

# The hallmarks of ovarian cancer: proliferation and cell growth



Raquel López-Reig<sup>*a,b*</sup>, José Antonio López-Guerrero<sup>*a,c,\**</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Fundación Instituto Valenciano de Oncología (IVO), 46009 Valencia, Spain
 <sup>b</sup> IVO-CIPF Joint Research Unit of Cancer, Príncipe Felipe Research Center (CIPF), 46012 Valencia, Spain
 <sup>c</sup> Department of Basic Medical Sciences, School of Medicine, Catholic University of Valencia 'San Vicente Martir', 46001 Valencia, Spain

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 27 November 2019 Accepted 3 December 2019

Keywords: Epithelial ovarian cancer Cell proliferation Cell growth Animal models

#### ABSTRACT

Epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC) is a heterogeneous group of diseases with distinct biological and clinical behaviour. Despite the differences between them, the capability of tumour cells to continuously proliferate and avoid death is maintained among histotypes. This ability is the result of alterations at different levels, causing the deregulation of cell cycle and proliferative-related pathways. Even if the leading role is played by RB and TP53, changes in other molecular pathways are involved in the development of EOC. This ability can be exploited to generate *in vitro* and *in vivo* models resembling the conditions of tumour development in a patient. In vivo models, such as patient-derived xenografts (PDX) or genetically engineered mouse models (GEMM), represent a fundamental tool in the study of the molecular mechanisms implicated in each EOC biotype for testing new therapeutic approaches. Herein we describe the major proliferation-related pathways and its disruption found in EOC and how these features can be used to establish *in vivo* models for translational research.

© 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

# 1. Introduction

Epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC) comprises different tumour types, primarily classified by histology into serous, mucinous, endometrioid, clear cell and Brenner (transitional) tumours. This heterogeneity is originated by the presence of a wide variety of alterations affecting oncogenes and tumour suppressor genes, leading to the deregulation of main molecular pathways with divergent cellular function. Based on these molecular changes, ovarian cancer has been stratified into two major classes [1]. Type I tumours are defined by low-grade serous and endometrioid neoplasms that arise in a stepwise manner from borderline, mucinous, clear cell carcinomas and malignant Brenner neoplasms; whereas type II tumours include high-grade carcinomas, carcinosarcomas and undifferentiated carcinomas which precursor lesions have not been identified. Molecularly, type I tumours are associated with distinct genomic defects affecting BRAF, KRAS, NRAS, ARID1A1, CTNNB1 and PTEN genes. Besides, a fraction of endometrioid tumours are characterised by defects in the DNA miss-match repair (MMR) machinery leading to microsatellite instability. The aforementioned alterations are rarely found in the other type. On the contrary, type II tumours are characterised by TP53 mutations and high genomic instability as a consequence of defects in the DNA homologous recombination repair (HR) system [2,3].

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejcsup.2019.12.001

This paper is part of a supplement supported by Pharma Mar S.A.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Fundación Instituto Valenciano de Oncología, C/Prof. Beltrán Báguena, 8-11, Valencia, Spain.

E-mail address: jalopez@fivo.org (J.A. López-Guerrero).

<sup>1359-6349/© 2020</sup> The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

This review highlights the major proliferation-related pathways and its disruption found in EOC and how these features can be used to establish *in vivo* models for translational research.

# 2. Proliferation and cell growth

The ability to sustain chronic proliferation is shared by all tumour types. Cancer arises from the accumulation of genetic changes that confer to the incipient neoplastic cells the properties of unlimited, autonomous growth and resistance to normal homeostatic regulatory mechanisms. These capabilities can be achieved by different mechanisms, and their understanding will accelerate the development of new molecular targeted therapies that promise to change medical oncology practice.

# 2.1. Tumour suppressors: Key regulators

During cell-cycle progression, tumour-suppressor proteins play a crucial role through the integration of intrinsic and extrinsic signals to decide whether the cell should remain in a quiescent state or enter into the cycle of active growth and division. Two main proteins regulate these processes: RB (retinoblastoma-associated) and TP53. They operate as central control nodes within two key complementary cellular regulatory circuits that govern the decisions of cells to proliferate or, alternatively, activate senescence and apoptotic programmes (Fig. 1) [4]. Most cancer cells harbour defects in these pathways, inactivating them and promoting advantageous mutations, oncogenic growth and uncontrolled cell proliferation [5].

#### 2.1.1. RB pathway

The key role of RB protein is the regulation of G1/S checkpoints, acting as the gatekeeper of cell-cycle progression. When RB is working well, it originates the transduction of growth-inhibitory signals that repress E2F (family of transcription factors), modulating the expression of genes involved in cell-cycle progression. Besides RB, other proteins play a crucial function as regulators. This is the case of cyclin-D/cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) 4/6 and cyclin-E/CDK2 complexes, which regulate RB activity by phosphorylation, leading to the release of E2Fs. The existence of defects in RB signalling pathway causes persistent cell proliferation and cell-cycle deregulation. The loss of RB protein is a common event in some cancer types; however, alterations in other cellcycle proteins that regulate RB have also been described [6,7].

Alterations in the RB pathway are very common in EOC, being the main defects mutations in RB and amplification in cyclin D. Particularly, Inactivation of RB in 60% and LOH in 70% of EOC patients has been reported [8–11]. In the most common subtype, high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma (HGSOC), 67% of patients harbour defects in the RB pathway [12].

#### 2.1.2. TP53 network

TP53, as a transcription factor, executes each response by directly binding regulatory regions of target genes involved in response to DNA damage and other cellular stresses, DNA repair and cell growth [13]. When the degree of genomic damage is excessive or the levels of nucleotide pools, growth-



Fig. 1 — The main role of major components implicated in TP53 and RB pathways: Activation of TP53 occurs in response to genotoxic stresses, inducing growth arrest or apoptosis depending on the signal. This TP53 anti-proliferative activity is mediated by its own transcriptional target, p21. RB, however, is inactivated by phosphorylation due to the action of cyclin-dependent kinases CDK4 and CDK6 (inhibited by p16 and p21). This hypophosphorylated form of Rb binds E2F repressing proliferation.

promoting signals, glucose or oxygenation are suboptimal, TP53 can arrest cell-cycle progression until these conditions have been normalised or it can activate apoptosis. Numerous stimuli have been demonstrated to activate TP53, including UV irradiation-induced DNA damage, inappropriate protooncogene activation, mitogenic signalling and hypoxia. Depending upon the cellular context, one of several responses is implemented. Deficiency in TP53 protein, loss, gain or inappropriate activation abolishes the G1 checkpoint, compromising the capacity to control cellular proliferation and growth [8].

Although TP53 mutations have been detected in all histological types of EOC, they have higher frequencies of such mutations in serous carcinomas. According to the TCGA, alterations in the TP53 network represent up to 96% of HGSOC patients [12]. It has been described that the most common altered locus in EOC is 17p13, being the majority of the alterations missense mutations, largely occurring in the DNA binding domain [14].

Even if both key pathways act individually, extensive interaction exists between them. Over 50% of EOC patients have mutations in both the TP53 and RB pathways, including 40% of serous carcinomas [8,15,16].

# 2.2. Beyond cell-cycle regulation: Other molecular pathways implicated in proliferation

Survival and cell growth processes are also controlled by additional pathways that implicate signalling stimuli by growth factors and hormones. In cancer conditions, it is common to find disruption of these pathways by genetic and epigenetic changes leading to constitutively activate proliferation programmes [6]. These signalling pathways often begin with the activation of tyrosine kinase receptors (TKR) by growth factors. However, activation of some G-proteincoupled receptors (GPCRs) can also activate certain branches of this signalling pathway. Depending on the proteins that are subsequently recruited by the receptor, several downstream signalling pathways might be activated, promoting uncontrolled proliferation in addition to overcoming cell death programmes. Some of the major pathways that impact on cell proliferation are explained in the following sections.

2.2.1. Epidermal growth factor pathway (EGF, IGF, TGF- $\beta$ ) EGF receptors are implicated in gonad development, growth and differentiation of the ovarian follicle and post-ovulatory repair. These receptors are also known as the ErbB or type I TKRs and include four ErbB proteins; ErbB-1 (EGF receptor), ErbB2, ErbB3 and ErbB4 [17].

The normal ovarian surface epithelium (OSE) responds to signals generated by the EGF receptor displaying phenotypic plasticity. This is characterised by the transition between epithelial and fibroblastic phenotypes. This situation, usually limited to immature, regenerating or neoplastic epithelia, if it is presented in adult OSE, suggests that this tissue is 'primed' to respond to EGF receptor during tumour development and progression [18].

