

# Structural basis for the absence of lowenergy chlorophylls in a photosystem I trimer from *Gloeobacter violaceus*

Koji Kato<sup>1†</sup>, Tasuku Hamaguchi<sup>2†</sup>, Ryo Nagao<sup>1\*†</sup>, Keisuke Kawakami<sup>2</sup>, Yoshifumi Ueno<sup>3</sup>, Takehiro Suzuki<sup>4</sup>, Hiroko Uchida<sup>5</sup>, Akio Murakami<sup>3,5</sup>, Yoshiki Nakajima<sup>1</sup>, Makio Yokono<sup>6</sup>, Seiji Akimoto<sup>3</sup>, Naoshi Dohmae<sup>4</sup>, Koji Yonekura<sup>2,7,8</sup>\*, Jian-Ren Shen<sup>1</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Research Institute for Interdisciplinary Science and Graduate School of Natural Science and Technology, Okayama University, Okayama, Japan; <sup>2</sup>Biostructural Mechanism Laboratory, RIKEN SPring-8 Center, Hyogo, Japan; <sup>3</sup>Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, Hyogo, Japan; <sup>4</sup>Biomolecular Characterization Unit, RIKEN Center for Sustainable Resource Science, Saitama, Japan; <sup>5</sup>Research Center for Inland Seas, Kobe University, Hyogo, Japan; <sup>6</sup>Institute of Low Temperature Science, Hokkaido University, Hokkaido, Japan; <sup>7</sup>Institute of Multidisciplinary Research for Advanced Materials, Tohoku University, Miyagi, Japan; <sup>8</sup>Advanced Electron Microscope Development Unit, RIKEN-JEOL Collaboration Center, RIKEN Baton Zone Program, Hyogo, Japan

#### \*For correspondence:

nagaoryo@okayama-u.ac.jp (RN); yone@spring8.or.jp (KY); shen@cc.okayama-u.ac.jp (J-RenS)

<sup>†</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work

**Competing interest:** The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Funding: See page 15

Received: 17 September 2021 Preprinted: 01 October 2021 Accepted: 28 February 2022 Published: 11 April 2022

Reviewing Editor: Andrew P Carter, MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, United Kingdom

© Copyright Kato et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited. **Abstract** Photosystem I (PSI) is a multi-subunit pigment-protein complex that functions in lightharvesting and photochemical charge-separation reactions, followed by reduction of NADP to NADPH required for CO<sub>2</sub> fixation in photosynthetic organisms. PSI from different photosynthetic organisms has a variety of chlorophylls (Chls), some of which are at lower-energy levels than its reaction center P700, a special pair of Chls, and are called low-energy Chls. However, the sites of lowenergy Chls are still under debate. Here, we solved a 2.04-Å resolution structure of a PSI trimer by cryo-electron microscopy from a primordial cyanobacterium *Gloeobacter violaceus* PCC 7421, which has no low-energy Chls. The structure shows the absence of some subunits commonly found in other cyanobacteria, confirming the primordial nature of this cyanobacterium. Comparison with the known structures of PSI from other cyanobacteria and eukaryotic organisms reveals that one dimeric and one trimeric Chls are lacking in the *Gloeobacter* PSI. The dimeric and trimeric Chls are named Low1 and Low2, respectively. Low2 is missing in some cyanobacterial and eukaryotic PSIs, whereas Low1 is absent only in *Gloeobacter*. These findings provide insights into not only the identity of low-energy Chls in PSI, but also the evolutionary changes of low-energy Chls in oxyphototrophs.

#### **Editor's evaluation**

This work presents a high-resolution structure of a photosystem I complex of the primordial cyanobacterium *Gloeobacter violaceus*. It relates the structural differences with oher cyanobacteria to differences in the optical properties.

CC

### Introduction

Oxygenic photosynthetic reactions convert light energy into chemical energy and produce molecular oxygen, thereby maintaining the aerobic life on the earth (*Blankenship, 2021*). The light-induced photosynthetic reactions occur in two multisubunit pigment–protein complexes, photosystem I and photosystem II (PSI and PSII, respectively). PSI functions in light-harvesting, charge-separation, and electron-transfer reactions, leading to the reduction of NADP to NADPH required for CO<sub>2</sub> fixation (*Blankenship, 2021*; *Brettel and Leibl, 2001*; *Nelson and Yocum, 2006*). PSI contains many cofactors, including chlorophylls (Chls), carotenoids (Cars), quinones, and iron–sulfur clusters, which serve in the photochemical reactions (*Nelson and Yocum, 2006*). These cofactors are well conserved in PSI cores among oxyphototrophs, although the oligomerization states and subunit compositions of PSI differ significantly among different species of organisms (*Fromme et al., 2001*; *Suga and Shen, 2020*; *Hippler and Nelson, 2021*).

Among photosynthetic organisms, cyanobacteria are a large group of prokaryotes, and their PSI cores are present in either trimeric (Jordan et al., 2001; Malavath et al., 2018; Kato et al., 2020; Gisriel et al., 2020; Hamaguchi et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2021) or tetrameric (Kato et al., 2019; Zheng et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2020; Semchonok et al., 2022) forms. Cyanobacterial PSI complexes also possess unique Chl molecules at energy levels lower than the level of P700, a special pair Chl that performs charge separation in each PSI-monomer unit (Brettel and Leibl, 2001). The low-energy Chls are historically called red Chls, which differ in their energy levels among different species of cyanobacteria (Gobets and van Grondelle, 2001; Schlodder et al., 2005; Karapetyan et al., 2006; Schlodder et al., 2007). The main functions of low-energy Chls in PSI are either uphill energy transfer from low-energy Chls to other Chls (Gobets and van Grondelle, 2001) or excitationenergy quenching upon P700 oxidation (Shubin et al., 1995; Shibata et al., 2010; Schlodder et al., 2011). From these observations, the low-energy Chls are thought to regulate energy balance for energy transfer and energy quenching (Gobets and van Grondelle, 2001; Schlodder et al., 2005; Karapetyan et al., 2006; Schlodder et al., 2007). Despite numerous structural and functional analyses of the cyanobacterial PSI, the locations of low-energy Chls are still under debate both experimentally and theoretically (Gobets and van Grondelle, 2001; Schlodder et al., 2005; Karapetyan et al., 2006; Schlodder et al., 2007; Byrdin et al., 2002; Adolphs et al., 2010). This is because it is very difficult to identify specific low-energy Chls from ~95 Chl molecules at different energy levels in a PSI monomer.

The sites of low-energy Chls in PSI have been suggested by spectroscopic techniques (Gobets and van Grondelle, 2001; Schlodder et al., 2005; Karapetyan et al., 2006; Schlodder et al., 2007). Absorption spectroscopy showed various peaks and shoulders around/over 700 nm, reflecting a complexity and difficulty of the identification of low-energy Chls in PSI. In contrast, fluorescence spectroscopy is a convenient method to observe low-energy Chls in PSI. It is known that under the liquidnitrogen condition, two types of prominent fluorescence peaks from low-energy Chls were mainly found. These two fluorescence peaks appear at around 723 and 730 nm in PSI trimers isolated from the representative cyanobacteria, Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803 (hereafter referred to as Synechocystis) (van der Lee et al., 1993; Mimuro et al., 2010; Turconi et al., 1996) and Thermosynechococcus elongatus (Palsson et al., 1996), respectively. The 723 and/or 730 nm fluorescence peaks are conserved in most cyanobacteria, although their band widths and peak positions differ slightly depending on the species of cyanobacteria as well as the experimental conditions employed to measure. The fluorescence feature of low-energy Chls is also conserved in the tetrameric PSI cores of cyanobacteria (Kato et al., 2019; Nagao et al., 2020b). However, it is unclear regarding the locations of these low-energy forms, because the PSI core contains about 95 Chls, and it is difficult to identify specific Chls from the bulk of the PSI Chls.

Gloeobacter violaceus PCC 7421 (hereafter referred to as Gloeobacter) is a unique cyanobacterium that has no thylakoid membranes, and its photosynthesis takes place in the cytoplasmic membranes similarly as that seen in anoxygenic photosynthetic bacteria (**Guglielmi et al., 1981**). Molecular phylogenetic analyses showed that Gloeobacter branched off from the main cyanobacterial tree at an early stage of evolution (**Nelissen et al., 1995**). Therefore, Gloeobacter is considered as an evolutionary primordial cyanobacterium. Unlike other cyanobacteria, the Gloeobacter PSI did not exhibit characteristic fluorescence peaks at around 723 or 730 nm in fluorescence-emission spectra both in vivo (**Koenig and Schmidt, 1995**) and in vitro (**Mimuro et al., 2010**). These observations lead to an

attractive notion that Chls absent in the structure of the *Gloeobacter* PSI are plausible candidates for Chls fluorescing at around 723 and 730 nm observed in other cyanobacteria.

To reveal the locations of characteristic low-energy Chls in PSI from *Synechocystis* and thermophilic cyanobacteria as well as the possible structural differences among PSI of *Gloeobacter* and other cyanobacteria, we solved the structure of the PSI trimer isolated from *Gloeobacter* using cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) at a resolution of 2.04 Å. The structure obtained showed the absence of some subunits commonly found in other cyanobacteria, confirming the primordial nature of this cyanobacterium. By comparing the *Gloeobacter* PSI structure with the structures of *Synechocystis* and thermophilic cyanobacteria, we propose that one Chl dimer and one trimer, which are absent in the *Gloeobacter* PSI, are responsible for the characteristic fluorescence peaks at around 723 and 730 nm observed in other cyanobacteria.

### **Results and discussion** Overall structure of the PSI trimer

The PSI trimers were purified from *Gloeobacter*, and its biochemical characterization was summarized in **Appendix 1—figure 1**, which showed that the sample is very pure and suitable for structural analysis. Cryo-EM images of the PSI trimer were obtained by a JEOL CRYO ARM 300 electron microscope operated at 300 kV. After processing of the images with RELION (**Appendix 1—figure 2** and **Appendix 1—table 1**), the final cryo-EM map with a C3 symmetry enforced was determined at a resolution of 2.04 Å, based on the 'gold standard' Fourier shell correlation (FSC) = 0.143 criterion (**Figure 1A** and **Appendix 1—figure 2**). This resolution is the highest for the structure of PSI cores ever determined by X-ray crystallography and single-particle cryo-EM so far (**Jordan et al., 2001**; **Malavath et al., 2018; Kato et al., 2020; Gisriel et al., 2020; Hamaguchi et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2021**). This was realized by imaging with a cold-field emission electron beam that produces superior high-resolution signals (**Hamaguchi et al., 2019**). The atomic model of PSI was built based on the 2.04 Å map (**Figure 1B** and **Appendix 1—table 2**), and most of the cofactors and amino acid residues were precisely assigned to this high-resolution map.

