

Can Controlling Endoplasmic Reticulum Dysfunction Treat Allergic Inflammation in Severe Asthma With Fungal Sensitization?

Jae Seok Jeong,¹ So Ri Kim,^{1,2} Yong Chul Lee^{1,2*}

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Research Center for Pulmonary Disorders, Chonbuk National University Medical School, Jeonju, Korea ²Research Institute of Clinical Medicine of Chonbuk National University-Biomedical Research Institute of Chonbuk National University Medical School, Jeonju, Korea

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Severe asthma is a heterogeneous disease entity to which diverse cellular components and pathogenetic mechanisms contribute. Current asthma therapies, including new biologic agents, are mainly targeting T helper type 2 cell-dominant inflammation, so that they are often unsatisfactory in the treatment of severe asthma. Respiratory fungal exposure has long been regarded as a precipitating factor for severe asthma phenotype. Moreover, as seen in clinical definitions of allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis (ABPA) and severe asthma with fungal sensitization (SAFS), fungal allergy-associated severe asthma phenotype is increasingly thought to have distinct pathobiologic mechanisms requiring different therapeutic approaches other than conventional treatment. However, there are still many unanswered questions on the direct causality of fungal sensitization in inducing severe allergic inflammation in SAFS. Recently, growing evidence suggests that stress response from the largest organelle, endoplasmic reticulum (ER), is closely interconnected to diverse cellular immune/inflammatory platforms, thereby being implicated in severe allergic lung inflammation. Interestingly, a recent study on this issue has suggested that ER stress responses and several associated molecular platforms, including phosphoinositide 3-kinase- δ and mitochondria, may be crucial players in the development of severe allergic inflammation in the SAFS. Defining emerging roles of ER and associated cellular platforms in SAFS may offer promising therapeutic options in the near future.

Key Words: Severe asthma; fungi; SAFS; ER stress; subcellular organelles; innate immunity

INTRODUCTION

Bronchial asthma is a common chronic inflammatory disorder of the airways that is increasingly recognized as a heterogeneous clinical syndrome. Through a vast amount of research, current knowledge on the pathogenesis of asthma has shifted from a single disease due to airway obstruction to a complex disorder consisting of diverse phenotypes.¹ The heterogeneous nature of bronchial asthma necessitates advancement in treatment, particularly for severe asthmatic patients refractory to conventional therapies, including inhaled or systemic corticosteroids (CS) and bronchodilators. Currently, most of the asthma treatment guidelines are based on the strategy which mainly targets T helper type 2 (T_H2) cells-dominant airway inflammation and these are efficacious in many patients. However, 5%-10% asthmatic patients remain poorly managed by these modalities and account for about 50% of the health care expenditure for asthma care.² Notably, severe asthma is also heterogeneous in nature, in which different cellular components and pathogenetic mechanisms contribute to the disease, requiring different therapeutic approaches.³ Therefore, defining etiologies and underlying pathobiologic mechanisms (*i.e.* endotypes) in various types of severe asthma is a major field of respiratory research.^{4,5}

Respiratory fungal exposure is constant in humans, and fungal spores constitute the largest proportion of aerobiological particles in usual air environment.⁶ Thus, the impact of respiratory fungal exposure on clinical courses of bronchial asthma has been widely reported in the literature for a long time.^{7,8} In particular, fungal exposure has been reported to be associated with the development of asthma.⁹ Furthermore, exposure to environmental fungal spores also leads to the exacerbation of allergic symptoms and asthma.^{10,11} These results suggest the crucial role of fungi in allergic lung inflammation, especially in

Correspondence to: Yong Chul Lee, MD, PhD, Department of Internal Medicine, Chonbuk National University Medical School, 20 Geonji-ro, Deokjin-gu, Jeonju 54907, Korea. Tel: +82-63-250-1664; Fax: +82-63-250-1633; E-mail: leeyc@jbnu.ac.kr

Received: July 10, 2017; Revised: September 5, 2017;

• Jae Seok Jeong and So Ri Kim contributed equally to this work.

• There are no financial or other issues that might lead to conflict of interest.

Accepted: September 21, 2017

the more severe phenotype of the disease. Importantly, this idea is further supported by the fact that fungi can colonize, actively germinate, and infect the human respiratory tract, thereby potently sensitizing and inducing the host immune response.^{7,12} Moreover, they can produce a wide array of enzymes and toxins closely implicated in allergic inflammation.¹³ In this context, epidemiologic studies have also shown that fungal sensitivity is a possible precipitating factor for life-threatening asthma.^{14,15} Based on this knowledge, severe asthma with fungal sensitization (SAFS) has been proposed to be investigated as a particular phenotype of severe asthma with therapeutic implications in clinical trials.^{7,16,17} However, treatment with antifungal agents in SAFS patients failed to show a consistent beneficial effect on the clinical course of the disease in 2 randomized clinical trials.^{16,17} In fact, little is known about the exact role of fungi in severe allergic lung inflammation.

Recently, increasing evidence suggests that cellular stresses due to impaired biological processes can be integrated into inflammatory response, thereby being implicated in various human inflammatory disorders. Endoplasmic reticulum (ER) is the largest intracellular organelle which manipulates crucial soluble and membrane proteins, and it is abundantly equipped by numerous enzymes that facilitate proper folding of the client proteins.¹⁸ In this respect, stress response originates from the ER related to the accumulation of unfolded and/or misfolded proteins in the ER lumen (*i.e.* ER stress),¹⁹ has been increasingly reported to be involved in the pathogenesis of diverse inflammatory disorders.²⁰ Furthermore, involvement of ER stress in the pathogenesis of numerous pulmonary disorders, including bronchial asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), fibrotic lung disorders, and acute lung injury (ALI)/ acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), has been widely investigated.21-25

In this review, we focus on the emerging role of ER and associated cellular immune/inflammatory molecular platforms in the lung, particularly in the pathogenesis of fungal allergy and SAFS. Additionally, we present our recent data on these issues, which further highlight the therapeutic potential of ER stress in fungi-induced severe allergic inflammatory disorders in the lung.

SAFS and other fungal sensitization/allergy-associated clinical conditions

Fungal sensitization and allergy development refer to an exaggerated immune response typically against non-pathogenic fungi. Fungal sensitization and allergy are further distinguished by the presence of immune-mediated tissue damage because sensitization itself does not always cause inflammatory response.²⁶ In contrast, the term fungal infection can be applied when there is evidence of tissue dysfunction associated with the growth and invasion of pathogenic fungi in the host. Whereas viable fungi are implicated in both allergy and infection,

causative agents for allergy do not need to be viable to induce an allergic response (*e.g.* structural components and byproducts). There are several important disease entities representing the severe end of fungal sensitization/allergy development-associated conditions.²⁶ These include allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis/mycosis (ABPA/ABPM) and SAFS.

ABPA is a complex hypersensitivity reaction that often occurs in patients with asthma or cystic fibrosis when bronchi become colonized by *Aspergillus* species, mostly *Aspergillus fumigatus*.²⁷ In general, the diagnosis of ABPA is a composite of clinical, radiological, and immunologic features (Table). In the later courses of ABPA, repeated episodes of bronchial obstruction, inflammation, and mucoid impaction can lead to irreversible structural changes. Many patients with ABPA respond well to treatment with systemic CS, whereas some patients are poorly controlled and can be complicated by progression to bronchiectasis and pulmonary fibrosis. Similarly, fungi other than *Aspergillus* species, such as *Candida albicans*, can induce an AB-PA-like disease process, which refers to ABPM.

Whereas ABPA was first reported in 1952, the definition of SAFS (Table) was introduced in 2006⁷ and has been used in clinical trial settings to demonstrate the possible role of antifungal therapy in treating a particular phenotype of severe asthma associated with fungi.^{16,17} In fact, ABPA/ABPM is an extreme spectrum of allergic inflammatory response against fungi, thus most patients sensitized to fungi without convincing evidence of lung damage had not been incorporated into a specific disease entity.²⁶ Therefore, researchers have proposed SAFS that can be defined as patients having both severe asthma and evidence for fungal sensitization (*i.e.* positive skin prick test, positive fungal specific IgE in blood) without satisfying the criteria of ABPA.⁷ Thereafter, several clinical studies suggested the role of antifungal agents in the treatment of the SAFS patient group.^{16,28}

Meanwhile, most of the current diagnostic criteria for ABPA/ ABPM have been in practice since the 1970s and do not pre-

Table. Diagnostic criteria of ABPA/ABPM and SAFS

Disease entity	Diagnostic criteria
ABPA/ABPM	Asthma or cystic fibrosis (often that are not well controlled)
	Elevated total serum IgE (>1,000 IU/mL)
	Elevated specific IgE and/or IgG antibodies against <i>Aspergillus</i> or other molds
	Positive immediate skin tests to Aspergillus or other molds
	Serum eosinophilia (>1,000 cells/µL)
	Presence of central (or proximal) bronchiectasis
	Radiographic pulmonary infiltrates
SAFS	Severe asthma
	Elevated total serum IgE (<1,000 IU/mL)
	Sensitization to any fungus by skin prick test or specific IgE

ABPA, allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis; ABPM, allergic bronchopulmonary mycosis; SAFS, severe asthma with fungal sensitization. cisely reflect the natural history of the disease, especially in its early phase.²⁶ Consequently, these diagnostic criteria of ABPA/ ABPM may not be effective for the prevention of permanent lung damage, such as bronchiectasis. Additionally, the definition of SAFS does not represent the direct causality of fungal sensitization in inducing severe asthma, even though it is convenient for patient inclusion in clinical trials. In this respect, SAFS was not proposed as an asthma endotype having a distinct pathobiological mechanism.⁴ Conflicting results from previous clinical trials, in which efficacies of antifungal agents in the treatment of SAFS were investigated,^{16,17} may be partly due to the limitation in SAFS definition and unstandardized testing tools demonstrating fungal allergy. More precise definitions that represent the diverse spectrum of fungal allergy-associated clinical conditions are needed in the near future.

