



Ivabradine for anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity: emerging promise and current evidence

Saad Arsalan Wasti, MBBS^a, Areesha Wasti, MBBS^b, Marzhan Berkinbayeva, MBBS^c,
Muhammad Usman Iqbal, MBBS^a, Naseeb Danaf, MD^{d,*}

Dear Editor,

Anthracycline-based chemotherapy (including doxorubicin, epirubicin) remains foundational in the management of many types of cancers, including breast cancers, lymphomas, sarcomas, and other malignancies. However, its cumulative dose-dependent cardiotoxicity, manifesting from subclinical left-ventricular dysfunction to overt heart failure, limits optimal therapy. Emerging strategies are focussing on early detection and prevention of cardiotoxicity instead of waiting for symptomatic heart failure.

The pharmacologic rationale for ivabradine as a prophylactic approach in this regard is plausible. Ivabradine selectively inhibits the I_f (“funny”) current in the sino-atrial node, reducing heart rate without adverse effects on myocardial contractility or systemic blood pressure. Lower heart rate reduces myocardial oxygen consumption, extends diastolic perfusion time and may enhance myocardial reserve under stress. Preclinical work in rat models of doxorubicin (DXR) cardiotoxicity showed that ivabradine attenuated cardiac troponin-I release and reduced malondialdehyde (MDA) levels compared to control, suggesting that ivabradine could be effective in mitigating DXR-induced cardiotoxicity^[1].

Early clinical trials also suggested possible benefits. A 2023 open-label randomized trial in breast cancer patients reported that ivabradine reduced the incidence of troponin elevation (four-fold lower) compared to controls during anthracycline therapy^[2]. Another randomized trial discussed the feasibility of ivabradine in patients with breast cancer undergoing anthracycline chemotherapy^[3]. It found significant differences in the left ventricular global longitudinal strain in the earlier months of observation ($P < 0.05$), but after

12 months the groups were comparable in longitudinal strain values.

However, the evidence is not yet definitive. A recent triple-blind, placebo-controlled trial (IPAC) assessing ivabradine, given 5 mg twice daily, in patients receiving anthracyclines found no major differences in global longitudinal strain decline or biomarker release^[4]. Importantly, this trial excluded breast cancer patients, leaving ivabradine’s utility in cancer patients uncertain. Furthermore, a network meta-analysis of 128 trials in 10 431 cancer patients found that while agents such as beta-blockers and dexrazoxane showed benefit, ivabradine was not among the high-ranked agents for preventing cardiotoxicity^[5].

Ivabradine represents an innovative concept in cardio-oncology prophylaxis, offering selective heart rate reduction without the hemodynamic penalties of beta-blockade. However, despite a strong mechanistic rationale and encouraging biomarker and strain data from early-phase studies, the most recent randomized controlled evidence indicates no consistent benefit in preventing anthracycline-induced left ventricular dysfunction or biomarker elevation at conventional dosing. These mixed results suggest that the cardioprotective efficacy of ivabradine may depend on the type of cancer, optimal dosing, and timing relative to anthracycline administration. Its benefit may also be limited to specific clinical contexts, such as in those with high resting heart rates, concomitant tachyarrhythmias, or where standard cardioprotective drugs are contraindicated. Importantly, ivabradine has not yet demonstrated superiority or additive benefit over established prophylactic regimens based on beta-blockers, ACE inhibitors, or dexrazoxane.

The cumulative evidence, though supports its safety, questions its efficacy as a stand-alone cardioprotective agent. Large, adequately powered trials with stratified patient populations, optimized dosing/timing and modern imaging/biomarker endpoints are needed to further explore ivabradine’s role as a prophylactic in this regard. Oncology and cardio-oncology teams should monitor emerging data closely and consider ivabradine in selected high-risk cases within clinical-trial frameworks. Combination therapies of ivabradine with other established prophylactics may also be explored in future trials to investigate possible benefits over current regimens. This letter followed the transparency in the reporting of artificial intelligence (TITAN) guidelines 2025^[6].

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not needed as this study uses already published, publicly available data.

^aDepartment of Medicine, King Edward Medical University, Lahore, Pakistan,

^bDepartment of Medicine, Continental Medical College, Lahore, Pakistan,

^cDepartment of Medicine, Asfendiyarov Kazakh National Medical University, Almaty, Kazakhstan and ^dDepartment of Medicine, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Lebanese University, Beirut, Lebanon

Sponsorships or competing interests that may be relevant to content are disclosed at the end of this article.

*Corresponding author. Address: Faculty of Medical Sciences, Lebanese University, Beirut 1100, Lebanon. Tel.: +96171164810. E-mail: naseeb.danaf@st.ul.edu.lb (N. Danaf).

Copyright © 2025 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

Annals of Medicine & Surgery (2026) 88:941–942

Received 22 October 2025; Accepted 24 October 2025

Published online 11 November 2025

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MS9.0000000000004258>

Consent for publication

Not needed as this study uses already published, publicly available data.

Funding

The authors received no funding.

Author contributions

S.A.W.: Conceptualization, Writing – Original Draft, Writing – Review & Editing; A.W.: Conceptualization, Writing – Original Draft; M.B.: Writing – Original Draft, Writing – Review & Editing; M.U.I.: Writing – Original Draft, Writing – Review & Editing; N.D.: Writing – Original Draft, Writing – Review & Editing.

Conflicts of interest disclosure

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Clinical trial registration

Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review

Not commissioned, externally peer-reviewed.

Acknowledgements

None declared.

References

- [1] Al-Kuraishy HM, Issa HK, Al-Gareeb AI, *et al.* The role of ivabradine in doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity: exploring of underlying argument. *Inflammopharmacology* 2022;30:2441–46.
- [2] Čiburienė E, Aidietienė S, Ščerbickaitė G, *et al.* Ivabradine for the prevention of anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity in female patients with primarily breast cancer: a prospective, randomized, open-label clinical trial. *Medicina (Kaunas)* 2023;59:2140.
- [3] Vasyuk YUV, Nesvetov VV, Shkolnik EL, *et al.* Possibilities of ivabradine selective, inhibitor of ION F-channels of sinus node, in prevention of anthracycline cardiotoxicity in patients with breast cancer. *Behav. Res* 2017;13:184–90.
- [4] Rizk SI, Ibsds C, Cruz CBBV, *et al.* Placebo-controlled, triple-blind clinical trial of ivabradine for the prevention of cardiac dysfunction during anthracycline-based cancer Therapy. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2025;14:e039745.
- [5] Li S, Li W, Cheng M, *et al.* Prevention and treatment of anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity: a systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Cardiooncology* 2025;11:66.
- [6] Science P, London UK, Agha R, *et al.* Transparency in the reporting of Artificial Intelligence – the TITAN guideline. *Prem J Sci* 2025;10:100082.