


Article

# Natural Occurrence of Beauvericin and Enniatins in Corn- and Wheat-Based Samples Harvested in 2017 Collected from Shandong Province, China

Xiaomin Han, Wenjing Xu, Jing Zhang, Jin Xu and Fengqin Li \* 

NHC Key Laboratory of Food Safety Risk Assessment, China National Center for Food Safety Risk Assessment, Beijing 100021, China; hanxiaomin@cfsa.net.cn (X.H.); xuwenjing@cfsa.net.cn (W.X.); zhangjing@cfsa.net.cn (J.Z.); xujin@cfsa.net.cn (J.X.)

\* Correspondence: lifengqin@cfsa.net.cn; Tel./Fax: +86-10-6777-6356

Received: 4 December 2018; Accepted: 21 December 2018; Published: 27 December 2018



**Abstract:** Totals of 158 corn and corn-based samples and 291 wheat and wheat-based samples from Shandong province, China in 2017 were analyzed for five mycotoxins including beauvericin (BEA), enniatin A (ENA), enniatin A<sub>1</sub> (ENA<sub>1</sub>), enniatin B (ENB), and enniatin B<sub>1</sub> (ENB<sub>1</sub>) by high-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC-MS/MS). BEA was the predominant toxin detected, followed by ENB, ENA<sub>1</sub>, ENA, and ENB<sub>1</sub>. Corn and corn-based samples were more easily contaminated by BEA with an average concentration of 65.26 µg/kg, compared with that in wheat and wheat-based samples (average = 0.41 µg/kg). Concentrations of BEA, ENA, and ENB<sub>1</sub> in corn kernels, flours, and flakes were significantly different (Kruskal–Wallis Test,  $p < 0.05$ ), as well as for BEA, ENA, ENB, and ENB<sub>1</sub> in wheat kernels, flours, and noodles (Kruskal–Wallis test,  $p < 0.05$ ). Furthermore, 59.5% (94/158) and 59.8% (174/291) corn- and wheat-based samples were co-contaminated by at least two mycotoxins, respectively. Positive correlations in concentrations were observed in corn between levels of ENA and ENB<sub>1</sub>, ENA and ENB, ENA<sub>1</sub> and ENB<sub>1</sub>, as well as in wheat between BEA and ENA, BEA and ENA<sub>1</sub>, BEA and ENB, BEA and ENB<sub>1</sub>, ENA and ENA<sub>1</sub>, ENA and ENB, ENA and ENB<sub>1</sub>, ENA<sub>1</sub> and ENB, ENA<sub>1</sub> and ENB<sub>1</sub>, and ENB and ENB<sub>1</sub>. These results demonstrate that co-contamination of BEA and enniatins (ENNs) in corn- and wheat-based samples from Shandong, China is very common. More data on the contamination of five mycotoxins in cereal and cereal-based samples nationwide are needed.

**Keywords:** BEA and ENNs; corn and corn-based samples; wheat and wheat-based samples; China; HPLC-MS/MS; China

**Key Contribution:** BEA and ENNs are first reported and the co-contaminations of BEA and ENNs are common in corn- and wheat-based samples from Shandong, China.

## 1. Introduction

Beauvericin (BEA) and enniatins (ENNs) are emerging mycotoxins mainly produced by fungi of the *Fusarium* genus such as *F. oxysporum*, *F. avenaceum*, *F. equiseti*, and *F. poae*. They are structurally related to cyclic hexadepsipeptides, consisting of three alternating hydroxyisovaleryl and N-methylamino acid residues [1,2]. In total, 29 naturally occurring enniatin analogs have been identified so far, but only four enniatins including enniatin A (ENA), A<sub>1</sub> (ENA<sub>1</sub>), B (ENB), and B<sub>1</sub> (ENB<sub>1</sub>) are frequently detected in various foods and feeds [3].

BEA and ENNs have been shown to exhibit a variety of biological properties including antibiotic, anti-insect, antifungal, and cytotoxic activities [4–8]. They are also reported as ionophores, enzyme

inhibitors including inhibiting the enzyme acyl-CoA and cholesterol acyltransferase, and inducers of oxidative stress [8–10]. In addition, a lot of studies on the subject of BEA and ENNs contamination in food are available; however, most of them are focused on cereals from Europe [3,4,11–14]. High frequencies and concentrations of BEA and ENNs in cereals and cereal samples have been reported in recent years. According to Sørensen et al., 100% and 98% of maize harvested in 2006 from Denmark were contaminated with BEA and ENNs, respectively. Levels of mg/kg of BEA and ENA<sub>1</sub> such as 0.51 mg/kg to 11.78 mg/kg for BEA and 33.38 mg/kg to 814.42 mg/kg for ENA<sub>1</sub> were found in cereals from Spain [14]. Similarly, high concentrations of those mycotoxins were also found in breakfast cereals from Morocco [11]. Therefore, due to their diverse toxic activities and natural occurrences in food, BEA and ENNs have been receiving increasing attention. However, there has been no report concerning the natural occurrence of BEA and ENNs in China until now. The aim of this study is to evaluate the natural occurrence and distribution of BEA and ENNs in corn- and wheat-based samples from Shandong province, China.

