

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect Journal of Mass Spectrometry and Advances in the Clinical Lab

journal homepage: www.sciencedirect.com/journal/journal-of-massspectrometry-and-advances-in-the-clinical-lab



Direct detection of OXA-48-like carbapenemase variants with and without co-expression of an extended-spectrum β -lactamase from bacterial cell lysates using mass spectrometry

William M. McGee^a, Arvind Verma^b, Marjaana Viirtola^b, Scott R. Kronewitter^a, Jason R. Neil^a, James L. Stephenson Jr.^{a,*}

^a Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cambridge, MA, USA ^b Thermo Fisher Scientific, Vantaa, Finland

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Antimicrobial-resistant organisms Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacterales Carbapenemase β-Lactamase OXA-48 OXA-48-like CTX-M-15 Mass Spectrometry Tandem mass spectrometry Liquid chromatography

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Antibiotic-resistant Gram-negative bacteria are of a growing concern globally, especially those producing enzymes conferring resistance. OXA-48-like carbapenemases hydrolyze most β -lactam antibiotics, with typically low-level hydrolysis of carbapenems, but have limited effect on broad-spectrum cephalosporins. These are frequently co-expressed with extended spectrum β -lactamases, especially CTX-M-15, which typically shows high level resistance to broad-spectrum cephalosporins, yet is carbapenem susceptible. The combined resistance profile makes the need for successful detection of these specific resistance determinants imperative for effective antibiotic therapy.

Objectives: The objective of this study is to detect and identify OXA-48-like and CTX-M-15 enzymes using mass spectrometry, and to subsequently develop a method for detection of both enzyme types in combination with liquid chromatography.

Methods: Cells grown in either broth or on agar were harvested, lysed, and, in some cases buffer-exchanged. Lysates produced from bacterial cells were separated and analyzed via liquid chromatography with mass spectrometry (LC-MS) and tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS).

Results: The intact proteins of OXA-48, OXA-181, and OXA-232 (collectively OXA-48-like herein) and CTX-M-15 were characterized and detected. Acceptance criteria based on sequence-informative fragments from each protein group were established as confirmatory markers for the presence of the protein(s). A total of 25 isolates were successfully tested for OXA-48 like (2), CTX-M-15 (3), or expression of both (7) enzymes. Thirteen isolates served as negative controls.

Conclusions: Here we present a method for the direct and independent detection of both OXA-48-like carbapenemases and CTX-M-15 β -lactamases using LC-MS/MS. The added sensitivity of MS/MS allows for simultaneous detection of at least two co-eluting, co-isolated and co-fragmented proteins from a single mass spectrum.

1. Introduction

The past several decades have seen antimicrobial-resistant organisms become one of the most significant concerns to human health across the globe [1]. Gram-negative bacteria account for a significant number of infections, with antibiotic resistance among this group increasing rapidly [2]. Bacteria that are resistant to carbapenems, a family of lastresort antibiotics [3], have garnered particular attention for their global spread over the last two decades. Carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacterales* (CRE) have been considered an urgent threat [4–8]; with significant concern for enzyme-based resistance, such as from carbapenemaseproducing organisms (CPOs). The successful spread of CPOs is widely

* Corresponding author.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsacl.2021.05.001

Received 31 December 2020; Received in revised form 24 May 2021; Accepted 24 May 2021 Available online 29 May 2021

2667-145X/© 2021 THE AUTHORS. Publishing services by ELSEVIER B.V. on behalf of MSACL. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Abbreviations: MS, mass spectrometry; MS/MS, tandem mass spectrometry; LC, liquid chromatography; ESBL, extended-spectrum β -lactamase; CPO, carbapenemase-producing organism; CRE, carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacterales*; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; MW, molecular weight; *m/z*, mass-to-charge ratio; CSD, charge state distribution; MALDI, matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization; TOF, time-of-flight (mass spectrometry); ESI, electrospray ionization; CDC, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; ATCC, American Type Culture Collection.

E-mail address: jim.stephenson@thermofisher.com (J.L. Stephenson).

attributed to clonal expansion and horizontal gene transfer [9,10], with the latter allowing efficient dissemination of resistance mechanisms among bacterial species.

One of the most commonly observed types of carbapenemases is the family of oxacillinase-48-like (OXA-48-like) enzymes. This family includes several variants, with OXA-48, OXA-181, and OXA-232 being among the most common globally [11]. These enzymes have been associated with particular genetic mobility attributed to the transposons on which they are encoded, having insertion sequences on either side of the gene. This has consequently played a substantial role in the global spread of these enzymes, with a significant prevalence in North Africa, the Middle East, Europe, and the Indian subcontinent [11].

Most isolates that produce OXA-48-like enzymes also co-express additional extended spectrum β -lactamases (ESBLs) [12]. Coexpression of OXA-48-like and ESBL enzymes can occur as a result of any combination of chromosomal- and/or plasmid-encoded resistance genes; however, there have been several reports of the ESBL CTX-M-15 gene being incorporated into the specific mobile genetic elements that also include OXA-48-like genes [11], such as the *Tn1999.4* transposon, which harbors both resistances [13]. Although high levels of carbapenem resistance often require co-expression of ESBLs and/or porin mutations [14,15], the specific co-expression of CTX-M-15 and similar enzymes with OXA-48-like enzymes results in a highly concerning resistance profile. Whereas OXA-48-like enzymes show carbapenemase activity, but are frequently sensitive to broad-spectrum cephalosporins, the resistance profile of CTX-M-15 enzymes provides high rates of broadspectrum cephalosporin hydrolysis, despite being susceptible to carbapenems [16-18]. Consequently, isolates that co-express OXA-48-like carbapenemases and CTX-M ESBLs show carbapenemase activity with high levels of expanded-spectrum cephalosporin resistance [19]. The combination of specific resistance determinants adds to the complexity of detection and also illuminates the importance of identifying the specific enzymes present that contribute to the overall resistance profile of a bacterial isolate. For example, some OXA-48-like-producing isolates found not to co-express additional resistance determinants may be effectively treated with cephalosporins, such as cefepime [18], yet this therapy is often ineffective in the presence of CTX-M-15 [13]. Because of the complimentary nature of both resistance profiles of these two envzmes, detection of one or both may not only lead to differing therapies, but may also lead to significantly different clinical outcomes.

Several methods have been employed for the detection of general β -lactamase or carbapenemase activity, such as disk diffusion, the modified carbapenem inactivation method (mCIM) [20], and assays using detection by colorimetric [21], electrochemical [22], and spectrophotometric [23] methods. Mass spectrometry (MS) has also been used to detect the presence of β -lactamase or carbapenemase activity using matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization coupled with time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry through detection of hydrolysis products of β -lactams [24] and carbapenems in *Enterobacterales* [25–27], *Pseudomonas* [28,29] and *Acinetobacter* [30–32]. MALDI-TOF MS has also been used to monitor levels of growth or no growth in the presence of a carbapenem to identify resistance [33,34].

Therapies against bacterial infections may differ depending on the type of antibiotic resistance pathways present. In the case of β -lactamase and carbapenemase-producing organisms, detection of specific enzyme types (*e.g.*, OXA-48-like) becomes critically important for determining appropriate therapy [35]. Consequently, several different methods have been developed for direct detection of carbapenemase types, such as from immunoassays [36–38], an extension of a MALDI-TOF approach of the direct-on-target microdroplet growth assay [39], and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assays for detection of resistance genes [40–44]. Other MS approaches for the detection of carbapenemases have used electrospray ionization (ESI) and liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) via bottom-up proteomics techniques for the detection of New Delhi metallo-

β-lactamase (NDM) [45], *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemases (KPC) [46], OXA-48-like carbapenemase [47], and combinations of multiple carbapenemase enzymes [48]. Those methods using MS to identify specific proteins in targeted approaches could thereby also reveal the specific resistance mechanism(s) present. Additional advantages can be gained using high-resolution and accurate mass analysis, wherein the mass-to-charge ratio (m/z) of peptide or protein ions can be measured to within a few parts per million (ppm). This is particularly relevant for top-down proteomics, when proteolytic digestion is not incorporated, and the molecular weight (MW) and identity of intact protein ions can be determined via a combination of MS and MS/MS through direct dissociation to produce informative sequence-specific fragment ions. In line with this, we previously reported a similar study involving the direct detection of intact KPC via LC-MS and LC-MS/MS, wherein not only was the type of carbapenemase identified, the specific variants were as well [49].

Herein we present the use of MS for the direct detection of both OXA-48-like and CTX-M-15 proteins directly from Gram-negative bacterial cell lysates. This method can be applied from cells grown either in broth or on agar and, following simple sample preparation, can be used to detect OXA-48-like and/or CTX-M-15 via chromatographic separations on timescales less than five minutes. The ability to detect these enzymes can provide relevant information for therapy decisions and have significant clinical implications.

2. Methods

Bacterial isolates were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Food and Drug Administration Antibiotic Resistance Isolate Bank (CDC and FDA AR Isolate Bank). Antibiotic-resistant bacterial isolates used from the CDC and FDA AR Isolate Bank were from the Gram Negative Carbapenemase Detection Panel and Enterobacteriaceae Carbapenemase Diversity Panel. Information on resistant isolates, including known resistance mechanisms and minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values are provided by the CDC and FDA AR Isolate Bank [50].