Interactions between fungi and host

Research on the mechanisms of fungi-associated disorders, including fungal allergy and infection, has revealed intricate molecular networks between the fungi and host. In particular, they mainly focused on what kind of fungi-derived molecules can be effectively recognized by the host immune system through what kind of innate receptors and how these receptorligand interactions can be interpreted and linked to appropriate host inflammatory responses.

Fungi contain various antigens in their cell wall. Among various components, polysaccharides, including α - and β -glucans, galactomannan, and chitin, are major constituents of fungal cell wall.²⁹ In addition, fungi can produce large amounts of secreted enzymes, including proteases and glycosidases, which can directly damage host tissues.²⁶ Both fungal cell wall and secreted components can induce protective host immune responses against fungi. At the same time, they also act as major fungal allergens in the lung.

Initial recognition of fungi

The complex interaction between the host and fungi begins with recognition of the fungal components, called pathogenassociated molecular patterns (PAMPs), through several evolutionarily conserved pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) of the innate immunity.³⁰ The C-type lectin receptor (CLR), Toll-like receptor (TLR), and NOD-like receptor (NLR) families of PRRs are known to be involved in anti-fungal host immunity.

CLRs

Since about 60% of the dry weight of the fungal cell wall is β -glucans, glucan concentration in dust can be used as a surrogate marker for estimating fungal exposure.^{8,31} CLRs consist of a transmembrane receptor family having a carbohydrate-binding domain, thereby recognizing carbohydrates on the fungi.³⁰ Among them, dectin-1 and dectin-2 expressed on macrophages, neutrophils, and dendritic cells (DCs) sense β -glucans

of fungi and generate anti-fungal effector responses with phagocytosis, oxidative burst, and production of inflammatory mediators, including interleukin (IL)-6, IL-8, and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)- α .⁸ In CLR signaling, activation of downstream Syk tyrosine kinase is known to be integral in the activation of mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinases and important transcription factors, including nuclear factor (NF)-KB through CARD9.29 The clinical importance of the CLR-associated pathway is further emphasized by the fact that mutations in dectin-1 and CARD⁹ lead to chronic fungal infection.^{32,33} Moreover, considering that aerosol exposure of β -glucan resulted in pulmonary eosinophilia in an animal model³⁴ and that the levels of β-glucan were positively associated with peak expiratory flow variability in children with asthma,³⁵ the CLR pathway may play an important role in the pathogenesis of fungal allergic lung inflammation.

TLRs

TLRs are broadly expressed on nonprofessional innate cells, such as epithelial cells and fibroblasts, as well as innate immune cells. A wide range of fungal PAMPs (e.g. phospholipomannan, glucuronoxylomannan, O- and N-linked mannans, DNA, and RNA) are recognized by numerous TLRs.³⁶ Their engagements with cognate TLRs activate intracellular pathways via association with the myeloid differentiation primary response gene 88 (Myd88) (except for the TLR3 pathway), a key downstream adaptor for most TLRs and IL-1 receptors,³⁰ and intersect with cellular adaptor proteins and important transcription factors, such as NF-KB.36 Among them, TLR2 and TLR4 have been thought to be crucial for the recognition of common pathogenic fungi, including Candida and Aspergillus species. In particular, macrophages lacking a functional TLR4 or both TLR2 and TLR4 result in decreased nuclear translocation of NF-KB and release of various pro-inflammatory cytokines in response to viable conidia of Aspergillus fumigatus.³⁷ In addition, the lack of TLR2 and/or TLR4 significantly impairs recruitment and effector function of neutrophils in response to Aspergillus fumigatus. Furthermore decreased recruitment of neutrophils and macrophages to the site of Candida albicans infection was observed in TLR2^{-/-} mice,³⁸ and mice expressing nonfunctional TLR4 showed decreased phagocytosis and production of pro-inflammatory mediators in macrophages against Candida albicans infection.39

NLRs

NLRs, a cytoplasmic PRR family, are also involved in the recognition of fungi and induction of anti-fungal immune response.^{40,41} Two NLRs, namely, NLRP3 and NLRC4, are reported to sense fungi to form the proteolytic multiprotein complex termed inflammasomes, processing and activating IL-1 β and IL-18 which are essential cytokines for protection against fungi.^{29,41} On sensing certain fungi, these NLRs oligomerize to form

caspase-1-activating scaffold through recruiting and nucleating numerous apoptosis-associated speck-like proteins containing a CARD (ASCs) and pro-caspase-1. Then, active caspase-1 cleaves pro-IL-1 β and pro-IL-18 into their biologically active forms IL-1 β and IL-18, respectively. Interestingly, activation of NLRP3 inflammasome against *Aspergillus fumigatus* and *Candida albicans* may require Syk tyrosine kinase, which is located in the downstream of CLR signaling.⁴⁰ Furthermore, the CLRs-Syk pathway is also known to be related to non-NLR-associated inflammasome to produce IL-1 β against fungi.²⁹ Therefore, the CLRs-Syk pathway may be a potent upstream modulator in the activation of various inflammasomes against fungi.

Action of fungal proteases

Many of the fungal allergens are known as proteases (www.allergen.org. Accessed July, 2017). Similarly, increased expression of protease-activated receptor-2 (PAR-2) is observed in asthmatic airway epithelium and diverse proteases from many aeroallergens, including fungi, activate PAR-2.42 Therefore, elucidating the action mechanism of various fungal proteases in allergic lung disease is an important issue to unveil the fundamental molecular basis of the disease. In particular, Homma et al.⁴³ recently demonstrated that PAR-2 activation by Aspergillus fumigatus may weaken T_H1 cells-mediated antiviral/interferon response without affecting Th2 cells-mediated response in airway epithelial cells, and thus this may impact on airway cell populations toward T_H2 cells. Additionally, highly purified protease derived from Aspergillus fumigatus was shown to be sufficient to convert type I allergen ovalbumin (which typically require aluminum-based adjuvants before respiratory challenge to induce pulmonary allergic inflammation in mice) to type II allergen (which can readily induce allergic lung inflammation via airway challenge without additional extra-pulmonary adjuvants) when primary respiratory exposure to ovalbumin occurs in the presence of fungal protease, which implies that active proteases are the essential adjuvant factor responsible for overcoming tolerogenic responses and inducing allergic reaction.44 Furthermore, fibrinogen cleavage product by fungal protease in airway epithelium has been reported to activate PRRs, such as TLR4, also known as one of the important upstream regulators of T helper responses to allergens,^{45,46} thereby associating antifungal innate immunity with adaptive allergic inflammation.¹³ Importantly, fungal proteases directly influence the integrity of asthmatic bronchial epithelium and induce morphologic changes and pro-inflammatory cytokine production, thereby possibly enhancing the allergenic potential of the other fungal allergens at the same time.⁷ Given that epithelial exposure to various fungal allergens occurs simultaneously, these aspects of action may highlight the vital role of fungal proteases in initiating allergic airway disorders.

Interpretation of fungal exposure and induction of host immune responses

Initial fungal recognition leads to release of endogenous danger molecules, including uric acid, ATP, and various epithelium-derived cytokines, such as IL-33, IL-25, and thymic stromal lymphopoietin (TSLP) from airway epithelium.⁴⁵ These molecules are critically implicated in the pathogenesis of fungal allergy. For example, IL-33 is a member of the IL-1 family of cytokines and normally localized in the nucleus of airway epithelial cells. However, IL-33 can be released and processed to a potent mature form in response to epithelial stimulation from protease action and PRR activation. Then, through engagement of IL-33 with IL-1 receptor family member suppressor of tumorigenicity 2 (ST2), group 2 innate lymphoid cells (ILCs) can be activated and rapidly induce type 2 inflammation in the airways. In this context, IL-33/ST2 signaling has reported to be prerequisite for airway eosinophilia following exposure to Alternaria alternata, which is mainly orchestrated by IL-5/IL-13-producing group 2 ILCs in the lung.⁴⁷ Moreover, type 2 airway inflammation induced by chitin (a polysaccharide constituent of fungal cell wall) and protease allergen papain also involves epithelial production of IL-33, IL-25, and TSLP, and subsequent activation of group 2 ILCs, DCs, and other innate immune cells.^{48,49} Importantly, epithelial release of IL-33 leads to exacerbation and airway remodeling of allergic airway disease in murine models, ^{50,51} which highlights the possible implication of IL-33 in fungi-induced severe allergic inflammation. Furthermore, a recent study has shown that children with SAFS is associated with higher airway IL-33 levels in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid and endobronchial biopsy specimens compared to children having severe asthma without fungal sensitization. Similarly, pulmonary IL-33 levels and IL-13+ group 2 ILCs numbers are increased in the Alternaria alternata-induced SAFS animal model. Notably, Alternaria alternata-induced elevations in lung IL-33 levels and IL-13+ group 2 ILCs numbers remain increased with CS treatment, demonstrating that anti-fungal host immune response involving epithelial IL-33/group 2 ILCs may contribute to CS resistance of fungi-induced allergic lung inflammation.52

Genetic background of the host

Several genetic problems affecting the phagocytic activity of cell-mediated immunity have been well known to be associated with increased risk of fungal infection.⁵³ For instance, genetic defects in several proteins comprising the NADPH oxidase complex, which is essential for the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in phagocytes, are related to increased incidence of invasive fungal infection. However, scarce information is available on genetic associations concerning SAFS and other fungal allergic diseases. Most knowledge on these subjects came from small studies on ABPA. Several implicated genes have been identified, which includes IL-4R α , cystic fibrosis

transmembrane conductance regulator, and HLA-DR.²⁶ In regards to SAFS, HLA class II restriction involving HLA-DR and HLA-DQ was reported to be implicated in *Alternaria*-sensitive moderate-to-severe asthma.⁵⁴ In particular, there is possibility that genetic loci encoding IL-33 and its receptor could be linked to SAFS development, since these have consistently been reported to be a critical susceptibility factor for human asthma.⁵⁵ Further research is warranted regarding this issue.

