SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 18 September 2014 Accepted: 04 February 2015 Published: 23 July 2015

OPEN Unlocking nature's treasure-chest: screening for oleaginous algae

Stephen P. Slocombe¹, QianYi Zhang¹, Michael Ross¹, Avril Anderson¹, Naomi J. Thomas¹, Ángela Lapresa¹, Cecilia Rad-Menéndez², Christine N. Campbell², Kenneth D. Black³, Michele S. Stanley¹ & John G. Day²

Micro-algae synthesize high levels of lipids, carbohydrates and proteins photoautotrophically, thus attracting considerable interest for the biotechnological production of fuels, environmental remediation, functional foods and nutraceuticals. Currently, only a few micro-algae species are grown commercially at large-scale, primarily for "health-foods" and pigments. For a range of potential products (fuel to pharma), high lipid productivity strains are required to mitigate the economic costs of mass culture. Here we present a screen concentrating on marine micro-algal strains, which if suitable for scale-up would minimise competition with agriculture for water. Mass-Spectrophotometric analysis (MS) of nitrogen (N) and carbon (C) was subsequently validated by measurement of total fatty acids (TFA) by Gas-Chromatography (GC). This identified a rapid and accurate screening strategy based on elemental analysis. The screen identified Nannochloropsis oceanica CCAP 849/10 and a marine isolate of Chlorella vulgaris CCAP 211/21A as the best lipid producers. Analysis of C, N, protein, carbohydrate and Fatty Acid (FA) composition identified a suite of strains for further biotechnological applications e.g. Dunaliella polymorpha CCAP 19/14, significantly the most productive for carbohydrates, and Cyclotella cryptica CCAP 1070/2, with utility for EPA production and N-assimilation.

Many micro-algal taxa accumulate lipid to high levels, usually in the form of non-polar glycerolipids such as triacylglycerol (TAG) and often including DAG, MAG (di-, mono-)¹⁻⁵. Generally the increase in total lipid in algal cells occurs on entering stationary phase or with nutrient depletion or other stresses². In general this consists primarily of the neutral lipids, such that TAGs can account for up to 80% of the total cellular lipids². Consequently membrane lipids (polar lipids such as phospholipids and galactolipids) comprise a minor fraction in these cases². This capacity to produce non-polar lipid combined with an ability to photosynthesise and generate biomass efficiently has stimulated considerable interest in growing algae at large scale as a feedstock for biofuels and other biotechnological products¹⁻⁵. Moreover, many micro-algae can thrive in seawater/brackish conditions, or higher salinity, temperature, or under extreme pH^{1,6}. These capabilities could reduce dependence on freshwater supplies of future large-scale production facilities and thus minimise competition with traditional agriculture for resources. Such algae are also likely to cope with increases in ionic strength due to evaporative losses⁷. A supply of N and phosphates are still required from fertilizers, or by wastewater input for nutrient supply⁸. In both cases strains that can assimilate available N efficiently are desirable.

Large scale production is a requisite for biomass/biofuel production, where prime considerations include productivity, ease of cultivation, harvesting and non-polar lipid extraction^{6,9-11}. Other important considerations include the Fatty Acid (FA) composition of extractable lipids with specific regard to the desired final use of the biomass product. Micro-algal omega-3 long chain PUFA's such as Eicosapentanoic acid (EPA or 20:5n-3) and Docosahexaenoic acid (DHA or 22:6n-3) can be transferred up the food chain,

¹Microbial and Molecular Biology Department, Scottish Association for Marine Science (SAMS), Scottish Marine Institute, Oban, PA37 1QA, UK. ²Culture Collection of Algae and Protozoa (CCAP), Scottish Association for Marine Science (SAMS), Scottish Marine Institute, Oban, PA37 1QA, UK. ³Ecology Department, Scottish Association for Marine Science (SAMS), Scottish Marine Institute, Oban, PA37 1QA, UK. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S. P. S. (email: stephen.slocombe@sams.ac.uk)

thereby adding health benefits and commercial value to feeds and human dietary supplements¹²⁻¹⁴. These two FA command the highest premium in the latter case, but there is evidence that their precursors such as Stearidonic (SDA or 18:4n-3) are also beneficial¹³. Western diets are known to have insufficient EPA and DHA, but there is also evidence that the overall omega-3 to omega-6 long-chain ($C_{\geq 18}$) PUFA ratio in dietary FA intake is important (in the United States this dietary intake ratio is estimated to be ~1:10 but the optimum is thought to be 1:6)^{13,15}. Consequently the levels of certain low value omega-3 PUFA's such as α -linolenic acid (ALA) are also significant^{13,16}. Paradoxically, one omega-6 PUFA, γ -linolenic acid (GLA or 18:3n-6), is known to have anti-inflammatory roles and to be beneficial in cardiovascular disease¹⁶. Quantification of TFA through direct derivatization and GC-FID is an appropriate approach to assessing potential as dietary omega-3 long-chain PUFA can be absorbed effectively, irrespective of whether they are present in non-polar lipids or in membrane lipids (e.g. phospholipids in Krill biomass)¹⁷. Furthermore, certain biodiesel production processes convert TFA directly by transesterification on biomass¹⁸.

In terms of biofuels, polyunsaturated FA's (PUFA's) are considered less desirable in lipids destined for biodiesel, due to issues of oxidative stability^{19,20}. Conversely, cold-flow issues relating to high saturate levels can also be problematic especially limiting their use in specialist areas such as jet fuels^{19–21}. Biofuels rich in short-chain C_{10-14} methyl esters (ME) (e.g. Coconut) have been successfully used as a component of aviation fuels²². It is reasonable to expect that screening a diverse range of micro-algal phyla for useful and unusual FA compositions could pay dividends¹⁴.

There are currently relatively few micro-algae grown commercially, i.e. profitably, at large-scale, with the most widely cultivated "alga" the cyanobacterium *Spirulina* having low levels of non-polar lipid⁸. The few taxa making up the bulk of the algal biomass market are mostly extremophiles (*Spirulina*, *Dunaliella*), or freshwater (*Chlorella*)⁸. There is a paucity of commercially viable strains for growing in seawater, yet the most conservative estimates suggest that at least 72,500 algae exist (projected figures for diatoms alone range from 20,000 up to 2 million species)²³. Approximately 44,000 species have been described and 73% of these are currently documented in AlgaeBase; many cross-referenced to collections such as the Culture Collection of Algae and Protozoa (CCAP) at SAMS^{23,24}. Studies have shown that strain-to-strain variation in yields are often highly significant, thus it is sensible to test, not only exemplar taxa, but also multiple strains from specific species¹. Even without isolating new strains this is a huge undertaking therefore development of rapid and reliable methods to identify the most promising strains for further analysis is required. Elemental analysis offers several advantages: robust data, small sample size and nil sample preparation, but has yet to be exploited in high-throughput screening of micro-algae.

The value of isolating new strains from saline water bodies was recognized by the US DoE Aquatic Species Program (ASP) which ran for two decades and ended in 1996⁷. Earlier screens such as these utilized rapid, semi-quantitative dye-staining method for primary screening for non-polar lipids. But this method has limitations when making comparisons between strains and can underestimate levels in some organisms with significant biotechnological potential such as the *Chlorella*^{7,25}. Since the ASP close-out report there has been no published large-scale screens of micro-algal collections for non-polar lipid (oil) production⁷. A recent screen of the SAG collection focussed only on FA composition and produced no data on total lipid content or biomass production²⁶. With increased interest in value-added co-products in biofuel production, there is also a need to consider high-value fatty acids and products other than lipids such as carbohydrates and protein¹².

The principal aim of this study was to identify a suite of model strains for large scale/low cost biotech purposes such as biodiesel, biogas and added-value nutraceutical feeds. The focus was on strains capable of good growth at seawater salinity, with somewhat low nitrate and phosphate levels and no CO_2 supplementation. This reflected the high economic cost of providing fertilizer and CO_2 at large scale. Growth conditions were standardized in order to set a baseline with which to make a comparative analysis of the strains. A further goal was to investigate the partitioning of resources in algae; such a comparative dataset could also be linked to omic and phylogenetic data-sets in the future. A final aim was to improve methodological strategies and facilitate larger-scale screening.

Results

Screening for growth in artificial seawater. The CCAP collection maintains approximately 3000 protistan and cyanobacterial strains of which about 600 are marine micro-algae²⁴. A total of 175 strains were short-listed for screening, based on their stability in long-term culture (Supplementary Dataset S1 online). Approximately 50% were isolated in the UK/UK territorial waters and the rest were of diverse origins world-wide (Supplementary Dataset S1 online). The taxonomic affiliations of the short-listed strains and a graphical summary of the outcome of the primary screen are depicted in Fig. 1 and phylogenetic origins depicted in Fig. 2. In the primary screen, strains were tested for growth in defined media and 33% were rejected due to poor growth leaving 117 that entered the secondary screen (Supplementary Dataset S2 online). The majority of strains studied were salt-tolerant and 103 grew well in artificial seawater-based medium (f/2), with relatively low nitrate (1 mM) under standard conditions (see methods) (Supplementary Dataset S3 online). A subset of 11 strains (*Haematococcus* and *Dictyosphaerium*), were grown in freshwater medium with similar nitrate levels (JM) and a minority, 3 strains, were grown in high nitrate freshwater medium (3NBBM+V) (*Monodopsis subterraneae* CCAP 848/1, *Eustigmatos vischeri* CCAP 860/7 and *Desmodesmus elegans* CCAP 258/8) (Supplementary Dataset S3 online). Red algal



Figure 1. Taxonomic distribution of micro-algal strains. The 175 strains subjected to the primary screen for growth under standard conditions and the 117 selected for the secondary screen for composition and yield measurements are indicated by pi-charts. Colour-coding designates taxa to 21 protistan classes covering 7 phyla. Number of strains per class is indicated on the pi-chart. Data tabulated in Supplementary Dataset S1-3 online.

strains (Rhodophyceae and Porphyridiaceae) and Dinophyceae were grown under lower light according to reported requirements (see methods)²⁷. Analysis of biomass yield and composition was carried out in the secondary screen (Supplementary Dataset S4-7 online).

