatory reactions [8,19–23]. Although many patients are hospitalized due to improper use of AHs, specific therapeutic drugs are currently lacking [24–29]. Therefore, the aim of this study was to explore the common biochemical pathways of AHs poisoning on an effort to improve treatment options.

The mainstream mode of detoxification following compound poisoning is to first clarify the toxin and target using laboratory technology or computer simulation [30,31]. Evaluating toxicity to cellular materials or proteins using the above techniques could help determine common mechanisms by exploring interactions between compounds and targets [32–35]. Therefore, this study provides valuable information regarding a promising therapeutic target for the development of specific broad-spectrum antitoxins against AHs poisoning or other compounds designed to inhibit target bioactivity.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Chemicals

Human lung type II epithelial A549 cells were purchased from the Cell Resource Center, Institute of Basic Medicine, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences (Beijing, China). Seven AHs, including propanil, propisochlor, metolachlor, alachlor, acetochlor, pretilachlor, and butachlor were purchased from Shanghai Aladdin Biochemical Technology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). The TRP channel inhibitor HC-030031 was purchased from Selleck Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Houston, TX, USA). Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) and Cytotoxicity LDH Assay Kit-WST were purchased from Dojindo Laboratories (Kumamoto, Japan). TRIzol Reagent and Fluo-4 Direct calcium assay kits were purchased from Invitrogen Trading Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). PrimeScript RT reagent kit with gDNA Eraser and TB Green Premix Ex Taq II were purchased from Takara Bio Inc. (Kusatsu, Japan). hTRPA1 ARD protein was purchased from Immuno Clone Biosciences Co., Ltd. (Huntington Station, NY, USA).

#### 2.2. Construction of TRPA1 Gene Knockout (TRPA1-KO) A549 Cells

The *hTRPA1* gene sequence (Gene ID: 8989) was obtained from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) website (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/8989 accessed on 26 May 2022). Three gRNA sequences, namely, T1: 5'-GAATGGATTATCTACACGAC-302B9, T16: 5'-GTAATTGGACATTTATTGCC-3', and T20: 5'-TTTTATATTGACAACGAGAA-3', were designed using the CRISPR online design tool (http://crispr.mit.edu/ accessed on 26 May 2022). Transfection of cells with gRNA-Cas9 was validated in test cells. Cell pool examination was performed using Sanger sequencing. Sequencing and tracer analysis were performed using the online tool TIDE (https://tide-calculator.nki.nl/ accessed on 26 May 2022) to investigate the cleavage efficiency of T1, T16, and T20. Cells from the cell pool were diluted in 96-well plates. Clones were observed under a microscope and wells containing single cell clones were labeled. Single cell clones were transferred to 24-well plates for expansion. Validation of knockout (KO) clones was performed via sequencing to confirm insertion and deletion on the genomes of KO clone candidates. Furthermore, mycoplasmas were detected for cloned cells with high KO using the Mycoplasma PCR Detection Kit.

#### 2.3. Cell Cultures and Treatment

Wild-type (WT) and *TRPA1*-KO A549 cells were cultured in McCoy's 5A Media and F-12K Nutrient Mixture containing 10% fetal bovine serum, respectively. WT/*TRPA1*-KO A549 cells ( $5 \times 10^4$  cells/mL) were seeded in 96-well (100 µL/well) and 6-well (2 mL/well) plates and incubated at 37 °C with 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in a humidified incubator for 24 h. WT/*TRPA1*-KO A549 cells were treated with AHs gradient concentrations (0, 150, 300, 600, 1200 µM) prepared in methanol (1.2 M) for 24 h. Additionally, WT/*TRPA1*-KO A549 cells were pre-treated with TRPA1 special inhibitor HC-030031 (100 µM) for 0.5 h and then treated with AHs gradient concentrations for 24 h.

#### 2.4. Cell Viability Assay

To conduct the cell viability assay, the growth medium was removed from WT/*TRPA1*-KO A549 cells cultured in a 96-well plate. CCK-8 reagent was mixed with complete medium (1:9 volume ratio), and 100  $\mu$ L of the CCK-8 reagent mixture was added to each well. The plate was incubated for 4 h and the absorbance was measured at 450 nm using a microplate reader (BNR05740; Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). The average absorbance from each sextuplicate set of wells was calculated and the background control value was subtracted from each absorbance value. The percentage cell viability was determined using the following equation:

cell viability (%) = 
$$[(As - Ab)/(Ac - Ab)] \times 100\%$$

where As is the absorbance of the experimental well (containing medium, CCK-8 reagent, cells, and compound); Ac is the absorbance of the control well (containing medium, CCK-8 reagent, and cells); and Ab is the absorbance of the blank well (containing medium and CCK-8 reagent).

#### 2.5. Cytotoxicity Assay

Using the Cytotoxicity LDH Assay Kit, lysis buffer (10  $\mu$ L) was added to the high control well of a 96-well plate containing WT/*TRPA1*-KO A549 cells, which was then incubated for 0.5 h. Working solution was prepared by adding 5 mL assay buffer to the dye mixture vial, of which 100  $\mu$ L was added to each well. The plate was protected from light and incubated at room temperature for 0.5 h. Subsequently, 50  $\mu$ L stop solution was added to each well and the absorbance was measured immediately at 490 nm using a microplate reader (BNR05740, Molecular Devices). The average absorbance was calculated from each sextuplicate set of wells and the background control value was subtracted from each absorbance value. The percentage cytotoxicity was determined using the following equation:

cytotoxicity (%) = 
$$[(Aa - Ab1)/(Ab2 - Ab1)] \times 100\%$$

where Aa is the absorbance of the experimental well (containing medium, cells, and compound); Ab2 is the absorbance of the high control well (containing medium, cells, and lysis buffer); and Ab1 is the absorbance of the low control well (containing medium and cells).

#### 2.6. Real-Time Quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR)

TRIzol Reagent (1 mL) was directly added to WT/*TRPA1*-KO A549 cells in 6-well plates and total RNA was extracted according to the manufacturer's instructions. Total RNA was used for cDNA reverse transcription, which was performed according to the PrimeScript RT protocol with the following reaction conditions: 42 °C for 2 min, 4 °C for ∞ (removal of genomic DNA), 37 °C for 15 min, 85 °C for 5 s, and 4 °C for ∞ (reverse transcriptional reaction). RT-qPCR was performed according to the TB Green Premix Ex Taq II protocol. Primer sequences and product lengths were as follows: hTRPA1 (107 bp) forward primer 5'-AGTATATTTGGGTATTGCAAAGAAGC-3', reverse primer 5'-ATGCCCGTCGTGTAGATAATCC-3'; hβ-actin (184 bp) forward primer 5'-AGAAGCTACGAGCTGCCTGAC-3', reverse primer 5'-AGCACTGTGTTGGCGTACAG-3'. RT-qPCR was performed using the CFX96 Real-Time System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) using the following reaction conditions: 95 °C for 30 s, 40 cycles of 95 °C for 5 s, 60 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s, followed by a melting curve from 65 to 95 °C in 0.5 °C/5 s increments. Calculations were performed using the CFX Manager<sup>TM</sup> Version 1.0 software (Bio-Rad Laboratories Ltd., Hercules, CA, USA) and are represented as fold change in expression [2<sup>ΔΔC(t)</sup>] on a linear scale.

#### 2.7. Cellular Calcium Imaging

Working solution was prepared according to the instructions of the Fluo-4 Direct calcium assay kit and directly added to WT/*TRPA1*-KO A549 cells in 96-well plates, which were incubated for 0.5 h and kept away from light after removal from the incubator. Single channel fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) levels were measured using a confocal high-content imaging system (IXM-C S150069, Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA, USA).

