RESEARCH ARTICLE



Open Access

Comparison of breast and bowel cancer screening uptake patterns in a common cohort of South Asian women in England

Charlotte L Price*1, Ala K Szczepura1, Anil K Gumber1 and Julietta Patnick2

Abstract

Background: Inequalities in uptake of cancer screening by ethnic minority populations are well documented in a number of international studies. However, most studies to date have explored screening uptake for a single cancer only. This paper compares breast and bowel cancer screening uptake for a cohort of South Asian women invited to undertake both, and similarly investigates these women's breast cancer screening behaviour over a period of fifteen years.

Methods: Screening data for rounds 1, 2 and 5 (1989-2004) of the NHS breast cancer screening programme and for round 1 of the NHS bowel screening pilot (2000-2002) were obtained for women aged 50-69 resident in the English bowel screening pilot site, Coventry and Warwickshire, who had been invited to undertake breast and bowel cancer screening in the period 2000-2002. Breast and bowel cancer screening uptake levels were calculated and compared using the chi-squared test.

Results: 72,566 women were invited to breast and bowel cancer screening after exclusions. Of these, 3,539 were South Asian and 69,027 non-Asian; 18,730 had been invited to mammography over the previous fifteen years (rounds 1 to 5). South Asian women were significantly less likely to undertake both breast and bowel cancer screening; 29.9% (n = 1,057) compared to 59.4% (n = 40,969) for non-Asians (p < 0.001). Women in both groups who consistently chose to undertake breast cancer screening in rounds 1, 2 and 5 were more likely to complete round 1 bowel cancer screening. However, the likelihood of completion of bowel cancer screening was still significantly lower for South Asians; 49.5% vs. 82.3% for non-Asians, p < 0.001. South Asian women who undertook breast cancer screening in only one round were no more likely to complete bowel cancer screening than those who decided against breast cancer screening in all three rounds. In contrast, similar women in the non-Asian population had an increased likelihood of completing the new bowel cancer screening test. The likelihood of continued uptake of mammography after undertaking screening in round 1 differed between South Asian religio-linguistic groups. Noticeably, women in the Muslim population were less likely to continue to participate in mammography than those in other South Asian groups.

Conclusions: Culturally appropriate targeted interventions are required to reduce observed disparities in cancer screening uptakes.

Background

Breast and bowel cancer are the two most common cancers in women in the United Kingdom (UK) [1]. In response to the recommendations of an expert working group [2], the National Health Service (NHS) established a population screening programme for breast cancer over

* Correspondence: charlotte.price@warwick.ac.uk

¹ Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK Full list of author information is available at the end of the article 20 years ago in 1988. All women aged 50-70 years registered with a general practitioner (GP) are now routinely invited to undertake mammography every three years.

In 2000, the Department of Health set up a UK pilot to assess the feasibility of a national screening programme for bowel cancer [3]. Five year relative survival rates for patients diagnosed with colon or rectal (bowel) cancers in England and Wales were acknowledged to be poor; 53% and 52% respectively for females and 52% and 50%



© 2010 Price et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons. Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. respectively for males in the period 2000 to 2001 [4]. Following the successful completion of the pilot, the NHS began rolling out a national screening programme based on a faecal occult blood test (FOBT) completed at home. All screening centres were open by the end of January 2010. During the pilot, men and women aged 50 to 69 were invited to undertake bowel cancer screening. However, the main programme is aimed at 60 to 69 year olds, which is the age group for whom the benefits of screening are expected to be largest. By the end of 2010, decisions will be taken about possible roll-out to people in their 50s [5]. All eligible men and women registered with a GP are now invited to undertake bowel cancer screening every two years.

Prior to roll-out of the national bowel cancer screening programme, a study was funded by the NHS Cancer Screening Programmes to investigate equity of bowel cancer screening uptake by ethnic minority populations in the English pilot site (Coventry and Warwickshire). This research revealed significantly lower uptake levels in the South Asian populations after correcting for socioeconomic and other demographic differences [6]. Subsequent research has similarly identified continued lower mammography uptake among South Asian population groups fifteen years after the UK screening programme was introduced [7].