Activation of the EGF receptor is also implicated in the stimulation of numerous signal transduction pathways

related to cell growth and survival, including the ERK/MAPK, PI(3)K/Akt, STAT, PLC $\gamma$ , STAT, among others. In malignant cells, EGFR is associated with metastasis, angiogenesis, pro-apoptotic and pro-survival signalling cascades. There is evidence that increased EGF receptor expression is an early event in EOC development and some studies provide data about how early changes in EGF receptor expression may promote ovarian cancer [19].

Defects on this receptor are common among cancer types, particularly, in approximately 48% of EOC expressed (between 10 and 20% due to EGF receptor amplification), with higher incidence in mucinous and low-grade serous subtypes [20,21]. In a significant proportion of HGSOC, hyperactive PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathway may be attributable to upstream deregulations in TKRs. In fact, amplifications or mutations in TKRs such as ERBB3, ERBB2, EGFR or IGF1R have been described with frequencies of 1–9% [22].

Another mechanism involved in the expression of EGF is the dysregulation in TGF- $\beta$  signalling. TGF- $\beta$  is a potent inhibitor of cellular growth, and loss of function in the TGF- $\beta$ pathway can result in uncontrolled proliferation leading to tumour development [23]. Although mutations in this pathway are rare in this tumour, there are other mechanisms by which TGF, directly or indirectly, is associated with the promotion of ovarian cancer cell proliferation [24].

### 2.2.2. Cytoplasmic tyrosine kinases and phosphates-AKT/ mTOR pathway (PI3K/Akt/mTOR)

The PI3K-Akt-mTOR signalling pathway is a central regulator of many crucial functions in normal conditions such as cell survival, growth, proliferation, angiogenesis, transcription, translation and metabolism. Phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K), Akt (a serine/threonine kinase) and mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) are the three main junctions in the pathway and are typically activated by upstream signalling of tyrosine kinases and other receptor molecules such as hormones or mitogenic factors [25]. The primary role of PI3K proteins is to convert phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PIP2) to phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-trisphosphate (PIP3) [26,27]. These molecules activate downstream signalling components, being the most notable one of the protein kinase Akt. Acting both upstream and downstream Akt is found mTOR, a key Ser/Thr kinase. mTOR is presented in two different multiprotein complexes, target of rapamycin complex (TORC) 1 and 2 (Fig. 2) [28]. Defects in this pathway are very common in most human cancers. Dysregulation of major components will cause activation of other downstream signalling pathways linked with oncogenesis, survival and tumour cells proliferation [29-33].

In EOC, PI3K/Akt/mTOR has been identified as the most frequently altered pathway. Mutations in PIK3CA, mainly in exons 9 and 20, were prevalent in ovarian clear cell (35%) and endometrioid carcinoma (20%) compared to serous carcinoma (2.3%) [34,35]. On the other hand, HGSOC presents amplifications of the p110 subunit of PI3K (PIK3CA) presented in 20% of cases while amplification of one of the AKT isoforms (AKT1, AKT2 or AKT3) occurs in 15–20% [29,36,37].

Besides the major components, other participants appear to be implicated in the regulation and modulation of the pathway: firstly, PTEN protein, which can act as a negative



Fig. 2 – Principal signalling pathways implicated in survival and cell proliferation: activation by ligands triggers autophosphorylation of the receptors (GPCRs or TKRs), leading to the generation of binding sites that consequently will recruit pathway-initiation proteins (RAS and PI3K), thus provoking the beginning of the signalling cascade.

regulator while functioning as a tumour-suppressor gene. In normal conditions, PTEN counteracts PI3K by degrading its product, PIP<sub>3</sub>. Loss of function of PTEN due to homozygous deletions, inactivating mutations, loss of heterozygosity (LOH) or epigenetic modifications amplifies PI3K signalling and promotes tumourigenesis. Between alterations found in EOC, LOH was described to be common in endometrioid (up to 40%) and serous ovarian carcinoma (up to 28%) [37,38]. Somatic mutations in PTEN (21%) have also been detected in the majority of grade 1 or stage 1 endometrioid tumours. Like PTEN, INPP4B also functions as a negative regulator of this pathway. LOH at the INPP4B locus (4q31.1–3) in 39.8% OC patients has been detected [29,36,39].

#### 2.2.3. Ras/Raf/MEK pathway

The mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) cascade (the Ras/Raf/MEK/ERK pathway) is a TKR-mediated signalling pathway that regulates several physiological processes, such as cell growth, differentiation and apoptotic cell death. Due to the crucial importance of this signalling pathway, the deregulation of the MAPK signalling cascades is involved in the pathogenesis of various human cancer types [40]. One of the classical alterations in this pathway involves RAS oncoprotein. Mutations compromise Ras-GTPase activity (intrinsic negative-feedback mechanism), triggering a cascade of serine/ threonine kinases (such as RAF and MEK) that culminates in the activation of a mitogen-activated protein kinase (usually an extracellular signal-regulated kinase/ERK). Finally, MAPKs are translocated to the nucleus where they will modulate the expression of a wide range of genes involved in cell growth and survival (Fig. 2) [41].

Punctual mutations are more prevalent in early-stage associated histologies of EOC such as low-grade serous, mucinous, endometrioid or clear-cell ovarian cancer [42,43]. On the contrary, HGSOC often presents alterations related to copy number changes such as amplifications in KRAS (11%), MAPK (20%), loss of NF1 gene (8%) or less frequently, mutations in KRAS, NRAS or BRAF [12].

#### 2.2.4. Notch signalling

Notch is a family of mammalian transmembrane receptors (Notch 1-4) for membrane-bound ligands (JAG1, JAG2, deltalike1-4). Upon binding, Notch receptors undergo cleavage, releasing a Notch intracellular domain. This domain migrates to the nucleus, where different target genes such as cyclin D, p21CIP1, NF- $\beta$  and c-MYC are found (Fig. 3). Aberrant activation of the Notch signalling pathway has been implicated in numerous human malignancies, including EOC [44-47]. Particularly, the amplification of the chr19p13.12 region (Notch3) and its up-regulation at mRNA and protein levels have been detected in a large percentage of OC [48,49]. Another example of alteration in this pathway is the CXCR4/ SDF1a chemokine system, which plays a key role in EOC cell biology [50]. CXCR4 and its ligand, chemokine SDF1a, are widely expressed in EOC cells and are associated with an unfavourable prognosis, promotion of tumour cell proliferation, survival and government of the migration of malignant cells [51].

#### 2.2.5. WNT pathway

The WNT signalling pathway is an ancient and evolutionarily conserved pathway that regulates crucial aspects of cell fate



Fig. 3 – The Notch signalling pathway: Activation of Notch by its ligand leads to the cleavage of the intracellular domain and its following translocation to the nucleus. Once in the nucleus, this domain will activate CSL (transcription factor). The CSL co-repressor complex (CoR) is replaced by the co-activator complex (CoA), initiating transcription of Notch target gene.

determination, cell migration, cell polarity, neural patterning and organogenesis during embryonic development. Two major signalling branches have been identified including a canonical or Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin dependent pathway and noncanonical or  $\beta$ -catenin-independent pathway which can be further divided into the planar cell polarity and the Wnt/Ca2+ pathways [52].

The functional relevance of the WNT pathway is reflected by the high number of cancers that present its deregulation [53]. Specifically, in EOC, alterations at different levels have been found across the different components of the pathway. Endometrioid subtype often presents constitutive activation via missense mutation of  $\beta$ -catenin (CTNNB1) [54]. This tumour also shows nuclear accumulation of  $\beta$ -catenin [55]. However, nuclear localisation of CTNNB1 in other subtypes lacking mutations in this gene suggests that the WNT/ $\beta$ -catenin pathway could be activated by other molecular mechanisms [56].

Endometrioid tumours represent a notable exception as mutations in Wnt-related genes are in general extremely rare in the other ovarian cancer histotypes. *CTNNB1* mutations are found in 16–54% of endometrioid ovarian cancer cases. Besides endometrioid subtype, mutations in *CTNNB1* are also found in rare cases of mucinous ovarian cancer. Likewise, genetic alterations in other members of the Wnt cascade, such as *APC*, *AXIN1* and *AXIN2*, have also been detected in this specific ovarian cancer histotype [57–61]. Additional alternative epigenetic mechanisms leading to autocrine overexpression of Wnt components or the inhibition of antagonists have also been reported in the EOC [53].