#### 2.8. Two-Stage Mass Spectrometry (MS/MS) Analysis

TRPA1 recombinant protein were separated by SDS-PAGE, stained with CBB R250, and TRPA1 protein bands were precisely excised by a sharp blade on the clean glass plate. Peptides (2  $\mu$ L) were analyzed at high resolution using a NanoLC-Orbitrap Elite MS/MS system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) with an automatic sampler for data acquisition. The system was operated in positive ion spray ionization mode, with an injection speed of 0.3  $\mu$ L/min, capillary voltage of 2.2 kV, mass-to-charge ratio range of 300–1800 *m*/*z*, and 35% collision energy. Data processing was performed using Proteome Discovery 2.4 software. Qualitative assessment of proteins and mass-to-charge ratios (*m*/*z*) of fragment peaks were performed by comparing differences in MS/MS spectra.

#### 2.9. Localized Surface Plasmon Resonance (LSPR) Analysis

The binding capacity of hTRPA1 ARD recombinant protein and AHs was determined by LSPR according to the OpenSPR (Nicoya Life Sciences, Kitchener, ON, Canada) operating procedure using the COOH chip. After the baseline signal was obtained, the sample was washed with 200  $\mu$ L 80% isopropyl alcohol for 10 s, and 200  $\mu$ L ethylcarbodiimide/Nhydroxysuccinimide solution was added. The sample loop was rinsed with phosphatebuffered saline (PBS). hTRPA1 (5  $\mu$ L) was diluted with PBS, incubated for 4 min, and 200  $\mu$ L blocking solution was added. The sample loop was observed at baseline for 5 min. The following analytes were used: propanil (0, 1.25, 2.5, 5, 10  $\mu$ M), propisochlor (0, 10, 80, 100, 1000  $\mu$ M), metolachlor (0, 25, 50, 100, 200  $\mu$ M), alachlor (0, 250, 1000  $\mu$ M), acetochlor (0, 100, 500, 1000, 2000  $\mu$ M), pretilachlor (0, 500, 1000, 2000  $\mu$ M), and butachlor (0, 10, 100, 1000, 2000  $\mu$ M). Contact and dissociation time of analytes and protein were 240 s and 160 s, respectively. TraceDrawer software was applied to determine the association constant (k<sub>a</sub>), dissociation constant (k<sub>d</sub>), and affinity constant (K<sub>D</sub>) using a 1:1 model.

#### 2.10. Molecular Docking

The macromolecular structure of hTRPA1 used for molecular docking simulations was obtained from the Protein Data Bank (PDB ID 6PQO, https://www.rcsb.org accessed on 26 May 2022) and undesired structures were managed using the SEQ module of Molecular Operating Environment (MOE) version 20.09 software [36]. The structures of AHs (propanil, propisochlor, metolachlor, alachlor, acetochlor, pretilachlor, and butachlor) were derived from the PubChem database (https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ accessed on 26 May 2022) in SDF format. Small molecules were minimized and saved. Macromolecule

docking pockets were determined using the Site Finder module. Molecular docking simulations were performed using the Docking module and all docking processes were completed under an Amber 10: EHT force field, using an R-field dominant solvent model with pH 7.0 and temperature 300 K. The GBVI/WSA dG scoring function was used to score 30 structures with London dG scores for flexible docking. Macromolecules and small molecules were further screened for better interaction performance.

#### 2.11. Statistical Analysis

The experimental data are expressed as  $\pm$  standard error of mean (SEM). GraphPad Prism version 6.0 software (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA) was used for data analysis. One-way analysis of variance for multiple comparisons was used to analyze differences between groups, and *p* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Construction of the TRPA1-KO A549 Cell Line

The *TRPA1*-KO A549 cell line was constructed using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing. The cleavage efficiency of gRNAs was 24.4% (T1), 0% (T16), and 0% (T20). Based on these results, T1 gRNA was selected for subsequent tests. Following KO of the *TRPA1* gene by T1 gRNA, double allele KO of the *TRPA1* gene in cell clones was confirmed by DNA sequencing. The results of genotyping for a single cell were clone T1-2 (A549 *TRPA1* 0/0), clone T1-20 (A549 *TRPA1* +1/+1), and clone T1-53 (A549 *TRPA1* -25/-25) (Figure S1A–C). Mycoplasmas were not detected for *TRPA1*-KO positive clone T1-20 (A549 *TRPA1* -25/-25), and *TRPA1*-KO negative clone T1-2 (A549 *TRPA1* 0/0) (Figure S1D). Finally, T1-53 (A549 *TRPA1* -25/-25) cells were selected for subsequent experiments.

#### 3.2. AHs Cytotoxicity towards A549 Cells

#### 3.2.1. AHs Treatment Decreases the Viability of A549 Cells

To determine the cytotoxic effects of AHs on A549 cells, cell viability was evaluated using CCK-8 reagent after treatment with different concentrations of AHs for 24 h. Compared with treatment with 0  $\mu$ M AHs, the viability of A549 cells treated with propanil (150  $\mu$ M, p < 0.001; 300  $\mu$ M, p < 0.0001; 600  $\mu$ M, p < 0.0001; 1200  $\mu$ M, p < 0.0001) (Figure S2A), propisochlor (150  $\mu$ M, p < 0.01; 300  $\mu$ M, p < 0.0001) (Figure S2C), alachlor (150  $\mu$ M, p < 0.0001; 300  $\mu$ M, p < 0.0001) (Figure S2D), metolachlor (all p < 0.0001) (Figure S2C), alachlor (150  $\mu$ M, p < 0.0001) (Figure S2E), pretilachlor (150  $\mu$ M, p < 0.001; 300  $\mu$ M, p < 0.001; 300  $\mu$ M, p < 0.001) (Figure S2E), pretilachlor (150  $\mu$ M, p < 0.001; 300  $\mu$ M, p < 0.001; 600  $\mu$ M, p < 0.001; 1200  $\mu$ M, p < 0.001) (Figure S2E), and butachlor (150  $\mu$ M, p < 0.001; 600  $\mu$ M, p < 0.0001; 600  $\mu$ M, p < 0.0001; 1200  $\mu$ M, p < 0.001; 1200  $\mu$ M, p < 0.001) (Figure S2E), pretilachlor (150  $\mu$ M, p < 0.001; 300  $\mu$ M, p < 0.001; 600  $\mu$ M, p < 0.0001; 1200  $\mu$ M, p < 0.001; 1200  $\mu$ M, p < 0.0001; 1200  $\mu$ M, p < 0.0001) (Figure S2F), and butachlor (150  $\mu$ M, p < 0.001; 300  $\mu$ M, p < 0.0001; 600  $\mu$ M, p < 0.0001; 600  $\mu$ M, p < 0.0001) (Figure S2G) was significantly decreased.

#### 3.2.2. AHs Are Cytotoxic to A549 Cells

The cytotoxic effects of AHs on A549 cells were further evaluated using the LDH assay after treatment with different concentrations of AHs for 24 h. Compared with treatment with 0  $\mu$ M AHs, A549 cells treated with propanil (all *p* < 0.0001) (Figure S3A), propisochlor (all *p* < 0.0001) (Figure S3B), metolachlor (all *p* < 0.0001) (Figure S3C), alachlor (all *p* < 0.0001) (Figure S3D), acetochlor (all *p* < 0.0001) (Figure S3E), pretilachlor (all *p* < 0.0001) (Figure S3F), and butachlor (150  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.01; 300  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.0001; 600  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.0001; 1200  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.0001) (Figure S3G) exhibited significantly increased cell damage. These findings indicated that seven AHs demonstrated obvious cytotoxic effects on A549 cells.

