

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Published Online November 23, 2020 https://doi.org/10.1016/ \$1473-3099(20)30910-5

See Online for appendix

A case of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection in Ecuador

Cases of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) reinfection have been reported in Hong Kong, Belgium, the Netherlands, and the USA.¹⁻⁴ Here we report the first confirmed case of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection in Ecuador and South America. For full methodological details, see the appendix (pp 1, 2).

On May 12, 2020, a 46-year-old man presented in Quito, Ecuador, with 3 days of intense headache and drowsiness. On May 16, he took a rapid antibody test that was positive for IgM and negative for IgG. On May 20, the patient tested positive on RT-PCR (ORF3a gene, Cq=36.85). Subsequently, the patient's symptoms improved, and he tested negative on RT-PCR on June 3 (appendix p 4).

On July 20, the patient presented once again with symptoms suggestive of COVID-19. This time the symptoms were more severe and included odynophagia, nasal congestion, fever of 38.5°C, back pain, productive cough, and dyspnoea. He received an RT-PCR test on July 22, with a positive result (N gene, Cq=30.82). Despite having moderate symptoms and dyspnoea, the patient did not require hospitalisation, and his clinical status improved after several days. A fourth RT-PCR test was done on Aug 4, which was negative (appendix p 4). Finally, an ELISA quantitative antibody test was done on Aug 18, showing anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG (34-1 NovaTec Units [NTU]) and IgM (54.2 NTU; both interpreted as positive).

SARS-CoV-2 genome sequencing was done using Oxford Nanopore

Technologies' MinION, following the ARTIC Network protocols.⁵ Phylogenetic analysis revealed that the first infection variant belonged to clade 20A and lineage B1.p9, whereas the second infection variant belonged to clade 19B and lineage A.1.1 (appendix p 4). The mutation loci and amino acid changes are described in the appendix (p 5). No shared mutations were observed between the two sequences, further suggesting that both variants resulted from distinct evolutionary trajectories.

Although reinfections with coronaviruses that cause the common cold have been reported previously,6 the symptoms of reinfections are usually milder than those of the primary infections. It was therefore surprising that our patient showed more severe disease with the second infection than with the first, especially because the patient did not have any additional clinical conditions that could explain it. Increased severity of second SARS-CoV-2 infections has been reported previously: in a healthy man aged 25 years in Nevada, USA,² and in an immunocompromised woman aged 89 years in the Netherlands, which resulted in her death.4

The protective immune response to SARS-CoV-2 infection is not fully understood; however, protection against severe disease has been shown in animal models and inferred in humans infected with the virus.⁷ Interestingly, the antibody test performed during the first infection event showed the presence of specific anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgM and no IgG. However, it is not possible using conventional antibody tests to determine whether a protective immune response developed.

BP-V and MB-W contributed equally. We declare no competing interests.

Belén Prado-Vivar,

Mónica Becerra-Wong,

Juan José Guadalupe, Sully Márquez, Bernardo Gutierrez, Patricio Rojas-Silva, Michelle Grunauer, Gabriel Trueba, Verónica Barragán, *Paúl Cárdenas pacardenas@usfq.edu.ec

Instituto de Microbiología (BP-V, MB-W, SM, PR-S, GT, VB, PC) and Laboratorio de Biotecnología Vegetal (JJG, BG), Colegio de Ciencias Biológicas y Ambientales, and Centro de Bioinformática (BP-V, PC) and Escuela de Medicina (MG), Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador; and Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK (BG)

- To KK-W, Hung IF-N, Ip JD, et al. COVID-19 re-infection by a phylogenetically distinct SARS-coronavirus-2 strain confirmed by whole genome sequencing. *Clin Infect Dis* 2020; published online Aug 25. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa1275.
- 2 Tillett RL, Sevinsky JR, Hartley PD, et al. Genomic evidence for reinfection with SARS-CoV-2: a case study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2020; published online Oct 12. https://doi. org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30764-7.
- 3 Iwasaki A. What reinfections mean for COVID-19. Lancet Infect Dis 2020; published online Oct 12. https://doi. org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30783-0.
- 4 Mulder M, van der Vegt DSJM, Oude Munnink BB, et al. Reinfection of SARS-CoV-2 in an immunocompromised patient: a case report. *Clin Infect Dis* 2020; published online Oct 9. https://doi. org/10.1093/cid/ciaa1538.
- 5 Quick J, Grubaugh ND, Pullan ST, et al. Multiplex PCR method for MinION and Illumina sequencing of Zika and other virus genomes directly from clinical samples. Nat Protoc 2017; 12: 1261–76.
- 6 Callow KA, Parry HF, Sergeant M, Tyrrell DAJ. The time course of the immune response to experimental coronavirus infection of man. Epidemiol Infect 1990; 105: 435-46.
- Ladhani SN, Jeffery-Smith A, Patel M, et al. High prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in care homes affected by COVID-19: prospective cohort study, England. EClinicalMedicine 2020; published online Nov 5. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100597.