Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



North American Spine Society Journal (NASSJ)

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/xnsj



Clinical Studies



Stephan Aynaszyan^a, Idorenyin F. Udoeyo^b, Edward M. DelSole^{a,b,*}

^a Geisinger Commonwealth School of Medicine, 525 Pine St., Scranton, PA, 18510, USA

^b Department of Spine Surgery, Geisinger Musculoskeletal Institute, 3 W. Olive St., Scranton, PA, 18508, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Key Words: Lumbar microdiscectomy Thrombocytopenia National Surgical Quality Improvement Program Postoperative surgical outcomes Low platelet count Adverse events Surgical site infection Return to the operating room

ABSTRACT

Background: Low preoperative platelet count, or thrombocytopenia, has previously been associated with increased complications in elective spine surgeries. No other study has investigated the effects of abnormal coagulation profiles on postoperative outcomes specific to lumbar microdiscectomy (MLD) using a propensity matched cohort. *Methods:* Patient data was retrospectively retrieved from the National Surgical Quality Improvement Program database using Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) code 63030 to isolate patients who solely underwent MLD. Data was collected from 2010 to 2019 and included preoperative, perioperative, and 30-day postoperative variables. Patients were grouped into four platelet categories for ANOVA analysis and pairwise comparisons: Severe Thrombocytopenia (\leq 100), Thrombocytopenia (101-150), Moderate (151-199), and Normal (200-450). Variables that were significant in the univariate analysis were used in the multivariate analysis to determine the likelihood of experiencing adverse postoperative events – unplanned return to the operating room and surgical site infection. A propensity matched analysis was performed to control for confounding variables.

Results: A total of 64,747 patients were identified within the 10-year period. The results of the multivariate analysis and the propensity matched analysis showed no significant differences in low preoperative platelet count as an independent predictor of experiencing a return to the operating room or surgical site infection. Furthermore, patients who had diabetes, history of smoking, or had emergency cases were associated with a high likelihood of experiencing these negative adverse events.

Conclusion: Thrombocytopenia does not appear to independently predict return to the operating room or postoperative infection following MLD. Proper preoperative management strategies should be implemented to monitor comorbidity burden which would otherwise influence adverse outcomes in patients with thrombocytopenia undergoing MLD.

Introduction

Lumbar microdiscectomy is one of the most common decompressive spine surgeries. Although the procedure is relatively low risk, surgical approach and technique compounded with comorbidities can lead to unfavorable outcomes [1]. Emphasis on preoperative optimization including assessment of patient-specific factors such as medical comorbidities can influence postoperative complications following surgery [2].

Coagulation abnormalities have been correlated with complications across different types of surgeries [3]. Patients with thrombocytopenia, or preoperative platelet counts below $150,000 / \mu$ L, have been shown to experience increased risk of postoperative adverse events such as infection, sepsis and return to the operating room [4]. Chow *et al* [5] iden-

tified risk of allogeneic transfusion following posterior spine surgery in patients with thrombocytopenia, noting that patients with platelet counts below 100,000 / μ L had a five-time increase in risk of transfusion. In another study of 137,709 posterior lumbar spine cases, Malpani *et al* [6] demonstrated that patients with preoperative platelet counts below 140,000 / μ L were associated with a higher likelihood of adverse events, readmissions, and transfusions.

Prior studies have reported perioperative risk following elective spine surgeries using either a spectrum or stratification of preoperative platelet counts. However, data-driven approaches to distinguish postoperative complications in propensity matched cohorts have not been utilized for patients who solely underwent lumbar microdiscectomy. This study aims to gather high quality retrospective data from the American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvements Program

- ** Author disclosures: SA: Nothing to disclose. IFU: Nothing to disclose. EMD: Nothing to disclose.
- * Funding: For this study, Stephan Aynaszyan received research funding from Geisinger Commonwealth School of Medicine.
- * Corresponding author. Department of Spine Surgery, Geisinger Musculoskeletal Institute, 3 W. Olive St., Scranton, PA 18508, USA. *E-mail address*: edelsole@geisinger.edu (E.M. DelSole).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xnsj.2022.100116

Received 10 January 2022; Received in revised form 22 March 2022; Accepted 22 March 2022 Available online 1 April 2022

2666-5484/© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of North American Spine Society. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

[☆] FDA device/drug status: Not applicable.



(NSQIP) database to identify postoperative risk outcomes and complications associated with low platelet values following lumbar microdiscectomy.

Methods and materials

Database and population

Retrospective data was retrieved from the NSQIP ACS database which aggregates around 250 HIPAA compliant variables on cases submitted from more than 700 sites [7,8]. Patients who underwent lumbar microdiscectomy were identified using Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) code 63030 to assess demographic variables, health history, comorbidities, and postoperative events limited to 30 days following surgery.

Our study collected reports from 2010 to 2019 including a total of 77,059 patients within the 10-year period. However, the final analytical population was 64,747 patients due to the exclusion of patients over the age of 90, patients with preoperative platelet counts greater than 450,000 / μ L and unreported or unknown preoperative platelet counts. Izak and Bussel [9] reported normal cutoff platelet counts at 450,000 / μ L. Patients with values greater than that threshold are considered to have thrombocytosis and were therefore excluded from this study.