#### 3.2.3. AHs Treatment Increased TRPA1 mRNA Expression in A549 Cells

Although preliminary research revealed the cytotoxicity of AHs towards A549 cells, the target of AHs poisoning remained unclear. Activated by allyl isothiocyanate (AITC), delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol, acrolein, and other compounds with electrophilic organic

characteristics, previous studies have identified that TRPA1 ion channels act as "switches" for multiple types of chemical poisoning, resulting in abnormal physiological and biochemical reactions [7,9]. Therefore, the current study explored whether TRPA1 is a common target for several different AHs. Compared with that in 0  $\mu$ M AHs-treated cells, the expression of TRPA1 mRNA in A549 cells treated with propanil (300  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.05; 600  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.01) (Figure S4A), propisochlor (300  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.01; 600  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.01) (Figure S4B), metolachlor (300  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.01) (Figure S4D), acetochlor (600  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.01) (Figure S4E), pretilachlor (600  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.05) (Figure S4F), and butachlor (150  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.05; 300  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.05; 600  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.001) (Figure S4G) was significantly increased. Thus, treatment with seven AHs significantly increased TRPA1 mRNA expression in A549 cells.

#### 3.3. Exploring the Target of AHs in A549 Cells

3.3.1. AHs Treatment Increased TRPA1 mRNA Expression in A549 Cells, Which Is Reversed by HC-030031 Intervention or TRPA1-KO

Changes in AHs-induced cytotoxicity were measured after blocking or knocking out TRPA1 to determine if TRPA1 is a key target involved in AHs poisoning. Compared with AHs treatment alone (150, 300, 600  $\mu$ M), A549 cells pre-treated with HC-030031 (50  $\mu$ M, TRPA1-specific inhibitor) exhibited significantly reduced TRPA1 mRNA expression after treatment with propanil (150  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.01) (Figure 1A), metolachlor (150  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.05) (Figure 1C), alachlor (600  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.05) (Figure 1D), acetochlor (150  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.01; 600  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.05) (Figure 1E), and butachlor (600  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.01) (Figure 1G). Pre-treatment with HC-030031 (50  $\mu$ M) also decreased TRPA1 mRNA expression in A549 cells treated with propisochlor (Figure 1B) and pretilachlor (Figure 1F), albeit not significantly. Furthermore, expression of TRPA1 mRNA was not detected in *TRPA1*-KO A549 cells, even in those treated with AHs (Figure 1A–G). These results indicated that HC-030031 intervention or *TRPA1*-KO could decrease TRPA1 mRNA expression in A549 cells.

3.3.2. Viability of AHs-Treated A549 Cells Is Increased with HC-030031 Intervention or TRPA1-KO  $\,$ 

Compared with AHs treatment alone (150, 300, 600  $\mu$ M), A549 cells pre-treated with HC-030031 (50  $\mu$ M) exhibited significantly increased viability when cells were treated with propanil (150  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.05; 300  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.05; 600  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.01) (Figure 2A), metolachlor (150  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.01; 600  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.01) (Figure 2C), alachlor (300  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.0001; 600  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.001) (Figure 2D), acetochlor (150  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.001; 300  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.01; 600  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.001) (Figure 2E), pretilachlor (600  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.05) (Figure 2F), and butachlor (300  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.01) (Figure 2G). Moreover, the viability of A549 cells pre-treated with HC-030031 (50  $\mu$ M) was increased when cells were treated with propisochlor (Figure 2B), albeit not significantly. Similarly, KO of *TRPA1* significantly increased the viability of A549 cells exposed to propanil (300  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.05; 600  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.01) (Figure 2A), propisochlor (150  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.05; 600  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.01) (Figure 2B), metolachlor (150  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.001; 600  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.01) (Figure 2B), metolachlor (150  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.05) (Figure 2A), propisochlor (150  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.05; 600  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.01) (Figure 2B), metolachlor (150  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.05) (Figure 2D), acetochlor (150  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.01) (Figure 2B), metolachlor (150  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.05) (Figure 2D), acetochlor (150  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.05) (Figure 2D), acetochlor (150  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.01; 300  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.01; 600  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.05) (Figure 2D), acetochlor (150  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.05) (Figure 2F), and butachlor (150  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.05) (Figure 2F), pretilachlor (150  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.05) (Figure 2F), and butachlor (150  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.05) (Figure 2C), alachlor (150  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.001; 300  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.001; 600  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.05) (Figure 2C), alachlor (150  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.05) (Figure 2F), and butachlor (150  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.05) (Figure 2F), pretilachlor (150  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.05) (Figure 2F), and butachlor (150  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.05) (Figure 2C), alachlor (150  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.001; 600  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.001; 600  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.001) (Figure 2G).









\*\**P* < 0.01, \*\*\**P* < 0.001, \*\*\*\**P* < 0.0001 vs Alachlor 0 μM for WT A549 cell; \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*\*P < 0.0001 vs WT A549 cell group; n = 6



\*P < 0.05, \*\*\*P < 0.001, \*\*\*\*P < 0.0001 vs Butachlor  $0 \ \mu M$  for WT A549 cell; ##P < 0.01, ##P < 0.001 vs WT A549 cell group; n = 6

> Figure 2. HC-030031 intervention or TRPA1-KO increases cell viability of AHs toward A549 cells. (A) Propanil, (B) Propisochlor, (C) Metolachlor, (D) Alachlor, (E) Acetochlor, (F) Pretilachlor, (G) Butachlor.



\**P* < 0.05, \*\*\**P* < 0.001, \*\*\*\**P* < 0.0001 vs Propisochlor 0 µM for WT A549 cell; <sup>#</sup>*P* < 0.05, <sup>##</sup>*P* < 0.01 vs WT A549 cell group; n = 6





 $^{****}P < 0.0001$  vs Acetochlor 0 µM for WT A549 cell;  $^{\#}P < 0.05, ^{\#}P < 0.01, ^{\#\#}P < 0.001, ^{\#\#\#}P < 0.001$  vs WT A549 cell group; n = 6



\*\*\**P* < 0.001, \*\*\*\**P* < 0.0001 vs Metolachlor 0 μM for WT A549 cell; \**P* < 0.05, \*\**P* < 0.01, \*\*\**P* < 0.001 vs WT A549 cell group; n = 6



\*\**P* < 0.01 vs Pretilachlor 0 μM for WT A549 cell; <sup>#</sup>P < 0.05 vs WT A549 cell group; n = 6

The IC<sub>50</sub> values of AHs for WT A549 cells (from strongest to weakest) were in the following order: metolachlor (430  $\mu$ M) > acetochlor (524  $\mu$ M) > propisochlor (564  $\mu$ M) > alachlor (565  $\mu$ M) > butachlor (619  $\mu$ M) > propanil (831  $\mu$ M) > pretilachlor (2333  $\mu$ M) (Table 1). AHs had a pronounced cytotoxic effect on A549 cells, among which metolachlor demonstrated the strongest cytotoxicity. Moreover, the IC<sub>50</sub> values of propanil, propisochlor, metolachlor, alachlor, acetochlor, pretilachlor, and butachlor for A549 cells with HC-030031 (50  $\mu$ M) intervention were 2290, 1690, 620, 731, 647, 4456, and 1757  $\mu$ M, respectively, and 2558, 1828, 562, 659, 696, 3672, and 767  $\mu$ M for *TRPA1*-KO A549 cells, respectively. The IC<sub>50</sub> values of AHs with HC-030031 intervention or *TRPA1*-KO for A549 cells were increased compared with those of WT A549 cells, and cytotoxicity was decreased. The results indicated that TRPA1 blocking through chemical intervention or *TRPA1*-KO could increase the viability of A549 cells treated with AHs, confirming that cytotoxicity induced by AHs occurred via the TRPA1 channel.

Table 1. IC<sub>50</sub> values of AHs in WT/TRPA1-KO A549 cells.