A number of international studies have reported inequalities in uptake of cancer screening services by minority ethnic populations [8-22]. Although lower uptakes have been reported for both breast and bowel cancer screening, research separating the influence of ethnicity from that of deprivation is rare, with such analyses almost exclusively based on research in the United States [15,23]. A further shortcoming of most studies to date is that they explore ethnic differences in screening uptake for a single cancer only. Research which compares the response of individuals to different cancer screening programmes is lacking.

This paper reports on an analysis of breast and bowel cancer screening uptake in a large cohort of women in England, with a focus on equity in uptake and access to the two existing national cancer screening programmes. Uptake patterns for breast cancer screening over a fifteen year period, including regularity of screening and the relationship between breast cancer screening behaviour and initial response to the new bowel screening programme, are also examined. This research is part of a broader programme commissioned by the NHS Cancer Screening Programmes which aims to improve the accessing of cancer screening by ethnic minority communities in the UK.

Methods

Setting

The study was undertaken in the Coventry and Warwickshire area (NHS bowel cancer screening pilot site for England). This covers a population of over 800,000, including 8.7% ethnic minority residents, mainly of South Asian origin [24]. This percentage is slightly higher than the 7.9% reported in 2001 for the UK as a whole.

Data Sources

Breast cancer screening data were provided by the Warwickshire, Solihull and Coventry Breast Screening Service. Data on bowel cancer screening were obtained from the Screening Unit covering the area, based in Rugby. Data downloads for both programmes were limited to women resident in the area. Data covered rounds 1, 2 and 5 of the breast cancer screening programme (1989-1992, 1992-1995 and 2001-2004 respectively) and round 1 of the bowel cancer screening pilot (2000-2002).

Data Preparation

All women were identified who had been invited to undertake both breast and bowel cancer screening during the period 2000-2002 (a common cohort). A subset of women in this cohort invited to undertake breast cancer screening over a period of fifteen years (rounds 1, 2 and 5) was also identified. Data for both programmes were collated at individual invitee level to include NHS number (identifier), demographic descriptors, invitation date, screening uptake, subsequent assessments and diagnostic outcome.

Women were removed from the data if they: (i) had no NHS number recorded, (ii) had been excluded from either type of screening by the Health Authority (e.g. undergoing treatment or recently deceased), or (iii) were outside of the specified age range (50-69 years). For women who received two invitations to breast cancer screening during the period corresponding to round 1 of the bowel cancer screening pilot, only the earlier record was retained. Individuals were matched across breast and bowel cancer screening data sets using their NHS number.

Routine ethnic monitoring data is not available in England for those registered in the NHS. Detailed information is collected in the Census (see Table 1), but this cannot be linked to individuals. Although there has been a steady growth in the recording of patient ethnicity in hospital trusts since 1996, a recent study has identified poor recording in relation to cancer [25]. In primary care, financial incentives have been introduced to encourage GPs to record the ethnic group of all new patients [26]. For these reasons, name recognition software, *Nam Peh*-

Table 1: Categories of ethnic group recorded in the UK Censuses of 1991 and 2001

1991	2001
White	White - British
	White - Irish
	White - Any other White background (please write in)
(Other)	Mixed - White/Black Caribbean
	Mixed - White/Black African
	Mixed - White/Asian
	Any other mixed background (please write in)
Black- Caribbean	Black or Black British: Caribbean
Black- African	Black or Black British: African
Black- Other (Please describe)	Black or Black British: Any other background (please write in)
Indian	Asian or Asian British Indian
Pakistani	Asian or Asian British Pakistani
Bangladeshi	Asian or Asian British Bangladeshi
Asian- Other (Please describe)	Asian or Asian British
	Any other background: (please write in)
Chinese	Chinese or Other Ethnic group
	Chinese

chan, for which sensitivity and specificity values of 95% and 97% respectively have been reported [27,28], was used to assign an ethnicity label to each woman. The software matches against the complete name (or the name stem) to determine whether a person is of South Asian origin and attaches appropriate language and religion markers. Using this information, women were placed into one of six religio-linguistic groups: non-Asian; Hindu-Gujarati; Hindu-Other; Muslim; Sikh; South Asian-Other. Characteristics of the South Asian groups are shown in Table 2. The software dictionary was refined further through manual checking of all names for residents in the area, producing an estimated final sensitivity of 97%. Throughout this paper, the five South Asian religio-linguistic groups are referred to collectively as 'South Asian'.