Implication of ER Stress in Fungal Allergy and SAFS

ER stress and unfolded-protein response (UPR)

ER is located adjacent to nuclear envelope and structurally consists of continuous membrane network that expands throughout the cytoplasm. ER participates in a variety of cellular functions. In addition to its well-known role as a major cellular storage for calcium, ER plays an essential role in the synthesis, correct folding, assembly, modification, and transport of soluble and membrane proteins. To efficiently accomplish its roles as a 'protein folding factory,' ER is abundantly equipped with chaperones, oxidases, and isomerases that facilitate proper folding of proteins and thus manipulates nearly one-third of the cellular proteome.¹⁸

Meanwhile, optimal milieus within ER, such as adequate concentrations of ATP and calcium or oxidizing environments, are essential to retain the maximal activities of many enzymes for protein folding.⁵⁶ Therefore, ER is highly sensitive to various stresses that perturb cellular energy levels, calcium concentration, and redox state, all of which can lead to the accumulation of misfolded and/or unfolded proteins in the ER lumen. Accumulation of misfolded/unfolded proteins are toxic to cells and can cause an imbalance between the ER folding capacity and the folding load of nascent protein entering ER, which is referred to as ER stress. To maintain cellular homeostasis against this crisis, eukaryotic cells have evolved adaptive response, UPR. UPR signaling is mediated by 3 ER-localized sensors in mammalian cells. These consist of inositol-requiring 1α (IRE1 α), double-stranded RNA-dependent protein kinase (PKR)-like ER kinase (PERK), and activating transcription factor 6 (ATF6); each of these ER transmembrane proteins senses unfolded proteins in ER through ER-luminal domain. According to the proposed model of UPR activation, all these sensors remain in the inactive state through association with abundant ER chaperone glucose-regulated protein 78 (GRP78) in resting cells. In a condition of ER stress, however, GRP78 preferentially associates with accumulated unfolded proteins in ER and dissociates from the 3 sensor proteins. Then, ER stress sensor proteins become activated (as for IRE1a, direct activation through engagement with misfolded proteins has been also demonstrated)⁵⁷ and transmit signals about the folding status of ER to the cytosol and nucleus, inducing UPR-target genes to restore the ER folding capacity.⁵⁸ Although the 3 UPR signaling pathways are simultaneously activated in response to the accumulation of unfolded/misfolded proteins, contribution of each pathway substantially varies depending on the severity and chronicity of ER stress.

Activation of the PERK pathway is known to be a relatively immediate response to ER stress. PERK is a serine/threonine protein kinase and, on its activation, PERK becomes dimerized and autophosphorylated. This process allows PERK to phosphorylate the α-subunit of eukaryotic translation-initiation factor 2α (eIF2 α), which inhibits the assembly of the 80S ribosome in eukaryotic cells, therefore the synthesis of proteins. Considering that blockade of PERK-induced eIF2a phosphorylation leads to abnormally elevated protein synthesis and higher levels of ER stress, this pathway may reduce ER protein folding load in ER stressed-cells. Furthermore, eIF2a phosphorylation stimulates translation of selective mRNAs, including ATF4, that contain regulatory sequences, such as an inhibitory upstream open reading frame in 5'-untranslated regions. ATF4 is a transcription factor that has been known to control pro-survival genes in relation to protein folding, anti-oxidant response, and ER-stress-induced apoptosis (apoptosis occurs later during ER stress when the other arms of UPR fail to restore equilibrium).59

Cleavage of ATF6 follows relatively quickly in response to ER stress. When ATF6 is released from GRP78, it moves to the Golgi apparatus where it is cleaved. This process causes the release of a functional cytosolic fragment of ATF6 (ATF6f). ATF6f translocates to the nucleus and induces gene transcriptions of enzymes that help folding, maturation, secretion, and ER-associated degradation (ERAD) of protein. ATF6 seems to modulate a relatively narrow range of genes participating in the ER protein quality control system, many of which are also influenced by the IRE1 α /X-box-binding protein 1 (XBP1) pathway. This may be why mice lacking ATF6 are overtly normal with no apparent phenotype. Thus, the ATF6 pathway seems to fine-tune and enhance the functional capacity of UPR along with PERK and IRE1 α , especially in chronic ER stress.^{60,61}

The IRE1 α pathway is the most evolutionarily conserved one among 3 major branches of UPR. IRE1a has both serine/threonine protein kinase activity and site-specific endoribonuclease (RNase) activity. GRP78 dissociation from IRE1a or direct engagement of IRE1a with misfolded proteins promotes IRE1a dimerization and autophosphorylation, activating its RNase activity. IRE1a catalyzes the splicing of mRNA encoding XBP1 and generates a spliced variant (sXBP1) that functions as a transcription factor for genes associated with the structural and functional expansion of ER. Alone or with ATF6, sXBP1 induces chaperones, such as GRP78 and proteins, participating in ER biogenesis, lipid synthesis, ERAD, and protein secretion.⁵⁸ Because the synthesis of XBP1 mRNA is up-regulated by ATF6 following ER stress, full activation of the IRE1α-XBP1 pathway may be delayed compared to the other pathways of UPR.56 However, transcriptional targets of XBP1 are not just limited to the restoration of the ER protein quality control system. The IRE1a/XBP1 pathway also participates in the induction of molecules associated with lipid metabolism,⁶² immune and inflammatory responses, ⁶³ and cellular differentiation, ⁶⁴ so that it plays previously unrecognized roles in many critical cellular events. Consistent with these findings, mice lacking XBP1 display hypoplastic fetal livers leading to the death from anemia⁶⁵ and XBP1 is also known to be important in the terminal differentiation of B cells into highly secretory plasma cells.⁶⁶ Moreover, homozygous *ire* $1\alpha^{-/-}$ embryos show lethal defects in the differentiation of liver and B lymphocytes.⁶⁷ Furthermore, in contrast to the specific endoribonuclease activity against XBP1, IRE1 α also has non-specific RNase activity and may degrade ERmembrane-associated mRNAs to reduce the production of proteins, namely, regulated IRE1α-dependent decay (RIDD).68 This cellular mechanism is well suited to complement other UPR pathways. Recently, increasing evidence has indicated that expression of a wide range of proteins, involved in diverse cellular processes beyond ER homeostasis, can be regulated through RIDD, explaining the critical involvements of UPR

pathways in broad cellular events other than cellular protein homeostasis. $^{\rm 69}$

Cumulatively, these canonical aspects of UPR generally attempt to reduce ER stress by reducing the demand of protein folding, facilitating the protein degradation pathway, and increasing the expression of enzymes and ER chaperones that help in protein folding. If causative stress is very severe and the cell fails to resolve the protein folding defect, then these adaptive responses will initiate apoptosis. CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein homologous protein (CHOP), as a downstream effector of UPR, is known to mediate ER-stress-induced apoptosis. Thus, CHOP, along with GRP78, is widely used as a marker which indicates the presence of ER stress^{21,25} and up-regulation of GRP78 is typically detectable earlier than CHOP (Fig. 1).

Non-canonical aspects of UPR

More recently, there is accumulating evidence suggesting that ER stress and UPR pathways are closely cross-linked to diverse signaling outcomes having seemingly little to do with ER func-



Fig. 1. Fungal exposure leads to the activation of endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress and unfolded protein response (UPR) in the lung. Inhaled fungi possess a wide array of ligands that activate pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) expressed on structural cells (*e.g.* airway epithelial cells) and dendritic cells (DCs). Fungi can also produce large amounts of secreted enzymes, such as proteases, which disrupt tight junctions of airway epithelium. Initial recognition of fungi is followed by allergic sensitization and eosinophilic airway inflammation through close interactions between various facets of host immunity (not presented here). During this process, various cell types, including frontline cells (*e.g.* airway epithelial cells, DCs, and alveolar macrophages) and adaptive T and B cells, produce large amounts of cytokines/ chemokines as well as host defensive molecules. Increased protein folding demand in these cells results in ER stress and triggers UPR. UPR signaling is orchestrated by 3 ER-localized sensors, namely, PERK, IRE1, and ATF6. These adaptive responses together reduce protein folding demand, increase enzymes and chaperones involved in protein folding, and facilitate protein degradation pathway. However, when cells fail to resolve ER stress, UPR mediates ER stress-induced apoptosis.

Volume 10, Number 2, March 2018

tion as a protein folding factory. Indeed, our knowledge has expanded that there are miscellaneous non-canonical aspects of UPR providing mechanistic insight into the pathogenesis of various human inflammatory diseases. As comprehensively reviewed by Arensdorf and colleagues,¹⁸ non-canonical UPR can arise from multiple points of canonical UPR through various mechanisms.

