

Wearables for Movement Analysis in Healthcare

Paolo Capodaglio ^{1,2,*}  and Veronica Cimolin ^{3,*} 

¹ Orthopaedic Rehabilitation Unit and Research Lab for Biomechanics, Rehabilitation and Ergonomics, Ospedale San Giuseppe, Istituto Auxologico Italiano, IRCCS, via Cadorna 90, 28824 Piancavallo di Oggebbio, Italy

² Department Surgical Sciences, Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine, University of Torino, 10126 Torino, Italy

³ Department of Electronics, Information and Bioengineering, Politecnico di Milan, Piazza Leonardo da Vinci 32, 20133 Milan, Italy

* Correspondence: p.capodaglio@auxologico.it (P.C.); veronica.cimolin@polimi.it (V.C.)

Quantitative movement analysis is widely used in clinical practice and research to objectively and thoroughly investigate movement disorder. Conventionally, body segment kinematic and kinetic parameters are measured in gait laboratories, using marker-based optoelectronic systems, force plates, and electromyographic systems. Although movement analysis is considered accurate, the availability of specific laboratories, high costs, and dependency on trained users sometimes limit its use in clinical practice. A variety of available compact wearable sensors have allowed researchers and clinicians to pursue applications in which individuals are monitored in the home and community settings, in different fields, such as movement analysis. Wearable sensors may contribute to the out-patient implementation of quantitative movement analysis for clinical purposes, thereby reducing evaluation times and unobtrusively and continuously providing objective and quantifiable data on the patients' capabilities.

We invited authors to submit their latest results in the field, either research articles or reviews articles, aimed at promoting novel wearable technology for movement analysis, methods for sensor signal processing, as well as on field experiences of their applications in healthcare. In total, 15 papers were accepted for publication in this Special Issue of *Sensors*, entitled "Wearables for Movement Analysis in Healthcare". They are summarized in the subsequent paragraphs.

The papers could be divided into three main categories: methodological applications, clinical applications, and sport applications.

In terms of the methodological category, Zago et al. [1] estimated the gait parameters based on inertial sensors with machine learning techniques in healthy participants. Lueken et al. [2] presented a recently developed platform for a wireless body sensor network with customizable applications with a sensor setup for gait analysis during everyday life monitoring. Amitrano et al. [3] described a new wearable e-textile based system, named SWEET Sock, for the remote monitoring of biomedical signals and validated it by evaluating the agreement with an optoelectronic system for gait analysis on a set of free walk acquisitions.

In clinical applications, wearable sensors were used in several pathological states, such as stroke [4–6], obese [7,8], and elderly [9] patients; patients with lower limb amputation [10]; patients with Parkinson's disease [4,11,12]; and patients hospitalized for knee joint rehabilitation [13]. In particular, wearable systems were used both to quantify the functional limitations of the patients, during several movements (gait [5,7–9], upper limb [6,11], time up and go test [10], and unconstrained activities at home [12]) and to evaluate their accuracy and precision in comparison with the gold standard [4]. These papers support the clinical usability of wearable technology for clinical movement assessment.

The applications in sport are more limited and they are focused on running and drop jump, forward sprint, and change in direction. Kim et al. [14] validated inertial



Citation: Capodaglio, P.; Cimolin, V. Wearables for Movement Analysis in Healthcare. *Sensors* **2022**, *22*, 3720. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s22103720>