Analysis

Breast and bowel cancer screening uptake levels for each ethnic group were calculated and compared using the chi-squared test. For breast cancer screening, uptake was defined as completion of a mammogram in response to a routine invitation. For bowel cancer screening, uptake was defined as the satisfactory completion of an FOBT home kit resulting in a laboratory result. Patterns of uptake behaviour in the common cohort were compared. A more detailed description of the study methods is reported elsewhere [7].

Results

A total of 78,185 women were identified who had been invited to undertake both breast and bowel cancer screening during the period 2000-02 (Figure 1). After exclusions, this common cohort consisted of 72,566 women; 3,539 South Asian and 69,027 non-Asian. A subset of 18,730 women who had been invited to undertake breast cancer screening in rounds 1, 2 and 5 (1989-2004) was extracted; 873 South Asian and 17,857 non-Asian.

Response to breast screening versus bowel screening in same period

Table 3 shows that although 86% (n = 62,425) of women completed at least one form of cancer screening during 2000-02, this figure was significantly lower for South-Asians than non-Asians; 74.3% (n = 2,630) vs. 86.6% (n = 59,795), p < 0.001. The likelihood that an individual would undertake both forms of screening was also far lower among South Asians; 29.9% (n = 1,057) vs. 59.4% (n = 40,969), p < 0.001, and a significantly higher proportion of South Asian women completed only one type of screening during this period; 44%, n = 1,573 vs. 27.3%, n = 18,826, p < 0.001. A decision to participate in the new bowel cancer screening programme was less likely overall, particularly among South Asians.

Examination of religio-linguistic groups in the South Asian cohort revealed differences in behaviour patterns.

Table 1: Categories of ethnic group recorded in the UK Censuses of 1991 and 2001 (Continued)

Any Other Ethnic Group	Chinese or Other Ethnic
(Please describe).	group
	Any other: (please write in)

For all except one group (Muslim women), the most common behaviour was to accept breast cancer screening only. In the Muslim group, refusal of both types of screening was the most likely response. In contrast, the most common response for non-Asian women was to accept both types of cancer screening.

Response to breast cancer screening over time versus new bowel cancer screening programme

In the subset of women whose breast cancer screening behaviour was recorded over fifteen years, successful completion of the FOBT kit was more likely among those who had consistently chosen to participate in breast cancer screening (Figure 2). For the non-Asian group, over four out of five women who underwent mammography in all three rounds also successfully completed an FOBT home kit (82.3%). The comparable figure was far lower in the South Asian group (49.5%, p < 0.001). Figure 2 shows that, in the non-Asian group, even if a woman only undertook breast cancer screening in one round, the likelihood of successfully returning an FOBT kit was higher than for a woman who opted out of all three breast cancer screening rounds (43.1% vs. 17.9%, p < 0.001). In contrast, a South Asian woman who undertook breast cancer screening in only one round was no more likely to complete bowel cancer screening than one who opted out altogether (8.7% vs. 7%, p = 0.228), with women in both groups much less likely to complete bowel cancer screening than women in the non-Asian group.

Maintaining breast cancer screening behaviour over time

Table 4 presents uptake results for the subset of women whose breast cancer screening behaviour was recorded over a fifteen year period. In both rounds 2 and 5, mammography uptake was highest for women (South Asian and non-Asian) who had chosen to undertake breast cancer screening at the outset of the programme (i.e. round 1); 82% and 74.1% for South Asians, and 91.8% and 85.3% for non-Asians respectively. Uptake in round 5 was much lower, and virtually identical, for women in both groups who had refused screening in round 1; 38.8% for South Asians and 39.1% for non-Asians.