First of all, canonical UPR can result in translational regulation of specific proteins particularly through the PERK-eIF2 α pathway. Since phosphorylation of eIF2 α transiently suppresses almost 90% of cellular mRNA,⁷⁰ the PERK pathway can efficiently converge on a wide range of cellular processes, including inflammatory process. Specifically, NF- κ B signaling, which is a well-known master transcription factor involved in pro-inflammatory cytokine production, leukocyte recruitment, or cell survival,⁷¹ seems to be partly controlled by phosphorylation of eIF2 α through suppressing translation of inhibitors of NF- κ B (*i.e.* I κ B).⁷² Moreover, this pathway also enables the translation of a number of transcription factors beyond ATF4.^{70,73,74}

Secondly, the sensor proteins of canonical UPR, including IRE1 α and PERK, can create stress-specific scaffolds on ER membrane. IRE1a and PERK have been demonstrated to become self-associated to form high-order oligomerization in cytosolic domains, followed by autophosphorylation through action of the kinase domain.⁷⁵ Furthermore, high-order assembly of UPR sensor proteins has been proposed to provide a specialized molecular microenvironment, which can be associated with low-affinity binding molecules with relatively high avidity.⁷⁶ Therefore, this allows an additional control principle in regulating UPR and other signaling pathways. The best example for protein interactions with phosphorylated and oligomerized IRE1a is tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated factor 2 (TRAF2), which is essential for the activation of several protein kinases. The IRE1a-TRAF2 complex recruits and activates c-JUN N terminal kinase (JNK), which induces the expression of genes involved in inflammation partly through phosphorylating the transcription factor activator protein 1.77 In addition, the IRE1α-TRAF2 complex is implicated in the recruitment of IKB kinase, which phosphorylates IKB, resulting in the degradation of IkB and the activation of NF-kB.78 Consistent with these data, in the IRE1 $\alpha^{-/-}$ mouse embryonic fibroblasts, decreased activity of JNK against ER stress⁷⁹ and impairment of ER stress-induced NF-KB activation and subsequent reduced expression of TNF- α^{78} were observed. Regarding the PERK pathway, there are fewer known molecular interactions arising from stress-specific scaffolds than the IRE1a pathway. It has been demonstrated that PERK is required for the phosphorylation of nuclear factorerythroid 2-related factor-2 (Nrf-2), a key transcription factor implicated in cellular defense against oxidative insults, and cells harboring a targeted deletion of PERK exhibit failure to upregulate Nrf-2-target genes.⁸⁰

Thirdly, many of the transcription factors in canonical UPR

(e.g. ATF6, ATF4, XBP1) belong to the transcription factor family having basic leucine zipper (bZIP) domain and numerous molecular interactions between members of this family protein have been reported.⁸¹ Thus, these interactions among bZIP family members can significantly impact on gene expressions under ER stress. For instance, ATF6 is known to interact with CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein β , a member of the bZIP transcription factor family that participates in diverse cellular physiologies, including proliferation, differentiation, metabolism, and inflammation.⁸² Furthermore, transcription factors in canonical UPR can affect global gene expression beyond their target-genes via sharing coregulatory molecules with constitutive transcription factors.¹⁸ Through this mechanism, ATF6 disrupts the costimulatory interaction between cAMP-responsive element-binding protein (CREB), a member of the bZIP family, and CREB-regulated transcription coactivator 2 during ER stress associated with hepatic gluconeogenesis, inhibiting gluconeogenic program.83

Additionally, the expansion of UPR outcome can be achieved by regulating gene expression through RIDD of several other ER-localized mRNAs by the RNase activity of IRE1a,⁶⁸ by influencing the transcriptome and accompanying diverse physiologic processes through modulating the expression of multifunctional secondary UPR-regulated transcription factors, such as CHOP,¹⁸ and by UPR-mediated regulation of mRNA through short single-stranded microRNAs, which promote the degradation of complementary mRNA.⁸⁴ Defining the non-canonical involvement of UPR pathways in diverse cellular events is an emerging area of investigation. All of these mechanisms may also explain biological consequences of ER stress and highlight the therapeutic potential of ER stress in a wide array of human pathologic conditions.

ER stress and UPR in the lung

Given that huge amounts of foreign materials are inhaled on a daily basis, recognition of these environmental cues should be accompanied by appropriate cellular response in the lung. Accordingly, close interactions between various cell types, including frontline immune cells and adaptive T and B cells, are essential. Importantly, these processes largely rely on cellular secretory function. Therefore, the functional integrity of ER is vital to maintain lung homeostasis against increasing demands of protein folding and secretion.²² For examples, XBP-1 has been reported to be vital for ER/calcium store expansion and subsequent secretion of inflammatory cytokines in airway epithelium.⁸⁵ Similarly, TLR signaling-induced activation of the IRE1 α / XBP1 pathway in macrophages is required for the production of certain pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-6, IL-8, and TNF.⁶³ Furthermore, the optimal functional competence of ER and UPR pathways are known to be essential in the development and differentiation of eosinophils, DCs, plasma cells, and subsets of T cells, thereby linking basic cell biology to broad immunological outcomes in the lung as reviewed elsewhere.86

Previous research has also highlighted the involvement of ER stress and UPR in numerous pulmonary conditions associated with common environmental insults. For example, inhaled fine particulate matter (aerodynamic diameter <2.5 µm, PM_{2.5}) activates ER stress, (especially the PERK/CHOP pathway) and causes ER stress-associated cellular apoptosis through a ROSdependent mechanism.⁸⁷ Additionally, the experimental exposure of cigarette smoke and aqueous extracts of cigarette smoke induces ER stress and PERK-mediated survival UPR activation in addition to direct oxidative effects in human lung epithelial cells⁸⁸ and mouse fibroblast,⁸⁹ respectively, which emphasizes the role of UPR in maintaining cellular redox homeostasis against oxidative stress. Moreover, XBP-1 mediates ER/Ca2+ store expansion and potentiates IL-8 secretion during airway inflammation associated with Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection⁸⁵ and IRE1α and PERK pathways are known to suppress viral protein synthesis under viral infection.90

Role of ER stress in allergic inflammation of SAFS

For years, there has been much progress in our knowledge on the implication of ER stress in inflammatory processes, which has been vastly reviewed elsewhere.^{18,20} As for the respiratory system, ER stress and UPR are closely interconnected with various cellular signaling networks, being involved in numerous inflammatory lung disorders including bronchial asthma.²¹⁻²⁵

Disturbances in ER homeostasis can influence diverse aspects of allergic inflammatory process in the lung. First, many triggers of asthma have also been demonstrated as potent inducers of ER stress and UPR in the lung.²² For instance, TLR4 activation by house dust mites (HDMs) in airway epithelium is known to be essential in allergic lung inflammation,46 and TLR4 signaling and the IRE1 α /XBP1 arm of UPR coordinate immune response in the production of certain cytokines, such as IL-6 and TNF, in macrophages.⁶³ Additionally, several proteins related to the structural and functional integrity of ER have been known to be implicated in the development of allergic lung inflammation. Reticulon-4 (RTN-4, also known as the Nogo family) is a member of the RTN family of proteins, which is largely restricted to ER and participates in shaping and structuring ER membranes.⁹¹ Nogo-B, an isoform of Nogo, is predominantly expressed in the lung and expression of Nogo-B is markedly reduced in a murine model of allergic lung inflammation and human fatal asthmatic lungs. Interestingly, Nogo-knockout mice display exaggerated allergic lung inflammation and epithelial reconstitution of Nogo-B attenuates asthma-like phenotypes in these mice.⁹² Allergen-induced mucin overproduction is one of the hallmarks of bronchial asthma. Given that airway mucins are large (~5,000 amino acid residues) glycoproteins and posttranslational modification of immature mucin is accomplished in ER, ER resident protein disulfide isomerase (PDI) anterior gradient homolog 2 (AGR2), which interacts with immature mucin, is likely to be involved in allergic lung inflammation. Consistent with this assumption, Agr^{-/-} mice display ER stress in airway epithelium and impaired mucin production during allergic lung inflammation.⁹³ IRE1β, an isoform of IRE1, mainly expressed in epithelial cells of the gut and the lung, has been reported to be involved in allergen-induced goblet cell differentiation and airway epithelial mucin production partly through XBP-1-dependent transcription of AGR2.94 Notably, a wellknown asthma-associated gene, orosomucoid-like 3 (ORM-DL3), belongs to ER membrane protein that is involved in membrane biogenesis.⁹⁵ Moreover, $orm1\Delta$ $orm2\Delta$ yeast that lacks the yeast members of the ORMDL family displays constitutive UPR and susceptibility to ER stress inducer.96 A previous study also demonstrated that ORMDL3 is an allergen and a $T_{\rm H2}$ cytokine-inducible gene predominantly expressed in airway epithelium, and it induces expression of various mediators of allergic inflammation and UPR specifically the ATF6 pathway, highlighting a possible mechanistic link between the ER protein quality control system and bronchial asthma.97

Notably, increasing evidence has demonstrated that ER stress may be one of the key players in the development of severe allergic lung inflammation commonly refractory to conventional treatment, such as inhaled/systemic CS.98 One important study demonstrated the critical involvement of ER stress in bronchial asthma, especially neutrophilic asthma which commonly manifests the severe disease phenotype having CS resistance.²¹ In that study, representative ER stress markers, including GRP78 and CHOP, in the lung were increased in a murine model of neutrophilic asthma and asthmatic patients. Interestingly, inhibition of ER stress using a chemical chaperone, 4-phenylbutyric acid (4-PBA), resulted in the marked improvement of neutrophilic allergic lung inflammation and the reduction in protein levels of ER stress and UPR markers, including ATF6a, XBP-1, and p-eIF2a. However, dexamethasone treatment failed to decrease neither neutrophilic allergic lung inflammation nor elevation of ER stress or UPR proteins. In another study, allergeninduced airway fibrosis, a critical manifestation of severe asthma, was closely associated with ER resident protein 57 (ERp57), an ER-localized chaperone involved in glycoprotein folding and secretion. In that study, levels of ERp57 were predominantly increased in the epithelium of asthmatic patients and murine models of asthma, indicating the increased protein folding load. Notably, allergen-induced increases in collagen and smooth muscle actin, well-known fibrotic markers, were significantly decreased by specific ablation of epithelial ERp57.99