## 2. Results

### 2.1. Natural Occurrence of BEA and ENNs in Corn- and Wheat-Based Samples

Table 1 summarized the concentrations of five mycotoxins in 158 corn and corn-based samples and 291 wheat and wheat-based samples. For 158 corn and corn-based samples, BEA was the predominant toxin with regard to the frequency and concentration. It was found that 82.3% (130/158) of samples were contaminated by BEA with the levels ranging from 0.04 µg/kg to 1006.56 µg/kg (average = 65.26 µg/kg, median = 3.88 µg/kg), followed by ENA with a positive rate of 55.1% (87/158) with the levels ranging from 0.06 µg/kg to 16.61 µg/kg (average = 0.28 µg/kg, median = 0.06 µg/kg), and ENB<sub>1</sub> with a positive rate of 56.3% (89/158) and the levels ranging from 0.07 µg/kg to 3.33 µg/kg (average = 0.13 µg/kg, median = 0.14 µg/kg). Of all the 158 samples analyzed, one was contaminated with BEA at levels higher than 1000 µg/kg, two between 500 µg/kg and 1000 µg/kg, 20 between 100 µg/kg and 500 µg/kg, and 135 below 100 µg/kg. ENA<sub>1</sub> and ENB were present at much lower frequencies than those detected for BEA, ENA, and ENB<sub>1</sub> in all 158 analyzed samples, with a positive rate of 8.2% (13/158) and the levels ranging from 0.02 µg/kg to 6.29 µg/kg (average = 0.62 µg/kg, median = 0.15 µg/kg) for ENA<sub>1</sub>, and a positive rate of 3.8% (6/158) and the levels ranging from 0.05 µg/kg to 3.21 µg/kg (average = 1.19 µg/kg, median = 0.62 µg/kg) for ENB, respectively. In addition, the concentrations of BEA, ENA, and ENB<sub>1</sub> were significantly different in corn kernels, flours, and flakes (Kruskal–Wallis test,  $p < 0.05$ ); however, no significant difference was found for ENA<sub>1</sub> (Kruskal–Wallis test,  $p = 0.612$ ) or ENB (Kruskal–Wallis test,  $p = 0.850$ ) in corn kernels, flours, and flakes. In terms of 71 corn kernels, the positive rates of five mycotoxins from highest to lowest were BEA > ENA<sub>1</sub> and ENB<sub>1</sub> > ENA > ENB. BEA was detected in 85.9% (61/71) samples with the levels ranging from 0.06 µg/kg to 1006.56 µg/kg (average = 46.96 µg/kg, median = 3.22 µg/kg), which was higher than those found for ENB<sub>1</sub>, ENA<sub>1</sub>, ENA, and ENB in corn kernels. In detail, the positive rate of ENB<sub>1</sub> in 71 corn kernels was 4.2% (3/71) with the levels ranging from 0.10 µg/kg to 0.32 µg/kg (average = 0.21 µg/kg, median = 0.21 µg/kg), the positive rate of ENA<sub>1</sub> was 4.2% (3/71) with the levels ranging from 0.09 µg/kg to 0.16 µg/kg (average = 0.14 µg/kg, median = 0.14 µg/kg), and the positive rate of ENA was 2.8% (2/71) with the levels ranging from 0.09 µg/kg to 0.17 µg/kg (average = 0.13 µg/kg, median = 0.13 µg/kg) in corn kernels. No ENB was found in 71 corn kernels. For 68 corn flour samples, the frequencies and concentrations of five mycotoxins in 68 corn flours were higher than those found in 71 corn kernels. The prevalence of five mycotoxins in 68 corn flour samples studied from highest to lowest was ENB<sub>1</sub> > ENA > BEA > ENA<sub>1</sub> > ENB, but the average concentration of BEA (86.45 µg/kg) was higher than those of the other four mycotoxins ENA, ENA<sub>1</sub>, ENB, and ENB<sub>1</sub>. ENB<sub>1</sub> was present with the highest frequency of 98.5% (67/68) with the levels ranging from 0.08 µg/kg to 3.33 µg/kg, followed by ENA with a positive rate of 97.1% (66/68) and the levels ranging from 0.06 µg/kg to 16.61 µg/kg, and BEA with a positive rate of 95.6% (65/68) and the levels

ranging from 0.04 µg/kg to 860.16 µg/kg. Furthermore, the positive rates of ENA<sub>1</sub> and ENB in 68 corn flour samples were quite low with a positive rate of 14.7% (10/68) for ENA<sub>1</sub> and 4.4% (3/68) for ENB. For 19 corn flakes, no ENA<sub>1</sub> and ENB were detected and only four (21.1%) samples were positive for BEA; however, all 19 samples were positive for ENA and ENB<sub>1</sub>. It was found that the levels of BEA, ENA, and ENB<sub>1</sub> in the 19 corn flakes studied were all very low with a maximum of 0.2 µg/kg for BEA, 0.07 µg/kg for ENA, and 0.13 µg/kg for ENB<sub>1</sub>, respectively.

**Table 1.** Natural occurrence of beauvericin (BEA) and enniatins (ENNs) in corn- and wheat-based samples from Shandong province.

