## **RESEARCH ARTICLE**



OPEN ACCESS

# Bioadhesive polymer/lipid hybrid nanoparticles as oral delivery system of raloxifene with enhancive intestinal retention and bioavailability

Xinhui Du<sup>a</sup>, Na Gao<sup>b</sup> and Xiaoyong Song<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Out-patient Department, Huaihe Hospital Affiliated to Henan University, Kaifeng, China; <sup>b</sup>Department of Pharmaceutics, People's Hospital of Jinming District, Kaifeng, China; <sup>c</sup>School of Pharmacy, Henan University, Kaifeng, China

#### ABSTRACT

Raloxifene (RLX) is a second-generation selective estrogen receptor modulator used to treat osteoporosis in postmenopausal women. RLX fails to be developed into injectable dosage forms due to poor solubility. Although oral formulations are clinically available, the lower bioavailability (<2%) embarrasses the pharmaceutists. This work reported a bioadhesive nanosystem intended for oral delivery of RLX to enhance its oral bioavailability and address the formulation challenge. The bioadhesive nanosystem refers to polymer-lipid hybrid nanoparticles made up of Carbopol 940, glyceryl distearate, and TGPS. RLX was solidly encapsulated into bioadhesive nanoparticles (bNPs) through a nanoprecipitation technique along with synchronous desalting of RLX-HCI. The resultant RLX-loaded bNPs (RLX-bNPs) were characterized by particle size,  $\zeta$  potential, morphology, and entrapment efficiency. The *in vitro* release and in vivo oral bioavailability of RLX-bNPs in rats were comparatively investigated with RLXloaded common lipid nanoparticles (RLX-cNPs). The preferred formulation possesses a particle size of 150 nm around with a polydispersity index (PDI) of 0.282. RLX-bNPs exhibited slower drug release than RLX-cNPs owing to the presence of an adhesive layer. After oral administration, RLX-bNPs resulted in significant enhancement in the bioavailability of RLX, up to 556.9% relative to RLX suspensions, while it was merely 244.7% for RLX-cNPs. Cellular testing and ex vivo transport imaging demonstrated that bNPs were endowed with excellent intestinal epithelial affinity and absorbability. Our study affords an alternative option for designing a suitable oral delivery system specific to amphiphobic drugs like RLX-HCI.

# 1. Introduction

Raloxifene (RLX) is a type of drug called selective estrogen receptor modulator (SERM) used to treat osteoporosis in postmenopausal women and estrogen-dependent breast cancer (Ko & Jordan, 2011). The oral bioavailability of RLX reported to be less than 2% due to first-pass effect and poor solubility (Hochner-Celnikier, 1999). The chemical form of RLX available on the market is its hydrochloride (RLX·HCI). Despite being processed into hydrochloride, its solubility is still unmet, not only poorly soluble in water but also in oil (i.e. amphiphobic), resulting in a great challenge in formulation. To overcome the undesirable physiochemical properties, a variety of formulation strategies have been explored for optimizing the oral delivery of RLX·HCl, including lipid-based nanoparticles (Ravi et al., 2014; Izgelov et al., 2018; Soni et al., 2020), mesoporous carbon nanospheres (Ye et al., 2016), microemulsions (Shah et al., 2018), inclusion complexes-based nanoparticles (Wang & Li, 2018), and nanomicelles (Varshosaz et al., 2019). To varying degrees, these systems improved the oral bioavailability of RLX·HCl. However, previous studies paid less attention to the issues of

#### **ARTICLE HISTORY**

Received 16 December 2020 Revised 1 January 2021 Accepted 4 January 2021

#### **KEYWORDS**

Raloxifene; hybrid nanoparticles; bioadhesion; bioavailability; intestinal retention

loading stability and intestinal transport time. The hydrophilicity of hydrochloride makes RLX-HCI easily precipitate from nanoparticles. In addition, common nanocarriers are rarely featured with a longish intestinal retention. Therefore, it is highly encouraged to develop a novel carrier system to ulteriorly improve the oral delivery of RLX.

Polymer-lipid hybrid nanoparticles made up of a functional polymer and a biocompatible lipid are emerging as nanodrug carriers that integrate the beneficial features of both polymeric and lipidic nanocarriers (Rao & Prestidge, 2016; Maghrebi et al., 2019). Different from single polymeric nanoparticles (Jahangir et al., 2018), the lipid component facilitates stable encapsulation for drug, while the polymer provides functionalities for nanoparticles, such as enhancive stability and accessorial bioadhesion. Plenty of studies have demonstrated the superiority of polymer-lipid hybrid systems to single-component counterparts in formulation stability, drug loading, release regulation, cellular uptake, and intestinal absorption (Varthya et al., 2016; Hallan et al., 2017; Dalmoro et al., 2018; Gou et al., 2018; Ren et al., 2018; Ana et al., 2019; Yin et al., 2019). In terms of oral polymer-lipid hybrid nanoparticles, the introduction of a polymer with low

CONTACT Xinhui Du 🐼 kfduxinhui@126.com 💽 Huaihe Hospital Affiliated to Henan University, No. 1 Baobei Road, Kaifeng 475000, China; Xiaoyong Song 🐼 pharmazxw@yeah.net 🝙 School of Pharmacy, Henan University, North Jinming Road, Kaifeng 475004, China

© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

digestibility or degradability reinforces the gastrointestinal stability of nanocarriers (Yin et al., 2016; Joyce et al., 2017), which is favorable for preventing drug precipitation from carriers upon digestion. As known, the absorption amount of a drug is proportional to the transport time of its preparations in the gastrointestinal tract. At this point, bioadhesive polymers have considerable advantage over nonadhesive ones in potentiating the performance of oral nanoparticles. On the one hand, the bioadhesive polymer intensifies the physiological stability of lipid-containing nanoparticles; on the other hand, it renders the carrier a bioadhesive trait. These two factors jointly prolong the gastrointestinal transport time and drug absorption duration. A bioadhesive polymer–lipid hybrid system should be more promising in ameliorating the oral bioavailability of RLX.