2.1. Sample preparation

Isolates were grown either on tryptone soya agar or in trypsin soya broth for 20–24 h at 37 °C. Those grown on agar were harvested with a 10 μ L loop, resuspended in 1 ml 5% acetonitrile, 0.2% formic acid in water, then transferred to bead beating tubes (MP Bio, matrix B) and were mechanically lysed using a Fisherbrand Bead Mill 4 Homogenizer (Fisher Scientific) for 120 s at 5 m/s. The tubes were then centrifuged at 12,000 g and supernatants were removed and extracted.

Isolates grown in broth were centrifuged at 4,000 g for 25 min at 10 °C. Supernatants were discarded, and the pellets were reconstituted with ice-cold 0.9% NaCl, then subjected to a second centrifugation step. Supernatants were again discarded, and the remaining pellet was reconstituted in 6 M guanidinium chloride (GuHCl), 50 mM Tris, pH 7.

Isolates prepared from either agar or broth were introduced to LC vials following centrifugal filtration with 0.2 μ m spin filters (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Some isolates prepared in 6 M GuHCl, 50 mM Tris were subjected to buffer exchange into 0.2% formic acid, 5% acetonitrile in water using Amicon 10 K MWCO spin columns (Millipore Sigma), with the filtrate transferred to LC vials.

2.2. Liquid chromatography

All separations were carried out using binary gradients of Solvent A (0.2% formic acid in water) and Solvent B (0.2% formic acid in acetonitrile) using a 1 mm \times 25 cm RP4H (Thermo Fisher Scientific) analytical column. Initial LC separations used cells grown in broth and were performed with Solvent B increasing from 10% to 22.5% over 10 min, during which time the flow was diverted away from the MS source,



Fig. 1. Mass spectra of intact OXA-48-like proteins in *m/z* and MW space. Spectra of intact protein elutions for *m/z* 650–1300 correspond to a) OXA-48, c) OXA-181, and e) OXA-232. Molecular weights of b) OXA-48, d) OXA-181, and f) OXA-232 as well as additional co-eluting proteins were calculated through deconvolution of the corresponding mass spectra. The data is from *Klebsiella ozaenae* AR Bank #0051 (OXA-181 and CTX-M-15), *K. pneumoniae* AR Bank #0066 (OXA-232), and *Enterobacter aerogenes* AR Bank #0074 (OXA-48) of the Gram-Negative Carbapenemase Detection Panel (CDC and FDA AR Isolate Bank).

then increasing to 40% over 50 min with flow directed to the MS source, all using a flow rate of 200 μ L/min. Additional separations were performed on samples in 0.2% formic acid, 5% acetonitrile using a gradient of Solvent B increasing from 5% to 28% over 1 min and continuing to 65% over an additional 6.5 min at a flow rate of 300 μ L/min. These separations were performed on both a Dionex Ultimate 3000 and a Horizon Vanquish LC systems (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

An additional separation step to evaluate co-elution behavior was performed on an in-house built prototype LC system, using 4 μ L/min flow rates with Solvent A (0.2% formic acid, 10% acetonitrile in water) and Solvent B (0.2% formic acid in acetonitrile) used to elute proteins off of an in-house-prepared solid-phase extraction (SPE) RP4H tip following direct introduction of lysate. This elution was performed with a binary gradient of Solvent B beginning at 10% (19% acetonitrile) and increasing to 30% (37% acetonitrile) over 4 min.

2.3. Mass spectrometry

All intact protein experiments were performed on Q-Exactive HF instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with a heated electrospray ionization source (HESI) with heated metal capillary set to 325 °C. High-flow LC experiments (200–300 μ L/min) used source settings of 3.7–4.0 kV for electrospray ionization voltages and 50–68% S-lens RF amplitude. Low-flow elution using a modification of an Easy-spray source was set to an electrospray voltage of 2.4 kV for ionization with the S-lens RF level set to 65. MS scans were set to a resolution of 120,000 with 5 μ scans per scan. MS/MS data had resolution settings of either 120,000 for initial data acquired via long separations, or 60,000 for higher throughput data acquisition with shorter elution times. Mass isolation widths ranged from *m*/*z* 1.0 to 3.5, with the majority of data

collected using a m/z 2.5 width. Intact protein ions were fragmented with nominal m/z values of 880.5, 853.9, 828.8, 805.1, 782.8 for the +32, +33, +34, +35, and +36 charge states respectively. Following MS/MS of the five charge states, most relevant data were collected from MS/MS of the +33 and +34 charge states. Mass spectra were largely interpreted manually with the assistance of Protein Prospector (http://prospector.ucsf.edu/prospector/mshome.htm), and with sequence mapping from Prosight Lite [51]. Deconvolution of intact protein mass spectra was performed using the Xtract software from the Averagine model [52], set for low sulfur content. This process considers isotopic distributions and charge states from the m/z domain and transforms them to the MW domain, based largely on the MW of an "average" amino acid.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Detection of intact OXA-48-like carbapenemases, OXA-48, OXA-181, OXA-232

The observed full scan mass spectra in Fig. 1 were derived from lysates from bacterial isolates of the CDC and FDA AR Panels that have been determined to harbor OXA-48-like variants. Chromatographic separation of these proteins within any individual bacterial lysate led to elution times that ranged from less than 5 min to approximately 45 min, depending on the desired extent of separation. Examples of varying chromatographic conditions are described in Fig. S1. Initial detection of the specific intact protein targets from chromatographic separations was aided by using m/z values for charge states of intact OXA-48-like proteins using theoretical isotopic distributions from the MS-Isotope function, provided by Protein Prospector (http://prospector.ucsf.edu/pr

Table 1

Sequence differences between selected OXA-48-like variants. Amino acid substitution positions are based on the mature form of OXA-48-like proteins. Differences in MW correspond to the mass differences of the amino acid substitutions.

OXA-48-like Variant	Mutation Relative to OXA-48	Accession ID Used	Source	Δ MW
OXA-48 OXA-181	 T82A, N88D, E146Q, S149A	Q6XEC0 G5CKK8	Uniprot Uniprot	0.0000 -46.0055
OXA-232	T82A, N88D, E146Q, S149A, R192S	M4JTK1	Uniprot	-115.0746

ospector/mshome.htm, last accessed August 14, 2020). This allowed the mass spectra of the targeted protein(s) to be quickly observed from an extracted ion chromatogram (EIC, see Fig. S2). Mass spectra of intact proteins can produce overlapping charge state distributions (CSDs) in a complex sample and, even with the use of a separation method, can be challenging to interpret manually. Despite this dilemma, deconvolution techniques can be easily implemented to transform CSDs of proteins from the *m*/*z* domain into individual peaks in the MW domain. As an example, co-eluting proteins within a molecular weight range of 10,000–40,000 Da are illustrated in the deconvolution spectra and subsequent molecular weight plots shown in Fig. 1.

The OXA-48-like carbapenemases OXA-48, OXA-181, and OXA-232 have very similar molecular weights around 28 kDa within an approximate mass range of 115 Da, with the specific amino acid substitutions indicated in Table 1. The experimentally-determined molecular weight of OXA-48 was 28129.3536 Da, which was 0.0707 Da from the theoretical molecular weight of 28129.2829 and corresponded to a 2.51 ppm mass difference. The observed molecular weight of OXA-181 was calculated to be 28083.2846 Da, which yielded a 0.0072 Da difference

from the theoretical molecular weight of 28083.2774 and corresponded to a 0.26 ppm difference. Lastly, for OXA-232 the experimental molecular weight of 28014.2619 Da was observed, which differed from the theoretical mass of 28014.2083 Da by 0.0536 Da and corresponded to a 1.91 ppm mass difference.

3.2. Fragmentation of multiple charge states of intact OXA-48

Following identification of the intact OXA-48-like protein variants with the correct MW, MS/MS was performed to determine if fragment ions specific to this family of carbapenemase could be used to confirm expression in Gram-negative pathogens. Tandem mass spectrometry allows specific precursor m/z ions to be isolated and fragmented, often providing highly informative and specific fragment ions. Fragmentation behavior can vary depending on the parameters used, such as charge state of the precursor ion, collision energy (CE), and even the nature of the background gases (*i.e.*, He versus N₂). It should be noted that the collision energy is a product of the ion charge state and the acceleration voltages, such that for a given set of voltages, the more highly charged

Table 2

Mass accuracy of selected fragments from Fig. 2 relative to theoretical m/z. Calculations are based on the theoretically most abundant isotope for each fragment.

•					
Precursor, z	y ₂₄ ⁺³	y_{24}^{+4}	b_{23}^{+4}	b_{24}^{+4}	b_{45}^{+7}
	879.1788	659.6359	688.592	716.863	767.8187
880.58, +32	-0.34	0.45	0.29	-0.70	1.04
853.93, +33	1.36	0.76	0.44	0.42	0.65
828.84, +34	-0.11	0.45	0.58	0.42	1.69
805.12, +35	1.25	1.36	1.45	2.09	1.17
782.85, +36	0.45	0.91	0.73	2.51	0.26



Fig. 2. Fragmentation of a) +32, b) +33, c) +34, d) +35, and e) +36 charge states of OXA-48, with selected shared fragments highlighted in blue. Data from *E. aerogenes* AR Bank #0074.