Meanwhile, considering the pivotal role of ER stress in severe asthma, ER stress and UPR pathways may contribute to the pathogenesis of fungi-associated severe allergic lung inflammation, including SAFS (Fig. 1). Although scarce information exists, interesting data from our group has shown the crucial involvement of these pathways in *Aspergillus fumigatus*-induced allergic inflammation.¹⁰⁰ In this study, *Aspergillus fumigatus* ex-

posure in mice resulted in characteristic features of fungi-induced allergic lung inflammation-including elevated pulmonary T_H2-associated cytokines, such as IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13, total and Aspergillus fumigatus-specific IgE in serum, eosinophildominant allergic lung inflammation, and bronchial hyper-responsiveness. Interestingly, treatment with dexamethasone failed to improve Aspergillus fumigatus-induced allergic lung inflammation, suggesting that the murine model displays characteristic features of severe fungal allergic inflammation, such as SAFS. Protein levels of ER stress (GRP78 and CHOP) and UPR-related markers (p-IRE1a, p-eIF2a, XBP-1, and ATF-4) were also remarkably increased in the lung of Aspergillus fumigatus-exposed mice, and increases in GRP78 were observed in the lung tissues samples from patients with ABPA. Importantly, administration of 4-PBA markedly attenuated Aspergillus fumigatus-induced ER stress and improved characteristic features of Aspergillus fumigatus-induced allergic lung inflammation, while dexamethasone treatment did not. These findings suggest that ER stress may play a key role in the pathogenesis of fungal allergic lung inflammation, especially in the manifestation of severe phenotypes of the disease.¹⁰⁰

Currently, it is not known exactly on the mechanism through which airway fungal exposure specifically induces eosinophilic allergic inflammation. However, one possible explanation would be related to NF-KB activation, a well-known master regulator of allergic inflammation. It has been demonstrated that close associations of ER stress and UPR with NF-KB signaling play a key role in ER stress-related inflammatory processes, specifically in lung inflammation.^{21,25} Similarly, nuclear translocation of NF-KB p65 was remarkably increased in the lung tissues from the murine model of Aspergillus fumigatus-induced fungal allergic lung inflammation.¹⁰⁰ In addition, respiratory exposure to Aspergillus fumigatus resulted in increases in pulmonary levels of type 2 cytokines, such as IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13, leading to eosinophilic lung inflammation. Importantly, treatment with an inhibitor of NF-KB into mice significantly reduced Aspergillus fumigatus-induced increases in the levels of type 2 cytokines and eosinophilic allergic inflammation.¹⁰⁰ These findings suggest the crucial implication of NF-KB signaling in fungiinduced eosinophilic allergic lung inflammation.

In addition, it seems that several cross-talks between ER stress and other cellular inflammatory signaling platforms exist, all of which can further explain the molecular mechanism of severe allergic lung inflammation associated with fungi. These include phosphoinositide 3-kinase- δ (PI3K- δ), mitochondrial ROS (mtROS), and NLRP3 inflammasome.

Cross-talks between ER stress and cellular inflammatory signaling platforms leading to severe allergic inflammation in SAFS

РІЗК-б

PI3Ks are lipid signaling kinases that control a variety of cru-

cial cellular events. Class I PI3Ks are generally associated with cell membrane receptors, such as growth factor and cytokine receptors, and phosphorylate the 3'-position of inositol lipids to generate second messenger phosphatidylinositol-3, 4, 5-trisphosphate at the cell membrane, which serves as a cellular docking molecular platform for proteins possessing pleckstrinhomology-domain-containing proteins, such as AKT.¹⁰¹ This process leads to downstream cascades of protein-protein interactions and phosphorylation, culminating in multiple biological consequences. Class I PI3Ks consist of four members (namely, PI3K- α , PI3K- β , PI3K- γ , and PI3K- δ), all of which are heterodimeric complexes having a catalytic p110 subunit (α , β , γ , or δ) in association with a regulatory subunit. While expressions of p110- α and p110- β isoforms are ubiquitous in most cell types, expressions of p110- γ and p110- δ isoforms are restricted to circulating leukocytes and both isoforms have been reported to play key roles in leukocyte signaling.¹⁰² Particularly, PI3K-δ plays specific roles in a variety of immunologic processes involving antigen receptor signaling in T cells and B cells, mast cell degranulation, and the migration and activation of neutrophils and eosinophils. The crucial role of PI3Ks, especially PI3K-δ isoform in allergic lung inflammation has also been uncovered.^{103,104} Blockade of PI3K-\delta has also shown to attenuate CS-resistant severe inflammatory processes, including severe asthma and COPD.^{100,105} Therefore, inhibition of PI3K- δ is regarded as a promising target for developing a novel drug for chronic inflammatory airway disorders, especially for CS-resistant inflammation.

PI3K pathways seem to be closely associated with ER stress and UPR. One report demonstrated that regulatory subunits of PI3Ks (p85) participate in the translocation and possibly stabilization of XBP-1, and that disruption of this interaction results in a severe defect in lowering ER stress.¹⁰⁶ In addition, inhibition of PERK leads to decreased activation of PI3K/AKT signaling through regulating cellular localization of PTEN, a negative regulator of the PI3K pathway.¹⁰⁷ Furthermore, ER stress-induced Sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) expression, which is involved in ER stress-induced damage responses, has been shown to be regulated by the PI3K-AKT signaling pathway.¹⁰⁸ Remarkably, we recently demonstrated the critical involvement of PI3K- δ in the regulation of ER stress in a murine model of SAFS (Fig. 2).¹⁰⁰ In this study, the blockade of PI3K-δ ameliorated Aspergillus fumigatus-induced severe eosinophilic allergic lung inflammation that was refractory to dexamethasone. Furthermore, inhibition of PI3K-δ significantly reduced Aspergillus fumigatus-induced increases in ER stress and UPR markers, particularly in airway epithelial cells. Eventually, therapeutic effects of PI3K-δ blockade were closely linked to the attenuation of ER stress-associated NF-KB activation. Taken together, an important cross-talk between ER stress and PI3K- δ is likely to be implicated in the pathogenesis of SAFS.



Fig. 2. Cytoplasmic interactions involving endoplasmic reticulum (ER), mitochondria, and NLRP3 inflammasome may contribute to fungi-induced severe eosinophilic allergic inflammation in the lung. Initial fungal recognition activates the cell membrane-associated phosphoinositide 3-kinase delta (PI3K- δ) signaling pathway in various structural cells and immune cells. This process is followed by the downstream cascade of protein interactions and phosphorylation, leading to diverse biological consequences in these cells. Particularly, PI3K- δ modulates fungi-induced ER stress and UPR activation, especially in airway epithelial cells. Furthermore, mitochondrial generation of reactive oxygen species (mtROS) and NLRP3 inflammasome activation in response to respiratory fungal exposure are closely related to this process. The net result of these associations may play a key role in the pathogenesis of fungi-induced severe eosinophilic allergic inflammation in the lung.

mtROS

Oxidative stress has long been proposed as one of the essential features in chronic airway disorders.¹⁰⁹ Exposure to various oxidants has been reported to cause several cardinal features of asthma, including allergic airway inflammation and airway hyper-responsiveness (AHR).^{110,111} In the same manner, the functional incompetence of cellular anti-oxidant systems is also known to be closely implicated in the pathogenesis of bronchial asthma through inducing oxidative stress. ROS can impact on numerous aspects of the inflammatory process in the lung. First, ROS can activate a wide range of cellular signaling by themselves. ROS also interact with diverse biomolecules, such as lipids and proteins, thereby producing secondary mediators which possess a wide range of effects in the body. Moreover, ROS can directly cause protein modification and DNA damage. Furthermore, oxidative stress can be even more intensified through the recruitment of diverse inflammatory cells, another important endogenous source of ROS, to the lung.¹⁰⁹

Given that quantities of ROS are vital for determining its specificity and function and that mitochondria are regarded as the most powerful source of intracellular ROS, mtROS should be tightly regulated in cells. Numerous factors can regulate mtROS in the processes of generation or elimination.¹¹² Generation of mtROS can be controlled by various cellular stimuli, including increased cytosolic Ca²⁺ concentration, activation of cellular signaling pathways involving immunoreceptors and cytokines, redox status of electron transport chain (ETC), and electrical gradient in inner mitochondrial membrane.^{112,113} As for the removal of mtROS, several essential antioxidant systems, including glutathione peroxidases, peroxiredoxins, and catalase, can eliminate overproduced mtROS.

Furthermore, production of ROS and subsequent development of oxidative stress are known to be important in modulating the protein-folding capacity of ER.^{114,115} In particular, ER stress and mtROS can be interconnected, affecting both aspects of mtROS regulation in the cell. Previous reports have shown that increased leak of Ca^{2+} from the ER lumen in response to ER stress or cellular oxidative stress can influence the generation of mtROS from ETC as a consequence of Ca^{2+} accumulation in the mitochondria.^{112,116} Then, increased generation of mtROS further impact on the Ca^{2+} release channel in the ER membrane,¹¹⁷ thereby increasing Ca^{2+} -release from ER. Furthermore, de-

creased mitochondrial functional integrities result in release of more antioxidant enzyme, such as glutathione in the mitochondrial matrix as well, perpetuating oxidative stress as a vicious cycle.^{112,114}

Although the precise role of mtROS is not fully understood in allergic lung inflammation, a recent study showed that mtROS generation against common allergens is important in allergic inflammation, especially in airway structural cells.¹¹⁸ In that study, increased mtROS generation was observed in airway inflammatory cells and tracheal epithelial cells from ovalbumin (OVA)/lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-sensitized and OVA-challenged mice which showed neutrophil-dominant allergic inflammation. Interestingly, treatment with a potent mtROS scavenger, NecroX compound,¹¹⁹ reduced allergen-induced mtROS generation in these cells and ameliorated various features of allergic lung inflammation through modulating NLRP3 inflammasome activation in airway epithelial cells. Furthermore, the therapeutic effect of mtROS scavenger was also demonstrated in another murine model of allergic lung inflammation induced by HDMs.

