GENOME SEQUENCES



AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR MICROBIOLOGY

Genome Sequences of Vibrio maerlii sp. nov. and Vibrio rhodolitus sp. nov., Isolated from Rhodoliths

Camila S. F. Silva,^a Juline M. Walter,^a Maria S. Nobrega,^a Gabriela Calegario,^a Luciana R. Appolinario,^a Luciana Leomil,^a Giselle Cavalcanti,^a Bruno S. Silva,^a Gizele D. Garcia,^{a,b} Diogo Tschoeke,^a Jean Swings,^a Fabiano L. Thompson,^{a,c} Cristiane C. Thompson^a

^aInstitute of Biologia, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil ^bDepartamento de Ensino de Graduação, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro–Campus UFRJ–Macaé Professor Aloisio Teixeira, Macaé, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil ^cSAGE-COPPE, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

ABSTRACT We report here the genome sequences of the novel isolates $G62^{T}$ and $G98^{T}$ from rhodoliths. The nearly complete genomes consisted of 4.7 Mbp (4,233 coding sequences [CDS]) for $G62^{T}$ and 4.5 Mbp (4,085 CDS) for $G98^{T}$. Genomic taxonomy places these new genomes into 2 new species.

The genus Vibrio and 6 other genera (Aliivibrio, Echinimonas, Enterovibrio, Grimontia, Photobacterium, and Salinivibrio) form the family Vibrionaceae (1). These bacteria are Gram-negative fermentative, halophilic, mesophilic, chemoorganotrophic, and ubiquitous in the marine environment (2).

The aim of the present study was to determine the genome sequences of the novel isolates G62^T and G98^T. These isolates were obtained from rhodoliths at a 43-m depth (sinkhole, Abrolhos Bank, Brazil, 17.81399°S, 38.24306°W and 17.81330°S, 38.23744°W) in 2010. Samples were cultured in marine agar and incubated at 30°C for 48 h. Genomic DNA was extracted with the NucleoSpin tissue kit (Macherey-Nagel GmbH & Co. KG) and used for 300-bp paired-end library preparation with the Nextera XT DNA sample preparation kit and sequencing on the MiSeq platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) (3). The sequences obtained were preprocessed with PRINSEQ software to remove reads smaller than 35 bp and low-score sequences (Phred < 30) (4). Sequence reads were assembled with A5-miseq software v. 20160825 (5). A second assembly was performed with CAP3 software (6). The gene prediction and functional annotation were performed with the Rapid Annotation using Subsystem Technology program (7).

The reads from $G62^{T}$ were assembled in 49 contigs (N_{50} , 382,658 bp). The estimated genome size is 4,758,035 bp (G+C content, 44.5%) with a coverage of 108-fold. In total, 4,233 coding sequences (CDS), 143 RNAs, 106 tRNAs, and 26 rRNAs were identified. G98^T reads were assembled in 62 contigs (N_{50} , 161,850 bp). The estimated genome size is 4,559,723 bp (G+C content, 45.2%) with a coverage of 94-fold. In total, 4,085 CDS, 143 RNAs, 108 tRNAs, and 24 rRNAs were identified.

The novel isolates G62^T and G98^T had less than a 95% average amino acid identity/ average nucleotide identity (AAI/ANI) and less than a 70% genome-to-genome distance (GGDH) from their closest neighbors (*V. atypicus* and *V. tubiashii* and *V. ponticus* and *V. furnissii*, respectively). The cutoffs used for the delimitation of *Vibrio* species are more than 95% AAI and more than 70% GGDH, and so we conclude that the 2 novel isolates belong to novel species of the genus (8–11), designated *V. maerlii* G62^T sp. nov. and *V. rhodolitus* G98^T sp. nov. Their cells are motile, and growth occurs between 12 and 35°C in the presence of 1.0 to 3.0% NaCI. Optimum bacterial growth occurs between 27 and 30°C in the presence of 3.0% NaCI. Colonies are cream colored and circular with the entire margin on marine agar. Useful *in silico* phenotypic features to differentiate the

Received 22 August 2018 Accepted 17 October 2018 Published 15 November 2018

Citation Silva CSF, Walter JM, Nobrega MS, Calegario G, Appolinario LR, Leomil L, Cavalcanti G, Silva BS, Garcia GD, Tschoeke D, Swings J, Thompson FL, Thompson CC. 2018. Genome sequences of *Vibrio maerlii* sp. nov. and *Vibrio rhodolitus* sp. nov., isolated from rhodoliths. Microbiol Resour Announc 7:e01039-18. https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA .01039-18.