Fig. 3. Sequence information provided by fragment ions for each charge state with set acceleration voltages for charges +32 through +36. Each charge state has a unique symbols, with blue representing b-ions and red representing y-ions. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)



Fig. 4. Comparison of fragmentation spectra of the +33 charge state between a) OXA-48 (*E. aerogenes* AR Bank #0074), b) OXA-181 (*K. ozaenae* AR Bank #0051) and c) OXA-232 (*K. pneumoniae* AR Bank #0066), with selected fragment ions highlighted in blue.

ions will experience greater accelerations and, consequently, higherenergy collisions (*i.e.*, an ion with a +2 charge will feel twice the acceleration from a negative potential than an ion with a +1 charge).

Fig. 2 illustrates the fragmentation spectra of five separate charge states of OXA-48, ranging from +32 to +36, all with CE set to 20 eV, within an m/z range of 600–900. A selection of five sequenceinformative fragment ions, detailed in Table 2, are highlighted in blue and annotated in the top panel (Fig. 2a). An illustration of the residue positions of backbone peptide cleavages produced from these fragment ions are presented in Fig. 3. Although similar fragments are observed following dissociation of each of the selected charge states, the most extensive production of informative fragment ions, based on a ProSight Lite search, was observed for the +33 charge state, followed by the +32 and +34 charge states. It is worth noting that most fragments correspond to cleavages approximately 20–25 residues from either terminus.

3.3. Fragmentation comparisons of OXA-48, OXA-181, and OXA-232

Following comparisons between the dissociation of different charge states of OXA-48, the +33 and +34 charge states corresponding to m/z 852.2 and 827.5 were selected for subsequent MS/MS experiments for the OXA-48-like variants. Using similar dissociation conditions associated with the experiments in Fig. 2, the +33 and +34 precursor ions of OXA-48, OXA-181, and OXA-232 were fragmented for evaluation. Comparisons of those fragmentation spectra are presented in Fig. 4. The same selection of sequence-informative fragment ions produced from OXA-48 are also present for OXA-181 and OXA-232, and are highlighted in blue. These ions are identical between the three OXA-48-like proteins as a result of the sequence homology they share.

Bacterial cell lysates consist of many different proteins at varying concentration levels, making individual evaluations of targeted proteins challenging without the introduction of a separation step prior to mass analysis. In the absence of separation, the individual components subjected to ESI are competing for a finite amount of charge, such that only



Fig. 5. Mass spectra of CTX-M-15, with a) the charge state distribution of the intact protein, with inset showing the deconvoluted MW of all proteins present in the spectrum from 10,000 to 40,000 Da, and b) the fragmentation spectrum of the +33 charge state, with selected fragment ions highlighted in red. It should be noted that the intensity scale for b) has been set to 0.5 from 1.0, wherein the y_{63}^{7+} and y_{63}^{+6} ion intensities correspond to 1.0 (100%) and 0.92 (92%), respectively. Data from K. ozaenae AR Bank #0051. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Table 3

Mass accuracy (in ppm) of selected CTX-M-15 fragments from Fig. 5 relative to theoretical *m/z*. Calculations are based on the theoretically most abundant isotope for each fragment.

Precursor m/z , z	fragment:	y ⁺⁶	b ₈₁ ⁺¹⁰	y ⁺¹¹	y ₆₃	y ₆₃
	<i>m/z</i> :	773.6040	893.1694	1077.2110	958.2281	1117.7650
852.20, +33	ppm:	-2.59	-2.91	-3.71	-3.34	-3.85

those components with the most favorable characteristics (*e.g.*, concentration, gas phase basicity, droplet surface activity) will be ionized. Liquid chromatography is inherently compatible with ESI, effectively reducing the number of multiple proteins co-eluting and competing for charge at any given time. Under appropriate conditions, the use of chromatography can often offer the possibility of separating proteins well enough from each other to be able to identify individual charge state distributions. As demonstrated above, this inherent complexity requires high-resolution and accurate mass analysis for both detection of the general OXA-48-like family, as well as the individual protein variants.

Intact protein MWs may be suggestive, but are typically not confirmatory, for protein identity. Likewise, fragment ions on their own may be suggestive, but only in connection to the specific precursor ions. Successful determination of protein identity often involves combining the intact protein MW information of MS with the sequence and/or structural information gained from fragments generated via MS/MS. As an example, OXA-163 and OXA-405 share 98% sequence homology to OXA-48, yet they have significantly reduced carbapenemase activity with increased cephalosporinase activity. This sequence homology would lead to the production of identical fragment ions to OXA-48; however, the precursor m/z for OXA-163 and OXA-405 at the +33 charge state would be 839.8 and 838.8, respectively; both well-removed from the 853.8 apex of OXA-48 for the same charge state.

3.4. Detection and fragmentation of CTX-M-15

One important example when considering potential interferences in the analysis of OXA-48-like carbapenemases is that of the extended spectrum β -lactamase CTX-M-15 (Uniprot.org accession Q2PUH3, accessed August 14, 2020). This ESBL is endemic in many Gramnegative pathogens, with one of the most prominent being *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. Although it is found in isolates without any carbapenemase genes, it is frequently observed co-expressed with OXA-48-like proteins.



Fig. 6. Isotopic distribution and overlap of the +33 charge states of OXA-48like variants with CTX-M-15, from separate bacterial isolates. Data from *K. ozaenae* AR Bank #0051 (OXA-181 and CTX-M-15), *K. pneumoniae* AR Bank #0066 (OXA-232), and *E. aerogenes* AR Bank #0074 (OXA-48).

Detection of CTX-M-15 was performed here in a similar manner to OXA-48-like proteins, based on intact protein mass spectra with CSDs corresponding to a protein with a MW of approximately 28 kDa. The theoretical MW of CTX-M-15 is 28090.5440 Da, which differs from OXA-48 by approximately 39 Da, OXA-181 by 7 Da, and OXA-232 by 76 Da. The intact protein mass spectrum for CTX-M-15 is displayed in Fig. 5a, with the corresponding MW of proteins detected within a range of 10,000-40,000 Da illustrated in the inset.

For the MS/MS of CTX-M-15, the +33 and +34 charge states are used for dissociation, as was done for the OXA-48-like suite of variants discussed above. At these charge states, the m/z difference between the proteins is approximately 0.2–3.5, which consequently allows a single precursor window to be used for all 4 proteins. Therefore, similar precursor charge states used for OXA-48-like (z = +33 and z = +34, m/zwindows centered on 852.20 and 827.50, respectively) were used to dissociate similar charge states of CTX-M-15. Fig. 5b illustrates the fragmentation spectrum of CTX-M-15 from the +33 charge precursor ion, which is further illustrated in the supplemental data section in Fig. S3. Selected sequence-informative CTX-M-15-specific fragments are highlighted in red, with measurement accuracies presented in Table 3.

3.5. Distinguishing between OXA-48-like from CTX-M-15 intact proteins

The three OXA-48-like proteins and CTX-M-15 have similar MWs that span an m/z range of 3.5 for the +33 charge state. Fig. 6a illustrates the +33 charge states of OXA-48, OXA-181, OXA-232 and CTX-M-15 within the m/z range of 850–854.5, using separate spectra overlaid on a single plot. OXA-181 and CTX-M-15 show the smallest difference of the four proteins, with a MW difference of approximately 7 Da, corresponding to an m/z of 0.22 for the +33 charge state. Fig. 6b illustrates a closer view of the isotopic overlap for the +33 charge states of the two proteins within m/z 852.2–853.2.

3.5.1. Distinguishing OXA-48-like from CTX-M-15 using chromatography

Reducing the complexity of a protein mixture to view only a small portion of an overall sample will increase detection efficiency as well as provide the opportunity to search for multiple targets. Whereas OXA-181 and CTX-M-15 have an increasingly high percentage of isotopic



Fig. 7. Detection of both OXA-181 and CTX-M-15 using LC-MS/MS. a) Extracted ion chromatogram (EIC) of OXA-181, with b) MS/MS spectrum of OXA-181; c) EIC of CTX-M-15 with d) MS/MS spectrum. Selected OXA-181 fragments are highlighted in blue, while CTX-M-15 fragments are highlighted in red. Data from *K. ozaenae* AR Bank #0051.



Fig. 8. Fragmentation spectrum of both OXA-181 and CTX-M-15 following co-isolation and co-fragmentation. Fragments corresponding to OXA-181 are highlighted in blue, while those corresponding to CTX-M-15 are highlighted in red. Isotopic distributions of individual selected fragments are shown in the lower panel. Data from *K. pneumoniae* AR Bank #0140. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

overlap as charge state increases, Fig. 7 clearly demonstrates separation of both proteins in less than 5 min, as shown with the EIC of each protein. In these data, the retention times of CTX-M-15 and OXA-181 were 2.7 and 3.3 min, respectively. The fragment ions used in Table 2 and Table 3 are highlighted in blue for OXA-181 fragments, and red for CTX-M-15 fragments. Additionally, the combination of LC-MS/MS significantly improves the detection capability for OXA-181. Although the MS of intact CTX-M-15 is detected only at a low level, intact OXA-181 is not detected (Fig. S4). However, fragment ions from both proteins are detected with sufficient signal-to-noise using MS/MS. This phenomenon is commonly observed in complex protein samples, wherein the m/zspace may be too crowded for sensitive measurements to be employed, yet the simplification through the use of mass-selective filtering, dissociation, and detection of fragment ions, as performed through MS/MS, allows much more sensitive measurements to be made.