The components of the electron-transfer chain are assigned unambiguously, which consists of a special pair Chls P700, accessory Chl Acc, primary electron acceptor  $A_0$ , secondary electron acceptor  $A_1$  (menaquinone-4), and three iron–sulfur clusters  $F_X/F_A/F_B$  (*Figure 2A*). The densities for the Mg atoms in the Chl molecules are clearly visualized at their centers (*Figure 2B–E*). In addition, the characteristic structures of Chl a' and Chl a that constitute the pair of P700 are distinguished clearly in the high-resolution map (red arrows in *Figure 2B, C*, respectively). The densities of individual heavy atoms in the iron–sulfur clusters are also clearly separated, allowing their precise assignment possible (*Figure 2G–I*). On the other hand, menaquinone-4 is assigned here (*Figure 2F*) because it has been detected in the *Gloeobacter* PSI core by HPLC (*Mimuro et al., 2005*), although it is difficult to distinguish between menaquinone-4 and phylloquinone at the present resolution of the cryo-EM map due to the same length of their prenyl chain. The electron-transfer chain is arranged in two branches approximately symmetrically, and no significant differences between the branches are found. Thus, it cannot be determined if the electrons are transferred through both branches or proceed preferentially through one branch based on the structure obtained.

#### Subunit structures of the PSI monomer

PSI of *Gloeobacter* is a homo-trimeric complex, and its overall architecture is similar to those of the PSI trimer isolated from other cyanobacteria (*Jordan et al., 2001; Malavath et al., 2018; Kato et al., 2020; Gisriel et al., 2020; Hamaguchi et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2021*). Each monomer of the *Gloeobacter* PSI contains 10 subunits (*Figure 1B*), 9 of which are found in the genome of *Gloeobacter* (*psaA, psaB, psaC, psaD, psaE, psaF, psaL, psaM,* and *psaZ*) (*Nakamura et al., 2003*). PsaZ is positioned at the same location of PsaI in the PSI structure of *Synechocystis* (*Malavath et al., 2018*), although the *Gloeobacter* PsaZ has low sequence identity (20.0%) with the *Synechocystis* PsaI (*Appendix 1—figure 3A, B; Inoue et al., 2004*). The other eight subunits are located at similar positions of PSI from other cyanobacteria (*Jordan et al., 2001; Malavath et al., 2018; Kato et al., 2020; Gisriel et al., 2020; Hamaguchi et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2021*). An additional subunit is found at the position of PsaJ, which was modeled as polyalanines (*Appendix 1—figure 3C*), because the *psaJ* gene is not found



Figure 1. Overall structure of the *Gloeobacter* photosystem I (PSI) trimer. (A) Cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) density of the PSI trimer at 2.04 Å resolution. (B) Structural model of the PSI trimer. Views are from the top of the cytosolic side (left) and side of the membrane (right) for both panels (A and B).

in the *Gloeobacter* genome (**Nakamura et al., 2003**) but a subunit is clearly visible in the map at the same position as PsaJ (*Jordan et al., 2001*; *Malavath et al., 2018*; *Kato et al., 2020*; *Gisriel et al., 2020*; *Hamaguchi et al., 2021*; *Xu et al., 2021*). This subunit is named Unknown in the *Gloeobacter* structure. The cryo-EM map of *Gloeobacter* PSI indicates the absence of PsaG, PsaH, PsaK, and PsaX found in other PSIs, consistent with the absence of their genes in the *Gloeobacter* genome (*Nakamura et al., 2003*). While PsaG and PsaH are also absent in other cyanobacteria and appear



**Figure 2.** Cofactors involved in the electron-transfer reaction of the *Gloeobacter* photosystem I (PSI). (**A**) Arrangement of cofactors involved in the electron-transfer reaction. P700, special pair Chls; Acc, accessory Chl; A<sub>0</sub>, primary electron acceptor; A<sub>1</sub>, secondary electron acceptor menaquinone-4;  $F_X$ ,  $F_A$ , and  $F_B$ , iron–sulfur clusters. P700 serves as a primary electron donor, and the electron produced is transferred to A<sub>1</sub> via A<sub>0</sub> (and A<sub>CC</sub>) in each branch, followed by sequential transfer to  $F_X \rightarrow F_A \rightarrow F_B$ . However, whether the electrons are transferred through both branches or proceed preferentially through one branch is still under debate. (**B**–**I**) The cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) density maps of cofactors and their refined models. (**B**) Chl a' in P700; (**C**) Chl a in P700; (**D**) Acc; (**E**) A<sub>0</sub>; (**F**) A<sub>1</sub>; (**G**–**I**),  $F_X$ ,  $F_A$ , and  $F_B$ . Red arrows indicate structural differences between Chl a and Chl a' (**B**, **C**). The densities for Chls, quinone, and iron–sulfur clusters were depicted at 5  $\sigma$ , 3  $\sigma$ , and 15  $\sigma$ , respectively.

in the green-lineage PSI cores, PsaK and PsaX are found in other cyanobacterial PSI structures (Suga and Shen, 2020; Jordan et al., 2001; Malavath et al., 2018; Kato et al., 2020; Gisriel et al., 2020; Hamaguchi et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2021). The absence of these subunits in the Gloeobacter PSI suggests that the PSI core from Gloeobacter is in an early stage of evolution before PsaK and PsaX are incorporated into PSI, and is consistent with the primordial nature of this cyanobacterium during the evolutionary process.

The root mean square deviations (RMSDs) between the monomer unit of Gloeobacter PSI and that of cyanobacterial PSI with the same set of subunits from T. elongatus (PDB: 1JB0) (Jordan et al., 2001), Synechocystis (PDB: 5OY0) (Malavath et al., 2018), Thermosynechococcus vulcanus (PDB: 6K33) (Akita et al., 2020), Halomicronema hongdechloris (PDB: 6KMW) (Kato et al., 2020), and Acaryochloris marina MBIC11017 (PDB: 7COY) (Hamaguchi et al., 2021), are 0.85 Å for 2,008 Cα atoms, 0.82 Å for 2,019 Cα atoms, 0.90 Å for 2,011 Cα atoms, 0.88 Å for 1,785 Cα atoms, and 1.10 Å for 1,896 C $\alpha$  atoms, respectively. This suggests that the overall structures of PSI are largely similar among the cyanobacteria, if we do not include some subunits that are absent or different between different cyanobacteria. However, some regions of the structure of Gloeobacter PSI display significant differences compared with other cyanobacterial PSI (Figure 3 and Appendix 1-figures 4-6). The Gloeobacter PSI possesses four types of characteristic loop structures which are named Loop1 (Tyr515-Gln529) and Loop2 (Asn652-Ser665) in PsaA (Appendix 1-figure 4), Loop3 (Pro717-Ile727) in PsaB (Appendix 1-figure 5), and Loop4 (Gln31-Asp36) in PsaF (Appendix 1-figure 6). These four types of loops do not exist in other cyanobacterial PSI trimers (Jordan et al., 2001; Malavath et al., 2018; Kato et al., 2020; Gisriel et al., 2020; Hamaguchi et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2021). In contrast, the motif of Pro237–Gln248 in the Synechocystis PsaB is absent in the Gloeobacter PSI (Appendix 1-figure 5). All these insertions and deletions are located at the periplasmic side of the PSI monomer (Figure 3A).

Both Loop1 of PsaA and Loop3 of PsaB do not interact with other subunits, whereas Loop2 of PsaA and Loop4 of PsaF are elongated so that both interact with PsaB. In Loop2, Leu656 of PsaA is hydrogen bonded with Pro619 of PsaB at a distance of 3.1 Å, and Val655 of PsaA is in hydrophobic interactions with PsaB-Pro619 (*Figure 3B*). In Loop4, Gln31, Gln33, and Lys35 of PsaF interact with Lys455, Glu458, Ala485, Asn486, and Asn487 of PsaB at distances of 2.5–3.1 Å (*Figure 3C*). Since our *Gloeobacter* PSI structure is solved at a high resolution, these structural differences cannot be ascribed to uncertainties due to lower resolutions, and the structural features of *Gloeobacter* PSI appear to contribute to the stability and assembly of the PSI complex in the membranes lacking thylakoids.

### **Cofactors of the PSI monomer**

The cofactors identified in the monomer unit of Gloeobacter PSI are summarized in Appendix 1 table 2. There are 89 Chls a, 20  $\beta$ -carotenes, 3 [4Fe–4S] clusters, 2 menaquinone-4s, and 4 lipid molecules in each monomer. The location of these molecules is similar to that in other cyanobacterial PSI structures (Jordan et al., 2001; Malavath et al., 2018; Kato et al., 2020; Gisriel et al., 2020; Hamaguchi et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2021); however, six Chls are less in the Gloeobacter PSI than those in the T. vulcanus PSI (Akita et al., 2020) and Synechocystis PSI (Malavath et al., 2018). These Chls include one Chl in PsaA (Chl1A), one Chl in PsaF (Chl1F), two Chls in PsaJ (Chl1J, 2J), and two Chls in PsaK (Chl1K, 2K) (Figure 4A, B). Both Chl1K and Chl2K do not exist in the Gloeobacter PSI because of the absence of PsaK in the genome and the structure. Both Chl1J and Chl2J are located in the periphery of PsaJ, whose amino acid residues cannot be assigned in the Gloeobacter PSI structure. The map quality around Chl1F in the Gloeobacter PSI is very high (Figure 4C), indicating that Chl1F is absent in the Gloeobacter PSI. Chl1A is difficult to bind to the Gloeobacter PSI, because the conserved His residue near Chl1A in other cyanobacteria is changed to Phe243 in the Gloeobacter PSI (Appendix 1—figure 4 and Appendix 1—figure 7A). This causes a steric hindrance between Phe243 and Chl1A in the Gloeobacter PSI (Figure 4D, Appendix 1-figure 7A), making the Chl molecule unable to bind. In addition, it is interesting to note that both T. vulcanus and H. hongdechloris PSIs possess an extra Chl (Chl1B) in PsaB (Kato et al., 2020; Akita et al., 2020), which is absent in both Gloeobacter PSI and Synechocystis PSI (Malavath et al., 2018). This is due to the changes in the loop structures (Figure 4E, Appendix 1—figure 5), as suggested by Toporik et al., 2020.



**Figure 3.** Characteristic structure of the *Gloeobacter* photosystem I (PSI). (**A**) Superposition of the structure of *Gloeobacter* PSI (colored) with that of the *Synechocystis* PSI (gray) (PDB: 5OY0) viewed along the membrane normal from the periplasmic side. Structural differences of loop insertions are colored in red. The RMSD is 0.82 Å for 2,019 Cα atoms from subunits observed commonly between *Gloeobacter* and *Synechocystis* PSIs. (**B**, **C**) Closeup views of the loop insertions in the regions of Asn652–Ser665 of PsaA (Loop2) in the *Gloeobacter* PSI (**B**) and of Gln31–Asp36 of PsaF (Loop4) in the *Gloeobacter* PSI (**C**).



**Figure 4.** Comparison of pigments among the three types of photosystem I (PSIs). (**A**, **B**) Superposition of the PSI-monomer structures viewed along the membrane normal from the periplasmic side, with protein subunits depicted in a surface model. (**A**) *Gloeobacter* (green) vs. *T. vulcanus* (purple) (PDB: 6K33); (**B**) *Gloeobacter* (green) vs. *Synechocystis* (magenta) (PDB: 5OY0). Red circles stand for the sites of Low1 and Low2. Closeup views of the environments near pigments of Ch11F (**C**) and Ch11A (**D**) with their densities depicted at 1.5 σ, and Ch11B (**E**), in the three species.