Patient data

Initially, the preoperative platelet counts were categorized into four groups: Severe Thrombocytopenia (\leq 100), Thrombocytopenia (101-150), Moderate (151-199), and Normal (200-450). Descriptive statistics were generated for demographic, health history, and comorbid disease. American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) classification was included as a scoring system for evaluation of perioperative risks based on patient health and comorbidities [10]. For categorical variables, frequency and percentages were reported. The mean and standard deviation were reported for continuous variables. In cases where the distribution of data for continuous characteristics was not normal, the median and interquartile ranges (IQR) were reported alongside the mean and standard deviation. In addition, a histogram plot was used to display the frequency of preoperative platelet counts in the data sample (Fig. 1).

Fig. 1. Preoperative platelet count distribution of 64,747 patients were identified in the 10-year period between 2010 and 2019.

Statistical analysis

A Chi-square test and Fisher's Exact test were conducted to compare the relationship between categorical patient characteristics and preoperative platelet status. A One-way ANOVA and Kruskal Wallis test were conducted to compare the relationship between continuous patient characteristics and preoperative platelet status. Pairwise comparisons were subsequently used to assess which two groups were significant for both the categorical and continuous characteristics when examining the relationship between these characteristics and preoperative platelet status.

Additionally, Fisher's Exact Test was used to compare categorical patient characteristics with postoperative adverse events - return to the operating room and surgical site infection. These postoperative outcomes were chosen based on clinical relevance and statistical power. An independent two-sample t-test, Wilcoxon (Mann-Whitney), was used to compare the relationship between continuous patient characteristics and the two postoperative adverse events.

The assessments of risk factors for the adverse outcomes, return to the operating room and surgical site infection, were conducted through univariate logistic regression modeling and multivariate stepwise logistic regression models. Variables included in the univariate logistic regression models were also included in the multivariate stepwise logistic regression. The final multivariate stepwise logistic regression model of variables which met the entry and remaining criteria of a significance level of a *P* value ≤ 0.05 and exclusion of *P value* > 0.10.

Propensity matching

A subset analysis was performed using propensity matching to examine the effect of preoperative platelet status on adverse outcomes in matched cohorts. New preoperative platelet status variables were created by combining Severe Thrombocytopenia (\leq 100) and Thrombocytopenia (101-150) into a single group called Thrombocytopenia. In the second group, we combined platelet counts of Moderate (151-199) and Normal (200-450) which we called Normal (Table 4).

Standardized differences were calculated and reported to observe balance in characteristics between patients with Thrombocytopenia and patients with Normal platelet count, before and after matching. A 1:1 greedy propensity-score matching procedure was used in which unbalanced characteristics with standardized differences greater than 10% were included in the model and matched. The following are the unbalanced variables: age, sex, diabetes, dyspnea, hypertension with medication required, disseminated cancer, bleeding disorder, and ASA classification. Three separate logistic regression models (Model 1, Model 2, and Model 3) were generated to observe the effect of preoperative platelet status in the matched samples for each of the adverse outcomes (return to the operating room and surgical site infection).

For each adverse outcome in Table 5, Model 1 displayed the unadjusted odds ratio of experiencing the outcome according to preoperative platelet status. Model 2 displayed the adjusted odds ratio of experiencing the outcome according to preoperative platelet status when controlling for matched variables (i.e. age, sex, diabetes, dyspnea, ASA classification, hypertension with medication required, disseminated cancer, bleeding disorder). Model 3 displayed the adjusted odds ratio of experiencing the outcome according to preoperative platelet status when controlling for matching variables and possible confounders (i.e. Age, Sex, Ascites, Diabetes, Dyspnea, ASA Classification, Hypertension with medication required, Disseminated Cancer, Bleeding Disorder, preoperative white blood cell count, and preoperative hematocrit). All analyses were performed in SAS Enterprise Guide v8.2 (SAS, Inc.; Cary, NC) and R package version 64 4.0.3, with contrasts of P < 0.05 considered statistically significant.

Results

A total of 64,747 patients who underwent lumbar microdiscectomy between 2010 and 2019 were analyzed. The mean age of patients was 52.3 ± 15.7 years with a higher percentage of patients being in the range of 36-65 years old (60.0%) and the mean operation time was 94.3±57.3 minutes. There were more male than female patients (55.6% vs 44.4%) and most patients were white (78.3%). Patients who had severe thrombocytopenia and thrombocytopenia were more likely to have an ASA III classification (P = 0.001). There was a significant association between all the characteristics except for ventilator dependence and preoperative platelet status (P = 0.06). Patients who were classified as having thrombocytopenia were more likely to be male compared to the other platelet groups (Table 1 provides more information). Pairwise comparisons revealed patients classified as having severe thrombocytopenia were significantly more likely to be diabetic (26.9% vs 13.6%, *P* < 0.0001), have dyspnea (8.0% vs 3.0%, *P* < 0.0001), ascites (0.6% vs 0%, P < 0.0001), and hypertension with medication required (50.0% vs 38.6%, P < 0.0001) compared to patients classified as having a normal platelet status (200-450).

