

Citation: Long L, You L, Wang D, Wang M, Wang J, Bai G, et al. (2022) Highly prevalent MDR, frequently carrying virulence genes and antimicrobial resistance genes in Salmonella enterica serovar 4,[5],12:i:- isolates from Guizhou Province, China. PLoS ONE 17(5): e0266443. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0266443

Editor: Yung-Fu Chang, Cornell University, UNITED STATES

Received: February 17, 2022

Accepted: April 5, 2022

Published: May 19, 2022

Copyright: © 2022 Long et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting information files.

Funding: This research was supported by Science and Technology Planning Support Project of Guizhou Province (Award Number: Qian Ke He Support [2020]4Y143) Recipient: Xiaoyu Wei, Science and Technology Foundation Planning Project of Guizhou Province (Award Number: Qian Ke He Basis [2017]1094) Recipient: Xiaoyu Wei, **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Highly prevalent MDR, frequently carrying virulence genes and antimicrobial resistance genes in Salmonella enterica serovar 4,[5],12:i:- isolates from Guizhou Province, China

Li Long¹°, Lv You¹°, Dan Wang², Ming Wang¹, Junhua Wang³, Guihuan Bai³, Jianhua Li⁴, Xiaoyu Wei_©¹*, Shijun Li¹*

1 Laboratory of Bacterial Disease, Experimental Center, Guizhou Provincial Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Guiyang, People's Republic of China, 2 Institute of Communicable Disease Control and Prevention, Guizhou Provincial Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Guiyang, People's Republic of China, 3 School of Public Health, the Key Laboratory of Environmental Pollution Monitoring and Disease Control, Ministry of Education, Guizhou Medical University, Guiyang, China, 4 Tongren City Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Tongren, People's Republic of China

These authors contributed equally to this work.
 * weixyuse@foxmail.com (XW); zjumedjun@163.com (SL)

Abstract

Salmonella enterica serovar 4,[5],12:i:-, a monophasic variant of Salmonella Typhimurium lacking the phase 2 flagellin, is one of the common serotypes causing Salmonellosis worldwide. However, information on Salmonella serovar 4,[5],12:i:- from Guizhou Province has lacked so far. This study aimed to investigate the antimicrobial resistance, the presence of antimicrobial resistance genes and virulence genes, and characterize the MLST genotypes of Salmonella serovar 4,[5],12:i:- isolates from Guizhou province, China. We collected 363 non-typhoid Salmonella (NTS) isolates of Guizhou from 2013 to 2018. Biochemical identification, serogroups testing, and specific multiplex polymerase chain reaction (mPCR) assay were conducted to identify Salmonella 4,[5],12:i:- isolates. Isolates were determined the antimicrobial resistance by the micro broth dilution method, detected the presence of antimicrobial resistance genes and virulence genes by PCR, and examined the molecular genotyping by Multilocus sequence typing (MLST). Eighty-seven Salmonella 4,[5],12:i:- isolates were detected, accounting for 23.9% (87/363) of the total NTS isolates. All Salmonella 4, [5],12:i:- isolates showed highly resistant to sulfaoxazole (93.1%), streptomycin (90.8%), ampicillin (88.5%), tetracycline (86.2%) and doxycycline (86.2%). A high proportion (94.2%) of multi-drug resistance (MDR) isolates were found. Most (83.9%) Salmonella 4,[5],12:i:isolates carried four antimicrobial resistance genes, especially bla_{TEM-1}, strA-strB, sul2, and tetB genes. Salmonella 4,[5],12:i:- isolates showed a high rate of invA, sseL, mgtC, siiE, sopB, gipA, gtgB, sspH1, and sspH2 (72.4%~98.9%). On the contrary, none of the isolates were detected the *spvC* and *pefA* genes. MLST analysis revealed three sequence types (STs), and ST34 (97.7%) was the dominant sequence type. This study is the first report of Salmonella 4,[5],12:i:- in humans from Guizhou province, China. The data might be useful

and Infectious Disease Prevention and Control Talent Base Scientific Research Team Project of Guizhou Province (Award Number: RCJD2104) Recipient: Xiaoyu Wei. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

for rational antimicrobial usage against *Salmonella* 4,[5],12:i:- infections, risk management, and public health strategies in Guizhou.

Introduction

Non-typhoid *Salmonella* (NTS) is one of the most common causes of human infectious diarrheal diseases and causes a severe disease burden [1]. In the late 1980s, a *Salmonella* serovar 4, [5],12:i:-, closely linked to the antigenic structure and genetic characterization of *Salmonella* Typhimurium, was first identified from poultry in Portugal [2]. Since then, this serotype has been increasingly spread around the world and has caused more significant outbreaks in Luxembourg and Italy [3, 4].

Multi-drug resistance (MDR) has been increased all worldwide that is considered a public health threat. Several recent investigations reported the emergence of multidrug-resistant bacterial pathogens from different origins including humans, birds, fish, and cattles that increase the need for routine application of the antimicrobial susceptibility testing to detect the antibiotic of choice as well as the screening of the emerging MDR strains [5–8]. The rapid dissemination of *Salmonella* 4,[5],12:i:- is related to the increasing MDR, which is a significant problem of public health, and may represent the advantage of its pathogenesis [9, 10]. Significantly, the horizontal transfer of resistance genes mediated by mobile genetic elements such as transposons and plasmids may enhance the survival adaptability of this serotype [11].

Salmonella infects the host by first attaching to the host tissue and then invading the host cells through virulence factors, mainly including Salmonella pathogenicity island (SPI), plasmid virulence, prophage virulence and cell swelling toxins [12]. SpI-1 is necessary to invade of host non-phagocytes, and plays an essential role in salmonella invasion of macrophages and intestinal epithelial cells [13]. SpI-2 encodes a type III secretory system associated with systemic infection. It allows Salmonella to survive inside the macrophage and it facilitates spreading through the host body [13]. Salmonella plasmid virulence genes enhance the ability to spread and proliferate in the host, and most of them are associated with extra-intestinal infection in humans and animals [12, 13]. In addition, previous investigations indicated that Salmonella 4,[5],12:i:- serotype was more virulent than other serotypes [14]. The presence of multiple virulence determinants, along with the formation of biofilm, enables Salmonella 4, [5],12:i:- to infect humans and results in disease or death [14, 15].

Salmonella 4,[5],12:i:- is a monophasic serotype due to its lack of phase 2 flagellar antigen expression [10]. Several distinct molecular subtyping methods, including phage typing, multilocus sequence typing (MLST), pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE), and whole genome sequencing (WGS) were used to identify *Salmonella* 4,[5],12:i:-isolates [16–18]. Studies indicated that *Salmonella* 4,[5],12:i:- isolates belong to multiple clonal lines, which evolved from different *Salmonella* Typhimurium clonal ancestors through different genetic events [19, 20].

In Guizhou, the Southwest of China, *NTS* was one of the primary pathogens causing infectious diarrhea, and the distribution of serotypes was diverse [21, 22]. However, information on *Salmonella* serovar 4,[5],12:i:- from Guizhou is lacking. To provide a better understanding of the characterization *Salmonella* 4,[5],12:i:-, we characterized the antimicrobial resistance, the presence of antimicrobial resistance genes and virulence genes, and the genetic characterization of *Salmonella* 4,[5],12:i:- isolates in Guizhou from 2013 to 2018.

Materials and methods

Ethics statement

This study was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Review Committee of Guizhou Provincial Center for Disease Control and Prevention. All data were analyzed anonymously.

Bacterial isolates and identification

A total of 363 Salmonella isolates were collected from nine different cities between 2013 and 2018 in Guizhou, including Anshun (n = 54), Bijie (n = 10), Guiyang (n = 76), Liupanshui (n = 10), Qiandongnan (n = 22), Qiannan (n = 19), Qianxinan (n = 12), Tongren (n = 97), and Zunyi (n = 63), and they were all obtained from clinical patients. Most isolates were available from stool samples of outpatients, and the source of a few isolates was unknown. The obtained samples were inoculated into selenite brilliant green sulfa enrichment (SBG), cultured at $36^{\circ}C \pm 1^{\circ}C$ for 6–8 h (Youkang Biological, China). The enriched bacteria solution was inoculated on the Salmonella chromogenic medium, incubated at 36°Cfor 18–24 h (CHROMagar, France). Pale purple or purple colonies were selected and inoculated onto krebs disaccharide iron medium (KIA) and motility indol urea iron medium (MIU) at 36°C for 18-24 h (Cyclokay Biological, China). The isolates were systematically identified by API20E identification kits (Biomerieux, France). According to the White- Kaufmann- Le Minor Scheme [23], the confirmed Salmonella isolates were serotyped by slide agglutination test for O and H antigens (SSI, Denmark). Flagellar induction testing was performed on the isolates with antigenic formula (4,[5],12:i:-). If induction testing showed negative for H2 phase, the flagellar antigen gene (*fljB*) and IS200 fragment (*fljB-fljA*) of H2 phase were further detected by a multiple PCR as described by Tennant et al [24]. Primers used to amplify fljB and fljB-fljA genes were listed in S1 Table. Bacterial DNA was extracted by the boiled lysis method. The supernatant was taken as DNA template and stored at -80°C for use. The multiple PCR reaction conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 95°C for 2 min, 30 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 s, annealing at 58°C for 30 s, extension at 72°C for 90 s, and a final delay at 72°C for 10 min.