In this study, a bioadhesive polymer–lipid hybrid system based on carbomer, glyceryl distearate, and TGPS was developed for oral delivery of RLX. RLX-loaded bioadhesive polymer–lipid hybrid nanoparticles (RLX-*b*NPs) were prepared through a nanoprecipitation technique upon synchronous desalting of RLX·HCI. We characterized RLX-*b*NPs with particle size,  $\zeta$  potential, morphology, and entrapment efficiency. The *in vitro* drug release and *in vivo* bioavailability were investigated followed by cellular and bioadhesive evaluations.

## 2. Materials and methods

## 2.1. Materials

Raloxifene hydrochloride (RLX·HCl), D-α-tocopheryl polyethylene glycol 1000 succinate (TPGS) and 3,3'-dioctadecyloxacarbocyanine perchlorate (DiO) were purchased from Aladdin Bio-Chem Technology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Carbomer (Carbopol 940) was provided by Nanjing Well Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Nanjing, China). Glyceryl distearate (Precirol ATO 5) was kindly gifted by Gattefosse (Saint-Priest Cedex, France). All other chemicals were of analytical grade and used as received.

## 2.2. Preparation of RLX-bNPs

RLX-bNPs were prepared through a nanoprecipitation technique with a minor modification (Du et al., 2019; Li et al., 2019). First, RLX·HCl was dissolved in 75% ethanol solution containing an appropriate amount of NaOH. The alkalic solution resulted in desalting of RLX-HCl and generated hydrophobic RLX. Then, Precirol ATO 5, Carbopol 940, and TGPS were introduced into the solution followed by heating at 55 °C. After all ingredients have been dissolved, the solution was slowly injected into water under agitation (1000 rpm) for self-assembly. Upon diffusion of ethanol into water, RLX precipitated with other components and formed RLX-bNPs due to solubility plummet. After that, the residual solvent was removed by evaporation under a reduced pressure. The factors influencing the formulation properties (particle size and EE) were investigated by altering one variable and fixing others, including the ratio of RLX to excipients and the ratio

of organic to aqueous phase. In addition, RLX-loaded common lipid nanoparticles (RLX-cNPs), as a reference formulation, were prepared following the same procedure using Precirol ATO 5 alone.

#### 2.3. Characterization of nanoparticles

The particle size and  $\zeta$  potential of RLX-*b*NPs and RLX-*c*NPs were measured using a laser particle analyzer (Zetasizer Nano ZS, Malvern, Worcestershire, UK) at 25 °C. The samples were diluted 50 times around with deionized water and then proceeded to laser diffraction or electrophoretic mobility. The particle size and  $\zeta$  potential were output from the build-in software based on the principles of dynamic light scattering and Doppler velocimetry. The morphology of RLX-*b*NPs was observed by a JEM-1200EX transmission electron microscope (TEM) (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan). The samples were properly diluted and directly inspected under TEM after fixation. TEM micrographs were taken with CCD camera at the acceleration voltage of 100 kV.

## 2.4. Determination of EE

The determination of EE referred to the reported procedure (Li et al., 2019). RLX-*b*NPs were first centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 5 min to remove the possibly unloaded RLX. Afterward, the upper clear nanosuspensions were withdrawn and placed in a centrifugal filter device (Amicon<sup>®</sup> Ultra-0.5, MWCO 50 kDa, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). The samples were then subjected to centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 10 min to collect the filtrate. RLX concentration in the filtrate was analyzed by HPLC established below. EE was calculated using the equation: EE (%)=(1 -  $M_{\rm fre}/M_{\rm tot})$ ×100%, where  $M_{\rm fre}$  and  $M_{\rm tot}$  denote the amount of free RLX in RLX-*b*NPs and total RLX in the system, respectively.

Chromatographic assay on RLX was performed by an Agilent 1200 Series HPLC system (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA) equipped with an UV detector. The samples were eluted against a Thermo Syncronis C<sub>18</sub> column (5  $\mu$ m, 4.6 mm  $\times$  250 mm) at 40 °C with an injection volume of 20  $\mu$ L. The mobile phase consisted of 35% acetonitrile and 65% water adjusted to pH 3.0 with formic acid that was pumped at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min (Ye et al., 2016). The signals of eluents were collected at 288 nm.

#### 2.5. In vitro release study

The *in vitro* release of RLX-*c*NPs and RLX-*b*NPs were carried out in water, 0.1 M HCl, and pH 6.8 PBS using a reverse dialysis technique (Zhang et al., 2015). To create a sink condition, Tween 80 (1%, g/w) was added into the release medium as a solubilizing agent. Aliquots of samples equal to 25 mg of RLX were added into the dissolution cups loading 250 mL of release medium. Meanwhile, the ready-to-use dialysis tubes (MWCO 100 kDa) loading blank medium were placed in the dissolution cups. Dialysis was performed at 37 °C under stirring of 100 rpm. At 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8, 10, and 12 h, 200  $\mu$ L of release solution were withdrawn from

the tube. The concentration of RLX in the dialysates was determined by HPLC as described above. The accumulative release percentages of RLX from nanoparticles in three different kinds of media were calculated, and the release profiles were plotted accordingly.