Importantly, mtROS may also be closely interconnected with ER stress in the pathogenesis of fungi-induced severe allergic lung inflammation.¹⁰⁰ In that study, increased production of mtROS was observed in airway inflammatory cells and tracheal epithelial cells from Aspergillus fumigatus-sensitized/challenged mice. Moreover, Aspergillus fumigatus-exposed mice showed decreased glutathione and increased glutathione disulfide levels in the lung compared to the control mice, which indicates the presence of oxidative stress. Treatment with a mtROS scavenger improved various features of Aspergillus fumigatus-induced severe eosinophilic allergic lung inflammation, and a mtROS scavenger also lowered Aspergillus fumigatus-induced elevations of ER stress markers in the lung. These results suggest that mtROS contribute to the modulation of ER stress in fungal allergic inflammation, and that this crosstalk may be involved in the development of SAFS (Fig. 2).

NLRP3 inflammasome

NLRP3 inflammasome has been reported to be essential in anti-fungal immune response.⁴⁰ However, the role of NLRP3 inflammasome in the pathogenesis of fungus-induced allergic lung inflammation remains poorly understood. Considering that ER stress can induce the release of diverse damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) from mitochondria (*e.g.* mtROS, mitochondrial DNA [mtDNA], ATP, calcium), which are potent activators of NLRP3 inflammasome in the cytosol,¹²⁰ interconnections between NLRP3 inflammasome and ER stress may play a role in the pathogenesis of fungi-induced allergic lung inflammation. Furthermore, because NLRP3 inflammasome has been reported to be associated with CS-resistant inflammation in the lung,^{118,121} ER-NLRP3 inflammasome interactions are likely to be involved in the development of SAFS.

Interestingly, in our unpublished data, exposure to *Aspergillus fumigatus* resulted in NLRP3 inflammasome activation in the lung of mice, especially in bronchial epithelial cells. Expression of NLRP3 in the lung tissues from patients with ABPA was also increased. Furthermore, a selective inhibitor of NLRP3 inflammasome¹²² reversed *Aspergillus fumigatus*-induced increases in IL-1 β protein in the lung of mice, and treatment with IL-1 β -neutralizing antibody dramatically attenuated *Aspergillus fumigatus*-induced severe eosinophilic allergic lung inflammation. Notably, a mtROS scavenger also reversed the *Aspergillus fumigatus*-induced increases in IL-1 β and attenuated characteristic features of *Aspergillus fumigatus*-induced severe inflammation. These results suggest that NLRP3 inflammasome in association with mtROS/ER stress may be one of the pivotal players in the development of SAFS (Fig. 2).

CONCLUSIONS

Previously unappreciated roles of fungi in bronchial asthma, especially in a more severe phenotype of the disease, have been increasingly demonstrated through numerous epidemiologic and translational studies. However, much knowledge on this field is unanswered so far. Recently, SAFS has been proposed as one of the fungal sensitization/allergy development-associated clinical disease entities to further investigate fungi-associated severe asthma phenotypes. Importantly, recent advances in our knowledge that intracellular organellar stress responses are closely implicated in the development of SAFS have broadened our understandings on this issue. ER stress and UPR are closely implicated in fungi-induced severe allergic lung inflammation, and amelioration of ER stress, especially in epithelial cell layer, may have the potential for treating the disease. More importantly, intracellular cross-talks between ER stress and other inflammatory signaling platforms may provide some clues for the vital role of fungi on severe allergic inflammation in humans. Further investigations on the interconnections between these stress responses and the other potential key mediators of CS resistance will expand our knowledge on SAFS in the future. Finally, efficacies of treatment strategies targeting ER-associated molecular networks in SAFS need to be thoroughly evaluated through well-designed clinical trials.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by the Korea Healthcare Technology R&D Project, Ministry of Health and Welfare, Republic of Korea, grant A121931; the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea funded by the Ministry of Science, Information and Communication Technology and Future Planning grant NRF-2014R1A2A1A01002823; and the fund of Biomedical Research Institute, Chonbuk National University Hospital.

Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress in Severe Asthma With Fungal Sensitization

REFERENCES

- 1. Gauthier M, Ray A, Wenzel SE. Evolving concepts of asthma. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2015;192:660-8.
- 2. Barnes PJ. Severe asthma: advances in current management and future therapy. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2012;129:48-59.
- 3. Moore WC, Fitzpatrick AM, Li X, Hastie AT, Li H, Meyers DA, et al. Clinical heterogeneity in the severe asthma research program. Ann Am Thorac Soc 2013;10 Suppl:S118-24.
- 4. Lötvall J, Akdis CA, Bacharier LB, Bjermer L, Casale TB, Custovic A, et al. Asthma endotypes: a new approach to classification of disease entities within the asthma syndrome. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2011;127:355-60.
- Chung KF, Wenzel SE, Brozek JL, Bush A, Castro M, Sterk PJ, et al. International ERS/ATS guidelines on definition, evaluation and treatment of severe asthma. Eur Respir J 2014;43:343-73.
- Twaroch TE, Curin M, Valenta R, Swoboda I. Mold allergens in respiratory allergy: from structure to therapy. Allergy Asthma Immunol Res 2015;7:205-20.
- 7. Denning DW, O'Driscoll BR, Hogaboam CM, Bowyer P, Niven RM. The link between fungi and severe asthma: a summary of the evidence. Eur Respir J 2006;27:615-26.
- Knutsen AP, Bush RK, Demain JG, Denning DW, Dixit A, Fairs A, et al. Fungi and allergic lower respiratory tract diseases. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2012;129:280-91.
- 9. Harley KG, Macher JM, Lipsett M, Duramad P, Holland NT, Prager SS, et al. Fungi and pollen exposure in the first months of life and risk of early childhood wheezing. Thorax 2009;64:353-8.
- Delfino RJ, Zeiger RS, Seltzer JM, Street DH, Matteucci RM, Anderson PR, et al. The effect of outdoor fungal spore concentrations on daily asthma severity. Environ Health Perspect 1997;105:622-35.
- 11. Lin WR, Chen YH, Lee MF, Hsu LY, Tien CJ, Shih FM, et al. Does spore count matter in fungal allergy?: the role of allergenic fungal species. Allergy Asthma Immunol Res 2016;8:404-11.
- 12. Agarwal R. Severe asthma with fungal sensitization. Curr Allergy Asthma Rep 2011;11:403-13.
- Millien VO, Lu W, Shaw J, Yuan X, Mak G, Roberts L, et al. Cleavage of fibrinogen by proteinases elicits allergic responses through Toll-like receptor 4. Science 2013;341:792-6.
- 14. Zureik M, Neukirch C, Leynaert B, Liard R, Bousquet J, Neukirch F, et al. Sensitisation to airborne moulds and severity of asthma: cross sectional study from European Community respiratory health survey. BMJ 2002;325:411-4.
- O'Driscoll BR, Hopkinson LC, Denning DW. Mold sensitization is common amongst patients with severe asthma requiring multiple hospital admissions. BMC Pulm Med 2005;5:4.
- Denning DW, O'Driscoll BR, Powell G, Chew F, Atherton GT, Vyas A, et al. Randomized controlled trial of oral antifungal treatment for severe asthma with fungal sensitization: the Fungal Asthma Sensitization Trial (FAST) study. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2009; 179:11-8.
- Agbetile J, Bourne M, Fairs A, Hargadon B, Desai D, Broad C, et al. Effectiveness of voriconazole in the treatment of Aspergillus fumigatus-associated asthma (EVITA3 study). J Allergy Clin Immunol 2014;134:33-9.
- Arensdorf AM, Diedrichs D, Rutkowski DT. Regulation of the transcriptome by ER stress: non-canonical mechanisms and physiological consequences. Front Genet 2013;4:256.
- 19. Hosoi T, Ozawa K. Endoplasmic reticulum stress in disease:

mechanisms and therapeutic opportunities. Clin Sci (Lond) 2009; 118:19-29.

- 20. Zhang K, Kaufman RJ. From endoplasmic-reticulum stress to the inflammatory response. Nature 2008;454:455-62.
- Kim SR, Kim DI, Kang MR, Lee KS, Park SY, Jeong JS, et al. Endoplasmic reticulum stress influences bronchial asthma pathogenesis by modulating nuclear factor κB activation. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2013;132:1397-408.
- 22. Osorio F, Lambrecht B, Janssens S. The UPR and lung disease. Semin Immunopathol 2013;35:293-306.
- 23. Ribeiro CM, O'Neal WK. Endoplasmic reticulum stress in chronic obstructive lung diseases. Curr Mol Med 2012;12:872-82.
- 24. Lawson WE, Cheng DS, Degryse AL, Tanjore H, Polosukhin VV, Xu XC, et al. Endoplasmic reticulum stress enhances fibrotic remodeling in the lungs. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2011;108:10562-7.
- Kim HJ, Jeong JS, Kim SR, Park SY, Chae HJ, Lee YC. Inhibition of endoplasmic reticulum stress alleviates lipopolysaccharide-induced lung inflammation through modulation of NF-κB/HIF-1α signaling pathway. Sci Rep 2013;3:1142.
- Denning DW, Pashley C, Hartl D, Wardlaw A, Godet C, Del Giacco S, et al. Fungal allergy in asthma-state of the art and research needs. Clin Transl Allergy 2014;4:14.
- 27. Agarwal R. Allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis. Chest 2009; 135:805-26.
- Chishimba L, Niven RM, Cooley J, Denning DW. Voriconazole and posaconazole improve asthma severity in allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis and severe asthma with fungal sensitization. J Asthma 2012;49:423-33.
- Hardison SE, Brown GD. C-type lectin receptors orchestrate antifungal immunity. Nat Immunol 2012;13:817-22.
- Takeuchi O, Akira S. Pattern recognition receptors and inflammation. Cell 2010;140:805-20.
- Iossifova Y, Reponen T, Sucharew H, Succop P, Vesper S. Use of (1–3)-beta-d-glucan concentrations in dust as a surrogate method for estimating specific fungal exposures. Indoor Air 2008;18:225-32.
- 32. Ferwerda B, Ferwerda G, Plantinga TS, Willment JA, van Spriel AB, Venselaar H, et al. Human dectin-1 deficiency and mucocutaneous fungal infections. N Engl J Med 2009;361:1760-7.
- Glocker EO, Hennigs A, Nabavi M, Schäffer AA, Woellner C, Salzer U, et al. A homozygous CARD9 mutation in a family with susceptibility to fungal infections. N Engl J Med 2009;361:1727-35.
- Fogelmark B, Thorn J, Rylander R. Inhalation of (1→3)-beta-Dglucan causes airway eosinophilia. Mediators Inflamm 2001;10: 13-9.
- 35. Douwes J, Zuidhof A, Doekes G, van der Zee SC, Wouters I, Boezen MH, et al. (1→3)-beta-D-glucan and endotoxin in house dust and peak flow variability in children. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2000;162:1348-54.
- Bourgeois C, Kuchler K. Fungal pathogens-a sweet and sour treat for Toll-like receptors. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2012;2:142.
- Meier A, Kirschning CJ, Nikolaus T, Wagner H, Heesemann J, Ebel F. Toll-like receptor (TLR) 2 and TLR4 are essential for Aspergillusinduced activation of murine macrophages. Cell Microbiol 2003; 5:561-70.
- Tessarolli V, Gasparoto TH, Lima HR, Figueira EA, Garlet TP, Torres SA, et al. Absence of TLR2 influences survival of neutrophils after infection with Candida albicans. Med Mycol 2010;48:129-40.
- 39. Gasparoto TH, Tessarolli V, Garlet TP, Torres SA, Garlet GP, da Sil-