# 2.3. Resistance to cell death

Most, if not all, cancer cells acquire resistance to the various mechanisms limiting tumour growth. This has been associated with two distinct barriers to proliferation: senescence, a typically irreversible entrance into a non-proliferative but viable state; and apoptosis, which involves cell death. Tumour cells develop a variety of mechanisms to limit or circumvent proliferation barriers [4].

The most common way of blocking apoptosis is by inactivating the TP53 tumour-suppressor pathway, which eliminates a critical damage sensor from the apoptosis-inducing circuitry [62]. As already mentioned, TP53 is altered in almost all HGSOC, suggesting that, in this tumour, cancer cells escape the control mechanisms that regulate apoptosis [4,63]. Another strategy to avoid apoptosis is up-regulating antiapoptotic pathways, increasing expression of anti-apoptotic regulators (BCL-2, BCL-XL) or survival signals (IGF1/2) and down-regulating pro-apoptotic factors (BAX, BIM, PUMA) (Fig. 4).

Additionally, it has also been demonstrated that necrotic cells can release bioactive regulatory factors, such as IL-1a, directly stimulating the proliferation of adjacent cells and facilitating neoplastic progression. These tactics to evade cell death programmes through modulation of apoptotic regulatory factors are used by tumour cells in EOC [64,65].

#### 2.4. Replicative immortality

Telomere maintenance works as a generational clock that counts cell divisions and regulates cell lifespan [66]. The eventual immortalisation of cells with rare variants has been attributed to their ability to maintain telomeric DNA length long enough to avoid cell death programmes.

The most common mechanism involves TERT, the protein component of telomerase (a ribonucleoprotein enzyme that synthesises telomeres and maintains telomeric ends). It has been seen to be active in 85–90% of human cancer cells. TERT variants together with other telomere-maintenance genes have been associated with ovarian cancer risk and outcome [67,68].

#### 2.5. Increased metabolism in cancer cells

Cell proliferation constitutes the essence of neoplastic disease and involves not only the deregulation of cell cycle and other



Fig. 4 – The BCL-2 family members and their role in the apoptotic pathway. While anti-apoptotic proteins or gatekeepers will promote cell survival, the combinational effect of pro-apoptotic proteins (effector, sensitisers and activators) will induce cell death cascade.

proliferation-related pathways but also adjustments in energy metabolism in order to fuel the main functions of cells [4]. In this way, metabolic reprogramming and the ability of cancer cells to switch between energy substrates and metabolic pathways (termed bioenergetics flexibility) enable cancer cells to fulfil their high proliferative and survival potentials [69–72].

Cancer cells employ both conventional oxidative metabolism and glycolytic anaerobic metabolism. However, their proliferation is marked by a shift towards increasing glycolytic metabolism even in the presence of O2 (Warburg effect) [73]. This effect has been shown to be associated with activated oncogenes (e.g. RAS, MYC) and mutant tumoursuppressor genes (e.g. TP53). These alterations in tumour cells have been primarily selected for their benefits in conferring the capability of cell proliferation, avoidance of cytostatic controls and attenuation of apoptosis [74].

#### 3. Animal models

Advances on understanding the molecular biology of tumours, especially the defects in proliferative pathways, have allowed the generation of *in vitro* and *in vivo* models that reflect this reality. However, the existence of appropriate



Fig. 5 – Principal strategies to generate adequate animal models: Tumour xenografts and GEMMs.