Antimicrobial susceptibility test

Antimicrobial susceptibility was evaluated by micro broth dilution method with ten classes 16 antimicrobials (Xingbai Biological, China), including: Penicillin (Ampicillin), Phenicols (Chloramphenicol), Aminoglycosides (Streptomycin, Gentamicin), Carbapenems (Imipenem), β -lactamase inhibitor (Amoxicillin/clavulanic acid), Cephems (Cefoxitin, Ceftriaxone, Cefepime), Sulfonamides (Sulfamethoxazole, Trimethoprim /sulfamethoxazole), Tetracyclines (Tetracycline, Doxycycline), Quinolones and Fluoroquinolones (Nalidixic acid, Ciprofloxacine), Macrolides (Azithromycin). Escherichia coli ATCC 25922 was used as a control strain. The breakpoints for antimicrobials followed interpretive standards provided by Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines [25]. The phenotypic resistance profiles were classified into MDR, XDR, and PDR as described by Magiorakos et al [26].

Detection of antimicrobial resistance genes

Genes coding for resistance to β -lactamase (bla_{TEM} , bla_{OXA-1} , bla_{CTX-M}), phenicols (floR, cmlA1), aminoglycosides (aac (3)-IV, strA-strB, aadA2), sulfonamides (sul2), and tetracyclines (tetB) were evaluated by PCR using primers and conditions as previously described [27–29]. The reaction volume was 20 µl. Primers used to amplify the antimicrobial resistance genes and PCR reaction conditions in this study were listed in <u>S2 Table</u>. The agar gel electrophoresis was carried out to separate the obtained PCR products using 1.0% agarose, followed by

photographing the gel. All positive PCR products of bla_{TEM} and bla_{CTX-M} genes were sequenced and aligned with the National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database sequences using the BLAST program to identify resistance gene subtypes. The correlation between phenotypic and genotypic was performed.

Detection of virulence genes

Fourteen virulence genes were detected by PCR. These virulence genes are related to the presence of Salmonella pathogenicity island (*invA*, *sseL*, *mgtC*, *siiE*, *sopB*), prophages (*gipA*, *gtgB*, *sopE*, *sspH1*, *sspH2*), and plasmids (*spvB*, *spvC*, *spvR*, *pefA*). The primer sequences as previously described [13, 30, 31] were listed in S3 Table. The PCR reaction conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min, 28 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 58°C for 30 s, extension at 72°C for 1 min, and a final delay at 72°C for 5 min. The PCR products were analyzed by electrophoresis and visualized under ultraviolet light.

Multilocus sequence typing (MLST)

MLST typing was executed for all *Salmonella* 4,[5],12:i:- isolates based on seven housekeeping genes, including *thrA*, *purE*, *sucA*, *hisD*, *hemD*, aroC and *dnaN*. Primers used to amplify the seven housekeeping genes in this study were listed in S4 Table. The amplification conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 94°C for 5min, 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 S, annealing at 56°C for 1 min, extension at 72°C for 1 min, and a final delay at 72°C for 10 min. Alleles and ST types of isolates were obtained from the *Salmonella* database on the PubMLST website. The phylogenetic tree was constructed using BioNumerics 8.0 software (Applied-Maths, Belgium).

Statistical analysis

We used Cohen's kappa coefficient to assess the correlation between phenotypic and genotypic resistance. The agreement, as expressed by the kappa coefficient, was interpreted as follows: values ≤ 0 as indicating no agreement and 0.01–0.20 as none to slight, 0.21–0.40 as fair, 0.41–0.60 as moderate, 0.61–0.80 as substantial, and 0.81–1.00 as almost perfect agreement [32]. All *P* values were two-tailed, and the level of statistical significance was specified as 0.05. Statistical analyses were performed using version 26.0 SPSS statistical software.

Results

Phenotypic characteristics of the recovered Samonella isolates

As described in the methods section, samples were enriched using SBG. A single colony purple or pale purple colony was observed on the *Salmonella* chromogenic medium. Biochemical results for *Salmonella* on KIA and MIU were slant (K), butt (A), H_2S (+), gas (+), dynamic (+), indole (-), urea (-). After the multiple PCR detection, all *Salmonella* Typhimurium isolates produced two amplification bands (1 000 bp and 1 389 bp), specific to *Salmonella* Typhimurium. However, the *Salmonella* 4,[5],12:i:- isolates produced only one 1 000 bp amplification band (S1 Fig). Among the 363 NTS isolates, 23.9% (87/363) were confirmed as *Salmonella* 4,[5],12: i:-. They were distributed in seven of the nine cities in Guizhou, which were Anshun (n = 10), Guiyang (n = 14), Liupanshui (n = 2), Qiandongnan (n = 3), Qiannan (n = 2), Tongren (n = 37), and Zunyi (n = 20), respectively. The prevalence of *Salmonella* 4,[5],12:i:- ranged between 18.1% to 29.4% in 2013–2018 with the highest prevalence in 2015 (Fig 1).



Fig 1. Detection of Salmonella 4,[5],12:i:- isolates from Guizhou, 2013-2018.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0266443.g001

Antimicrobial resistance

Antimicrobial resistance testing showed that *Salmonella* 4,[5],12:i:- isolates were shown to be the most resistant to sulfaoxazole (93.1%), followed by streptomycin (90.8%), ampicillin (88.5%), tetracycline (86.2%), and doxycycline (86.2%). Furthermore, *Salmonella* 4,[5],12:i:- isolates showed resistance to chloramphenicol (42.5%), trimethoprim /sulfamethoxazole (35.6%), nalidixic acid (34.5%), and amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (31.0%), respectively (Table 1). The resistance to ciprofloxacin was 13.8%. However, 35.6% of isolates showed decreased sensitivity to ciprofloxacin (MIC \geq 0.12 µg/mL). Notably, *Salmonella* 4,[5],12:i:- isolates showed resistance to imipenem and azithromycin in this study. More importantly, three isolates were

Antimicrobial classes	Antimicrobial agents	Resistant		Intermed	iate	Susceptible		
		No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
Penicillin	Ampicillin (AMP)	77	88.5	0	0.0	10	11.5	
Phenicols	Chloramphenicol (CHL)	37	42.5	16	18.4	34	39.1	
Aminoglycosides	Streptomycin (STR)	79	90.8	0	0.0	8	9.2	
	Gentamicin (GEN)	16	18.4	4	4.6	67	77.0	
Carbapenems	Imipenem (IMP)	1	1.1	0	0.0	86	98.9	
β -lactamase inhibitor	Amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (AMC)	27	31.0	11	12.6	49	56.3	
Cephems	Cefoxitin (FOX)	1	1.1	3	3.4	83	95.4	
	Ceftriaxone (CRO)	17	19.5	0	0.0	70	80.5	
	Cefepime (FEP)	13	14.9	3	3.4	71	81.6	
Sulfonamides	Sulfamethoxazole (SOX)	81	93.1	0	0.0	6	6.9	
	Trimethoprim /sulfamethoxazole (SXT)	31	35.6	0	0.0	56	64.4	
Tetracyclines	Tetracycline (TCR)	75	86.2	0	0.0	12	13.8	
	Doxycycline (DOX)	75	86.2	7	8.0	5	5.7	
Quinolones and Fluoroquinolones	Nalidixic acid (NAL)	30	34.5	0	0.0	57	65.5	
	Ciprofloxacine (CIP)	12	13.8	31	35.6	4	50.6	
Macrolides	Azithromycin (AZM)	5	5.7	0	0.0	82	94.3	

Table 1. Antim	icrobial resistance	e of 87 Salmonel	la 4,[5],12:i:	 isolates.
----------------	---------------------	------------------	----------------	-------------------------------

CIP (ciprofloxacin), STR (streptomycin), AMP (ampicillin), CHL (chloramphenicol), SOX (sulfaisoxazole), SXT (trimethoprim sulfamethoxazole), NAL (nalidixic), AMC (amoxicillin / clavulanate potassium), CRO (ceftriaxone), DOX (doxycycline), GEN (gentamicin), AZM (azithromycin), TCY (tetracycline), FOX (cefxitin), FEP (cefepime), IMP (imipenem).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0266443.t001

co-resistant to ciprofloxacin, the third and fourth-generation cephalosporins, and azithromycin.

A percentage of 98.9% (86/87) isolates were resistant to at least one antimicrobial agent. Most (62.0%) isolates were resistant to 5–7 among the 16 antimicrobial agents tested. Notably, 12 isolates (13.8%) were resistant to ten or more antimicrobial agents, among which two isolates were resistant to 13 antimicrobial agents in 2016–2017. A total of 89.6% (78/87) of the isolates were MDR. Four isolates (4.5%) showed XDR (Table 2). PDR isolates were not observed. Fourty-five antimicrobial resistance profiles were observed, of which AMP+STR+SOX+TCR + DOX+AMC (16.1%,14/87) and AMP+STR+SOX+TCR+DOX (14.9%, 14/87) were the predominant antimicrobial resistance profiles.