High biomass strains of diverse phylogenetic origin. Biomass quantified by dry-weight (DW) or combustive MS elemental analysis of C is tabulated (Supplementary Dataset S4 online). A close correlation was observed between biomass yields (gl^{-1}) or productivities ($gl^{-1}d^{-1}$) measured by the two methods (Pearson's coefficient 0.828 and 0.877, P < 0.001; Supplementary Fig. S1 online) although C content as a function of DW ranged widely from 7-66% (mean 40%, RSD 28%). Overall, C was chosen as a better measure of valuable biomass.

The distribution of biomass yields and productivities for the screen are also shown graphically by mass C and DW (Fig. 3a,b). Ranked data are also shown for those strains exceeding the 70th percentile for both C yield and C productivity (Table 1). The first 8-9 strains ranked by these two parameters were not significantly different (t-test P>0.05) and there were four strains in common: *M. subterranea* CCAP 848/1; *Nannochloropsis gaditana* CCAP 849/5; *Nannochloropsis oceanica* CCAP 849/10 and *Tetraselmis* sp. CCAP 66/60. *Rhodella violaceae* CCAP 1388/6 had significantly highest DW yield and productivity (t-test, P=0.002 and P=0.014) (Fig. 3b), but had low C content biomass (18% DW); hence C yield ranked 2nd and C productivity 21st (Table 1).

A close correlation between C yield and C productivity data was evident (Pearson's coefficient 0.887, P < 0.001), although the relationship was significantly influenced by taxonomic grouping; either by class or genus (MANOVA, P<0.001) (Fig. 3a). Comparing species and strains from two of the high yielding genera: Nannochloropsis group mean overall growth rates were found to be significantly higher than for *Tetraselmis* (0.060 c.f. $0.042 d^{-1}$; t-test P < 0.001), although mean C yields (respectively 0.191 and 0.229 gl⁻¹) were not significantly different (t-test P=0.138) (Supplementary Dataset S4 online). The small cell-size of the Eustigmatophytes investigated here (<3 µm diameter), compared with Tetraselmis sp. (>20 µm) could be a contributory factor in accounting for the higher productivity observed^{10,28}. Nevertheless, among the diatoms screened, Cylindrotheca fusiformis CCAP 1017/2 was the most productive and it was characterized by very large pennate cells (length 100 µm: www.ccap.ac.uk) (Table 1). Ranked within the top eight strains for productivity it was not significantly different from N. oceanica CCAP 849/10 (P=0.14), but had lower yields than this strain (P=0.006, Table 1). The diatoms Extubocellulus spinifer CCAP 1026/2, Chaetoceros simplex CCAP 1085/3 and Cyclotella cryptica CCAP 1070/2 exceeded the 70th percentile for C productivity, but were below this percentile for C yield (Supplementary Dataset S4 online). In addition to Tetraselmis, among the green algae, several Dunaliella strains and Chlorella vulgaris CCAP 211/21A were productive (Table 1). Of the haptophyte species, Isochrysis sp. CCAP 927/12 and Pleurochrysis



Figure 2. Molecular phylogeny of the screened algae. This was inferred from a comparison of 18S rDNA sequences from the micro-algal strains studied. The resultant tree was generated from a maximum likelihood analysis with Bootstrap percentage values indicated where N = 1000. Strains are labelled according to 18S GenBank accession; name and CCAP culture collection accession. Where denoted (*) the sequence was derived from the same strain held in other collections.

.....

pseudoroscoffensis CCAP 961/3 were also productive, but the remainder clustered around biomass yield and productivity means (Table 1, Fig. 3a).

High TFA content strains identified by MS analysis. A three-way comparison of N and C content determined by MS, along with TFA content determined by GC-FID (Fig. 3c). TFA content was an indication of total useful lipids present (non-polar and membrane glycerolipids). This analysis defined 94% of high TFA content strains (>30% TFA per DW) according to their C-content ≥48%DW and N-content <3%DW. The 16 species/strains thus selected were from the genera: *Nannochloropsis, Chlorella* and *Dunaliella*, whereas the outlier was *Haematococcus pluvialis* CCAP 34/6. The relationship between TFA content and C/N parameters was confirmed as significant as follows. Grouping by TFA content as defined in Fig. 3c, MANOVA gave P <0.001 for C/N parameters and one-way ANOVA for N-content and C-content, gave P = 0.003 and P < 0.001. Post-hoc analysis using Fisher's comparison indicated significant difference in relation to C-content (P < 0.05) where TFA was >40% DW c.f. <40% and >30% DW c.f. <20%; in relation to N-content, >30% DW c.f. 10-20% and 10-20% c.f. <10% was likewise significant.

Within the group of 16 high-TFA strains defined above by high C and low N, protein ranged from 5-15% DW (mean 8.8%) and carbohydrate ranged from 9-26% DW (mean 14%) (Supplementary Dataset S4 online). The mean levels of this group were significantly less (t test, P<0.001) than those strains also having \geq 48%DW C, but with higher N, >3%DW N (6 strains); here protein ranged from 14-16% DW (mean 14.7%) and carbohydrate ranged from 14-44% (mean 31%). Therefore high C content can indicate glycerolipids or other hydrocarbons (75-85% C by mass)²⁹ but high levels of protein (53% C, 16% N)³⁰, or other organic amines might also be responsible. This latter scenario would be revealed by high N content; indicating less C-partitioning into lipids. Conversely, partitioning towards carbohydrates (44% C)³⁰ would tend to reduce overall C content. In practice, >90% of the strains with high TFA levels



Figure 3. Biomass and TFA production levels of the algae screened. Biomass productivity and yields are shown in terms of (**a**) C content and (**b**) DW. (**c**) Analysis of N and C content depicted in relation to TFA content, which is indicated by colour coding. (**d**) Stationary and log phase TFA content in DW biomass (ratios 4:1, 2:1 and 1:1 indicated by diagonals). Data points in (**a**), (**b**), (**d**) are colour coded by class as defined in Fig. 1 (2° screen) and error bars (SD) are depicted for key algae labelled according to CCAP strain accession no. Data are derived from replicate batch cultures (tabulated in Supplementary Datasets S4-5 online).

(>30% DW) could be identified solely by MS analysis for N and C content. This provides the means for formulating a rapid and robust strategy for future screening for high TFA content.

Defining high TFA-producer strains. The full data-set for TFA content (%DW), TFA yield and TFA productivity are tabulated (Supplementary Dataset S4 online). Strains exceeding the 70th percentile for the three parameters were ranked (Table 2). There was no correlative support for a trade-off between biomass (C) productivity and TFA content (Supplementary Fig. S2 online). TFA content in the screen ranged from 1-53% (mean 16%, RSD 71%), the highest being *N. oceanica* CCAP 849/10 (53% DW) and *C. vulgaris* CCAP 211/21A (52% DW). That of the *N. oceanica* strain was significantly higher than all other isolates tested (t-test, P=0.025) except for *C. vulgaris* CCAP 211/21A (P=0.63) and *H. pluvialis* CCAP 34/6 (36% DW, P=0.054) (Table 2). TFA productivity by *N. oceanica* CCAP 849/10 was the highest in the screen (P=0.040) except for *N. gaditana* CCAP 849/5 (NS, P=0.14) which had lower TFA content: 36% DW (P<0.001). TFA productivity of *C. vulgaris* CCAP 211/21A was somewhat lower than *N. oceanica* CCAP 849/10 (by 19%; P=0.013) and that of *H. pluvialis* CCAP 34/6 was substantially less than the *Nannochloropsis* strain (by 66%; P<0.001). In terms of TFA yield, top ranking strains were *C. vulgaris* CCAP 211/21A followed by *N. oceanica* CCAP 849/10 (Table 2). Therefore *N. oceanica* CCAP 849/10 and *C. vulgaris* CCAP 211/21A emerged as the only strains combining high TFA content (>50% DW) with high TFA yields and TFA productivity.

C. vulgaris CCAP 211/21A appeared to be exceptional in these terms among the marine Chlorella-like strains tested (i.e. Chlorella and Chloroidium sp.) (t-tests, P=0.001-0.02) (Supplementary Dataset S4 online). Although N. oceanica CCAP 849/10 was the best Nannochloropsis strain tested, all 12 species/

No.	Species	Strain	C productiv	C yi	eld	C content		
		CCAP No.	(gl ⁻¹ d ⁻¹)	SD	(gl ⁻¹)	SD	%DW	SD
1	Monodopsis subterranea*	848/1	0.0163†	0.0039	0.244^{\dagger}	0.058	40.4	14.3
2	Nannochloropsis gaditana	849/5	0.0162†	0.0021	0.259†	0.034	51.3	3.8
3	Nannochloropsis oceanica	849/10	0.0155 [‡]	0.0007	0.264^{\dagger}	0.012	63.0 [‡]	0.5
4	Nannochloropsis oceanica	211/46	0.0148^{\dagger}	0.0003	0.237	0.004	58.7	1.3
5	Dunaliella polymorpha	19/14	0.0147†	0.0005	0.206	0.007	44.2	0.5
6	Tetraselmis sp.	66/60	0.0144^{\dagger}	0.0030	0.331 [†]	0.068	44.0	1.9
7	Cylindrotheca fusiformis	1017/2	0.0138†	0.0014	0.194	0.020	47.1	1.0
8	Nannochloropsis oceanica	211/78	0.0133†	0.0016	0.212	0.026	58.2	1.5
9	Dunaliella quartolecta	19/8	0.0130	0.0006	0.209	0.010	43.1	1.1
10	Nannochloropsis gaditana	849/6	0.0128	0.0008	0.218	0.013	50.4	0.2
11	Nannochloropsis oceanica	849/8	0.0121	0.0017	0.206	0.029	52.5	2.5
12	Chlorella vulgaris	211/21A	0.0119	0.0004	0.263†	0.008	58.1	0.3
13	Dunaliella quartolecta	19/9	0.0119	0.0015	0.179	0.022	42.2	2.9
14	Dunaliella tertiolecta	19/22	0.0117	0.0005	0.223	0.009	44.3	0.6
15	Tetraselmis apiculata	66/15	0.0116	0.0005	0.254^{\dagger}	0.010	44.9	0.6
16	Dunaliella bioculata	19/4	0.0113	0.0002	0.181	0.003	43.9	0.7
17	Nannochloropsis oceanica	849/9	0.0113	0.0011	0.203	0.020	61.6†	3.1
18	Dunaliella polymorpha	19/7A	0.0111	0.0001	0.177	0.001	44.4	0.1
19	Tetraselmis chui	66/21A	0.0110	0.0015	0.243†	0.034	39.6	4.8
20	Dunaliella tertiolecta	19/6B	0.0106	0.0009	0.169	0.014	44.9	0.4
21	Rhodella violaceae	1388/6	0.0102	0.0005	0.275 [‡]	0.014	18.4	1.2
22	Pleuro. pseudoroscoffensis	961/3	0.0094	0.0027	0.167	0.053	38.7	6.0
23	Tetraselmis verrucosa	66/6	0.0090	0.0013	0.226^{\dagger}	0.033	44.0	0.9
24	Nannochloropsis salina	849/4	0.0090	0.0012	0.171	0.024	48.2	8.0
25	Tetraselmis sp.	66/62	0.0088	0.0010	0.247^{\dagger}	0.028	41.3	1.9
26	Isochrysis sp.	927/12	0.0087	0.0007	0.165	0.014	32.4	3.3