AHs	A549 Cells (µM)	A549 Cells + HC-030031 50 μM (μM)	TRPA1-KO A549 Cells (µM)
Propanil	831	2290	2558
Propisochlor	564	1690	1828
Metolachlor	430	620	562
Alachlor	565	731	659
Acetochlor	524	647	696
Pretilachlor	2333	4456	3672
Butachlor	619	1757	767

3.3.3. Calcium Influx in AHs-Treated A549 Cells Is Decreased with HC-030031 Intervention or TRPA1-KO

Activation of TRPA1 by chemical compounds induces cationic influx through open channel pores that are highly permeable to calcium ions. Cytotoxicity mediated by TRPA1 appears to depend on an increase in intracellular calcium levels and is related to apoptosis-like, calcium-dependent mechanisms [13]. Thus, intracellular calcium influx was measured by cellular calcium imaging in AHs-treated A549 cells. Compared with that in 0  $\mu$ M AHs-treated cells, calcium influx was significantly increased in A549 cells treated with propanil (150  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.01; 300  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.001; 600  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.001; 1200  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.0001) (Figure 3A), propisochlor (150  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.01; 300  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.001; 300  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.001; 600  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.0001; 600  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.0001; 600  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.0001) (Figure 3B), metolachlor (150  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.0001) (Figure 3D), acetochlor (all *p* < 0.0001) (Figure 3E), pretilachlor (all *p* < 0.0001) (Figure 3F), and butachlor (150  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.0001; 300  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.0001) (Figure 3G).

Furthermore, compared with AHs treatment alone (150, 300, 600, 1200 μM), pretreatment with HC-030031 (50 µM) significantly decreased calcium influx in A549 cells treated with propanil (300  $\mu$ M, p < 0.05; 600  $\mu$ M, p < 0.01) (Figure 3A), propisochlor (150  $\mu$ M, p < 0.01; 300  $\mu$ M, p < 0.0001; 600  $\mu$ M, p < 0.0001; 1200  $\mu$ M, p < 0.01) (Figure 3B), metolachlor (150 μM, *p* < 0.01; 300 μM, *p* < 0.05; 600 μM, *p* < 0.001; 1200 μM, *p* < 0.0001) (Figure 3C), alachlor (150  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.01; 300  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.0001; 600  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.0001) (Figure 3D), acetochlor (all p < 0.001) (Figure 3E), pretilachlor (150  $\mu$ M, p < 0.05; 300  $\mu$ M, p < 0.001; 600  $\mu$ M, p < 0.0001) (Figure 3F), and butachlor (300  $\mu$ M, p < 0.0001; 600  $\mu$ M, p < 0.0001; 1200  $\mu$ M, p < 0.05) (Figure 3G). Similarly, KO of *TRPA1* significantly decreased calcium influx in A549 cells treated with propanil (150  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.001; 600  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.05; 1200  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.001) (Figure 3A), propisochlor (150  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.0001; 300  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.001; 600  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.0001; 1200 μM, *p* < 0.001) (Figure 3B), metolachlor (150 μM, *p* < 0.0001; 300 μM, *p* < 0.001; 600 μM, p < 0.0001; 1200  $\mu$ M, p < 0.01) (Figure 3C), alachlor (150  $\mu$ M, p < 0.001; 300  $\mu$ M, p < 0.0001; 600 μM, p < 0.01; 1200 μM, p < 0.0001) (Figure 3D), acetochlor (all p < 0.0001) (Figure 3E), pretilachlor (150 μM, *p* < 0.0001; 300 μM, *p* < 0.0001; 600 μM, *p* < 0.001; 1200 μM, *p* < 0.0001) (Figure 3F), and butachlor (150  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.0001; 300  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.0001; 600  $\mu$ M, *p* < 0.0001; 1200  $\mu$ M, p < 0.01) (Figure 3G). To summarize, TRPA1 was an important target mediating calcium influx in A549 cells treated with AHs, while TRPA1 blocking through chemical intervention or *TRPA1*-KO could inhibit calcium influx in A549 cells.



 $^{**}P < 0.01, \,^{***}P < 0.001, \,^{***}P < 0.0001$  vs Propanil 0 µM for WT A549 cell;  $^{\#}P < 0.05, \,^{\#\#}P < 0.01, \,^{\#\#\#}P < 0.001, \,^{\#\#\#}P < 0.0001$  vs WT A549 cell group; n = 6



\*\*\*P < 0.001, \*\*\*\*P < 0.0001 vs Alachlor 0 µM for WT A549 cell; ##P < 0.01, ###P < 0.001, ###P < 0.001, ###P < 0.001 vs WT A549 cell group; n = 6





\*\**P* < 0.01, \*\*\*\**P* < 0.0001 vs Propisochlor 0 μM for WT A549 cell; \**P* < 0.05, \*\**P* < 0.01, \*\*\**P* < 0.001, \*\*\*\**P* < 0.0001 vs WT A549 cell group; n = 6



cell;  $^{####}P < 0.0001$  vs Acetochlor 0 µM for WT A549 cell;  $^{####}P < 0.0001$  vs WT A549 cell group; n = 6



<sup>\*\*\*</sup>P < 0.001, \*\*\*\*P < 0.0001 vs Metolachior 0 µM for WT A549 cell; \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001, \*\*\*\*P < 0.001, \*\*\*\*P < 0.0001 vs WT A549 cell group; n = 6



\*\*\*\**P* < 0.0001 vs Pretilachlor 0 µM for WT A549 cell; \**P* < 0.05, \*\*\**P* < 0.001, \*\*\*\**P* < 0.0001 vs WT A549 cell group; n = 6

**Figure 3.** HC-030031 intervention or *TRPA1*-KO decreases calcium influx in A549 cells treated with AHs. (**A**) Propanil, (**B**) Propisochlor, (**C**) Metolachlor, (**D**) Alachlor, (**E**) Acetochlor, (**F**) Pretilachlor, (**G**) Butachlor.

## 3.4. Molecular Mechanism of TRPA1-AHs Interaction

3.4.1. MS/MS Results

The amino acid sequence of hTRPA1 ARD protein was confirmed by MS/MS. SDS-PAGE analysis indicated that the molecular weight of hTRPA1 ARD was 37.6 kDa (Figure S5A). The secondary structure determined by collision-induced dissociation (CID) and mass-to-electricity ratios (m/z) determined by MS/MS after enzymology (Figure S5C–P and Table S1) indicated that the molecular weight and amino acid sequence of the purchased hTRPA1 ARD was consistent with the corresponding sequence on the NCBI website (Figure S5B).

#### 3.4.2. Affinity of hTRPA1 for AHs

LSPR analysis indicated that  $k_a$ ,  $k_d$ , and  $K_D$  values of hTRPA1-propanil were 2390 M<sup>-1</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup>, 0.0388 s<sup>-1</sup>, and 16.2  $\mu$ M, respectively (Figure 4A), whereas those for hTRPA1-metolachlor were 112 M<sup>-1</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup>, 0.0408 s<sup>-1</sup>, and 364  $\mu$ M, respectively (Figure 4C); and those for hTRPA1-pretilachlor were 14.3 M<sup>-1</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup>, 0.00443 s<sup>-1</sup>, and 309  $\mu$ M, respectively (Figure 4F). Obvious binding was not detected between hTRPA1 and propisochlor, alachlor, acetochlor, or butachlor at the above-mentioned concentrations (Figure 4B,D,E,G). The order of  $k_a$  values for AHs-hTRPA1 was propanil > metolachlor > pretilachlor. The order of  $k_d$  values for AHs-hTRPA1 was pretilachlor > metolachlor. In summary, propanil, pretilachlor, and metolachlor showed stronger binding to hTRPA1, whereas no obvious affinity was observed between hTRPA1 and propisochlor, or butachlor.