3.5.2. Distinguishing co-fragmented OXA-48-like from CTX-M-15 using MS/MS

The use of MS/MS to clearly determine protein identity based on both precursor and fragment ions can be applied directly to distinguish OXA-48-like and CTX-M-15 under conditions in which chromatography is unable to separate the two proteins. Fig. 8 shows the MS/MS spectrum of both proteins being co-isolated and co-fragmented. Sequence-informative fragments corresponding to OXA-181 are highlighted in blue, while the sequence-informative fragments corresponding to CTX-M-15 are highlighted in red. A more-detailed view of the three diagnostic fragments from each protein is presented in the lower portion of Fig. 8, (for additional examples see Fig. S5). In combination with restricting the range of intact protein masses with the precursor m/z window, knowing the specific fragmentation behavior of the individual targets can make possible the detection of both proteins using sequence-specific fragment ions as markers. 3.6. Further evaluations for OXA-48-like and CTX-M-15 proteins from bacterial isolates

Determining the presence or absence of these proteins is based on both MS and MS/MS data. Although intact protein MS data may be suggestive of protein identity, only MS/MS data will be confirmatory; consequently, more significance is given to MS/MS data. Criteria for determination of the presence of a protein from this approach may vary depending on the stringency or leniency and associated error tolerance. Those criteria set herein define diagnostic fragment ions necessary for identification as having 1) the correct precursor m/z for the intact protein ion: 2) m/z accuracies within ± 10 ppm of the theoretical values: 3) correct charge state, as determined by isotopic m/z spacing; 4) signal-tonoise ratio greater than three (S/N > 3); 5) at least 2 contiguous isotopes; and 6) similar retention time (RT) from a chromatographic elution, with data corresponding to either a single scan or a sum of up to 3 scans. A minimum of three diagnostic ions (i.e., those fragments that meet all criteria listed above) should be observed, as illustrated in Fig. 8. Additionally, by alternating between MS and MS/MS, detection of the intact protein is achieved in tandem with production of fragment ions; however, under some conditions of high protein ion flux, the intact protein might not always be observed. Based on these criteria, Table 4 presents results from analysis of multiple bacterial isolates for the detection of OXA-48-like and CTX-M-15 proteins.

4. Conclusion

Mass spectrometry has been shown to be an invaluable tool for the detection and identification of proteins, especially of those conferring antibiotic resistance. Clinical laboratories could immediately benefit from the ability to detect carbapenemases such as OXA-48-like and ESBLs (such as CTX-M-15) through the detection of any combination of

