PROKARYOTES

Whole-Genome Sequencing of Lactobacillus Species from Two Commercial Probiotic Products

AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR MICROBIOLOGY

Anna Colavecchio,a Victoria Leo,a Sophia Zaccheo,a Julie Jeukens,b Jean-Guillaume Emond-Rheault,^b Jérémie Hamel,^b Irena Kukavica-Ibrulj,^b **Roger C. Levesque,b Lawrence Goodridgea**

Department of Food Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Food Safety and Quality Program, McGill University, Québec, Canada^a; Institut de Biologie Intégrative et des Systèmes (IBIS), Université Laval, Québec, Québec, Canadab

genome**A**nnouncements[™]

ABSTRACT Eight Lactobacillus strains, each intrinsically resistant to an antibiotic, were isolated from two commercial probiotic products. Whole-genome sequencing identified two efflux transporters, a multidrug and extrusion protein (MATE) efflux transporter, and LmrCD, which may contribute to their intrinsic antibiotic resistance and may therefore facilitate their survival in the intestinal microbiota following antibiotic therapy.

Probiotics are live microorganisms that confer many health-promoting effects when consumed in adequate amounts. Many probiotic bacteria are naturally resistant to various antibiotics, with resistance conferred by intrinsic mechanisms, such as multidrug efflux transporters [\(1,](#page-1-0) [2\)](#page-1-1).

Eight Lactobacillus strains were isolated from two commercial probiotic products, and each was found to be resistant to an antibiotic of clinical importance. We performed whole-genome sequencing (WGS) on these isolates to determine the nature of their resistance.

Lactobacillus strains were isolated by performing serial dilutions of two commercial probiotic products and plating onto DeMan-Rogosa-Sharpe (MRS) medium (Sigma-Aldrich, Ontario, Canada) supplemented with gentamicin (5 μ g/ml), streptomycin (10 μ g/ml), kanamycin (30 μ g/ml), aztreonam (30 μ g/ml), and ciprofloxacin (10 μ g/ml) and incubated anaerobically for 48 h at 35°C [\(3\)](#page-1-2). WGS was performed at the EcoGenomics analysis platform (IBIS, Université Laval, Québec, Canada) on an Illumina MiSeq instrument using 300-bp paired-end libraries with $40\times$ coverage. The raw reads were assembled using the A5 pipeline [\(4\)](#page-1-3). A WGS BLAST search [\(5\)](#page-1-4) identified the genus and species of the isolates, which corresponded with results from the Vitek microbial identification system (bioMérieux, Quebec, Canada). Rapid Annotation of microbial genomes using Subsystems Technology (RAST) was used for annotation [\(6\)](#page-1-5), while RAST and CARD [\(7\)](#page-1-6) identified antibiotic resistance and heavy-metal resistance genes.

The eight Lactobacillus isolates were identified as Lactobacillus rhamnosus B1 $(2,908,459$ bp, 46.8% G+C content), Lactobacillus paracasei B2 $(3,013,831$ bp, 46.3% G+C content), Lactobacillus paracasei B3 (3,015,056 bp, 46.3% G+C content), Lactoba c illus casei P1 (2,967,632 bp, 46.8% G+C content), Lactobacillus acidophilus P2 (2,046,837 bp, 35.7% G+C content), Lactobacillus casei P3 (2,971,889 bp, 46.8% G+C content), Lactobacillus rhamnosus P4 (2,952,578 bp, 46.7% G+C content), and Lactobacillus casei P5 (2,987,029 bp, 46.9% $G+C$ content). WGS revealed that the Lactobacillus isolates carry two types of multidrug transport proteins from two families, a multidrug and extrusion protein (MATE) family efflux transporter and an ATP-binding cassette (ABC) superfamily protein called LmrCD. These families of transporters play essential

Received 12 October 2017 **Accepted** 16 October 2017 **Published** 9 November 2017 **Citation** Colavecchio A, Leo V, Zaccheo S, Jeukens J, Emond-Rheault J-G, Hamel J, Kukavica-Ibrulj I, Levesque RC, Goodridge L. 2017. Whole-genome sequencing of Lactobacillus species from two commercial probiotic products. Genome Announc 5: e01279-17. [https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA](https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.01279-17) [.01279-17.](https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.01279-17)

Copyright © 2017 Colavecchio et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [International license.](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Address correspondence to Lawrence Goodridge, [lawrence.goodridge@mcgill.ca.](mailto:lawrence.goodridge@mcgill.ca) roles in the intrinsic and acquired resistance to antibiotics in numerous species of bacteria [\(8,](#page-1-7) [9\)](#page-1-8). The MATE efflux transporter has been reported to confer resistance to ciprofloxacin, gentamicin, streptomycin, and kanamycin. Moreover, it is located on the chromosomes of the Lactobacillus isolates and not flanked by mobile genetic elements; hence, we hypothesize that it may play a crucial role in the intrinsic resistance to the four antibiotics tested [\(9\)](#page-1-8). WGS also revealed that each genome confers resistance to fluoroquinolones via the gyrA and parC genes and β -lactamase class A and C resistance. Furthermore, all the genomes carry the copper oxidase precursor protein CueO, cytoplasmic copper homeostasis protein CutC, and a cobalt-cadmium-zinc and mercury-transporting ATPase resistance gene. These heavy-metal resistance genes have been reported in Lactobacillus spp. to sequester heavy metals present in the intestinal microbiota protecting the host [\(10\)](#page-1-9).