Editor Iddo Friedberg, Iowa State University Copyright © 2018 Silva et al. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Fabiano L. Thompson, fabianothompson1@gmail.com, or Cristiane C. Thompson, thompsoncristiane@gmail.com.

C.S.F.S. and J.M.W. contributed equally to this work.

Property	V. maerlii G62 [⊤]	V. rhodolitus G98 [⊤]	V. fluvialis ATCC 33809 [⊤]	V. furnissii NCTC 11218 [™]	V. parahemolyticus RIMD 2210633 ^T	V. ponticus CECT 5869 [⊤]	V. scophthalmi VS-12	V. tasmaniensis LGP32	V. tubiashii ATCC 19109 [™]	<i>V. xuii</i> DSM 17185 [⊤]
L-Arabinose	_	_	+	+	+	_	-	-	-	_
Sucrose	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	-
Ornithine	_	_	-	-	+	_	-	-	-	_
Vogues	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Galactose	_	_	+	±	+	_	-	-	-	_
Cellobiose	_	+	±	_	_	+	+	_	_	+
D-Mannitol	+	_	_	+	+	+	+	_	+	+
Arginine	_	_	+	+	_	_	_	+	_	+
Trehalose	_	_	+	+	+	_	_	+	_	+
D-Sorbitol	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_
Indole	+	+	±	_	+	+	+	+	+	+
м-Inositol	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
D-Mannose	_	+	±	+	+	+	+	+	_	+

TABLE 1 In silico phenotypic characterization distinguishing Vibrio maerlii sp. nov. strain $G62^{T}$ and Vibrio rhodolitus sp. nov. strain $G98^{T}$ from closely related Vibrio species^a

^a Closely related *Vibrio* species (RefSeq assembly accession number) include the following: *V. fluvialis* ATCC 33809^T (GCF_001558415), *V. furnissii* NCTC 11218^T (GCF_000176175), *V. parahaemolyticus* RIMD 2210633^T (GCF_000196095), *V. ponticus* CECT 5869^T (GCF_001939685), *V. scophthalmi* VS-12 (GCF_001685465), *V. tasmaniensis* LGP32 (GCF_00091465), *V. tubiashii* ATCC 19109^T (GCF_000772105), and *V. xuii* DSM 17185^T (GCF_001274855). +, present; -, absent; ±, variable.

novel species from their closest neighbors include sucrose, indole, D-mannitol, cellobiose, and D-mannose (Table 1).

Description of Vibrio maerlii sp. nov. Vibrio maerlii (ma.er'.li.i. L. n. maerlii, referring to the Breton word "maërl" = rhodolith). The estimated genome size is 4.7 Mbp, and it has a G+C content of 45.2%. $G62^{T}$ is positive for sucrose, indole, and *D*-mannitol based on an *in silico* phenotype (12).

Description of Vibrio rhodolitus sp. nov. Vibrio rhodolitus (rhod.o.li'.tus. L. masc. rhodoliths, referring to the isolation source, the rhodolith structures dominated by free-living coralline algae). The estimated genome size is 4.5 Mbp, and it has a G+C content of 44.5%. $G98^{T}$ is positive for cellobiose, indole, and d-mannose based on an *in silico* phenotype (12).