#### 2.6. Bioavailability study in rats

SD rats  $(220 \pm 20 \text{ g})$  were fasted overnight prior to administration but allowed access to water *ad lib*. The rats were randomly grouped into three groups (n=6), i.e. RLX suspensions (dispersed in 0.5% CMC–Na solution), RLX-cNPs, and RLX-*b*NPs. Then, the rats were given with RLX preparations at the dose of 25 mg/kg by gavage. At the predetermined intervals (0.5, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 12, 16, and 24 h), approximately 0.25 mL of blood were withdrawn from the caudal vein and transferred into heparinized tubes. The blood samples were immediately centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 5 min to collect the plasma. The protocols for animal experiment were reviewed and approved by the Experimental Animal Ethical Committee of Henan University.

The resulting plasmas were deproteinized with fourfold volume of acetonitrile (Yin et al., 2017c). The plasma samples were mixed with acetonitrile and eddied for 60 s. After vortex, the mixtures were centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 5 min to collect the supernatants. RLX in the supernatants was quantified by HPLC as described above with oridonin as an internal standard. The pharmacokinetic data were processed using a freely available program, PKSolver 2.0.

## 2.7. Cellular uptake and internalization

Caco-2 cells were used to evaluate the cellular uptake of free RLX (solution), RLX-cNPs, and RLX-*b*NPs. Caco-2 cells from ATCC were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 100 IU/mL of penicillin–streptomycin solution at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. For the cellular uptake experiment, the cells were seeded in 12-well plate at a density of  $1 \times 10^5$  cells/well and cultured for 24 h. Next, the culture medium was discarded and replaced by DMEM-diluted RLX, RLX-*c*NPs, or RLX-*b*NPs (50 µg/mL). The cells were incubated with the medications for 0.5, 1, and 2 h at 37 °C, respectively. After washing twice with pH 7.4 HBSS, the cells were lysed by RIPA lysis buffer. After vortex for 3 min, the lysates were centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 5 min under 4 °C to collect the supernatant. RLX in the supernatant was then analyzed by HPLC.

Another batch of well-cultured cells was used to observe the cellular internalization of RLX-*c*NPs and RLX-*b*NPs. Caco-2 cells were cultured in a six-well plate for 24 h, in which microslides were placed in advance. Subsequently, the cells were incubated with DiO-labeled RLX-*c*NPs and RLX-*b*NPs at 37 °C for 0.5 h. Afterwards, the cells were washed twice with cold HBSS and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 1 h. The internalization of nanoparticles into Caco-2 cells was observed using a LSM510 CLSM (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) after nucleus staining with Hoechst 33258.

## 2.8. Bioadhesion evaluation

Bioadhesion of RLX-*b*NPs was evaluated by a modified mucin particle method (Takeuchi et al., 2005) and *ex vivo* imaging of intestinal transport (Deng et al., 2019). To prepare the mucin particles, 1 g of mucin powders from the porcine stomach and intestine were added into 100 mL of saline and subjected to stirring for 12 h. The coarse mucin particles were then incubated overnight at 37 °C. The mucin suspensions with a mean particle size of ~300 nm were prepared by sonication at 300 W for 15 min. After centrifugation at 5000 rpm for 10 min, a supernatant containing homogeneous submicron mucin particles was obtained. Then, an aliquot of RLX-cNPs or RLX-*b*NPs (0.5 mL) was added to 1.5 mL of mucin suspensions and continuously incubated for 0.5, 1, and 2 h at 37 °C. Then, the change in particle size and  $\zeta$  potential pertinent to the system were measured.

To investigate the intestinal retention of different nanocarriers, DiO-labeled RLX-*c*NPs and RLX-*b*NPs were freshly prepared. SD rats fasted for two days were orally administrated with DiO-labeled RLX-*c*NPs and RLX-*b*NPs. The rats were sacrificed by cervical dislocation 1 h after administration. Afterwards, the small intestine of rats was taken out, and a length of the duodenum, jejunum, and ileum was cut off, respectively. The intestinal segments were rinsed using cool saline for twice followed by fixation with 4% paraformaldehyde. Then, the intestinal segments were made into paraffin slices and stained with DAPI. The fluorescence staining of the absorptive epithelium by RLX-*c*NPs and RLX-*b*NPs was appreciated using CLSM.

## 3. Results and discussion

## 3.1. Preparation and characterization of RLX-bNPs

The great challenge of formulating RLX-HCl into nanoparticles lies in its amphiphobicity that tends to result in precipitation after encapsulation due to immiscibility with both water and oil or lipid. In this study, we dissolved RLX·HCl in an alkalic cosolvent to desalinate it and then encapsulated free base into nanoparticles by a solvent diffusion technique. The formulation factors influencing the performance of nanoparticles are shown in Figure 1. The ratio of drug to excipients had great effects on the particle size and EE of RLX-bNPs. The particle size of nanoparticles increased with increase of the ratio of drug to excipients, while the EE moved toward the opposite direction. A lower ratio of drug to excipients in the formulation would be beneficial for particle size reduction and EE augment. It indicates that more drug molecules affect the self-assembly of polymer and lipid under the action of TPGS due to its high melting point. The organic/water phase ratio upon preparation showed marginal effects on the particle size and EE of nanoparticles below the ratio of 1/7.5. Nevertheless, a lower ratio of organic to water phase was also advantageous to produce smaller RLX-bNPs with a high EE. This may be related to the diffusion velocity of ethanol toward water. At a low concentration, carrier materials tend to self-assemble quickly and thus encapsulate the drug immediately. Considering the advantages of small



Figure 1. Effects of RLX/excipients ratio (A) and organic/aqueous phase ratio (B) on particle size and EE of nanoparticles. Lipidic components include Precirol ATO 5, Carbopol 940, and TGPS in a fixed ratio of 2:2:1.

particle size and high EE in oral drug delivery, the formulation was finalized as 10 mg of RLX (base), 60 mg of Precirol ATO 5, 60 mg of Carbopol 940, and 30 mg of TGPS that were formulated into 20 mL of water using 2 mL of 75% ethanol.