**Figure 4.** Affinity curves and constants of hTRPA1 and AHs. (**A**) Propanil, (**B**) Propisochlor, (**C**) Metolachlor, (**D**) Alachlor, (**E**) Acetochlor, (**F**) Pretilachlor, (**G**) Butachlor. K<sub>D</sub>: affinity constant.

#### 3.4.3. Common Mechanism of hTRPA1-AHs Interactions

Common interaction mechanisms between hTRPA1 and AHs were clarified in detail using computational docking simulations. The AHs contained hydrophobic (benzene ring, hydrocarbon chain) and active (-Cl, -C(=O)- or -O-, -N- or -NH-) groups (Figure S6A,B,D,E,G,H,J,K,M,N,P,Q,S,T). The main structural differences among AHs included side chain groups, hydrocarbon chains, and halogenic content. The following residues were involved in interactions between hTRPA1 and AHs: Asn722, Lys787, Gln791, Ser804, Met978, and Leu982 for hTRPA1-propanil; Lys787, Gln791, Ile803, Met844, Arg852, Lys868, Leu982, and Lys989 for hTRPA1-propisochlor; Lys787, Gln791, Tyr840, Met844, Arg852, Ile860, Glu864, Lys868, Lys974, Leu982, and Lys989 for hTRPA1-metolachlor; Asn722, Ser725, Lys787, Gln791, Glu864, Lys868, and Leu982 for hTRPA1-alachlor; Asn722, Lys787, Gln791, Met844, Arg852, Lys868, and Leu982 for hTRPA1-acetochlor; Asn722, Lys787, Ile803, Ser804, Met844, Glu864, Lys868, and Leu982 for hTRPA1-pretilachlor; and Asn722, Lys787, Gln791, Asp802, Ile803, Ser804, Glu808, Glu864, Lys868, and Leu982 for hTRPA1-butachlor. The key toxicity-effector groups of each AHs were identified as follows: propanil possessed a benzene ring, -C(=O)-, -NH-, acceptor (Acc), aromatic (Aro), and donor (Don) groups (Figure S6C); propisochlor possessed a benzene ring, -C(=O)-, -Cl, Acc, Aro, and Don groups (Figure S6F); metolachlor possessed a benzene ring, –C(=O)–, -Cl, -C-, Acc, Aro, hydrophobic (Hyd), and AtomQ groups (Figure S6I); alachlor possessed a benzene ring, -C(=O)-, -Cl, -C-, -O-, Acc, Aro, Hyd, and AtomQ groups (Figure S6L); acetochlor possessed a benzene ring, -Cl, -C-, -O-, Acc, Aro, Hyd, and AtomQ groups (Figure S6O); pretilachlor possessed a benzene ring, –C(=O)–, –Cl, –C–, Acc, Aro, Hyd, and AtomQ groups (Figure S6R); and butachlor possessed a benzene ring, -C(=O)-, -Cl, -C-, Acc, Aro, Hyd, and AtomQ groups (Figure S6U).

The high-frequency residues ( $\geq$ 4) that participated in hTRPA1-AHs interactions were Asn722, Lys787, Gln791, Met844, Glu864, Lys868, and Leu982 (Figure S7A). Furthermore, the cryo-EM structure of hTRPA1 identified a key interaction domain located in the transmembrane region and part of the extracellular region (Figure S7B). Therefore, the high-frequency residues involved in hTRPA1-propanil included Asn722, Lys787, Gln791, and Leu982 (Figure 5A, Figures S7C and S8D and Table S2); those of hTRPA1-propisochlor included Lys787, Gln791, Met844, Lys868, and Leu982 (Figure 5B, Figures S7D and S8E and Table S2); those of hTRPA1-metolachlor included Lys787, Gln791, Met844, Glu864, Lys868, and Leu982 (Figure 5C, Figures S7E and S8F and Table S2); those of hTRPA1-alachlor included Asn722, Lys787, Gln791, Glu864, Lys868, and Leu982 (Figures 5D, S7F and S8G and Table S2); those of hTRPA1-acetochlor included Asn722, Lys787, Gln791, Met844, Lys868, and Leu982 (Figure 5E, Figures S7G and S8H, and Table S2); those of hTRPA1-pretilachlor included Asn722, Lys787, Met844, Glu864, Lys868, and Leu982 (Figure 5F, Figures S7H and S8I and Table S2); those of hTRPA1-pretilachlor included Asn722, Lys787, Gln791, Met844, Glu864, Lys868, and Leu982 (Figure 5F, Figures S7G and S8H, and Table S2); those of hTRPA1-pretilachlor included Asn722, Lys787, Gln791, Met844, Glu864, Lys868, and Leu982 (Figure 5F, Figures S7H and S8I and Table S2); and those of hTRPA1-butachlor included Asn722, Lys787, Gln791, Glu864, Lys868, and Leu982 (Figure 5F, Figures S7H and S8I and Table S2); and those of hTRPA1-butachlor included Asn722, Lys787, Gln791, Glu864, Lys868, and Leu982 (Figure 5F, Figures S7H and S8I and Table S2); and those of hTRPA1-butachlor included Asn722, Lys787, Gln791, Glu864, Lys868, and Leu982 (Figure 5F, Figures S7H and S8I and Table S2); and those of hTRPA1-butachlor included Asn722, Lys787, Gln791, Glu864, Lys868, and Leu982 (Figure S7I and S8J and Table S2).

The main types of interaction between hTRPA1-AHs included hydrophobic interactions and hydrogen bonding. The main types of interaction involved in hTRPA1-propanil included hydrophobic interaction of  $\pi$ -H bonding with Leu982 and hydrogen bonding with Asn722 (33.6%), Lys787 (32.7%), and Gln791 (22.9%); those in hTRPA1-propisochlor included  $\pi$ -H bonding with Leu982 and hydrogen bonding with Lys787 (63.7%), Gln791 (23.5%), Met844 (33.9%), and Lys868 (23.8%); those in hTRPA1-metolachlor included  $\pi$ -H bonding with Leu982 and hydrogen bonding with Lys787 (65.3%), Met844 (33.2%), Glu864 (12.8%), and Lys868 (33.2%); those in hTRPA1-alachlor included  $\pi$ -H bonding with Asn722 and Leu982, as well as hydrogen bonding with Lys787 (22.8%), Gln791 (34.1%), Glu864 (24.8%), and Lys868 (22.0%); those in hTRPA1-acetochlor included  $\pi$ -H bonding with Leu982 and hydrogen bonding with Asn722 (23.8%), Lys787 (15.6%), Gln791 (16.9%), Met844 (53.2%), and Lys868 (22.0%); those in hTRPA1-pretilachlor included  $\pi$ -H bonding with Asn722 and Leu982, as well as hydrogen bonding with Lys787 (44.8%), Met844 (63.1%), Glu864 (26.8%), and Lys868 (54.8%); those in hTRPA1-butachlor included  $\pi$ -H bonding with Asn722 and Leu982, as well as hydrogen bonding with Lys787 (66.1%), Met844 (63.1%), Glu864 (26.8%), and Lys868 (54.8%); those in hTRPA1-butachlor included  $\pi$ -H bonding with Asn722 and Leu982, as well as hydrogen bonding with Lys787 (44.8%), Met844 (63.1%), Glu864 (26.8%), and Lys868 (54.8%); those in hTRPA1-butachlor included  $\pi$ -H bonding with Asn722 and Leu982, as well as hydrogen bonding with Lys787 (66.1%), Met844 (63.1%), Glu864 (26.8%), and Lys868 (54.8%); those in hTRPA1-butachlor included  $\pi$ -H bonding with Asn722 and Leu982, as well as hydrogen bonding with Lys787 (66.1%), Met844 (63.1%), Glu864 (26.8%), and Lys868 (54.8%); those in hTRPA1-butachlor included  $\pi$ -H bonding with Asn722 and Leu982, as well as hydrogen bonding with Lys787 (66.1%),