### Identification of Chl clusters absent in the Gloeobacter PSI

The energy levels of Chls tend to be lowered by the formation of dimeric or trimeric Chls; therefore, we focused on dimeric or trimeric Chl clusters through the structural comparisons. Among the six Chl molecules that are absent in the *Gloeobacter* PSI mentioned above, Chl1A forms a dimer with Chl2A in both *Synechocystis* PSI (*Figure 5A*) and *T. vulcanus* PSI (*Figure 5B*). Thus, this Chl dimer may represent one of the low-energy Chls in most cyanobacteria other than *Gloeobacter*. The Mg atom of Chl1A is coordinated by a water molecule in the PSI structures of *T. elongatus* (*Jordan et al., 2001*) and *H. hongdechloris* (*Kato et al., 2020*). In *T. elongatus*, this water molecule is hydrogen bonded to a neighboring His240 (*Appendix 1—figure 7A*), indicating that this hydrogen bond contributes to the stability of Chl1A. Sequence alignment showed that this His240 is conserved among most cyanobacteria (*Appendix 1—figure 4*); however, it is changed to Phe243 in the *Gloeobacter* PsaA (*Appendix 1—figure 7A*). As mentioned above, this change leads to the loss of the water molecule that can coordinate the Chl molecule (*Appendix 1—figure 7A*). Thus, both the His residue and water molecule are responsible for the binding of Chl1A. Here, we name the Chl dimer of Chl1A and Chl2A found in the structure of most cyanobacterial PSI as Low1 (*Figure 4A, B*).

Further structural comparisons of *T. vulcanus* PSI with *Gloeobacter* PSI and *Synechocystis* PSI show a trimeric Chl cluster of Chl1B/Chl2B/Chl3B present in the *T. vulcanus* PSI but absent in the *Gloeobacter* and *Synechocystis* PSIs. The difference is due to the absence of Chl1B in the latter two cyanobacteria (*Figure 5C, D*). Therefore, this Chl trimer is also a probable candidate for low-energy Chls, which is supported by the spectroscopic analyses of PSI trimers (*Schlodder et al., 2007*) and the cryo-EM structure of PSI mutant complexes (*Toporik et al., 2020*). Based on these findings, we name the Chl trimer of Chl1B/Chl2B/Chl3B as Low2 (*Figure 4A, B*).

#### Correlation of Low1 and Low2 with characteristic fluorescence bands

Our interest is how Low1 and Low2 identified here are related to Chls fluorescing at around 723 and 730 nm observed in the steady-state fluorescence-emission spectra (**Appendix 1—figure 8A**; **van der Lee et al., 1993**; **Mimuro et al., 2010**; **Turconi et al., 1996**; **Pålsson et al., 1996**). The spectrum of *Synechocystis* PSI (red line in **Appendix 1—figure 8A**) exhibits a fluorescence peak at around 723 nm, whereas that of *T. vulcanus* PSI (blue line in **Appendix 1—figure 8A**) displays a fluorescence peak at around 731 nm. In addition, the width of the fluorescence peak from the *T. vulcanus* PSI is broader than that from the *Synechocystis* PSI; therefore, it seems highly probable that the *T. vulcanus* PSI also possesses Chls fluorescing at around 723 nm in addition to those at around 730 nm. In contrast, the fluorescence spectrum of *Gloeobacter* PSI (black line in **Appendix 1—figure 8A**) exhibits a maximum peak at around 694 nm, which is significantly defferent from the fluorescence peaks at around 723 and 730 nm. The characteristic differences of fluorescence peaks in these cyanobacteria can even be observed in the absorption spectra measured at 77 K, which exhibit different intensities of relative absorbance over 700 nm (**Appendix 1—figure 8B**).

Based on these structural and fluorescence properties, it is proposed that Low1 and Low2 are Chls fluorescing at around 723 and 730 nm, respectively. In a previous study, **Schlodder et al., 2007** listed nine groups of Chls as potential candidates for low-energy Chls in PSI based on their spectroscopic studies. Eight of the nine groups of Chls are well conserved in the PSI structures among the three cyanobacteria (**Appendix 1—figure 9**). Therefore, it is highly possible that these eight Chl groups are not Chls fluorescing at around 723 or 730 nm. In contrast, the remaining Chl group (**Schlodder et al., 2007**) is a triply stacked Chls, B1231/B1232/B1233 (the Chl numbering taken from **Jordan et al., 2001**), which is consistent with Low2 presented here. These observations support that the Chl cluster of Chl1B/Chl2B/Chl3B is Low2 which is present in *T. vulcanus* and *T. elongatus* but absent in *Synechocystis* and *Gloeobacter*.

In the previous study, the Low1 site was not listed as potential low-energy Chls (*Schlodder et al., 2007*). This may be due to the large number of Chls in the PSI core, resulting in difficulties in identifying individual low-energy Chls using spectroscopic methods. Our structure clearly indicates that the Low1 site is absent in the *Gloeobacter* PSI but present in other cyanobacteria, leading to the idea that Low1 is also a low-energy Chl site responsible for the long-fluorescence emission observed in other cyanobacteria but not in *Gloeobacter*.

Some cyanobacteria have tetrameric PSI complexes whose structures have been solved from the cyanobacterium Anabaena sp. PCC 7120 (hereafter referred to as Anabaena) (Kato et al., 2019; Zheng et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2020). Both Low1 and Low2 exist in the monomer units of the Anabaena PSI tetramer (Appendix 1—figure 7B, C). The fluorescence spectrum of Anabaena PSI tetramer showed a peak at around 730 nm under the liquid-nitrogen condition (Kato et al., 2019; Nagao et al., 2020b), which is similar to the spectrum of the *T. vulcanus* PSI trimer. These observations are in line with our proposal that Low1 and Low2 are responsible for Chls fluorescing at around 723 and 730 nm, respectively, in most cyanobacterial PSI monomers other than Gloeobacter, irrespective of the oligomeric states of PSI. However, it should be noted that the energy levels of Chls cannot be assigned only by the structural analysis of PSI. Further mutagenesis studies and theoretical calculations will be required for understanding the correlation of Low1 and Low2 with the fluorescence peaks at around 723 and 730 nm.

#### Low-energy Chls in interfaces among PSI-monomer units

Low-energy Chls including Chls fluorescing at around 730 nm have been thought to be located in interfaces among monomers in a PSI trimer from *T. elongatus* (*El-Mohsnawy et al., 2010; Çoruh et al., 2021*). The PSI monomer isolated from *T. elongatus* exhibited a fluorescence peak shifted to about 720 nm compared with a fluorescence peak at around 730 nm in the PSI trimer (*Çoruh et al., 2021*). However, the structure of PSI monomer displayed the lack of a Chl of B1233 at the Low2 site, in addition to several peripheral Chls (*Çoruh et al., 2021*). Thus, the fluorescence-peak shift observed in the *T. elongatus* PSI monomer may be due to the absence of Low2 but not Chls located in interfaces around the PSI-monomer units.

As for other cyanobacteria, *Anabaena* has both Low1 and Low2, while the fluorescence peak of PSI monomer at around 730 nm was virtually identical to that of PSI tetramer (*Kato et al., 2019; Nagao et al., 2020b*). Moreover, *Synechocystis* has Low1 without Low2, while the fluorescence peak of PSI monomer was consistent with that of PSI trimer (*Turconi et al., 1996*). These observations support the idea that Low1 and Low2 are responsible for Chls fluorescing at around 723 and 730 nm, respectively, and that these long-wavelength fluorescing Chls are not identical to Chls found in the interfaces among monomers, irrespective of the oligomeric states of PSI.

### Evolution of Low1 and Low2 in oxyphototrophs

The overall structures of PSI supercomplexes in complex with light-harvesting complexes I have been solved from a red alga (*Pi et al., 2018; Antoshvili et al., 2019*), green algae (*Su et al., 2019; Suga et al., 2019; Qin et al., 2019; Perez-Boerema et al., 2020*), a diatom (*Nagao et al., 2020a; Xu et al., 2020*), a moss (*Yan et al., 2021*), and a higher plant (*Qin et al., 2015; Mazor et al., 2017*). Compared with the structure of *Gloeobacter PSI* (*Figure 6A*), Low1 is present in the PSI cores from a red alga *Cyanidioschyzon merolae* (PDB: 5ZGB), a green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* (PDB: 6JO5), a diatom *Chaetoceros gracilis* (PDB: 6L4U), a moss *Physcomitrella patens* (PDB: 6L35), and a higher plant *Pisum sativum* (PDB: 4XK8). The His residue corresponding to PsaA-His240 in *T. elongatus* is also conserved among the eukaryotes (*Figure 6B*), reflecting the presence of Low1 in eukaryotes.

Low2 exists in PSIs of *P. patens* and *P. sativum* in addition to *T. vulcanus* (Figure 7A); however, the triple stacking interactions among these three Chls, especially the orientation of Chl1B and Chl1G, differ between cyanobacteria and the eukaryotes (Figure 7B). This is likely due to the different loop structures interacting with Chl1B between *T. vulcanus* and the eukaryotes (Figure 7B, C). In the *P. sativum* PSI, Chl1G corresponding to Chl1B is bound to Tyr93 of PsaG via a water molecule (Appendix 1—figure 10A). PsaG is absent in Gloeobacter but present in *C. reinhardtii*; however, the characteristic Tyr residue is changed to Gly in *C. reinhardtii* (Appendix 1—figure 10B), leading to the loss of this water molecule in *C. reinhardtii*. This suggests that the hydrogen-bond interaction between the water molecule and Tyr93 of PsaG is required for the formation of Low2 in eukaryotes. In contrast, the gene of *psaG* is not found in the red alga and diatom, resulting in the absence of Low2 in these organisms.

#### Conclusions

This study reveals the high-resolution structure of the PSI trimer from *Gloeobacter* at 2.04 Å by cryo-EM. Structural comparisons of the *Gloeobacter* PSI with the *Synechocystis* and *T. vulcanus* PSIs provide evidence for the locations of two types of characteristic Chl clusters, Low1 and Low2, present in other cyanobacteria but absent in *Gloeobacter*. Based on the structural and fluorescence properties, Low1 and Low2 may be responsible for Chls fluorescing at around 723 and 730 nm, respectively, in most cyanobacteria. In particular, Low1 is conserved in most oxyphototrophs from prokaryotes to eukaryotes other than *Gloeobacter*, implying that the lack of Low1 is a characteristic of primordial cyanobacteria occurring near the origin of oxyphototrophs.