To summarize, WGS suggests that two efflux transporters, a MATE transporter and LmrCD, may contribute to the intrinsic antibiotic resistance of eight Lactobacillus isolates. The intrinsic resistance to antibiotics of clinical importance may help sustain these probiotic bacteria in the intestinal microbiota during and following antibiotic therapy, providing health benefits to the host.

Accession number(s). The complete genome sequences have been deposited in GenBank as follows: Lactobacillus rhamnosus B1, accession no. [NXEU00000000;](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NXEU00000000) Lactobacillus paracasei B2, accession no. [NXET00000000;](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NXET00000000) Lactobacillus paracasei B3, accession no. [NXES00000000;](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NXES00000000) Lactobacillus casei P1, accession no. [NXEZ00000000;](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NXEZ00000000) Lactobacillus acidophilus P2, accession no. [NXEY00000000;](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NXEY00000000) Lactobacillus casei P3, accession no. [NXEX00000000;](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NXEX00000000) Lactobacillus rhamnosus P4, accession no. [NXEW00000000;](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NXEW00000000) and Lactobacillus casei P5, accession no. [NXEV00000000.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NXEV00000000)

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

L. Goodridge is currently funded by the National Sciences and Engineering Council of Canada Discovery Grants Program (grant RGPIN-2014-0574) and Genome Canada. R. C. Levesque is funded by Cystic Fibrosis Canada (grant 2610), a CIHR-Joint Programming Initiative on Antimicrobial Resistance (JPIAMR) team grant, Genome Québec, and Genome Canada.

We express our gratitude to members of the EcoGenomics analysis platform at IBIS and to the members of the Food Safety and Quality Program at McGill University.

REFERENCES

- 1. Mathur S, Singh R. 2005. Antibiotic resistance in food lactic acid bacteria—a review. Int J Food Microbiol 105:281–295. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2005.03.008) [j.ijfoodmicro.2005.03.008.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2005.03.008)
- 2. Gueimonde M, Sánchez B, de Los Reyes-Gavilán C, Margolles A. 2013. Antibiotic resistance in probiotic bacteria. Front Microbiol 4:202. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2013.00202) [doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2013.00202.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2013.00202)
- 3. Wong A, Ngu DY, Dan LA, Ooi A, Lim RLH. 2015. Detection of antibiotic resistance in probiotics of dietary supplements. Nutr J 14:95. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12937-015-0084-2) [.org/10.1186/s12937-015-0084-2.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12937-015-0084-2)
- 4. Tritt A, Eisen JA, Facciotti MT, Darling AE. 2012. An integrated pipeline for de novo assembly of microbial genomes. PLoS One 7:e42304. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0042304) [doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0042304.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0042304)
- 5. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol 215:403– 410. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2) [S0022-2836\(05\)80360-2.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2)
- 6. Overbeek R, Olson R, Pusch GD, Olsen GJ, Davis JJ, Disz T, Edwards RA, Gerdes S, Parrello B, Shukla M, Vonstein V, Wattam AR, Xia F, Stevens R. 2014. The SEED and the Rapid Annotation of microbial genomes using Subsystems Technology (RAST). Nucleic Acids Res 42:D206 –D214. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1226) [doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1226.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1226)
- 7. McArthur AG, Waglechner N, Nizam F, Yan A, Azad MA, Baylay AJ, Bhullar K, Canova MJ, De Pascale G, Ejim L, Kalan L, King AM, Koteva K, Morar M, Mulvey MR, O'Brien JS, Pawlowski AC, Piddock LJ, Spanogiannopoulos P, Sutherland AD, Tang I, Taylor PL, Thaker M, Wang W, Yan M, Yu T, Wright GD. 2013. The comprehensive antibiotic resistance database. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 57:3348 –3357. [https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00419-13.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00419-13)
- 8. Lubelski J, De Jong A, Van Merkerk R, Agustiandari H, Kuipers OP, Kok J, Driessen AJ. 2006. LmrCD is a major multidrug resistance transporter in Lactococcus lactis. Mol Microbiol 61:771–781. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2006.05267.x) [.1365-2958.2006.05267.x.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2006.05267.x)
- 9. Kuroda T, Tsuchiya T. 2009. Multidrug efflux transporters in the MATE family. Biochim Biophys Acta 1794:763–768. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbapap.2008.11.012) [.bbapap.2008.11.012.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbapap.2008.11.012)
- 10. Monachese M, Burton JP, Reid G. 2012. Bioremediation and tolerance of humans to heavy metals through microbial processes: a potential role for probiotics? Appl Environ Microbiol 78:6397– 6404. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01665-12) [.1128/AEM.01665-12.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01665-12)