Table 4

Isolates evaluated for presence of OXA-48-like and CTX-M-15 proteins
--

ATCC 11775 Escherichia coli — none none ATCC Klebsiella — none none ATCC Klebsiella — none none POT21 pneumoniae … none none PDH5α Escherichia coli — none none none AR Bank Klebsiella OXA-181, CTX- Detected Detected #0039 pneumoniae M-15 none Detected #0042 pneumoniae M-15 none none AR Bank Klebsiella — none none #0043 pneumoniae — none none #044 pneumoniae M-15 none none AR Bank Klebsiella — none none #0047 pneumoniae M-15 Nas Nas AR Bank Enterobacter — none none #0060 cloacae M-15 Nas Nas #0072 cloacae M-15 Nas none	Identifier	Species	Expected	OXA-48- like	CTX-M- 15
ATCC 13883 Klebsiella — none none preumoniae	ATCC 11775	Escherichia coli		none	none
PreumoniaenonenoneATCCKlebsiella—nonenone700721pneumoniae—nonenoneDH5xEscherichia coli—nonenoneAR BankKlebsiellaOXA-181, CTX-DetectedDetected#0039pneumoniaeM-15noneDetected#0042pneumoniaeM-15nonenoneAR BankKlebsiellaCTX-M-15nonenone#0043pneumoniae—nonenone#0044pneumoniae—nonenone#0047pneumoniae—nonenone#0044pneumoniae—nonenone#0045pneumoniae—nonenone#0044pneumoniae—nonenoneAR BankKlebsiella ozaenaeOXA-181, CTX-DetectedDetected#0051—M-15nonenonenone#0065clacae—nonenonenone#0066pneumoniaeM-15Nonenonenone#0066pneumoniaeM-15nonenonemone#0066pneumoniaeM-15nonenonemone#0072clacaeM-15nonenonemone#0073clacaeM-15nonenonemone#0074aerogenesM-15nonenonemone#0075pneumoniaeM-15M-15nonemetered </td <td>ATCC 13883</td> <td>Klebsiella</td> <td></td> <td>none</td> <td>none</td>	ATCC 13883	Klebsiella		none	none
ATCCKlebsiella—nonenone700721pneumoniae—nonenone700721pneumoniae—nonenoneAR BankKlebsiellaOXA-181, CTX-DetectedDetected#0039pneumoniaeM-15noneDetected#0042pneumoniaeM-15nonenoneAR BankKlebsiellaCTX-M-15nonenone#0043pneumoniaeARnonenone#0044pneumoniaeARnonenone#0045pneumoniaeARARKlebsiellaCTX-M-15noneAR BankKlebsiellaCTX-M-15nonenone#0047pneumoniaeM-15ARDetected#0050M-15M-15nonenone#0060clacaeM-15ARBankEnterobacterAR BankEnterobacter—nonenone#0065clacaeM-15ARBankKlebsiellaAR BankEnterobacter—nonenone#0066pneumoniaeM-15ARBankEnterobacterAR BankEnterobacter—nonenone#0073clacaeM-15ARBankEnterobacterAR BankEnterobacterOXA-232, CTX-DetectedDetected#0074aerogenesM-15ARBankKlebsiellaAR BankKlebsiellaOXA-232, CTX-DetectedDetected <t< td=""><td></td><td>pneumoniae</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		pneumoniae			
700721pneumoniaenonenoneDH5aEscherichia coli—nonenoneAR BankKlebsiellaOXA-181, CTX-DetectedDetected $\#0039$ pneumoniaeM-15noneDetected $\#0042$ pneumoniaeM-15nonenoneAR BankKlebsiellaCTX-M-15nonenone $\#0043$ pneumoniaemonenonenone $\#0044$ pneumoniaemonenonenone $\#0047$ pneumoniaeM-15nonenoneAR BankKlebsiella ozaenaeOXA-181, CTX-DetectedDetected $\#0047$ pneumoniaeM-15nonenoneAR BankEnterobacter—nonenone $\#0060$ cloacaeM-15nonenone $\#0060$ cloacaeM-15nonenone $\#0066$ pneumoniaeM-15Nonenone $\#0066$ pneumoniaeM-15nonenone $\#0072$ cloacaeM-15nonenone $\#0073$ cloacaeM-15nonenone $\#0074$ aerogenesM-15nonenone $\#0077$ meumoniaeM-15nonenone $\#0077$ meumoniaeM-15nonenone $\#0077$ meumoniaeM-15nonenone $\#0077$ meumoniaeM-15nonenone $\#0077$ meumoniaeM-15nonenone $\#0077$ meum	ATCC	Klebsiella		none	none
DH50productnonenonenoneAR BankKlebsiellaOXA-181, CTX-DetectedDetected#0039pneumoniaeM-15ARAR BankKlebsiellaCTX-M-15noneDetected#0042pneumoniaeARBankKlebsiellaCTX-M-15nonenone#0043pneumoniaeARBankKlebsiellaCTX-M-15noneDetected#0044pneumoniaeARBankKlebsiellaCTX-M-15nonenone#0044pneumoniaeARBankKlebsiellaCTX-M-15nonenone#0047pneumoniaeM-15ARBankKlebsiella ozaenaeOXA-181, CTX-Detected#0065cloacaeM-15ARBankEnterobacternonenone#0066cloacaeOXA-232, CTX-DetectedDetected#0065cloacaeM-15ARBankEnterobacternonenone#0066pneumoniaeM-15Nonenonenone#0072cloacaeAR BankEnterobacterOXA-232, CTX-DetectedDetected#0073cloacaeAR BankEnterobacterOXA-48Detectednone#0074aerogenesAR BankKlebsiellaOXA-232, CTX-DetectedDetected#0074#0077aerogenesARAREscherichia colinonenone#0077ARBankKlebsiellaOXA-232, CTX-Detected	700721	pneumoniae			
AR Bank Klebsiella OXA-181, CTX- Detected Detected #0039 pneumoniae M-15 none Detected AR Bank Klebsiella CTX-M-15 none Detected #0043 pneumoniae none none #0043 pneumoniae none none #0044 pneumoniae none none #0047 pneumoniae none none mone #0047 pneumoniae None Detected mone #0051 M-15 None none none mone mone #0060 cloacae None none mone #0065 cloacae None none mone #0066 pneumoniae M-15 Nank Enterobacter none none mone #0072 cloacae Nank Enterobacter </td <td>DH5a</td> <td>Escherichia coli</td> <td></td> <td>none</td> <td>none</td>	DH5a	Escherichia coli		none	none
#0039pneumoniaeM-15FormalFormal40042pneumoniaeM-15noneDetected#0042pneumoniaeAR BankKlebsiellaCTX-M-15nonenone#0043pneumoniaeAR BankKlebsiellaCTX-M-15noneDetected#0044pneumoniaeAR BankKlebsiellanonenone#0047pneumoniae </td <td>AR Bank</td> <td>Klebsiella</td> <td>OXA-181, CTX-</td> <td>Detected</td> <td>Detected</td>	AR Bank	Klebsiella	OXA-181, CTX-	Detected	Detected
AR BankKlebsiellaCTX-M-15noneDetected#0042pneumoniae	#0039	pneumoniae	M-15		
#0042 preumoniae Final Control Final Control Final Control AR Bank Klebsiella CTX-M-15 none none #0043 preumoniae none none preuted AR Bank Klebsiella CTX-M-15 none Detected #0044 preumoniae none none none #0047 preumoniae M none none #0047 preumoniae M None none #0050 Marcian M-15 None none #0060 cloacae M-15 None none none #0065 cloacae M-15 None none none #0066 preumoniae M-15 None none none #0066 preumoniae M-15 None none none #0066 preumoniae M-15 None none none #0073 cloacae M-15 None none none #0073 cloacae M-15 None none <td< td=""><td>AR Bank</td><td>Klebsiella</td><td>CTX-M-15</td><td>none</td><td>Detected</td></td<>	AR Bank	Klebsiella	CTX-M-15	none	Detected
AR BankKlebsiella—nonenone#0043pneumoniaeAR BankKlebsiellaCTX-M-15noneDetected#0044pneumoniae—nonenonemone#047pneumoniae—nonenonemone#0047pneumoniaeM-15DetectedDetected#0051M-15Nonenonemone#0060cloacaeM-15Nonenone#0060cloacae—nonenone#0065cloacae—nonenone#0066pneumoniaeM-15ARDetected#0065cloacae—nonenone#0066pneumoniaeM-15ARDetected#0066pneumoniaeM-15—none#0066pneumoniaeM-15—none#0066cloacae—nonenone#0072cloacae—nonenone#0073cloacae—nonenone#0074aerogenes—nonenone#0075pneumoniaeM-15MNAR BankKlebsiellaOXA-232, CTX-DetectedDetected#0075pneumoniaeM-15MNAR BankKlebsiellaOXA-232, CTX-DetectedDetected#0075pneumoniaeM-15MNNAR BankKlebsiellaOXA-181, CTX-DetectedDetected#0087	#0042	pneumoniae			
#0043preumoniaeAR BankKlebsiellaCTX-M-15noneDetected#0044pneumoniaenonenone#0047pneumoniaenonenone#0047pneumoniaeMA-181, CTX-DetectedDetected#0051M-15Nonenonenone#0060cloacaenonenone#0065cloacaenonenone#0065cloacaenonenone#0066pneumoniaeM-15nonenone#0066pneumoniaeM-15nonenone#0066pneumoniaeM-15nonenone#0072cloacaenonenonenone#0073cloacaenonenonenone#0074aerogenesnonenonenone#0075pneumoniaeM-15nonenone#0074aerogenesnonenonenone#0075pneumoniaeM-15nonenone#0076pneumoniaeM-15nonenone#0087pneumoniaeM-15nonenone#0089meumoniaeM-15nonenone#0089meumoniaeM-15nonenone#0140pneumoniaeM-15nonenone#0141pneumoniaeM-15	AR Bank	Klebsiella		none	none
AR Bank #0044Klebsiella pneumoniaeCTX-M-15 nonenoneDetected#0044pneumoniaenonenone#0047pneumoniaenonenone#0051M-15nonenone#0051M-15nonenone#0051M-15nonenone#0060claacaenonenone#0060claacaenonenone#0065claacaenonenone#0066pneumoniaeM-15noneAR BankEnterobacternonenone#0072claacaenonenone#0073claacaenonenone#0073claacaenonenone#0074aerogenesnonenone#0075pneumoniaeM-15none#0076meumoniaeM-15none#0077aerogenesnonenone#0077nonenone#0087pneumoniaeM-15AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-181, CTX-Detected#0087pneumoniaeM-15AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-181, CTX-Detected#0087pneumoniaeM-15AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-181, CTX-Detected#0140pneumoniaeM-15<	#0043	pneumoniae			
#0044pneumoniaeFirst first	AR Bank	Klebsiella	CTX-M-15	none	Detected
AR Bank #0047Klebsiella pneumoniaenonenone4R Bank #0051Klebsiella ozaenae M-15OXA-181, CTX- DetectedDetected#0051M-15nonenone#0060cloacaenonenone#0060cloacaenonenone#0065cloacaenonenone#0065cloacaenonenone#0065cloacaenonenone#0065cloacaenonenone#0066pneumoniaeM-15none#0072cloacaenonenone#0073cloacaenonenone#0073cloacaenonenone#0074aerogenesnonenone#0075pneumoniaeM-15none#0076pneumoniaeM-15none#0077nonenonenone#0077nonenonenone#0087pneumoniaeM-15none#0087pneumoniaeM-15none#0089nonenonenone#0140pneumoniaeM-15Detected#0141pneumoniaeM-15AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-181, CTX-DetectedDetected#0141pneumoniaeM-15AR Bank<	#0044	pneumoniae			
#0047International meanmoniaeInternational Mean <td>AR Bank</td> <td>Klebsiella</td> <td></td> <td>none</td> <td>none</td>	AR Bank	Klebsiella		none	none
AR Bank #0051Klebsiella ozaenae M-15OXA-181, CTX- DetectedDetectedDetected#0051M-15nonenonenone#0060cloacaenonenone#0060cloacaenonenone#0065cloacaenonenone#0065cloacaenonenone#0066pneumoniaeM-15Detected#0066pneumoniaeM-15none#0072cloacaenonenone#0073cloacaenonenone#0074aerogenesnonenone#0075pneumoniaeM-15NoneAR BankEnterobacterOXA-48DetectedDetected#0075pneumoniaeM-15none#0075pneumoniaeM-15none#0087pneumoniaeM-15none#0087pneumoniaeM-15none#0089nonenone#0etected#0140pneumoniaeM-15Detected#0141pneumoniaeM-15Detected#0142pneumoniaeM-15Detected#0142pneumoniaeM-15NoneAR BankKlebsiellaOXA-181, CTX-DetectedDetected#0142pneumoniaeM-15NoneAR B	#0047	pneumoniae			
#0051M-15Interview Interview#0051M-15nonenone#0060cloacaenonenone#0065cloacaenonenone#0065cloacaenonenone#0066pneumoniaeM-15DetectedAR BankKlebsiellaOXA-232, CTX-Detected#0066pneumoniaeM-15Nonenone#0072cloacaenonenone#0073cloacaenonenone#0074aerogenesAR BankEnterobacternone#0074aerogenesAR BankKlebsiellaOXA-232, CTX-DetectedAR BankEnterobacterOXA-48DetectedDetected#0074aerogenesM-15nonenone#0075pneumoniaeM-15nonenone#0087pneumoniaeM-15nonenone#0087pneumoniaeM-15Nonenone#0089InterviniaeM-15AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-181, CTX-AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-181, CTX-DetectedDetected#0140pneumoniaeM-15AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-181, CTX-AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-181, CTX-DetectedDetected#0141pneumoniaeM-15AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-181, CTX-Detected#0142pneumoniaeM-15AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-48Detectednone#0142pneumoniae<	AR Bank	Klebsiella ozaenae	OXA-181, CTX-	Detected	Detected
AR BankEnterobacternonenone#0060cloacaeAR BankEnterobacternonenone#0065cloacaeAR BankEnterobacternonenone#0066pneumoniaeM-15AR BankEnterobacterAR BankEnterobacternonenonemone#0072cloacaenonenone#0073cloacaenonenone#0074aerogenesnonenone#0075pneumoniaeM-15Nonenone#0075pneumoniaeM-15nonenone#0075pneumoniaeM-15nonenone#0087pneumoniaeM-15nonenone#0087pneumoniaeM-15nonenone#0089nonenone#00e#0140pneumoniaeM-15AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-181, CTX-DetectedDetected#0140pneumoniaeM-15nonemone#0141pneumoniaeM-15AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-181, CTX-Detected#0141pneumoniaeM-15none#0141pneumoniaeM-15AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-181, CTX-DetectedDetected#0142pneumoniaeM-15AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-	#0051		M-15		
#0060cloacaeAR BankEnterobacternonenone#0065cloacaeOXA-232, CTX-DetectedDetected#0066pneumoniaeM-15ARBankKlebsiellaOXA-232, CTX-DetectedAR BankEnterobacternonenonenone#0072cloacaenonenone#0073cloacaenonenone#0074aerogenesnonenone#0075pneumoniaeM-15Detected#0076pneumoniaeM-15none#0077pneumoniaeM-15none#0077nonenonenone#0077nonenonemone#0087pneumoniaeM-15none#0087pneumoniaeM-15nonenone#0089nonenonemone#0140#0140pneumoniaeM-15DetectedDetected#0141pneumoniaeM-15NoneDetected#0142pneumoniaeM-15AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-181, CTX-Detected#0142pneumoniaeM-15NoneDetected#0142#0142pneumoniaeM-15AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-48Detectednone#0160pneumoniaeM-15NoneDetected#0160 </td <td>AR Bank</td> <td>Enterobacter</td> <td></td> <td>none</td> <td>none</td>	AR Bank	Enterobacter		none	none