High-grade serous carcinoma (HGSC)DICER-/-; PTEN -/- Establishment of the fallopian tube cell as the origin of the tumour. Presents envelop to the ovaries and aggressive metastasis through the abdominal cavityHigh-grade serous carcinoma (HGSC)TP53mut; DICER-; PTEN-Suggests that the ovary can also be a potential site of origin of HGSOC. Develops HGSOC tumour in the peritoneal cavity, including primary tumour and metastasis (ascites)[9]Serous carcinomaBRCA1-/-; TP53-/-; CMYC+Describes higher sensitivity in BRCA1-associated ovarian cancer to platinum and DNA-damage response(2)Serous carcinomaBRCA1-/-; TP53 -/-; PRB-/- BRCA2-/-; TP53-/-; PBB-/-Contribution of different molecular pathways, Rb, Tp53 and BRCA2-/-; TP53-/-; (presenting peritoneal carcinomatosis, ascites and distant PRB-/- BRCA2-/-; PTEN-Serous carcinoma distant PRB-/- BRCA proteins in the development of the stage IV of the disease development of the disease (particularly Kras mutation and pten depletion) and remark the OSE cell as origin.[9]Low-grade serous carcinomaKRAS+; PTEN-/- Contribution of different molecular pathways to the development of the disease. Model of endometriosis and endometrioid ovarian carcinoma.[9]Low-grade serous carcinomaKRAS+; PTEN-/- Concomitant activation of kras and depletion of pten trigger TIC carcinoma[9]Lomometrioid carcinomaAPC-/-; PTEN -/- Concomitant activation of kras and depletion of pren trigger TIC concomitant activation of kras and depletion of pten trigger TIC concomitant activation of the insufficient role of inactivation of Arid1a for tumour initiation, showing the requirement of additional genetic alt	Kim et al. (2012) [89] Kim et al. (2015) [90] Xing and Orsulic (2006) [91] Szabova et al. (2012) [92] Fan et al. (2009) [93]
Carcinoma (HGSC)tumour. Presents envelop to the ovaries and aggressive metastasis through the abdominal cavity[8High-grade serous carcinoma (HGSC)TP53mut; DICER-; PTEN-Suggests that the ovary can also be a potential site of origin of HGSOC. Develops HGSOC tumour in the peritoneal cavity, including primary tumour and metastasis (ascites)[9Serous carcinomaBRCA1-/-; TP53-/-; CMYC+Describes higher sensitivity in BRCA1-associated ovarian carcer to platinum and DNA-damage response[2Serous carcinomaBRCA1-/-; TP53 COntribution of different molecular pathways, Rb, Tp53 and BRCA2-/-; TP53-/-; (presenting peritoneal carcinomatosis, ascites and distant PRB-/-[9Low-grade serous carcinoma/ granulosa cells tumour (LGSC)KRAS-/-; PTEN-Contribution of different molecular pathways to the development of the disease (particularly Kras mutation and petential of the disease. Model of endometriosis and endometrioid ovarian carcinoma.[9Endometrioid carcinomaAPC-/-; PTEN -/-Describes the specific tumour histomorphology and metastatic potential of the disease. Model of endometriosis and endometrioid ovarian carcinoma.[9Endometrioid carcinomaAPC-/-; PTEN -/-Cooperative role of PI3K/PTEN and Wnt/b-catenin pathways in pathogenesis.[9Endometrioid carcinomaAPC-/-; PTEN-/-Demonstration of kras and depletion of pten trigger Ti[9Piten-/-Concentiant activation of kras and depletion of Aridta for tumour initiation, showing the requirement of additional genetic alteration such as pten depletion to produce tumourogenesis[9	<ul> <li>[89]</li> <li>Kim et al. (2015)</li> <li>[90]</li> <li>Xing and Orsulic</li> <li>(2006) [91]</li> <li>Szabova et al.</li> <li>(2012) [92]</li> <li>Fan et al. (2009)</li> <li>[93]</li> </ul>
metastasis through the abdominal cavityHigh-grade serousTP53mut; DICER-;Suggests that the ovary can also be a potential site of origin ofKicarcinoma (HGSC)PTEN-HGSOC. Develops HGSOC tumour in the peritoneal cavity,[9]serous carcinomaBRCA1-/-; TP53-/-;Describes higher sensitivity in BRCA1-associated ovarianXiCMYC+cancer to platinum and DNA-damage response(2)Serous carcinomaBRCA1-/-; TP53Contribution of different molecular pathways, Rb, Tp53 andSiBRCA2-/-; TP53-/-;(presenting peritoneal carcinomatosis, ascites and distantPRB-/-BRCA2-/-; TP53-/-;(presenting peritoneal carcinomatosis, ascites and distantPRB-/-granulosa cellspten depletion) and remark the OSE cell as origin.[9]tumour (LGSC)EndometrioidKRAS+; PTEN-/-Describes the specific tumour histomorphology and metastaticDiendometrioidAPC-/-; PTEN -/-Cooperative role of PI3K/PTEN and Wnt/b-catenin pathways in pathogenesis.[9]EndometrioidKRAS+; PTEN-/-Concomitant activation of kras and depletion of protuce turour initiation, showing the requirement of additional genetic alteration such as pten depletion to produce tumour initiation, showing the requirement of additional genetic alteration such as pten depletion to produce tumour initiation, showing the requirement of additional genetic alteration such as pten depletion to produce tumour genesis	Kim et al. (2015) [90] Xing and Orsulic (2006) [91] Szabova et al. (2012) [92] Fan et al. (2009) [93]
Ingregrate serousITPSINIT, DEEK*, acarcinomaSuggests that the ovary can also be a potential site of origin of the peritoneal cavity, including primary tumour and metastasis (ascites)Serous carcinomaBRCA1-/-; TP53-/-; CMYC+Describes higher sensitivity in BRCA1-associated ovarian cancer to platinum and DNA-damage responseXi cancer to platinum and DNA-damage response(2Serous carcinomaBRCA1-/-; TP53 -/; TP53-/-; BRCA2-/-; TP53-/-; BRCA2-/-; TP53-/-; (presenting peritoneal carcinomatosis, ascites and distant PRB-/- BRCA2-/-; PTEN-Serous carcinomatosis, ascites and distant metastasis)Low-grade serous carcinoma/ granulosa cells tumour (LGSC)KRAS-/-; PTEN- Contribution of different molecular pathways to the development of the disease (particularly Kras mutation and pten depletion) and remark the OSE cell as origin.[9Endometrioid carcinomaAPC-/-; PTEN -/-Describes the specific tumour histomorphology and metastatic potential of the disease. Model of endometriosis and 	[90] Xing and Orsulic (2006) [91] Szabova et al. (2012) [92] Fan et al. (2009) [93]
Serous carcinomaBRCA1-/-; TP53-/-; CMYC+Describes higher sensitivity in BRCA1-associated ovarian carce to platinum and DNA-damage response(2Serous carcinomaBRCA1-/-; TP53 -/-; PRB-/-Contribution of different molecular pathways, Rb, Tp53 and BRCA2-/-; TP53-/-; (presenting peritoneal carcinomatosis, ascites and distant PRB-/-Serous carcinoma(2BRCA2-/-; TP53-/-; PRB-/-BRCA proteins in the development of the stage IV of the disease (2(2BRCA2-/-; TP53-/-; PRB-/-(presenting peritoneal carcinomatosis, ascites and distant metastasis)FaLow-grade serous carcinoma/ granulosa cells tumour (LGSC)KRAS+; PTEN-/-Contribution of different molecular pathways to the development of the disease (particularly Kras mutation and pten depletion) and remark the OSE cell as origin.[9Endometrioid carcinomaAPC-/-; PTEN -/-Describes the specific tumour histomorphology and metastatic potential of the disease. Model of endometriosis and endometrioid ovarian carcinoma.[9Endometrioid 	Xing and Orsulic (2006) [91] Szabova et al. (2012) [92] Fan et al. (2009) [93]
CMYC+cancer to platinum and DNA-damage response(2Serous carcinomaBRCA1-/-; TP53 -/-; PRB-/-Contribution of different molecular pathways, Rb, Tp53 and BRCA proteins in the development of the stage IV of the disease (2Serous carcinoma/ BRCA2-/-; TP53-/-; (presenting peritoneal carcinomatosis, ascites and distant metastasis)Serous carcinomatosis, ascites and distant metastasis)Low-grade serous carcinoma/ granulosa cells tumour (LGSC)KRAS-/-; PTEN- (Contribution of different molecular pathways to the development of the disease (particularly Kras mutation and pten depletion) and remark the OSE cell as origin.Image: Serous operative carcinomaEndometrioid carcinomaKRAS+; PTEN-/-Describes the specific tumour histomorphology and metastatic potential of the disease. Model of endometriosis and 	(2006) [91] Szabova et al. (2012) [92] Fan et al. (2009) [93]
Serous carcinoma       BRCA1-/-; TP53       Contribution of different molecular pathways, Rb, Tp53 and Si archiver of the disease in the development of the stage IV of the disease in the development of the stage IV of the disease in the development of the stage IV of the disease in the development of the stage IV of the disease in the development of the stage IV of the disease in the development of the stage IV of the disease in the development of the disease.         Low-grade serous       KRAS-/-; PTEN-/-       Describes the specific tumour histomorphology and metastatic in the disease. Model of endometriois and in the disease. Model of endometriois and is endometrioid ovarian carcinoma.       Image: Concomitation of kras and depletion of pten trigger is a pathogenesis.         Endometrioid       KRAS+; PTEN -/-       Concomitant activation of kras and depletion of pten trigger is a pathogenesis.       Image: PTEN -/-         Endometrioid       KRAS+; PTEN	Szabova et al. (2012) [92] Fan et al. (2009) [93]
-/-; PRB-/-       BRCA proteins in the development of the stage IV of the disease       (2         BRCA2-/-; TP53-/-; PRB-/-       (presenting peritoneal carcinomatosis, ascites and distant PRB-/-       (2         Low-grade serous       KRAS-/-; PTEN-       (contribution of different molecular pathways to the development of the disease (particularly Kras mutation and granulosa cells tumour (LGSC)       [9         Endometrioid       KRAS+; PTEN-/-       Describes the specific tumour histomorphology and metastatic potential of the disease. Model of endometriosis and endometrioid ovarian carcinoma.       [9         Endometrioid       APC-/-; PTEN -/-       Cooperative role of PI3K/PTEN and Wnt/b-catenin pathways in pathogenesis.       [9         Endometrioid       KRAS+; PTEN-/-       Concomitant activation of kras and depletion of pten trigger       Ti carcinoma         Endometrioid       KRAS+; PTEN-/-       Concomitant activation of kras and depletion of pten trigger       Ti carcinoma         Endometrioid       KRAS+; PTEN-/-       Concomitant activation of kras and depletion of pten trigger       Ti carcinoma         Endometrioid       ARIDA-/-; PTEN-/-       Demonstration of the insufficient role of inactivation of Arid1a       Gr         endometrioid       ARIDA-/-; PTEN-/-       Demonstration of the insufficient role of additional       [8         genetic alteration such as pten depletion to produce       for tumour inititation, showing the requirement of additiona	(2012) [92] Fan et al. (2009) [93]
Low-grade serous carcinoma/ granulosa cells tumour (LGSC)KRAS-/-; PTEN- carcinomaContribution of different molecular pathways to the development of the disease (particularly Kras mutation and pten depletion) and remark the OSE cell as origin.FaEndometrioid carcinomaKRAS+; PTEN-/- Describes the specific tumour histomorphology and metastatic potential of the disease. Model of endometriosis and endometrioid ovarian carcinoma.Discribes the specific tumour histomorphology and metastatic potential of the disease. Model of endometriosis and endometrioid ovarian carcinoma.Discribes the specific tumour histomorphology and metastatic potential of the disease. Model of endometriosis and endometrioid ovarian carcinoma.Discribes the specific tumour histomorphology and metastatic potential of the disease. Model of endometriosis and endometrioid ovarian carcinoma.Discribes the specific tumour histomorphology and metastatic potential of the disease. Model of endometriosis and endometrioid ovarian carcinoma.Discribes the specific tumour histomorphology and metastatic potential of the disease. Model of endometriosis and endometrioid ovarian carcinoma.Discribes the specific tumour histomorphology and metastatic potential of the disease.Discribes the specific tumour histomorphology and metastatic potential of the disease.Discribes the specific tumour histomorphology and metastatic potential of the disease.Discribes the specific tumour histomorphology and metastatic traceinoma.Discribes the specific tumour histomorphology and meta	Fan et al. (2009) [93]
carcinoma/ granulosa cells tumour (LGSC)development of the disease (particularly Kras mutation and pten depletion) and remark the OSE cell as origin.Endometrioid 	[93]
Endometrioid       KRAS+; PTEN-/-       Describes the specific tumour histomorphology and metastatic       D         carcinoma       potential of the disease. Model of endometriosis and endometrioid ovarian carcinoma.       (2)         Endometrioid       APC-/-; PTEN -/-       Cooperative role of PI3K/PTEN and Wnt/b-catenin pathways in pathogenesis.       (9)         Endometrioid       KRAS+; PTEN -/-       Concomitant activation of kras and depletion of pten trigger       Ti         carcinoma       MUC1+; KRAS+;       Muc1-positive epithelial tumours with endometrioid histology       (2)         PTEN-/-       Endometrioid       ARIDA-/-; PTEN-/-       Demonstration of the insufficient role of inactivation of Arid1a       Ga         carcinoma       MUC1+; KRAS+;       Demonstration such as pten depletion to produce       Image and the second seco	
carcinomapotential of the disease. Model of endometriosis and endometrioid ovarian carcinoma.(2EndometrioidAPC-/-; PTEN -/-Cooperative role of PI3K/PTEN and Wnt/b-catenin pathways in pathogenesis.Wcarcinomapathogenesis.[9]EndometrioidKRAS+; PTEN-/-Concomitant activation of kras and depletion of pten trigger Ti carcinomaTiMUC1+; KRAS+; PTEN-/-Muc1-positive epithelial tumours with endometrioid histology PTEN-/-(2EndometrioidARIDA-/-; PTEN-/-Demonstration of the insufficient role of inactivation of Arid1a for tumour initiation, showing the requirement of additional genetic alteration such as pten depletion to produce tumourogenesis[8]	Dinulescu et al.
Endometrioid       APC-/-; PTEN -/-       Cooperative role of PI3K/PTEN and Wnt/b-catenin pathways in pathogenesis.       W         carcinoma       pathogenesis.       [9]         Endometrioid       KRAS+; PTEN -/-       Concomitant activation of kras and depletion of pten trigger       Ti         carcinoma       MUC1+; KRAS+;       Muc1-positive epithelial tumours with endometrioid histology       (2)         PTEN-/-       Endometrioid       ARIDA-/-; PTEN-/-       Demonstration of the insufficient role of inactivation of Arid1a       Gr         carcinoma       Gr (tumour initiation, showing the requirement of additional genetic alteration such as pten depletion to produce tumourogenesis       [8]	(2005) [86]
carcinoma     pathogenesis.     [9]       Endometrioid     KRAS+; PTEN-/-     Concomitant activation of kras and depletion of pten trigger     Ti       carcinoma     MUC1+; KRAS+;     Muc1-positive epithelial tumours with endometrioid histology     (2)       PTEN-/-     Demonstration of the insufficient role of inactivation of Arid1a     Ga       carcinoma     ARIDA-/-; PTEN-/-     Demonstration, showing the requirement of additional     [8]       genetic alteration such as pten depletion to produce     tumourogenesis     [9]	Wu et al. (2007)
indefined ford       INVES+, FER-/-       Concommant activation of Kras and depletion of pren trigger       In         carcinoma       MUC1+; KRAS+; PTEN-/-       Muc1-positive epithelial tumours with endometrioid histology       (2         Endometrioid       ARIDA-/-; PTEN-/-       Demonstration of the insufficient role of inactivation of Arid1a       Gr         carcinoma       for tumour initiation, showing the requirement of additional genetic alteration such as pten depletion to produce tumourogenesis       [8	[94] Tirodkar et al
Endometrioid ARIDA-/-; PTEN-/- Demonstration of the insufficient role of inactivation of Arid1a Go for tumour initiation, showing the requirement of additional [8 genetic alteration such as pten depletion to produce tumourogenesis	(2014) [95]
carcinoma for tumour initiation, showing the requirement of additional [8 genetic alteration such as pten depletion to produce tumourogenesis	Guan et al. (2014)
	[87]
Mucinous ovarian TP53+/+; PTEN-/-; Presents the first mouse model of mucinous tumour formation Re	Ren et al. (2016)
(MOC) KRAS+ from ovarian cancer cells. [9 TP53+/-; PTEN-/-; KRAS+ TP53-/-; PTEN-/-;	[96]
Leiomyosarcoma BRCA1–/–; TP53–/– Demonstrates the cooperative role of BRCA1 and tp53 to ovarian Q	Quinn et al.
tumourigenesis. (2	(2009) [97]
Leiomyosarcoma IP53-/- Examines tumour formation with conditionally expressed Cl BRCA1-/-:T P53-/- alleles of BRCA. TP53 and Rb alone or in collaboration. et	et al. (2009) [98]
RB-/-; TP53-/- RB-/-; TP53-/-; RPC41_/	() []
Serous tubal BRCA1-/-;TP53mut; Establishment of serous tubal intraepithelial carcinoma as a Pe	Perets et al. (2013)
intraepithelial PTEN-/- precursor lesion in HGSOC and demonstrates the origin in [8 carcinoma (STIC) BRCA1+/-; TP53 fallopian tube secretory epithelial cells. mut; PTEN-/- BRCA2-/-; TP53 mut; PTEN-/- BRCA2+/-; TP53 mut; PTEN-/-	[85]
Poorly differentiated TP53-/-; RB-/- This model serves for a better understanding of the neoplasm Co and as a useful tool for the evaluation of emerging detection (2 and treatment strategies.	Connolly et al. (2003) [99]
Poorly differentiated       TP53-/-; CMYC+;       The model resembles human ovarian carcinomas in their rapid       Or         carcinomas and       KRAS+       progression and intraperitoneal metastasis spread.       (2         serous carcinomas       TP53-/-; KRAS+;       AKT+         TP53-/-; AKT+;       CMYC+       CMYC+	Orsulic et al. (2002) [100]
TP53-/-; AKT+;	
CMYC+; KRAS+	
carcinomas (OSE)/ Demonstrate the importance of cell of origin in mouse cancer W well-differentiated tumours (FTE)	11/+ -1 (004/0)