RLX-*b*NPs prepared from the final formulation were 156 nm in particle size with a polydispersity index (PDI) of 0.282 (Figure 2(A)). The nanosuspension of RLX-*b*NPs showed a transparent blue appearance (Figure 2(B)). RLX-*b*NPs were spherical in morphology as revealed by TEM (Figure 2(C)). The particle size present in TEM was slightly smaller than the hydrodynamic size measured based on the dynamic light scattering. This can be explained by dehydration of nanoparticles, especially when there is a bioadhesive layer around the nanoparticles. The EE of RLX-*b*NPs was measured to be 94.47%, demonstrating a high drug loading. In addition, RLX-*b*NPs were negatively charged with a  $\zeta$  potential of -36.2 mV. The absolute  $\zeta$  potential over 25 mV also suggests that the prepared RLX-*b*NPs possess acceptable colloidal stability owing to proper electrical layer (Das et al., 2012).

In the formulation, glyceryl distearate (Precirol ATO 5) serves as a lipid matrix, Carbopol 940 functions as a bioadhesive material, and TGPS plays the role of apportion-promoting enhancer. These three materials were adopted on purpose to construct bioadhesive nanocarrier based on their respective functions. ATO 5 is a solid lipid above the body temperature that can guarantee high drug entrapment and sustained drug release (Xing et al., 2018). Carbomers with a brand name Carbopol, are synthetic macromolecular polymers based on acrylic acid that are crosslinked with either allyl sucrose or allyl ethers of pentaerythritol. Upon contact with liquid, carbomers become hydrated and swelling, and can interact with mucoproteins in the mucus and adhere to the mucosa (Chawla & Saraf, 2012), thus providing bioadhesion. TPGS is a versatile biomaterial that can be used as emulsifier, drug solubilizer, absorption enhancer, and as a modifier for lipid-based drug delivery systems (Yang et al., 2018). In this study, we integrated these functional materials into the formulation of nanoparticles in an attempt to collaboratively enhance the oral delivery efficacy.



Figure 2. Characterization of RLX-bNPs: particle size distribution (A), appearance (B), and TEM morphology (C).

#### 3.2. In vitro drug release

The *in vitro* release profiles of RLX from RLX-*c*NPs and RLX*b*NPs are shown in Figure 3. RLX-*c*NPs and RLX-*b*NPs exhibited different release profiles. RLX release from RLX-*c*NPs was obviously faster than from RLX-*b*NPs in three media. We assumed that this was attributable to the distinct structure of nanoparticles. RLX-*b*NPs contained a partial proportion of bioadhesive carbomers. This material becomes swelling and



**Figure 3.** In vitro release profiles of RLX from RLX-cNPs and RLX-bNPs in the media of 0.1 M HCl, water, and pH 6.8 PBS. Data expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD (n= 3).

glutinous after adsorbing water that can form a diffusion laver, resulting in slowdown of drug release. In addition, the release pattern of RLX-cNPs was different from RLX-bNPs in terms of different release media. RLX-cNPs showed the fastest RLX release in the medium of 0.1 M HCl. This can be explained by the chemical nature of RLX, which is a weak base after desalting that has high solubility in the acidic medium, so the release of RLX from RLX-cNPs in 0.1 M HCl was relatively fast compared to other two media. However, the reverse event has taken place in the case of RLX-bNPs. RLX-bNPs exhibited the slowest drug release in 0.1 M HCl. We think it has something to do with protonization of carbomers in the presence of H<sup>+</sup> that compacts the diffusion layer. In three media, the accumulative release of RLX-bNPs did not exceed 42% within 8h, a time approximate to the gastrointestinal transport. Anyhow, the drug release of RLXbNPs was relatively slow where no burst release occurred. It has been reported that RLX-HCl is difficultly encapsulated into nanocarriers due to amphiphobicity (Ye et al., 2016; Shah & Rajput, 2018). In this study, we achieved a stable encapsulation of RLX into nanoparticles via in situ desalting. In vitro release study indicates that RLX would not leak guickly from nanoparticles. The sustained release allows the majority of RLX molecules to be entrapped in the nanoparticles as transporting across the gastrointestinal tract, which is advantageous to RLX absorption via intact nanoparticles. The in vitro release profiles of RLX-bNPs follow the first-order kinetic process ( $R^2$ =0.9562), which demonstrating a diffusioncontrolled release along with the devised nanocarrier (Fu & Kao, 2010; Yin et al., 2017b).

#### 3.3. Bioavailability enhancement

The pharmacokinetic profiles of RLX suspensions, RLX-cNPs and RLX-bNPs after oral administration to rats are shown in Figure 4. The main pharmacokinetic parameters processed by non-compartment model are listed in Table 1. RLX-HCI was poorly absorbed with a suspension formulation. The



**Figure 4.** In vivo pharmacokinetic curves of plasma RLX concentration versus time after oral administration of RLX-HCI suspensions, RLX-cNPs and RLX-bNPs at a dose of 10 mg/kg (n = 6).