## **Materials and methods**

### Purification and characterization of the PSI trimer from Gloeobacter

The cyanobacterium *G. violaceus* PCC 7421 was grown in BG11 medium (*Stanier et al., 1971*) supplemented with 10 mM 2-[4-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazinyl]ethanesulfonic acid (HEPES)–KOH (pH 8.0) and 1/1000 volume of KW21 (Daiichi Seimo) at a photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) of 5 µmol photons  $m^{-2} s^{-1}$  at 20°C with bubbling of air containing 3% (vol/vol) CO<sub>2</sub>. KW21 is helpful for enhancing the growth of photosynthetic organisms (*Nagao et al., 2007; Nagao et al., 2013a*). Cytoplasmic membranes were prepared after disruption of the cells with glass beads with a method similar to the preparation of thylakoid membranes as described previously (*Nagao et al., 2017*), and suspended in a buffer containing 0.2 M trehalose, 20 mM 2-morpholinoethanesulfonic acid (MES)–NaOH (pH 6.5), 5 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, and 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> (buffer A). The membranes were solubilized with 1% (wt/vol) *n*-dodecyl- $\beta$ -D-maltoside ( $\beta$ -DDM) at a Chl concentration of 0.25 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> for 30 min on ice in the dark with gentle stirring. After centrifugation at 50,000 × g for 30 min at 4°C, the resultant supernatant was loaded onto a Q-Sepharose anion-exchange column (2.5 cm of inner diameter and 6 cm of length)



**Figure 6.** Structural comparisons of the Low1 site among oxyphototrophs. (**A**) Superposition of the Low1 site of the *Gloeobacter* photosystem I (PSI; green) with PSI complexes of photosynthetic eukaryotes (gray): *Cyanidioschyzon merolae* (PDB: 5ZGB), *Chaetoceros gracilis* (PDB: 6L4U), *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* (PDB: 6JO5), *Physcomitrella patens* (PDB: 6L35), and *Pisum sativum* (PDB: 4XK8). (**B**) Multiple sequence alignment of PsaA among oxyphototrophs using ClustalW and ESPript. The species shown are *Gloeobacter violaceus* PCC 7421, *Thermosynechococcus elongatus* BP-1, *Thermosynechococcus vulcanus* NIES-2134, *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803, *Halomicronema hongdechloris* C2206, *Acaryochloris marina* MBIC11017, *C. merolae*, *C. gracilis*, *C. reinhardtii*, *P. patens*, and *P. sativum*. The pink box displays the histidine residue involved in the binding of Ch11A.

equilibrated with buffer A containing 0.03%  $\beta$ -DDM (buffer B). The column was washed with buffer B containing 100 mM NaCl (buffer C) until the eluate became colorless, and further washed with 60 ml of buffer B containing 150 mM NaCl. The PSI fraction was eluted with buffer B containing 200 mM NaCl, and subsequently loaded onto a linear trehalose gradient of 10–40% (wt/vol) in a medium containing 20 mM MES–NaOH (pH 6.5), 5 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 100 mM NaCl, and 0.03%  $\beta$ -DDM. After

## eLife Research article



**Figure 7.** Structural comparisons of the Low2 site among oxyphototrophs. (**A**) Superposition of the Low2 site of the *Gloeobacter* photosystem I (PSI; green) with PSI complexes of photosynthetic eukaryotes (gray): *Cyanidioschyzon merolae* (PDB: 5ZGB), *Chaetoceros gracilis* (PDB: 6L4U), *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* (PDB: 6JO5), *Physcomitrella patens* (PDB: 6L35), and *Pisum sativum* (PDB: 4XK8). (**B**) Superposition of the Low2 site of *T. vulcanus* PSI (purple) (PDB: 6K33) with PSI complexes (gray) of *P. patens* and *P. sativum*. (**C**) Multiple sequence alignment of PsaB using ClustalW and ESPript. The pink box indicates the loop involved in the binding of Chl1B in *T. vulcanus*.

centrifugation at 154,000 × g for 18 hr at 4°C (P40ST rotor; Hitachi), a band containing the PSI trimers was collected and then concentrated using a 150 kDa cutoff filter (Apollo; Orbital Biosciences) at  $4,000 \times g$ . The concentrated PSI-trimer complexes were stored in liquid nitrogen until use.

Subunit composition of the PSI trimer was analyzed by sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis containing 16% acrylamide and 7.5 M urea according to a method (*Ikeuchi and Inoue, 1988; Appendix 1—figure 1A, Source data 1*). The samples (5 µg of ChI) were solubilized by 3% lithium lauryl sulfate and 75 mM dithiothreitol for 10 min at 60°C, and loaded onto the gel. A standard molecular weight marker (SP-0110; APRO Science) was used. The subunit bands were assigned by mass spectrometry according to a previous method (*Nagao et al., 2019*). Pigment compositions were analyzed as described in *Nagao et al., 2013b*, and the elution profile was monitored at 440 nm (*Appendix 1—figure 1B*).

### Purification of the PSI trimer from Synechocystis

The cyanobacterium Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803 47H strain was grown in the BG11 medium supplemented with 10 mM HEPES-KOH (pH 8.0) at the PPFD of 30  $\mu$ mol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at 30°C with bubbling of air containing 3% (vol/vol) CO2. The 47H strain has a six-histidine tag at the C-terminus of the CP47 subunit (Ito and Tanaka, 2011). Thylakoid membranes were prepared as described previously (Nagao et al., 2017) and suspended in buffer A. The thylakoids were solubilized with 1%  $\beta$ -DDM at a Chl concentration of 0.50 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> for 30 min on ice in the dark with gentle stirring. After centrifugation at 50,000  $\times$  g for 10 min at 4°C, the resultant supernatant was loaded onto a Ni<sup>2+</sup> affinity column (2.5 cm of inner diameter and 10 cm of length) equilibrated with buffer C. The PSI-enriched fraction was collected by washing the column with buffer C, and subsequently diluted with an equal volume of buffer B. The diluted sample was applied onto a Q-Sepharose anion-exchange column (2.5 cm of inner diameter and 10 cm of length) equilibrated with buffer B. The column was washed with buffer B containing 150 mM NaCl until the eluate became colorless, and further washed with 50 ml of buffer B containing 200 mM NaCl and subsequently with 100 ml of buffer B containing 250 mM NaCl. The PSI fraction was eluted with buffer B containing 300 mM NaCl, and subsequently loaded onto a linear trehalose gradient of 10-40% (wt/vol) in a medium containing 20 mM MES-NaOH (pH 6.5), 5 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 100 mM NaCl, and 0.03%  $\beta$ -DDM. After centrifugation at 154,000 × g for 18 hr at 4°C (P40ST rotor; Hitachi), a band containing the PSI trimers was collected and then concentrated using a 150 kDa cutoff filter (Apollo; Orbital Biosciences) at  $4,000 \times \underline{g}$ . The concentrated PSI-trimer complexes were stored in liquid nitrogen until use.

### Purification of the PSI trimer from T. vulcanus

The cyanobacterium *T. vulcanus* NIES-2134 was grown and its thylakoid membranes were prepared as described previously (*Kawakami and Shen, 2018*). The PSI-enriched fraction was obtained as precipitation by centrifugation at 100,000 × *g* for 60 min at 4°C after second-round treatments of the thylakoids with *N*,*N*-dimethyldodecylamine-*N*-oxide (*Kawakami and Shen, 2018*), and suspended with a 30 mM MES–NaOH (pH 6.0) buffer containing 5% (wt/vol) glycerol, 3 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, and 0.03%  $\beta$ -DDM. The PSI fraction was loaded onto a linear trehalose gradient of 10–40% (wt/vol) in a medium containing 20 mM MES–NaOH (pH 6.5), 5 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 100 mM NaCl, and 0.03%  $\beta$ -DDM. After centrifugation at 154,000 × *g* for 18 hr at 4°C (P40ST rotor; Hitachi), a band containing the PSI trimers was collected and then concentrated using a 150 kDa cutoff filter (Apollo; Orbital Biosciences) at 4,000 × *g*. The concentrated PSI-trimer complexes were stored in liquid nitrogen until use.

### Absorption and fluorescence-emission spectra at 77 K

Absorption spectra of the three types of PSI trimers were measured at 77 K using a spectrometer equipped with an integrating sphere unit (V-650/ISVC-747, JASCO) (*Hamada et al., 2012*), and their steady-state fluorescence-emission spectra were recorded at 77 K using a spectrofluorometer (FP-8300/PMU-183, JASCO). The excitation wavelength was set to 440 nm.

#### **Cryo-EM data collection**

For cryo-EM experiments, 3  $\mu$ l aliquots of the *Gloeobacter* PSI trimers (1.68 mg Chl ml<sup>-1</sup>) were applied to Quantifoil R1.2/1.3, Cu 200 mesh grids pretreated by gold sputtering. Without waiting for incubation, the excess amount of the solution was blotted off for 5 s with a filter paper in an FEI Vitrobot

Mark IV at 4°C under 100% humidity. The grids were plunged into liquid ethane cooled by liquid nitrogen and then transferred into a CRYO ARM 300 electron microscope (JEOL) equipped with a cold-field emission gun operated at 300 kV. Zero-energy loss images were recorded at a nominal magnification of ×60,000 on a direct electron detection camera (Gatan K3, AMETEK) with a nominal defocus range of -1.8 to -0.6  $\mu$ m. One-pixel size corresponded to 0.823 Å. Each image stack was exposed at a dose rate of 17.555 e<sup>-</sup> Å<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> for 4.0 s, and consisted of dose-fractionated 50 movie frames. In total 7,282 image stacks were collected.

### **Cryo-EM image processing**

The movie frames thus obtained were aligned and summed using MotionCor2 (*Zheng et al., 2017*) to yield dose weighted images. Estimation of the contrast transfer function (CTF) was performed using CTFFIND4 (*Mindell and Grigorieff, 2003*). All of the following processes were performed using RELION3.1 (*Zivanov et al., 2020*). In total 961,960 particles were automatically picked up and used for reference-free 2D classification. Then, 946,827 particles were selected from good 2D classes and subsequently subjected to 3D classification without imposing any symmetry. An initial model for the first 3D classification was generated de novo from 2D classifications. As shown in *Appendix 1—figure 2*, the final PSI-trimer structure was reconstructed from 261,743 particles. The overall resolution of the cryo-EM map was estimated to be 2.04 Å by the gold standard FSC curve with a cutoff value of 0.143 (*Appendix 1—figure 2D*; *Grigorieff and Harrison, 2011*). Local resolutions were calculated using RELION (*Appendix 1—figure 2F*).

### Model building and refinement

The cryo-EM map thus obtained was used for the model building of the PSI trimer. Each subunit of the homology models constructed using the Phyre2 server (*Kelley et al., 2015*) was first manually fitted into the map by using UCSF Chimera (*Pettersen et al., 2004*), and then inspected and manually adjusted with Coot (*Emsley et al., 2010*). The complete PSI-trimer structure was refined with phenix. real\_space\_refine (*Adams et al., 2010*) and REFMAC5 (*Murshudov et al., 2011*) with geometric restraints for the protein-cofactor coordination. The final model was validated with MolProbity (*Chen et al., 2010*), EMRinger (*Barad et al., 2015*), and Q-score (*Pintilie et al., 2020*). The statistics for all data collection and structure refinement are summarized in *Appendix 1—Tables 1 and 2*. All structural figures were made by PyMOL (*Schrödinger, 2015*) and UCSF ChimeraX (*Goddard et al., 2018*).

### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI grant nos. JP20H02914 (Koji.K.), JP21K19085 (R.N.), JP20K06528 (Keisuke.K), JP16H06553 (S.A.), and JP17H06433 (J.-R.S.), JST-Mirai Program Grant Number JPMJMI20G5 (K.Y.), Takeda Science Foundation (Koji.K.), and the Cyclic Innovation for Clinical Empowerment (CiCLE) from the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development, AMED (T.H., Keisuke.K., and K.Y.).

