

All-cause mortality in moderate and severe COVID-19 patients with myocardial injury receiving versus not receiving azvudine: a propensity score-matched analysis

Ru Chen^{1,2}, Yi Guo^{1,2}, Shan Deng^{1,2}, Jian Wang³, Meng Gao⁴, Hongli Han⁴, Lin Wang^{3,5*}, Hongwei Jiang^{6*}, Kai Huang^{1,2,7,8*}

Abstract

Background and purpose: Omicron is currently the dominant strain of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2, but little is known about the characteristics and management of omicron related myocardial injury, particularly the potential benefit of the antiviral agent azvudine.

Methods: Patients with confirmed and suspected coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) admitted to Wuhan Union Hospital from December 7, 2022, to December 30, 2022, were included in this study. Cox regression was conducted to identify risk factors for all-cause mortality. A propensity score-matched analysis was performed at a 1:1 ratio with a caliper of 0.1 pooled standard deviations of relevant confounders.

Results: The final analysis included a total of 332 patients (167 confirmed cases and 165 suspected cases), 42.77% (142/332) of the patients were 80 years of age or older and 68.67% (228/332) of them were men, 158 patients were treated with azvudine. In the matched cohort, the total mortality was 30.30% (60/198), 40 (20.20%, 40/198) patients received noninvasive ventilation and 22 (11.11%, 22/198) received invasive ventilation, 34 (17.17%, 34/198) patients were admitted to intensive care unit (ICU). The rate of shock, multiple organ damages and arrhythmia were 11.62% (23/198), 20.20% (40/198), and 12.12% (24/198), respectively. There was no significant difference on these clinical outcomes in patients treated with azvudine or not. Azvudine reduced early mortality (within 14 days from admission) (hazard ratio: 0.37, 95% confidence interval: 0.18–0.77) even after adjusting for other treatments including glucocorticoids, immunoglobin and anticoagulant therapy, but not the final in-hospital mortality of patients.

Conclusions: Patients with COVID-19-related myocardial injury had a high mortality of about 30.30% (60/198). Azvudine improved the early survival of the patients but not final mortality.

Keywords: COVID-19, Myocardial injury, Azvudine, Mortality

INTRODUCTION

Since the outbreak of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in 2019, five major variants of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) have emerged: alpha (B.1.1.7), beta (B.1.351), gamma (P.1), delta (B.1.617.2), and omicron (B.1.1.529)^[1,2]. In comparison to early wild-type strain, the late variants are generally more transmissible and tend to produce immune escape^[1]. Omicron (B.1.1.529) was named as a variant of concern (VOC) on November 26, 2021

¹Department of Cardiology, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430022, China.²Clinic Center of Human Gene Research, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430022, China.³Department of Clinical Laboratory, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430022, China.⁴Liyuan Cardiovascular Center, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430022, China.5Research Center for Tissue Engineering and Regenerative Medicine, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430022, China.6Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Ministry of Education Key Laboratory of Environment and Health, School of Public Health, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430022, China.⁷Hubei Key Laboratory of Metabolic Abnormalities and Vascular Aging, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430022, China.⁸Hubei clinical research center

of metabolic and cardiovascular disease, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430022, China.

Ru Chen and Yi Guo contributed equally to this work and shared co-first authorship.

^{*}Corresponding authors: Lin Wang, E-mail: lin_wang@hust.edu.cn; Hongwei Jiang, E-mail: 2011020088@hust.edu.cn; Kai Huang, E-mail: huangkai1@hust.edu.cn.

Copyright © 2023 China Heart House.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work noncommercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Cardiology Plus (2023) 8:2

Received: 19 January 2023; Accepted: 27 April 2023

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/CP9.000000000000049

by World Health Organization (WHO) and is now the dominant strain worldwide^[3]. In comparison to prior SARS-CoV-2 variants, omicron is highly transmissible and prone to immune escape^[4]. According to WHO, over 661 million confirmed cases of COVID-19 and over 6.7 million deaths have been reported globally as of January 13, 2023. During the omicron pandemic from December 5, 2022, to January 1, 2023, over 14.5 million cases and over 46,000 new fatalities were reported globally, representing an increase of 25% and 21% compared to the previous 28 days.