# Table 1 – Available animal model for the study of proliferation and cell growth of EOC

models that accurately predict clinical efficacy and perfectly mimic the evolution of the disease is not yet a fact, delaying the progress in oncology research. In this sense, EOC is not an exception. EOC histotypes (serous, endometrioid, mucinous and clear cell) are characterised by distinct histopathological and molecular features that pose unique therapeutic challenges. These particular attributes and challenges can be addressed through the use of laboratory models.

In cancer research, cell culture systems should be the starting point of any study. However, the use of a more reliable and disease-mirroring system such as animal models is essential for the advancement in the field. Whereas tumourderived cell lines play a critical role in facilitating cancer biology in in vitro studies, representing a powerful tool, in vivo animal models can more accurately recapitulate molecular characteristics of primary tumours and their micro-environment, being a more pertinent pre-clinical testing platform [75]. Focusing on in vivo system, murine models are the most commonly used in experimental studies, due to their similarity with human physiology and molecular signalling pathways. Among these models, human tumour xenografts and genetically modified mouse models (GEMM) are the ones that better simulate the human progression of the disease, representing adequate in vivo platforms for investigating tumourigenic mechanisms and testing novel therapies (Fig. 5) [76,77].

In view of each model having its own advantages and limitations, it is advisable to carefully consider them when choosing the most suitable one for every type of study. Human tumour xenografts, on their behalf, are appropriate for fastgrowing tumours, being usually applied for the study of tumourigenesis, tumour histology and tumour response to novel therapies. Xenografts are typically generated by isolating tumour cells from patients (surgical samples, ascites or established cell lines) and transplanting into immunocompromised mice that do not reject human, either under the skin or into the organ type in which the tumour originated. These models display a suppressed immune system such as thymus-deficient 'nude' or severe combined immunodeficient mice (SCID) [78]. The implementation of xenografts from established cell lines has disadvantages due to discrepancies concerning the origin of several ovarian cancer cell lines, typically resulting in tumours with histology distinct from what is expected [79]. However, PDX models are considerably interesting when going with well-characterised clinical and molecular data. PDXs have unique attributes that make them particularly adequate for drug discovery and pre-clinical studies on new therapies, including concurrent human and murine clinical trials, since they closely resemble human cancers in terms of drug response [75,80-82].

On the other hand, GEMMs are especially useful to study the role of certain oncogenes and suppressor genes in the initiation and progression of many cancers, allowing the analysis of specific roles and interactions during disease progression [83]. Additionally, advances in GEMMs technology have enabled the management and control of previously introduced transgenes or gene mutations through conditional expression tools, mimic disease progression and physiologic states in a more accurate way. Due to the existing variability among EOC histotypes, a wide number of GEMMs models with different molecular features are available. The largest offer appears within the most prevalent histology, serous ovarian cancer. In these studies, models have been used to display progression from untransformed tubal epithelium to invasive ovarian HGSC [84]. Similar models have been used to mimic different stages of the HGSOC disease by specifically inactivating key regulator genes such as BRCA1/2, TP53, PTEN and Dicer1, also leading to the formation of ascites and metastatic HGSOC lesions (Table 1) [85].