Gln791 (23.9%), Glu864 (33.4%), and Lys868 (22.6%). For hTRPA1 interactions with propanil, propisochlor, metolachlor, alachlor, acetochlor, pretilachlor, and butachlor, the sum of the hydrogen bond scores was 89.2, 144.9, 211.4, 109.5, 131.5, 189.5, and 146.0, respectively (Figure S8A and Table S2); the interaction area was 247.60, 312.08, 277.85, 315.35, 324.98, 355.30, and  $338.15 \text{ Å}^2$ , respectively (Figure S8B and Table S2); and the binding energy (BE) was -2.94, -2.71, -2.67, -2.64, -2.66, -2.56, and -2.55 kcal/mol, respectively (Table S2). The interaction between AHs and Asn722 of hTRPA1 had two hydrogen bonds, that with Lys787 had seven, that with Gln791 had six, that with Met844 had four, that with Glu864 had four, and that with Lys868 had six. The interaction between AHs and Asn722 of hTRPA1 had three hydrophobic interactions, while that with Leu982 had seven. The order of hydrogen bonding between AHs and hTRPA1 from strongest to weakest was metolachlor > pretilachlor > butachlor > propisochlor > acetochlor > alachlor > propanil (Figure S8A). The order of hTRPA1-AHs interaction area size was pretilachlor > butachlor > acetochlor > alachlor > propisochlor > metolachlor > propanil (Figure S8B). The order of BE was propanil > propisochlor > metolachlor > acetochlor > alachlor > pretilachlor > butachlor (Table S2). These results demonstrated that the molecular weight (MW) of AHs was inversely proportional to the absolute value of the binding energy (|BE|) (Figure S8C). Therefore, a smaller MW AHs and larger | BE | represented a stronger hTRPA1-AHs interaction.



Figure 5. Interactions between hTRPA1 and AHs determined by molecular docking simulations. (A) Propanil, (B) Propisochlor, (C) Metolachlor, (D) Alachlor, (E) Acetochlor, (F) Pretilachlor, (G) Butachlor.

#### 4. Discussion

Although lung cancer caused by AHs has been reported, there is paucity of reports on the toxic mechanisms of AHs poisoning and specific treatments [22]. Therefore, clarifying the mechanisms of AHs poisoning and exploring specific therapeutic drugs are urgently needed. This is the first study to suggest that the TRPA1 channel may provide a target for AHs poisoning and provides a new MTMC strategy for developing countermeasure drugs to treat inflammatory pathologies induced by AHs based on common mechanisms. Several toxic effects have been associated with pretilachlor, including endocrine disorder, cell apoptosis, and immunotoxicity in zebrafish embryos [37]. Research undertaken in an agricultural setting demonstrated that retinal degeneration increases with metolachlor and alachlor exposure and that risk of lung or pancreatic cancer is increased following exposure to acetochlor [24,38]. In addition, cytotoxicity, apoptosis, and expression of caspase-3 and caspase-9 in A549 cells are reportedly increased with acetochlor treatment [22]. However, the toxic target of AHs that induces inflammatory reactions remains undefined [22,24]. The present research evaluated the cytotoxicity of seven AHs on lung type II epithelial A549 cells in vitro for first time. The order of cytotoxicity induced by AHs in A549 cells from strongest to weakest was metolachlor > acetochlor > propisochlor > alachlor > butachlor > propanil > pretilachlor.

The concept of TRPs as chemosensors involved in detection and effectors of toxin action is intensively discussed in the cytotoxicity community at present. Previous studies have demonstrated that TRPA1 is a mediator of lung inflammation [8,39,40]. For example, TRPA1 was directly activated by acrolein or butenal inhalation in guinea pigs, whereas treatment with HC-030031 significantly relieved cough or reduced levels of sulfhydryl molecules such as acrolein, AITC, and cinnamaldehyde, as well as intracellular calcium concentrations and inflammatory reactions in mice [41,42]. Given these results, the current study explored whether TRPA1 is a common target for AHs poisoning in A549 cells. Seven AHs increased mRNA expression of TRPA1, whereas HC-030031 intervention or *TRPA1*-KO decreased TRPA1 mRNA expression and cytotoxicity. The results suggest that the TRPA1 channel could be a key common target for AHs poisoning. There are complicated cytotoxicity effects resulting from exposure to AHs, and we will explore the relevant oxidative stress injury and inflammatory reaction of A549 cells by AHs-treated via the TRPA1 channel or other receptors in the future.

Studies have shown that TRPA1-mediated calcium influx is the main mechanism of cytotoxicity caused by various chemicals, causing an intracellular cascade reaction leading to apoptosis by calcium influx [8,43]. The TRPA1 channel mediates lipopolysaccharideor cigarette-smoke-induced calcium influx, cellular damage, and inflammatory reactions in vivo (such as in mice) and in vitro (such as in A549 cells), with increased expression of genes encoding caspase-1, IL-1 $\beta$ , IL-18, and other inflammatory factors. Meanwhile, inhibition or KO of TRPA1 can result in decreased calcium influx, inflammatory response, and cellular damage [44–46]. Collectively, these studies demonstrate that TRPA1-mediated calcium influx triggers cellular cascade events, including inflammation or apoptosis, in the lung epithelium. Meanwhile, in the current study, calcium influx was significantly increased in AHs-treated A549 cells in a dose-dependent manner, which was reduced by HC-030031 intervention or *TRPA1*-KO. Some studies have suggested that a calcium overload-mediated caspase-dependent apoptosis pathway via TRPA1 mediates apoptosis [8,22,24,39]. Thus, the TRPA1 channel may be a common target mediating calcium influx induced by AHs, while blocking or KO of this channel may have a protective effect (Figure 6).

Considering TRPA1 as a potential common target for AHs poisoning, exploring interactions between TRPA1 and different AHs was vital. X1-NH-X2 or Cl-C(H<sub>2</sub>)-X3 structures were present in hTRPA1-AHs displaying the highest binding affinity. LSPR analysis revealed the strongest affinity between propanil and TRPA1, whereas molecular docking simulations suggested this interaction could be due to exposure of the X1-NH-X2 nitrogen group. The strong affinity of TRPA1 for pretilachlor and metolachlor was likely related to the Cl-C(H<sub>2</sub>)-X3 structure. Common interaction mechanisms of hTRPA1-AHs involved hydrophobic (benzene ring, hydrocarbon chain) and active (–Cl, –C(=O)– or –O–, –N– or –NH–) groups, in which the benzene ring was key for interaction with TRPA1 [17,18]. The high-frequency residues involved in hTRPA1-AHs included Asn722, Lys787, Gln791, Met844, Glu864, Lys868, and Leu982, among which Lys787 and Leu982 were key residues. Common toxicity-effector groups of AHs were Acc, Aro, and Hyd. Common structures of AHs included the benzene ring (hydrophobic group), –C(=O)–, and –Cl (active group) groups, and hydrogen bonding and hydrophobic interactions were commonly involved in hTRPA1-AHs.



Figure 6. Cytotoxicity mechanism in A549 cells via TRPA1 channel by AHs poisoning.