AR BankEnterobacternonenone#0065cloacaeAR BankKlebsiellaOXA-232, CTX-DetectedDetected#0066pneumoniaeM-15NonenoneAR BankEnterobacternonenone#0072cloacaenonenone#0073cloacaenonenone#0073cloacaenonenone#0073cloacaenonenone#0074aerogenesDetectedDetected#0075pneumoniaeM-15Nonenone#0076pneumoniaeM-15nonenone#0077nonenonenone#007AR BankEscherichia colinonenone#00e#0087pneumoniaenonenone#00e#0089nonenone#0140pneumoniaeM-15AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-181, CTX-DetectedDetected#0140pneumoniaeM-15InoneInone#0141pneumoniaeM-15InoneInoe#0142pneumoniaeM-15InoneInoe#0142pneumoniaeM-15InoneInoe#0160pneumoniaeM-15InoneInoe#0163cloacaeInoneInoeInoe#0163<	#0060	cloacae			
#0065cloacaeAR BankKlebsiellaOXA-232, CTX-Detected#0066pneumoniaeM-15noneAR BankEnterobacter—none#0072cloacae-noneAR BankEnterobacter—none#0073cloacae-AR BankEnterobacterOXA-48Detected#0074aerogenes-AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-232, CTX-Detected#0075pneumoniaeM-15-AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-232, CTX-Detected#0075pneumoniaeM-15-AR BankKlebsiellanone#0077nonenone#0087pneumoniaenone#0089nonenone#0089nonenone#0140pneumoniaeM-15AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-181, CTX-Detected#0141pneumoniaeM-15AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-181, CTX-Detected#0141pneumoniaeM-15AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-181, CTX-Detected#0142pneumoniaeM-15AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-48Detected#0142pneumoniaeM-15AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-48Detected#0160pneumoniaeM-15AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-181,	AR Bank	Enterobacter		none	none
AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-232, CTX- DetectedDetected#0066pneumoniaeM-15AR BankEnterobacternonenone#0072cloacaeAR BankEnterobacternonenone#0073cloacaeAR BankEnterobacterOXA-48Detectednone#0074aerogenesAR BankKlebsiellaOXA-232, CTX- DetectedDetected#0075pneumoniaeM-15AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-232, CTX- DetectedDetected#0075pneumoniaeM-15AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-232, CTX- DetectedDetected#0075pneumoniaeM-15AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-181, CTX- DetectedDetected#0087pneumoniaeM-15AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-181, CTX- DetectedDetected#0140pneumoniaeM-15AR BankKlebsiellaAR BankKlebsiellaOXA-181, CTX- DetectedDetected#0141pneumoniaeM-15AR BankKlebsiellaAR BankKlebsiellaOXA-181, CTX- DetectedDetected#0142pneumoniaeM-15AR BankKlebsiellaAR BankKlebsiellaOXA-48Detectednone#0160pneumoniaeM-15AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-48AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-48Detectednone#0163cloacae <td< td=""><td>#0065</td><td>cloacae</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	#0065	cloacae			
#0066pneumoniaeM-15AR BankEnterobacternonenone#0072cloacaenonenone#0073cloacaenonenone#0074aerogenesnonenone#0074aerogenesnonenone#0075pneumoniaeM-15noneAR BankKlebsiellaOXA-232, CTX-DetectedDetected#0075pneumoniaeM-15none#0075pneumoniaeM-15nonenone#0087pneumoniaenonenone#0087pneumoniaenonenone#0089nonenone#0thereforeAR BankKlebsiellaOXA-181, CTX-DetectedDetected#0140pneumoniaeM-15DetectedDetected#0141pneumoniaeM-15DetectedDetected#0142pneumoniaeM-15DetectedDetected#0142pneumoniaeM-15AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-181, CTX-DetectedDetected#0142pneumoniaeM-15AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-48Detectednone#0160pneumoniaeM-15AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-48Detectednone#0163cloacae	AR Bank	Klebsiella	OXA-232, CTX-	Detected	Detected
AR Bank Enterobacter — none none #0072 cloacae	#0066	pneumoniae	M-15		
#0072cloacaeAR BankEnterobacternonenone#0073cloacaenonenone#0073cloacaeNonenone#0073cloacaeNonenone#0074aerogenesAR BankKlebsiellaOXA-232, CTX-DetectedAR BankKlebsiellaOXA-232, CTX-DetectedDetected#0075pneumoniaeM-15nonenone#0077nonenonenone#0087pneumoniaenonenone#0087pneumoniaenonenone#0089nonenonenone#0140pneumoniaeM-15Detected#0141pneumoniaeM-15Detected#0141pneumoniaeM-15Detected#0142pneumoniaeM-15NoneAR BankKlebsiellaOXA-181, CTX-DetectedDetected#0142pneumoniaeM-15NoneNone#0160pneumoniaeM-15NoneAR BankKlebsiellaOXA-48Detected#0163cloacaeNoneDetectedNone	AR Bank	Enterobacter		none	none
AR BankEnterobacternonenone#0073cloacaeAR BankEnterobacterOXA-48Detectednone#0074aerogenesAR BankKlebsiellaOXA-232, CTX-DetectedDetected#0075pneumoniaeM-15ARAREscherichia colinone#0077nonenone#007ARBankKlebsiellanonenone#0087pneumoniaenonenone#0089ARBankEscherichia colinonenone#0089nonenonemone#0089ARBankKlebsiellaOXA-181, CTX-DetectedDetected#0140pneumoniaeM-15ARBankKlebsiellaOXA-181, CTX-DetectedDetected#0141pneumoniaeM-15ARBankKlebsiellaOXA-181, CTX-DetectedDetected#0141pneumoniaeM-15ARBankKlebsiellaOXA-48Detectedmone#0162pneumoniaeM-15ARBankKlebsiellaOXA-48Detectedmone#0163cloacae#0163cloacae#0163cloacae <td>#0072</td> <td>cloacae</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	#0072	cloacae			
#0073 cloacae AR Bank Enterobacter OXA-48 Detected none #0074 aerogenes AR Bank Klebsiella OXA-232, CTX- Detected Detected #0075 pneumoniae M-15 AR Bank Klebsiella OXA-232, CTX- Detected Detected #0075 pneumoniae M-15 none none #007 AR Bank Escherichia coli — none none #007 AR Bank Klebsiella — none none #008 AR Bank Escherichia coli — none none #0087 pneumoniae M-15 AR Detected Detected #0140 pneumoniae M-15 AR Bank Klebsiella OXA-181, CTX- Detected Detected #0141 pneumoniae M-15 AR Bank Klebsiella OXA-181, CTX- Detected #0142 pneumoniae M-15 AR Bank Klebsiella OXA-48 Detected none #0160 pneumoniae <td< td=""><td>AR Bank</td><td>Enterobacter</td><td></td><td>none</td><td>none</td></td<>	AR Bank	Enterobacter		none	none
AR Bank Enterobacter OXA-48 Detected none #0074 aerogenes	#0073	cloacae			
#0074 aerogenes AR Bank Klebsiella OXA-232, CTX- Detected #0075 pneumoniae M-15 AR Bank Escherichia coli — none none #0077 — none none mone #0087 pneumoniae — none none #0087 pneumoniae — none none #0089 — — none none #0140 pneumoniae M-15 AR Bank Klebsiella OXA-181, CTX- Detected Detected #0141 pneumoniae M-15 AR Bank Klebsiella OXA-181, CTX- Detected Detected #0142 pneumoniae M-15 AR Bank Klebsiella OXA-48 Detected none #0162 pneumoniae	AR Bank	Enterobacter	OXA-48	Detected	none
AR Bank Klebsiella OXA-232, CTX- Detected Detected #0075 pneumoniae M-15 none none AR Bank Escherichia coli — none none #0077 — none none none #0077 — none none none #0087 pneumoniae — none none #0087 pneumoniae — none none #0087 pneumoniae — none none #0089 — — none none #0140 pneumoniae M-15 AR Bank Klebsiella OXA-181, CTX- Detected Detected #0140 pneumoniae M-15	#0074	aerogenes			
#0075 pneumoniae M-15 AR Bank Escherichia coli — none none #0077 — none none none #0077 — none none none #0077 — none none none #0087 pneumoniae — none none #0089 — — none none #0140 pneumoniae M-15 AR Bank Klebsiella OXA-181, CTX- Detected Detected #0140 pneumoniae M-15	AR Bank	Klebsiella	OXA-232, CTX-	Detected	Detected
AR Bank Escherichia coli — none none #0077 AR Bank Klebsiella — none none #0087 pneumoniae — none none mone #0087 pneumoniae — none none mone #0087 pneumoniae — none none mone #0089 — none none mone mone #0089 — None none mone mone #0140 pneumoniae M-15 M Exected Detected #0140 pneumoniae M-15 M Exected Detected #0141 pneumoniae M-15 M Exected Detected Detected #0142 pneumoniae M-15 M Exected none mone #0142 pneumoniae M-15 M M Exected none #0160 pneumoniae M-15 AR Bank Enterobacter CTX-M-15 none Detected mone	#0075	pneumoniae	M-15		
#0077 AR Bank Klebsiella — none none #0087 pneumoniae — none none #0089 — none none #0089 — none none #0089 — none none #0140 pneumoniae M-15 AR Bank Klebsiella OXA-181, CTX- Detected Detected #0141 pneumoniae M-15 AR Bank Klebsiella OXA-181, CTX- Detected Detected #0141 pneumoniae M-15 AR Bank Klebsiella OXA-181, CTX- Detected Detected #0142 pneumoniae M-15 AR Bank Klebsiella OXA-48 Detected none #0160 pneumoniae M-15 AR Bank Enterobacter CTX-M-15 none Detected #0163 cloacae — — — Detected #0163	AR Bank	Escherichia coli		none	none
AR Bank Klebsiella — none none #0087 pneumoniae	#0077				
#0087 pneumoniae AR Bank Escherichia coli — none none #0089 — none none none #0189 — None none none #0180 — None none none #0180 — None Detected Detected #0140 pneumoniae M-15 AR Bank Klebsiella OXA-181, CTX- Detected Detected #0141 pneumoniae M-15 AR Bank Klebsiella OXA-181, CTX- Detected Detected #0142 pneumoniae M-15 AR Bank Klebsiella OXA-48 Detected none #0160 pneumoniae M-15 AR Bank Enterobacter CTX-M-15 none Detected #0163 cloacae — — — — — —	AR Bank	Klebsiella		none	none
AR Bank Escherichia coli — none none #0089	#0087	pneumoniae			
#0089 AR Bank Klebsiella OXA-181, CTX- Detected #0140 pneumoniae M-15 AR Bank Klebsiella OXA-181, CTX- Detected #0141 pneumoniae M-15 AR Bank Klebsiella OXA-181, CTX- Detected #0141 pneumoniae M-15 AR Bank Klebsiella OXA-181, CTX- Detected #0142 pneumoniae M-15 AR Bank Klebsiella OXA-48 Detected #0160 pneumoniae AR AR Bank Enterobacter CTX-M-15 none #0163 cloacae Detected	AR Bank	Escherichia coli		none	none
AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-181, CTX- DetectedDetected#0140pneumoniaeM-15AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-181, CTX- DetectedDetected#0141pneumoniaeM-15AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-181, CTX- DetectedDetected#0142pneumoniaeM-15AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-48Detected#0160pneumoniaeM-15AR BankEnterobacterCTX-M-15none#0163cloacaeCTX-M-15none	#0089				
#0140pneumoniaeM-15AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-181, CTX-Detected#0141pneumoniaeM-15AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-181, CTX-Detected#0142pneumoniaeM-15AR BankKlebsiellaOXA-48Detected#0160pneumoniaeARAR BankEnterobacterCTX-M-15none#0163cloacaeCTX-M-15none	AR Bank	Klebsiella	OXA-181, CTX-	Detected	Detected
AR Bank Klebsiella OXA-181, CTX- Detected Detected #0141 pneumoniae M-15 Detected Detected #0141 pneumoniae M-15 Detected Detected #0142 pneumoniae M-15 Detected Detected #0142 pneumoniae M-15 MR Detected none #0160 pneumoniae M-15 None Detected none #0160 pneumoniae GTX-M-15 none Detected #0163 cloacae CTX-M-15 none Detected	#0140	pneumoniae	M-15		
#0141 pneumoniae M-15 AR Bank Klebsiella OXA-181, CTX- Detected #0142 pneumoniae M-15 AR Bank Klebsiella OXA-48 Detected #0160 pneumoniae AR Bank Enterobacter CTX-M-15 none #0163 cloacae CTX-M-15 none	AR Bank	Klebsiella	OXA-181, CTX-	Detected	Detected
AR Bank Klebsiella OXA-181, CTX- Detected Detected #0142 pneumoniae M-15 AR Bank Klebsiella OXA-48 Detected none #0160 pneumoniae AR Bank Enterobacter CTX-M-15 none Detected #0163 cloacae	#0141	pneumoniae	M-15		
#0142 pneumoniae M-15 AR Bank Klebsiella OXA-48 Detected none #0160 pneumoniae AR Bank Enterobacter CTX-M-15 none Detected #0163 cloacae	AR Bank	Klebsiella	OXA-181, CTX-	Detected	Detected
AR Bank Klebsiella OXA-48 Detected none #0160 pneumoniae AR Bank Enterobacter CTX-M-15 none Detected #0163 cloacae	#0142	pneumoniae	M-15		
#0160 pneumoniae AR Bank Enterobacter CTX-M-15 none Detected #0163 cloacae	AR Bank	Klebsiella	OXA-48	Detected	none
AR Bank Enterobacter CTX-M-15 none Detected #0163 cloacae	#0160	pneumoniae			
#0163 cloacae	AR Bank	Enterobacter	CTX-M-15	none	Detected
	#0163	cloacae			