Sample Type	Sample	Mycotoxin	Occurrence % (n)	Range (µg/kg)	Average (µg/kg)	Median (µg/kg)
Corn and Corn-Based Samples	Corn kernel (71)	BEA	85.9 (61)	0.06–1006.56	46.96	3.22
		ENA <sup>a</sup>	2.8 (2)	0.09–0.17	0.13	0.13
		ENA <sub>1</sub> <sup>b</sup>	4.2 (3)	0.09–0.16	0.14	0.14
		ENB <sup>c</sup>	0			
		ENB <sub>1</sub> <sup>d</sup>	4.2 (3)	0.10–0.32	0.21	0.21
	Corn flour (68)	BEA	95.6 (65)	0.04–860.16	86.45	7.32
		ENA	97.1 (66)	0.06–16.61	0.35	0.06
		ENA <sub>1</sub>	14.7 (10)	0.02–6.29	0.76	0.15
		ENB	4.4 (3)	0.86–3.21	2.15	2.37
		ENB <sub>1</sub>	98.5 (67)	0.08–3.33	0.15	0.1
	Corn flake (19)	BEA	21.1 (4)	0.1–0.2	0.17	0.17
		ENA	100 (19)	0.06–0.07	0.06	0.06
		ENA <sub>1</sub>	0			
		ENB	0			
		ENB <sub>1</sub>	100 (19)	0.07–0.13	0.08	0.09
Total (158)	BEA	82.3 (130)	0.04–1006.56	65.26	3.88	
	ENA	55.1 (87)	0.06–16.61	0.28	0.06	
	ENA <sub>1</sub>	8.2 (13)	0.02–6.29	0.62	0.15	
	ENB	3.8 (6)	0.05–3.21	1.19	0.62	
	ENB <sub>1</sub>	56.3 (89)	0.07–3.33	0.13	0.14	
Wheat and Wheat-Based Samples	Wheat kernel (75)	BEA	48.0 (36)	0.08–3.45	0.68	0.45
		ENA	12.0 (9)	0.19–3.30	0.87	0.29
		ENA <sub>1</sub>	12.0 (9)	0.25–20.91	5.33	1.17
		ENB	16.0 (12)	0.38–56.31	12.55	2.17
		ENB <sub>1</sub>	13.3 (10)	0.50–61.77	15.61	3.75
	Wheat flour (67)	BEA	77.6 (52)	0.12–11.1	0.46	0.21
		ENA	52.2 (35)	0.09–0.19	0.11	0.1
		ENA <sub>1</sub>	0			
		ENB	56.7 (38)	0.02–0.59	0.18	0.13
		ENB <sub>1</sub>	49.3 (33)	0.14–1.31	0.34	0.27
	Noodles (149)	BEA	51.0 (76)	0.12–1.22	0.24	0.2
		ENA	43.6 (65)	0.09–0.75	0.14	0.12
		ENA <sub>1</sub>	36.9 (55)	0.10–1.19	0.25	0.16
		ENB	79.9 (119)	0.02–2.41	0.37	0.22
		ENB <sub>1</sub>	77.9 (116)	0.10–2.64	0.44	0.31
Total (291)	BEA	56.4 (164)	0.08–11.1	0.41	0.22	
	ENA	37.5 (109)	0.09–3.30	0.19	0.12	
	ENA <sub>1</sub>	22.0 (64)	0.10–20.91	0.96	0.22	
	ENB	58.1 (169)	0.02–56.31	1.19	0.21	
	ENB <sub>1</sub>	54.6 (159)	0.10–61.77	1.37	0.32	

<sup>a</sup>: ENA = enniatin A; <sup>b</sup>: ENA<sub>1</sub> = enniatin A<sub>1</sub>; <sup>c</sup>: ENB = enniatin B; <sup>d</sup>: ENB<sub>1</sub> = enniatin B<sub>1</sub>.

For 291 wheat and wheat-based samples including 75 wheat kernels, 67 wheat flours, and 149 noodles, the positive rate of five mycotoxins from highest to lowest was ENB > BEA > ENB<sub>1</sub> > ENA > ENA<sub>1</sub>. The detailed positive rate from highest to lowest for 291 wheat and wheat-based samples was 58.1% for ENB with the levels ranging from 0.02 µg/kg to 56.31 µg/kg (average = 1.19 µg/kg,

median = 0.21 µg/kg), 56.4% for BEA with the levels ranging from 0.08 µg/kg to 11.1 µg/kg (average = 0.41 µg/kg, median = 0.22 µg/kg), 54.6% for ENB<sub>1</sub> with the levels ranging from 0.10 µg/kg to 61.77 µg/kg (average = 1.37 µg/kg, median = 0.32 µg/kg), 37.5% for ENA with the levels ranging from 0.09 µg/kg to 3.30 µg/kg (average = 0.19 µg/kg, median = 0.12 µg/kg), and 22.0% for ENA<sub>1</sub> with the levels ranging from 0.10 µg/kg to 20.91 µg/kg (average = 0.96 µg/kg, median = 0.22 µg/kg). It was also found that the contamination of BEA, ENA, ENB, and ENB<sub>1</sub> in wheat and wheat-based samples varied by type (Kruskal–Wallis test,  $p < 0.05$ ), but not for ENA<sub>1</sub> (Kruskal–Wallis test,  $p = 0.122$ ). For the 75 wheat kernels studied, the positive rate of five mycotoxins from highest to lowest was BEA (48.0%) > ENB (16.0%) > ENB<sub>1</sub> (13.3%) > ENA (12.0%) and ENA<sub>1</sub> (12.0%). It should be noted that there were three samples with ENB, three samples with ENB<sub>1</sub>, and one sample with ENA<sub>1</sub> at levels higher than 20 µg/kg, with the maximum of 56.31 µg/kg (average = 12.55 µg/kg, median = 2.17 µg/kg) for ENB, 61.77 µg/kg (average = 15.61 µg/kg, median = 3.75 µg/kg) for ENB<sub>1</sub>, and 20.91 µg/kg (average = 5.33 µg/kg, median = 1.17 µg/kg) for ENA<sub>1</sub>, respectively. Of the 67 wheat flour samples analyzed, no ENA<sub>1</sub> was found in 67 samples, and the maximum levels of the five mycotoxins were all lower than 20 µg/kg. BEA was found in 52 out of 67 samples at levels ranging from 0.12 µg/kg to 11.1 µg/kg (average = 0.46 µg/kg, median = 0.21 µg/kg), followed by ENB with the positive rate of 56.7% and the levels ranging from 0.02 µg/kg to 0.59 µg/kg (average = 0.18 µg/kg, median = 0.13 µg/kg), ENA with the positive rate of 52.2% and the levels ranging from 0.09 µg/kg to 0.19 µg/kg (average = 0.11 µg/kg, median = 0.10 µg/kg), and ENB<sub>1</sub> with the positive rate of 49.3% and the levels ranging from 0.14 µg/kg to 1.31 µg/kg (average = 0.34 µg/kg, median = 0.27 µg/kg). Whereas, the concentrations of the five mycotoxins in 149 noodle samples were all lower than 20 µg/kg with the highest frequency of 79.9% for ENB.