The univariate logistic regression showed that preoperative platelet status, sex, diabetes, smoking status, ASA classification, and many other variables were significantly associated with the adverse outcomes of interest. Patients classified as having severe thrombocytopenia had an increased likelihood of returning to the operating room (OR 2.17; 95% CI 1.29, 3.66; P = 0.004), and experiencing surgical site infection (OR 3.25; 95% CI 1.29, 3.80; P = 0.004). Patients who were female had 17% increased odds of returning to the operating room compared to male patients (OR 1.17; 95% CI 1.06, 1.30; P = 0.003). Diabetes was also a predictor of experiencing adverse outcomes with an increased odds of returning to the operating room (OR 1.44; 95% CI 1.26, 1.65; P < 0.0001), and surgical site infection (OR 1.52; 95% CI 1.22, 1.89; P = 0.0002). Table 2 provides more information.

Table 3 displays the variables that were entered and remained in the final multivariate logistic regression model among the adverse outcomes. The variables listed in Table 3 are statistically significant predictors of either a return to the operating room or surgical site infection when accounting for other variables. Patients who were current smokers had 47% increased odds of returning to the operating room (OR 1.47; 95% CI 1.23, 1.76; P < 0.0001), and 99% increased odds of experiencing a surgical site infection (OR 1.99; 95% CI 1.47, 2.68; P < 0.0001). Patients with emergency cases were more likely to return to the operating room (OR 2.50; 95% CI 1.76, 3.49; P < 0.0001). Although the independent assessment of platelet count in the univariate analysis was a

predictor of adverse outcomes, the multivariate analysis model showed no significance in platelet count when adjusting for other variables and confounders. With regards to goodness of fit of the model, the Hosmer and Lemeshow Goodness-of-Fit test statistics demonstrated it was acceptable (X^2 =11.86, df=8, P = 0.157).

Table 4 displays a comparison of patient characteristics before and after propensity matching. After balancing the following variables, the standardized differences were less than 10%: age, sex, diabetes, dyspnea, hypertension with medication required, disseminated cancer, bleeding disorder, and ASA classification. In the propensity matched sample, the Thrombocytopenia group (platelet count <150) showed increased occurrence of open wound infection (P = 0.02), steroid use for chronic condition (P = 0.03), preoperative transfusion (P = 0.05), and emergency cases (P = 0.02).

Table 5 displays the results of the three propensity matched logistic regression models predicting the likelihood of experiencing the two postoperative adverse events. Patients who were classified as having thrombocytopenia had similar odds ratios among the two adverse events when compared to the normal group: return to the operating room (OR 1.25; 95% CI 0.88, 1.78; P = 0.21) and surgical site infection (OR 1.20; 95% CI 0.66, 2.18; P = 0.55). The results obtained from the propensity match showed no significant difference in adverse outcome based upon preoperative platelet count, validating the results of the multivariate analysis. The results of the adjusted odds ratio obtained from models 2 and 3 were similar to the unadjusted odds ratio obtained from model 1.

Discussion

This retrospective study was performed to determine whether low platelet count is an independent predictor of postoperative adverse outcomes following lumbar microdiscectomy. Prior studies have shown the relationship of abnormal platelet values and postoperative burden in both spine and non-spine cases [11–13]. The mechanisms behind thrombocytopenia include inability to produce adequate amounts of platelets or pathology associated with dysfunctional platelets [14]. This phenomenon may lead to increased susceptibility to bleeding, infection, and improper wound repair. After adjusting for confounders in the multivariate stepwise analyses and propensity matched models, we found no significant differences in the postoperative outcomes of interest as a function of preoperative platelet count. However, patient characteristics and comorbidities including diabetes, current smoking, wound infection, and emergency status remained influential in our multivariate models.

In our study, diabetes was associated with a 1.36 greater probability of returning to the operating room. This finding is consistent with previous studies that have shown uncontrolled diabetes to worsen surgical outcomes and functional recovery of the spinal cord in patients with cervical myelopathy [15,16]. Wolfson et al. 2013 [17] found that patients with diabetes undergo more frequent procedures and experience more frequent complications such as infection and failure of operation from sports medicine procedures. The increased degree of oxidative stress and vascular abnormalities caused by chronic hyperglycemia may explain the vulnerability to postoperative complications seen in lumbar microdiscectomy.

Smoking was also found to be a predictor for postoperative adverse outcomes. Patients who were current smokers had a 47% increase in the odds of experiencing a return to the operating room and 99% increased odds of experiencing a surgical site infection. Patients who are smokers are more prone to surgical site infections, postoperative wound complications, and pseudarthrosis following spine surgery [18,19]. Proper counseling and smoking cessation should be established prior to spine surgery to reduce the occurrence of complications and costs of adverse perioperative events [20].

Another significant predictor of adverse outcomes was emergency status. Multivariate analyses showed this had an odds ratio of 2.50 for experiencing a return to the operating room. Studies have reported as-

Table 1Patient demographics, health history, and comorbidities.