Table 1. Top 26 micro-algal biomass producers. All strains were grown on f/2 unless denoted (*) in which case 3NBBM+V was used. All data points are above the 70th percentiles for the screen. *Significantly different (P<0.05) from rest of screen except where denoted (†). Full data in Supplementary Dataset S4 online.

strains examined were in the high TFA-producing subset (Table 2). Comparison of 18S rRNA and ITS genomic DNA sequences defined 5 of the strains as *N. oceanica* species (Fig. 2; Supplementary Fig. S3 online). These were distinguished by higher mean TFA contents (>40%DW compared with the rest of the species/strains in the genus, which ranged 33-37%DW: t-test on group means, P=0.002). They also had on average 45% higher yields and productivity (group means t-test within genus, P=0.035-0.046) (Table 2). In terms of estimated evolutionary distance, *N. oceanica* strains were closer to *N. oculata* than *N. salina* or *N. gaditiana* (Supplementary Fig. S3 online). Despite this relatedness, *N. oculata* strains had the lesser TFA productivities within this genus (Table 2). Protein content was also 60% higher in the latter compared with *N. oceanica* (10.3% c.f. 6.4%; t-tests comparing the individual strains: P=0.001-0.02), suggesting species-specific differences in C-partitioning (Supplementary Dataset S4 online).

Concerning the other promising species listed (Table 2), *H. pluvialis* CCAP 34/6, because of its complex life-cycle and relatively slow growth, has limited potential for commercial lipid production³¹. A single *Dunaliella* strain, *D. primolecta* CCAP 11/34, out of 11 tested was identified as having similarly high TFA content and productivity (P>0.05), but yield was half that of *H. pluvialis* (P=0.046). Five diatom species, including the four identified above for highest biomass productivity, had moderately high TFA contents (20-25%; differences NS.) (Table 2). Here, *C. fusiformis* CCAP 1017/2, also the best diatom for biomass, ranked the highest for TFA content, yield and productivity (significant for TFA yield and productivity c.f. *Cyclotella cryptica* CCAP 1070/2: P=0.019-0.027).

Comparison of stationary phase and log phase TFA content (Fig. 3d, Supplementary Dataset S5 online) indicated that a group mainly comprising the highest lipid accumulators (*Nannochloropsis* species, marine *Chlorellas* and high lipid *Dunaliella*) had on average 4 times more TFA at stationary

No.	Species	Strain	Productivity		Yie	eld	Content		
		CCAP No.	(gl ⁻¹ d ⁻¹)	SD	(gl ⁻¹)	SD	%DW	SD	
1	Nannochloropsis oceanica	849/10	0.0131*	0.0002	0.222^{\dagger}	0.003	53.2 [*]	2.0	
2	Nannochloropsis gaditana	849/5	0.0115†	0.0014	0.184^{\dagger}	0.023	36.4	2.4	
3	Chlorella vulgaris	211/21A	0.0106	0.0010	0.234*	0.021	51.8^{+}	4.2	
4	Nannochloropsis oceanica	211/46	0.0101	0.0010	0.162	0.016	40.1	2.8	
5	Nannochloropsis oceanica	849/8	0.0097	0.0019	0.165	0.033	41.9	4.1	
6	Nannochloropsis oceanica	211/78	0.0096	0.0011	0.153	0.018	42.1	1.3	
7	Nannochloropsis gaditana	849/6	0.0088	0.0008	0.150	0.014	34.6	1.1	
8	Nannochloropsis oceanica	849/9	0.0080	0.0009	0.143	0.016	43.6	4.3	
9	Nannochloropsis oculata	849/1	0.0075	0.0002	0.098	0.003	33.0	0.7	
10	Cylindrotheca fusiformis	1017/2	0.0072	0.0014	0.101	0.020	24.5	3.1	
11	Nannochloropsis salina	849/4	0.0069	0.0000	0.131	0.001	36.8	2.0	
12	Tetraselmis sp.	66/60	0.0065	0.0025	0.150^{\dagger}	0.058	19.5	4.7	
13	Extubocellulus spinifer	1026/2	0.0059	0.0012	0.053	0.011	23.1	2.3	
14	Dunaliella primolecta	11/34	0.0054	0.0003	0.081	0.005	31.9	2.8	
15	Nannochloropsis salina	849/2	0.0052	0.0008	0.099	0.015	36.8	4.6	
16	Chaetoceros muelleri	1010/3	0.0052	0.0021	0.078	0.032	23.9	2.9	
17	Chaetoceros simplex	1085/3	0.0052	0.0015	0.067	0.019	19.6	3.0	
18	Nannochloropsis oculata	849/7	0.0050	0.0004	0.085	0.007	36.7	3.4	
19	Chlorella stigmatophora	211/20	0.0049	0.0001	0.102	0.003	30.7	3.0	
20	Haematococcus pluvialis [‡]	34/6	0.0047	0.0016	0.167^{\dagger}	0.052	36.4^{\dagger}	10.6	
21	Chlorella vulgaris	211/75	0.0047	0.0026	0.102	0.057	29.9	9.2	
22	Chlorella sp.	211/53	0.0043	0.0006	0.107	0.014	24.5	1.2	
23	Cyclotella cryptica	1070/2	0.0040	0.0005	0.059	0.007	23.5	3.2	
24	Nannochloropsis salina	849/3	0.0038	0.0023	0.069	0.042	36.8	6.5	
25	Chloroidium saccharophilum	211/27	0.0028	0.0006	0.079	0.016	20.9	2.0	
26	Haematococcus pluvialis [‡]	34/1F	0.0027	0.0005	0.094	0.017	26.5	3.2	

Table 2. Top TFA-producing micro-algal strains. 'Significantly different (P < 0.05) from rest of column except where denoted (†). All data points are above the 70th percentiles for the screen. All strains were grown on f/2 unless denoted (‡) where JM was used. Full data set in Supplementary Dataset S4 online.

.....

phase than in log phase. The most productive of these strains would seem best suited to a fed-batch cultivation mode. A second group, comprising the haptophytes, cryptophytes, diatoms and freshwater Trebouxiophyceans tended to accumulate at least half of the TFA during log phase. The most productive lipid accumulators in this category (for instance *C. fusiformis* CCAP 1017/2) might also be suitable for semi-continuous production methods.

Sequestration of supplied N in biomass. Screen data obtained for N and protein content, yields, productivities, C/N ratio and N ratio are tabulated (Supplementary Dataset S4 online). Protein levels measured by Lowry assay correlated closely with N content as determined by elemental analysis (Pearson's correlation coefficient = 0.812, P < 0.001; Supplementary Fig. S4 online) giving a mean N ratio of 3.66 (RSD = 21%). An indirect correlation was noted between N content and either C yield or C productivity (Pearson's = 0.641 and 0.493, P < 0.001). A similar relationship was also noted between protein content and these C parameters (Pearson's = 0.536 and 0.394, P < 0.001) (Supplementary Fig. S5-6 online). Despite this potential caveat, a core set of about 7-10 strains above the 70th percentile for content, yield and productivity in terms of protein or N were identified (Table 3). Although these included the small subset of 3 strains in the screen that were cultivated in high nitrate freshwater medium (3NBBM+V): *M. subterranea* CCAP 848/1, *E. vischeri* CCAP 860/7 and *D. elegans* CCAP 258/8, the rest were grown in standard saline f/2 medium. In terms of N-productivity, the former strain was at least 2-fold higher than any other micro-alga in the screen (t-test, P = 0.015). Although this strain also ranked highest for protein productivity and yield, it was not significantly higher in this respect than *Tisochrysis lutea* CCAP 927/14 or *Chlorella vulgaris* CCAP 211/75, both of which were grown on standard f/2 (P > 0.05, Table 3).