## **Additional information**

#### Funding Funder Grant reference number Author Japan Society for the JP20H02914 Koji Kato Promotion of Science Japan Society for the JP21K19085 Ryo Nagao Promotion of Science Japan Society for the JP20K06528 Keisuke Kawakami Promotion of Science Japan Society for the JP16H06553 Seiji Akimoto Promotion of Science

#### Kato, Hamaguchi, Nagao, et al. eLife 2022;11:e73990. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.73990

Grant reference number	Author
JP17H06433	Jian-Ren Shen
JPMJMI20G5	Koji Yonekura
	Koji Kato
	Tasuku Hamaguchi Keisuke Kawakami Koji Yonekura
	Grant reference number JP17H06433 JPMJMI20G5

The funders had no role in study design, data collection, and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

#### Author contributions

Koji Kato, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Validation, Writing – original draft; Tasuku Hamaguchi, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Validation; Ryo Nagao, Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Project administration, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing; Keisuke Kawakami, Validation; Yoshifumi Ueno, Takehiro Suzuki, Formal analysis, Investigation, Validation; Hiroko Uchida, Akio Murakami, Naoshi Dohmae, Formal analysis, Resources; Yoshiki Nakajima, Makio Yokono, Formal analysis; Seiji Akimoto, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Resources, Supervision, Validation; Koji Yonekura, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation; Jian-Ren Shen, Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review and editing

#### Author ORCIDs

Ryo Nagao (b http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8212-3001 Seiji Akimoto (b http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8951-8978 Naoshi Dohmae (b http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5242-9410 Koji Yonekura (b http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5520-4391 Jian-Ren Shen (b http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4471-8797

#### **Decision letter and Author response**

Decision letter https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.73990.sa1 Author response https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.73990.sa2

### **Additional files**

#### Supplementary files

- Transparent reporting form
- Source data 1. The raw data of SDS-PAGE.

#### Data availability

The cryo-EM density map and atomic model have been deposited in the Electron Microscopy Data Bank and the Protein Data Bank (EMD ID code 31455 and PDB ID code 7F4V). Figs. 1-7 in the main text are made with these data by PyMOL and UCSF ChimeraX. The source data of Appendix 1—figure 1A is provided as a xlsx file, which is named Source Data 1.

Continued on next page

The following datasets were generated:

Author(s)	Year	Dataset title	Dataset URL	Database and Identifier
Kato K, Hamaguchi T, Nagao R, Kawakami K, Yonekuru K, Shen J-R	2022	Cryo-EM Structure of a primordial cyanobacterial photosystem I	https://www.ebi.ac. uk/emdb/EMD-31455	Electron Microscopy Data Bank, 31455
Kato K, Hamaguchi T, Nagao R, Kawakami K, Yonekuru K, Shen J-R	2022	Cryo-EM Structure of a primordial cyanobacterial photosystem I	https://www.rcsb.org/ structure/7F4V	RCSB Protein Data Bank, 7F4V

### References

- Adams PD, Afonine PV, Bunkóczi G, Chen VB, Davis IW, Echols N, Headd JJ, Hung L-W, Kapral GJ, Grosse-Kunstleve RW, McCoy AJ, Moriarty NW, Oeffner R, Read RJ, Richardson DC, Richardson JS, Terwilliger TC, Zwart PH. 2010. PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. Acta Crystallographica. Section D, Biological Crystallography **66**:213–221. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1107/S0907444909052925, PMID: 20124702
- Adolphs J, Müh F, Madjet ME-A, am Busch MS, Renger T. 2010. Structure-based calculations of optical spectra of photosystem I suggest an asymmetric light-harvesting process. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 132:3331–3343. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/ja9072222, PMID: 20166713
- Akita F, Nagao R, Kato K, Nakajima Y, Yokono M, Ueno Y, Suzuki T, Dohmae N, Shen JR, Akimoto S, Miyazaki N. 2020. Structure of a cyanobacterial photosystem I surrounded by octadecameric IsiA antenna proteins. Communications Biology 3:232. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-020-0949-6, PMID: 32393811
- Antoshvili M, Caspy I, Hippler M, Nelson N. 2019. Structure and function of photosystem I in Cyanidioschyzon merolae. Photosynthesis Research 139:499–508. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11120-018-0501-4, PMID: 29582227
- Barad BA, Echols N, Wang RY-R, Cheng Y, DiMaio F, Adams PD, Fraser JS. 2015. EMRinger: side chain-directed model and map validation for 3D cryo-electron microscopy. *Nature Methods* 12:943–946. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1038/nmeth.3541, PMID: 26280328

Blankenship RE. 2021. Molecular Mechanisms of Photosynthesis. Wiley-Blackwell.

- Brettel K, Leibl W. 2001. Electron transfer in photosystem I. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta Bioenergetics* 1507:100–114. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/s0005-2728(01)00202-x, PMID: 11687210
- Byrdin M, Jordan P, Krauss N, Fromme P, Stehlik D, Schlodder E. 2002. Light harvesting in photosystem I: modeling based on the 2.5-Å structure of photosystem I from Synechococcus elongatus. Biophysical Journal 83:433–457. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(02)75181-3, PMID: 12080132
- Chen VB, Arendall III WB, Headd JJ, Keedy DA, Immormino RM, Kapral GJ, Murray LW, Richardson JS, Richardson DC. 2010. MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for macromolecular crystallography. Acta Crystallographica. Section D, Biological Crystallography 66:12–21. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444909042073, PMID: 20057044
- Chen M, Perez-Boerema A, Zhang L, Li Y, Yang M, Li S, Amunts A. 2020. Distinct structural modulation of photosystem I and lipid environment stabilizes its tetrameric assembly. *Nature Plants* 6:314–320. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41477-020-0610-x, PMID: 32170279
- Çoruh O, Frank A, Tanaka H, Kawamoto A, El-Mohsnawy E, Kato T, Namba K, Gerle C, Nowaczyk MM, Kurisu G. 2021. Cryo-EM structure of a functional monomeric Photosystem I from *Thermosynechococcus elongatus* reveals red chlorophyll cluster. *Communications Biology* 4:304. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-021-01808-9, PMID: 33686186
- El-Mohsnawy E, Kopczak MJ, Schlodder E, Nowaczyk M, Meyer HE, Warscheid B, Karapetyan NV, Rögner M. 2010. Structure and function of intact photosystem 1 monomers from the cyanobacterium *Thermosynechococcus elongatus. Biochemistry* **49**:4740–4751. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/bi901807p, PMID: 20359245
- **Emsley P**, Lohkamp B, Scott WG, Cowtan K. 2010. Features and development of *Coot. Acta Crystallographica.* Section D, Biological Crystallography **66**:486–501. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444910007493, PMID: 20383002
- Fromme P, Jordan P, Krauß N. 2001. Structure of photosystem I. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta, Bioenergetics 1507:5–31. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0005-2728(01)00195-5, PMID: 11687205
- Gisriel C, Shen G, Kurashov V, Ho M-Y, Zhang S, Williams D, Golbeck JH, Fromme P, Bryant DA. 2020. The structure of Photosystem I acclimated to far-red light illuminates an ecologically important acclimation process in photosynthesis. *Science Advances* 6:eaay6415. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aay6415, PMID: 32076649
- Gobets B, van Grondelle R. 2001. Energy transfer and trapping in photosystem I. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta Bioenergetics* 1507:80–99. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/s0005-2728(01)00203-1, PMID: 11687209

- Goddard TD, Huang CC, Meng EC, Pettersen EF, Couch GS, Morris JH, Ferrin TE. 2018. UCSF ChimeraX: meeting modern challenges in visualization and analysis. *Protein Science* 27:14–25. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1002/pro.3235, PMID: 28710774
- **Grigorieff N**, Harrison SC. 2011. Near-atomic resolution reconstructions of icosahedral viruses from electron cryo-microscopy. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology* **21**:265–273. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2011.01. 008, PMID: 21333526
- Guglielmi G, Cohen-Bazire G, Bryant DA. 1981. The structure of *Gloeobacter violaceus* and its phycobilisomes. Archives of Microbiology 129:181–189. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00425248
- Hamada F, Yokono M, Hirose E, Murakami A, Akimoto S. 2012. Excitation energy relaxation in a symbiotic cyanobacterium, Prochloron didemni, occurring in coral-reef ascidians, and in a free-living cyanobacterium, Prochlorothrix hollandica. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - Bioenergetics 1817:1992–1997. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.bbabio.2012.06.008, PMID: 22728755
- Hamaguchi T, Maki-Yonekura S, Naitow H, Matsuura Y, Ishikawa T, Yonekura K. 2019. A new cryo-EM system for single particle analysis. *Journal of Structural Biology* 207:40–48. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2019.04. 011, PMID: 30991102
- Hamaguchi T, Kawakami K, Shinzawa-Itoh K, Inoue-Kashino N, Ifuku K, Yamashita E, Maeda K, Yonekura K, Kashino Y. 2021. Structure of the far-red light utilizing photosystem I of Acaryochloris marina. Nature Communications 12:2333. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-22502-8, PMID: 33879791
- Hippler M, Nelson N. 2021. The Plasticity of Photosystem I. Plant & Cell Physiology 62:1073–1081. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcab046, PMID: 33768246
- Ikeuchi M, Inoue Y. 1988. A new photosystem II reaction center component (4.8 kDa protein) encoded by chloroplast genome. FEBS Letters 241:99–104. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-5793(88)81039-1, PMID: 3058517
- Inoue H, Tsuchiya T, Satoh S, Miyashita H, Kaneko T, Tabata S, Tanaka A, Mimuro M. 2004. Unique constitution of photosystem I with a novel subunit in the cyanobacterium *Gloeobacter violaceus* PCC 7421. *FEBS Letters* 578:275–279. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2004.11.013, PMID: 15589832
- Ito H, Tanaka A. 2011. Evolution of a divinyl chlorophyll-based photosystem in *Prochlorococcus. PNAS* **108**:18014–18019. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1107590108, PMID: 22006316
- Jordan P, Fromme P, Witt HT, Klukas O, Saenger W, Krauß N. 2001. Three-dimensional structure of cyanobacterial photosystem I at 2.5 Å resolution. *Nature* **411**:909–917. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/35082000, PMID: 11418848
- Karapetyan NV, Karapetyan E, van Grondelle R, Dekker JP. 2006. Photosystem I: the Light-Driven Plastocyanin:Ferredoxin Oxidoreductase. Golbeck JH (Ed). *The Long Wavelength Chlorophylls of Photosystem I*. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Springer. p. 177–192.
- Kato K, Nagao R, Jiang T-Y, Ueno Y, Yokono M, Chan SK, Watanabe M, Ikeuchi M, Shen J-R, Akimoto S, Miyazaki N, Akita F. 2019. Structure of a cyanobacterial photosystem I tetramer revealed by cryo-electron microscopy. Nature Communications 10:4929. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12942-8, PMID: 31666526
- Kato K, Shinoda T, Nagao R, Akimoto S, Suzuki T, Dohmae N, Chen M, Allakhverdiev SI, Shen J-R, Akita F, Miyazaki N, Tomo T. 2020. Structural basis for the adaptation and function of chlorophyll f in photosystem I. Nature Communications 11:238. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-13898-5, PMID: 31932639
- Kawakami K, Shen J-R. 2018. Purification of fully active and crystallizable photosystem II from thermophilic cyanobacteria. *Methods in Enzymology* **613**:1–16. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.mie.2018.10.002, PMID: 30509462
- Kelley LA, Mezulis S, Yates CM, Wass MN, Sternberg MJE. 2015. The Phyre2 web portal for protein modeling, prediction and analysis. *Nature Protocols* **10**:845–858. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2015.053, PMID: 25950237
- Koenig F, Schmidt M. 1995. Gloeobacter violaceus investigation of an unusual photosynthetic apparatus.
   Absence of the long wavelength emission of photosystem I in 77 K fluorescence spectra. *Physiologia Plantarum* 94:621–628. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.1995.tb00976.x
- Malavath T, Caspy I, Netzer-El SY, Klaiman D, Nelson N. 2018. Structure and function of wild-type and subunitdepleted photosystem I in *Synechocystis*. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - Bioenergetics* **1859**:645–654. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabio.2018.02.002, PMID: 29414678
- Mazor Y, Borovikova A, Caspy I, Nelson N. 2017. Structure of the plant photosystem I supercomplex at 2.6 Å resolution. Nature Plants **3**:17014. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nplants.2017.14, PMID: 28248295
- Mimuro M, Tsuchiya T, Inoue H, Sakuragi Y, Itoh Y, Gotoh T, Miyashita H, Bryant DA, Kobayashi M. 2005. The secondary electron acceptor of photosystem I in *Gloeobacter violaceus* PCC 7421 is menaquinone-4 that is synthesized by a unique but unknown pathway. *FEBS Letters* **579**:3493–3496. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j. febslet.2005.05.029, PMID: 15955532
- Mimuro M, Yokono M, Akimoto S. 2010. Variations in photosystem I properties in the primordial cyanobacterium Gloeobacter violaceus PCC 7421. Photochemistry and Photobiology 86:62–69. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j. 1751-1097.2009.00619.x, PMID: 19769578
- Mindell JA, Grigorieff N. 2003. Accurate determination of local defocus and specimen tilt in electron microscopy. Journal of Structural Biology 142:334–347. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/s1047-8477(03)00069-8, PMID: 12781660