The lower respiratory tract seems to be less affected by the omicron variants, but details in difference to prior variants are not clear^[5]. For extrapulmonary presentations, myocardial injuries in patients with COVID-19 could manifest as dysrhythmias, acute coronary syndrome (ACS), heart failure, and myocarditis^[6]. Cardiovascular complications have been reported in 23% of the patients infected by prior SARS-CoV-2 variants^[7]. It was reported that myocarditis accounted for death in 7% of the patients^[8]. Left heart failure was associated with increased mortality and was present in 52% of nonsurvivors^[8]. Importantly, cardiac involvement in COVID-19 patients might have been underestimated. For example, 78% of patients with mild-to-moderate COVID-19 who underwent cardiac magnetic resonance imaging showed abnormal findings, mostly cardiac inflammation^[9]. In comparison to the delta variant, patients infected with the omicron variants tend to have less severe symptoms, lower rate of hospitalization and mortality^[10-12]. Nevertheless, myocarditis has been reported in patients infected with the omicron variants^[13].

Treatment strategy for myocardial injuries in COVID-19 patients has been poorly developed. Antiviral agents are intuitively important from an etiological viewpoint. Molnupiravir and Paxlovid (nirmatrelvir/ritonavir) are approved for use in COVID-19 patients. The rate of hospitalization and mortality could be decreased by 30% with molnupiravir and by 89% with Paxlovid^[14]. However, the US Food Drug Administration (FDA) approved molnupiravir only in adults and Paxlovid in persons aged ≥ 12 years weighing ≥40kg with mild-to-moderate COVID-19 who are at risk for progression^[15]. More importantly, worldwide use of molnupiravir and Paxlovid is limited due to cost issue. Azvudine is a nucleoside analog originally developed for human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection^[16]. In a small randomized trial using standard antiviral treatment (interferon alpha, kaletra, and ribavirin) as control, azvudine showed promising safety and efficacy in patients with mild and common COVID-19^[17]. Azvudine has been approved in China for use in COVID-19 patients, but the potential effects in patients with COVID-19-associated myocardial injury remain unclear.

We conducted a retrospective analysis and propensity score-matched analysis to compare all-cause mortality in COVID-19 patients with myocardial injuries receiving versus not receiving azvudine.

METHODS

Study design and participants

This retrospective analysis included consecutive symptomatic patients of COVID-19 with cardiac injury receiving treatment at Wuhan Union Hospital during a period from December 7, 2022, to December 30, 2022. The study was conducted in accordance with the *Declaration of Helsinki* (as revised in 2013) and approved by the ethics board of the Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China (NO. [2020]0073 in January 28, 2020). Due to the retrospective nature of the study, informed consent was waived.

Diagnosis of confirmed and suspected COVID-19 was established according to "Diagnosis and Treatment Program for Novel Coronavirus Pneumonia"(ninth edition)^[18]. Suspected COVID-19 cases were defined as any one of following epidemiology histories plus two of the following clinical manifestations, or all three clinical manifestations with no regards to the epidemiology histories. Epidemiology histories included: (1) having a history of travel or residence in communities with cases reported within 14 days before the patient's onset; (2) having a contact history with patients (a positive result of nucleic acid test of COVID-19) with 14 days before the patient's onset; (3) having a contact history with patients with fever or respiratory symptoms from communities with cases reported 14 days before the patient's onset; and (4) clustering occurrence of cases. Clinical manifestations included: (1) fever and/or respiratory symptoms; (2) having the imaging features of pneumonia caused by COVID-19; and (3) in the early stage, a normal or decreased total white blood cell count and a decreased lymphocyte count can be found. Definitive COVID-19 diagnosis was based on nucleic acid testing for COVID-19 or positive immunoglobulin G (IgG) and IgM antibodies targeting COVID-19. Cardiac injury was defined as the serum levels of troponin I increased above the 99th percentile upper reference limit^[19]. Severity of the disease was classified according to "Diagnosis and Treatment Program for Novel Coronavirus Pneumonia" (ninth edition) for the treatment of adult patients with common COVID-19. All cases admitted were at least ordinary cases, that is, each of them had symptoms like fever or respiratory tract symptoms and pneumonia manifestations proved by imaging. Severe disease was defined as: (1) respiratory distress, respiratory rate \geq 30 breaths/min; (2) oxygen saturation at <93% at rest state without oxygen therapy; (3) arterial partial pressure of oxygen $(PaO_2)/$ oxygen concentration (FiO₂) at \leq 300 mmHg (1 mmHg = 0.133 kPa), for high altitude areas (above 1 kilometer), PaO₂/FiO₂ values should be adjusted based on equation of $PaO_2/FiO_2 \times (atmospheric pressure [mmHg]/760)$, and (4) > 50% lesions progression within 24 to 48 hours in pulmonary imaging. Critical disease was defined as having at least one of the following conditions: (1) requirement of mechanical ventilation; (2) shock; and (3) requirement of intensive care unit (ICU) admission.