## 2.2. Co-Contamination of BEA and ENNs in Corn- and Wheat-Based Samples

The co-contamination of five mycotoxins in 158 corn and corn-based samples collected from Shandong province is given in Table 2. It was found that only 10 samples (6.33%, 10/158) were not contaminated with any of the five mycotoxins studied and 54 samples (34.18%, 54/158) were only contaminated by one of the mycotoxins studied, respectively. There were 24 samples (15.19%, 24/158), 60 samples (37.97%, 60/158), seven samples (4.43%, 7/158), and three samples (1.90%, 3/158) contaminated with two mycotoxins, three mycotoxins, four mycotoxins, and five mycotoxins, respectively. The natural occurrences of the five mycotoxins differed among different corn samples, which was shown in the following details. For 71 corn kernels, BEA was the most predominant mycotoxin with a frequency of 76.06% (54/71), followed by the combinations of BEA-ENB<sub>1</sub> with a frequency of 4.23% (3/71), BEA-ENA<sub>1</sub>-ENB with a frequency of 2.81% (2/71), and both BEA-ENA-ENA<sub>1</sub> and BEA-ENA-ENB with a frequency of 1.41% (1/71), respectively. In terms of the 68 corn flours, BEA-ENA-ENA<sub>1</sub> was the most predominant combination with a frequency of 76.47% (52/68), followed by the combination of four mycotoxins BEA-ENA-ENA<sub>1</sub>-ENB<sub>1</sub> (10.29%, 7/68), two mycotoxins combination (8.82%, 6/68) including BEA-ENA<sub>1</sub> (2.94%, 2/68), BEA-ENA (1.47%, 1/68) and ENA-ENA<sub>1</sub> (4.41%, 3/68), and five mycotoxins combination (4.41%, 3/68). For 19 corn flakes, there was no co-occurrence of four mycotoxins and five mycotoxins. ENA-ENA<sub>1</sub> and BEA-ENA-ENA<sub>1</sub> combinations were found in 15 (78.95%) and four (21.05%) samples, respectively. Moreover, in corn and corn-based samples, significant correlations were observed between concentrations of ENA and ENB<sub>1</sub> ( $r = 0.863$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ), ENA and ENB ( $r = 0.998$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ), and ENA<sub>1</sub> and ENB<sub>1</sub> ( $r = 0.887$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ).

**Table 2.** Co-occurrence of BEA and ENNs in corn and corn-based samples collected from Shandong ( $n = 158$ ).

Contamination by	Corn Kernel ( $n = 71$ )		Corn Flour ( $n = 68$ )		Corn Flake ( $n = 19$ )		Occurrence Sum % ( $n$ )
	Occurrence % ( $n$ )	Toxin Combination	Occurrence % ( $n$ )	Toxin Combination	Occurrence % ( $n$ )	Toxin Combination	
Two Mycotoxins	4.23 (3)	BEA-ENB <sub>1</sub> (4.23%, 3/71)	8.82 (6)	BEA-ENA <sub>1</sub> (2.94%, 2/68) BEA-ENA (1.47%, 1/68) ENA-ENA <sub>1</sub> (4.41%, 3/68)	78.95 (15)	ENA-ENA <sub>1</sub> (78.95%, 15/19)	15.19 (24)
Three Mycotoxins	5.63 (4)	BEA-ENA-ENA <sub>1</sub> (1.41%, 1/71) BEA-ENA <sub>1</sub> -ENB (2.81%, 2/71) BEA-ENA-ENB (1.41%, 1/71)	76.47 (52)	BEA-ENA-ENA <sub>1</sub> (76.47%, 52/68)	21.05 (4)	BEA-ENA-ENA <sub>1</sub> (21.05%, 4/19)	37.97 (60)
Four Mycotoxins	0		10.29 (7)	BEA-ENA-ENA <sub>1</sub> -ENB (10.29%, 7/68)	0		4.43 (7)
Five Mycotoxins	0		4.41 (3)	BEA-ENA-ENA <sub>1</sub> -ENB-ENB <sub>1</sub> (4.41%, 3/68)	0		1.90 (3)