The amount of N that was assimilated into biomass from the medium was indicated by N culture yield (and to an extent by protein yield), and these data are compared graphically with biomass C productivity

No.	Species	Strain	Produc	tivity	Yie	eld	Content		
		CCAP No.	(gl ⁻¹ d ⁻¹)	SD	(gl ⁻¹)	SD	%DW	SD	
Protein									
1	Monodopsis subterranea [*]	848/1	0.0057†	0.0015	0.0856†	0.0227	13.6	1.83	
2	Eustigmatos vischeri [*]	860/7	0.0040‡	0.0008	0.0598‡	0.0122	20.2 [‡]	5.07	
3	Tisochrysis lutea	927/14	0.0036 [‡]	0.0004	0.0501‡	0.0053	15.3‡	1.07	
4	Chroomonas placoidea	978/8	0.0033‡	0.0002	0.0465	0.0024	13.8	0.40	
5	Chaetoceros muelleri	1010/3	0.0028	0.0008	0.0417	0.0113	13.1	2.56	
6	Pleurochrysis dentata	904/1	0.0026	0.0003	0.0440	0.0056	14.1	1.81	
7	Chlorella vulgaris	211/75	0.0025‡	0.0008	0.0557‡	0.0180	14.6 [‡]	1.68	
8	Rhodomonas reticulata	995/2	0.0024	0.0001	0.0342	0.0019	19.3 [†]	2.46	
9	Cyclotella cryptica	1070/2	0.0023	0.0001	0.0349	0.0020	13.8	1.01	
10	Pycnococcus provasolii	190/1	0.0022	0.0003	0.0359	0.0050	15.7‡	2.04	
N									
1	Monodopsis subterranea*	848/1	0.0025†	0.0005	0.0371 [†]	0.0069	6.1 [‡]	1.8	
2	Eustigmatos vischeri [*]	860/7	0.0012	0.0002	0.0186	0.0036	6.4 [‡]	2.0	
3	Extubocellulus spinifer	1026/2	0.0010	0.0000	0.0093	0.0004	4.1	0.3	
4	Cyclotella cryptica	1070/2	0.0010	0.0000	0.0148	0.0006	5.9	0.3	
5	Desmodesmus elegans [*]	258/8	0.0009	0.0002	0.0335 [‡]	0.0082	8.9†	0.1	
6	Pycnococcus provasolii	190/1	0.0007	0.0000	0.0116	0.0004	5.1	0.5	
7	Pavlova salina	940/3	0.0007	0.0000	0.0092	0.0002	4.2	0.5	
Carboh	ydrate	1							
1	Dunaliella polymorpha	19/14	0.0203†	0.0007	0.2841‡	0.0103	61.0	2.42	
2	Dunaliella quartolecta	19/8	0.0170	0.0012	0.2723 [‡]	0.0191	56.4	4.65	
3	Dunaliella tertiolecta	19/22	0.0167	0.0012	0.3181 [†]	0.0224	63.2	4.23	
4	Dunaliella quartolecta	19/9	0.0151	0.0009	0.2261	0.0134	53.6	5.49	
5	Dunaliella bioculata	19/4	0.0143	0.0002	0.2286	0.0037	55.5	1.07	
6	Tetraselmis chui	66/21A	0.0141	0.0017	0.3113 [‡]	0.0383	50.8	4.82	
7	Dunaliella polymorpha	19/7A	0.0139	0.0008	0.2224	0.0135	55.8	3.71	
8	Dunaliella tertiolecta	19/6B	0.0137	0.0017	0.2197	0.0270	58.2	1.72	
9	Dunaliella salina	19/12	0.0125	0.0019	0.1880	0.0283	47.4	3.92	
10	Haematococcus pluvialis [§]	34/6	0.0118 [‡]	0.0065	0.4166 [‡]	0.2183	81.1 [*]	7.89	

Table 3. Top producing strains for protein, N and carbohydrate. All strains were grown on f/2 unless denoted (*) where 3NBBM+V was used or (\$) where JM was used. [†]Significantly different (P<0.05) from rest of the column except where denoted (‡). All data points are above the 70th percentiles for the screen. Full data set in Supplementary Dataset S4 online.

(Fig. 4a,b). The amount of N supplied in the standard low nitrate saline f/2 media (a majority of those studied i.e. 103 strains) was 0.0124 gl^{-1} ; this was similar in the low nitrate freshwater JM medium (utilised to cultivate 11 of the strains studied) at 0.0156 gl^{-1} and for high nitrate freshwater 3NBBM+V medium (utilised for 3 strains) this was 0.1236 gl^{-1} . The mean N yield for strains in f/2 was 0.0076 gl^{-1} , but with the higher C productivity strains, N yields tended to approach the amount of N supplied (Fig. 4a). Here, *R. violaceae* CCAP 1388/6, *C. cryptica* CCAP 1070/2 and *Tetraselmis* sp. CCAP 66/60 retained more N than the *Nannochloropsis* species studied (Fig. 4a). In contrast the 3 strains in high nitrate (3NBBM+V) assimilated <30% of supplied N (Fig. 4a). In two of these, *M. subterranea* CCAP 848/1 and *Desmodesmus elegans* CCAP 258/8, this equated to significantly more N accumulated than the rest of the screen (t-test, P=0.015 and P=0.045; Fig. 4a; Table 3), but was only associated with high protein yields in the former strain (Fig. 4b). The C/N ratio at stationary phase harvest correlated with C productivity and yields for the strains grown in relatively low nitrate media (Supplementary Fig. S7 online). Of the best producing strains, for those growing in f/2 the C/N ratio was in the region of 30-40 and for *M. subterannea* CCAP 848/1 in 3NBBM+V, this was 8.4.



Figure 4. C and N resource partitioning in the algal screen. C productivities are depicted in comparison with assimilation of supplied N in terms of (**a**) N culture yield (**b**) protein. Comparison of TFA production levels with (**c**) carbohydrate and (**d**) protein. Data points are colour coded by class as defined in Fig. 1 (2° screen) and error bars (SD) are depicted for key algae labelled according to CCAP strain accession number (red text indicates strains grown in 3NBBM+V). Data are from 117 strains and are derived from replicate batch cultures (tabulated in Supplementary Dataset S4 online).

Carbohydrate synthesis and C partitioning. Carbohydrate levels assessed using Dubois ranged from 3-81% DW (mean 30% and RSD 58%) (Supplementary Dataset S4 online). A similarly wide spread of data about the mean was noted for FA, but was less evident for protein or N (above). A three-way comparison of TFA, carbohydrate and protein productivities is shown graphically (Fig. 4c,d) and these data are also ranked for the top producing strains in Supplementary Fig. S8 online. Hence a great degree of flux control variation in C-partitioning between TFA and carbohydrate was apparent with most of the high biomass (C) producers focussing either on carbohydrate or TFA. A few of the high C producers (e.g. *Tetraselmis* sp. CCAP 66/60, *C. fusiformis* CCAP 1017/2), grown on standard low nitrate f/2, achieved a balance between TFA, carbohydrate and protein production (Fig. 4c,d; Supplementary Fig. S8 online).

Dunaliella, Tetraselmis, Rhodella and Haematococcus species were the most productive for carbohydrate and Dunaliella polymorpha CCAP 19/14 emerged as the most productive strain (c.f. rest of screened P=0.016, except H. pluvialis CCAP 34/6: P=0.0856) (Fig. 4c, Table 3). R. violaceae CCAP 1388/6 was the highest yielding strain studied (P=0.018 c.f. rest of screen, except *H. pluvialis* CCAP 34/6: P=0.9) (Supplementary Dataset S4 online). Particularly high carbohydrate content was observed in both *H. pluvialis* strains: CCAP 34/6 and CCAP 34/1F at 68-81% DW; the former significantly higher than other strains (P=0.026, except *H. pluvialis* CCAP 34/1F P>0.05 and *Mucidosphaerium pulchellum* CCAP 222/2B P=0.065). All the *Dictyosphaerium/Mucidosphaerium* species tested showed high carbohydrate (Supplementary Dataset S4 online), and as previously observed, mucilaginous exudate was apparent in these strains³².

Analysis of FA composition and micro-algal phylogeny. A cluster analysis of FA compositional data for the screen is shown (Fig. 5, data in Supplementary Dataset S6 and Fig. S9 online). Hierarchical cluster analysis of the FA data separated the green algae from the chromistan and red algae (Fig. 5). Here distinct patterns of C_{16} desaturation have been attributed to the action of distinct plastidial desaturases substrate specificities in the red and green algal lineages³³. Clustering of FA compositional data led to further grouping of most strains by phyla, class and in some cases according to genus. The outcome of this exercise was most successful with the Prymnesiophytes, where FA composition appeared to vary along taxonomic lines. Conversely, in diatoms there appeared to be substantial compositional variation at the species and even the infra-species level. Further analysis of FA composition and phylogenetics is presented in Supplementary Text S1 online.

Implications for biofuels. The best biofuel strains identified were N. oceanica CCAP 849/10 and C. vulgaris CCAP 211/21A based on content and productivity (Table 2). PUFA levels can negatively impact biodiesel storage in proportion to their unsaturation^{19,20}. In the screen as a whole, PUFA levels were high compared with current biofuel feedstocks, with a mean of 34%, but ranged from 4-74%, (Supplementary Dataset S6 online)^{19,20}. These were relatively high at 32% in C. vulgaris CCAP 211/21A, but confined to tri-unsaturates or less (Supplementary Dataset S6 online). All the Nannochloropsis strains had low PUFAS's (5-11% TFA) of which about half was EPA. The high levels of 16:0 and 16:1n-7 in N. oceanica CCAP 849/10 led to a mean chain length among the lowest in the screen at 16.4 (Supplementary Dataset S6 online). This would be expected to be an improvement over palm oil for instance, where 16:0 and 18:1n-9 are the dominant FA, and where cold flow issues exist^{19,20}. Several diatoms and haptophytes, had C₁₄ saturate levels ranging from 20-40% TFA (highest Odontella mobiliensis CCAP 1054/4), but accompanied by high amounts of C_{20-22} PUFA's. This would diminish cold flow problems (albeit with oxidation issues from the latter), but high C_{14} was not observed among the most productive TFA strains (Supplementary Datasets S4, S6 online). Nevertheless, model strains for genetic engineering or breeding could be found: C. fusiformis CCAP 1017/2, the best diatom TFA/biomass producer, was 7% C_{14} , but other species/strains in the genus had up to 30%, e.g. Cylindrotheca sp. CCAP 1017/7. In the haptophytes, C14 was at 20-28% in Prymnesium parvum CCAP 946/4, Pavlova salina CCAP 940/3 and Isochrysis sp. CCAP 927/12, but with moderate total TFA contents and productivities (Supplementary Dataset S6 online).