Data collection

Medical records were reviewed by a research team in Clinic Center of Human Gene Research, Union Hospital. Demographic characteristics (age and sex), comorbidities, symptoms, signs, laboratory findings, treatments and clinical outcomes were extracted and cross-checked. Patients with missing key data were excluded from the analysis. Azvudine was an antiviral drug used in the initial cohort. In our data, there are two distinct criteria on azvudine use: (1) use within 7 days from admission and (2) use as an initial therapy at baseline. The outcome of primary interest was all-cause mortality. Other outcomes included the rate of shock, multiple organ damage, arrhythmia, ICU admission and advanced oxygen support (transnasal high-flow oxygen inhalation, noninvasive ventilation and invasive ventilation).

Statistical analysis

Baseline characteristics were presented as mean (standard deviation [SD]) or median (interquartile range [IQR]) for continuous variables and percentages for categorical variables, respectively. Propensity score matching was conducted at a 1:1 ratio with a caliper of 0.1 pooled SDs based on the following variables: demographics, signs and symptoms at admission, laboratory examination, and comorbidities. Absolute standardized differences <25%, the threshold suggested by Robin and Stuart^[20], are considered inconsequential. To determine whether the results of our analysis was confounded by biases associated with prevalent drug use, we conducted covariate adjustment analyses using prevalent drugs (i.e., glucocorticoid, anticoagulant drug and intravenous immunoglobulin).

Pearson's χ^2 test and McNemar's test for categorical variables and Wilcoxon rank-sum test and Student's t test for paired samples for continuous variables were used to between-group comparisons, respectively. Cox proportional hazard regression was used to assess the potential association of azvudine use with outcomes. The cumulative probabilities of survival in the two treatment groups were estimated according to the Kaplan-Meier method. Results are presented as hazard ratio and its 95% confidence interval (95% CI). Regression analysis of all-cause mortality was adjusted by glucocorticoid, anticoagulant drug, and intravenous immunoglobulin. Subgroup analyses were used to evaluate the homogeneity of the association of azvudine with all-cause mortality. A sensitivity analysis was conducted using inverse probability of treatment weighting (IPTW). All statistical analyses were carried out using SAS version 9.3 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA). A 2-sided P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics

The final analysis included a total of 332 patients: 165 (49.70%) suspected cases and 167 (50.30%) confirmed cases. The propensity score-matched analvsis included 198 cases (100 confirmed cases and 98 suspected cases) (Figure 1). Demographic and clinical characteristics before and after propensity score matching are shown in Table 1. Details of the matching are shown in Supplementary Figure 1, 57.23% (190/332) of the patients were 80 years of age or younger and 68.67% (228/332) were male. Fever was the most common symptom in both groups. 63.25% (210/332) of the patients had hypertension and 37.95% (126/332) had co-existing cardiovascular diseases. Common laboratory findings included elevated neutrophil count, C-reactive protein (CRP) and D-dimer. The male sex was over-presented in the azvudine group.

Adverse clinical events

A total of 51 (15.36%, 51/332) patients were admitted to ICU (Table 2). Severe complications included shock in 34 (10.24%, 34/332) patients, multiple organ damages in 57 (17.17%, 57/332) patients and arrhythmia in 34 (10.24%, 34/332) patients. One patient received extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) treatment. A total of 91 (27.41%, 91/332) patients deceased. With the exception of advanced oxygen supply, these adverse clinical events did not differ between the azvudine and nonazvudine groups. Patients treated with azvudine were less likely to receive transnasal high-flow oxygen inhalation (P = 0.026), but had a higher risk of receiving noninvasive ventilation (P = 0.003) and invasive ventilation (P = 0.048). However this difference was diminished after propensity-matching.

All-cause mortality of patients

Compared with pre-match patients, those in the 1:1 propensity-matched cohort showed substantially improved balance (in terms of reduced absolute standardized differences <25%) across the 29 baseline characteristics (Supplementary Figure 1). After propensity-matching, all-cause mortality was 30.30%, with no difference between azvudine (29.29%) and nonazvudine group (31.31%). Azvudine significantly reduced early mortality (within 14 days of admission) (hazard ratio [HR]: 0.37, 95% CI: 0.18–0.77, P = 0.007) (Table 3) but not final mortality (Figure 2). Such effect remained even after adjusting for other treatments, including glucocorticoid, anticoagulant drugs, and intravenous immunoglobin (Table 3).