Antimicrobial resistance genes distribution

A great majority (83.9%) of *Salmonella* 4,[5],12:i:- isolates contained at least four antimicrobial resistance genes. As regards antimicrobial resistance genes, genes more often detected were *tetB* (94.2%), *strA-strB* (93.1%), *sul2* (91.9%) and *bla*_{TEM-1} (74.7%). The existance of other resistance genes including *bla*_{OXA-1}, *bla*_{CTX-M}, *aac* (3)-IV, *aadA2*, *cmlA1*, and *floR* were 5.7%, 13.8%, 5.7%, 31.0%, 30.0%, and 20.2%, respectively. Among the positive isolates to β-lactamase resistance gene, nine isolates contained two β-lactamase genes (*bla*_{TEM-1}/*bla*_{CTX-M}, *bla*_{TEM-1}/*bla*_{CTX-M}, *bla*_{TEM-1}/*bla*_{CTX-M}, *bla*_{TEM-1}/*bla*_{CTX-M}, *bla*_{TEM-1}/*bla*_{CTX-M}, *bla*_{TEM-1}/*bla*_{CTX-M}, *bla*_{CTX-M}, *bla*_{CTX-M}, *aac* (3%, 1/12), *bla*_{CTX-M-55} (58.3%, 7/12), *bla*_{CTX-M-65} (12.7%, 2/12), *bla*_{CTX-M-14} (8.3%, 1/12), *bla*_{CTX-M-15} (8.3%, 1/12), and *bla*_{CTX-M-27} (8.3%, 1/12) (S2 Fig).

The correlation between the phenotypic and genotypic MDR profiles

Our findings revealed that 12.6% (11/87) isolates were MDR to five antimicrobial classes (AMP, STR, SOX, TCR, DOX, AMC) and harbored bla_{TEM-1} , strA-strB, sul2, tetB. Nine (10.3%) MDR isolates to four antimicrobial classes (AMP, STR, SOX, TCR, DOX) and harbored bla_{TEM-1} , strA-strB, sul2, tetB. Besides, two (2.3%) XDR isolates to eight antimicrobial classes (AMP, CHL, STR, GEN, SOX, TCR, DOX, NAL, CIP, AMC, AZM, CRO, FEP) and harbored bla_{TEM-1} , $bla_{CTX-M-55}$, aac(3) -IV, strA-strB, sul2, tetB (Fig 2). The kappa correlation between phenotypic and genotypic resistance was showed in Table 3. Cohen's kappa was the highest for bla_{CTX-M} vs CRO (Kappa = 0.794) and bla_{CTX-M} vs FEP (Kappa = 0.673), followed by cmlA1 (Kappa = 0.509) vs CHL, strA-strB vs STR (Kappa = 0.418), and tetB vs TCY (Kappa = 0.388) (Table 3). In general, a certain correlation was seen between the antimicrobial phenotypes with genotypes.

Virulence genes distribution

All *Salmonella* 4,[5],12:i:- isolates carried *invA*, *siiE* and *sopB* genes. The existence of other virulence genes including *sseL*, *mgtC*, *gipA*, *gtgB*, *sspH1*, and *sspH2* were 72.4%, 98.9%, 97.7%, 95.4%, 79.3%, and 89.7%, respectively. *SopE* gene was present in 33 isolates with a detection rate of 37.9%. *SpvB* and *spvR* genes were detected in one isolate, while neither *spvC* nor *pefA* genes were present. All isolates harbored at least six virulence genes, and 62.1% (54/87) isolates were positive to nine or more virulence genes. A total of 20 different virulence gene profiles (VP1~VP20) among the 87 *Salmonella* 4,[5],12:i:- isolates were observed, and VP1 (*invA-sseL-mgtC-siiE-sopB-gipA-gtgB-sspH1-sspH2*) was the primary one, accounting for 33.3% (29/87), as shown in Table 4 and S3 Fig.

No. of isolates	%	Type of resistance	antimicrobial resistance profile	No. of isolates	%
4	4.5	R	STR	1	1.1
			NAL	2	2.3
			TCR-DOX	1	1.1
78	89.6	MDR	AMP-STR-SOX-TCR-DOX-AMC	14	16.1
			AMP-STR-SOX-TCR-DOX	13	14.9
			AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-NAL-SXT	5	5.7
			AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCR-DOX-SXT	4	4.6
			AMP-STR-SOX-TCR-DOX-CRO-FEP	3	3.4
			AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCR-DOX-AMC	2	2.3
			AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCR-DOX-SXT-AMC	2	2.3
			AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCR-DOX-GEN-SXT	2	2.3
			AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCR-NAL-DOX-GEN-CIP-SXT-AMC	2	2.3
			STR-SOX-TCR-DOX	2	2.3
			AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCR-DOX	1	1.1
			AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCR-DOX-CRO-FEP	1	1.1
			AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCR-DOX-GEN-CIP-SXT-AZM	1	1.1
			AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCR-DOX-GEN-CRO	1	1.1
			AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCR-NAL-CIP-SXT	1	1.1
			AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCR-NAL-DOX-CIP-CRO-FEP	1	1.1
			AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCR-NAL-DOX-CIP-SXT	1	1.1
			AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCR-NAL-DOX-CRO	1	1.1
			AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCR-NAL-DOX-GEN-CIP-SXT	1	1.1
			AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCR-NAL-DOX-GEN-CIP-SXT-CRO-FEP	1	1.1
			AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCR-NAL-DOX-GEN-SXT	1	1.1
			AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCR-NAL-DOX-GEN-SXT-AMC	1	1.1
			AMP-CHL-SOX-NAL-AMC	1	1.1
			AMP-CHL-SOX-TCR-NAL-DOX-CIP	1	1.1
			AMP-STR-SOX	1	1.1
			AMP-STR-SOX-TCR	1	1.1
			AMP-STR-SOX-TCR-DOX-AMC-CRO-FEP	1	1.1
			AMP-STR-SOX-TCR-DOX-AMC-IMP	1	1.1
			AMP-STR-SOX-TCR-DOX-CRO	1	1.1
			AMP-STR-SOX-TCR-DOX-SXT-AMC	1	1.1
			AMP-STR-SOX-TCR-DOX-SXT-AMC-CRO-PEF	1	1.1
			AMP-STR-SOX-TCR-DOX-GEN-CIP-SXT-AZM-CRO-PEF	1	1.1
			AMP-STR-SOX-TCR-NAL-DOX-AMC	1	1.1
			AMP-STR-SOX-TCR-NAL-DOX-CIP	1	1.1
			AMP-SOX-TCR-NAL-DOX	1	1.1
			AMP-TCR-DOX-CRO-FEP	1	1.1
			CHL-STR-SOX-NAL-GEN-SXT	1	1.1
			CHL-STR-SOX-TCY-NAL-DOX-SXT	1	1.1
			STR-SOX-TCY-NAL-DOX-SXT	1	1.1
4	4.5	XDR	AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCR-NAL-DOX-GEN-CIP-SXT-AZM-CRO-FEP	2	2.3
			AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCR-NAL-DOX-SXT-AMC-CRO-FEP	1	1.1
			AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCR-NAL-DOX-GEN-AMC-CRO-FOX	1	1.1

Table 2. Antimicrobial resistance profile of Salmonella 4,[5],12:i:- isolates.

CIP (ciprofloxacin), STR (streptomycin), AMP (ampicillin), CHL (chloramphenicol), SOX (sulfaisoxazole), SXT (trimethoprim sulfamethoxazole), NAL (nalidixic), AMC (amoxicillin / clavulanate potassium), CRO (ceftriaxone), DOX (doxycycline), GEN (gentamicin), AZM (azithromycin), TCY (tetracycline), FOX (cefxitin), FEP (cefepime), IMP (imipenem).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0266443.t002