High-value FA producing strains. FA composition was analysed further in productivity terms for the commercially significant FA such as EPA, DHA, SDA and GLA (Supplementary Dataset S7 online, Table 4). The two best strains for EPA production were *M. subterranea* CCAP 848/1 and *C. cryptica* CCAP 1070/2 (Table 4). The *M. subterranea* strain studied (also held in the UTEX algal collection as UTEX 151) is a known EPA source strain and was used as benchmark although it is normally grown in N-rich freshwater media similar to that used here^{34,35}. Other *C. cryptica* strains have been used in mariculture^{36,37}. The former Eustigmatophycean strain ranked highest in EPA yield and productivity, but this was not significantly more than *C. cryptica* CCAP 1070/2 (t-test P=0.09 for both parameters) (Table 4). Although *M. subterranea* CCAP 848/1 (and fellow Eustigmatophycean *E. vischeri* CCAP 860/7) had higher EPA FA composition (22% cf. 16%; t-test to *C. cryptica* CCAP 1070/2: P<0.001 and P=0.007), total TFA content per biomass was only 8-12% cf. 20% DW (P<0.05) and with less EPA per biomass (2-3%DW cf. 4%; P<0.05, Table 4). Therefore, under the screen conditions used here, the *C. cryptica* CCAP 1070/2 strain appeared to be a more promising source of EPA and possibly a suitable mariculture strain due to the higher EPA biomass content and capability for productive growth on low-N saline f/2 medium.

With respect to DHA, the most productive strains were confined to the haptophytes, where *T. lutea* CCAP 927/14 ranked the highest (see Table 4 for significance). This was combined with high DHA FA content (16%) which was only exceeded by the much less productive dinoflagellate *A. carterae* CCAP 1102/3 (19%, P=0.002) and *Pedinella marina* 941/1A (18% but NS, P=0.68); otherwise it was significantly higher than rest of screen (P=0.023). The *T. lutea* CCAP 927/14 strain is extensively used in aquaculture³⁸ because of its suitable nutritional profile. However, the generally low TFA content of the examined Isochrysidales order, of 11-16% DW, would not favour them for non-polar lipid extraction. Significantly higher DHA per biomass was observed in *Prymnesium parvum* CCAP 946/4 and CCAP 946/6 (Table 4), with relatively high TFA content at 16-26% DW. DHA content at 13-14% TFA was slightly less than *T. lutea* CCAP 927/14 (P=0.003 and 0.023) although DHA productivity was not significantly less than that of *T. lutea* CCAP 927/14 (P=0.60 and 0.12; comparing all parameters between *P. parvum* strains: NS, P>0.05) (Table 4). Given that members of this genus produce a suite of toxins



Figure 5. Cluster analysis of FA compositional data. A data cut-off of 0.1% was applied and data (mol%) clustered using a PAST algorithm employing Rho parameters (bootstrap value N=1000). Micro-algal classes were defined by the colour-coding scheme in Fig. 1 (2° screen). Strains undergoing taxonomic review are indicated (*); see Supplementary Text S1 online. Data tabulated in Supplementary Dataset S6 online.

.....

against fish and protozoa³⁹, commercial use may be limited, if resolvable, through genetic means. Fish oil based feeds and dietary supplements often have similar levels of both EPA and DHA. In this regard, three Pavlovophyceaen strains were productive for both FA, of these *Diacronema lutheri* CCAP 931/7 was the most productive for DHA and is extensively used in aquaculture⁴⁰. However, another related species, *Pavlova salina* CCAP 940/3, showed more balanced EPA and DHA levels, combined with TFA content of 20% DW (Table 4).

No.	Species	Strain	Specified FA Productivity		Specifie Yiel	d FA d	Specified FA composition		Specified FA content		TFA content	
		CCAP No.	(mgl-d ⁻¹)	SD	(mgl ⁻¹)	SD	%Area	SD	%DW	SD	%DW	SD
EPA	1											
1	Monodopsis subterranea*	848/1	0.77^{+}	0.09	11.48^{\dagger}	1.40	22.5†	0.6	1.9	0.4	8.4	1.9
2	Cyclotella cryptica	1070/2	0.63 [‡]	0.04	9.50 [‡]	0.60	16.1	0.8	3.8 [†]	0.3	23.5†	3.2
3	Eustigmatos vischeri [*]	860/7	0.53	0.11	7.91	1.59	22.2 [‡]	1.9	2.6	0.4	11.8	1.2
4	Pavlova salina	940/3	0.44	0.08	6.21	1.11	14.3	0.3	2.9 [‡]	0.6	20.0 [‡]	4.2
5	Nitzschia ovalis	1052/12	0.41	0.13	3.30	1.07	15.4	0.8	3.1‡	0.4	20.4‡	3.2
6	Thalassiosira weissflogii	1085/18	0.36	0.07	5.77	1.05	13.4	0.2	1.7	0.1	12.3	1.2
7	Pavlova pinguis	940/2	0.33 [‡]	0.16	7.60	3.59	15.6	0.9	3.3 [‡]	1.6	21.4 [‡]	9.9
8	Pavlova gyrans	940/1B	0.31	0.01	6.01	0.47	14.7	0.8	1.8	0.1	12.5	1.1
9	Diacronema lutheri	931/7	0.29	0.15	3.79	1.94	16.4	1.1	2.2	0.5	13.7	3.9
10	Thalassiosira weissflogii	1085/1	0.27	0.02	5.77	0.51	11.4	0.9	1.8	0.1	15.5	1.0
11	Thalassiosira pseudonana	1085/12	0.25	0.14	3.86	1.51	10.4	1.5	2.2	0.8	21.4 [‡]	6.3
12	Nitzschia epithemoides	1052/18	0.19	0.03	4.27	0.72	13.9	1.8	2.6	0.3	19.2 [‡]	3.4
DHA	1											
1	Tisochrysis lutea	927/14	0.44^{\dagger}	0.03	6.10 [‡]	0.4	16.4^{\dagger}	0.3	1.9	0.1	11.4	0.6
2	Prymnesium parvum	946/4	0.41‡	0.09	8.91†	2.0	14.3	0.5	2.3 [‡]	0.3	16.1 [‡]	2.1
3	Isochrysis sp.	927/12	0.38 [‡]	0.03	7.15 [‡]	0.6	11.9	0.1	1.4	0.4	12.1	3.4
4	Chrysotila lamellosa	918/1	0.35	0.04	5.96 [‡]	0.7	9.1	1.6	1.2	0.1	13.7 [‡]	1.3
5	Prymnesium parvum	946/6	0.29 [‡]	0.13	5.47 [‡]	2.7	13.0	1.6	3.4^{\dagger}	0.9	26.7^{\dagger}	8.5
6	Isochrysis galbana	949/1	0.23	0.08	3.97	1.4	10.7	0.8	1.3	0.2	11.9	1.1
7	Pedinella marina	941/1A	0.23 [‡]	0.13	5.05 [‡]	3.0	18.3 [‡]	7.5	1.9 [‡]	1.2	9.8	2.2
8	Isochrysis galbana	927/1	0.23	0.03	4.52	0.6	13.4	0.2	2.1	0.1	16.0 [‡]	1.1
9	Diacronema lutheri	931/7	0.22 [‡]	0.14	2.89	1.8	11.9	2.1	1.7 [‡]	0.6	13.7 [‡]	3.9
10	Pedinella sp.	941/3	0.21	0.02	2.99	0.3	11.4	0.5	1.5	0.2	13.5 [‡]	1.7
11	Pavlova salina	940/3	0.18	0.03	2.57	0.4	5.9	0.1	1.2	0.3	20.0 [‡]	4.2
12	Pleuro. pseudoroscoffensis	913/2	0.18	0.03	2.89	0.5	8.3	0.2	0.9	0.1	11.2	1.2
13	Pleurochrysis carterae	961/4	0.17	0.01	2.80	0.2	8.6	0.6	1.1	0.1	12.9	1.3
14	Pleurochrysis dentata	904/1	0.15	0.02	2.57	0.4	5.9	0.8	0.8	0.1	14.4 [‡]	3.4
15	Pleurochrysis pinguis	940/2	0.13	0.06	2.92	1.5	6.0	0.6	1.3	0.7	21.4 [‡]	9.9

Table 4. Strains producing high-value omega-3 long-chain PUFA. All strains were grown on f/2 unless denoted (*) where 3NBBM+V was used. [†]Significantly different (P<0.05) from rest of the column except where denoted (‡). All data are above the 70th percentile for the screen for the first 4 parameters. Full data set in Supplementary Dataset S7 online.

Haptophytes were also high producers of SDA, a precursor of EPA and DHA¹⁴(Supplementary Table S1 online); the most productive being *T. lutea* CCAP 927/14 (significantly higher c.f. rest of screen, P = 0.040). Its SDA FA composition was highest in the screen (17%, P=0.008), excepting *A. carterae* CCAP 1102/3 (32%, P < 0.001). SDA productivity was also high in *Chroomonas placoidea* CCAP 978/8 and *Pleurochrysis dentata* CCAP 944/2, with the latter having favourable TFA content at just under 20% DW.

Regarding GLA composition, the freshwater alga *D. ehrenbergianum* CCAP 222/1A at 6% TFA was comparable to the principal commercial source, Evening Primrose oil (5-10%); significantly higher c.f. rest of screen (P=0.009)(Supplementary Table S1 online)⁴¹. This strain was the most productive for GLA along with *H. pluvialis* CCAP 34/6; the diatoms *C. muelleri* CCAP 1010/3 and *C. fusiformis* CCAP 1017/2 (NS difference, P>0.05).