GEMMs have also been successfully used to study the oncogenesis of endometrioid EOC. To achieve a reliable model for this disease, different combinations of depletions and mutations of different genes such as KRAS, PTEN, ARID1A or APC were used. These models accomplished the generation of endometriosis and endometrioid ovarian adenocarcinoma with widespread metastases among others (Table 1) [86,87].

However, the availability of an animal model for mucinous EOC is particularly scarce as it is difficult to establish a cell of origin and also because it harbours unique clinical features when compared to other EOCs. Some avatar models have been generated for this tumour entity in which patient tumour histology was recapitulated with a high degree of similarity with the corresponding PDX xenograft, indicating the clinical utility of this *in vivo* platform [88]. Similar to mucinous EOC, clear-cell carcinoma GEMMs have not yet been engineered. Other GEMMs have been generated with the objective of imitating different EOC histotypes or describing the progression and development of the disease under variable conditions (Table 1).

In conclusion, EOC cells present multiple alterations in molecular pathways that lead them to proliferate and survive. Thanks to novel technologies, many sophisticated models have been generated, allowing the study of ovarian cancer. Comprehension of the main mechanisms involved in proliferation pathways is crucial to understand the pathogenesis and overcome the disease through the establishment of adequate *in vivo* models that can be used as pre-clinical testing platforms.

# **Declaration of competing interest**

None declared.

#### Acknowledgements

This work has been possible thank you to the ACIF/2016/008 grant from the Generalitat Valenciana.

#### REFERENCES

 Kurman RJ, Shih Ie M. Pathogenesis of ovarian cancer: lessons from morphology and molecular biology and their clinical implications. Int J Gynecol Pathol: Off J Int Soc Gynecol Pathol 2008;27:151–60. https://doi.org/10.1097/ PGP.0b013e318161e4f5.

- [2] Bast Jr RC, Hennessy B, Mills GB. The biology of ovarian cancer: new opportunities for translation. Nat Rev Cancer 2009;9:415–28. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc2644.
- [3] Kurman RJ, Shih Ie M. The origin and pathogenesis of epithelial ovarian cancer: a proposed unifying theory. Am J Surg Pathol 2010;34:433–43. https://doi.org/10.1097/ PAS.0b013e3181cf3d79.
- [4] Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. Cell 2011;144:646–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.cell.2011.02.013.
- [5] Lin ZP, Zhu YL, Ratner ES. Targeting cyclin-dependent kinases for treatment of gynecologic cancers. Front Oncol 2018;8:303. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2018.00303.
- [6] Feitelson MA, Arzumanyan A, Kulathinal RJ, Blain SW, Holcombe RF, Mahajna J, et al. Sustained proliferation in cancer: mechanisms and novel therapeutic targets. Semin Cancer Biol 2015;35(Suppl):S25–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.semcancer.2015.02.006.
- [7] Musgrove EA, Caldon CE, Barraclough J, Stone A, Sutherland RL. Cyclin D as a therapeutic target in cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 2011;11:558–72. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nrc3090.
- [8] Corney DC, Flesken-Nikitin A, Choi J, Nikitin AY. Role of p53 and Rb in ovarian cancer. Adv Exp Med Biol 2008;622:99–117. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-68969-2\_9.
- [9] Gras E, Pons C, Machin P, Matias-Guiu X, Prat J. Loss of heterozygosity at the RB-1 locus and pRB immunostaining in epithelial ovarian tumors: a molecular, immunohistochemical, and clinicopathologic study. Int J Gynecol Pathol: Off J Int Soc Gynecol Pathol 2001;20:335–40. https://doi.org/10.1097/00004347-200110000-00004.
- [10] Liu Y, Heyman M, Wang Y, Falkmer U, Hising C, Szekely L, et al. Molecular analysis of the retinoblastoma gene in primary ovarian cancer cells. Int J Cancer 1994;58:663–7. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.2910580508.
- [11] Barbieri F, Cagnoli M, Ragni N, Foglia G, Bruzzo C, Pedulla F, et al. Increased cyclin D1 expression is associated with features of malignancy and disease recurrence in ovarian tumors. Clin Cancer Res: Off J Am Assoc Cancer Res 1999;5:1837–42.
- [12] Cancer Genome Atlas Research N. Integrated genomic analyses of ovarian carcinoma. Nature 2011;474:609–15. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10166.
- [13] Brown CJ, Lain S, Verma CS, Fersht AR, Lane DP. Awakening guardian angels: drugging the p53 pathway. Nat Rev Cancer 2009;9:862–73. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc2763.
- [14] Sigal A, Rotter V. Oncogenic mutations of the p53 tumor suppressor: the demons of the guardian of the genome. Cancer Res 2000;60:6788–93.
- [15] Sherr CJ, McCormick F. The RB and p53 pathways in cancer. Cancer Cell 2002;2:103–12.
- [16] Hashiguchi Y, Tsuda H, Yamamoto K, Inoue T, Ishiko O, Ogita S. Combined analysis of p53 and RB pathways in epithelial ovarian cancer. Hum Pathol 2001;32:988–96. https://doi.org/10.1053/hupa.2001.27115.
- [17] Hudson LG, Zeineldin R, Silberberg M, Stack MS. Activated epidermal growth factor receptor in ovarian cancer. Cancer Treat Res 2009;149:203–26. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-98094-2\_10.
- [18] Wong AS, Auersperg N. Normal ovarian surface epithelium. Cancer Treat Res 2002;107:161–83.
- [19] Wang Z. ErbB receptors and cancer. Methods Mol Biol 2017;1652:3-35. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-7219-7\_1.
- [20] Lafky JM, Wilken JA, Baron AT, Maihle NJ. Clinical implications of the ErbB/epidermal growth factor (EGF) receptor family and its ligands in ovarian cancer. Biochim

Biophys Acta 2008;1785:232-65. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.bbcan.2008.01.001.

- [21] Wang SE, Narasanna A, Perez-Torres M, Xiang B, Wu FY, Yang S, et al. HER2 kinase domain mutation results in constitutive phosphorylation and activation of HER2 and EGFR and resistance to EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors. Cancer Cell 2006;10:25–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.ccr.2006.05.023.
- [22] Kelemen LE, Kobel M. Mucinous carcinomas of the ovary and colorectum: different organ, same dilemma. Lancet Oncol 2011;12:1071–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(11)70058-4.
- [23] Neuzillet C, Tijeras-Raballand A, Cohen R, Cros J, Faivre S, Raymond E, et al. Targeting the TGFbeta pathway for cancer therapy. Pharmacol Ther 2015;147:22–31. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.pharmthera.2014.11.001.
- [24] Alsina-Sanchis E, Figueras A, Lahiguera A, Gil-Martin M, Pardo B, Piulats JM, et al. TGFbeta controls ovarian cancer cell proliferation. Int J Mol Sci 2017;18. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/ijms18081658.
- [25] Ruggero D, Sonenberg N. The Akt of translational control. Oncogene 2005;24:7426–34. https://doi.org/10.1038/ sj.onc.1209098.
- [26] Myers AP, Cantley LC. Targeting a common collaborator in cancer development. Sci Transl Med 2010;2:48ps45. https:// doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3001251.
- [27] Morgan TM, Koreckij TD, Corey E. Targeted therapy for advanced prostate cancer: inhibition of the PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathway. Curr Cancer Drug Targets 2009;9:237–49.
- [28] Hung CM, Garcia-Haro L, Sparks CA, Guertin DA. mTORdependent cell survival mechanisms. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 2012;4. https://doi.org/10.1101/ cshperspect.a008771.
- [29] Ediriweera MK, Tennekoon KH, Samarakoon SR. Role of the PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway in ovarian cancer: biological and therapeutic significance. Semin Cancer Biol 2019. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2019.05.012.
- [30] Porta C, Paglino C, Mosca A. Targeting PI3K/Akt/mTOR signaling in cancer. Front Oncol 2014;4:64. https://doi.org/ 10.3389/fonc.2014.00064.
- [31] Carnero A, Blanco-Aparicio C, Renner O, Link W, Leal JF. The PTEN/PI3K/AKT signalling pathway in cancer, therapeutic implications. Curr Cancer Drug Targets 2008;8:187–98. https://doi.org/10.2174/156800908784293659.
- [32] Fresno Vara JA, Casado E, de Castro J, Cejas P, Belda-Iniesta C, Gonzalez-Baron M. PI3K/Akt signalling pathway and cancer. Cancer Treat Rev 2004;30:193–204. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ctrv.2003.07.007.
- [33] Hennessy BT, Smith DL, Ram PT, Lu Y, Mills GB. Exploiting the PI3K/AKT pathway for cancer drug discovery. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2005;4:988–1004. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd1902.
- [34] Huang J, Zhang L, Greshock J, Colligon TA, Wang Y, Ward R, et al. Frequent genetic abnormalities of the PI3K/AKT pathway in primary ovarian cancer predict patient outcome. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 2011;50:606–18. https://doi.org/10.1002/gcc.20883.
- [35] Campbell IG, Russell SE, Choong DY, Montgomery KG, Ciavarella ML, Hooi CS, et al. Mutation of the PIK3CA gene in ovarian and breast cancer. Cancer Res 2004;64:7678–81. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-04-2933.
- [36] Dobbin ZC, Landen CN. The importance of the PI3K/AKT/ MTOR pathway in the progression of ovarian cancer. Int J Mol Sci 2013;14:8213–27. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijms14048213.
- [37] Mabuchi S, Kuroda H, Takahashi R, Sasano T. The PI3K/ AKT/mTOR pathway as a therapeutic target in ovarian cancer. Gynecol Oncol 2015;137:173–9. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ygyno.2015.02.003.