Azvudine treatment was associated with lower mortality in the subgroup analysis that only included patients with severe disease (HR: 0.27, 95% CI: 0.08–0.98), but not with critical disease and in patients <80



Figure 1. Flow chart of the study cohort.

CAD: cardiovascular disease; COVID-19: coronavirus disease 2019; hsTnl: high sensitivity troponin I.

years of age (HR: 0.31, 95% CI: 0.10–0.94) (Figure 3). No difference was observed in subgroup analysis based on sex, renal function and comorbidities including hypertension, diabetes, and cardiocerebral vascular disease.

As listed in Supplementary Table 1, the estimated effect size of azvudine was close to the value estimated after propensity-matching, and remained statistically significant after IPTW (HR: 0.67, 95% CI: 0.47–0.96; P = 0.029) and adjustment for propensity scores (HR: 0.35, 95% CI: 0.15–0.79). It suggested the robustness of our analysis.

DISCUSSION

Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2), the SARS-CoV-2 cell entry receptor, is expressed in cardiac muscle cells and endothelial cells^[21]. Viral invasion into cardiac cells results in inflammation and production of free radicals, relative hypoxia, and microvascular thrombosis,

thus causing direct myocardial cell damage^[21,22]. On the other hand, ACE2 is a dominant regulator of the reninangiotensin system (RAS). SARS-CoV-2 downregulates ACE2 and subsequently hyperactivates Ang II/AT1 system, leading to vasoconstrictive, proinflammatory, and prooxidant effects^[23]. During SARS-CoV-2 infection the activated immune system generates cytokine storm that could promote cardiomyocyte apoptosis and fibrosis^[24], inflammatory cell infiltration, and expression of procoagulant factors, contributing to propagation of microcirculatory lesions and endothelial dysfunction^[25]. Elevated circulatory macrophages might interact with preexisting atherosclerotic plaques possibly causing myocardial infarction^[26]. As a result, myocardial injury including myocarditis, heart failure, and myocardial infarction may manifest through one or more of these underlying mechanisms. Whether different variants of SARS-COV-2 infection affect the cardiovascular system in their unique way is unknown and more studies are required to further delineate the potential mechanisms.