Fable 2: Characteristics of e	ethnic groups identified	by Nam Pehchan
-------------------------------	--------------------------	----------------

	Characteristics							
Ethnic Group	% Population 50-69 yrs Born Abroad	Language	Religion	Diet	Literacy			
				Male Female	Male Female			
I. Hindu-Gujerati				M: Vegetarian	M: Good			
	>95%	Gujerati	Hinduism	F: Vegetarian	F: Fair			
2. Hindu-Other		Hindi/		M: Mostly veg	M: Fair			
	>95%	Bengali	Hinduism	F: Vegetarian	F: Poor			
3. Muslim				M: Non-veg*	M: Fair-poor			
	>90%	Urdu	Islam	F: Non-veg*	F: Very low			
1. Sikh				M: Non-veg*	M: Fair			
	>90%	Punjabi	Sikhism	F: Vegetarian	F: Poor			
5. Other Asian				M: Mostly veg	M: n/a			
	>95%	Mixed	Mixed	F: Mostly veg	F: n/a			

* Diet includes red meat



However, an initial reluctance to undertake breast cancer screening did not necessarily remain fixed over time, with 55.4% of South Asians and 48.5% of non-Asians who refused screening in round 1 subsequently accepting at least one invitation to mammography. At the same time, consistency in the decision to undertake screening was far less apparent in the South Asian population, with only 65% of South Asians vs. 80.9% of non-Asians undertaking mammography in rounds 2 and 5 after accepting screening in round 1 (p < 0.001). This effect was particularly noticeable in Muslim women, where only half (54.4%) of those who underwent mammography in rounds 2 and 5.

Discussion

A key challenge for any public health programme is engagement with its target populations. Since the UK now advocates population screening for breast and bowel cancer, and one in twelve UK residents are from a black or minority ethnic (BME) background, the response of these populations to both cancer screening programmes will have an important impact on their effectiveness and cost-effectiveness [29,30]. The NHS Cancer Reform Strategy therefore encourages primary care trusts (PCTs) to use targeted strategies to increase participation in screening by BME communities. However, to do this, PCTs need improved evidence on local uptake levels and, in particular, on how a person's ethnicity affects response.

The present study confirms evidence from earlier research reporting low cancer screening uptake in South Asian populations [11,13,18,31,32]. However, UK studies have mostly estimated screening patterns using uptake figures for a geographical area or general practice and by comparing these to Census data for the same population, rather than examining individual patient behaviour. This is primarily due to the poor state of ethnicity recording in NHS primary care [33] and, in particular, poor recording in relation to cancer [25]. The present study has been able to examine the behaviour of individuals by using name recognition software.

Overall, our findings show that for both types of screening programme, South Asian women were more likely to decide not to participate, with the largest disparity recorded for bowel cancer screening. The most common behaviour pattern observed in the majority non-Asian population was for a woman to undertake both breast and bowel cancer screening (59.4%), while South Asian women were most likely to undertake breast cancer screening only (40.4%). Our findings show that uptake of cancer screening is particularly low in the Muslim population, with four out of ten Muslim women deciding to opt out of both breast and bowel cancer screening. There also appears to be a difficulty in ensuring continuity of uptake in the South Asian population, especially among Muslim women. Global figures which show a decreasing gap in breast cancer screening uptake over time between South Asian women and the majority population [7] might therefore mask differences in the continuity of uptake [34]. Just under half (45.6%) of Muslim women who undertook mammography in round 1 decided not to participate in both of rounds 2 and 5, mainly choosing instead to participate in just one of the two subsequent rounds.

In terms of evidence to support cancer screening, it is estimated that reduction in mortality from breast cancer over a 10-year period after first invitation for screening would be 0.9 per 1000 for women aged 50-59 [35]. Similarly, a large-scale UK based study reported a 13% reduction in mortality from colorectal cancer associated with faecal occult blood screening (95% CI: 3-22%) [36]. Furthermore, the 2007 Cochrane Review on screening for colorectal cancer has estimated the relative reduction in mortality from repeated bowel cancer screening as 16% and concluded that the benefits of colorectal screening include a modest reduction in mortality [37]. However, similar figures are not available for the South Asian popu-