MLST	MLST													
	^S	Neu	enD	isD	urE	Abu	An	Charles Ma	¥	Course offer		Projektor and the	Desistence and	10-1
<u> </u>			-	-			-	Strain NO	2017	Topgrop	OT24	CHIL STD SOV TOY NAL DOX SYT	padd0 strå strB amlål flaD	VP4
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2016018	2016	Guivang	ST34	CHL-STR-SOX-NEL-GEN-SXT	aadA2 strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP1
	10	10	12	0	5	0	2	SM2018038	2018	Tongren	ST34	AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCY-NAL-DOX-GEN-CIP-SXT	aadA2 strA-strB sul2 tetB cmIAI	VP1
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2016035	2016	Tongren	ST34	AMP-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX	aadA2 strA-strB sul2 tetB cmIAI floR	VP6
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2017108	2017	Zunyi	ST34	AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-NAL-SXT	aadA2 strA-strB sul2 tetB cmIAI floR	VP4
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2018039	2018	Tongren	ST34	AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCY-NAL-CIP-SXT	aadA2 strA-strB sul2 tetB floR	VP2
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2013009	2013	Guiyang	ST34	AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCY-NAL-DOX-GEN-CIP-SXT-AMC	aadA2 strA-strB sul2 tetB cmIAI floR	VP5
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2017079	2017	Zunyi	ST34	AMP-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX-CRO	blaCTX-M-27 strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP4
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2016046	2016	Zunyi	ST34	AMP-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX-GEN-CIP-SXT-AZM-CRO-FEP	blaCTX-M-55 aac(3)-IV strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP2
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2016045	2016	Zunyi	ST34	AMP-TCY-DOX-CRO-FEP	blaCTX-M-55 strA-strB tetB	VP7
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2017038	2017	Tongren	ST34	AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCY-NAL-DOX-CIP-SXT	blaOXA-1 aac(3)-IV aadA2 strA-strB sul2 tetB cmIAI floR	VP1
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2016003	2016	Tongren	ST34	AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCY-NAL-DOX-GEN-CIP-SXT-AMC	blaOXA-1 aadA2 sul2 tetB cmIAI floR	VP1
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2016014	2016	Tongren	8134	AMP-CHL-SOX-TCY-NAL-DOX-GEN-AMC-CRO-FOX	blaOXA-1 strA-strB sul2	VP5
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2018063 SM2017103	2018	Zumi	ST34 ST34	AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCT-DOX-GEN-SAT	biaTEM.1 aadA2 strA.strB sul2 cmlAl foR	VP1
	10	19	12	9	0	3	2	SM2017104	2017	Zunvi	ST34	AMP.CHI.STR.SOX.NAL.SYT	blaTEM-1 and A2 strA-strB sui2 cmil41 foR	VPA
	10	10	12		5	0	2	SM2015028	2015	Tongren	ST34	AMP-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX-AMC	blaTEM-1 aadA2 strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP1
	10	10	12	0	5	0	2	SM2017034	2017	Tongren	ST34	AMP-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX	blaTEM-1 aadA2 strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP15
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2017042	2017	Qiandongnan	ST34	AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX	blaTEM-1 aadA2 strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP1
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2018012	2018	Liupanshui	ST34	AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCY-NAL-DOX-GEN-CIP-SXT-CRO-FEP	blaTEM-1 aadA2 strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP3
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2017015	2017	Tongren	ST34	AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX-SXT	blaTEM-1 aadA2 strA-strB sul2 tetB cmIAI	VP6
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2018070	2018	Qiandongnan	ST34	AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX-GEN-SXT	blaTEM-1 aadA2 strA-strB sul2 tetB cmIAI	VP1
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2017105	2017	Zunyi	ST34	AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-NAL-SXT	blaTEM-1 aadA2 strA-strB sul2 tetB cmIAI floR	VP4
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2017109	2017	Zunyi	ST34	AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-NAL-SXT	blaTEM-1 aadA2 strA-strB sul2 tetB cmIAI floR	VP4
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2018090	2018	Zunyi	ST34	AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX-CRO-FEP	blaTEM-1 aadA2 strA-strB sul2 tetB cmIAI floR	VP8
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2018091	2018	Guiyang	ST34	AMP-CHL-SOX-AMC	blaTEM-1 aadA2 strA-strB sul2 tetB cmIAI floR	VP16
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2017056	2017	Guiyang	ST34	AMP-STR-SOX-TCY-NAL-DOX-CIP	blaTEM-1 aadA2 strA-strB sul2 tetB floR	VP11
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2018082	2018	Anshun	ST34	AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX-GEN-CIP-SXT-AZM	blaTEM-1 aadA2 strA-strB sul2 tetB floR	VP1
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2013010	2013	Guiyang	ST34	AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCY-NAL-DOX-GEN-SXT-AMC	blaTEM-1 aadA2 strA-strB sul2 tetB cmIAI floR	VP5
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2015025	2015	Tongren	ST34	AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCY-NAL-DOX-SXT-AMC-CRO-FEP	blaTEM-1 blaCTX-M-14 aadA2 strA-strB sul2 tetB cmIAI	VP1
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM201/00/	2017	Tongren	0134	AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCT-NAL-DOX-CIP-CRO-FEP	biaTEM 1 biaCTX M 55 aas/2) b/ atch atcP au/2 tetP	VPI
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2010047	2010	Tongren	GT24	AMP CHLISTRIGOX TCT HALDOX GEN CIPISX FAZINGROFEP	biaTEM 1 biaCTX-M-65 aac/3)-0/ strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP2
	10	19	12	9	0	3	2	SM2017097	2017	Anshun	ST34	AMP-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX-CRO-FEP	blaTEM.1 NaCTX-M-55 strå-strB sul2 totB	VP1
	10	10	12		5		2	SM2018043	2018	Guivang	ST34	AMP-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX-CRO-FEP	blaTEM-1 blaCTX-M-55 strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP1
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2013011	2013	Guiyang	ST34	AMP-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX-SXT-AMC-CRO-FEP	blaTEM-1 blaCTX-M-55 strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP18
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2016063	2016	Zunyi	ST34	AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCY-NAL-DOX-CRO	blaTEM-1 blaCTX-M-65 aac(3)-IV strA-strB sul2 tetB cmIAI	VP1
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2017090	2017	Zunyi	ST34	AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCY-NAL-DOX-GEN-SXT	blaTEM-1 blaOXA-1 aadA2 sul2 tetB cmIAI floR	VP1
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2017006	2017	Tongren	ST34	AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX-GEN-CRO	blaTEM-1 blaCXA-1 blaCTX-M-65 strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP12
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2013030	2013	Tongren	ST34	AMP-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX-AMC	blaTEM-1 strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP5
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2013031	2013	Tongren	ST34	AMP-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX-AMC	blaTEM-1 strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP5
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2014003	2014	Anshun	ST34	AMP-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX-AMC	blaTEM-1 strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP1
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2014020	2014	Guiyang	ST34	AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX-AMC	blaTEM-1 strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP17
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2014022	2014	Guiyang	ST34	AMP-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX-AMC	blaTEM-1 strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP1
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2014041	2014	Zunyi	ST34	AMP-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX-SXT-AMC	blaTEM-1 strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP19
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2015007	2015	Anshun	ST34	AMP-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX-AMC	blaTEM-1 strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP3
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2015015	2015	Anshun	5134	AMP-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX-AMC	blaTEM-1 strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP10
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2015019	2015	Tongren	ST34	AMP-STR-SUX-TCY-DUX-AMC	blaTEM 1 strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP3
	10	19	12	9	0	3	2	SM2015029	2015	Tongren	ST34	AMP-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX-AMC	higTEM_1 strA_strB sui2 teB	VP1
	10	19	12	9	5	3	2	SM2015030	2015	Tongren	ST34	AMP-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX-AMC-IMP	blaTEM.1 strA-strB sul2 totB	VP1
	10	10	12	9	5	0	2	SM2015031	2015	Tongren	ST34	AMP-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX-AMC	blaTEM-1 strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP1
	10	19	12		5		2	SM2016007	2016	Tongren	ST34	AMP-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX-AMC	blaTEM-1 strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP1
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2016012	2016	Tongren	ST34	AMP-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX	blaTEM-1 strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP14
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2016019	2016	Qiannan	ST34	AMP-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX	blaTEM-1 strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP2
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2016027	2016	Tongren	ST34	AMP-STR-SOX-TCY	blaTEM-1 strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP9
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2016039	2016	Anshun	ST34	AMP-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX	blaTEM-1 strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP3
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2016042	2016	Qiannan	ST34	AMP-SOX-TCY-NAL-DOX	blaTEM-1 strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP3
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2016068	2016	Zunyi	ST34	AMP-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX	blaTEM-1 strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP3
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2017009	2017	Tongren	ST34	AMP-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX	blaTEM-1 strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP3
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2017024	2017	Tongren	ST34	AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX-SXT	blaTEM-1 strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP3
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2017058	2017	Guiyang	ST34	AMP-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX	blaTEM-1 strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP7
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2017064	2017	Liupanshui	ST34	AMP-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX	blaTEM-1 strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP2
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2017098	2017	Anshun	ST34	AMP-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX-CRO-FEP	blaTEM-1 strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP2
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2018004	2018	Tongren	ST34	AMP-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX	blaTEM-1 strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP2
	10	19	12	9	ь	9	2	SM2018057	2018	Tongren	0134	AMP-STR-SUA-TCT-DUA	biaTEM 1 strA-strD sui2 tetD	VP2
	10	19	12	9	0	3	2	SM2018080	2018	Anshun	GT34	NAL	biaTEM.1 strA-strB sul2 teB	VP0
	10	19	12		5	3	2	SM2017010	2017	Toparen	ST34	AMP-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX	blaTEM-1 strA-strB sul2 tetB cmlAl	VP2
	10	10	12	0	5	0	2	SM2017044	2017	Guivang	ST34	AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX-SXT-AMC	blaTEM-1 strA-strB sul2 tetB cmIAI	VP20
	10	19	12	9	5	0	2	SM2018010	2018	Anshun	ST34	AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX-SXT-AMC	blaTEM-1 strA-strB sul2 tetB cmIAI	VP2
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2018033	2018	Tongren	ST34	AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX-SXT	blaTEM-1 strA-strB sul2 tetB cmIAI	VP3
	10	19	12	9	5	0	2	SM2018050	2018	Guiyang	ST34		blaTEM-1 strA-strB sul2 tetB cmIAI	VP1
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2013024	2013	Guiyang	ST34	AMP-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX-AMC	blaTEM-1 strA-strB sul2 tetB cmlAl floR	VP6
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2016066	2016	Zunyi	ST34	AMP-CHL-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX-SXT	blaTEM-1 strA-strB tetB	VP1
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2017080	2017	Zunyi	ST34	AMP-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX	blaTEM-1 strA-strB tetB	VP2
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2014005	2014	Anshun	ST34	AMP-STR-SOX-TCY-NAL-DOX-AMC	strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP2
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2015020	2015	Tongren	ST34	AMP-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX-AMC	strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP3
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2017037	2017	Tongren	ST34	STR-SOX-TCY-DOX	strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP6
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2018003	2018	Guiyang	ST34	STR-SOX-TCY-DOX	strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP3
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2018089	2018	Zunyi	ST34	STR	strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP1
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2018032	2018	Tongren	ST34	AMP-CHL-SOX-TCY-NAL-DOX-CIP	sul2 tetB	VP1
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2014030	2014	Tongren	ST34	AMP-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX-AMC-CRO-FEP	tetB	VP1
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2016069	2016	Zunyi	ST34	TCY-DOX	tetB	VP1
	10	19	12	9	5	9	2	SM2017077	2017	∠unyi	ST34	NAL AND OTO CON	11-751 (1	VP2
	10	7	12	9	5	9	2	SM2016036	2016	Tongren	ST19	AMP-STR-SUX	Dia i EM-1 strA strB sul2	VP3
	10	7	12	9	6	9	2	SM2013033	2013	∠unyi	ST1746	AMP-UHL-STR-SOX-TCY-DOX-AMC	DIa I EM-1 strA-strB sul2 tetB	VP13