Characteristics		All Patients $N = 6$	54,747	Severe	001 004(0 50()	Thrombocytop.	101-	Moderate151-	(10.0%)	Normal200-	AN	NOVAP-value
				Inrombocytop.≤1	00N = 324(0.5%)	150N = 2256(3)	5.5%)	199N = 11,624	(18.0%)	450N = 50,543(/8.1%)	
Demographics												
Age in years,												
Mean (SD)	Median (IQR)	52.3 (15.7)	52.0 (40.0, 65.0)	58.8 (14.8)	59.0 (48.0, 71.	0)60.5 (15.2)	63.0 (51.0, 72.	0)55.5 (16.0)	57.0 (43.0, 68.0	Б1.1 (15.4)	51.0 (39.0, 63.0) <	0.0001
Age categorized,												
	18-35	10,904 (16.8%)		20 (6.2%)		198 (8.8%)		1549 (13.3%)		9137 (18.1%)	<	0.0001
	36-65	38,836 (60.0%)		190 (58.6%)		1089 (48.3%)		6471 (55.7%)		31,086 (61.5%)		
	>65	15,007 (23.2%)		114 (35.2%)		969 (43.0%)		3604 (31.0%)		10,320 (20.4%)		
Sex, n (%)												
	Male	36,002 (55.6%)		219 (67.6%)		1757 (77.9%)		8323 (71.6%)		25,703 (50.9%)	<	0.0001
	Female	28,737 (44.4%)		105 (32.4%)		499 (22.1%)		3299 (28.4%)		24,834 (49.1%)		
Race, n (%)												
	Unknown/Not	7655 (11.8%)		58 (17.9%)		254 (11.3%)		1421 (12.2%)		5922 (11.7%)		
	reported											
	American Indian	357 (0.6%)		2 (0.6%)		5 (0.2%)		41 (0.4%)		309 (0.6%)		
	or Alaska Native											
	Asian	1558 (2.4%)		7 (2.2%)		51 (2.3%)		245 (2.1%)		1255 (2.5%)	<	0.0001
	Black or African	4299 (6.6%)		22 (6.8%)		152 (6.7%)		700 (6.0%)		3425 (6.8%)		
	American											
	Native Hawaiian	184 (0.3%)		0 (0%)		0 (0%)		23 (0.2%)		161 (0.3%)		
	or Pacific Island											
	White	50,694 (78.3%)		235 (72.5%)		1794 (79.5%)		9194 (79.1%)		39,471 (78.1%)		
	Smoker, n (%)	14,459 (22.3%)		75 (23.1%)		422 (18.7%)		2300 (19.8%)		11,662 (23.1%)	<	0.0001
Comorbidities,	n											
(%)												
Diabetes		9295 (14.4%)		87 (26.9%)		466 (20.7%)		1844 (15.9%)		6898 (13.6%)	<	0.0001
Dyspnea		2022 (3.1%)		26 (8.0%)		104 (4.6%)		390 (3.4%)		1502 (3.0%)	<	0.0001
Ventilator		7 (0.01%)		1 (0.3%)		0 (0%)		1 (0.01%)		5 (0.01%)	0.	.06
Severe COPD		1695 (2.6%)		8 (2.5%)		94 (4.2%)		346 (3.0%)		1247 (2.5%)	<	0.0001
Ascites		6 (0.01%)		2 (0.6%)		1 (0.04%)		1 (0.01%)		2 (0%)	<	0.0001
Congestive heart		121 (0.2%)		0 (0%)		12 (0.5%)		36 (0.3%)		73 (0.1%)	<	0.0001
failure												
Hypertension,		26,047 (40.2%)		162 (50.0%)		1206 (53.5%)		5170 (44.5%)		19,509 (38.6%)	<	0.0001
medication												
required												
Disseminated		127 (0.2%)		5 (1.5%)		17 (0.8%)		29 (0.2%)		76 (0.2%)	<(0.0001
cancer												

Table 1 (continued)