Ethnicity	Breast and bowel screening uptake									
	Breast & bowel		Breast only		Bowel only		Neither		Total	
	Count	(%)	Count	(%)	Count	(%)	Count	(%)	Count	
South Asian	1057	(29.9)	1430	(40.4)	143	(4.0)	909	(25.7)	3539	
Non-Asian	40969	(59.4)	14091	(20.4)	4735	(6.9)	9232	(13.4)	69027	
Hindu-Gujarati	230	(35.8)	267	(41.6)	22	(3.4)	123	(19.2)	642	
Hindu-Other	113	(32.3)	144	(41.1)	12	(3.4)	81	(23.1)	350	
Muslim	164	(22.3)	238	(32.3)	37	(5.0)	297	(40.4)	736	
Sikh	534	(30.4)	762	(43.4)	67	(3.8)	391	(22.3)	1754	
South Asian Other	16	(28.1)	19	(33.3)	5	(8.8)	17	(29.8)	57	
Total	42026	(57.9)	15521	(21.4)	4878	(6.7)	10141	(14.0)	72566	

Table 3: Uptake of breast and bowel screening by ethnicity (2000-02); numbers (row percentages)

lation, although the incidence of many cancers is thought to be lower in these groups [38].

Health promotion materials must therefore communicate the importance and benefits of regular screening, whilst also outlining the potential harms in a culturally sensitive manner to allow South Asian women to make an informed decision about participation [35]. The most common causes of harm from screening are through false positive results which lead to unnecessary investigations and anxiety, and through over-diagnosis (i.e. the detection of cancers that were not destined to cause death or symptoms) [39]. According to Morrison [40], both harms are inevitable if a screening programme is to be effective although, once again, levels are not known for UK South Asian populations.

Longer term screening behaviour of South Asian women who participated in the first round of the new NHS bowel cancer screening programme should ideally be monitored. The present study provides evidence from breast cancer screening that although an initial decision to undertake screening increases the likelihood of accepting a second (bowel) screening programme, the effect is less pronounced for South Asian women. This suggests that South Asian women's needs might best be addressed through more targeted approaches for bowel cancer screening. Strategies may include targeting women who have opted out of screening (i.e. non-responders) in order to raise awareness of the potential health benefits, and targeting generic information at first time invitees [41]. Our findings suggest that women who participated in breast cancer screening at initial invitation in the first round were more likely attend for screening in subsequent rounds (see Table 4), thus suggesting the impor-





	Screened: round 1	Subsequent screening uptake (number screened: percentage)								
Ethnicity		Roun	ıd 2	Round 5		Round 2 or 5		Rounds 2 & 5		Total
			Count	(%)	Count	(%)	Count	(%)	Count	(%)
South Asian	Yes	532	(82.0)	481	(74.1)	591	(91.1)	422	(65.0)	649
	No	93	(41.5)	87	(38.8)	124	(55.4)	56	(25.0)	224
Non- Asian	Yes	13418	(91.8)	12471	(85.3)	14069	(96.2)	11820	(80.9)	14618
	No	1187	(36.6)	1266	(39.1)	1571	(48.5)	882	(27.2)	3239
Hindu- Gujarati	Yes	109	(83.2)	103	(78.6)	121	(92.4)	91	(69.5)	131
	No	14	(41.2)	15	(44.1)	20	(58.8)	9	(26.5)	34
Muslim	Yes	99	(79.2)	76	(60.8)	107	(85.6)	68	(54.4)	125
	No	24	(34.3)	18	(25.7)	32	(45.7)	10	(14.3)	70
Sikh	Yes	275	(82.1)	257	(76.7)	308	(91.9)	224	(66.9)	335
	No	45	(44.6)	43	(42.6)	58	(57.4)	30	(29.7)	101
Total	Yes	13950	(91.4)	12952	(84.8)	14660	(96.0)	12242	(80.2)	15267
	No	1280	(37.0)	1353	(39.1)	1695	(48.9)	938	(27.1)	3463

Table 4: Breast screening uptake over time by ethnicity; numbers (row percentages)

tance of maximising uptake at the earliest stage. Furthermore, name recognition software such as *Nam Pehchan* could be used to prospectively identify the ethnicity of specific groups in order to provide tailored information.