Fig 2. MLST clustering tree of the 87 *Salmonella* 4,[5],12:i:- isolates in Guizhou from 2013 to 2018 with the antimicrobial resistance profile, antimicrobial resistance genes, and virulence gene profile.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0266443.g002

MLST typing

All the 87 *Salmonella* 4,[5],12:i:- isolates were classified into three STs by MLST typing (Fig 2 and S4 Fig). ST34 was the dominant sequence type of *Salmonella* 4,[5],12:i:-, accounting for 97.7% (85/87). The other two STs were ST19 and ST1746, respectively. ST34 and ST1746 were a single-locus variant of ST19, with only one allele locus difference. The dnaN allele was distinct between ST34 and ST19 (dnaN19 replaced dnaN7). The purE allele was distinct between ST1746 and ST19 (purE6 replaced purE5).

Antimicrobial resistance genes vs.antimicrobials	Gen	e (+)	Gen			
	Phenotype (+)	Phenotype (-)	Phenotype (+)	Phenotype (-)	Kappa	Р
<i>bla_{TEM-1}</i> vs AMP	63	2	15	7	0.357	< 0.001
<i>bla_{TEM-1}</i> vs AMC	22	43	6	16	0.042	0.568
<i>bla_{TEM-1}</i> vs CRO	12	53	5	17	-0.025	0.663
<i>bla_{TEM-1}</i> vs FOX	0	65	1	21	-0.023	0.084
<i>bla_{TEM-1}</i> vs FEP	10	55	3	19	0.010	0.842
bla _{OXA-1} vs AMP	5	0	72	10	0.009	0.407
bla _{OXA-1} vs AMC	2	3	26	56	0.026	0.700
bla _{OXA-1} vs CRO	2	3	15	67	0.102	0.235
<i>bla_{OXA-1}</i> vs FOX	1	4	0	82	0.320	< 0.001
bla _{OXA-1} vs FEP	0	5	13	69	-0.091	0.334
bla _{CTX-M} vs AMP	12	0	65	10	0.041	0.179
<i>bla_{CTX-M}</i> vs AMC	2	10	26	49	-0.115	0.215
<i>bla_{CTX-M}</i> vs CRO	12	0	5	70	0.794	< 0.001
bla_{CTX-M} vs FOX	0	12	1	74	-0.020	0.681
<i>bla_{CTX-M}</i> vs FEP	9	3	4	71	0.673	< 0.001
cmlA1 vs CHL	22	4	15	46	0.509	< 0.001
floR vs CHL	15	3	22	47	0.370	< 0.001
aac (3)-IV vs STR	5	0	79	3	0.005	0.631
aac (3)-IV vs GEN	3	2	11	70	0.313	0.001
aadA2 vs STR	27	0	53	7	0.076	0.064
aadA2 vs GEN	10	17	5	55	0.327	0.001
<i>strA-strB</i> vs STR	77	4	3	3	0.418	< 0.001
<i>strA-strB</i> vs GEN	14	67	2	4	-0.028	0.288
sul2 vs SOX	76	4	4	3	0.321	0.003
sul2 vs STX	32	48	2	5	0.013	0.765
tetB vs TCY	74	8	1	4	0.388	< 0.001
tetB vs DOX	72	10	1	4	0.336	< 0.001

Table 3. The correlation between the phenotypic and genotypic of Salmonella 4,[5],12:i:- isolates.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0266443.t003

Discussion

Salmonella 4,[5],12:i:- has increased significantly in human cases of Salmonellosis within the past two decades [10]. In Europe, Salmonella 4,[5],12:i:- was the third most common serotype of human Salmonellosis, accounting for 7.9% of foodborne disease outbreaks [33]. In recent years, this serotype has been increasing in China, and it has become one of the four most common serotypes causing human Salmonellosis [34]. Previous studies suggested that most Salmonella 4,[5],12:i:- isolates were from pigs and pork products, while other sources were thought to be rare [33, 35]. Here, the prevalence of Salmonella 1,4,[5],12:i:- clinical isolates in Guizhou over six years was higher compared with other regions and countries [16, 34].

Tests of susceptibility to 16 antimicrobial agents showed that isolates exhibited high resistance to sulfamethoxazole, streptomycin, ampicillin, tetracycline, and doxycycline (82.5% ~92.0%), which was similar to other studies in China [36, 37], but much higher than that reported in Korea [16] and Japan [38]. Extended-spectrum cephalosporins and fluoroquinolones are the two most crucial antimicrobials for the treatment of invasive and severe infection of *Salmonella*, and the third/fourth-generation cephalosporins are mainly known as "Critically important antimicrobials" [39]. In the present study, we observed 19.5% and 14.9% isolates were resistant to ceftriaxone and cefepime, respectively. In addition, the sensitivity of

Virulence gene profiles	Salmonella pathogenicity island genes						Propha	age virule	ence genes		Pla	No.(%)			
	invA	sseL	mgtC	siiE	sopB	gipA	gtgB	sopE	sspH1	sspH2	spvB	spvC	spvR	pefA	
VP1	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	29(33.3)
VP2	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	_	_	-	14(16.1)
VP3	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	_	_	_	-	12(13.8)
VP4	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	_	_	_	_	-	7(8.0)
VP5	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	5(5.7)
VP6	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	_	_	-	5(5.7)
VP7	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	_	+	_	_	_	-	2(2.3)
VP8	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	-	_	-	-	1(1.1)
VP9	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	1(1.1)
VP10	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	_	+	_	_	_	-	1(1.1)
VP11	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	_	-	-	1(1.1)
VP12	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	_	_	_	_	-	1(1.1)
VP13	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	1(1.1)
VP14	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	_	_	_	-	1(1.1)
VP15	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	_	_	_	-	1(1.1)
VP16	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	_	-	1(1.1)
VP17	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	1(1.1)
VP18	+	+	+	+	+	+		_	+	+				-	1(1.1)
VP19	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	1(1.1)
VP20	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	1(1.1)

+ indicates the existence of the gene; - indicates the nonexistence of the genes

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0266443.t004

ciprofloxacin decreased significantly, which may affect the clinical treatment effect. Azithromycin is a significant antibacterial drug with safety and excellent activity in treating *Salmonellosis* [40]. Notably, we found 3.4% *Salmonella* 4,[5],12:i:- isolates were co-resistance to azithromycin, ciprofloxacin, third /fourth-generation cephalosporins, and azithromycin. Carbapenems are atypical β -lactamase antimicrobial with the broadest antimicrobial spectrum, and it is still infrequent in the treatment of *Salmonellosis* [41]. Unfortunately, an imipenemresistant *Salmonella* 4,[5],12:i:- isolate, collected from a 9-month-old boy in 2015 from Tongren, was found in this study. Our results indicated that the antimicrobial resistance phenomenon of *Salmonella* 4,[5],12:i:- in Guizhou was not optimistic and dynamic monitoring of antimicrobial resistance should be strengthened for this serotype.

Isolates showed a high-level MDR (89.6%) in our study, which was much higher than that of previous studies in China [34], Switzerland [42], and Denmark [35]. Over the last two decades, two primary MDR clones of *Salmonella* 4,[5],12:i:- were recognized as important for public health [43]. One clonal line (European clone) was characterized by chromosomally encoded resistance to ampicillin, streptomycin, sulfonamides, and tetracyclines (ASSuT), and another clonal line (Spanish clone) was characterized by plasmid-encoded resistance to ampicillin, chloramphenicol, sulfonamides, gentamicin, streptomycin, tetracycline, and trimetho-prim (ACSuGSTTm) [10, 43]. In our study, 44.8% and 12.6% isolates displayed similar MDR profiles with European and Spanish clones, respectively. Moreover, isolates exhibited more comprehensive MDR profiles. In our study, isolates showed resistance to 13 of the 16 antimicrobial agents, further limiting the selection of antimicrobials for clinical treatment of *Salmo-nella* 4,[5],12:i:- infection.