Received: 2 May 2022

Accepted: 10 May 2022

Published: 13 May 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

measurement units (IMUs) for measuring ankle joint with a motion capture system during running in healthy individuals. Di Paolo et al. [15] quantified joint kinematics through a wearable sensor system in multidirectional high-speed complex movements after anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injury, and validated it against a gold standard optoelectronic marker-based system. They demonstrated the use of wearable sensors as an alternative tool for motion capture system for assessing the performance and rehabilitation of athletes.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Zago, M.; Tarabini, M.; Delfino Spiga, M.; Ferrario, C.; Bertozzi, F.; Sforza, C.; Galli, M. Machine-Learning Based Determination of Gait Events from Foot-Mounted Inertial Units. *Sensors* **2021**, *21*, 839. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
2. Lueken, M.; Mueller, L.; Decker, M.G.; Bollheimer, C.; Leonhardt, S.; Ngo, C. Evaluation and Application of a Customizable Wireless Platform: A Body Sensor Network for Unobtrusive Gait Analysis in Everyday Life. *Sensors* **2020**, *20*, 7325. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
3. Amitrano, F.; Coccia, A.; Ricciardi, C.; Donisi, L.; Cesarelli, G.; Capodaglio, E.M.; D'Addio, G. Design and Validation of an E-Textile-Based Wearable Sock for Remote Gait and Postural Assessment. *Sensors* **2020**, *20*, 6691. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
4. Cimolin, V.; Vismara, L.; Ferraris, C.; Amprimo, G.; Pettiti, G.; Lopez, R.; Galli, M.; Cremascoli, R.; Sinagra, S.; Mauro, A.; et al. Computation of Gait Parameters in Post Stroke and Parkinson's Disease: A Comparative Study Using RGB-D Sensors and Optoelectronic Systems. *Sensors* **2022**, *22*, 824. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
5. Schifino, G.; Cimolin, V.; Pau, M.; da Cunha, M.J.; Leban, B.; Porta, M.; Galli, M.; Souza Pagnussat, A. Functional Electrical Stimulation for Foot Drop in Post-Stroke People: Quantitative Effects on Step-to-Step Symmetry of Gait Using a Wearable Inertial Sensor. *Sensors* **2021**, *21*, 921. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
6. Schwarz, A.; Bhagubai, M.M.C.; Wolterink, G.; Held, J.P.O.; Luft, A.R.; Veltink, P.H. Assessment of Upper Limb Movement Impairments after Stroke Using Wearable Inertial Sensing. *Sensors* **2020**, *20*, 4770. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
7. Pau, M.; Capodaglio, P.; Leban, B.; Porta, M.; Galli, M.; Cimolin, V. Kinematics Adaptation and Inter-Limb Symmetry during Gait in Obese Adults. *Sensors* **2021**, *21*, 5980. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
8. Cimolin, V.; Gobbi, M.; Buratto, C.; Ferraro, S.; Fumagalli, A.; Galli, M.; Capodaglio, P. A Comparative Analysis of Shoes Designed for Subjects with Obesity Using a Single Inertial Sensor: Preliminary Results. *Sensors* **2022**, *22*, 782. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
9. Pau, M.; Mulas, I.; Putzu, V.; Asoni, G.; Viale, D.; Mameli, I.; Leban, B.; Allali, G. Smoothness of Gait in Healthy and Cognitively Impaired Individuals: A Study on Italian Elderly Using Wearable Inertial Sensor. *Sensors* **2020**, *20*, 3577. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
10. Valle, M.S.; Casabona, A.; Sapienza, I.; Laudani, L.; Vagnini, A.; Lanza, S.; Cioni, M. Use of a Single Wearable Sensor to Evaluate the Effects of Gait and Pelvis Asymmetries on the Components of the Timed Up and Go Test, in Persons with Unilateral Lower Limb Amputation. *Sensors* **2021**, *22*, 95. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
11. Romano, P.; Pournajaf, S.; Ottaviani, M.; Gison, A.; Infarinato, F.; Mantoni, C.; De Pandis, M.F.; Franceschini, M.; Goffredo, M. Sensor Network for Analyzing Upper Body Strategies in Parkinson's Disease versus Normative Kinematic Patterns. *Sensors* **2021**, *21*, 3823. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
12. Habets, J.G.V.; Herff, C.; Kubben, P.L.; Kuijff, M.L.; Temel, Y.; Evers, L.J.W.; Bloem, B.R.; Starr, P.A.; Gilron, R.; Little, S. Rapid Dynamic Naturalistic Monitoring of Bradykinesia in Parkinson's Disease Using a Wrist-Worn Accelerometer. *Sensors* **2021**, *21*, 7876. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
13. Prill, R.; Walter, M.; Królikowska, A.; Becker, R. A Systematic Review of Diagnostic Accuracy and Clinical Applications of Wearable Movement Sensors for Knee Joint Rehabilitation. *Sensors* **2021**, *21*, 8221. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
14. Kim, B.H.; Hong, S.H.; Oh, I.W.; Lee, Y.W.; Kee, I.H.; Lee, S.Y. Measurement of Ankle Joint Movements Using IMUs during Running. *Sensors* **2021**, *21*, 4240. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
15. Di Paolo, S.; Lopomo, N.F.; Della Villa, F.; Paolini, G.; Figari, G.; Bragonzoni, L.; Grassi, A.; Zaffagnini, S. Rehabilitation and Return to Sport Assessment after Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injury: Quantifying Joint Kinematics during Complex High-Speed Tasks through Wearable Sensors. *Sensors* **2021**, *21*, 2331. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]