South Asian women who undertook breast cancer screening once were no more likely to complete the FOBT home kit than those who decided against it altogether in rounds 1, 2 and 5. As the first home-based UK cancer screening test, it is perhaps not unexpected that FOBT kits might present greater barriers for ethnic minority women. Home testing requires that an individual understand the benefits of screening and can follow written instructions in order to collect and preserve samples. The evaluation of the UK Colorectal Screening Pilot Programme by ethnicity showed that South Asians were more likely to require more than one FOBT home kit in order to obtain a valid result, with a large proportion requiring four or more kits [6]. South Asian women generally have poorer literacy, with Muslim women showing particularly low levels [42,43], which may explain the poor uptake in this population. Non-written information may be more appropriate for this group. Breast and bowel cancer screening CDs [44,45] and DVDs [46,47] have been produced in various languages for the South Asian community; these still need to be evaluated in a routine NHS service setting.

A review of the literature on interventions aimed at improving breast cancer screening uptake by ethnic minority populations concluded that a combination of strategies to reduce barriers and enhance access, focused on individuals and system-wide, is most effective [48]. At present, there is insufficient research evidence to identify which types of intervention are best for increasing bowel cancer screening uptake by BME populations [49-52]. However, US studies show that active physician encouragement is an important influence for improving bowel cancer screening uptake by minorities [53,54]. Our findings also suggest that a potential strategy could involve targeting South Asian women at first invitation and monitoring their compliance over time, with own language materials emphasising the importance of continuity in cancer screening programmes. Specific sub-groups (e.g. Muslim women) should be high priority for such targeted interventions in order to achieve equity in uptake.

This study was unable to draw conclusions about the African Caribbean population due to incomplete ethnic monitoring data and the fact that the software used is name-based and cannot identify these individuals. However, the African-Caribbean population is much smaller and geographically dispersed, the latter making socioeconomic status difficult to assess based on Census data. Furthermore, evidence from other UK cancer screening programmes indicates that African-Caribbean uptake rates are close to the population mean [55]. The study was also unable to examine the influence of additional ethnic factors, such as language spoken at home, cultural background and country of origin, due to the lack of ethnic monitoring data in the UK. However, the value of at least some of this information is of limited use. It has been shown that country of origin provides a poor indicator of ethnicity due to the increasing number of people in this group who are born in the UK [56].

Conclusions

There is a need to identify and assess culturally appropriate interventions to reduce observed differences in cancer screening uptake. This should include provision of tailored evidence-based health promotion materials for South Asian subgroups which will allow invitees to make an informed decision about cancer screening. More detailed examination of behaviour across screening programmes could help to identify women who, having made the decision to undertake breast cancer screening, might be encouraged to also undertake bowel cancer screening.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

AKS and JP conceived the idea for the study. AKG obtained the data. CLP cleaned the data and completed the statistical analysis. CLP and AKS wrote the manuscript which was reviewed by all authors. All authors had full access to all data in the study and can take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the National Health Service (NHS) cancer screening programmes as part of the wider project "*Ethnicity: breast, bowel and cervical cancer screening uptake adjusted for socio-demographic factors*".

Author Details

¹Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK and ²NHS Cancer Screening Programmes, Fulwood House, Old Fulwood Road Sheffield, UK