Antimicrobial resistance gene detection showed that most isolates harbored bla_{TEM-1}, strAstrB, sul2 and tetB genes regardless of origin. These genes are present in a chromosomal resistance island of Salmonella [10]. They are typically associated with the European clone [10, 15], which suggested that the resistant clone of Salmonella 4,[5],12:i:- isolates from Guizhou might be related to the European clone. There was a specific correlation between the antimicrobial phenotypes of β -lactamase, phenicols, aminoglycosides, sulfonamides, and tetracyclines with their resistance genotypes. Among the phenicols resistance genes, *cmlAl* and *floR* genes were found to have a moderate and fair correlation with phenotypic resistance of chloramphenicol, respectively. They can be located in large plasmids and transposons of Salmonella 4,[5],12:i:-, enabling the transfer of resistance genes between isolates [44]. Among the aminoglycosides resistance genes, the strA-strB gene has been found to have moderate correlation with phenotypic resistance of streptomycin. However, the streptomycin phenotype was mainly related to *aph*(3')-*Ia*, *aadA1*, and *aadA2* genes in Huang et al [45]. Among the sulfonamides resistance gene, *sul2* gene was found to have fair correlation with phenotypic resistance of sulfaisoxazole. Sul2 gene was dominant in most sulfonamides resistance mechanisms of Salmonella 4,[5],12: i:- and always coexisted with sul1, sul3, and dfrA12 genes [44]. Among the tetracyclines resistance gene, *tetB* gene has been correlated with phenotypic resistance of tetracycline and doxycycline. This gene is the most common active efflux gene and ribosomal protective gene for resistance to tetracyclines in Salmonella 4,[5],12:i:-.

Significantly, extended-Spectrum β-lactamase (ESBLs) Salmonella is considered a severe global public health problem [10]. In this study, *bla_{TEM-1}* was the most frequent ESBLs gene consistent with Eastern China [37] and Thailand [46]. It is known that bla_{CTX-M} is a plasmidmediated ESBLs enzyme that preferentially hydrolyzes ceftriaxone or cefotaxime, becoming an effective mechanism of Salmonella resistance to broad-spectrum cephalosporin [47]. In our study, the *bla_{CTX-M}* gene has been found to have a substantial correlation with the phenotypic resistance of ceftriaxone and cefepime. Five different bla_{CTX-M} genes were identified, of which $bla_{CTX-M-55}$ was the most prevalent in Guizhou. The detection rate of bla_{OXA-1} (5.7%) in this study was lower than that in Eastern China (18.4%) [37], but higher than that reported in Europe (0.21%) [48] and the United States (0.22%) [49]. It is worth noting that a proportion of isolates contained multiple ESBLs genes, which may enhance the adaptability of Salmonella 4, [5],12:i:- to cephalosporin drugs, thus affecting clinical treatment outcomes. Therefore, great attention should be paid to these isolates in future resistance monitoring. In our study, several isolates carried antimicrobial resistance genes without showing antimicrobial resistance phenotype. It might be because the drug resistance mechanism of Salmonella 4,[5],12:i:- was very complex and some resistance genes were not investigated in this study [50]. A more comprehensive drug resistance mechanism investigation of Salmonella 4,[5],12:i:- by whole genome sequencing needs to be performed in our future studies.

The pathogenicity of *Salmonella* involves different virulence genes, which contribute to the invasionand reproduction of *Salmonella* in a complex environment [13]. The *invA*, *sseL*, *mgtC*, *siiE*, and *sopB* genes were highly conserved and were genetic markers for the *Salmonella* pathogenicity island (SPI) in *Salmonella* [51]. The high prevalence of these virulence genes in our study also indicated widespread and highly conserved. The prophage virulence genes *gipA*, *gtgB*, *sspH1*, and *sspH2* were highly prevalent, while the *sopE* gene was present in a few isolates. The *sopE* and *gipA* genes can be transferred by phages, then grow and survive in the Peyer's patches, which will significantly increase the toxicity of *Salmonella* [9]. By contraries, we found that plasmid virulence genes had shallow detection in *Salmonella* 4,[5],12:i:- isolates. The plasmid virulence spvC and *pefA* genes were not detected in all *Salmonella* 4,[5],12:i:- isolates. Similar observations have been recorded in previous studies [34, 52]. The *pefA* gene product facilitates bacterial attachment to host epithelial cells [53]. In contrast, *SpvC*, a

phosphothreonine lyase, is an effector protein involved in immune evasion in the early stages of infection and dissemination of the pathogen at the later stages [53]. These virulence genes were more prevalent in *Salmonella* Typhimurium and *Salmonella* Enteritidis isolates but were rare in *Salmonella* 4,[5],12:i:- [34, 54], which indicated that the presence of plasmid virulence in *Salmonella* might be related to specific serotypes. Generally, the plasmid virulence genes of *Salmonella* play a role in systemic infection, but not in the gastrointestinal form [55]. In our study, whether the absence of plasmid virulence genes in *Salmonella* 4,[5],12:i:- isolates were related to the origin of these isolates mainly from feces remains to be further confirmed.

In the last 20 years, the prevalent ST clones of *Salmonella* 4,[5],12:i:- have been changed. ST19 was the main ST clone in the US and Europe during 1991–2016, but the ST34 clone has become increasingly common since 2014 [10, 37]. In our study, all *Salmonella* 4,[5],12:i:- isolates were assigned to three STs and ST34 was the main clone, which was consistent with the European epidemic clone [11, 36]. MLST clustering tree showed that the genetic distance between ST34, ST1746 and ST19 was very close, with only one allele loci difference, indicating that *Salmonella* 4,[5],12:i:- ST19 was likely to be their clonal ancestors. Microevolution between different ST clones isolates remains determined by the Whole-genome sequencing technology and phylogenetic analysis.

Conclusions

In summary, we characterized the antimicrobial resistance, antimicrobial resistance gene, virulence profiles, and MLST of *Salmonella* 4,[5],12:i:- isolates from 2013 to 2018 in Guizhou, located in the southwest of China. Here, the prevalence of this serotype was at a high level. Isolates showed high rates of resistance to sulfamethoxazole, streptomycin, ampicillin, tetracycline, and doxycycline. A high burden of MDR was observed. Some isolates were co-resistant to ciprofloxacin, third and fourth-generation cephalosporins, and azithromycin had been found. Furthermore, the emergence of carbapenem-resistant and XDR isolates in *Salmonella* 4,[5],12:i:-. It is of great importance to strengthen the drug resistance monitoring of this serotype. Virulence genes and drug resistance genes were carried more frequently. The most common antimicrobial resistance genes were *bla_{TEM-1}*, *strA-strB*, *sul2* and *tetB*. A certain correlation between the antimicrobial phenotypes and genotypes was found. The examined *Salmonella* 4,[5],12:i:- isolates were mainly ST34. Our findings might be helpful to preliminary understand the characterization of this serotype in Guizhou. Further studies are needed to assess *Salmonella* 4,[5],12:i:- in more detail to better understand the antimicrobial resistance, pathogenicity, and genetic background.

Supporting information

S1 Fig. Identification of Salmonella Typhimurium and *Salmonella* 4,[5],12:i:- isolates by mPCR.

(DOCX)

S2 Fig. The PCR figures of resistance genes tested in this study. (DOCX)

S3 Fig. The PCR figures of virulence genes tested in this study. (DOCX)

S4 Fig. The PCR figure of housekeeping genes tested in this study. (DOCX)

S1 Table. The fljB and fljB-fljA genes primer and PCR cycling conditions information. (XLSX)

S2 Table. Antimicrobial resistance genes primer and PCR cycling conditions information. (XLSX)

S3 Table. Virulence genes primer and PCR cycling conditions information. (XLSX)

S4 Table. Housekeeping genes primer and PCR cycling conditions information. (XLSX)

S1 Raw images. (PDF)

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank nine Centers for Disease Control and Prevention in Guizhou, including Anshun, Bijie, Guiyang, Liupanshui, Qiandongnan, Qiannan, Qianxinan, Tongren and Zunyi, for providing Salmonella isolates. At the same time, we would also like to thank the suppliers for their consistent support.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Xiaoyu Wei.

Data curation: Li Long, Lv You, Dan Wang, Xiaoyu Wei.

Formal analysis: Li Long, Lv You, Xiaoyu Wei.

Investigation: Lv You, Xiaoyu Wei.

Methodology: Xiaoyu Wei, Shijun Li.

Project administration: Xiaoyu Wei.

Software: Dan Wang, Ming Wang, Junhua Wang, Jianhua Li.

Supervision: Shijun Li.

Validation: Li Long, Lv You, Guihuan Bai.

Visualization: Junhua Wang, Jianhua Li, Shijun Li.

Writing - original draft: Li Long, Xiaoyu Wei.

Writing - review & editing: Xiaoyu Wei.