- Murshudov GN, Skubák P, Lebedev AA, Pannu NS, Steiner RA, Nicholls RA, Winn MD, Long F, Vagin AA. 2011. REFMAC5 for the refinement of macromolecular crystal structures. Acta Crystallographica. Section D, Biological Crystallography 67:355–367. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444911001314, PMID: 21460454
- Nagao R, Ishii A, Tada O, Suzuki T, Dohmae N, Okumura A, Iwai M, Takahashi T, Kashino Y, Enami I. 2007. Isolation and characterization of oxygen-evolving thylakoid membranes and Photosystem II particles from a marine diatom *Chaetoceros gracilis*. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - Bioenergetics* **1767**:1353–1362. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabio.2007.10.007, PMID: 17996191
- Nagao R, Takahashi S, Suzuki T, Dohmae N, Nakazato K, Tomo T. 2013a. Comparison of oligomeric states and polypeptide compositions of fucoxanthin chlorophyll a/c-binding protein complexes among various diatom species. Photosynthesis Research 117:281–288. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11120-013-9903-5, PMID: 23925427
- Nagao R, Yokono M, Akimoto S, Tomo T. 2013b. High excitation energy quenching in fucoxanthin chlorophyll a/c-binding protein complexes from the diatom *Chaetoceros gracilis*. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B* 117:6888–6895. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/jp403923q, PMID: 23688343
- Nagao R, Yamaguchi M, Nakamura S, Ueoka-Nakanishi H, Noguchi T. 2017. Genetically introduced hydrogen bond interactions reveal an asymmetric charge distribution on the radical cation of the special-pair chlorophyll P680. Journal of Biological Chemistry 292:7474–7486. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M117.781062, PMID: 28302724
- Nagao R, Kato K, Suzuki T, Ifuku K, Uchiyama I, Kashino Y, Dohmae N, Akimoto S, Shen J-R, Miyazaki N, Akita F. 2019. Structural basis for energy harvesting and dissipation in a diatom PSII-FCPII supercomplex. *Nature Plants* 5:890–901. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-019-0477-x, PMID: 31358960
- Nagao R, Kato K, Ifuku K, Suzuki T, Kumazawa M, Uchiyama I, Kashino Y, Dohmae N, Akimoto S, Shen J-R, Miyazaki N, Akita F. 2020a. Structural basis for assembly and function of a diatom photosystem I-lightharvesting supercomplex. Nature Communications 11:2481. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16324-3, PMID: 32424145
- Nagao R, Yokono M, Ueno Y, Jiang T-Y, Shen J-R, Akimoto S. 2020b. pH-induced regulation of excitation energy transfer in the cyanobacterial photosystem I tetramer. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B* **124**:1949–1954. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcb.0c01136, PMID: 32052966
- Nakamura Y, KanekoT, Sato S, Mimuro M, Miyashita H, Tsuchiya T, Sasamoto S, Watanabe A, Kawashima K, Kishida Y, Kiyokawa C, Kohara M, Matsumoto M, Matsuno A, Nakazaki N, Shimpo S, Takeuchi C, Yamada M, Tabata S. 2003. Complete genome structure of *Gloeobacter violaceus* PCC 7421, a cyanobacterium that lacks thylakoids. DNA Research 10:137–145. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/dnares/10.4.137, PMID: 14621292
- Nelissen B, Van de Peer Y, Wilmotte A, De Wachter R. 1995. An early origin of plastids within the cyanobacterial divergence is suggested by evolutionary trees based on complete 16S rRNA sequences. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 12:1166–1173. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a040289, PMID: 8524048
- Nelson N, Yocum CF. 2006. Structure and function of photosystems I and II. Annual Review of Plant Biology 57:521–565. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.57.032905.105350, PMID: 16669773
- Pålsson L-O, Dekker JP, Schlodder E, Monshouwer R, van Grondelle R. 1996. Polarized site-selective fluorescence spectroscopy of the long-wavelength emitting chlorophylls in isolated Photosystem I particles of Synechococcus elongatus. Photosynthesis Research 48:239–246. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00041014, PMID: 24271304
- Perez-Boerema A, Klaiman D, Caspy I, Netzer-El SY, Amunts A, Nelson N. 2020. Structure of a minimal photosystem I from the green alga *Dunaliella salina*. Nature Plants 6:321–327. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41477-020-0611-9, PMID: 32123351
- Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, Couch GS, Greenblatt DM, Meng EC, Ferrin TE. 2004. UCSF Chimera A visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *Journal of Computational Chemistry* 25:1605–1612. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.20084, PMID: 15264254
- **Pi X**, Tian L, Dai H-E, Qin X, Cheng L, Kuang T, Sui S-F, Shen J-R. 2018. Unique organization of photosystem I-light-harvesting supercomplex revealed by cryo-EM from a red alga. *PNAS* **115**:4423–4428. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1722482115, PMID: 29632169
- Pintilie G, Zhang K, Su Z, Li S, Schmid MF, Chiu W. 2020. Measurement of atom resolvability in cryo-EM maps with Q-scores. Nature Methods 17:328–334. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-020-0731-1, PMID: 32042190
- Qin X, Suga M, Kuang T, Shen J-R. 2015. Structural basis for energy transfer pathways in the plant PSI-LHCI supercomplex. *Science* **348**:989–995. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aab0214, PMID: 26023133
- Qin X, Pi X, Wang W, Han G, Zhu L, Liu M, Cheng L, Shen J-R, Kuang T, Sui S-F. 2019. Structure of a green algal photosystem I in complex with a large number of light-harvesting complex I subunits. *Nature Plants* 5:263–272. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-019-0379-y, PMID: 30850820
- Schlodder E, Çetin M, Byrdin M, Terekhova IV, Karapetyan NV. 2005. P700<sup>+</sup>- and <sup>3</sup>P700-induced quenching of the fluorescence at 760 nm in trimeric Photosystem I complexes from the cyanobacterium Arthrospira platensis. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - Bioenergetics 1706:53–67. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabio.2004.08.009, PMID: 15620365
- Schlodder E, Shubin VV, El-Mohsnawy E, Roegner M, Karapetyan NV. 2007. Steady-state and transient polarized absorption spectroscopy of photosystem I complexes from the cyanobacteria Arthrospira platensis and Thermosynechococcus elongatus. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta Bioenergetics 1767:732–741. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabio.2007.01.013, PMID: 17321489

Schlodder E, Hussels M, Çetin M, Karapetyan NV, Brecht M. 2011. Fluorescence of the various red antenna states in photosystem I complexes from cyanobacteria is affected differently by the redox state of P700. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - Bioenergetics* 1807:1423–1431. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabio.2011. 06.018, PMID: 21777563

Schrödinger LLC. 2015. The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System. Version 1.8.

- Semchonok DA, Mondal J, Cooper CJ, Schlum K, Li M, Amin M, Sorzano COS, Ramírez-Aportela E, Kastritis PL, Boekema EJ, Guskov A, Bruce BD. 2022. Cryo-EM structure of a tetrameric photosystem I from *Chroococcidiopsis* TS-821, a thermophilic, unicellular, non-heterocyst-forming cyanobacterium. *Plant Communications* 3:100248. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xplc.2021.100248, PMID: 35059628
- Shibata Y, Yamagishi A, Kawamoto S, Noji T, Itoh S. 2010. Kinetically distinct three red chlorophylls in photosystem I of *Thermosynechococcus elongatus* revealed by femtosecond time-resolved fluorescence spectroscopy at 15 K. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B* 114:2954–2963. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/ jp909583r, PMID: 20141149
- Shubin VV, Bezsmertnaya IN, Karapetyan NV. 1995. Efficient energy transfer from the long-wavelength antenna chlorophylls to P700 in photosystem I complexes from Spirulina platensis. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B 30:153–160. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/1011-1344(95)07173-Y
- Stanier RY, Kunisawa R, Mandel M, Cohen-Bazire G. 1971. Purification and properties of unicellular blue-green algae (order Chroococcales). *Bacteriological Reviews* 35:171–205. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1128/br.35.2.171-205.1971, PMID: 4998365
- Su X, Ma J, Pan X, Zhao X, Chang W, Liu Z, Zhang X, Li M. 2019. Antenna arrangement and energy transfer pathways of a green algal photosystem-I-LHCI supercomplex. *Nature Plants* 5:273–281. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41477-019-0380-5, PMID: 30850819
- Suga M, Ozawa S-I, Yoshida-Motomura K, Akita F, Miyazaki N, Takahashi Y. 2019. Structure of the green algal photosystem I supercomplex with a decameric light-harvesting complex I. Nature Plants 5:626–636. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-019-0438-4, PMID: 31182847
- Suga M, Shen J-R. 2020. Structural variations of photosystem I-antenna supercomplex in response to adaptations to different light environments. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology* **63**:10–17. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j. sbi.2020.02.005, PMID: 32294569
- Toporik H, Khmelnitskiy A, Dobson Z, Riddle R, Williams D, Lin S, Jankowiak R, Mazor Y. 2020. The structure of a red-shifted photosystem I reveals a red site in the core antenna. *Nature Communications* 11:5279. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18884-w, PMID: 33077842
- Turconi S, Kruip J, Schweitzer G, Rögner M, Holzwarth AR. 1996. A comparative fluorescence kinetics study of Photosystem I monomers and trimers from *Synechocystis* PCC 6803. *Photosynthesis Research* **49**:263–268. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00034787, PMID: 24271704
- van der Lee J, Bald D, Kwa SLS, van Grondelle R, Rögner M, Dekker JP. 1993. Steady-state polarized light spectroscopy of isolated Photosystem I complexes. *Photosynthesis Research* 35:311–321. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1007/BF00016562, PMID: 24318761
- Xu C, Pi X, Huang Y, Han G, Chen X, Qin X, Huang G, Zhao S, Yang Y, Kuang T, Wang W, Sui S-F, Shen J-R. 2020. Structural basis for energy transfer in a huge diatom PSI-FCPI supercomplex. *Nature Communications* **11**:5081. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18867-x, PMID: 33033236
- Xu C, Zhu Q, Chen J-H, Shen L, Yi X, Huang Z, Wang W, Chen M, Kuang T, Shen J-R, Zhang X, Han G. 2021. A unique photosystem I reaction center from A chlorophyll d-containing cyanobacterium Acaryochloris marina. Journal of Integrative Plant Biology 63:1740–1752. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/jipb.13113, PMID: 34002536
- Yan Q, Zhao L, Wang W, Pi X, Han G, Wang J, Cheng L, He Y-K, Kuang T, Qin X, Sui S-F, Shen J-R. 2021. Antenna arrangement and energy-transfer pathways of PSI-LHCI from the moss *Physcomitrella patens*. *Cell Discovery* 7:10. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41421-021-00242-9, PMID: 33589616
- Zheng SQ, Palovcak E, Armache J-P, Verba KA, Cheng Y, Agard DA. 2017. MotionCor2: anisotropic correction of beam-induced motion for improved cryo-electron microscopy. *Nature Methods* 14:331–332. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1038/nmeth.4193, PMID: 28250466
- Zheng L, Li Y, Li X, Zhong Q, Li N, Zhang K, Zhang Y, Chu H, Ma C, Li G, Zhao J, Gao N. 2019. Structural and functional insights into the tetrameric photosystem I from heterocyst-forming cyanobacteria. *Nature Plants* 5:1087–1097. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-019-0525-6, PMID: 31595062
- Zivanov J, Nakane T, Scheres SHW. 2020. Estimation of high-order aberrations and anisotropic magnification from cryo-EM data sets in *RELION-3.1. IUCrJ* 7:253–267. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1107/S205225252000081, PMID: 32148853