Data availability. *V. maerlii* G62^T and *V. rhodoliths* G98^T are deposited in the Bacteria Collection of Environmental and Health (CBAS) at the Oswaldo Cruz Institute (IOC), Oswaldo Cruz Foundation (FIOCRUZ) in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil (http://cbas.fiocruz.br/), under the accession numbers CBAS 711^T and CBAS 710^T, and in the Collection of Aquatic Microorganisms (CAIM) in Mazatlán, Sinaloa, Mexico (http://www.ciad.mx/caim/CAIM.html), under the accession numbers CAIM 1940^T and CAIM 1941^T, respectively. The whole-genome shotgun projects for *V. maerlii* G62^T (= CBAS 711^T = CAIM 1940^T) and *V. rhodoliths* G98^T (= CBAS 710^T = CAIM 1941^T) have been deposited in DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank under accession numbers are PRJNA476538 and PRJNA476543, respectively.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank CNPq, CAPES, and FAPERJ for their financial support.

This paper is part of the M.Sc. requirements of C.S.F.S. at the Marine Biotechnology Graduate Program of the Instituto de Estudos do Mar Almirante Paulo Moreira (IEAPM).

REFERENCES

- Sawabe T, Kita-Tsukamoto K, Thompson FL. 2007. Inferring the evolutionary history of vibrios by means of multilocus sequence analysis. J Bacteriol 189:7932–7936. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00693-07.
- Thompson FL, lida T, Swings J. 2004. Biodiversity of vibrios. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 68:403–431. https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.68.3.403-431 .2004.
- Walter JM, Tschoeke DA, Meirelles PM, de Oliveira L, Leomil L, Tenório M, Valle R, Salomon PS, Thompson CC, Thompson FL. 2016. Taxonomic and functional metagenomic signature of turfs in the Abrolhos reef system (Brazil). PLoS One 11:e0161168. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0161168.
- Schmieder R, Edwards R. 2011. Quality control and preprocessing of metagenomic datasets. Bioinformatics 27:863–864. https://doi.org/10 .1093/bioinformatics/btr026.
- Coil D, Jospin G, Darling AE. 2015. A5-miseq: an updated pipeline to assemble microbial genomes from Illumina MiSeq data. Bioinformatics 31:587–589. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu661.
- Huang X, Madan A. 1999. CAP3: a DNA sequence assembly program. Genome Res 9:868–877. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.9.9.868.
- Overbeek R, Olson R, Pusch GD, Olsen GJ, Davis JJ, Disz T, Edwards RA, Gerdes S, Parrello B, Shukla M, Vonstein V, Wattam AR, Xia F, Stevens R.

2014. The SEED and the Rapid Annotation of microbial genomes using Subsystems Technology (RAST). Nucleic Acids Res 42:206–214. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1226.

- Tindall BJ, Rosselló-Móra R, Busse H-J, Ludwig W, Kämpfer P. 2010. Notes on the characterization of prokaryote strains for taxonomic purposes. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 60:249–266. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.016949-0.
- Rosselló-Móra R, Amann R. 2015. Past and future species definitions for Bacteria and Archaea. Syst Appl Microbiol 38:1–8. https://doi.org/10 .1016/j.syapm.2015.02.001.
- Thompson CC, Amaral GR, Campeão M, Edwards RA, Polz MF, Dutilh BE, Ussery DW, Sawabe T, Swings J, Thompson FL. 2015. Microbial taxonomy

in the post-genomic era: rebuilding from scratch? Arch Microbiol 197: 359–370. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00203-014-1071-2.

- De Vos P, Thompson F, Thompson C, Swings J. 2017. A flavor of prokaryotic taxonomy: systematics revisited, p 29–44. *In* Kurtböke I (ed), Microbial resources: from functional existence in nature to applications. Elsevier B.V., Amsterdam, Netherlands.
- Amaral GRS, Dias GM, Wellington-Oguri M, Chimetto L, Campeão ME, Thompson FL, Thompson CC. 2014. Genotype to phenotype: identification of diagnostic vibrio phenotypes using whole genome sequences. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 64:357–365. https://doi.org/10.1099/ ijs.0.057927-0.