		Before propensity-n	natching			After propensity-	-matching	
	Total	Without azvudine use $(n = 174)$	With azvudine use $(n = 158)$	P values*	Total	Without azvudine use $(n = 99)$	With azvudine use (<i>n</i> = 99)	P values*
Classification, n (%) Confirmed cases Suspected cases	167 (50.30) 165 (49.70)	97 (55.75) 77 (44.25)	70 (44.30) 88 (55.70)	970.0 970.0	100 (50.51) 98 (49.49)	54 (54.55) 45 (45.45)	46 (46.46) 53 (53.54)	0.418 0.418
Age, <i>n</i> (%) ≤80 y _ >80 y	190 (57.23) 142 (42.77)	101 (58.05) 73 (41.95)	89 (56.33) 69 (43.67)	0.752 0.752	120 (60.61) 78 (39.39)	60 (60.61) 39 (39.39)	60 (60.61) 39 (39.39)	1.000 1.000
Sex, <i>n</i> (%) Female Male	104 (31.33) 228 (68.67)	64 (36.78) 110 (63.22)	40 (25.32) 118 (74.68)	0.025 0.025	58 (29.29) 140 (70.71)	29 (29.29) 70 (70.71)	29 (29.29) 70 (70.71)	1.000 1.000
Comorbidity, <i>n</i> (%) Hypertension Diabetes	210 (63.25) 89 (26.81)	113 (64.94) 53 (30 46)	97 (61.39) 36 (22 78)	0.503	127 (64.14) 57 (28 79)	61 (61.62) 31 (31.31)	66 (66.67) 26 (26 26)	0.457 0.425
Cardiovascular disease	126 (37.95)	62 (35.63)	64 (40.51) 66 (40.51)	0.361	71 (35.86)	34 (34.34)	37 (37.37)	0.662
Cerebrovascular disease Chronic pulmonary disease	/0 (21.08) 61 (18.37) 60 (10.67)	40 (22.99) 38 (21.84)	30 (18.99) 23 (14.56)	0.372	41 (20.71) 28 (14.14)	20 (20.20) 13 (13.13)	21 (21.21) 15 (15.15)	0.683 0.683
unronic renal disease Cancer	02 (16.07) 35 (10.54)	41 (23.30) 21 (12.07)	z1 (13.29) 14 (8.86)	0.342	24 (14.00) 21 (10.61)	14 (14. 14) 12 (12.12)	(0.09) (0.09) (0.09)	0.493 0.493
Symptom Onset of symptom to hospital admission,	8.29 ± 6.46	8.61 ± 7.94	7.95 ± 4.28	0.490	8.13±6.15	8.08 ± 7.28	8.17 ± 4.79	0.923
Lever, $n(\%)$ Fever, $n(\%)$ Other symptoms, $n(\%)$	227 (68.37) 323 (97.29)	116 (66.67) 168 (96.55)	111 (70.25) 155 (98.10)	0.483 0.385	135 (68.18) 192 (96.97)	69 (69. 70) 96 (96.97)	66 (66.67) 96 (96.97)	0.662 1.000
Disease severity, <i>n</i> (%) Moderate	27 (8.13)	18 (10.34)	9 (5.70)	0.159	18 (9.09)	9 (9.09)	9 (9.09)	0.988
Severe Grave	202 (60.84) 103 (31.02)	108 (62.07) 48 (27.59)	94 (59.49) 55 (34.81)	0.159 0.159	115 (58.08) 65 (32.83)	57 (57.58) 33 (33.33)	58 (58.59) 32 (32.32)	0.988 0.988
Signs (mean ± SD)	37 33 ±1 08	37 93 ± 1 07	37 44 ± 1 00	0.030	37 32 ± 1 00	37 39 ± 1 11	37 32 ± 1 07	0 001
lemperature, ℃ Bespiration rate, breaths/min	21.99±13.05	37.23±1.07 22.70±17.68	21.20 ± 3.68	0.846	21.52 ± 3.84	21.59±3.49	21.45 ± 4.19	0.803
Heart rate, bpm	86.73 ± 18.63	87.40 ± 19.35	86.00 ± 17.83	0.846	86.73 ± 19.47	86.49 ± 19.23	86.96 ± 19.80	0.868
Systolic blood pressure, mmHg Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg	132.08 ± 23.33 76.89 ± 14.39	130.71 ± 24.36 76.94 ± 15.48	133.58 ± 22.13 76.82 ± 13.14	0.296 0.860	131.40±23.25 77.65±14.66	130.71 ± 25.30 77.42 ± 15.75	132.09 ± 21.11 77.87 ± 13.55	0.682 0.835
Laboratory results (mean ± >U) Hinheet he⊺n1 nn/I	2558 66 + 16404 84	1729 98 + 10 751 00	3471 26 + 20 938 00	0 170	1195 31 + 5953 12	1184 51 + 7238 93	1206 11 + 4340 33	0 980
White blood cell count, ×10°/L	7.76 ± 4.67	8.01 ± 4.97	7.48 ± 4.31	0.301	7.79±4.68	7.92 ± 5.02	7.66±4.37	0.700
Neutrophil count, ×10 ⁹ /L	6.50 ± 4.53	6.70 ± 4.85	6.27 ± 4.14	0.456	6.52 ± 4.57	6.62 ± 4.95	6.42 ± 4.18	0.761
Lymphocyte, ×10º/L	0.75 ± 0.55	0.77 ± 0.55	0.73 ± 0.55	0.683	0.75±0.58	0.77±0.54	0.74±0.62	0.740
nennogrounn, g/ c C-reactive nrotein mg/l	87 01 + 71 52	85.99+78.56	119.32 ± 22.04 88 13 + 63 10	0.186	92 37 + 76 19	95.95 + 85.96	88 79 + 65 23	0.518
Alanine aminotransferase. U/L	38.55 ± 77.36	43.60 ± 103.39	32.98 ± 27.93	0.527	32.34 ± 22.94	31.08 ± 20.88	33.61 ± 24.86	0.451
Blood urea nitrogen, mmol/L	14.22 ± 11.6	15.67 ± 12.71	12.63 ± 10.03	0.018	13.12±11.15	13.42 ± 11.90	12.82 ± 10.40	0.706
≤45	193 (58.13)	85 (48.85)	108 (69.35)	0.000	123 (62.12)	61 (61.62)	62 (62.63)	0.866
>45 D-dimer, ng/L	139 (41.87) 4.56±7.38	89 (51.15) 4.89 ± 7.23	50 (31.65) 4.20 ± 7.54	0.000 0.523	75 (37.88) 4.68 ± 8.08	38 (38.38) 4.63 ± 7.42	37 (37.37) 4.73 ± 8.72	0.866 0.936
"P values indicate differences between patients with use eGFR: estimated olomerular filtration rate: hsTnl: high ser	e of azvudine and without use	of azvudine. $P < 0.05$ was consi ard deviation.	dered statistically significant.					