Table 1. Comparative pharmacokinetic parameters of RLX in rats after oral administration of RLX-HCI suspensions, RLX-cNPs, and RLX-bNPs (n= 6).

	•		
Preparation	RLX-HCI suspensions	RLX-cNPs	RLX- <i>b</i> NPs
C <sub>max</sub> (μg/mL)	$0.403 \pm 0.182$	$1.030 \pm 0.385$	1.995 ± 0.562**
T <sub>max</sub> (h)	$3.570 \pm 0.653$	$3.449 \pm 0.458$	$4.049 \pm 0.275^{*}$
$AUC_{0-t}$ (µg/mL*h)	3.872 ± 1.221	9.591 ± 1.723	21.562 ± 2.321**
MRT (h)	$7.141 \pm 0.338$	$6.902 \pm 0.686$	$8.102 \pm 0.774^{*}$
RBA	-	244.7%	556.9% <sup>**</sup>

MRT: mean residence time; RBA: relative bioavailability calculated based on one-compartment model; ANOVA, \*p< .05, \*\*p< .01, compared with RLX-cNPs and RLX-HCl suspensions.

peak plasma concentration ( $C_{max}$ ) was just 0.534 µg/mL. This may be concerned with ionization of RLX·HCl, since ionic drugs are generally difficult to transport across the epithelial membrane. As formulated into lipid nanoparticles, the intestinal absorption of RLX was greatly improved as reflected by RLX-cNPs. The C<sub>max</sub> increased to 1.372 µg/mL. For one thing, RLX·HCl being transferred into base in RLX-cNPs is favorable for intestinal absorption (Yin et al., 2017a); for another lipid nanoparticles may facilitate the intestinal transport of the cargo by the lymphatic route (Burra et al., 2013). Compared to RLX-cNPs, RLX-bNPs resulted in higher blood drug levels and absorption extent. The  $C_{max}$  rose to 2.536  $\mu$ g/mL, and the area under the plasma concentration versus time curve (AUC<sub>0-t</sub>) also increased several times. The oral bioavailability of RLX-bNPs was calculated to be 556.9% relative to RLX-HCI suspensions, while it was merely 244.7% with respect to RLXcNPs. From the viewpoint of  $T_{max}$  (the time to  $C_{max}$ ), the absorption phase of RLX-bNPs was longer than that of RLXcNPs, which could be ascribed to increased intestinal retention of RLX-bNPs due to good mucoadhesion. Likewise, the mean residence time (MRT) of RLX-bNPs was longer than that of RLX-cNPs. These results turn out that RLX-bNPs can prolong the absorption duration of RLX and thus enhance oral bioavailability thereof.

The parent compound of RLX-HCl is a weak base and has poor solubility in water. In order to improve its water-solubility, RLX is mostly made into hydrochloride. However, the hydrochloride form not only tends to ionize, but also reduces its solubility in lipid materials, which causes troubles to develop oral nanomedicines. In the present work, we simultaneously desalinated RLX·HCl using an alkalic cosolvent upon preparing nanoparticles. The resulting bioadhesive nanoparticles (bNPs) demonstrated stable entrapment to RLX and exhibited enhancive bioavailability. In addition to providing bioadhesion, Carbopol 940 can also sustain the drug release. It works together with TPGS to increase absorption of RLX. TPGS is frequently used in drug delivery systems as an absorption enhancer (Guo et al., 2013). TPGS has been proven to be promising as P-gp inhibitor, solubilizer/absorption, and permeation enhancer in oral drug delivery. In a recent study, Salah et al. prepared microsponges gels containing TPGS, sodium deoxycholate, and Carbopol 974P for virginal drug delivery of miconazole (Salah et al., 2018). This system utilizes the bioadhesion of carbomers and the absorption-promoting effect of TPGS, improving the mucosal retention of the formulation and the antibacterial activity of the drug. RLX is predominantly used to treat the postmenopausal osteoporosis and estrogen-dependent breast cancer via oral administration. Owing to high presystemic clearance, the oral bioavailability of RLX is reported to be not more than 2%. It is difficult to develop stable oral liquid formulations due to poor solubility both in water and oil, besides vesicles-based gels for transdermal drug delivery (Waheed et al., 2019). Although lipid-based formulations have been investigated for oral delivery of RLX·HCI (Ravi et al., 2014; Shah et al., 2016), these studies ignored the issues of drug/ lipid expulsion and storage stability. To overcome drug precipitation from nanoparticles, water-free self-nanoemulsifying drug delivery systems (SNEDDS) (Elsheikh et al., 2012; Jain et al., 2018), electro-sprayed nanoparticles (Varshosaz et al., 2018), and lyophilized solid lipid nanoparticles (Burra et al., 2013) were developed for oral delivery of RLX·HCI. In addition, mesoporous carbon nanospheres were proposed to load RLX-HCI via the adsorption mechanism for bioavailability improvement (Ye et al., 2016). Compared with those systems abovementioned, the bioadhesive system constructed by us exhibits advantages in drug entrapment stability, convenience for use, and absorption enhancement. Enhancement in bioavailability of RLX-bNPs can be attributed to the integrated bioadhesion and absorption-promoting effect that prolong the intestinal retention of carriers and facilitate the intestinal absorption.