va JS, et al. Absence of functional TLR4 impairs response of macrophages after Candida albicans infection. Med Mycol 2010;48: 1009-17.

- 40. Gross O, Poeck H, Bscheider M, Dostert C, Hannesschläger N, Endres S, et al. Syk kinase signalling couples to the Nlrp3 inflammasome for anti-fungal host defence. Nature 2009;459:433-6.
- Franchi L, Muñoz-Planillo R, Núñez G. Sensing and reacting to microbes through the inflammasomes. Nat Immunol 2012;13: 325-32.
- 42. Knight DA, Lim S, Scaffidi AK, Roche N, Chung KF, Stewart GA, et al. Protease-activated receptors in human airways: upregulation of PAR-2 in respiratory epithelium from patients with asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2001;108:797-803.
- 43. Homma T, Kato A, Bhushan B, Norton JE, Suh LA, Carter RG, et al. Role of Aspergillus fumigatus in triggering protease-activated receptor-2 in airway epithelial cells and skewing the cells toward a T-helper 2 bias. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 2016;54:60-70.
- 44. Kheradmand F, Kiss A, Xu J, Lee SH, Kolattukudy PE, Corry DB. A protease-activated pathway underlying Th cell type 2 activation and allergic lung disease. J Immunol 2002;169:5904-11.
- 45. Lambrecht BN, Hammad H. The airway epithelium in asthma. Nat Med 2012;18:684-92.
- Hammad H, Chieppa M, Perros F, Willart MA, Germain RN, Lambrecht BN. House dust mite allergen induces asthma via Toll-like receptor 4 triggering of airway structural cells. Nat Med 2009;15: 410-6.
- 47. Bartemes KR, Iijima K, Kobayashi T, Kephart GM, McKenzie AN, Kita H. IL-33-responsive lineage- CD25+ CD44(hi) lymphoid cells mediate innate type 2 immunity and allergic inflammation in the lungs. J Immunol 2012;188:1503-13.
- 48. Van Dyken SJ, Mohapatra A, Nussbaum JC, Molofsky AB, Thornton EE, Ziegler SF, et al. Chitin activates parallel immune modules that direct distinct inflammatory responses via innate lymphoid type 2 and $\gamma\delta$ T cells. Immunity 2014;40:414-24.
- 49. Halim TY, Steer CA, Mathä L, Gold MJ, Martinez-Gonzalez I, Mc-Nagny KM, et al. Group 2 innate lymphoid cells are critical for the initiation of adaptive T helper 2 cell-mediated allergic lung inflammation. Immunity 2014;40:425-35.
- Snelgrove RJ, Gregory LG, Peiró T, Akthar S, Campbell GA, Walker SA, et al. Alternaria-derived serine protease activity drives IL-33-mediated asthma exacerbations. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2014; 134:583-592.e6.
- Saglani S, Lui S, Ullmann N, Campbell GA, Sherburn RT, Mathie SA, et al. IL-33 promotes airway remodeling in pediatric patients with severe steroid-resistant asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2013; 132:676-685.e13.
- Castanhinha S, Sherburn R, Walker S, Gupta A, Bossley CJ, Buckley J, et al. Pediatric severe asthma with fungal sensitization is mediated by steroid-resistant IL-33. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2015;136: 312-322.e7.
- Underhill DM, Pearlman E. Immune interactions with pathogenic and commensal fungi: a two-way street. Immunity 2015;43:845-58.
- Knutsen AP, Vijay HM, Kumar V, Kariuki B, Santiago LA, Graff R, et al. Mold-sensitivity in children with moderate-severe asthma is associated with HLA-DR and HLA-DQ. Allergy 2010;65:1367-75.
- 55. Moffatt MF, Gut IG, Demenais F, Strachan DP, Bouzigon E, Heath S, et al. A large-scale, consortium-based genomewide association study of asthma. N Engl J Med 2010;363:1211-21.

- 56. Vannuvel K, Renard P, Raes M, Arnould T. Functional and morphological impact of ER stress on mitochondria. J Cell Physiol 2013;228:1802-18.
- 57. Gardner BM, Walter P. Unfolded proteins are Ire1-activating ligands that directly induce the unfolded protein response. Science 2011;333:1891-4.
- Hetz C. The unfolded protein response: controlling cell fate decisions under ER stress and beyond. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2012;13: 89-102.
- 59. Harding HP, Zhang Y, Zeng H, Novoa I, Lu PD, Calfon M, et al. An integrated stress response regulates amino acid metabolism and resistance to oxidative stress. Mol Cell 2003;11:619-33.
- 60. Wu J, Rutkowski DT, Dubois M, Swathirajan J, Saunders T, Wang J, et al. ATF6alpha optimizes long-term endoplasmic reticulum function to protect cells from chronic stress. Dev Cell 2007;13:351-64.
- 61. Yamamoto K, Sato T, Matsui T, Sato M, Okada T, Yoshida H, et al. Transcriptional induction of mammalian ER quality control proteins is mediated by single or combined action of ATF6alpha and XBP1. Dev Cell 2007;13:365-76.
- Lee AH, Scapa EF, Cohen DE, Glimcher LH. Regulation of hepatic lipogenesis by the transcription factor XBP1. Science 2008;320: 1492-6.
- 63. Martinon F, Chen X, Lee AH, Glimcher LH. TLR activation of the transcription factor XBP1 regulates innate immune responses in macrophages. Nat Immunol 2010;11:411-8.
- Acosta-Alvear D, Zhou Y, Blais A, Tsikitis M, Lents NH, Arias C, et al. XBP1 controls diverse cell type- and condition-specific transcriptional regulatory networks. Mol Cell 2007;27:53-66.
- Reimold AM, Etkin A, Clauss I, Perkins A, Friend DS, Zhang J, et al. An essential role in liver development for transcription factor XBP-1. Genes Dev 2000;14:152-7.
- Reimold AM, Iwakoshi NN, Manis J, Vallabhajosyula P, Szomolanyi-Tsuda E, Gravallese EM, et al. Plasma cell differentiation requires the transcription factor XBP-1. Nature 2001;412:300-7.
- Zhang K, Wong HN, Song B, Miller CN, Scheuner D, Kaufman RJ. The unfolded protein response sensor IRE1alpha is required at 2 distinct steps in B cell lymphopoiesis. J Clin Invest 2005;115:268-81.
- Hollien J, Weissman JS. Decay of endoplasmic reticulum-localized mRNAs during the unfolded protein response. Science 2006;313: 104-7.
- 69. Maurel M, Chevet E, Tavernier J, Gerlo S. Getting RIDD of RNA: IRE1 in cell fate regulation. Trends Biochem Sci 2014;39:245-54.
- Ventoso I, Kochetov A, Montaner D, Dopazo J, Santoyo J. Extensive translatome remodeling during ER stress response in mammalian cells. PLoS One 2012;7:e35915.
- 71. Lawrence T. The nuclear factor NF-kappaB pathway in inflammation. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 2009;1:a001651.
- 72. Deng J, Lu PD, Zhang Y, Scheuner D, Kaufman RJ, Sonenberg N, et al. Translational repression mediates activation of nuclear factor kappa B by phosphorylated translation initiation factor 2. Mol Cell Biol 2004;24:10161-8.
- 73. Yaman I, Fernandez J, Liu H, Caprara M, Komar AA, Koromilas AE, et al. The zipper model of translational control: a small upstream ORF is the switch that controls structural remodeling of an mRNA leader. Cell 2003;113:519-31.
- 74. Zhou D, Palam LR, Jiang L, Narasimhan J, Staschke KA, Wek RC. Phosphorylation of eIF2 directs ATF5 translational control in re-

sponse to diverse stress conditions. J Biol Chem 2008;283:7064-73.