these enzymes. This approach allows detection of specific intact proteins directly from cell lysates, with optional cleanup steps, and with the ability for LC-MS/MS analysis time to be less than five minutes.

There are both benefits and limitations to the detection of specific proteins using MS. In the case of OXA-48-like carbapenemases, they are frequently co-expressed with ESBLs, often belonging to SHV, TEM, or CTX-M families. The breadth of diversity among the three groups is beyond the scope of the current detection approach, and consequently CTX-M-15 is used as a representative ESBL. Whereas susceptibility detection offers insight into which antibiotics are likely ineffective against particular bacterial isolates, resistance detection-the act of searching for specific resistance determinants-provides information about the presence or absence of a particular resistance mechanism, but does not provide a comprehensive view of the overall resistance profile for a bacterial isolate. Consequently, there is a trade-off between broad susceptibility testing and focusing on detection of specific resistance mechanisms, in which the former offers broad information but might not be specific enough (e.g., identifying carbapenem resistance without identifying carbapenemase activity, or identifying carbapenemase activity but without identifying the specific mechanism(s)); on the other hand, the latter can provide extremely specific information but might miss relevant resistance(s). Until resistance detection methods can thoroughly detect the full extent of relevant resistance mechanisms present, the information provided through susceptibility testing is likely also needed. Despite these limitations, MS provides a digital platform that allows multiple targets to be analyzed over the course of a chromatographic timeframe, illustrated herein using separate charge states that could otherwise be easily adjusted for detection of multiple proteins.

The method presented allows identification of OXA-48-like and CTX-M-15, two clinically-relevant enzymes whose combined resistance profile can render β -lactam and carbapenem antibiotics ineffective. Consequently, because clinical therapies may differ based on the detection of one enzyme, both, or neither, the information produced from this resistance detection method could be used to provide valuable insight that may help to support critical clinical decisions. We anticipate that similar methods can be developed and adapted to detect additional carbapenemase resistance mechanisms in the future.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Mary Ann Silvius and Dr. Nathan A. Ledeboer for helpful conversations.

Conflicts of Interest

All authors are employees of Thermo Fisher Scientific. Several of the authors are associated with intellectual property development that is relevant to this research.

- WO2018222345 Automated Determination of Mass Spectrometer Collision Energy Ping F. Yip, Helene Cardasis, James L. Stephenson, Jr. International filing date 07.05.2018.
- (2) WO2018067772 System and Method for Real-Time Isotope Identification Scott R. Kronewitter, James L. Stephenson, Jr., Ping F. Yip International Filing Date 05.10.2017.
- (3) US20140051113 Apparatus and Methods for Microbial Identification by Mass Spectrometry James L. Stephenson, Jr., Oksana Gvozdyak, Roger Grist, Clay Campbell, Ian D, Jardine, Iain C. Mylchreest Publication Date 20.02.2014.
- (4) WO2017127733 Rapid Mass Spectrometry Methods for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing Using Top-Down Mass Spectrometry James Stephenson, Jr., Roger Grist, William McGee, Jason Neil, David Sarracino International Filing Date 20.01.2017.
- (5) WO2016145331 Methods for Data-Dependent Mass Spectrometry of Mixed Biomolecular Analytes Ping F. Yip, James L. Stephenson, Jr., Oksana Gvozdyak International Filing Date 11.03.2016.
- (6) WO2016011355 Methods for Mass Spectrometry of Mixtures of Proteins of Polypeptides using Proton Transfer Reaction James L. Stephenson, Jr., John E.P. Syka, August A. Specht International Filing Date 17.07.2015.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsacl.2021.05.001.

References

- A.C. Seale, et al., AMR Surveillance in low and middle-income settings A roadmap for participation in the Global Antimicrobial Surveillance System (GLASS), Wellcome Open Res. 2 (2017) 92.
- [2] J. Ho, P.A. Tambyah, D.L. Paterson, Multiresistant Gram-negative infections: a global perspective, Curr Opin Infect Dis 23 (6) (2010) 546–553.

W.M. McGee et al.