л

Characteristics		All PatientsN =	64,747	Severe Thrombocytop.≤10	0 <i>N</i> = 324(0.5%)	Thrombocytop.101- 150 <i>N</i> = 2256(3.5%)	Moderate151- 199 <i>N</i> = 11,624(18.0%)	Normal200- 450 <i>N</i> = 50,543(78.1%)	ANOVAP-value
Health History									
Steroid use for		2357 (3.6%)		18 (5.6%)		122 (5.4%)	431 (3.7%)	1786 (3.5%)	< 0.0001
chronic condition	n,								
n (%)									
>10% loss body		130 (0.2%)		1 (0.3%)		8 (0.4%)	27 (0.2%)	94 (0.2%)	0.16
weight in last 6									
months, n (%)									
Bleeding disorde	r,	718 (1.1%)		55 (17.0%)		119 (5.3%)	162 (1.4%)	382 (0.8%)	<0.0001
n (%)									
ASA									
Classification, n									
(%)									
I T		6110 (9.4%)		11 (3.4%)		130 (5.8%)	1048 (9.0%)	4921 (9.7%)	
11		36,792 (56.8%)		103 (31.8%)		981 (43.5%)	6228 (53.6%)	29,480 (58.3%)	0.001
		20,837 (32.2%)		190 (58.6%)		1058 (46.9%)	4109 (35.3%)	15,480 (30.6%)	0.001
IV D		939 (1.5%)		20 (6.2%)		84 (3.7%)	223 (1.9%)	612 (1.2%)	0.0001
Pre-operative		24 (0.04%)		4 (1.2%)		4 (0.2%)	3 (0.03%)	13 (0.03%)	<0.0001
transfusion									
Surgery									
Operation Time i	in					<0.0001			
minutes						<0.0001			
Mean (SD)	94 3 (57 3)	99.7 (56.5)	102 3 (62 5)	96 5 (59 5)	93 4 (56 5)				
Median (IOR)	81.0 (59.0, 113	0)86 5 (60 0 121	(02.0(02.0))	82.0 (60.0, 116.0)	80.0 (58.0				
meanin (IQI()	51.5 (59.6, 115	0,00.0 (00.0, 121	.0,00.0 (02.0, 124.0)	02.0 (00.0, 110.0)	112.0)				

Boldface P value indicates significant differences in pairwise comparisons between Severe Thrombocytopenia vs Normal and Thrombocytopenia vs Normal at P < 0.05.

Thrombocytopen.= thrombocytopenia

ASA= American Society of Anesthesiologists

COPD= chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

SD= standard deviation

IQR= interquartile range

Table 2

Univariate logistic regression predicting odds of experiencing postoperative adverse outcomes.

	Return to Operating Room		Surgical Site Infection				
Characteristic	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P-value	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P-value			
Pre-operative Platelet Status Severe Thrombocytop.	2.17 (1.29, 3.66)	0.004	3.25 (1.60, 6.60)	0.001			
Thrombocytop.	1.16 (0.89, 1.52)	0.28	0.92 (0.56, 1.51)	0.73			
151-199	1.01 (0.88, 1.16)	0.91	0.89 (0.70, 1.13)	0.34			
Normal	1.00	-	1.00	-			
Sex							
Female	1.17 (1.06, 1.30)	0.003	-	-			
Male	1.00						
Diabetes							
	1.44 (1.26, 1.65)	<0.0001	1.52 (1.22, 1.89)	0.0002			
Current Smoker							
	1.22 (1.08, 1.37)	0.001	1.80 (1.49, 2.17)	<0.0001			
Dyspnea							
	1.37 (1.05, 1.78)	0.02	-	-			
Severe COPD							
	1.62 (1.24, 2.11)	0.0004	1.74 (1.13, 2.68)	0.01			
Ascites	001(105,100.0)	0.0004					
** . * •.1	22.1 (4.05, 120.9)	0.0004	-	-			
Hypertension with							
medication required	1 00 (1 00 1 04)	0.001					
Discourse of Company	1.20 (1.08, 1.34)	0.001	-	-			
Disseminated Cancer	2 20 (1 72 6 69)	0.0004					
Mound infaction	5.39 (1.72, 0.08)	0.0004	-	-			
would infection	2 84 (2 12 6 04)	<0.0001					
Storoid Lloo	5.84 (2.12, 0.94)	<0.0001	-	-			
Steroid Use	1 52 (1 20 1 02)	0.0004					
Bleeding disorder	1.32 (1.20, 1.92)	0.0004	-	-			
bleeding disorder	1 67 (1 13 2 48)	0.01	2 24 (1 26 3 08)	0.01			
ASA Classification	1.07 (1.13, 2.46)	0.01	2.24 (1.20, 3.96)	0.01			
I	1.00		1.00				
II	1.00 (0.89, 1.34)		1 15 (0 80 1 65)				
11	1.55 (1.26, 1.90)	<0.0001	1.13 (0.00, 1.03)	<0.0001			
IV	2 20 (1 50 3 22)	<0.0001	3 49 (1 96 6 21)	20.0001			
Fmergency Case	2.20 (1.30, 3.22)		3.77 (1.90, 0.21)				
Intersency dusc	2.27 (1.80, 2.87)	<0.0001	1.89 (1.24, 2.88)	0.003			

Thrombocytopen.= thrombocytopenia.

-indicates variables that were not included in the univariate analysis for the adverse event.

Table 3

Multivariate stepwise logistic regression for predicting odds of experiencing postoperative adverse outcomes.

	Return to Operating Room		Surgical Site Infection			
Characteristic	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	P-value	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	P-value		
Diabetes						
	1.36 (1.12, 1.65)	0.002	-	-		
Current Smoker	1 47 (1 00 1 7()	.0.0001	1.00 (1.47, 0.60)	.0.0001		
Wound infection	1.47 (1.23, 1.76)	<0.0001	1.99 (1.47, 2.68)	<0.0001		
Would Infection	-	-	-	_		
Emergency status						
	2.50 (1.76, 3.49)	<0.0001	-	-		

-indicates variables the were not statistically significant.