The co-occurrence of five cyclic depsipeptide mycotoxins in 291 wheat and wheat-based samples is listed in Table 3. In total, 15.46% (45/291), 15.12% (44/291), 16.84% (49/291), and 13.06% (38/291) of the samples analyzed were co-contaminated by two mycotoxins, three mycotoxins, four mycotoxins, and five mycotoxins, respectively. For 75 wheat kernel samples, nearly half (46.67%, 35/75) the samples were negative for five mycotoxins, and 37.33% (28/75) samples were contaminated by only one mycotoxin (26 for BEA, one for ENA, and one for ENB alone), respectively. BEA-ENA-ENA<sub>1</sub>-ENB-ENB<sub>1</sub> was the most frequent mycotoxin combination with a frequency of 8% (6/75), followed by BEA-ENA<sub>1</sub>-ENB-ENB<sub>1</sub> (1.33%, 1/75), ENA-ENA<sub>1</sub>-ENB-ENB<sub>1</sub> (1.33%, 1/75), BEA-ENB-ENB<sub>1</sub> (1.33%, 1/75), ENA<sub>1</sub>-ENB-ENB<sub>1</sub> (1.33%, 1/75), BEA-ENA (1.33%, 1/75) and BEA-ENB (1.33%, 1/75) in 75 wheat kernels. In terms of 67 wheat flour samples, there were 10 mycotoxin combinations, as shown in Table 3. BEA-ENA-ENB-ENB<sub>1</sub> was the most frequent mycotoxin combination type with a frequency of 25.37% (17/67), followed by BEA-ENB-ENB<sub>1</sub> with a frequency of 14.93% (10/67), and BEA-ENA with a frequency of 11.94% (8/133). For 149 noodles samples analyzed, there were 21.48% (32/149), 20.13% (30/149), 18.79% (28/149), and 18.12% (27/149) samples co-contaminated with five, four, two, and three mycotoxins, respectively. BEA-ENA-ENA<sub>1</sub>-ENB-ENB<sub>1</sub> was the most frequent combination with a frequency of 21.48% (32/149) followed by ENB-ENB<sub>1</sub> (17.45%, 26/149), BEA-ENB-ENB<sub>1</sub> (10.07%, 15/149), and BEA-ENA-ENB-ENB<sub>1</sub> (8.05%, 12/149). The significant linear correlations in the concentrations were observed between BEA and ENA ( $r = 0.425$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ), BEA and ENA<sub>1</sub> ( $r = 0.592$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ), BEA and ENB ( $r = 0.278$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ), BEA and ENB<sub>1</sub> ( $r = 0.237$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ), ENA and ENA<sub>1</sub> ( $r = 0.910$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ), ENA and ENB ( $r = 0.563$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ), ENA and ENB<sub>1</sub> ( $r = 0.640$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ), ENA<sub>1</sub> and ENB ( $r = 0.827$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ), ENA<sub>1</sub> and ENB<sub>1</sub> ( $r = 0.856$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ), and ENB and ENB<sub>1</sub> ( $r = 0.916$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ) in wheat and wheat-based samples, respectively.

**Table 3.** Co-occurrence of BEA and ENNs in wheat and wheat-based samples collected from Shandong province ( $n = 291$ ).

Contamination By	Wheat Seed ( $n = 75$ )		Wheat Flour ( $n = 67$ )		Noodles ( $n = 149$ )		Occurrence Sum % ( $n$ )
	Occurrence % ( $n$ )	Toxin Combination	Occurrence % ( $n$ )	Toxin Combination	Occurrence % ( $n$ )	Toxin Combination	
Two Mycotoxins	2.67 (2)	BEA-ENA (1.33%, 1/75) BEA-ENB (1.33%, 1/75)	22.39 (15)	BEA-ENA (11.94%, 8/67) BEA-ENB (2.99%, 2/67) ENA-ENB (1.49%, 1/67) ENA-ENB <sub>1</sub> (2.99%, 2/67) ENB-ENB <sub>1</sub> (2.99%, 2/67)	18.79 (28)	BEA-ENA (1.34%, 2/149) ENB-ENB <sub>1</sub> (17.45%, 26/149)	15.46 (45)
Three Mycotoxins	2.67 (2)	BEA-ENB-ENB <sub>1</sub> (1.33%, 1/75) ENA <sub>1</sub> -ENB-ENB <sub>1</sub> (1.33%, 1/75)	22.39 (15)	BEA-ENB-ENB <sub>1</sub> (14.93%, 10/67) BEA-ENA-ENB (4.48%, 3/67) BEA-ENA-ENB <sub>1</sub> (1.49%, 1/67) ENA-ENB-ENB <sub>1</sub> (1.49%, 1/67)	18.12 (27)	BEA-ENB-ENB <sub>1</sub> (10.07%, 15/149) ENA-ENB-ENB <sub>1</sub> (4.70%, 7/149) ENA <sub>1</sub> -ENB-ENB <sub>1</sub> (3.36%, 5/149)	15.12 (44)
Four Mycotoxins	2.67 (2)	BEA-ENA <sub>1</sub> -ENB-ENB <sub>1</sub> (1.33%, 1/75) ENA-ENA <sub>1</sub> -ENB-ENB <sub>1</sub> (1.33%, 1/75)	25.37 (17)	BEA-ENA-ENB-ENB <sub>1</sub> (25.37%, 17/67)	20.13 (30)	BEA-ENA <sub>1</sub> -ENB-ENB <sub>1</sub> (5.37%, 8/149) ENA-ENA <sub>1</sub> -ENB-ENB <sub>1</sub> (6.71%, 10/149) BEA-ENA-ENB-ENB <sub>1</sub> (8.05%, 12/149)	16.84 (49)
Five Mycotoxins	8.00 (6)	BEA-ENA-ENA <sub>1</sub> - ENB-ENB <sub>1</sub> (8.00%, 6/75)	0		21.48 (32)	BEA-ENA-ENA <sub>1</sub> - ENB-ENB <sub>1</sub> (21.48%, 32/149)	13.06 (38)

### 3. Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report on the natural co-occurrence of BEA, ENA, ENA<sub>1</sub>, ENB, and ENB<sub>1</sub> in corn- and wheat-based samples from China. The maximum concentrations of BEA in corn kernel, flour, and flake samples in our study were far higher than those of four ENNs, which were different from those reported by Sørensen et al. for 73 corn samples collected from Demark in 2005 and 2006; ENB (2598 µg/kg) was much higher than BEA (73 µg/kg) [13]. In addition, the positive rates of BEA in corn kernels (85.9%), flours (95.6%), and flakes (21.1%) in our study were higher than those reported for 14 corn and 22 corn-based samples (14% and 4.5%, respectively) collected from the Mediterranean [15]. However, the frequencies of three ENNs (8.2% for ENA<sub>1</sub>, 3.8% for ENB, and 56.3% for ENB<sub>1</sub>) in all corn and corn-based samples in our study were lower than those reported by Uhlig et al. in 2000 and 2002 [12], which were 67% for ENA<sub>1</sub>, 100% for ENB, 94% for ENB<sub>1</sub> in Norwegian wheat and wheat-based samples, respectively. Furthermore, a similar trend was also found in the wheat and wheat-based samples studied, and the levels of five mycotoxins in wheat kernels, flours, and noodles were quite low with the maximum levels ranging from 11.1 µg/kg to 61.77 µg/kg. These levels were lower than those found in Norwegian grain samples from 2001 and 2002 ranging from 58 µg/kg to 7400 µg/kg [12]. The reason for this might be due to the difference of the community ecology of fungal pathogens between Norway and China. Several reports have indicated that in the cooler maritime of Northwestern Europe, commonly occurring *Fusarium* head blight (FHB) species were *F. culmorum*, *F. avenaceum*, *Microdochium* species, while *F. asiaticum* had its main distribution in Asia (China, Japan, Nepal, and Korea) [16–18]. Importantly, the main strains found to produce BEA and ENNs so far are *F. culmorum* and *F. avenaceum*, and not *F. asiaticum*.