- [38] Li H, Zeng J, Shen K. PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway as a therapeutic target for ovarian cancer. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2014;290:1067–78. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00404-014-3377-3.
- [39] Osaki M, Oshimura M, Ito H. PI3K-Akt pathway: its functions and alterations in human cancer. Apoptosis: Int J Program Cell Death 2004;9:667–76. https://doi.org/10.1023/ B:APPT.0000045801.15585.dd.
- [40] Rezatabar S, Karimian A, Rameshknia V, Parsian H, Majidinia M, Kopi TA, et al. RAS/MAPK signaling functions in oxidative stress, DNA damage response and cancer progression. J Cell Physiol 2019. https://doi.org/10.1002/ jcp.28334.
- [41] Roberts PJ, Der CJ. Targeting the Raf-MEK-ERK mitogenactivated protein kinase cascade for the treatment of cancer. Oncogene 2007;26:3291–310. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/sj.onc.1210422.
- [42] Kurman RJ, Shih Ie M. Molecular pathogenesis and extraovarian origin of epithelial ovarian cancer-shifting the paradigm. Hum Pathol 2011;42:918–31. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.humpath.2011.03.003.
- [43] Teer JK, Yoder S, Gjyshi A, Nicosia SV, Zhang C, Monteiro ANA. Mutational heterogeneity in non-serous ovarian cancers. Sci Rep 2017;7:9728. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41598-017-10432-9.
- [44] Groeneweg JW, Foster R, Growdon WB, Verheijen RH, Rueda BR. Notch signaling in serous ovarian cancer. J Ovarian Res 2014;7:95. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13048-014-0095-1.
- [45] Weng AP, Millholland JM, Yashiro-Ohtani Y, Arcangeli ML, Lau A, Wai C, et al. c-Myc is an important direct target of Notch1 in T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma. Genes Dev 2006;20:2096–109. https://doi.org/10.1101/ gad.1450406.
- [46] Palomero T, Lim WK, Odom DT, Sulis ML, Real PJ, Margolin A, et al. NOTCH1 directly regulates c-MYC and activates a feed-forward-loop transcriptional network promoting leukemic cell growth. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2006;103:18261–6. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0606108103.
- [47] Rangarajan A, Talora C, Okuyama R, Nicolas M, Mammucari C, Oh H, et al. Notch signaling is a direct determinant of keratinocyte growth arrest and entry into differentiation. EMBO J 2001;20:3427–36. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/emboj/20.13.3427.
- [48] Ceccarelli S, Megiorni F, Bellavia D, Marchese C, Screpanti I, Checquolo S. Notch3 targeting: a novel weapon against ovarian cancer stem cells. Stem Cell Int 2019:6264931. https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/6264931.
- [49] Park JT, Li M, Nakayama K, Mao TL, Davidson B, Zhang Z, et al. Notch3 gene amplification in ovarian cancer. Cancer Res 2006;66:6312–8. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-05-3610.
- [50] Barbolina MV, Kim M, Liu Y, Shepard J, Belmadani A, Miller RJ, et al. Microenvironmental regulation of chemokine (C-X-C-motif) receptor 4 in ovarian carcinoma. Mol Cancer Res 2010;8:653–64. https://doi.org/10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-09-0463.
- [51] Chiaramonte R, Colombo M, Bulfamante G, Falleni M, Tosi D, Garavelli S, et al. Notch pathway promotes ovarian cancer growth and migration via CXCR4/SDF1alpha chemokine system. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 2015;66:134–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocel.2015.07.015.
- [52] Komiya Y, Habas R. Wnt signal transduction pathways. Organogenesis 2008;4:68–75.
- [53] Teeuwssen M, Fodde R. Wnt signaling in ovarian cancer stemness, EMT, and therapy resistance. J Clin Med 2019;8. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm8101658.
- [54] Yoshioka S, King ML, Ran S, Okuda H, MacLean 2nd JA, McAsey ME, et al. WNT7A regulates tumor growth and

progression in ovarian cancer through the WNT/betacatenin pathway. Mol Cancer Res 2012;10:469–82. https:// doi.org/10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-11-0177.

- [55] Kildal W, Risberg B, Abeler VM, Kristensen GB, Sudbo J, Nesland JM, et al. beta-catenin expression, DNA ploidy and clinicopathological features in ovarian cancer: a study in 253 patients. Eur J Cancer 2005;41:1127–34. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ejca.2005.01.022.
- [56] Lee CM, Shvartsman H, Deavers MT, Wang SC, Xia W, Schmandt R, et al. beta-catenin nuclear localization is associated with grade in ovarian serous carcinoma. Gynecol Oncol 2003;88:363–8.
- [57] Wu R, Zhai Y, Fearon ER, Cho KR. Diverse mechanisms of beta-catenin deregulation in ovarian endometrioid adenocarcinomas. Cancer Res 2001;61:8247–55.
- [58] Lee SH, Koh YW, Roh HJ, Cha HJ, Kwon YS. Ovarian microcystic stromal tumor: a novel extracolonic tumor in familial adenomatous polyposis. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 2015;54:353–60. https://doi.org/10.1002/gcc.22233.
- [59] Liu C, Gallagher RL, Price GR, Bolton E, Joy C, Harraway J, et al. Ovarian microcystic stromal tumor: a rare clinical manifestation of familial adenomatous polyposis. Int J Gynecol Pathol: Off J Int Soc Gynecol Pathol 2016;35:561–5. https://doi.org/10.1097/PGP.00000000000289.
- [60] Lee JH, Kim HS, Cho NH, Lee JY, Kim S, Kim SW, et al. Genetic analysis of ovarian microcystic stromal tumor. Obstetrics Gynecol Sci 2016;59:157–62. https://doi.org/ 10.5468/ogs.2016.59.2.157.
- [61] Arend RC, Londono-Joshi AI, Straughn Jr JM, Buchsbaum DJ. The Wnt/beta-catenin pathway in ovarian cancer: a review. Gynecol Oncol 2013;131:772–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.ygyno.2013.09.034.
- [62] Junttila MR, Evan GI. p53–a Jack of all trades but master of none. Nat Rev Cancer 2009;9:821–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nrc2728.
- [63] Gadducci A, Cosio S, Muraca S, Genazzani AR. Molecular mechanisms of apoptosis and chemosensitivity to platinum and paclitaxel in ovarian cancer: biological data and clinical implications. Eur J Gynaecol Oncol 2002;23:390–6.
- [64] Kar R, Sen S, Singh A, Sharma H, Kumar S, Gupta SD, et al. Role of apoptotic regulators in human epithelial ovarian cancer. Cancer Biol Ther 2007;6:1101–5.
- [65] Grivennikov SI, Greten FR, Karin M. Immunity, inflammation, and cancer. Cell 2010;140:883–99. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2010.01.025.
- [66] Reddel RR. Telomere maintenance mechanisms in cancer: clinical implications. Curr Pharmaceut Des 2014;20:6361–74.
- [67] Terry KL, Tworoger SS, Vitonis AF, Wong J, Titus-Ernstoff L, De Vivo I, et al. Telomere length and genetic variation in telomere maintenance genes in relation to ovarian cancer risk. Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev 2012;21:504–12. https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-11-0867.
- [68] Sun Y, Tao W, Huang M, Wu X, Gu J. Genetic variants in telomere-maintenance genes are associated with ovarian cancer risk and outcome. J Cell Mol Med 2017;21:510–8. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcmm.12995.
- [69] Han CY, Patten DA, Richardson RB, Harper ME, Tsang BK. Tumor metabolism regulating chemosensitivity in ovarian cancer. Genes Cancer 2018;9:155–75. https://doi.org/ 10.18632/genesandcancer.176.
- [70] Andrzejewski S, Klimcakova E, Johnson RM, Tabaries S, Annis MG, McGuirk S, et al. PGC-1alpha promotes breast cancer metastasis and confers bioenergetic flexibility against metabolic drugs. Cell Metabol 2017;26:778–87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2017.09.006. e775.
- [71] St-Pierre J, Drori S, Uldry M, Silvaggi JM, Rhee J, Jager S, et al. Suppression of reactive oxygen species and

neurodegeneration by the PGC-1 transcriptional coactivators. Cell 2006;127:397–408. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.09.024.