Table 1

www.cardiologyplus.org

Volume 8 • Issue 2 • 2023

107

Table 2

Clinical outcomes by the use of azvudine in patients with myocardial injuries, before and after propensity score matching

	Before propensity score matching				After propensity score matching			
Clinical outcomes	Total	Without azvudine use (<i>n</i> = 174)	With azvudine use (<i>n</i> = 158)	P values*	Total	Without azvudine use (<i>n</i> = 99)	With azvudine use (<i>n</i> =99)	P values*
Transnasal high-flow oxygen inhalation use, n (%)	67 (20.18)	27 (84.48)	40 (25.32)	0.026	45 (22.73)	19 (19.19)	26 (26.26)	0.235
Noninvasive ventilation use, n (%)	60 (18.07)	21(12.07)	39 (24.68)	0.003	40 (20.20)	16 (16.16)	24 (24.24)	0.157
Invasive ventilation use, n (%)	31 (9.34)	11 (6.32)	20 (12.66)	0.048	22 (11.11)	10 (10.10)	12 (12.12)	0.651
ECMO use, n (%)	1 (0.30)	1 (0.57)	0 (0.00)	0.340	1 (0.51)	1 (1.01)	0 (0.00)	0.316
ICU admission, n (%)	51 (15.36)	23 (13.22)	28 (17.72)	0.256	34 (17.17)	17 (17.17)	17 (17.17)	1.000
Multiple organ dysfunction, n (%)	57 (17.17)	31 (17.82)	26 (16.46)	0.743	40 (20.20)	22 (22.22)	18 (18.18)	0.479
Cardiac arrhythmia, n (%)	34 (10.24)	20 (11.49)	14 (8.86)	0.429	24 (12.12)	14 (14.14)	10 (10.10)	0.384
Shock, <i>n</i> (%)	34 (10.24)	21 (12.07)	13 (8.23)	0.249	23 (11.62)	16 (16.16)	7 (7.07)	0.046
Death, n (%)	91 (27.41)	45 (25.86)	46 (29.11)	0.507	60 (30.30)	31 (31.31)	29 (29.29)	0.757

P values indicate differences between patients with use of azvudine and without use of azvudine. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

ECMO: extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; ICU: intensive care unit.

Table 3

Hazard ratios of azvudine use on COVID-19 death in patients with myocardial injuries adjusted by other medical treatments after propensity score matching

Adjustment	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	<i>P</i> value
Glucocorticoid	0.31 (0.13–0.71)	0.006
Anticoagulants	0.43 (0.20-0.92)	0.030
Glucocorticoid and anticoagulants	0.35 (0.14–0.84)	0.020
Intravenous immunoglobulin	0.36 (0.16–0.80)	0.011
Glucocorticoid and intravenous immunoglobulin	0.32 (0.13–0.75)	0.009
Anticoagulants and intravenous immunoglobulin	0.43 (0.19–0.98)	0.045
Glucocorticoid, anticoagulants, and intravenous immunoglobulin	0.37 (0.15–0.92)	0.033

95% CI: 95% confidence interval

Currently, there is no specific therapy for COVID-19induced myocardial injury. Several cases published in literature reported treatment strategies including antiviral drugs, corticosteroid, immunoglobulin, tocilizumab, and antiplatelets, especially for patients with ACS^[27,28]. Administration of nutritional supplements including coenzyme Q10, creatine phosphate, vitamin C, and deep sea fish oil was suggested in patients with COVID-19^[29] and myocardial injury. None of the completed and on-going trials of immunosuppressants in COVID-19 patients is designed for myocarditis^[27].

Azvudine is the first double-target nucleoside drug with a broad spectrum of antiviral effects including HIV, hepatitis C virus and hepatitis B virus, etc^[17]. In 2020,



Figure 2. Kaplan–Meier plot for all-cause mortality by the use of azvudine in patients with myocardial injuries. 95% CI: 95% confidence interval.