Journal of Mass Spectrometry and Advances in the Clinical Lab 20 (2021) 25-34

- [3] K.M. Papp-Wallace, A. Endimiani, M.A. Taracila, R.A. Bonomo, Carbapenems: past, present, and future, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 55 (11) (2011) 4943–4960.
- [4] L.S. Tzouvelekis, et al., Carbapenemases in Klebsiella pneumoniae and other Enterobacteriaceae: an evolving crisis of global dimensions, Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 25 (4) (2012) 682–707.
- [5] (CDC), C.f.D.C.a.P., Antibiotic Resistance Threats. http://www.cdc.gov/drugr esistance/threat-report-2013/, 2013.
- [6] C.A. Michael, D. Dominey-Howes, M. Labbate, The antimicrobial resistance crisis: causes, consequences, and management, Front. Public Health 2 (2014) 145.
- [7] Ventola, The antibiotic resistance crisis. Pharm. Ther. 40(4): 277–283.
 [8] I. Roca, et al., The global threat of antimicrobial resistance: science for intervention, New Microbes New Infect. 6 (2015) 22–29.
- [9] D. van Duin, Y. Doi, The global epidemiology of carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae, Virulence 8 (4) (2017) 460–469.
- [10] D.M. Arana, et al., Concurrent interspecies and clonal dissemination of OXA-48 carbapenemase, Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 21 (2) (2015) 148.e1–148.e4.
- [11] J.D.D. Pitout, et al., The global ascendency of OXA-48-type carbapenemases, Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 33 (1) (2019).
- [12] A. Potron, al., Intercontinental spread of OXA-48 beta-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae over a 11-year period, 2001 to 2011. Euro. Surveill., 2013. 18.
- [13] A. Potron, et al., A mosaic transposon encoding OXA-48 and CTX-M-15: towards pan-resistance, J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 68 (2) (2013) 476–477.
- [14] P. Nordmann, T. Naas, L. Poirel, Global spread of carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae, Emerg. Infect. Dis. 17 (10) (2011) 1791–1800.
- [15] Y. Hoyos-Mallecot, et al., OXA-244-producing Escherichia coli isolates, a challenge for clinical microbiology laboratories, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 61 (9) (2017), https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00818-17.
- [16] L. Poirel, et al., Emergence of oxacillinase-mediated resistance to imipenem in Klebsiella pneumoniae, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 48 (1) (2004) 15–22.
- [17] L. Poirel, A. Potron, P. Nordmann, OXA-48-like carbapenemases: the phantom menace, J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 67 (7) (2012) 1597–1606.
- [18] L. Escola-Verge, et al., Infections by OXA-48-like-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae non-co-producing extended-spectrum beta-lactamase: Can they be successfully treated with cephalosporins? J Glob. Antimicrob. Resist, 19 (2019) 28–31.
- [19] M. Tomic Paradzik, et al., Hidden carbapenem resistance in OXA-48 and extendedspectrum beta-lactamase-positive Escherichia coli, Microb. Drug Resist. 25 (5) (2019) 696–702.
- [20] V.M. Pierce, et al., Modified carbapenem inactivation method for phenotypic detection of carbapenemase production among enterobacteriaceae, J. Clin. Microbiol. 55 (8) (2017) 2321–2333.
- [21] P. Nordmann, L. Poirel, L. Dortet, Rapid detection of carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae, Emerg. Infect. Dis. 18 (9) (2012) 1503–1700.
- [22] P. Bogaerts, et al., Evaluation of the BYG carba test, a new electrochemical assay for rapid laboratory detection of carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae, J. Clin. Microbiol. 54 (2) (2016) 349–358.
- [23] S. Bernabeu, L. Poirel, P. Nordmann, Spectrophotometry-based detection of carbapenemase producers among Enterobacteriaceae, Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 74 (1) (2012) 88–90.
- [24] G.P. Hooff, et al., Characterization of beta-lactamase enzyme activity in bacterial lysates using MALDI-mass spectrometry, J. Proteome Res. 11 (1) (2012) 79–84.
- [25] Å. Johansson, et al., The detection and verification of carbapenemases using ertapenem and Matrix Assisted Laser Desorption Ionization-Time of Flight, BMC Microbiol. 14 (89) (2014).
- [26] C. Lasserre, et al., Efficient detection of carbapenemase activity in enterobacteriaceae by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry in less than 30 minutes, J. Clin. Microbiol. 53 (7) (2015) 2163–2171.
- [27] K. Sparbier, et al., Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry-based functional assay for rapid detection of resistance against betalactam antibiotics, J. Clin. Microbiol. 50 (3) (2012) 927–937.
- [28] J. Hrabák, et al., Carbapenemase activity detection by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry, J. Clin. Microbiol. 49 (9) (2011) 3222–3227.
- [29] I. Burckhardt, S. Zimmermann, Using matrix-assisted laser desorption ionizationtime of flight mass spectrometry to detect carbapenem resistance within 1 to 2.5 hours, J. Clin. Microbiol. 49 (9) (2011) 3321–3324.
- [30] J. Hrabak, et al., Detection of NDM-1, VIM-1, KPC, OXA-48, and OXA-162 carbapenemases by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry, J. Clin. Microbiol. 50 (7) (2012) 2441–2443.

- [31] C.G. Carvalhaes, et al., Detection of SPM-1-producing Pseudomonas aeruginosa and class D beta-lactamase-producing Acinetobacter baumannii isolates by use of liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry and matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry, J. Clin. Microbiol. 51 (1) (2013) 287–290.
- [32] M. Kempf, et al., Rapid detection of carbapenem resistance in Acinetobacter baumannii using matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry. PLoS One, 2012; 7(2): e31676.
- [33] C. Lange, et al., Quantitative matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry for rapid resistance detection, J. Clin. Microbiol. 52 (12) (2014) 4155–4162.
- [34] E.A. Idelevich, et al., Rapid detection of antibiotic resistance by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry using a novel direct-on-target microdroplet growth assay, Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 24 (7) (2018) 738–743.
- [35] R. Banerjee, R. Humphries, Clinical and laboratory considerations for the rapid detection of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae, Virulence 8 (4) (2017) 427–439.
- [36] H. Boutal, et al., A multiplex lateral flow immunoassay for the rapid identification of NDM-, KPC-, IMP- and VIM-type and OXA-48-like carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae. J. Antimicrob. Chemother., 2018; 73(4): 90.
- [37] S. Rosner, et al., Evaluation of a novel immunochromatographic lateral flow assay for rapid detection of OXA-48, NDM, KPC and VIM carbapenemases in multidrugresistant Enterobacteriaceae, J. Med. Microbiol. 68 (3) (2019) 379–381.
- [38] A. Cointe, et al., Detection of carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae in positive blood culture using an immunochromatographic RESIST-4 O.K.N.V. assay, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 62 (12) (2018).
- [39] C.L. Correa-Martínez, et al., Development of a MALDI-TOF MS-based screening panel for accelerated differential detection of carbapenemases in Enterobacterales using the direct-on-target microdroplet growth assay, Sci. Rep. 10 (1) (2020) 4988.
- [40] M. Smith, et al., Rapid and accurate detection of carbapenemase genes in Enterobacteriaceae with the Cepheid Xpert Carba-R assay. J. Med. Microbiol., 2016; 65(9): 951–953.
- [41] M. Lund, et al., Rapid real-time PCR for the detection of IMP, NDM, VIM, KPC and OXA-48 carbapenemase genes in isolates and spiked stool samples, Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 92 (1) (2018) 8–12.
- [42] A. Bordin, et al., Evaluation of the SpeeDx Carba (beta) multiplex real-time PCR assay for detection of NDM, KPC, OXA-48-like, IMP-4-like and VIM carbapenemase genes, BMC Infect. Dis. 19 (1) (2019) 571.
- [43] M.M. Traczewski, E. Carretto, R. Canton, N.M. Moore, Multicenter evaluation of the Xpert Carba-R assay for detection of carbapenemase genes in GRAM-negative isolates, J. Clin. Microbiol. 56 (8) (2018).
- [44] M. Mentasti, et al., Rapid detection of IMP, NDM, VIM, KPC and OXA-48-like carbapenemases from Enterobacteriales and Gram-negative non-fermenter bacteria by real-time PCR and melt-curve analysis, Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 38 (11) (2019) 2029–2036.
- [45] T. Cecchini, et al., Deciphering multifactorial resistance phenotypes in Acinetobacter baumannii by genomics and targeted label-free proteomics, Mol. Cell. Proteomics 17 (3) (2018) 442–456.
- [46] H. Wang, et al., Peptide markers for rapid detection of KPC carbapenemase by LC-MS/MS, Sci. Rep. 7 (1) (2017) 2531.
- [47] J.R. Strich, et al., Identification of the OXA-48 carbapenemase family by use of tryptic peptides and liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry, J. Clin. Microbiol. 57 (5) (2019).
- [48] D.E. Foudraine, et al., Accurate detection of the four most prevalent carbapenemases in E. coli and K. pneumoniae by high-resolution mass spectrometry, Front. Microbiol. 10 (2019) 2760.
- [49] W.M. McGee, et al., Direct detection of intact Klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemase variants from cell lysates: Identification, characterization and clinical implications, Clin. Mass Spectrom. 17 (2020) 12–21.
- [50] CDC & FDA Antibiotic Resistance Isolate Bank. April 2021, CDC: Atlanta, GA.
- [51] R.T. Fellers, J.B. Greer, B.P. Early, X. Yu, R.D. LeDuc, N.L. Kelleher, et al., ProSight Lite: Graphical software to analyze top-down mass spectrometry data, Proteomics 15 (7) (2015) 1235–1238.
- [52] M.W. Senko, S.C. Beu, F.W. McLaffertycor, Determination of monoisotopic masses and ion populations for large biomolecules from resolved isotopic distributions, J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom. 6 (4) (1995) 229–233.