- [72] Hay N. Reprogramming glucose metabolism in cancer: can it be exploited for cancer therapy? Nat Rev Cancer 2016;16:635–49. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc.2016.77.
- [73] Icard P, Shulman S, Farhat D, Steyaert JM, Alifano M, Lincet H. How the Warburg effect supports aggressiveness and drug resistance of cancer cells? Drug Resist Updates 2018;38:1–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drup.2018.03.001.
- [74] Jones RG, Thompson CB. Tumor suppressors and cell metabolism: a recipe for cancer growth. Genes Dev 2009;23:537–48. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1756509.
- [75] Khaled WT, Liu P. Cancer mouse models: past, present and future. Semin Cell Dev Biol 2014;27:54–60. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.semcdb.2014.04.003.
- [76] House CD, Hernandez L, Annunziata CM. Recent technological advances in using mouse models to study ovarian cancer. Front Oncol 2014;4:26. https://doi.org/ 10.3389/fonc.2014.00026.
- [77] Fong MY, Kakar SS. Ovarian cancer mouse models: a summary of current models and their limitations. J Ovarian Res 2009;2:12. https://doi.org/10.1186/1757-2215-2-12.
- [78] Richmond A, Su Y. Mouse xenograft models vs GEM models for human cancer therapeutics. Dis Model Mech 2008;1:78–82. https://doi.org/10.1242/dmm.000976.
- [79] Shaw TJ, Senterman MK, Dawson K, Crane CA, Vanderhyden BC. Characterization of intraperitoneal, orthotopic, and metastatic xenograft models of human ovarian cancer. Mol Ther : J Am Soc Gene Ther 2004;10:1032–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.ymthe.2004.08.013.
- [80] Cybulska P, Stewart JM, Sayad A, Virtanen C, Shaw PA, Clarke B, et al. A genomically characterized collection of high-grade serous ovarian cancer xenografts for preclinical testing. Am J Pathol 2018;188:1120–31. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ajpath.2018.01.019.
- [81] Kolfschoten GM, Pinedo HM, Scheffer PG, Schluper HM, Erkelens CA, Boven E. Development of a panel of 15 human ovarian cancer xenografts for drug screening and determination of the role of the glutathione detoxification system. Gynecol Oncol 2000;76:362–8. https://doi.org/ 10.1006/gyno.1999.5689.
- [82] Konstantinopoulos PA, Matulonis UA. Current status and evolution of preclinical drug development models of epithelial ovarian cancer. Front Oncol 2013;3:296. https:// doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2013.00296.
- [83] Mullany LK, Richards JS. Minireview: animal models and mechanisms of ovarian cancer development. Endocrinology 2012;153:1585–92. https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2011-2121.
- [84] Sherman-Baust CA, Kuhn E, Valle BL, Shih Ie M, Kurman RJ, Wang TL, et al. A genetically engineered ovarian cancer mouse model based on fallopian tube transformation mimics human high-grade serous carcinoma development. J Pathol 2014;233:228–37. https://doi.org/10.1002/path.4353.
- [85] Perets R, Wyant GA, Muto KW, Bijron JG, Poole BB, Chin KT, et al. Transformation of the fallopian tube secretory epithelium leads to high-grade serous ovarian cancer in Brca;Tp53;Pten models. Cancer Cell 2013;24:751–65. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2013.10.013.
- [86] Dinulescu DM, Ince TA, Quade BJ, Shafer SA, Crowley D, Jacks T. Role of K-ras and Pten in the development of mouse models of endometriosis and endometrioid ovarian cancer. Nat Med 2005;11:63–70. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm1173.
- [87] Guan B, Rahmanto YS, Wu RC, Wang Y, Wang Z, Wang TL, et al. Roles of deletion of Arid1a, a tumor suppressor, in mouse ovarian tumorigenesis. J Natl Cancer Inst 2014;106. https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/dju146.

- [88] Ricci F, Bizzaro F, Cesca M, Guffanti F, Ganzinelli M, Decio A, et al. Patient-derived ovarian tumor xenografts recapitulate human clinicopathology and genetic alterations. Cancer Res 2014;74:6980–90. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-14-0274.
- [89] Kim J, Coffey DM, Creighton CJ, Yu Z, Hawkins SM, Matzuk MM. High-grade serous ovarian cancer arises from fallopian tube in a mouse model. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2012;109:3921–6. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1117135109.
- [90] Kim J, Coffey DM, Ma L, Matzuk MM. The ovary is an alternative site of origin for high-grade serous ovarian cancer in mice. Endocrinology 2015;156:1975–81. https:// doi.org/10.1210/en.2014-1977.
- [91] Xing D, Orsulic S. A mouse model for the molecular characterization of brca1-associated ovarian carcinoma. Cancer Res 2006;66:8949–53. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-1495.
- [92] Szabova L, Yin C, Bupp S, Guerin TM, Schlomer JJ, Householder DB, et al. Perturbation of Rb, p53, and Brca1 or Brca2 cooperate in inducing metastatic serous epithelial ovarian cancer. Cancer Res 2012;72:4141–53. https://doi.org/ 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-11-3834.
- [93] Fan HY, Liu Z, Paquet M, Wang J, Lydon JP, DeMayo FJ, et al. Cell type-specific targeted mutations of Kras and Pten document proliferation arrest in granulosa cells versus oncogenic insult to ovarian surface epithelial cells. Cancer Res 2009;69:6463–72. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-08-3363.
- [94] Wu R, Hendrix-Lucas N, Kuick R, Zhai Y, Schwartz DR, Akyol A, et al. Mouse model of human ovarian endometrioid adenocarcinoma based on somatic defects in the Wnt/beta-catenin and PI3K/Pten signaling pathways. Cancer Cell 2007;11:321–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.ccr.2007.02.016.
- [95] Tirodkar TS, Budiu RA, Elishaev E, Zhang L, Mony JT, Brozick J, et al. MUC1 positive, Kras and Pten driven mouse gynecologic tumors replicate human tumors and vary in survival and nuclear grade based on anatomical location. PLoS One 2014;9:e102409. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0102409.
- [96] Ren YA, Mullany LK, Liu Z, Herron AJ, Wong KK, Richards JS. Mutant p53 promotes epithelial ovarian cancer by regulating tumor differentiation, metastasis, and responsiveness to steroid hormones. Cancer Res 2016;76:2206–18. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-15-1046.
- [97] Quinn BA, Brake T, Hua X, Baxter-Jones K, Litwin S, Ellenson LH, et al. Induction of ovarian leiomyosarcomas in mice by conditional inactivation of Brca1 and p53. PLoS One 2009;4:e8404. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0008404.
- [98] Clark-Knowles KV, Senterman MK, Collins O, Vanderhyden BC. Conditional inactivation of Brca1, p53 and Rb in mouse ovaries results in the development of leiomyosarcomas. PLoS One 2009;4:e8534. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0008534.
- [99] Connolly DC, Bao R, Nikitin AY, Stephens KC, Poole TW, Hua X, et al. Female mice chimeric for expression of the simian virus 40 TAg under control of the MISIIR promoter develop epithelial ovarian cancer. Cancer Res 2003;63:1389–97.
- [100] Orsulic S, Li Y, Soslow RA, Vitale-Cross LA, Gutkind JS, Varmus HE. Induction of ovarian cancer by defined multiple genetic changes in a mouse model system. Cancer Cell 2002;1:53–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1535-6108(01)00002-2.
- [101] Wu R, Zhai Y, Kuick R, Karnezis AN, Garcia P, Naseem A, et al. Impact of oviductal versus ovarian epithelial cell of origin on ovarian endometrioid carcinoma phenotype in the mouse. J Pathol 2016;240:341–51. https://doi.org/10.1002/path.4783.