It was also instructive to examine the complete complement of omega-3 long chain PUFA in the screen (Supplementary Table S2 and Dataset S6-7 online). Although the health benefits, and commercial premiums, of individual omega-3 long chain PUFA are known to differ, a high ω -3/ ω -6 ratio is thought to be beneficial in dietary fat. The mean ratio ($\geq C_{18}$ PUFA) for the screen was high at 8.4, compared with western intake (~0.1) but varied greatly from 0.1-74 (Supplementary Dataset S6 online). The lowest ratios were due to high levels of Linoleic acid (LA or 18:2n-6) or Arachidonic acid (ARA or 20:4n-6), or

No.	Species	Biomass	TFA	Carbo hydrate	Protein	EPA	DHA	SDA	GLA	Total ω-3 FA	N-assim.	Potential application/comment
1	Monodopsis subterranea CCAP 848/1 [*]	+++			+++	+++						Protein/EPA-rich feeds/aquaculture
2	Nannochloropsis gaditana CCAP 849/5	+++	+++									Biofuels: TFA 36%DW
3	Nannochloropsis oceanica CCAP 849/10 [†]	+++	+++									Biofuels: TFA >50%DW
4	Nannochloropsis oceanica CCAP 211/46	+++	+++									Biofuels: TFA 40%DW
5	Dunaliella polymorpha CCAP 19/14	+++		+++								Bioethanol/gas: carbohydrate >60%DW
6	<i>Tetraselmis</i> sp. CCAP 66/60 [‡]	+++	++								+	Mariculture/feeds: balanced composition
7	Cylindrotheca fusiformis CCAP 1017/2	++	++						+++			Biofuel/Model for C ₁₄ biofuel, flocculates
8	Nannochloropsis oceanica CCAP 211/78†	++	+++									Biofuels: TFA 42%
9	Chlorella vulgaris CCAP 211/21A	+	+++							+++		Biofuel/feeds: TFA>50% DW, ALA 13% TFA
10	Rhodella violaceae CCAP 1388/6	+									+	Biogas/N-remediation. High DW yields
11	Cyclotella cryptica CCAP 1070/2		+		+	+++					+	EPA source (16% in TFA): TFA 24% DW
12	Eustigmatos vischeri CCAP 860/7 [*]				+++	+++						Protein/EPA-rich feeds: protein 20% DW
13	Isochrysis sp. CCAP 927/12						+++	++				Mariculture/feeds: DHA-rich
14	Tisochrysis lutea CCAP 927/14				+++		+++	+++		+++		Mariculture/feeds: ω-3's, protein 15%DW
15	Prymnesium parvum CCAP 946/4			+			+++	++		++		DHA source (14% in TFA): TFA 16% DW
16	Pavlova salina CCAP 940/3					++	++		+			EPA/DHA (14%/6% in TFA): TFA 20% DW
17	Chroomonas placoidea CCAP 978/8				+++			+++		+++		Mariculture/feed: protein 14% DW
18	Dictyo. ehrenbergianum CCAP 222/1A [*]								+++			GLA source (6% in TFA): TFA 17%DW
19	Dictyo. ehrenbergianum CCAP 222/1C [*]									+++		Aquaculture: ω-3 long-chain PUFA rich
20	Pleurochrysis dentata CCAP 944/2							+++				SDA source (11% in TFA): TFA 18% DW

Table 5. Summary of the most productive strains emerging from the screen. Strains are arranged in descending order of biomass productivity (gC $l^{-1} d^{-1}$) focussing on best strains for a given species/genus. Scoring system refers to productivity: >95th percentile (+++); >90th percentile (++) and >70th percentile (+) except for N-assimilation where this indicates high assimilation of supplied N. All tested under full-salinity culture unless indicated (*) where freshwater. [†]Commercial origin (see Supplementary Dataset S1 online). Full data found in Supplementary Dataset S4-S7 online. ω -3's: omega-3 long-chain PUFAs.

both (e.g. *Porphyridium*). Mean omega-3 long-chain PUFA content in TFA in the screen was also high at 23% (RSD 53%) and ranged from 2-68%. The highest was *Amphidinium carterae* CCAP 1102/3 (t-test P < 0.001), due to SDA, DHA and EPA (Supplementary Table S2 and Dataset S6 online). However, this strain was not productive under the conditions employed and produces toxins⁴². When taking growth into account, a group of 11 strains lay above the following 70th percentiles: omega-3 long-chain PUFA composition (i.e. \geq 28%), content in biomass, yield and productivity (Supplementary Table S2 online). Most of these strains had TFA contents below 20% DW however. In fact a weak inverse-relationship was present between omega-3 long-chain PUFA (and total PUFA) composition in relation to TFA content in biomass (Supplementary Fig. S10 online). But interestingly, the high TFA content (55% DW) strain *C. vulgaris* CCAP 211/21A, had significantly the highest omega-3 long-chain PUFA productivity in the screen (t-test P = 0.013) (Supplementary Fig. S11 and Table S2 online). Although this strain lacked potential commercially high-premium FA, the FA composition appeared to be beneficial from a dietary perspective with relatively high ALA (13%), oleic acid (48%) and low LA (10%); ω -3/ ω -6 ratio 1.2 (Supplementary Dataset S6 online). By comparison, most major plant seed oil extracts such as Canola/ rapeseed or sunflower tend to have low omega-3 long-chain PUFA content, with LA a major if not the predominant unsaturated FA²⁰.

Discussion

The aim of this work was to screen a micro-algal collection for strains of biotechnological potential. The focus was primarily on marine strains and the key objective was to identify high lipid producers, with additional measurements to provide a complete compositional analysis. This screen was carried out at medium-scale with 0.4L culture volumes to yield sufficient biomass for several assays. However, it was found that elemental analysis for C and N content alone was sufficient to identify strains with high TFA content (>30%DW). This procedure requires only 1 mg DW (from as little as 2 mL culture) encapsulated in foil with a run-time of 8 min per sample. Therefore, for future screening, this procedure would allow a faster processing time and a significant scale-down of culture volume leading to higher throughput (more so than is possible with GC of directly trans-esterified FA⁴³ or FTIR spectroscopy⁴⁴). Only the Nile-Red plate assay approach would be faster, but this technique has limitations in accuracy relating to between-species comparison, dye uptake and carotenoid interference²⁵.

The two highest lipid producers were Nannochloropsis oceanica CCAP 849/10 and a marine Chlorella vulgaris CCAP 211/21A strain. The former was originally isolated from a fish hatchery (Table 5, Supplementary Dataset S1 online) and, since many freshwater Chlorella are already commercially exploited, it is likely that both strains would be robust enough for use in open-air ponds^{8,45}. This Chlorella is the first salt-tolerant strain with noted potential and the TFA content observed (52% DW) is similar to some of the higher reported levels in the literature for its freshwater relatives (48-57% DW; gravimetric measurements of total lipid)⁴⁶. Given the high levels of ALA and oleic acid relative to LA, the lipid composition of this strain represents a dietary improvement over mainstay vegetable oils, which are usually high in LA²⁰. On the basis of current commercial Chlorella production levels and the potential to increase these, opportunities exist for products in niche health-food markets, but in future a greater impact on dietary quality might be possible^{13,15,20}. The FA composition of lipids from Nannochloropsis species, along with sunflower and Canola, are more suited for biodiesel production^{19,20}. A detailed analysis of 12 different Nannochloropsis strains from 4 species found that N. oceanica strains had significantly higher TFA productivity and content than the others tested. This suggested that here phylogenetic origin was the major factor involved, rather than the local origin and/or the associated adaptations of the different strains to their local environments. Observing a significant relationship between phylogenetic and biochemical data at the species level indicated that the methods used in the screen were robust. It was noted that the aforementioned Chlorella and Nannochloropsis high lipid-producing strains accumulated most product in stationary phase. In contrast, haptophytes and diatom strains, where the most productive strain was C. fusiformis CCAP 1017/2 (Table 5), showed much less temporal variation in TFA accumulation. It was apparent that different phylogenetic groups should be grown using different cultivation methods, based on these data.

A subset of 20 strains is listed in Table 5 that was found to be the most productive for the specific storage products: lipid, carbohydrate and protein, and algal biomass. Interestingly, 3 of the top 8 biomass producers were isolated from commercial aquaculture sites, although the majority of strains entering the screen were originally collected from the natural environment (Table 5). It is likely that such strains will have undergone artificial selection predisposing them to mass culture¹⁰. In addition to flagging up previously unstudied strains, 4 out of 20 the highlighted strains from the screen were of previously known potential (Table 5): *N. oceanica* CCAP 211/46 and 211/78, *M. subterranea* CCAP 848/1 and *T. lutea* CCAP 927/14^{35,38,44,47}. This also demonstrated the robust nature of the methods employed in screening. The most promising source of total carbohydrate was *D. polymorpha* CCAP 19/14 (Table 5).

It was also notable that the top strains emerged from several different taxonomic phyla with varying latitudes of origin, from sub-tropical (e.g. *T. lutea* CCAP 927/14 from Hawaii) to cool temperate (*R. violaceae* CCAP 1388/6 from Sweden). Several had originally been isolated from brackish ecological niches (e.g. *R. violaceae* CCAP 1388/6; *D. polymorpha* CCAP 19/14; *C. cryptica* CCAP 1070/2 and *C. vulgaris* CCAP 211/21A), but thrived at seawater salinity levels (Supplementary Dataset S1 online). Overall, the common factors predisposing an individual taxon towards commercial exploitation seemed to be a high degree of adaptability and capacity for robust growth.

Micro-algae have received much interest as a source of high value omega-3 long-chain PUFA, for use in dietary supplements (i.e. valuable in commanding high commercial premium and health value), or for sequestering in the food chain in aquaculture or fisheries (i.e. of dietary health value added to the end product). Desaturated FA levels were altogether high in the screen compared with many terrestrial plant seed oils, and new strains for value-FA were noted²⁰. For instance EPA productivity in *C. cryptica* CCAP 1070/2 matched that of a bench-mark *M. subterranea* CCAP 848/1 strain, used in aquaculture (Table 5)³⁵. High DHA productivity was confined to the haptophytes, with 20 included in the screen. A routinely employed mariculture strain, *T. lutea* CCAP 927/14, emerged as the most productive, but a previously unstudied *Pavlova salina* CCAP 940/3 strain was also identified as a source of balanced EPA/DHA, with high TFA content. Overall, there was an inverse relationship noted between PUFA or total omega-3 long-chain PUFA levels versus TFA content, an observation which has previously been attributed to flux competition in FA biosynthesis^{1,48}. Strains productive in omega-3 long-chain PUFA were often productive for protein, perhaps related to a reduced carbon partitioning into lipids (Table 5).