Appendix 1





**Appendix 1—figure 1.** Biochemical characterization of the *Gloeobacter* PSI trimer. (**A**) SDS-PAGE analysis of the PSI trimer. Protein bands were identified by mass spectrometry. It should be noted that some of the subunits in the PSI trimer were not detected by SDS-PAGE, probably due to their poor staining by CBB, but were visualized by the present cryo-EM map. (**B**) HPLC analysis of pigments extracted from the PSI trimer monitored at 440 nm. Letters of *a* and  $\beta$ -carotene, respectively. Data are representative of three independent experiments.



Appendix 1—figure 2. Cryo-EM data collection and processing of the *Gloeobacter* PSI trimer. (A) Representative cryo-EM micrograph of the PSI trimer. (B) Representative 2D classes of the PSI trimer. The box size is 330 Å. (C) A flowchart showing the classification scheme of the PSI trimer. The overall structure of PSI was reconstructed at a 2.04-Å resolution from 261,743 particles. (D) FSC curves of the PSI trimer for independently refined half maps (half maps) and full map vs. model (map-model). (E) Angular distribution of the particles used for reconstruction of the PSI trimer. Each cylinder represents one view, and its height is proportional to the number of particles. (F) Local resolution map of the PSI trimer.

Appendix 1—figure 3 continued on next page

Α					
			α1	α2	
	Gloeobacter-PsaZ		22222222	000000000	000000
		ļ	10	20	30
	Gloeobacter-PsaZ	MQSYNV	FPALVIITT	LVVPFMAAAA	LLFI <b>IE</b> RDPS.
	<i>T. elongatus</i> -Psal	MMGSYAASFL	PWIFIPVVC	WLMPTVVMGLI	LFLYIEGEA
	<i>T. vulcanus</i> -Psal	MMGSYAASFL	PWIFIPVVCI	WLMPTVVMGLI	LFLYI <mark>E</mark> GEA
	Synechocystis-Psal	MDGSYAASYL	PWILIPMVG	WLFPAVTMGLI	LFIHIESEGEG
	H. hongdechloris-Psal	MDGTY <mark>A</mark> ASWL	PWLLIPVVT	WLMPAVVMGLI	LFFYI <mark>E</mark> SDA
	A marina-Psa27	MISDIL	PAIMTPLVV	LIGGGAAMTAI	FFYYVEREG



**Appendix 1—figure 3.** Identification of the *Gloeobacter* PSI subunits. (**A**) Multiple sequence alignment (ClustalW and ESPript) of the *Gloeobacter* PsaZ and other cyanobacterial PsaI subunits. The species shown are *Gloeobacter violaceus* PCC 7421, *Thermosynechococcus elongatus* BP-1, *Thermosynechococcus vulcanus* NIES-2134, *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803, *Halomicronema hongdechloris* C2206, and *Acaryochloris marina* MBIC11017. (**B**) The cryo-EM density (blue meshes) of PsaZ and its corresponding model (gray sticks). (**C**) The cryo-EM density of the Unknown subunit (blue meshes) and its corresponding model (gray sticks).

Gloeobacter-PsaA	β1	η1 222	η2 222	α1 η3
Gloeobacter-PsaA T. elongstus-PsaA T. vulcanus-PsaA Synechocystis-PsaA H. hongdechloris-PsaA A. marina-PsaA	1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0,	STEKWGKPGWP SPEKWAKPGHP SPEKWGKPGHP SPEKWGKPGHP SPERWAKPGHP STEVAGKPGHP	40 ERNLARGPKTT DRTLARGPOTT DRTLARGPOTT DRTLARGPKTT ERSLSKGPKTT NKSLSKGPOTT	SO FWIWDLHALAHDFE FWIWNLHALAHDFD FWIWNLHALAHDFD FWIWNLHANAHDFD FWIWNLHALAHDFD FWIWNLHALAHDFD
Gloeobacter-PsaA Gloeobacter-PsaA T. elongatus-PsaA T. vulcanus-PsaA Synechocystis-PsaA H. hongdechloris-PsaA A. marina-PsaA	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	PODODODODODO PO FINISCMYHC FINISCMYHC FVWISCMYHC FVWISCMYHC FVWISCMYHC	CO COCOCO LOO AVESNETAWME1 AVESNYEAWLA1 AVESNYEAWLA1 AVESNYEAWLS1 AVESNYEAWLS1 AVESNYEAWLS1 AVESNYEAWLS1	β2 TIT TIO PIGIKPSAOTWPP PIGIKPSAOWWP PIGIKPSAOWWP CIGKPSAOWWP DIGKPSAOWWP DIGKPSAOWWP DIGKPSAOWWP
Gloeobacter-PsaA Gloeobacter-PsaA T. elongatus-PsaA T. vulcanus-PsaA Synechocystis-PsaA H. hongdechloris-PsaA A. marina-PsaA	14 20 150 TT TT 1 140 100 TT 100 ST 140 100	CH 150 TSGLF LWRAY TSGLF LWRAS TSGLF LWRAS TSGLF LWRAS TSGLF MWRAS TSGLF MWRAS TSGLF MWRAS	16000000 TATIGORAAM TATIGORAAM TANEFOLYCTI TANEFOLYCTI TANEFETYCTI TANEFETYCTI	uS 170 AMUTIALLFLFA GGLWAGLMLFA GGLWAGLMLFA GGLWAALMLFA GGLWAALMLFA GGLWAALMLFA GGLWAALMLFA GGLWAALMLFA GGLWAALMLFA
Gloeobacter-PsaA Gloeobacter-PsaA T. elongatus-PsaA T. vulcanus-PsaA Synechocystis-PsaA H. hongdechloris-PsaA A. marina-PsaA		1000 100000 210 HLAGHGLGSL HLAGHGLGSL HLAGHGLGSL HLAGHGLGSL HLAGHGLGSL HLAGHGLGSL HLAGHGLGSL	IX 220 220 FMTGHLIHVALI SMAGHQIHVSL SMAGHQIHVSL SMAGHQIHVSL SMAGHLIHVSL SMAGHLIHVSL SMAGHLIHVSL	09 230 230 VKAQLDAGIAPA. INKLDAGVAK. INKLDAGVAK. INKLDAGVAF. INKLDAGVAP. VNKLLDAGVAP. VNKLLDAGVAP.
Gloeobacter-PsaA Gloeobacter-PsaA T. elongatus-PsaA T. vulcanus-PsaA Synechocystis-PsaA H. hongdechloris-PsaA A. marina-PsaA	η5         η6           200         2200         2           0         0         10         10           0         10         10         10         10           0         10         10         10         10         10           10         10         10         10         10         10         10           11         10	α10 1000000 TTT 350 350 350 510 510 510 510 510 510 510 5	all 200000 271 SPN.GELGAFF NGFFSGVIPFP NGFSGVIPFP SFACGLKPFT SFACGLKPFT SFACGLMPYFT	17 280 280 1 NWGOFTDFJTFK FNWAAYSDFJTFN LNWGVYSDFJTFK LNWGVYSDFJTFK LNWGAYSDFJTFK
Gloeobacter-PsaA Gloeobacter-PsaA T. elongatus-PsaA T. vulcanus-PsaA Synechocystis-PsaA H. hongdechloris-PsaA A. marina-PsaA	Ling (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	310 311 TFILACHMYRT LFILACHMYRT LFILACHMYRT TFIVACHFYRT MYILACHMYRT	COOD COOD NHGIGHSIKEMI NHGIGHSIKEMI NHGIGHSIKEI NHGIGHSFKEV NHGIGHSFKEVI NHGIGHSMKEI	18 202 340 BARKOPITCABR BARKOPITCABR BARKOPITCABR BARKOPITCABR BARKOPITCEAR BARKOPITCEAR BARKOPITCEAR BARKOPITCEAR
Gibeobacter-PsaA Gibeobacter-PsaA T. elongatus-PsaA T. vulcanus-PsaA Synechocystis-PsaA H. hongdechloris-PsaA A. marina-PsaA	a14         a15           a390         360           b150         360           c150         360	370 370 1 1 2 3 4 H M Y A 3 1 2 3 4 H M Y A 3 1 2 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	η9 200 00 80 334 PYPYLATDYP PYPYLATDYP PYPYLATDYP PYPYLATDYP PYPYLATDYP PYPYLATDYP PYPYLATDYG	CLSLPTHHMWICG CLSLPTHHMWICG CLSLPTHHMWICG CLSLPTHHMWICG CLSLPTHHWWICG CLSLPTHHWWICG CLSLPTHHWWICG CLSLPTHHWWICG
Gloeobacter-PsaA Gloeobacter-PsaA T. elongatus-PsaA T. vulcanus-PsaA Synechocystis-PsaA H. hongdechloris-PsaA A. marina-PsaA		CI7 000000 01 430 4 NNLLDRVLRHR NNVLDRVLRHR NNLLDRVIRHRI NNLLDRVIRHRI NNLLDRVIRHRI NNLLDRVIRHRI	4 0 4 5 A IISHLNWVC A ISHLNWVC DA ISHLNWVC A ISHLNWVC A ISHLNWVC IISHLNWVC	als 2020000000000000 PLCFHSFGLVHN FLCFHSFGLVHN FLCFHSFGLVHN FLCFHSFGLVHN FLCFHSFGLVHN
Gloeobacter-PsaA Gloeobacter-PsaA T. elongatus-PsaA T. vulcanus-PsaA Synechocystis-PsaA H. hongdechloris-PsaA A. marina-PsaA		a19 000000000 490 50 AOWIGNIHAAAP AOWIGNIHTLAP AOWIGNIHTLAP AOWIGNIHAAAA SOWIGNIGANIA	TT GGATAPWVGGT G.GTAPNAAAT G.GTAPNAAAT G.ATAPNAAAG GTIRAPLAEGA	111 202 TT 529 SPTF TEALSSAA ASVIAF GOV ASVIAF GOV ASVIAF GOV ASVIAF GOV SELAWGOP LOOP1
Gloeobacter-PsaA Gloeobacter-PsaA T. elongatus-PsaA T. vufcanus-PsaA Synechocystis-PsaA H. hongdechloris-PsaA A. marina-PsaA	B5 TT B6 5.30 T.D.O.N.OV LATANDE II STOFT IN SET VAVIGE VANNE VILSE VAVIGE VANNE VILSE VANNE V	0 000000000000 550 ADFMVHHIFAL ADFMVHHIHAT ADFWHHIHAT ADFWHHIHAT ADFWHHIHAT	20 1000000000000 11VTVL11KG 11VTVL11KG 11VTVL11KG 11VTVL11KG 11VTVL11KG	580 VLARSSRLIPDK VLARSSRLIPDK VLARSSRLIPDK VLARSSRLIPDK VLARSSRLIPDK VLARSSRLIPDK
Gloeobacter-PsaA Gloeobacter-PsaA T. elongatus-PsaA T. vufcanus-PsaA Synechocystis-PsaA H. hongdechloris-PsaA A. marina-PsaA	CLI SO SO CO CO CO CO SO SO SO SO SO SO SO SO SO S	0 COODING COODING 610 62 HVFLGLFWMYN HVFLGLFWMYN HVFLGLFWMYN HVFLGLFWMYN HIFLGLFWMYN C	22 0 630 1 SVVIFHPSWK 1 SVVIFHPSWK 1 SVVIFHPSWK 1 SIVIFHPSWK 1 SIVIFHPSWK 1 SIVIFHPFWK	B7 B7 B7 B7 B7 B7 B7 B7 B7 B7
Gloeobacter-PsaA Gloeobacter-PsaA T. etiongatus-PsaA T. vulcanus-PsaA Synechocystis-PsaA H. hongdechloris-PsaA A. marina-PsaA		23 024 000 000000 670 68 AASHTINGHLR ASHTINGHLR ASHTINGHLR ASHTINGHLR ASHTINGHLR ASSTINGHLR	a25 a26 0 000 0000 0 690 0 DFLWAQSSAVI 0 DFLWAQSSAVI 0 DFLWAQSSQVI 0 DFLWAQSSQVI 0 DFLWAQSSQVI	TT         000000           700         700           SYG (P LSAYG [L]         SYG (P LSAYG [L]           GSYG (SALSAYG [L]         SYG (SALSAYG [L]           SYG (SALSAYG [L]         SYG (SALSAYG [L]           SYG (SALSAYG [L]         SYG (SALSAYG [L]           SYG (SALSAYG [L]         SYG (SALSAYG [L]
Gloeobacter-PsaA Gloeobacter-PsaA T. elongatus-PsaA T. vulcanus-PsaA Synechocystis-PsaA H. hongdechloris-PsaA A. marina-PsaA	2027 710 720 720 720 720 720 720 720 72	α28 00000000000 730 730 14 LIESIVWAHNKI LIESIVWAHNKI LIESIVWAHNKI LIESIVWAHNKI LIESIVWAHNKI	Ç 75 Ç KVAPALQPRAL KVAPALQPRAL KVAPALQPRAL KVAPALQPRAL KVAPALQPRAL KIAPALQPRAL	760 760 51 GGRAVGVALV 51 GGRAVGVALV 51 GGRAVGVALV 51 GGRAVGVALV 51 GGRAVGLGEY
Gloeobacter-PsaA Gloeobacter-PsaA T. elongatus-PsaA T. vulcanus-PsaA Synechocystis-PsaA H. hongdechloris-PsaA A. marina-PsaA	209 770 780 110070100000000000 770 780 110074 11076 780 11076 110074 11076 781 11076 110074 1108 781 11076 110071 1108 781 11076 110071 1108 781 11076			