Figure 3. Association of azvudine with COVID-19 death in subgroup analysis of the propensity score-matched cohort. 95% CI: 95% confidence interval; COVID-19: coronavirus disease 2019; eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; HR: hazard ratio.

azvudine was first proved to shorten the mean time of the first nucleic acid negative conversion in mild and common COVID-19 patients^[17]. On July 25, 2022, the National Medical Products Administration granted conditional authorization for azvudine to treat COVID-19^[30]. In this study, patients with myocardial injury had a high mortality of about 30.30%, indicating the severe nature of such cases. Furthermore, only patients with moderate (8.13%)/severe (60.84%)/critical (31.02%) disease were included. Limited preparedness to face the challenge of large number of infected patients within a very short period of time might have also contributed to the poor outcome.

In the current study, the use of azvudine was associated with significantly prolonged survival time but not with the overall mortality. Results of the subgroup analysis suggested that younger patients (<80 years) with severe disease could benefit from azvudine use. Considering the multisystem involvement of the disease and the complexity of mechanisms through which viral infection causes myocardial injury, antiviral medication is necessary, but only one part of the overall treatments. Improved shortterm survival but not overall mortality in the azvudine group is consistent with this view.

The current study has several limitations. First, this is a single-centered retrospective study with limited sample size. Second, patients with mild disease were not included in the analysis. Third, RNA testing results were not available in a significant proportion of the patients.

CONCLUSIONS

COVID-19 patients with myocardial injury have a high risk of death and poor outcome. The use of azvudine was associated with improved 14-day mortality but not the overall mortality during the study period.

FUNDING

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No: 81830014 and 91949201).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

RC and YG drafted the manuscript. KH, SD, and LW participated in the research design and the performance of the research. YG, JW, MG, and HLH participated in data collection. HWJ analyzed the data and participated in the research design. KH and HWJ revised the manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest with regard to the content of this manuscript.

DATA SHARING STATEMENT

The datasets analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on request.

REFERENCES

- Tian D, Sun Y, Zhou J, *et al.* The global epidemic of SARS-CoV-2 variants and their mutational immune escape. J Med Virol 2022;94:847–857. doi:10.1002/jmv.27376.
- [2] Saxena SK, Kumar S, Ansari S, et al. Characterization of the novel SARS-CoV-2 Omicron (B.1.1.529) variant of concern and its global perspective. J Med Virol 2022;94:1738–1744. doi:10.1002/jmv.27524.
- [3] Rana R, Kant R, Huirem RS, *et al.* Omicron variant: current insights and future directions. Microbiol Res 2022;265:127204. doi:10.1016/j.micres.2022.127204.
- [4] Araf Y, Akter F, Tang YD, et al. Omicron variant of SARS-CoV-2: genomics, transmissibility, and responses to current COVID-19 vaccines. J Med Virol 2022;94:1825–1832. doi:10.1002/ jmv.27588.
- [5] Menni C, Valdes AM, Polidori L, et al. Symptom prevalence, duration, and risk of hospital admission in individuals infected with SARS-CoV-2 during periods of omicron and delta variant dominance: a prospective observational study from the ZOE COVID Study. Lancet 2022;399:1618–1624. doi:10.1016/ S0140-6736(22)00327-0.
- [6] Guo T, Fan Y, Chen M, et al. Cardiovascular implications of fatal outcomes of patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). JAMA Cardiol 2020;5:811–818. doi:10.1001/ jamacardio.2020.1017.
- [7] Long B, Brady WJ, Koyfman A, et al. Cardiovascular complications in COVID-19. Am J Emerg Med 2020;38:1504–1507. doi:10.1016/j.ajem.2020.04.048.
- [8] Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet 2020;395:1054–1062. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30566-3.
- [9] Puntmann VO, Carerj ML, Wieters I, et al. Outcomes of cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging in patients recently recovered from coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). JAMA Cardiol 2020;5:1265–1273. doi:10.1001/jamacardio.2020.3557.
- [10] Madhi SA, Kwatra G, Myers JE, et al. Population immunity and covid-19 severity with Omicron variant in South Africa. N Engl J Med 2022;386:1314–1326. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2119658.
- [11] Modes ME, Directo MP, Melgar M, et al. Clinical characteristics and outcomes among adults Hospitalized with laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection during periods of B.1.617.2 (Delta) and B.1.1.529 (Omicron) variant predominance - one hospital, California, July 15-September 23, 2021, and December 21, 2021-January 27, 2022. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2022;71:217–223. doi:10.15585/mmwr. mm7106e2.
- [12] Sheikh A, Kerr S, Woolhouse M, et al. Severity of omicron variant of concern and effectiveness of vaccine boosters against symptomatic disease in Scotland (EAVE II): a national cohort study with nested test-negative design. Lancet Infect Dis 2022;22:959– 966. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(22)00141-4.
- [13] Fishman B, Goitein O, Berkovitch A, et al. First report of myocarditis in two patients with COVID-19 Omicron variant: case report. Eur Heart J Case Rep 2022;6:ytac407. doi:10.1093/ehjcr/ ytac407.