### 3.4. Cellular trafficking

The intercellular RLX levels after incubation with free RLX, RLX-cNPs, and RLX-bNPs were exhibited in Figure 5. Free RLX, in the form of RLX-HCl solution, exhibited the lowest cellular uptake at all investigated time points, which can be ascribed to its ionization in aqueous medium that causes difficulty in transport across the cell membrane. The cellular uptake of RLX significantly increased when it was loaded in nanoparticles as a base. Both RLX-cNPs and RLX-bNPs resulted in incremental cellular uptake levels compared to free RLX. According to the results of *in vitro* release, it can be known that less RLX would be released from



**Figure 5.** Cellular uptake of free RLX, RLX-cNPs, and RLX-bNPs in Caco-2 cells at the drug concentration of 50  $\mu$ g/mL. Data shown as mean ± SD (n= 3), paired-t test, p<.01, significantly different compared with free RLX.

nanoparticles within 2 h. Therefore, the enhancive cellular uptake of RLX is assumed to be related to the overall transport of nanoparticles. Of note, there was no significant difference in cellular uptake between RLX-cNPs and RLX-bNPs. RLX-bNPs use Carbopol 940 in addition than RLX-cNPs in the formulation. However, carbomers mainly bind with mucin (glycoproteins) in the mucus (Bera et al., 2016), which have no propensity to adhere to the cell membrane. Hence, it is easily understood that the cellular uptake of RLX-bNPs is comparable to RLX-cNPs.

The cellular internalization of RLX-cNPs and RLX-bNPs was visualized through CLSM and presented in Figure 6. After incubation for 0.5 h, RLX-cNPs and RLX-bNPs considerably penetrated into the cell colony along the paracellular route, indicating that both lipid-based nanocarriers had high affinity to enterocytes. The cell staining seemed to be more intense in the case of RLX-bNPs, but there is no comparability between them. In a short time, nanoparticles have internalized into the cytoplasm, even into the nucleus. Normally, transcellular and paracellular routes are the exclusive pathways of nanoparticle internalization (Murugan et al., 2015). From the CLSM micrographs, it can be seen that the paracellular route dominates the internalization of RLX-cNPs and RLX-bNPs. Of course, we cannot rule out the involvement of transcellular route. The cellular uptake and internalization results reveal that RLX-bNPs are provided with preferable cellular trafficking capacity.

## 3.5. Bioadhesion

The changes in the system containing mucin particles upon incubation with RLX-*c*NPs and RLX-*b*NPs are shown in Figure 7. The particle size of mucin system did not change apparently when incubated with RLX-*c*NPs, where the particle size merely increased a few tens of nanometers after incubation for 2 h. In comparison with the initial stage, the  $\zeta$  potential of the system also underwent less change (data not shown).



Figure 6. Cellular internalization of RLX-cNPs and RLX-bNPs characterized by CLSM. Nanoparticles labeled by DiO; cell nucleus dyed with Hoechst 33258.



**Figure 7.** Size evolution of mucin particle upon mixing with RLX-cNPs and RLX-bNPs. Paired-*t* test, p< .01, statistically different from each other (n= 3).

However, in the case of RLX-*b*NPs, the particle size of the system gradually increased as the incubation time extended. The resultant  $\zeta$  potential of mucin system shifted to -48.6 mV from -36.2 mV, which can be attributed to the negative charge of Carbopol polymer. These results strongly supported that mucin particles were highly adhered by RLX-*b*NPs owing to fine bioadhesion, rather than RLX-*c*NPs. When the mucin particles are mingled with nanoparticles with an adhesive property, the charged particles will adsorb onto the mucin particles that results in increase in particle size and changes in  $\zeta$  potential (Wan et al., 2020). It is a practicable *in vitro* testing method to evaluate the mucoadhesive property of a drug delivery system.

The intestinal permeability of nanoparticles can also be appreciated by their infiltration across the intestinal

epithelium. Figure 8 reveals the fluorescence distributions associated with RLX-cNPs and RLX-bNPs in different absorptive epithelia after administration for 1 h. The basolateral side and the apical side are clearly visible from the longitudinal section after nucleus staining by DAPI. It could be observed that RLX-cNPs exhibited weaker infiltration into the intestine as indicated by the fluorescence intensity. The fluorescent staining in the ileum, the main site for absorption of substances, was also not prominent in the case of RLX-cNPs. It suggests that the epithelial penetrability or affinity of RLXcNPs is relatively inadequate. As far as RLX-bNPs concerned, intense fluorescence staining took place through the whole intestine, especially in the ilium. RLX-bNPs were largely concentrated within the microvilli of the intestinal epithelium. Some nanoparticles have successfully penetrated into the central lacteal. RLX-cNPs and RLX-bNPs exhibit different intestinal mucoadhesion and permeability. As known, good bioadhesion can increase the contact chance and prolong the retention time of the payload on the absorptive epithelia that is beneficial for subsequent penetration (Reineke et al., 2013). This is also the underlying mechanism that RLX-bNPs are provided with superb intestinal absorbability and result in enhanced bioavailability.

#### 4. Conclusions

In this study, a bioadhesive polymer–lipid hybrid nanosystem was constructed and its suitability as oral delivery carrier of RLX was evaluated. It was shown that carbomer-based *b*NPs possessed excellent mucoadhesive property in comparison with common lipid nanoparticles (*c*NPs). Cellular uptake and internalization analysis proved that RLX-*b*NPs were readily assimilated by Caco-2 cells. Furthermore, the *ex vivo* imaging on transepithelial transport revealed that RLX-*b*NPs went



Figure 8. Ex vivo imaging on the intestinal retention of RLX-cNPs and RLX-bNPs. Excellent intestinal retention of RLX-bNPs supported by the striking fluorescence remained in the absorptive epithelium after transport for 2 h.

with strong intestinal adhesion and permeability. Enhanced bioavailability of RLX was accomplished through *b*NPs by virtue of good affinity to enterocytes. This work provides fundamental insight into the use of a bioadhesive system for oral delivery of amphiphobic drugs to improve their oral bioavailability.