OR= odds ratio.

sociations of emergency patients with postoperative complications related to pain, respiratory, and wound issues [21,22]. Additional information is needed to explain the increased risk of poor health outcomes related to emergency status which may be caused by referred admission on non-trauma emergency surgical cases and surgical delays [23,24].

The standard lumbar microdiscectomy is generally considered low risk with short operating times and minimal perioperative and intraoperative complications [1,25]. Ryang et al. 2008 [26] found an average blood loss of 63.8 ± 86.8 mL for a standard lumbar microdiscectomy, which is minimal compared with average blood losses greater than 500mL in patients with spinal deformities undergoing posterior lumbar fusion [27,28]. The increased blood loss seen in more complex procedures may be compounded by abnormal platelet counts as seen in similar studies assessing multiple spine surgeries [5,6]. Malpani et al. 2020 demonstrated that thrombocytopenia did independently correlate to adverse outcomes following lumbar surgery [6], which is contrary to the data presented in this manuscript. That said, the study included a heterogenous sample of spinal procedures, including many procedures more invasive than lumbar microdiscectomy. Thus, the results may not be generalizable to microdiscectomy.

There are some limitations of this retrospective study. We isolated only two adverse events of critical surgical interest: unplanned reoperation and surgical site infection. These were chosen as they were felt

Table 4Comparison of patient demographic, health history, and comorbidities before and after propensity matching.

(Characteristics		Before Propensity	Matching			d	After Propensity M	Matching			d
		Thrombocytopenia $N = 2580(4.0\%)$		Normal <i>N</i> = 62,167(96.0%)			Thrombocytopenia $N = 2540(50.0\%)$		Normal <i>N</i> = 2540(50.0%)			
]	Demographics											
1 I	Age in years, Mean (SD)	Median (IQR)	60.3 (15.1)	63.0 (51.0, 72.0)	51.9 (15.6)	52.0 (40.0, 64.0)	0.55 ^a	60.3 (15.1)	62.0 (51.0, 72.0)	60.3 (15.1)	62.0 (51.0, 72.0)	0.00
1	Male		1976 (76.6%)		34,026 (54.7%)		0.47 ^a	1954 (76.9%)		1954 (76.9%)		0.00
1	Female		604 (23.4%)		28,133 (45.3%)			586 (23.1%)		586 (23.1%)		
	Comorbidities											
1	Diabetes, n (%)		553 (21.4%)		8742 (14.1%)		0.19 ^a	539 (21.2%)		539 (21.2%)		0.00
5	Smoke, n (%)											
			497 (19.3%)		13,962 (22.5%)		0.08	488 (19.2%)		493 (19.4%)		0.01
1	Dyspnea, n (%)		130 (5.0%)		1892 (3.0%)		0.10 ^a	117 (4.6%)		117 (4.6%)		0.00
5	Severe COPD, n (%)											
		(0)	102 (4.0%)		1593 (2.6%)		0.08	100 (3.9%)		98 (3.9%)		0.00
(Congestive heart fail	lure, n (%)	12 (0.5%)		109 (0.2%)		0.05	12 (0.5%)		12 (0.5%)		0.00
1	Ascites, n (%)		(0.0.0)					(00000)		(0.0.0)		
			3 (0.1%)		3 (0%)		0.05	3 (0.1%)		1 (0.04%)		0.03
1	Hypertension with n	nedication										
	equirea, ii (70)		1368 (53.0%)		24,679 (39.7%)		0.27 ^a	1352 (53.2%)		1352 (53.2%)		0.00
√ I	Renal Failure, n (%)											
1	Disseminated Cancer	r n (%)	6 (0.2%)		27 (0.04%)		0.05	3 (0.1%)		1 (0.04%)		0.03
	Sisseminated Gance	i, ii (70)	22 (0.9%)		105 (0.2%)		0.10 ^a	9 (0.4%)		9 (0.4%)		0.00
1	Health History											
(Open wound/wound	l infection, n (%)	12 (0 5%)		120 (0.2%)		0.04	12 (0 5%)		9 (0 4%)		0.02
5	Steroid use for chroi	nic condition, n	12 (0.370)		139 (0.270)		0.04	12 (0.3%)		9 (0.470)		0.02
((%)											
1	Pleading disorder n	(06)	140 (5.4%)		2217 (3.6%)		0.09	136 (5.4%)		117 (4.6%)		0.03
	Sieculing disorder, in	(90)	174 (6.7%)		544 (0.9%)		0.31 ^a	145 (5.7%)		145 (5.7%)		0.00
1	ASA Classification, r	n (%)					а					
1			141 (5.5%)		5969 (9.6%)		0.16	140 (5.5%)		140 (5.5%)		0.00
1	II		1248 (48.4%)		19,589 (31.5%)		0.35	1073 (42.2%)		1073 (42.2%)		0.00
1	V		104 (4.0%)		835 (1.3%)		0.17	89 (3.5%)		89 (3.5%)		0.00
1	Pre-operative transfo	usion, n (%)						- (0.001)				
1	Pre-operative WBC	mean (SD)	8 (0.3%)		16 (0.03%)		0.07	7 (0.3%)		2 (0.1%)		0.05
	ie operative whee,	incuir (0D)	6.5 (2.58)		7.8 (2.61)		0.50	6.5 (2.58)		7.7 (2.72)		0.45
1	Pre-operative hemat	ocrit, mean (SD)										
,	Ventilator		41.6 (4.97)		42.0 (4.21)		0.09	41.6 (4.91)		42.4 (4.33)		0.17
	, children		1 (0.04%)		6 (0.01%)		0.02	1 (0.04%)		0 (0%)		0.03
1	Emergency Case											
			69 (2.7%)		1572 (2.5%)		0.01	67 (2.6%)		61 (2.4%)		0.02

^a Matched variables with unbalanced standardized differences greater than 10%.