#### 5. Conclusions

In conclusion, this study investigated common mechanisms underlying the cytotoxic effects induced by AHs in A549 cells, thus identifying potential therapeutic targets for the design of broad-spectrum antitoxins. This is the first study to systematically evaluate cytotoxicity and TRPA1 activation in A549 cells induced by AHs (propanil, propisochlor, metolachlor, alachlor, acetochlor, pretilachlor, and butachlor), revealing that the TRPA1 channel is a potential common target for AHs poisoning, inducing a series of intracellular cascades mediated by calcium influx that result in cytotoxic damage. Furthermore, the common molecular mechanism of AHs activating the TRPA1 channel was clarified, revealing common structures, active groups, key residues, hydrogen bonding, interaction areas, |BE|, and toxicity-effector groups. These findings will help contribute to the development of specific drug antagonists to weaken the cytotoxic effects of AHs. Meanwhile, our findings provide a scientific basis for a new MTMC strategy. Thus, the TRPA1 channel may provide a potential new target for the development of broad-spectrum antitoxins (especially against toxins with a benzene ring), which is increasingly important for ecological security and environmental safety.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ijerph19137985/s1. Figure S1. Introduction of gene positioning and cleavage efficiency of gRNAs, genotyping for single cell clone, agarose gel electrophoresis of PCR products, sequence alignment, agarose gel electrophoresis; Figures S2–S4. Cell viability, cytotoxicity and expression of TRPA1 mRNA in A549 cells treated with AHs; Figure S5 and Table S1. Introduction of molecular weight, residue sequences, secondary structure for main CID chips and m/z for TRPA1 ARD; Figures S6–S8 and Table S2. Two- and three-dimensional structures and Van der Waals' map of AHs, cryo-EM structure, and key interaction pockets of hTRPA1, two-dimensional interaction information with hydrogen bonding, interaction areas, correlation evaluation, key toxicity-effector groups and high-frequency groups ( $\geq$ 4) of TRPA1-AHs.

**Author Contributions:** X.W. contributed to the conceptualization of data curation, software, visualization and writing—original draft; Y.S. contributed to the conceptualization of methodology; Q.W. contributed to the conceptualization of software; F.L. contributed to the conceptualization of investigation; W.Y. contributed to the conceptualization of formal analysis; X.S. contributed to the conceptualization of supervision; S.W. and Z.X. contributed to the conceptualization of project administration; Y.L., Y.W., T.Z. contributed to the conceptualization of writing—review & editing and funding acquisition. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work was supported by grants from the Special Fund for Military Medical Science (AWS17J009), by the National Science and Technology Research Project (19-163-12-ZD-019-002-01) and by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21677030).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: All authors declare no conflicts of interest.