#### **Disclosure statement**

The authors report no conflicts of interest on this study.

## Funding

This work is supported by the Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine Project of Henan Province [2014ZY02088].

#### References

- Ana R, Mendes M, Sousa J, et al. (2019). Rethinking carbamazepine oral delivery using polymer–lipid hybrid nanoparticles. Int J Pharm 554: 352–65.
- Bera K, Mazumder B, Khanam J. (2016). Study of the mucoadhesive potential of carbopol polymer in the preparation of microbeads containing the antidiabetic drug glipizide. AAPS PharmSciTech 17:743–56.
- Burra M, Jukanti R, Janga KY, et al. (2013). Enhanced intestinal absorption and bioavailability of raloxifene hydrochloride via lyophilized solid lipid nanoparticles. Adv Powder Technol 24:393–402.
- Chawla V, Saraf SA. (2012). Rheological studies on solid lipid nanoparticle based carbopol gels of aceclofenac. Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces 92: 293–8.
- Dalmoro A, Bochicchio S, Nasibullin SF, et al. (2018). Polymer–lipid hybrid nanoparticles as enhanced indomethacin delivery systems. Eur J Pharm Sci 121:16–28.
- Das S, Ng WK, Tan RB. (2012). Are nanostructured lipid carriers (NLCs) better than solid lipid nanoparticles (SLNs): development,

characterizations and comparative evaluations of clotrimazole-loaded SLNs and NLCs? Eur J Pharm Sci 47:139–51.

- Deng W, Wang H, Wu B, Zhang X. (2019). Selenium-layered nanoparticles serving for oral delivery of phytomedicines with hypoglycemic activity to synergistically potentiate the antidiabetic effect. Acta Pharm Sin B 9:74–86.
- Du M, Ouyang Y, Meng F, et al. (2019). Polymer–lipid hybrid nanoparticles: a novel drug delivery system for enhancing the activity of Psoralen against breast cancer. Int J Pharm 561:274–82.
- Elsheikh MA, Elnaggar YS, Gohar EY, Abdallah OY. (2012). Nanoemulsion liquid preconcentrates for raloxifene hydrochloride: optimization and in vivo appraisal. Int J Nanomedicine 7:3787–802.
- Fu Y, Kao WJ. (2010). Drug release kinetics and transport mechanisms of non-degradable and degradable polymeric delivery systems. Expert Opin Drug Deliv 7:429–44.
- Gou J, Liang Y, Miao L, et al. (2018). The promoting effect of enteric materials on the oral absorption of larotaxel-loaded polymer–lipid hybrid nanoparticles. Eur J Pharm Sci 124:288–94.
- Guo Y, Luo J, Tan S, et al. (2013). The applications of vitamin E TPGS in drug delivery. Eur J Pharm Sci 49:175–86.
- Hallan SS, Kaur V, Jain V, Mishra N. (2017). Development and characterization of polymer lipid hybrid nanoparticles for oral delivery of LMWH. Artif Cells Nanomed Biotechnol 45:1631–9.
- Hochner-Celnikier D. (1999). Pharmacokinetics of raloxifene and its clinical application. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 85:23–9.
- Izgelov D, Cherniakov I, Aldouby Bier G, et al. (2018). The effect of piperine pro-nano lipospheres on direct intestinal phase II metabolism: the raloxifene paradigm of enhanced oral bioavailability. Mol Pharm 15: 1548–55.
- Jahangir MA, Khan R, Sarim Imam S. (2018). Formulation of sitagliptinloaded oral polymeric nano scaffold: process parameters evaluation and enhanced anti-diabetic performance. Artif Cells Nanomed Biotechnol 46:66–78.
- Jain A, Kaur R, Beg S, et al. (2018). Novel cationic supersaturable nanomicellar systems of raloxifene hydrochloride with enhanced biopharmaceutical attributes. Drug Deliv Transl Res 8:670–92.
- Joyce P, Yasmin R, Bhatt A, et al. (2017). Comparison across three hybrid lipid-based drug delivery systems for improving the oral absorption of the poorly water-soluble weak base cinnarizine. Mol Pharm 14: 4008–18.