Table 5

Logistic regression models predicting the odds of experiencing postoperative adverse outcomes in propensity matched groups.

	Model 1 ^a		Model 2 ^b		Model 3 ^c	
	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P-value	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P-value	Odds ratio (95% CI)	P-value
Return to Operating Room						
Thrombocytopenia	1.25	0.21	1.25	0.21	1.26	0.22
Normal	(0.88, 1.78)		(0.88, 1.79)		(0.87, 1.83)	
Surgical Site	1.00		1.00		1.00	
Infection						
Thrombocytopenia	1.20	0.55	1.20	0.55	1.33	0.36
	(0.66, 2.18)		(0.66, 2.19)		(0.72, 2.46)	
Normal	1.00		1.00		1.00	

^a Model 1 is the unadjusted model.

^b Model 2 controls for matching variables (i.e. age, sex, diabetes, dyspnea, hypertension with medication required, disseminated cancer, bleeding disorder, and ASA classification).

^c Model 3 controls for matching variables and possible confounders (i.e. pre-operative WBC and pre-operative hematocrit lab values).

to be the most specifically relevant issues when planning surgical risks during surgical indication. Evaluation of additional secondary outcomes would have been of interest, and further work should be done to ensure thrombocytopenia does not independently increase the risk for other adverse postoperative outcomes. Additionally, the postoperative data does not extend beyond a 30-day time frame. The NSQIP database restricts site-specific details which would better inform us on resource availability, access to specialized care, and population specific demographics. Additionally, the data does not measure spine specific outcomes such as pain or disability scores. Lastly, the retrospective nature of the database prevents analysis of other potential outcomes of interest in this population.

Conclusion

This study reports that thrombocytopenia is not an independent predictor for adverse postoperative outcomes following lumbar microdiscectomy. Multivariate stepwise logistic regression and propensity matched analyses showed no significant differences between platelet counts and our adverse outcomes of interest – return to the operating room and surgical site infection. Comorbidities including diabetes, current smoker, wound infection, and emergency status were more likely to predict poor postoperative outcomes when controlling for other variables and confounders. The shorter duration and decreased blood loss experienced in lumbar microdiscectomy may attenuate the postoperative complications normally seen in patients with abnormal platelet counts who undergo complex procedures.

Declarations of Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

- Barber SM, Nakhla J, Konakondla S, et al. Outcomes of endoscopic discectomy compared with open microdiscectomy and tubular microdiscectomy for lumbar disc herniations: a meta-analysis. J Neurosurg 2019;31(6). doi:10.3171/2019.6.SPINE19532.
- [2] Sanford Z, Taylor H, Fiorentino A, et al. Racial disparities in surgical outcomes after spine surgery: an ACS-NSQIP analysis. Glob Spine J 2019;9(6). doi:10.1177/2192568218811633.
- [3] Chang CC, Chang HC, Wu CH, Chang CY, Liao CC, Chen TL. Adverse postoperative outcomes in surgical patients with immune thrombocytopenia. Br J Surg 2013;100(5). doi:10.1002/bjs.9065.