We found that concentrations of BEA in corn and corn-based samples were far higher than those in wheat and wheat-based samples. The reason might be that corn- and wheat-based samples can be infected by different *Fusarium* species with different cyclic hexadepsipeptides toxin-producing abilities [19–25]. Besides, co-contamination by more than one of the five mycotoxins was common in the corn- and wheat-based samples analyzed in the present study, occurring in 59.5% (94/158) and 59.8% (174/291) of the corn- and wheat-based samples, respectively. These results were consistent with reports on BEA and ENNs contaminations of wheat samples collected from the Czech Republic and Italy [26,27].

As far as we know, this is the first report concerning BEA and ENNs co-contamination in Chinese cereal and cereal-based samples. The European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) has given a preliminary scientific opinion on the risks to animal and public health related to dietary exposure to five cyclic depsipeptide mycotoxins due to lack of sufficient data regarding the toxigenic ability of *Fusarium* species and contaminations of the five mycotoxins in cereal and cereal-based samples. However, the limitation of the present study was that the samples analyzed were only collected from Shandong province, China. To provide accurate data regarding mycotoxin contamination and the toxin-producing ability of *Fusarium* species and their biosynthetic genetic differences, there is a need for more samples to be collected nationwide across China.

### 4. Materials and Methods

#### 4.1. Chemicals and Reagents

Standard powders of BEA, ENA, ENA<sub>1</sub>, ENB, and ENB<sub>1</sub> with purities  $\geq 97\%$  were obtained from BioAustralis (Smithfield, NSW, Australia). Acetonitrile (ACN) and methanol (MeOH), both of LC-MS grade, were obtained from Fisher Scientific (Fair Lawn, NJ, USA). Ammonium acetate purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA) was of MS grade. Water was purified successively by a Millipore Milli-Q system (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA) with a conductivity  $\geq 18.2$  M $\Omega$ .cm at 25 °C.



#### 4.2. Sample Collection

A total of 158 corn-based samples including 71 kernels, 68 flours, and 19 flakes, and 291 wheat-based samples including 75 kernels, 67 flours, and 149 noodles were randomly collected in different districts of Shandong provinces, an area which was the most important grain planting and consuming province in China. All these corn- and wheat-based samples were intended for human consumption and collected from a supermarket, farmer's market, or local resident's home. Three subsamples were taken from the top, middle, and bottom layers of the container (five sampling sites for each layer). For wheat flour and corn flour samples, 5 g of each were weighed for toxin extraction directly after mixing. For corn kernel, corn flake, wheat kernel, and noodles, the subsamples were pooled and mixed thoroughly using a grinder (VICAM, Nixa, MO, USA), and approximately 200 g of the mixture was finely ground to a powder and kept in Ziploc plastic bags, sealed, and stored at  $-20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  prior to analysis. A 5 g finely ground portion of each sample was weighed for analysis.

#### 4.3. Toxin Analysis

All five mycotoxins were extracted according to the method reported previously [28]. A total of 5 g of each were extracted with 40 mL of acetonitrile-water (85:15, *v/v*) by blending for 30s to 60s using a vortex and then shaking for 30 min. The extract was transferred to a new 50 mL centrifuge tube and allowed to stand for 15–20 min under room temperature. Each aliquot of 10 mL of supernatant was diluted with 20 mL of distilled water followed by homogenization using a vortex. A quantity of 4 mL of the diluted extract was applied to a Sep-Pak Vac 3cc (200 mg)  $\text{C}_{18}$  Cartridge (Waters, Milford, MA, USA), which was pre-equilibrated with 3 mL of methanol and 3 mL of water. The cartridge was washed with 3 mL of 10% acetonitrile in water followed by a wash with 3 mL of 50% acetonitrile in water. Toxins were eluted using 2 mL of 90% acetonitrile in water.

#### 4.4. UPLC Conditions

UPLC/ESI-MS/MS system equipped with ExionLC (SHIMADZU, Kyoto, Japan), QTRAP™ 5500 MS/MS system (AB Sciex, Foster City, CA, USA) and a MultiQuant™ Version 3.0.2 software (AB Sciex, Foster City, CA, USA) for data acquisition and analysis was used to quantify 5 mycotoxins in positive mode. Chromatographic separation was achieved using a  $\text{C}_{18}$  column (2.1 mm  $\times$  50 mm, 1.7  $\mu\text{m}$  bead diameter, Waters, Milford, MA, USA). Temperatures of the UPLC column and autosampler were set at  $35\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  and  $15\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ , respectively. The mobile phase A was water containing 2 mmol/L ammonium acetate, and the mobile phase B was acetonitrile. The program was starting from B/A (0/100, *v/v*) in the first 2 min, reached B/A (60/40, *v/v*) in 2 min to 3 min, and continued to decrease B/A (70/30, *v/v*) in 3 min to 19 min. Afterward, A was linearly increased to 100% (B/A, 0/100, *v/v*) within 2 min and maintained at this composition of the mobile phase for 0.1 min.