References

- Majowicz SE, Musto J, Scallan E, Angulo FJ, Kirk M, Brien SJ, et al. The global burden of nontyphoidal Salmonella gastroen teritis. Clin Infect Dis. 2010; 50(6): 882–89. Epub 2010/02/18. <u>https://doi.org/10.1086/650733</u> PMID: 20158401.
- JM F. Prevalence of Salmonella in chicken carcasses in Portugal. Journal of Applied Bacteriology. 1990; 69: 477–80. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.1990.tb01538.x PMID: 2292513
- Mossong J, Marques P, Ragimbeau C, Huberty-Krau P, Losch S, Meyer G, et al. Outbreaks of monophasic Salmonella enterica serovar 4,[5],12:i:- in Luxembourg, 2006. Euro Surveill. 2007; 12(6): 11–12. Epub 2007/11/10. https://doi.org/10.2807/esm.12.06.00719-en PMID: 17991400.
- 4. Lettini AA, Saccardin C, Ramon E, Longo A, Cortini E, Dalla Pozza MC, et al. Characterization of an unusual *Salmonella* phage type DT7a and report of a foodborne outbreak of *salmonellosis*. Int J Food

Microbiol. 2014; 189: 11–17. Epub 2014/08/12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2014.07.021 PMID: 25108760.

- Hetta HF, Al-Kadmy IMS, Khazaal SS, Abbas S, Suhail A, El-Mokhtar MA, et al. Antibiofilm and antivirulence potential of silver nanoparticles against multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter *baumannii*. Sci Rep. 2021; 11(1): 10751. Epub 2021/05/26. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-90208-4 PMID: 34031472.
- Algammal AM, Hashem HR, Al-Otaibi AS, Alfifi KJ, El-Dawody EM, Mahrous E, et al. Emerging MDR-Mycobacterium avium subsp. avium in house-reared domestic birds as the first report in Egypt. BMC Microbiol. 2021; 21(1): 237. Epub 2021/08/28. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-021-02287-y PMID: 34445951.
- Algammal AM, Mabrok M, Sivaramasamy E, Youssef FM, Atwa MH, El-Kholy AW, et al. Emerging MDR-*Pseudomonas* ae ruginosa in fish commonly harbor oprL and toxA virulence genes and *blaTEM*, *blaCTX-M*, and *tetA* antibiotic-resistance genes. Sci Rep. 2020; 10(1): 15961. Epub 2020/10/01. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-72264-4 PMID: 32994450.
- Algammal AM, Hetta HF, Batiha GE, Hozzein WN, El Kazzaz WM, Hashem HR, et al. Virulence-determinants and antibiotic-resistance genes of MDR-*E. coli* isolated from secondary infections following FMD-outbreak in cattle. Sci Rep. 2020; 10(1): 19779. Epub 2020/11/15. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-75914-9 PMID: 33188216.
- Nguyen Thi H, Pham TT, Turchi B, Fratini F, Ebani VV, Cerri D, et al. Characterization of *Salmonella* spp. Isolates from Swine: Virulence and Antimicrobial Resistance. Animals (Basel). 2020; 10(12). Epub 2020/12/23. https://doi.org/10.3390/ani10122418 PMID: 33348681.
- Sun H, Wan Y, Du P, Bai L. The Epidemiology of Monophasic Salmonella Typhimurium. Foodborne Pathog Dis. 2020; 17(2): 87–97. Epub 2019/09/19. https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2019.2676 PMID: 31532231.
- 11. Antunes P, Mourao J, Pestana N, Peixe L. Leakage of emerging clinically relevant multidrug-resistant *Salmonella* clones from pig farms. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2011; 66(9): 2028–32. Epub 2011/06/24. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkr228 PMID: 21697179.
- Wang Y, Chen X, Wang R, Chen J, Sun C, Cao H. Study on the serotype, drug sensitivity and virulence genes of clinical isolates of *Salmonella* in Zhejiang Province. Chin J Infect P is. 2020; 38(10): 646–50.
- Cao C, Chen Q, Chen X, Zhong Y, Li M, Chen H. Virulence profile in 239 Salmonella isolates in Longyan, Fujian Province. Chinese Journal of Zoonoses 2019, 35, 720–5.
- Hauser E, Tietze E, Helmuth R, Junker E, Blank K, Prager R, et al. Pork Contaminated with Salmonella enterica Serovar 4,[5],12:i:-, an Emerging Health Risk for Humans. Applied and Environmental Microbiology. 2010; 76(14): 4601–10. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02991-09 PMID: 20472721
- Seixas R, Santos TR, Machado J, Tavares L, Bernardo F, Semedo-Lemsaddek T, et al. Phenotypic and Molecular Characteriza tion of *Salmonella* 1,4,[5],12:i:- R-Type ASSuT Isolates from Humans, Animals, and Environment in Portugal, 2006–2011. Foodborne Pathog Dis. 2016; 13(11): 633–41. Epub 2016/10/22. https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2016.2156 PMID: 27768382.
- Kim A, Lim SK, Lee K, Jung SC, Cho YS, Yun SJ, et al. Characterization of *Salmonella* enterica Serovar 4,[5],12:i:- Isolates from Korean Food Animals. Foodborne Pathog Dis. 2015; 12(9): 766–9. Epub 2015/07/21. https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2015.1947 PMID: 26192872.
- Nobuo Arai TS, Tamamura Yukino, Tanaka Kiyoshi, Barco Lisa, Izumiya Hidemasa, Kusumoto Masahiro, et al. Phylogenetic Characterization of *Salmonella* enterica Serovar Typhimurium and Its Monophasic Variant Isolated from Food Animals in Japan Revealed Replacement of Major Epidemic Clones in the Last 4 Decades. J Clin Microbiol. 2018; 56(5): e01758–01717. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.</u> 01758-17 PMID: 29491013
- Wasyl D, Hoszowski A. Occurrence and characterization of monophasic Salmonella enterica serovar typhimurium (1,4,[5],12:i:-) of non-human origin in Poland. Foodborne Pathog Dis. 2012; 9(11): 1037– 43. Epub 2012/09/27. https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2012.1154 PMID: 23009171.
- Cuenca-Arias P, Montano LA, Villarreal JM, Wiesner M. Molecular and phenotypic characterization of Salmonella Typhi murium monophasic variant (1,4,[5],12:i:-) from Colombian clinical isolates. Biomedica. 2020; 40(4): 722–33. Epub 2020/12/05. https://doi.org/10.7705/biomedica.5417 PMID: 33275350.
- Mandilara G, Sideroglou T, Chrysostomou A, Rentifis I, Papadopoulos T, Polemis M, et al. The Rising Burden of Salmonellosis Caused by Monophasic Salmonella Typhimurium (1,4,[5],12:i:-) in Greece and New Food Vehicles. Antibiotics (Basel). 2021; 10(2). Epub 2021/03/07. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/</u> antibiotics10020185 PMID: 33668483.
- You L, He X, Wei X, Li S, Wang B, Huang H, et al. Surveillance and analysis on the pathogenic feature of *Salmonella* from infectious diarrheal patients in Guiyang, China, 2013–2014. Chinese Journal of Zoonoses 2018, 34, 712–5.