- [4] Bronheim RS, Oermann EK, Cho SK, Caridi JM. Coagulation profile as a risk factor for 30-day morbidity following cervical laminectomy and fusion. Spine 2018;43(4). doi:10.1097/BRS.00000000002301.
- [5] Chow JH, Chancer Z, Mazzeffi MA, et al. Impact of preoperative platelet count on bleeding risk and allogeneic transfusion in multilevel spine surgery. Spine 2021;46(1). doi:10.1097/BRS.000000000003737.
- [6] Malpani R, Gala RJ, Adrados M, et al. High, as well as low, preoperative platelet counts correlate with adverse outcomes after elective posterior lumbar surgery. Spine 2020;45(5). doi:10.1097/BRS.00000000003248.
- [7] User Guide for the 2018 ACS-NSQIP Participant Use Data File. https://www. facs.org/-/media/files/quality-programs/nsqip/nsqip_puf_userguide_2018.ashx.
- [8] Marjoua Y, Xiao R, Waites C, Yang BW, Harris MB, Schoenfeld AJ. A systematic review of spinal research conducted using the National Surgical Quality Improvement Program. Spine J 2017;17(1). doi:10.1016/j.spinee.2016.08.013.
- [9] Izak M, Bussel JB. Management of thrombocytopenia. F1000Prime Reports 2014;6. doi:10.12703/P6-45.
- [10] Kastanis G, Topalidou A, Alpantaki K, Rosiadis M, Balalis K. Is the ASA score in geriatric hip fractures a predictive factor for complications and readmission? Scientifica 2016;2016. doi:10.1155/2016/7096245.
- [11] Glance LG, Blumberg N, Eaton MP, et al. Preoperative thrombocytopenia and postoperative outcomes after noncardiac surgery. Anesthesiology 2014;120(1). doi:10.1097/ALN.0b013e3182a4441f.
- [12] Matzek LJ, Hanson AC, Schulte PJ, Evans KD, Kor DJ, Warner MA. The prevalence and clinical significance of preoperative thrombocytopenia in adults undergoing elective surgery: an observational cohort study. Anesth Analg 2021. doi:10.1213/ANE.00000000005347.
- [13] Du JY, Schell AJ, Kim CY, Trivedi NN, Ahn UM, Ahn NU. 30-day mortality following surgery for spinal epidural abscess: incidence, risk factors, predictive algorithm, and associated complications. Spine 2019;44(8). doi:10.1097/BRS.00000000002875.
- [14] Eto K, Kunishima S. Linkage between the mechanisms of thrombocytopenia and thrombopoiesis. Blood 2016;127(10). doi:10.1182/blood-2015-07-607903.
- [15] Kusin DJ, Ahn UM, Ahn NU. The influence of diabetes on surgical outcomes in cervical myelopathy. Spine 2016;41(18). doi:10.1097/BRS.000000000001560.
- [16] Tanishima S, Mihara T, Tanida A, et al. Influence of diabetes mellitus on surgical outcomes in patients with cervical myelopathy: a prospective, multicenter study. Asian Spine J 2019;13(3). doi:10.31616/asj.2018.0082.
- [17] Wolfson TS, Hamula MJ, Jazrawi LM. Impact of diabetes mellitus on surgical outcomes in sports medicine. Physic Sportsmed 2013;41(4). doi:10.3810/psm.2013.11.2037.
- [18] tung Ho S. Adverse effects of smoking on outcomes of orthopaedic surgery. J Orthopaed Trauma Rehabil 2017;23. doi:10.1016/j.jotr.2017.04.001.
- [19] Phan K, Fadhil M, Chang N, Giang G, Gragnaniello C, Mobbs RJ. Effect of smoking status on successful arthrodesis, clinical outcome, and complications after anterior lumbar interbody fusion (ALIF). World Neurosurg 2018;110. doi:10.1016/j.wneu.2017.11.157.
- [20] Hawn MT, Houston TK, Campagna EJ, et al. The attributable risk of smoking on surgical complications. Ann Surg 2011;254(6). doi:10.1097/SLA.0b013e31822d7f81.
- [21] Akinbami F, Askari R, Steinberg J, Panizales M, Rogers SO. Factors affecting morbidity in emergency general surgery. Am J Surg 2011;201(4). doi:10.1016/j.amjsurg.2010.11.007.
- [22] Arefayne NR, Seid Tegegne S, Gebregzi AH, Mustofa SY. Incidence and associated factors of post-operative pain after emergency Orthopedic surgery: A multi-centered prospective observational cohort study. Int J Surg Open 2020;27. doi:10.1016/j.ijso.2020.10.003.
- [23] McIsaac DI, Abdulla K, Yang H, et al. Association of delay of urgent or emergency surgery with mortality and use of health care resources: A propensity score-matched observational cohort study. CMAJ 2017;189(27). doi:10.1503/cmaj.160576.
- [24] Ibrahim NA, Oludara MA, Ajani A, et al. Non-trauma surgical emergencies in

adults: spectrum, challenges and outcome of care. Ann Med Surg 2015;4(4).

- aduits: spectrum, challenges and outcome of care. Ann Med Surg 2015;4(4).
 doi:10.1016/j.amsu.2015.09.004.
 [25] Kienzler JC, Heidecke V, Assaker R, Fandino J, Barth M. Intraoperative findings, complications, and short-term results after lumbar microdiscectomy with or without implantation of annular closure device. Acta Neurochir 2021;163(2). doi:10.1007/s00701-020-04612-2.
- [26] Ryang YM, Oertel MF, Mayfrank L, Gilsbach JM, Rohde V. Standard open microdiscectomy versus minimal access trocar microdiscectomy:

Results of a prospective randomized study. Neurosurgery 2008;62(1). doi:10.1227/01.NEU.0000311075.56486.C5.

- (a):10.122//01.NED.000031107.50460.C5.
 [27] Verma K, Errico TJ, Vaz KM, Lonner BS. A prospective, randomized, double-blinded single-site control study comparing blood loss prevention of tranexamic acid (TXA) to epsilon aminocaproic acid (EACA) for corrective spinal surgery. BMC Surgery 2010:10. doi:10.1186/1471-2482-10-13.
- [28] Huang YH, Ou CY. Significant blood loss in lumbar fusion surgery for degenerative spine. World Neurosurg 2015;84(3). doi:10.1016/j.wneu.2015.05.007.