#### 4.5. MS/MS Conditions

Data acquisition was performed by applying multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) with an optimized dwell time of 200 ms in order to obtain the optimal sensitivity and selectivity of MS conditions in positive electrospray ionization (ESI<sup>+</sup>) mode. The curtain gas was set to 30 psi, the collision gas to medium, Gas 1 to 80 psi, and Gas 2 to 80 psi. The source desolvation temperature was set at  $550\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The ion spray voltage was set to +5500V. Parent and fragment ions (quantifier and qualifier) for each analyte were chosen based on the best signal-to-noise ratios in a blank spiked sample. Detailed information for the parent and fragment ions is shown in Supplementary Table S1.

#### 4.6. Method Validation

To evaluate the method proficiency, BEA- and ENNs-free corn- and wheat-based samples were spiked with five mycotoxins at three concentrations. The spiked samples ( $n = 6$  repeats each level) were extracted and analyzed for recovery calculation. Mean recoveries, in which the matrix effect

was compensated, were in the ranges of 91.6–129.0% (BEA), 95.8–140.5% (ENA), 97.1–139.3% (ENA<sub>1</sub>), 106.5–149.7% (ENB), and 102.7–142.2% (ENB<sub>1</sub>) as determined from six parallel analyses of blank samples spiked with 50 to 250 µg/kg for BEA, from 7.5 to 37.5 µg/kg for ENA, from 20 to 100 µg/kg for ENA<sub>1</sub>, from 20–100 µg/kg for ENB, and from 50 to 250 µg/kg for ENB<sub>1</sub> with the relative standard deviations (RSD) of 1.5–7.7% for BEA, 0.4–12.9% for ENA, 1.4–6.9% for ENA<sub>1</sub>, 1.7–13.8% for ENB, and 1.2–12.6% for ENB<sub>1</sub>, respectively. The limits of detection and limits of quantification for BEA, ENA, ENA<sub>1</sub>, ENB, and ENB<sub>1</sub> in different types of samples are presented in Table 4. The spiked samples were extracted and analyzed five times within one day for intra-day precision or analyzed in five successive days according to the extracted method described above for inter-day precision. The data obtained were used for RSD calculation and all the RSD for the intra- and inter-day precision were less than 15%.

**Table 4.** The limit of detection and limit of quantity for BEA and ENNs in corn- and wheat-based samples (µg/kg).

Mycotoxin	Corn		Corn-Based Samples		Wheat		Wheat-Based Samples	
	LOD <sup>f</sup>	LOQ <sup>g</sup>	LOD	LOQ	LOD	LOQ	LOD	LOQ
BEA <sup>a</sup>	0.04	0.12	0.03	0.09	0.08	0.26	0.02	0.08
ENA <sup>b</sup>	0.02	0.07	0.01	0.02	0.06	0.21	0.03	0.10
ENA <sub>1</sub> <sup>c</sup>	0.09	0.42	0.12	0.44	0.21	0.68	0.10	0.33
ENB <sup>d</sup>	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.06	0.02	0.05	0.02	0.07
ENB <sub>1</sub> <sup>e</sup>	0.10	0.33	0.08	0.28	0.14	0.48	0.10	0.32

<sup>a</sup>: BEA = beauvericin; <sup>b</sup>: ENA = enniatin A; <sup>c</sup>: ENA<sub>1</sub> = enniatin A<sub>1</sub>; <sup>d</sup>: ENB = enniatin B; <sup>e</sup>: ENB<sub>1</sub> = enniatin B<sub>1</sub>; <sup>f</sup>: LOD = limit of detection; <sup>g</sup>: LOQ = limit of quantity.

#### 4.7. Data Analysis

All parameters including positive rate, average, median, and range of BEA and ENNs in the analyzed samples were obtained by applying the SPSS statistical package (version 20.0, IBM, USA). The Kruskal–Wallis test was employed for statistical analysis by comparing the mycotoxin concentrations in different samples.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at <http://www.mdpi.com/2072-6651/11/1/9/s1>, Table S1: Important MRM parameters of MS/MS conditions for BEA and ENNs.

**Author Contributions:** X.H. contributed to experiment performance, data analysis, and manuscript writing; W.X., J.Z. and J.X. were involved in sample preparation and toxin extraction. F.L. designed the experiments, contributed to manuscript writing, and decided to publish the results.

**Funding:** This work was funded by the National Key R&D Program (Grant Number: 2017YFC1200901) of China; and Beijing Natural Science Foundation (Grant Number: 7163235) and National Natural Science Foundation (Grant Number: 31301489); and the Key Technologies R&D Program, Ministry of Science and Technology of the People’s Republic of China (Grant Number: 2017YFC1601103); and China Food Safety Talent Competency Development Initiative (CFSA 523 Program).

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funding sponsors had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, and in the decision to publish the results.

#### References

1. Hamill, R.L.; Higgins, C.E.; Boaz, H.E.; Gorman, M. The structure of beauvericin, a new depsipeptide antibiotic toxic to *Artemia salina*. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1969**, *10*, 4255–4258. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Blais, L.A.; ApSimon, J.W.; Blackwell, B.A.; Greenhalgh, R.; Miller, J.D. Isolation and characterization of enniatins from *Fusarium avenaceum* DAOM 196490. *Can. J. Chem.* **1992**, *70*, 1281–1287. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Chain EFSA Panel on Contaminants in Food. Scientific Opinion on the risks to human and animal health related to the presence of beauvericin and enniatins in food and feed. *EFSA J.* **2014**, *12*, 3802.