In order to maximize production rates of a desired product, a balance must be struck between partitioning of resources between its accumulation and cell growth⁴⁹. Although noted in a smaller screen, there was no inverse correlation seen between TFA content and biomass productivity⁵⁰. However, an inverse relationship was observed between biomass production and protein or N-content. In effect, the majority of the high biomass producers allocated most of their C into either carbohydrate or TFA by stationary phase, as opposed to protein or other organic N compounds, leading to high C/N ratios. Strains grown on relatively low-N media (saline f/2 or freshwater JM) might have undergone N-limitation and the most productive ones appeared to assimilate most of the supplied N into biomass, although there was some variation in this respect: R. violaceae CCAP 1388/6 and C. cryptica CCAP 1070/2 assimilated the most. Given that the cultures did not receive CO_2 supplementation it is also plausible that some became C-limited which could in turn place energetic restrictions on N-assimilation^{10,51}. Proteonomic/transcriptomic studies suggest that in oleaginous micro-algae, catabolic processes linked to down-regulation of photosynthesis at stationary phase are likely to contribute to non-polar lipid accumulation and recycling of organic N⁵²⁻⁵⁴. Taken together, a greater understanding of these processes is likely to benefit lipid production or N-remediation by algae, and requires further study in the high producer strains identified here.

To summarize, a comprehensive screen was undertaken and this provided a rapid, "intelligent" strategy for future high-throughput screening based on a primary elemental analysis step for identifying high TFA and biomass-producing algae. A detailed analysis of composition cast light on the partitioning of resources in algae and provided a data resource for comparative genomics methodology. A repertoire of model strains for further investigation of biofuels and bioremediation has been provided and these may be tested by up-scaling for biotechnological purposes.

Methods

Growth of Micro-algae. All micro-algae tested were from the CCAP, UK; www.ccap.ac.uk. Cultures were grown in a defined, artificial seawater-based medium (f/2), with the exception of 11 freshwater taxa from the genera: Haematococcus, Dictyosphaerium and Cyanophora, which were grow in JM and three freshwater taxa from the genera Monodopsis, Eustigmatos and Desmodesmus grown in 3NBBM+V (www.ccap.ac.uk). The f/2-based medium was prepared as follows: 33.5 gl⁻¹ Instant Ocean (Aquarium Systems, France) pH adjusted to 6.9-7.0; the following added to final conc. 75 mgl⁻¹ NaNO₃, 5.65 mgl⁻¹ NaH2PO4.2H2O, trace metals (final conc. Na2EDTA 4.16 mgl-1, FeCl3.6H2O 3.15 mgl-1, CuSO4.5H2O 0.01 mgl⁻¹, ZnSO₄,7H₂O 0.022 mgl⁻¹, CoCl₂,7H₂O 0.01 mgl⁻¹, MnCl₂,4H₂O 0.18 mgl⁻¹, Na₂MoO₄ .2H₂O 0.006 mgl⁻¹); in the case of diatoms 30 mgl⁻¹ Na₂SiO₃.9H₂O; Tris-base to 1 mM, pH adjusted to 6.8-7.0; vitamins (final conc. Cyanocobalamin $0.5 \mu gl^{-1}$, Biotin $0.5 \mu gl^{-1}$; Thiamine-HCl $0.1 m gl^{-1}$) were added after autoclaving. Growth was monitored by dual measurement of in vivo chlorophyll fluorescence and cell turbidity as described previously⁴³. In the primary screen cultures of 100 mL were inoculated from starter cultures and incubated without agitation under a 12h:12h L/D (light/dark cycles) regime at 50-80 µmol m⁻² sec⁻¹ at 20 °C for 7-14 d, (Innova 44, New Brunswick Scientific, Edison, NJ). Cultures with no substantive growth after 14 d were discarded; cultures showing growth were used to inoculate secondary screen cultures once A₇₃₅=0.34, or when chlorophyll fluorescence reached 10,000 RFU (Relative Fluorescence Units). These values equated to 1×10^7 cells ml⁻¹ of the standard model strain, Nannochloropsis oculata CCAP 849/1. Triplicate 400 mL cultures were inoculated at 5% (v/v) from starters into 500 mL aerated flasks as described⁴³. Each flask was exposed to PAR (400-700 nm) 150 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ for 16h: 8h L/D, at 20 °C throughout. A further 8 strains from the Rhodophyceae, the Porphyridiaceae and Dinophyceae were exposed to 50 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹; requiring lower light-levels²⁷ and 4 polar diatom species required temperatures of 4-10 °C. Samples were harvested by centrifugation at 1000-4000 g, for 15 min (Sigma 4K15 centrifuge). Dunaliella species required 1000 g to avoid risk of cell rupture, whereas the Eustigmatophytes required 4000 g due to small-cell size. Harvested cells were then flash-frozen in liquid N, freeze-dried and stored as described⁴³. Log phase samples (100 mL) were harvested based on the above biomass concentration proxies; DW biomass yields were later checked to be within 20-60% of stationary phase biomass. Once the cultures reached stationary phase the remainder of the culture was harvested (defined by no change > \pm 5% in either A₇₃₅ or chlorophyll fluorescence within a 2 d interval).

Measurement of biomass and its constituents. Total C, N were determined by elemental analysis on 2 mg of freeze-dried material, and protein was determined by hot-TCA extraction followed by Lowry assay, as described⁵⁵. TFA content was estimated by direct-derivatization of free and esterified FA by GC-FID as described (internal standards $10 \,\mu\text{L} 5 \,\text{gl}^{-1}$ tritricosanoin in chloroform or $100 \,\mu\text{L} 0.25 \,\text{gl}^{-1} 15:0$ tripentadecanoin in hexane, Larodan, Malmö, Sweden)⁴³. Individual FA were identified using a combination of internal standards and GC-MS analysis of FAMES and DMOX-derivatives in representative strains as described⁴³. Total carbohydrate content was estimated using the Dubois assay⁵⁶. Lyophilized 5 mg samples were suspended in 0.5 mL 1 M H₂SO₄ and extracted at 121 °C for 15 min. Samples were cooled and centrifuged for 10 min, 10,000 g. Assay was carried out on 10 μ L supernatant by addition

with gentle mixing of 0.5 mL of 4% phenol followed by 2.5 mL of conc. H_2SO_4 . Readings were at A_{490} , calibrating the assay with glucose.

Data analysis. Compositional data for N, C, carbohydrate, protein, TFA and value FA were expressed in terms of biomass content (%DW), culture yield (gl⁻¹), batch culture productivity from inoculation to harvest (gl⁻¹d⁻¹) and in the case of the specific FA, composition (%area). The best strains were ranked in excel for content, yield and productivity parameters, retaining strains above the 70th percentile and comparisons of data by t-test. Graphical data output and Pearson's correlations were carried out using PAST⁵⁷ and statistical comparisons by 1-way ANOVA and MANOVA were carried out in MINITAB. The complete FA composition data-set was expressed as mol% using a cut-off of 0.1% prior to a hierarchical cluster analysis in PAST using rho-parameters. Phylogenetic analyses on 18S rDNA and ITS sequences were carried out using MUSCLE, editing out large insertions and drawing the trees using PhyML, bootstrapping for maximum likelihood inference where N = 1000.