- Long L, You L, Wei X, Li S, Wang D, Huang J, et al. Antimicrobial resistance and molecular typing of non-typhoid *Salmonella* clinical isolates from Guizhou Province in 2017–2018. Chinese Journal of Zoonoses 2021, 37, 603–10.
- Martine Guibourdenche PR, Mikoleit Matthew, Fields Patricia I, Bockemühl Jochen, Grimont Patrick A D, Weill François-Xavier. Supplement 2003–2007 (No. 47) to the White-Kauffmann-Le Minor scheme. Res Microbiol. 2010; 161(1): 26–29. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2009.10.002</u> Epub 2009 Oct 17. PMID: 19840847
- 24. Tennant SM, Diallo S, Levy H, Livio S, Sow SO, Tapia M, et al. Identification by PCR of non-typhoidal *Salmonella* enterica serovars associated with invasive infections among febrile patients in Mali. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2010; 4(3): e621. Epub 2010/03/17. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0000621 PMID: 20231882.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; 23th informa tional supplement. CLSI documents M100-S23. CLSI, 2017.
- Magiorakos AP, Srinivasan A, Carey RB, Carmeli Y, Falagas ME, Giske CG, et al. Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-re sistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2012; 18(3): 268–81. Epub 2011/07/ 29. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2011.03570.x PMID: 21793988.
- 27. Hasman H, Mevius D, Veldman K, Olesen I, Aarestrup FM. β-Lactamases among extended-spectrum β-lactamase (ESBL)- resistant *Salmonella* from poultry, poultry products and human patients in The Netherlands. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2005; 56(1): 115–21. Epub 2005/06/09. https://doi.org/10.1093/ jac/dki190 PMID: 15941775.
- 28. Abbas Doosti EM, Jami Mohammad-Saeid, Mokhtari-Farsani Abbas. Prevalence of aadA1, aadA2, aadB, strA and strB genes and their associations with multidrug resistance phenotype in Salmonella Typhimurium isolated from poultry carcasses. Thai J Vet Med. 2016; 46(4): 691–7.
- Zhang Y, Luo W, Zhang H, Ren Y, Ge R, Yang J. Detection of antibiotic resistance and resistance genes of *Salmonella* in pigeons in some areas in Sichuan. Animal Husbandry & Veterinary Medicine 2019, 51, 52–58.
- Liu S, Wu K, Zhou C, Liang J, Wei P. Foodborn Salmonella Isolates: Pathogenicity Evaluation and Detection of Virulence Genes. Food science 2018, 39, 182–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.7506/spkx1002-6630-</u>201817030
- Capuano F, Mancusi A, Capparelli R, Esposito S, Proroga YT. Characterization of drug resistance and virulotypes of *Salmo nella* strains isolated from food and humans. Foodborne Pathog Dis. 2013; 10 (11): 963–8. Epub 2013/10/10. https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2013.1511 PMID: 24102078.
- 32. McHugh ML. Interrater reliability: the kappa statistic. Biochem Med. 2012; 22(3): 276–82. PMID: 23092060
- Marin C, Chinillac MC, Cerda-Cuellar M, Montoro-Dasi L, Sevilla-Navarro S, Ayats T, et al. Contamination of pig carcass with *Salmonella* enterica serovar Typhimurium monophasic variant 1,4[5],12:i:- originates mainly in live animals. Sci Total Environ. 2020; 703: 134609. Epub 2019/11/23. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134609 PMID: 31753504.
- Yang X, Wu Q, Zhang J, Huang J, Guo W, Cai S. Prevalence and Characterization of Monophasic Salmonella Serovar 1,4,[5],12:i:- of Food Origin in China. PLoS One. 2015; 10(9): e0137967. Epub 2015/09/12. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0137967 PMID: 26360603.
- Arguello H, Sorensen G, Carvajal A, Baggesen DL, Rubio P, Pedersen K. Characterization of the emerging *Salmonella* 4,[5],12:i:- in Danish animal production. Foodborne Pathog Dis. 2014; 11(5): 366–72. Epub 2014/03/29. https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2013.1672 PMID: 24673107.
- Huang J, Ke B, Li B, He D, Liu Z, Li Z, et al. Molecular epidemiological characteristics and antibiotic resistance of multi- drug resistant *Salmonella* 1,4,[5]12:i:- in Guangdong. Disease Surveillance 2021, 36, 501–8.
- Zheng D, Ma K, Du J, Zhou Y, Wu G, Qiao X, et al. Characterization of Human Origin Salmonella Serovar 1,4,[5],12:i:- in Eastern China, 2014 to 2018. Foodborne Pathog Dis. 2021; 18(11): 790–7. Epub 2021/07/22. https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2021.0008 PMID: 34287022.
- Kijima M, Shirakawa T, Uchiyama M, Kawanishi M, Ozawa M, Koike R. Trends in the serovar and antimicrobial resistance in clinical isolates of *Salmonella* enterica from cattle and pigs between 2002 and 2016 in Japan. J Appl Microbiol. 2019; 127(6): 1869–1875. Epub 2019/08/29. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.14431</u> PMID: 31461201.
- Collignon P, Powers JH, Chiller TM, Aidara-Kane A, Aarestrup FM. World Health Organization ranking of antimicrobials according to their importance in human medicine: A critical step for developing risk management strategies for the use of antimicrobials in food production animals. Clin Infect Dis. 2009; 49(1): 132–141. Epub 2009/06/06. https://doi.org/10.1086/599374 PMID: 19489713.

- Sjolund-Karlsson M, Joyce K, Blickenstaff K, Ball T, Haro J, Medalla FM, et al. Antimicrobial susceptibility to azithromycin among *Salmonella* enterica isolates from the United States. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2011; 55(9): 3985–9. Epub 2011/06/22. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00590-11 PMID: 21690279.
- 41. Zhang J, Liu J, Xu F. Research Progress on Resistance of *Salmonella* Kentucky to Fluoroquinolones and Carbapenems. Chi neseAnimal Husbandry and Veterinarian 2020, 47, 3739–48
- Gallati C, Stephan R, Hachler H, Malorny B, Schroeter A, Nuesch-Inderbinen M. Characterization of Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar 4,[5],12:i:- clones isolated from human and other sources in Switzerland between 2007 and 2011. Foodborne Pathog Dis. 2013; 10(6): 549–54. Epub 2013/04/ 26. https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2012.1407 PMID: 23614800.
- 43. He J, Sun F, Sun D, Wang Z, Jin S, Pan Z, et al. Multidrug resistance and prevalence of quinolone resistance genes of *Salmonella* enterica serotypes 4,[5],12:i:- in China. Int J Food Microbiol. 2020; 330: 108692. Epub 2020/06/11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2020.108692 PMID: 32521291.
- 44. Garcia P, Guerra B, Bances M, Mendoza MC, Rodicio MR. IncA/C plasmids mediate antimicrobial resistance linked to viru lence genes in the Spanish clone of the emerging *Salmonella* enterica serotype 4,[5],12:i. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2011; 66(3): 543–9. Epub 2010/12/24. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkq481 PMID: 21177672.
- **45.** JinMing H. Molecular evolution characteristics and antibiotic resistance of *Salmonella* 1,4,[5],12:- in Guangdong. Southern Medical University. 2021.
- 46. Win AT, Supa-Amornkul S, Orsi RH, Carey JH, Wolfgang WJ, Chaturongakul S. Sequence Analyses and Phenotypic Charac terization Revealed Multidrug Resistant Gene Insertions in the Genomic Region Encompassing Phase 2 Flagellin Encoding fljAB Genes in Monophasic Variant *Salmonella* enterica Serovar 4,5,12:i:- Isolates From Various Sources in Thailand. Front Microbiol. 2021; 12: 720604. Epub 2021/10/23. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.720604 PMID: 34675896.
- He D, Chiou J, Zeng Z, Liu L, Chen X, Zeng L, et al. Residues Distal to the Active Site Contribute to Enhanced Catalytic Activity of Variant and Hybrid beta-Lactamases Derived from CTX-M-14 and CTX-M-15. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2015; 59(10): 5976–5983. Epub 2015/07/15. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1128/AAC.04920-14</u> PMID: 26169409.
- Elnekave E, Hong S, Mather AE, Boxrud D, Taylor AJ, Lappi V, et al. Salmonella enterica Serotype 4, [5],12:i:- in Swine in the United States Midwest: An Emerging Multidrug-Resistant Clade. Clin Infect Dis. 2018; 66(6): 877–85. Epub 2017/10/27. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cix909 PMID: 29069323.
- 49. Elnekave E, Hong SL, Lim S, Boxrud D, Rovira A, Mather AE, et al. Transmission of Multidrug-Resistant Salmonella enterica Subspecies enterica 4,[5],12:i:- Sequence Type 34 between Europe and the United States. Emerg Infect Dis. 2020; 26(12): 3034–3038. Epub 2020/11/22. https://doi.org/10.3201/ eid2612.200336 PMID: 33219795.
- 50. Xu Z, Wang M, Zhou C, Gu G, Liang J, Hou X, et al. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of retailmeat-borne Salmonella in southern China during the years 2009–2016: The diversity of contamination and the resistance evolution of multidrug-resistant isolates. International Journal of Food Microbiology. 2020; 333. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2020.108790 PMID: 32693316
- Xu L, Zhou X, Xu X, Matthews KR, Liu Y, Kuang D, et al. Antimicrobial resistance, virulence genes and molecular subtypes of S. Enteritidis isolated from children in Shanghai. J Infect Dev Ctries. 2018; 12(7): 573–80. Epub 2018/07/31. https://doi.org/10.3855/jidc.9733 PMID: 31954007.
- Proroga YTR, Mancusi A, Peruzy MF, Carullo MR, Montone AMI, Fulgione A, et al. Characterization of Salmonella Typhi murium and its monophasic variant 1,4, [5],12:i:- isolated from different sources. Folia Microbiol (Praha). 2019; 64(6): 711–8. Epub 2019/02/06. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12223-019-00683-6</u> PMID: 30721446.
- 53. Fardsanei F, Soltan Dallal MM, Zahraei Salehi T, Douraghi M, Memariani M, Memariani H. Antimicrobial resistance patterns, virulence gene profiles, and genetic diversity of *Salmonella* enterica serotype Enteritidis isolated from patients with gastroenteritis in various Iranian cities. Iran J Basic Med Sci. 2021; 24(7): 914–921. Epub 2021/10/30. <u>https://doi.org/10.22038/ijbms.2021.54019.12142</u> PMID: 34712421.
- Proroga YTR, Capuano F, Capparelli R, Bilei S, Bernardo M, Cocco MP, et al. Characterization of nontyphoidal *Salmonella* enterica strains of human origin in central and southern Italy. Ital J Food Saf. 2018; 7(1): 6888. Epub 2018/05/08. https://doi.org/10.4081/ijfs.2018.6888 PMID: 29732321.
- Ido N, Lee K, Iwabuchi K, Izumiya H, Uchida I, Kusumoto M, et al. Characteristics of *Salmonella* enterica serovar 4,[5],12:i:- as a monophasic variant of serovar Typhimurium. PLoS One. 2014; 9(8): e104380. Epub 2014/08/06. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0104380 PMID: 25093666.