4. Gupta, S.; Krasnoff, S.B.; Underwood, N.L.; Renwick, J.A.; Roberts, D.W. Isolation of beauvericin as an insect toxin from *Fusarium semitectum* and *Fusarium moniliforme* var. subglutinans. *Mycopathologia* **1991**, *115*, 185–189. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
5. Meca, G.; Soriano, J.M.; Gaspari, A.; Ritieni, A.; Moretti, A.; Mañes, J. Antifungal effects of the bioactive compounds enniatins A, A1, B, B1. *Toxicon* **2010**, *56*, 480–485. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Wang, Q.G.; Xu, L.J. Beauvericin, a bioactive compound produced by fungi: A short review. *Molecules* **2012**, *17*, 2367–2377. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
7. Juan-García, A.; Ruiz, M.J.; Font, G.; Manyes, L. Enniatin A1, enniatin B1 and beauvericin on HepG2: Evaluation of toxic effects. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **2015**, *84*, 188–196. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
8. Tomoda, H.; Nishida, H.; Huang, X.H.; Masuma, R.; Kim, Y.K.; Omura, S. New cyclodepsipeptides, enniatins D, E and F produced by *Fusarium* sp. FO-1305. *J. Antibiot. (Tokyo)* **1992**, *45*, 1207–1215. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Kamyar, M.; Rawnduzi, P.; Studenik, C.R.; Kouri, K.; Lemmens-Gruber, R. Investigation of the electrophysiological properties of enniatins. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **2004**, *429*, 215–223. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Prosperini, A.; Juan-García, A.; Font, G.; Ruiz, M.J. Reactive oxygen species involvement in apoptosis and mitochondrial damage in Caco-2 cells induced by enniatins A, A1, B and B1. *Toxicol. Lett.* **2013**, *222*, 204–211. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Mahnine, N.; Meca, G.; Elabidi, A.; Fekhaoui, M.; Saoiabi, A.; Font, G.; Mañes, J.; Zinedine, A. Further data on the levels of emerging *Fusarium* mycotoxins enniatins (A, A1, B, B1), beauvericin and fusaproliferin in breakfast and infant cereals from Morocco. *Food Chem.* **2011**, *124*, 481–485. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Uhlig, S.; Torp, M.; Heier, B.T. Beauvericin and enniatins A, A1, B and B1 in Norwegian grain: A survey. *Food Chem.* **2006**, *94*, 193–201. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Sørensen, J.L.; Nielsen, K.F.; Rasmussen, P.H.; Thrane, U. Development of a LC-MS/MS method for the analysis of enniatins and beauvericin in whole fresh and ensiled maize. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2008**, *56*, 10439–10443. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
14. Meca, G.; Zinedine, A.; Blesa, J.; Font, G.; Mañes, J. Further data on the presence of *Fusarium* emerging mycotoxins enniatins, fusaproliferin and beauvericin in cereals available on the Spanish markets. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **2010**, *48*, 1412–1416. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
15. Serrano, A.B.; Font, G.; Ruiz, M.J.; Ferrer, E. Co-occurrence and risk assessment of mycotoxins in food and diet from Mediterranean area. *Food Chem.* **2012**, *135*, 423–429. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
16. O'Donnell, K.; Ward, T.J.; Geiser, D.M.; Kistler, H.C.; Aoki, T. Genealogical concordance between the mating type locus and seven other nuclear genes supports formal recognition of nine phylogenetically distinct species within the *Fusarium graminearum* clade. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* **2004**, *41*, 600–623. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
17. Bottalico, A.; Perrone, G. Toxigenic *Fusarium* species and mycotoxins associated with head blight in small-grain cereals in Europe. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* **2002**, *108*, 611–624. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Xu, X.; Nicholson, P. Community ecology of fungal pathogens causing wheat head blight. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* **2009**, *47*, 83–103. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Jestoi, M. Emerging *Fusarium* mycotoxins fusaproliferin, beauvericin, enniatins, and moniliformin-A review. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* **2008**, *48*, 21–49. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Zocher, R.; Salnikow, J.; Kleinkauf, H. Biosynthesis of enniatin B. *FEBS Lett.* **1976**, *72*, 13–19. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Zocher, R.; Keller, U.; Kleinkauf, H. Mechanism of depsipeptide formation catalyzed by enniatin synthetase. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **1983**, *110*, 292–299. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Shemyakin, M.M.; Ovchinnikov, Y.A.; Kiryushkin, A.A.; Ivanov, V.T. The structure and total synthesis of enniatin B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1963**, *4*, 885–890. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Du, Y.T. Research Progress on the Use of Wheat Instead of Corn in Poultry. *Feed Res.* **2012**, *11*, 78–80.
24. Piper, R.; Kleinkauf, H.; Zocher, R. Enniatin synthetases from different *fusaria* exhibiting distinct amino acid specificities. *J. Antibiot.* **1992**, *45*, 1273–1277. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Logrieco, A.; Rizzo, A.; Ferracane, R.; Ritieni, A. Occurrence of beauvericin and enniatins in wheat affected by *Fusarium avenaceum* head blight. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2002**, *68*, 82–85. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
26. Malachova, A.; Dzuman, Z.; Veprikova, Z.; Vaclavikova, M.; Zachariasova, M.; Hajslova, J. Deoxynivalenol, deoxynivalenol-3-glucoside, and enniatins: The major mycotoxins found in cereal-based products on the Czech market. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2011**, *59*, 12990–12997. [[CrossRef](#)]

27. Juan, C.; Covarelli, L.; Beccari, G.; Colasante, V.; Mañes, J. Simultaneous analysis of twenty-six mycotoxins in durum wheat grain from Italy. *Food Control* **2016**, *62*, 322–329. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Han, X.M.; Xu, W.J.; Zhao, X.; Zhang, H.Y.; Zhang, J.; Li, F.Q. Development of high performance liquid chromatography tandem-mass spectrometry method for determination of beauvericin and enniatins in corn and wheat and their products. *Chin. J. Food Hyg.* **2017**, *29*, 633–639.



© 2018 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).