References

- Day, J. G., Slocombe, S. P. & Stanley, M. S. Overcoming biological constraints to enable the exploitation of microalgae for biofuels. Bioresour. Technol. 109, 245–51 (2012).
- 2. Hu, Q. et al. Microalgal triacylglycerols as feedstocks for biofuel production: perspectives and advances. Plant J. 54, 621-39 (2008).
- 3. Williams, P. J. le B. & Laurens, L. M. L. Microalgae as biodiesel & biomass feedstocks: Review & analysis of the biochemistry, energetics & economics. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **3**, 554–590 (2010).
- 4. Chisti, Y. Biodiesel from microalgae. Biotechnol. Adv. 25, 294-306 (2007).
- 5. Greenwell, H. C., Laurens, L. M. L., Shields, R. J., Lovitt, R. W. & Flynn, K. J. Placing microalgae on the biofuels priority list: a review of the technological challenges. J. R. Soc. Interface 7, 703–26 (2010).
- 6. Stephens, E. et al. Future prospects of microalgal biofuel production systems. Trends Plant Sci. 15, 554-564 (2010).
- Sheehan, J., Dunahay, T., Benemann, J. & Roessler, P. A look back at the U.S. department of energy's aquatic species program: biodiesel from algae; close-out report. (1998). Date of access 26/01/2015 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.2172/15003040
- 8. Benemann, J. Microalgae for Biofuels and Animal Feeds. Energies 6, 5869-5886 (2013).
- Borowitzka, M. A. et al. Identification of the optimum sites for industrial- scale microalgae biofuel production in WA using a GIS model. (2012). Date of access 26/01/2015 at http://www.murdoch.edu.au/_document/News/CRST-AlgaeBiofuelsGIS-FinalReportt.pdf>
- Borowitzka, M. A. in Algae for Biofuels and Energy (eds. Borowitzka, M. A. & Moheimani, N. R.) 77–89 (Springer, 2013). doi:10.1007/978-94-007-5479-9_4
- 11. Griffiths, M. J. & Harrison, S. T. L. Lipid productivity as a key characteristic for choosing algal species for biodiesel production. J. Appl. Phycol. 21, 493–507 (2009).
- 12. Borowitzka, M. A. High-value products from microalgae—their development and commercialisation. J. Appl. Phycol. 25, 743–756 (2013).
- 13. Lenihan-Geels, G., Bishop, K. S. & Ferguson, L. R. Alternative sources of omega-3 fats: can we find a sustainable substitute for fish? *Nutrients* 5, 1301–15 (2013).
- 14. Harwood, J. L. & Guschina, I. A. The versatility of algae and their lipid metabolism. Biochimie 91, 679-84 (2009).
- Han, S. N., Lichtenstein, A. H., Ausman, L. M. & Meydani, S. N. Novel Soybean Oils Differing in Fatty Acid Composition Alter Immune Functions of Moderately Hypercholesterolemic Older Adults 1–3. J. Nutr. 142, 2182–2187 (2012).
- Gautam, M. *et al.* Importance of fatty acid compositions in patients with peripheral arterial disease. *PLoS One* 9, e107003 (2014).
 Kagan ML, Levy A, L.-F.A. Comparative study of tissue deposition of omega-3 fatty acids from polar-lipid rich oil of the study of t
- microalgae Nannochloropsis oculata with krill oil in rats. Food Funct. 6, 185–191(2014) DOI: 10.1039/C4FO00591K.
 18. Johnson, M. B. & Wen, Z. Production of biodiesel fuel from the microalga Schizochytrium limacinum by direct transesterification of algal biomass. Energy & Fuels 23, 5179–5183 (2009).
- 19. Knothe, G. Improving biodiesel fuel properties by modifying fatty ester composition. Energy Environ. Sci. 2, 759-766 (2009).
- Ramos, M. J., Fernández, C. M., Casas, A., Rodríguez, L. & Pérez, A. Influence of fatty acid composition of raw materials on biodiesel properties. *Bioresour. Technol.* 100, 261–268 (2009).
- 21. Wardle, D. A. Global sale of green air travel supported using biodiesel. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 7, 1–64 (2003).
- Llamas, A., García-Martínez, M., Al-Lal, A.-M., Canoira, L. & Lapuerta, M. Biokerosene from coconut and palm kernel oils: Production and properties of their blends with fossil kerosene. *Fuel* 102, 483–490 (2012).
- 23. Guiry, M. D. How many species of algae are there? J. Phycol. 48, 1057-1063 (2012).
- 24. Gachon, C. M. M. et al. The CCAP KnowledgeBase: linking protistan and cyanobacterial biological resources with taxonomic and molecular data. *Syst. Biodivers.* 11, 407–413 (2013).
- Chen, W., Zhang, C., Song, L., Sommerfeld, M. & Hu, Q. A high throughput Nile red method for quantitative measurement of neutral lipids in microalgae. J. Microbiol. Methods 77, 41–7 (2009).
- 26. Lang, I., Hodac, L., Friedl, T. & Feussner, I. Fatty acid profiles and their distribution patterns in microalgae: a comprehensive analysis of more than 2000 strains from the SAG culture collection. *BMC Plant Biol.* **11**, 124 (2011).
- 27. Brown, T. E. & Richardson, F. L. The effect of growth environment on the physiology of algae: light intensity. J. Phycol. 4, 38–54 (1968).
- 28. Graham, J. E., Wilcox, L. W. & Graham, L. E. Algae. (Benjamin Cummings, 2008).
- 29. Blaxter, K. L. & Rook, R. J. The heat of combustion of the tissues of cattle in relation to their chemical composition. *Br. J. Nutr.* 7, 83–91 (1953).
- Rouwenhorst, R. J., Jzn, J. F., Scheffers, W. A., van Dijken, J. J. Determination of protein concentration by total organic carbon analysis. J. Biochem. Biophys. Meth. 22, 119–128 (1991).
- Mendes-Pinto, M. M., Raposo, M. F. J., Bowen, J., Young, A. J. & Morais, R. Evaluation of different cell disruption processes on encysted cells of *Haematococcus pluvialis*: effects on astaxanthin recovery and implications for bio-availability. J. Appl. Phycol. 13, 19–24 (2001).
- 32. Bock, C., Pröschold, T. & Krienitz, L. Updating the genus Dictyosphaerium and description of *Mucidosphaerium* Gen. Nov. (Trebouxiophyceae) based on morphological and molecular data. J. Phycol. 47, 638–652 (2011).
- Domergue, F. et al. New Insight into Phaeodactylum tricornutum Fatty Acid Metabolism. Cloning and Functional Characterization of Plastidial and Microsomal 12-Fatty Acid Desaturases. Plant Physiol. 131, 1648–1660 (2003).

- 34. Qiang, H., Zheungu, H., Cohen, Z. & Richond, A. Enhancement of eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and γ-linolenic acid (GLA) production by manipulating algal density of outdoor cultures of *Monodus subterraneus* (Eustigmatophyta) and *Spirulina platensis* (Cyanobacteria). *Eur. J. Phycol.* **32**, 81–86 (1997).
- 35. Cohen, Z. Production potential of eicosapentaenoic acid by Monodus subterraneus. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 71, 941-945 (1994).
- Pahl, S. L., Lewis, D. M., Chen, F. & King, K. D. Heterotrophic growth and nutritional aspects of the diatom Cyclotella cryptica (Bacillariophyceae): Effect of some environmental factors. J. Biosci. Bioeng. 109, 235–9 (2010).
- 37. Borowitzka, M. A. Microalgae for aquaculture : Opportunities and constraints. J. Appl. Phycol. 9, 393-401 (1997).
- Jauffrais, T. et al. Azaspiracid accumulation, detoxification and biotransformation in blue mussels (Mytilus edulis) experimentally fed Azadinium spinosum. Toxicon 60, 582–95 (2012).
- Tillmann, U. Kill and eat your predator: a winning strategy of the planktonic flagellate *Prymnesium parvum. Aquat. Microb. Ecol.* 32, 73–84 (2003).
- Meireles, L. A., Guedes, A. C. & Malcata, F. X. Lipid class composition of the microalga Pavlova lutheri: eicosapentaenoic and docosahexaenoic acids. J. Agric. Food Chem. 51, 2237–2241 (2003).
- Yaniv, Z., Ranen, C., Levy, A. & Palevitch, D. Effect of temperature on the fatty acid composition and yield of evening primrose (*Oenothera lamarckiana*) seeds. J. Exp. Bot. 40, 609–613 (1989).
- 42. Baig, H. S., Saifullah, S. M. & Darzins, A. Occurrence and toxicity of *Amphidinium carterae* Hulburt in the North Arabian Sea. *Harmful Algae* 5, 133–140 (2006).
- Slocombe, S. P., Zhang, Q., Black, K. D., Day, J. G. & Stanley, M. S. Comparison of screening methods for high-throughput determination of oil yields in micro-algal biofuel strains. J. Appl. Phycol. 25, 961–972 (2013).
- Mayers, J. J., Flynn, K. J. & Shields, R. J. Rapid determination of bulk microalgal biochemical composition by Fourier-Transform Infrared spectroscopy. *Bioresour. Technol.* 148, 215–20 (2013).
- 45. Sandnes, J. M. *et al.* Real-time monitoring and automatic density control of large-scale microalgal cultures using near infrared (NIR) optical density sensors. *J. Biotechnol.* **122**, 209–15 (2006).
- 46. Přibyl, P., Cepák, V. & Zachleder, V. Production of lipids in 10 strains of *Chlorella* and *Parachlorella*, and enhanced lipid productivity in *Chlorella vulgaris*. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 94, 549–561 (2012).
- Vieler, A. et al. Genome, functional gene annotation, and nuclear transformation of the heterokont oleaginous alga Nannochloropsis oceanica CCMP1779. PLoS Genet. 8, e1003064 (2012).
- Roessler, P. G. Environment control of glycerolipid metabolism in microalgae: commercial implications and future research directions. J. Phycol. 26, 393–399 (1990).
- Beardall, J. & Raven, J. A. in Algae for Biofuels and Energy (eds. Borowitzka, M. A. & Moheimani, N. R.) 91–98 (Springer, 2013). doi:10.1007/978-94-007-5479-9_5
- 50. Rodolfi, L. et al. Microalgae for oil: strain selection, induction of lipid synthesis and outdoor mass cultivation in a low-cost photobioreactor. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* **102**, 100–112 (2009).
- Giordano, M., Beardall, J. & Raven, J. A. CO₂ concentrating mechanisms in algae: mechanisms, environmental modulation, and evolution. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 56, 99–131 (2005).
- Valenzuela, J. et al. Nutrient resupplementation arrests bio-oil accumulation in Phaeodactylum tricornutum. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 97, 7049–59 (2013).
- 53. Hockin, N. L., Mock, T., Mulholland, F., Kopriva, S. & Malin, G. The response of diatom central carbon metabolism to nitrogen starvation is different from that of green algae and higher plants. *Plant Physiol.* **158**, 299–312 (2012).
- Dong, H.-P. et al. Responses of Nannochloropsis oceanica IMET1 to Long-Term Nitrogen Starvation and Recovery. Plant Physiol. 162, 1110–26 (2013).
- Slocombe, S. P., Ross, M., Thomas, N., McNeill, S. & Stanley, M. S. A rapid and general method for measurement of protein in micro-algal biomass. *Bioresour. Technol.* 129, 51–7 (2013).
- DuBois, M., Gilles, K. A., Hamilton, J. K., Rebers, P. A. & Smith, F. Colorimetric method for determination of sugars and related substances. Anal. Chem. 28, 350–356 (1956).
- 57. Hammer, Ø., Harper, D. A. T. & Ryan, P. D. PAST: Paleontological statistics software package for eductation and data analysis. *Paleontol. Electron.* **4**, 9 (2001).

Acknowledgements

This work was carried out as part of the BioMara project (www.biomara.ac.uk), receiving support from the European Regional Development Fund through the INTERREG IVA Programme, Highlands and Islands Enterprise, Crown Estate, Northern Ireland Executive, Scottish Government and Irish Government. We also acknowledge National Capability funding for the Culture Collection for Algae and Protozoa (CCAP) from the UK Natural Environment Research Council (NERC).

Author Contributions

S.P.S., C.N.C., J.G.D., M.S.S. and K.D.B. conceived and planned the project. S.P.S wrote the manuscript and ran the screen. A.A., Q.Z., M.R., N.J.T., A.L. and S.P.S. processed the microalgae and generated the quantitative data. S.P.S., Q.Z. and M.R. collated and processed these data. C.R.M., C.N.C. and S.P.S. carried out DNA sequencing and assembly. S.P.S., Q.Z., M.R. and C.N.C. produced the tables and figures.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Slocombe, S. P. *et al.* Unlocking nature's treasure-chest: screening for oleaginous algae. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 09844; doi: 10.1038/srep09844 (2015).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/