Received: 7 April 2009 Accepted: 27 April 2010 Published: 27 April 2010

References

- 1. Cancer Research UK [http://info.cancerresearchuk.org/cancerstats/ incidence/commoncancers/].
- Breast cancer screening. Report to the health ministers of England, Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland by a working group chaired by Professor Sir Patrick Forrest, HMSO 1986 [http://www.cancerscreening.nhs.uk/ breastscreen/publications/forrest-report.pdf].
- Results of the first round of a demonstration pilot of screening for colorectal cancer in the United Kingdom. BMJ 2004, 329(7458):133.
- 4. Cancer Research UK: Bowel cancer survival statistics [http:// info.cancerresearchuk.org/cancerstats/types/bowel/survival/].
- NHS: Cancer Reform Strategy 2007 [<u>http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/Healthcare/</u> Cancer/index.htm].
- Ethnicity: UK colorectal cancer screening pilot final report 2003 [http:// www.cancerscreening.nhs.uk/bowel/pilot-evaluation.html].
- Szczepura A, Price C, Gumber A: Breast and bowel cancer screening uptake patterns over 15 years for UK south Asian ethnic minority populations, corrected for differences in socio-demographic characteristics. BMC Public Health 2008, 8:346.
- 8. Cancer in the socioeconomically disadvantaged American Cancer Society: Atlanta 1990.
- 9. Closing the gap: a call for action. South Carolina Task Force on Minority Health: Columbia 1990.
- Health United States 2000. National Center for Health Statistics: Hyattsville, Maryland 2000.
- Bahl V: Cancer and ethnic minorities--the Department of Health's perspective. Br J Cancer Suppl 1996, 29:S2-10.
- Boring CC, Squires TS, Health CW Jr: Cancer statistics for African Americans. CA Cancer J Clin 1992, 42(1):7-17.
- Botha JL, et al.: Indirect discrimination and breast screening. Ethn Dis 1993, 3(2):189-95.
- Gornick ME, et al.: Effects of race and income on mortality and use of services among Medicare beneficiaries. N Engl J Med 1996, 335(11):791-9.
- Hoffman-Goetz L, Breen NL, Meissner H: The impact of social class on the use of cancer screening within three racial/ethnic groups in the United States. *Ethn Dis* 1998, 8(1):43-51.
- Kim K, et al.: Colorectal cancer screening. Knowledge and practices among Korean Americans. Cancer Pract 1998, 6(3):167-75.
- 17. Makuc DM, Breen N, Freid V: Low income, race, and the use of mammography. *Health Serv Res* 1999, **34(1 Pt 2)**:229-39.
- Sutton S, et al.: Prospective study of predictors of attendance for breast screening in inner London. J Epidemiol Community Health 1994, 48(1):65-73.
- Tang TS, Solomon LJ, McCracken LM: Barriers to fecal occult blood testing and sigmoidoscopy among older Chinese-American women. *Cancer Pract* 2001, 9(6):277-82.
- 20. Weinrich SP: Predictors of older adults' participation in fecal occult blood screening. Oncol Nurs Forum 1990, **17(5)**:715-20.