- Korennykh AV, Egea PF, Korostelev AA, Finer-Moore J, Zhang C, Shokat KM, et al. The unfolded protein response signals through high-order assembly of Ire1. Nature 2009;457:687-93.
- Li H, Korennykh AV, Behrman SL, Walter P. Mammalian endoplasmic reticulum stress sensor IRE1 signals by dynamic clustering. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2010;107:16113-8.
- 77. Davis RJ. Signal transduction by the JNK group of MAP kinases. Cell 2000;103:239-52.
- Hu P, Han Z, Couvillon AD, Kaufman RJ, Exton JH. Autocrine tumor necrosis factor alpha links endoplasmic reticulum stress to the membrane death receptor pathway through IRE1alpha-mediated NF-kappaB activation and down-regulation of TRAF2 expression. Mol Cell Biol 2006;26:3071-84.
- 79. Urano F, Wang X, Bertolotti A, Zhang Y, Chung P, Harding HP, et al. Coupling of stress in the ER to activation of JNK protein kinases by transmembrane protein kinase IRE1. Science 2000;287:664-6.
- Cullinan SB, Zhang D, Hannink M, Arvisais E, Kaufman RJ, Diehl JA. Nrf2 is a direct PERK substrate and effector of PERK-dependent cell survival. Mol Cell Biol 2003;23:7198-209.
- Vinson C, Acharya A, Taparowsky EJ. Deciphering B-ZIP transcription factor interactions in vitro and in vivo. Biochim Biophys Acta 2006;1759:4-12.
- 82. Ramji DP, Foka P. CCAAT/enhancer-binding proteins: structure, function and regulation. Biochem J 2002;365:561-75.
- Wang Y, Vera L, Fischer WH, Montminy M. The CREB coactivator CRTC2 links hepatic ER stress and fasting gluconeogenesis. Nature 2009;460:534-7.
- Valencia-Sanchez MA, Liu J, Hannon GJ, Parker R. Control of translation and mRNA degradation by miRNAs and siRNAs. Genes Dev 2006;20:515-24.
- Martino ME, Olsen JC, Fulcher NB, Wolfgang MC, O'Neal WK, Ribeiro CM. Airway epithelial inflammation-induced endoplasmic reticulum Ca2+ store expansion is mediated by X-box binding protein-1. J Biol Chem 2009;284:14904-13.
- 86. Bettigole SE, Glimcher LH. Endoplasmic reticulum stress in immunity. Annu Rev Immunol 2015;33:107-38.
- Laing S, Wang G, Briazova T, Zhang C, Wang A, Zheng Z, et al. Airborne particulate matter selectively activates endoplasmic reticulum stress response in the lung and liver tissues. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 2010;299:C736-49.
- Jorgensen E, Stinson A, Shan L, Yang J, Gietl D, Albino AP. Cigarette smoke induces endoplasmic reticulum stress and the unfolded protein response in normal and malignant human lung cells. BMC Cancer 2008;8:229.
- Hengstermann A, Müller T. Endoplasmic reticulum stress induced by aqueous extracts of cigarette smoke in 3T3 cells activates the unfolded-protein-response-dependent PERK pathway of cell survival. Free Radic Biol Med 2008;44:1097-107.
- 90. Wang S, Kaufman RJ. The impact of the unfolded protein response on human disease. J Cell Biol 2012;197:857-67.
- Voeltz GK, Prinz WA, Shibata Y, Rist JM, Rapoport TA. A class of membrane proteins shaping the tubular endoplasmic reticulum. Cell 2006;124:573-86.
- 92. Wright PL, Yu J, Di YP, Homer RJ, Chupp G, Elias JA, et al. Epithelial reticulon 4B (Nogo-B) is an endogenous regulator of Th2-driven lung inflammation. J Exp Med 2010;207:2595-607.
- 93. Schroeder BW, Verhaeghe C, Park SW, Nguyenvu LT, Huang X, Zhen G, et al. AGR2 is induced in asthma and promotes allergen-

induced mucin overproduction. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 2012; 47:178-85.

- 94. Martino MB, Jones L, Brighton B, Ehre C, Abdulah L, Davis CW, et al. The ER stress transducer $IRE1\beta$ is required for airway epithelial mucin production. Mucosal Immunol 2013;6:639-54.
- Breslow DK, Collins SR, Bodenmiller B, Aebersold R, Simons K, Shevchenko A, et al. Orm family proteins mediate sphingolipid homeostasis. Nature 2010;463:1048-53.
- 96. Han S, Lone MA, Schneiter R, Chang A. Orm1 and Orm2 are conserved endoplasmic reticulum membrane proteins regulating lipid homeostasis and protein quality control. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2010;107:5851-6.
- 97. Miller M, Tam AB, Cho JY, Doherty TA, Pham A, Khorram N, et al. ORMDL3 is an inducible lung epithelial gene regulating metalloproteases, chemokines, OAS, and ATF6. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2012;109:16648-53.
- Kim SR, Lee YC. Endoplasmic reticulum stress and the related signaling networks in severe asthma. Allergy Asthma Immunol Res 2015;7:106-17.
- 99. Hoffman SM, Chapman DG, Lahue KG, Cahoon JM, Rattu GK, Daphtary N, et al. Protein disulfide isomerase-endoplasmic reticulum resident protein 57 regulates allergen-induced airways inflammation, fibrosis, and hyperresponsiveness. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2016;137:822-832.e7.
- 100. Lee KS, Jeong JS, Kim SR, Cho SH, Kolliputi N, Ko YH, et al. Phosphoinositide 3-kinase- δ regulates fungus-induced allergic lung inflammation through endoplasmic reticulum stress. Thorax 2016; 71:52-63.
- 101. Rommel C, Camps M, Ji H. PI3K delta and PI3K gamma: partners in crime in inflammation in rheumatoid arthritis and beyond? Nat Rev Immunol 2007;7:191-201.
- 102. Vanhaesebroeck B, Ali K, Bilancio A, Geering B, Foukas LC. Signalling by PI3K isoforms: insights from gene-targeted mice. Trends Biochem Sci 2005;30:194-204.
- 103. Kwak YG, Song CH, Yi HK, Hwang PH, Kim JS, Lee KS, et al. Involvement of PTEN in airway hyperresponsiveness and inflammation in bronchial asthma. J Clin Invest 2003;111:1083-92.
- 104. Lee KS, Lee HK, Hayflick JS, Lee YC, Puri KD. Inhibition of phosphoinositide 3-kinase delta attenuates allergic airway inflammation and hyperresponsiveness in murine asthma model. FASEB J 2006;20:455-65.
- Barnes PJ. Corticosteroid resistance in patients with asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2013;131:636-45.
- 106. Park SW, Zhou Y, Lee J, Lu A, Sun C, Chung J, et al. The regulatory subunits of PI3K, p85alpha and p85beta, interact with XBP-1 and increase its nuclear translocation. Nat Med 2010;16:429-37.
- 107. Zhang W, Neo SP, Gunaratne J, Poulsen A, Boping L, Ong EH, et al. Feedback regulation on PTEN/AKT pathway by the ER stress kinase PERK mediated by interaction with the Vault complex. Cell Signal 2015;27:436-42.
- 108. Koga T, Suico MA, Shimasaki S, Watanabe E, Kai Y, Koyama K, et al. Endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress induces sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) expression via the PI3K-Akt-GSK3 β signaling pathway and promotes hepatocellular injury. J Biol Chem 2015;290:30366-74.
- 109. Ciencewicki J, Trivedi S, Kleeberger SR. Oxidants and the pathogenesis of lung diseases. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2008;122:456-68.
- 110. Rahman I, Morrison D, Donaldson K, MacNee W. Systemic oxidative stress in asthma, COPD, and smokers. Am J Respir Crit Care

Med 1996;154:1055-60.

- 111. Kim SR, Lee KS, Park SJ, Min KH, Lee MH, Lee KA, et al. A novel dithiol amide CB3 attenuates allergic airway disease through negative regulation of p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2011;183:1015-24.
- 112. Sena LA, Chandel NS. Physiological roles of mitochondrial reactive oxygen species. Mol Cell 2012;48:158-67.
- 113. Martínez-Reyes I, Diebold LP, Kong H, Schieber M, Huang H, Hensley CT, et al. TCA cycle and mitochondrial membrane potential are necessary for diverse biological functions. Mol Cell 2016; 61:199-209.
- 114. Malhotra JD, Kaufman RJ. Endoplasmic reticulum stress and oxidative stress: a vicious cycle or a double-edged sword? Antioxid Redox Signal 2007;9:2277-93.
- 115. Malhotra JD, Miao H, Zhang K, Wolfson A, Pennathur S, Pipe SW, et al. Antioxidants reduce endoplasmic reticulum stress and improve protein secretion. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2008;105:18525-30.
- 116. Deniaud A, Sharaf el dein O, Maillier E, Poncet D, Kroemer G, Lemaire C, et al. Endoplasmic reticulum stress induces calcium-dependent permeability transition, mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilization and apoptosis. Oncogene 2008;27:285-99.

- 117. Viner RI, Williams TD, Schöneich C. Nitric oxide-dependent modification of the sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca-ATPase: localization of cysteine target sites. Free Radic Biol Med 2000;29:489-96.
- 118. Kim SR, Kim DI, Kim SH, Lee H, Lee KS, Cho SH, et al. NLRP3 inflammasome activation by mitochondrial ROS in bronchial epithelial cells is required for allergic inflammation. Cell Death Dis 2014;5:e1498.
- 119. Kim HJ, Koo SY, Ahn BH, Park O, Park DH, Seo DO, et al. NecroX as a novel class of mitochondrial reactive oxygen species and ONOO⁻ scavenger. Arch Pharm Res 2010;33:1813-23.
- 120. Bronner DN, Abuaita BH, Chen X, Fitzgerald KA, Nuñez G, He Y, et al. Endoplasmic reticulum stress activates the inflammasome via NLRP3- and Caspase-2-driven mitochondrial damage. Immunity 2015;43:451-62.
- 121. Simpson JL, Phipps S, Baines KJ, Oreo KM, Gunawardhana L, Gibson PG. Elevated expression of the NLRP3 inflammasome in neutrophilic asthma. Eur Respir J 2014;43:1067-76.
- 122. Coll RC, Robertson AA, Chae JJ, Higgins SC, Muñoz-Planillo R, Inserra MC, et al. A small-molecule inhibitor of the NLRP3 inflammasome for the treatment of inflammatory diseases. Nat Med 2015;21:248-55.