**Appendix 1—figure 4.** Comparison of the structurally known PsaA subunit among six species of cyanobacteria. Multiple sequence alignment was carried out using ClustalW and ESPript. Secondary-structural elements are shown above the sequence. Completely conserved residues are highlighted in red. Loop1 (Tyr515-Gln529) and Loop2 (Asn652-Ser665) are labeled and indicated by green boxes. The pink box stands for the histidine residue involved in the binding of Chl1A. The species shown are *Gloeobacter violaceus* PCC 7421, *Thermosynechococcus elongatus* BP-1, *Thermosynechococcus vulcanus* NIES-2134, *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803, *Halomicronema hongdechloris* C2206, and Acaryochloris marina MBIC11017.



**Appendix 1—figure 5.** Comparison of the structurally known PsaB subunit among six species of cyanobacteria. Multiple sequence alignment was carried out using ClustalW and ESPript. Secondary-structural elements are shown above the sequence. Completely conserved residues are highlighted in red. Loop3 (Pro717-Ile727) is labeled and indicated by a green box. The pink box indicates the loop involved in the binding of Chl1B. The black box stands for the deletion in the *Gloeobacter* PsaB. The species shown are *Gloeobacter violaceus* PCC 7421, *Thermosynechococcus elongatus* BP-1, *Thermosynechococcus vulcanus* NIES-2134, *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803, *Halomicronema hongdechloris* C2206, and Acaryochloris marina MBIC11017.



**Appendix 1—figure 6.** Comparison of the structurally known PsaF subunit among six species of cyanobacteria. Multiple sequence alignment was carried out using ClustalW and ESPript. Secondary-structural elements are shown above the sequence. Completely conserved residues are highlighted in red. Loop4 (Gln31-Asp36) is labeled and indicated by a green box. The species shown are *Gloeobacter violaceus* PCC 7421, *Thermosynechococcus elongatus* BP-1, *Thermosynechococcus vulcanus* NIES-2134, *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803, *Halomicronema hongdechloris* C2206, and *Acaryochloris marina* MBIC11017.



**Appendix 1—figure 7.** Structural comparisons of the Low1 and Low2 sites in PsaA between *Gloeobacter* and other organisms. (**A**) Superposition of the Low1 site in the *Gloeobacter* PSI (green) with that of the *T. elongatus* PSI (gray) (PDB: 1JB0). Phe243 of the *Gloeobacter* PsaA and His240 of the *T. elongatus* PsaA are shown in stick models. Dashed lines indicate interactions with distances labeled in Å. (**B, C**) Superposition of the Low1 (**B**) and Low2 (**C**) sites in the *Gloeobacter* PSI (green) with those in the *Anabaena* PSI (gray) (PDB: 6JEO).



**Appendix 1—figure 8.** Fluorescence-emission and absorption spectra of the *Gloeobacter* PSI (black), *Synechocystis* PSI (red), and *T. vulcanus* PSI (blue). (**A**) Fluorescence spectra were measured at 77 K and normalized by their maximum-peak intensities. (**B**) Absorption spectra were measured at 77 K and normalized by the Qy-peak intensities.



Chl color	<i>T. elongatus</i> (PDB: 1JB0)	<i>Gloeobacter</i> (this study)	Synechocystis (PDB: 5OY0)
red	A1131/A1132/B1207	A834/A835/B810	A1131/A1132/B1207
green	B1218/B1219	B821/B822	B1218/B1219
blue	A1126/A1127	A829/A830	A1126/A1127
yellow	B1224/B1225	B827/B828	B1224/B1225
magenta	A1237/B1238	A844/B840	B1237/B1238
cyan	A1138/A1139	A841/A842	A1138/A1139
orange	A1116/A1117/A1125	A819/A820/A828	A1116/A1117/A1125
purpleblue	B1214/B1215/B1223	B817/B818/B826	B1214/B1215/B1223
brown	B1231/B1232/B1233	B834/B835	B1231/B1232

**Appendix 1—figure 9.** Possible low-energy Chls proposed by **Schlodder et al., 2007**. Nine groups of Chls proposed as low-energy Chls were colored differently in the PSI structures of three cyanobacteria, whereas the other Chls and protein structures were colored gray. The nine groups were listed in the table, with the numbering of these Chls taken from each PDB data.



Appendix 1—figure 10. Structural comparisons of the Low2 site. (A) Superposition of the Low2 site and its surrounding environment between the *T. vulcanus* PsaB (purple) (PDB: 6K33) and the *P. sativum* PsaB (orange) and PsaG (pink) (PDB: 4XK8). Tyr93 of the *P. sativum* PsaG involved in the binding of Chl1G is shown in a stick model.
(B) Multiple sequence alignment of PsaG using ClustalW and ESPript. The species shown are *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, *Physcomitrella patens*, *Pisum sativum*, and *Zea mays*. The pink box displays the tyrosine residue involved in the binding of Chl1G.

Appendix 1—table 1. Cryo-EM data collection and structural analysis statistics.

Complex	PSI trimer
PDB ID	7F4V
EMDB ID	EMD-31455
Data collection and processing	
Magnification	60,000
Voltage (kV)	300
Electron exposure (e⁻/Å)	70.22

Appendix 1—table 1 Continued on next page

Appendix 1—table 1 Continued	
Complex	PSI trimer
Defocus range (µm)	-1.8 to -0.6
Pixel size (Å)	0.823
Symmetry imposed	C3
Initial particle number	961,960
Final particle number	261,743
Map resolution (Å)	2.04
FSC threshold	0.143
Refinement	
Initial Model used (PDB code)	Homology modeling
Model resolution (Å)	2.01
FSC threshold	0.5
Map sharpening B factor (Ų)	-58.0
Model composition (trimer)	
Non-hydrogen atoms	67,641
Protein	49,899
Ligand	17,340
Water	402
B factors (Ų)	
Protein	39.2
Ligand	40.5
Water	27.3
R.m.s deviations	
Bond lengths (Å)	0.025
Bond angles (°)	2.45
Validation	
MolProbity score	1.78
Clashscore	4.77
Poor rotamers (%)	2.78
EMRinger score	6.48
Ramachandran plot	
Favored (%)	96.8
Allowed (%)	3.11
Disallowed (%)	0.09

**Appendix 1—table 2.** Averaged Q-score in each subunit and cofactors in each monomer unit of the PSI core.

Protein	Amino acid residues	Averaged Q- score	Chlorophylls	Carotenoids	Lipids	Others
PsaA	11–782	0.85	43 Chl a 1 Chl a'	5 BCR	2 LHG	1 [4Fe-4S] cluster, 1 menaquinone-4
PsaB	3–727	0.87	41 Chl a	7 BCR	1 LMG 1 LHG	one menaquinone-4

Appendix 1—table 2 Continued on next page

#### Appendix 1—table 2 Continued

Protein	Amino acid residues	Averaged Q- score	Chlorophylls	Carotenoids	Lipids	Others
PsaC	2–81	0.87	-	-	-	2 [4Fe-4S] cluster
PsaD	11–143	0.81	-	-	-	-
PsaE	3–64	0.76	-	-	-	-
PsaF	31–181	0.76	1 Chl a	1 BCR	-	-
PsaZ	3–33	0.85	-	2 BCR	-	-
Unknown	1–33	0.80	-	2 BCR	-	-
PsaL	11–782	0.85	3 Chl a	2 BCR	-	-
PsaM	3–727	0.84	-	1 BCR	-	-
Total			89	20	4	5