#### References

- Coleman, N.V.; Rich, D.J.; Tang, F.H.; Vervoort, R.W.; Maggi, F. Biodegradation and Abiotic Degradation of Trifluralin: A Commonly Used Herbicide with a Poorly Understood Environmental Fate. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2020, 54, 10399–10410. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Karl, G. Cleaning up pollutants to protect future health. *Nature* **2018**, 555, S20–S22.
- Soto, A.M.; Sonnenschein, C. Environmental causes of cancer: Endocrine disruptors as carcinogens. *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.* 2010, 6, 363–370. [CrossRef]
- Cowan, F.M.; Broomfield, C.A.; Stojiljkovic, M.P.; Smith, W.J. A Review of Multi-Threat Medical Countermeasures against Chemical Warfare and Terrorism. *Mil. Med.* 2004, 169, 850–855. [CrossRef]
- Throckmorton, D.C.; Volkow, N.; Platoff, G.; Amaya, K.; Laney, J. Multi-agency development of medical countermeasures against opio-induced respiratory depression. *Clin. Pharmacol. Ther.* 2020, 109, 576–577. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cowan, F.M.; Smith, W.J.; Moran, T.S.; Paris, M.M.; Williams, A.B.; Sciuto, A.M. Sulfur mustard- and phosgene- increased IL-8 in human small airway cell cultures: Implications for medical countermeasures against inhalation toxicity. *Cell Biol. Toxicol.* 2005, 18, 175–180. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hamilton, N.B.; Kolodziejczyk, K.; Kougioumtzidou, E.; Ttwell, D.A. Proton-gated Ca<sup>2+</sup>-permeable TRP channels damage myelin in conditions mimicking ischaemia. *Nature* 2016, 529, 523–527. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Jordt, S.E.; Bautista, D.M.; Chuang, H.H.; McKemy, D.D.; Zygmunt, P.M.; Högestätt, E.D.; Meng, I.D.; Julius, D. Mustard oils and cannabinoids excite sensory nerve fibres through the TRP channel ANKTM1. *Nature* 2004, 427, 260–265. [CrossRef]
- 9. Macpherson, L.J.; Dubin, A.E.; Evans, M.J.; Marr, F.; Schultz, P.G.; Cravatt, B.F.; Patapoutian, A. Noxious compounds activate TRPA1 ion channels through covalent modification of cysteines. *Nature* 2007, 445, 541–545. [CrossRef]
- 10. Deng, L.J.; Ma, P.; Wu, Y.; Ma, Y.S.; Yang, X.; Li, Y.G.; Deng, Q.H. High and low temperatures aggravate airway inflammation of asthma: Evidence in a mouse model. *Environ. Pollut.* **2019**, *256*, 113433. [CrossRef]
- Duan, J.F.; Xie, J.; Deng, T.; Xie, X.; Liu, H.; Li, B.; Chen, M. Exposure to both formaldehyde and high relative humidity exacerbates allergic asthma by activating the TRPV4-p38 MAPK pathway in Balb/c mice. *Environ. Pollut.* 2019, 256, 113375. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kiss, F.; Kormos, V.; Szőke, É.; Kecskés, A.; Tóth, N.; Steib, A.; Szállási, Á.; Scheich, B.; Gaszner, B.; Kun, J.; et al. Functional Transient Receptor Potential Ankyrin 1 and Vanilloid 1 Ion Channels Are Overexpressed in Human Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2022, 23, 1921. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Stueber, T.; Eberhardt, M.J.; Caspi, Y.; Lev, S.; Binshtok, A.; Leffler, A. Differential cytotoxicity and intracellular calcium-signalling following activation of the calcium-permeable ion channels TRPV1 and TRPA1. *Cell Calcium* **2017**, *68*, 34–44. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Landini, L.; de Araujo, D.S.M.; Titiz, M.; Geppetti, P.; Nassini, R.; de Logu, F. TRPA1 Role in Inflammatory Disorders: What Is Known So Far? *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2022, 23, 4529. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Muñoz, X.; Barreiro, E.; Bustamante, V.; Lopez-Campos, J.L.; González-Barcala, F.J.; Cruz, M.J. Diesel exhausts particles: Their role in increasing the incidence of asthma. Reviewing the evidence of a causal link. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2018, 652, 1129–1138. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Naert, R.; López-Requena, A.; Talavera, K. TRPA1 Expression and Pathophysiology in Immune Cells. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2021, 22, 11460. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 17. Paulsen, C.E.; Armache, J.P.; Gao, Y.; Cheng, Y.; Julius, D. Structure of the TRPA1 ion channel suggests regulatory mechanisms. *Nature* 2015, 520, 511–517. [CrossRef]
- Syed, R.S.; Reid, S.W.; Li, C.; Cheetham, J.C.; Stroud, R.M. Efficiency of signaling through cytokine receptors depends critically on receptor orientation. *Nature* 1998, 395, 511–516. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- De Malfatti, A.L.R.; Mallmann, G.C.; Filho, L.C.L.O.; Carniel, L.S.C.; Cruz, S.P.; Klauberg-Filho, O. Ecotoxicological test to assess effects of herbicides on spore germination of Rhizophagus clarus and Gigaspora albida. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 2021, 207, 111599. [CrossRef]
- Xie, J.Q.; Zhao, L.; Liu, K.; Guo, F.; Liu, W. Enantioselective effects of chiral amide herbicides napropamide, acetochlor and propisochlor: The more efficient R-enantiomer and its environmental friendly. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2018, 626, 860–866. [CrossRef]
- Yang, C.X.; Wang, X.N.; Ji, Y.J.; Ma, T.; Zhang, F.; Wang, Y.Q.; Ci, M.W.; Chen, D.T.; Jiang, A.X.; Wang, W.L. Photocatalytic degradation of methylene blue with ZnO@C nanocomposites: Kinetics, mechanism, and the inhibition effect on monoamine oxidase A and B. *NanoImpact* 2019, 15, 100174. [CrossRef]
- Zerin, T.; Song, H.-Y.; Kim, Y.-S. Extracellular signal-regulated kinase pathway play distinct role in acetochlor-mediated toxicity and intrinsic apoptosis in A549 cells. *Toxicol. Vitr.* 2015, 29, 85–92. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhou, Z.; Ni, X.Z.; Wu, Z.J.; Tang, J. Physiological and transcriptomic analyses reveal the threat of herbicides glufosinate and glyphosate to the scleractinian coral Pocillopora damicornis. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 2021, 229, 113074. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lerro, C.C.; Koutros, S.; Andreotti, G.; Hines, C.J.; Blair, A.; Lubin, J.; Ma, X.; Zhang, Y.; Freeman, L.E.B. Use of acetochlor and cancer incidence in the Agricultural Health Study. *Int. J. Cancer* 2015, 137, 1167–1175. [CrossRef]
- Wang, X.N.; Yang, C.X.; Sun, Y.Y.; Sui, X.; Zhu, T.; Wang, Q.; Wang, S.; Yang, J.; Yang, W.J.; Liu, F.Y.; et al. novel screening strategy of anti-SARS-CoV-2 drugs via blocking interaction between Spike RBD and ACE2. *Environ. Int.* 2020, 147, 106361. [CrossRef]
- 26. Wijewardene, L.; Wu, N.; Hörmann, G.; Messyasz, B.; Riis, T.; Hölzel, C.; Ulrich, U.; Fohrer, N. Effects of the herbicides metazachlor and flufenacet on phytoplankton communities—A microcosm assay. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 2021, 228, 113036. [CrossRef]
- Xiao, H.; Wang, Y.; Jia, X.J.; Yang, L.; Wang, X.; Guo, X.N.; Zhang, Z.B. Tris(4-hydroxyphenyl)ethane (THPE), a trisphenol compound, is antiestrogenic and can retard uterine development in CD-1 mice. *Environ. Pollut.* 2020, 260, 113962. [CrossRef]
- Xie, J.; Zhao, L.; Liu, K.; Liu, W. Enantiomeric environmental behavior, oxidative stress and toxin release of harmful cyanobacteria Microcystis aeruginosa in response to napropamide and acetochlor. *Environ. Pollut.* 2018, 246, 728–733. [CrossRef]
- Ye, M.; Beach, J.; Martin, J.W.; Senthilselvan, A. Occupational Pesticide Exposures and Respiratory Health. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2013, 10, 6442–6471. [CrossRef]
- Bobba, C.M.; Fei, Q.; Shukla, V.; Lee, H.; Patel, P.; Putman, R.K.; Spitzer, C.; Tsai, M.; Wewers, M.D.; Lee, R.J.; et al. Nanoparticle delivery of microRNA-146a regulates mechanotransduction in lung macrophages and mitigates injury during mechanical ventilation. *Nat. Commun.* 2021, *12*, 289. [CrossRef]
- 31. Guo, Y.; Ying, S.; Zhao, X.; Liu, J.; Wang, Y. Increased expression of lung TRPV1/TRPA1 in a cough model of bleomycin-induced pulmonary fibrosis in Guinea pigs. *BMC Pulm. Med.* **2019**, *19*, 27–37. [CrossRef]
- 32. Forli, S.; Huey, R.; Pique, M.E.; Sanner, M.F.; Goodsell, D.S.; Olson, A.J. Computational protein–ligand docking and virtual drug screening with the AutoDock suite. *Nat. Protoc.* **2016**, *11*, 905–919. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Weintraub, K. The quest to extend the reach of checkpoint inhibitors in lung cancer. *Nature* **2020**, *552*, S62–S63. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wu, Y.; Wang, M.; Luo, S.J.; Gu, Y.F.; Nie, D.Y.; Xu, Z.Y.; Wu, Y.; Chen, M.D.; Ge, X.L. Comparative Toxic Effects of Manufactured Nanoparticles and Atmospheric Particulate Matter in Human Lung Epithelial Cells. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2020, 18, 22. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Roel-Touris, J.L.; Jiménez-García, B.; Bonvin, A.M.J.J. Integrative modeling of membrane-associated protein assemblies. *Nat. Commun.* **2020**, *11*, 6210. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Suo, Y.; Wang, Z.L.; Zubcevic, L.; Hsu, A.L.; He, Q.; Borgnia, M.J.; Ji, R.-R.; Lee, S.-Y. Structural Insights into Electrophile Irritant Sensing by the Human TRPA1 Channel. *Neuron* 2019, 105, 882–894. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jiang, J.H.; Chen, Y.H.; Yu, R.X.; Zhao, X.P.; Wang, Q.; Cai, L.M. Pretilachlor has the potential to induce endocrine disruption, oxidative stress, apoptosis and immunotoxicity during zebrafish embryo development. *Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 2016, 42, 125–134. [CrossRef]
- Kirrane, E.F.; Hoppin, J.A.; Kamel, F.; Umbach, D.M.; Boyes, W.K.; DeRoos, A.J.; Alavanja, M.; Sandler, D.P. Retinal Degeneration and Other Eye Disorders in Wives of Farmer Pesticide Applicators Enrolled in the Agricultural Health Study. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 2005, 161, 1020–1029. [CrossRef]
- 39. Dietrich, A.; Steinritz, D.; Gudermann, T. Transient receptor potential (TRP) channels as molecular targets in lung toxicology and associated diseases. *Cell Calcium* 2017, *67*, 123–137. [CrossRef]
- Dietrich, A. Modulators of Transient Receptor Potential (TRP) Channels as Therapeutic Options in Lung Disease. *Pharmaceuticals* 2019, 12, 23. [CrossRef]
- Andersson, D.A.; Gentry, C.; Moss, S.; Bevan, S.J. Transient Receptor Potential A1 Is a Sensory Receptor for Multiple Products of Oxidative Stress. J. Neurosci. 2008, 28, 2485–2494. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Andrè, E.; Gatti, R.; Trevisani, M.; Preti, D.; Baraldi, P.; Patacchini, R.; Geppetti, P. Transient receptor potential ankyrin receptor 1 is a novel target for pro-tussive agents. *J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab.* **2009**, *158*, 1621–1628. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Feske, S.; Rao, A.; Hogan, P.G. The Ca<sup>2+</sup>-calcineurin–NFAT signalling pathway. New Compr. Biochem. 2007, 41, 365–401.

- 44. Giorgi, C.; Romagnoli, A.; Pinton, P.; Rizzuto, R. Ca<sup>2+</sup> signaling, mitochondria and cell death. *Curr. Mol. Med.* **2008**, *8*, 119–130. [PubMed]
- 45. Ko, H.K.; Lin, A.H.; Perng, D.W.; Lee, T.S.; Kou, Y.R. Lung epithelial TRPA1 mediates lipopolysaccharide-induced lung inflammation in bronchial epithelial cells and mice. *Front. Physiol.* **2020**, *11*, 596314. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Wang, M.Y.; Zhang, Y.B.; Xu, M.M.; Zhang, H.; Chen, Y.Q.; Chung, K.F.; Adcock, I.M.; Li, F. Roles of TRPA1 and TRPV1 in cigarette smoke -induced airway epithelial cell injury model. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* **2019**, *134*, 229–238. [CrossRef]