- Ko SS, Jordan VC. (2011). Treatment of osteoporosis and reduction in risk of invasive breast cancer in postmenopausal women with raloxifene. Expert Opin Pharmacother 12:657–74.
- Li Y, Yang B, Zhang X. (2019). Oral delivery of imatinib through galactosylated polymeric nanoparticles to explore the contribution of a saccharide ligand to absorption. Int J Pharm 568:118508.
- Maghrebi S, Prestidge CA, Joyce P. (2019). An update on polymer–lipid hybrid systems for improving oral drug delivery. Expert Opin Drug Deliv 16:507–24.
- Murugan K, Choonara YE, Kumar P, et al. (2015). Parameters and characteristics governing cellular internalization and trans-barrier trafficking of nanostructures. Int J Nanomedicine 10:2191–206.
- Rao S, Prestidge CA. (2016). Polymer–lipid hybrid systems: merging the benefits of polymeric and lipid-based nanocarriers to improve oral drug delivery. Expert Opin Drug Deliv 13:691–707.
- Ravi PR, Aditya N, Kathuria H, et al. (2014). Lipid nanoparticles for oral delivery of raloxifene: optimization, stability, in vivo evaluation and uptake mechanism. Eur J Pharm Biopharm 87:114–24.
- Reineke J, Cho DY, Dingle YL, et al. (2013). Can bioadhesive nanoparticles allow for more effective particle uptake from the small intestine? J Control Release 170:477–84.
- Ren T, Wang Q, Xu Y, et al. (2018). Enhanced oral absorption and anticancer efficacy of cabazitaxel by overcoming intestinal mucus and epithelium barriers using surface polyethylene oxide (PEO) decorated positively charged polymer–lipid hybrid nanoparticles. J Control Release 269:423–38.
- Salah S, Awad GEA, Makhlouf AIA. (2018). Improved vaginal retention and enhanced antifungal activity of miconazole microsponges gel: formulation development and in vivo therapeutic efficacy in rats. Eur J Pharm Sci 114:255–66.
- Shah N, Seth A, Balaraman R, et al. (2018). Oral bioavailability enhancement of raloxifene by developing microemulsion using D-optimal mixture design: optimization and in-vivo pharmacokinetic study. Drug Dev Ind Pharm 44:687–96.
- Shah NV, Seth AK, Balaraman R, et al. (2016). Nanostructured lipid carriers for oral bioavailability enhancement of raloxifene: design and in vivo study. J Adv Res 7:423–34.
- Shah PV, Rajput SJ. (2018). Facile synthesis of chitosan capped mesoporous silica nanoparticles: a pH responsive smart delivery platform for raloxifene hydrochloride. AAPS PharmSciTech 19:1344–57.
- Soni NK, Sonali LJ, Singh A, et al. (2020). Nanostructured lipid carrier potentiated oral delivery of raloxifene for breast cancer treatment. Nanotechnology 31:475101.
- Takeuchi H, Thongborisute J, Matsui Y, et al. (2005). Novel mucoadhesion tests for polymers and polymer-coated particles to design optimal mucoadhesive drug delivery systems. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 57:1583–94.

- Varshosaz J, Minaiyan M, Dayyani L. (2018). Poly(methyl vinyl ether-comaleic acid) for enhancement of solubility, oral bioavailability and anti-osteoporotic effects of raloxifene hydrochloride. Eur J Pharm Sci 112:195–206.
- Varshosaz J, Ziaei V, Minaiyan M, et al. (2019). Enhanced solubility, oral bioavailability and anti-osteoporotic effects of raloxifene HCl in ovariectomized rats by Igepal CO-890 nanomicelles. Pharm Dev Technol 24:133–44.
- Varthya M, Pawar H, Singh C, et al. (2016). Development of novel polymer–lipid hybrid nanoparticles of tamoxifen: in vitro and in vivo evaluation. J Nanosci Nanotechnol 16:253–60.
- Waheed A, Aqil M, Ahad A, et al. (2019). Improved bioavailability of raloxifene hydrochloride using limonene containing transdermal nano-sized vesicles. J Drug Deliv Sci Technol 52:468–76.
- Wan F, Herzberg M, Huang Z, et al. (2020). A free-floating mucin layer to investigate the effect of the local microenvironment in lungs on mucin–nanoparticle interactions. Acta Biomater 104:115–23.
- Wang Z, Li Y. (2018). Raloxifene/SBE-β-CD inclusion complexes formulated into nanoparticles with chitosan to overcome the absorption barrier for bioavailability enhancement. Pharmaceutics 10:76.
- Xing H, Wang H, Wu B, Zhang X. (2018). Lipid nanoparticles for the delivery of active natural medicines. Curr Pharm Des 23:6705–13.
- Yang C, Wu T, Qi Y, Zhang Z. (2018). Recent advances in the application of vitamin E TPGS for drug delivery. Theranostics 8:464–85.
- Ye Y, Zhang T, Li W, et al. (2016). Glucose-based mesoporous carbon nanospheres as functional carriers for oral delivery of amphiphobic raloxifene: insights into the bioavailability enhancement and lymphatic transport. Pharm Res 33:792–803.
- Yin J, Hou Y, Song X, et al. (2019). Cholate-modified polymer–lipid hybrid nanoparticles for oral delivery of quercetin to potentiate the antileukemic effect. Int J Nanomedicine 14:4045–57.
- Yin J, Hou Y, Yin Y, Song X. (2017a). Selenium-coated nanostructured lipid carriers used for oral delivery of berberine to accomplish a synergic hypoglycemic effect. Int J Nanomedicine 12:8671–80.
- Yin J, Wang P, Yin Y, et al. (2017b). Optimization on biodistribution and antitumor activity of tripterine using polymeric nanoparticles through RES saturation. Drug Deliv 24:1891–7.
- Yin J, Xiang C, Song X. (2016). Nanoencapsulation of psoralidin via chitosan and Eudragit S100 for enhancement of oral bioavailability. Int J Pharm 510:203–9.
- Yin J, Xiang C, Wang P, et al. (2017c). Biocompatible nanoemulsions based on hemp oil and less surfactants for oral delivery of baicalein with enhanced bioavailability. Int J Nanomedicine 12:2923–31.
- Zhang X, Zhang T, Ye Y, et al. (2015). Phospholipid-stabilized mesoporous carbon nanospheres as versatile carriers for systemic delivery of amphiphobic SNX-2112 (a Hsp90 inhibitor) with enhanced antitumor effect. Eur J Pharm Biopharm 94:30–41.