- Yu ESH, et al.: Colorectal cancer screening among Chinese Americans: A community-based study of knowledge and practice. Journal of Psychosocial Oncology 2001, 19(3-4):97-112.
- 22. Zambrana RE, et al.: Use of cancer screening practices by Hispanic women: analyses by subgroup. *Prev Med* 1999, **29**(6 Pt 1):466-77.
- Hoare T: Breast screening and ethnic minorities. Br J Cancer Suppl 1996, 29:S38-41.
- 24. UK Census 2001 [http://www.statistics.gov.uk/census2001/ census2001.asp].
- Iqbal G, Gumber A, Szczepura A, Johnson MRD, Wilson S, Dunn JA: Improving ethnic data collection for statistics of cancer incidence, managment, mortality and survival in the UK. Final Report. Cancer Research UK: London; 2008.
- 26. Quality and Outcomes Framework (QoF) [http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/ Healthcare/Primarycare/Primarycarecontracting/QOF/DH_099079]
- 27. Gumber A: Ethnicity and name analysis: Potential for improved ethnic monitoring in the NHS using Nam Pehchan. Proceedings of Annual International Conference on Global Social Justice and Environmental Sustainability. London, UK 2006.
- 28. Honer D: Identifying ethnicity: A comparison of two software programmes desianed to identify names of South Asian oriain University of Birmingham.
- Bennett RL, et al.: Results from the UK NHS Breast Screening Programme 2000-05. J Med Screen 2007, 14(4):200-4.
- 30. Blanks RG, Moss SM, Patnick J: Results from the UK NHS breast screening programme 1994-1999. J Med Screen 2000, 7(4):195-8.
- Garvican L, Littlejohns P: An evaluation of the prevalent round of the breast screening programme in south east Thames, 1988-1993: achievement of quality standards and population impact. *J Med Screen* 1996, 3(3):123-8.
- 32. Majeed FA, et al.: Do general practitioners influence the uptake of breast cancer screening? J Med Screen 1995, 2(3):119-24.
- Kumarapeli P, et al.: Ethnicity recording in general practice computer systems. J Public Health (Oxf) 2006, 28(3):283-7.
- Wallis M, et al.: Cumulative attendance, assessment and cancer detection rate over four screening rounds in five English breastscreening programmes: a retrospective study. J Public Health (Oxf) 2007, 29(3):275-80.
- 35. Breast cancer screening. (IARC Handbooks of Cancer Prevention). *Volume 7.* Lyon: IARC Press; 2002.
- Scholefield JH, et al.: Effect of faecal occult blood screening on mortality from colorectal cancer: results from a randomised controlled trial. *Gut* 2002, 50(6):840-4.
- 37. Hewitson P, et al.: Screening for colorectal cancer using the faecal occult blood test, Hemoccult. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2007(1):CD001216.
- Cancer incidence and survival by major ethnic group England 2000-2006 [http://library.ncin.org.uk/docs/090625-NCIN-Incidence and Survival by Ethnic Group-Report.pdf].
- Prorok BC, Kramer BS, Gohagan JK: Screening theory and study design: the basics. Cancer screening: theory and practice 1999.
- 40. Morrison AS: Screening in chronic disease. 2nd edition. New York: Oxford University Press; 1992.
- Lairson DR, et al.: Cost-effectiveness of targeted and tailored interventions on colorectal cancer screening use. Cancer 2008, 112(4):779-88.
- 42. Ethnic minorities in Britain: Diversity and disadvantage PSI report 843. Policy Studies Institute: London; 1997.
- 43. Black and minority ethnic groups in England: The second health and lifestyles survey London. Health Education Authority; 2000.
- 44. Breast screening the facts (leaflet and CD) [http:// www.cancerscreening.nhs.uk/breastscreen/publications]
- 45. Bowel cancer screening the facts (leaflet andCD) [http:// www.cancerscreening.nhs.uk/bowel/publications]
- 46. Breast screening DVD in Mirpuri, Sylheti, Cantonese and Mandarin [http://www.cancerscreening.nhs.uk/breastscreen/publications/dvdyourhealth-yourchoice.html]
- 47. Bowel screening DVD in Hindi and English [http:// www.cancerscreening.nhs.uk/bowel/publications/audio-videoresources.html]
- Legler J, et al.: The effectiveness of interventions to promote mammography among women with historically lower rates of screening. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2002, 11(1):59-71.

- Beeker C, et al.: Strategies for increasing colorectal cancer screening among African Americans. *Journal of Psychosocial Oncology* 2001, 19(3-4):113-132.
- 50. Douglas CY: **Community-based screening interventions for colorectal cancer.** *Journal of Psychosocial Oncology* 2001, **19(3-4):**133-146.
- 51. Powe BD, Weinrich S: An intervention to decrease cancer fatalism among rural elders. Oncol Nurs Forum 1999, 26(3):583-8.
- 52. Weinrich SP, et al: Teaching older adults by adapting for aging changes. Cancer Nurs 1994, **17(6)**:494-500.
- 53. Brenes GA, Paskett ED: Predictors of stage of adoption for colorectal cancer screening. *Prev Med* 2000, **31(4)**:410-6.
- 54. Paskett ED, et al.: Colorectal cancer screening practices among lowincome women. Clinical Journal of Women's Health 2000, 1:3-9.
- Carr-Hill R, Rudat K: Ethnic minority health. Unsound barrier. Health Serv J 1995, 105(5439):28-9.
- Szczepura A, Johnson M, Gumber A, Jones K, Clay D, Shaw A: An overview of the research evidence on ethnicity and communication in healthcare. 2005.

Pre-publication history

The pre-publication history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1472-6963/10/103/prepub

doi: 10.1186/1472-6963-10-103

Cite this article as: Price *et al.*, Comparison of breast and bowel cancer screening uptake patterns in a common cohort of South Asian women in England *BMC Health Services Research* 2010, **10**:103

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

BioMed Central