- [14] Kozlov M. Why scientists are racing to develop more COVID antivirals. Nature 2022;601:496. doi:10.1038/ d41586-022-00112-8.
- [15] Saravolatz LD, Depcinski S, Sharma M. Molnupiravir and nirmatrelvir-ritonavir: oral coronavirus disease 2019 antiviral drugs. Clin Infect Dis 2023;76:165–171. doi:10.1093/cid/ciac180.
- [16] Yu B, Chang J. Azvudine (FNC): a promising clinical candidate for COVID-19 treatment. Signal Transduct Target Ther 2020;5:236. doi:10.1038/s41392-020-00351-z.
- [17] Ren Z, Luo H, Yu Z, *et al.* A randomized, open-label, controlled clinical trial of Azvudine tablets in the treatment of mild and common COVID-19, a pilot study. Adv Sci (Weinh) 2020;7:e2001435. doi:10.1002/advs.202001435.
- [18] China NHCotPsRo. Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of coronavirus disease 2019 (Ninth Trial Version). Chin J Viral Dis 2022;12:161–169. doi:10.16505/j.2095-0136.2022.0023.
- [19] Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. Lancet 2020;395:497–506. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30183-5.
- [20] Stuart EA. Matching methods for causal inference: a review and a look forward. Stat Sci 2010;25:1–21. doi:10.1214/09-STS313.
- [21] Chen L, Li X, Chen M, et al. The ACE2 expression in human heart indicates new potential mechanism of heart injury among patients infected with SARS-CoV-2. Cardiovasc Res 2020;116:1097– 1100. doi:10.1093/cvr/cvaa078.
- [22] Giustino G, Croft LB, Stefanini GG, et al. Characterization of myocardial injury in patients with COVID-19. J Am Coll Cardiol 2020;76:2043–2055. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2020.08.069.
- [23] Walls AC, Park YJ, Tortorici MA, et al. Structure, function, and antigenicity of the SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein. Cell 2020;181:281–292.e6. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.02.058.
- [24] Moccia F, Gerbino A, Lionetti V, et al. COVID-19-associated cardiovascular morbidity in older adults: a position paper from the Italian Society of Cardiovascular Researches. Geroscience 2020;42:1021–1049. doi:10.1007/s11357-020-00198-w.
- [25] Tay MZ, Poh CM, Rénia L, et al. The trinity of COVID-19: immunity, inflammation and intervention. Nat Rev Immunol 2020;20:363–374. doi:10.1038/s41577-020-0311-8.
- [26] Nencioni A, Trzeciak S, Shapiro NI. The microcirculation as a diagnostic and therapeutic target in sepsis. Intern Emerg Med 2009;4:413–418. doi:10.1007/s11739-009-0297-5.
- [27] Castiello T, Georgiopoulos G, Finocchiaro G, et al. COVID-19 and myocarditis: a systematic review and overview of current challenges. Heart Fail Rev 2022;27:251–261. doi:10.1007/ s10741-021-10087-9.
- [28] Siripanthong B, Nazarian S, Muser D, et al. Recognizing COVID-19-related myocarditis: the possible pathophysiology and proposed guideline for diagnosis and management. Heart Rhythm 2020;17:1463–1471. doi:10.1016/j.hrthm.2020.05.001.
- [29] Wei ZY, Qian HY. Myocardial injury in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia. Zhonghua Xin Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi 2020;48:E006. doi:10.3760/cma.j.issn.cn112148-20200220-00106.
- [30] Yu B, Chang J. The first Chinese oral anti-COVID-19 drug Azvudine launched. Innovation (Camb) 2022;3:100321. doi:10.1016/j.xinn.2022.100321.

How to cite this article: Chen R, Guo Y, Deng S, Wang J, Gao M, Han HL, Wang L, Jiang HW, Huang K. All-cause mortality in moderate and severe COVID-19 patients with myocardial injury receiving versus not receiving azvudine: a propensity score-matched analysis. Cardiol Plus 2023;8:103–110. doi: 10.1097/CP9.00000000000049.