www.transonc.com

Targeted α-Particle Radiation Therapy of HER1-Positive Disseminated Intraperitoneal Disease: An Investigation of the Human Anti-EGFR Monoclonal Antibody, Panitumumab

CrossMark

Diane E. Milenic, Kwamena E. Baidoo, Young-Seung Kim, Rachel Barkley and Martin W. Brechbiel

Radioimmune & Inorganic Chemistry Section, Radiation Oncology Branch, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD

Abstract

Identifying molecular targets and an appropriate targeting vehicle, i.e., monoclonal antibodies (mAb) and their various forms, for radioimmunotherapy (RIT) remains an active area of research. Panitumumab, a fully human and less immunogenic mAb that binds to the epidermal growth factor receptor (Erb1; HER1), was evaluated for targeted α -particle radiation therapy using ²¹²Pb, an *in vivo* α generator. A single dose of ²¹²Pb-panitumumab administered to athymic mice bearing LS-174T intraperitoneal (i.p.) tumor xenografts was found to have greater therapeutic efficacy when directly compared with ²¹²Pb-trastuzumab, which binds to HER2. A dose escalation study determined a maximum effective working dose of ²¹²Pb-panitumumab to be 20 μ Ci with a median survival of 35 days versus 25 days for the untreated controls. Pretreatment of tumor-bearing mice with paclitaxel and gemcitabine 24 hours prior to injection of ²¹²Pb-pantiumumab at 10 or 20 μ Ci resulted in the greatest enhanced therapeutic impact, however, was observed in the animals that were treated with topotecan 24 hours prior to RIT and then again 24 hours after RIT; the best response from this combination was also obtained with the lower 10- μ Ci dose of ²¹²Pb-panitumumab (median survival >280 days). In summary, ²¹²Pb-panitumumab is an excellent candidate for the treatment of HER1-positive disseminated i.p. disease. Furthermore, the potentiation of the therapeutic impact of ²¹²Pb-panitumumab by chemotherapeutics confirms and validates the importance of developing a multimodal therapy pregimen.

Translational Oncology (2017) 10, 535-545

Introduction

Successful preclinical investigations to treat disseminated peritoneal disease with ^{212}Pb , an $\alpha\text{-radiation}$ source, have resulted in the first-ever $^{212}\text{Pb}\text{-radioimmunotherapy}$ (RIT) clinical study at the University of Alabama at Birmingham. The phase 1 trial, designed to assess the safety of $^{212}\text{Pb-1}$, 4, 7, 10-tetraaza-1, 4, 7,10-tetra-(2-carbamoyl methyl)-cyclododecane-trastuzumab ($^{212}\text{Pb}\text{-TCMC}\text{-trastuzumab}$) RIT recruited patients with HER2-positive peritoneal neoplasms of ovarian, pancreatic, and gastric origin (NCT01384253). No adverse reactions or toxicities were reported for patients receiving $^{212}\text{Pb}\text{-trastuzumab}$ by intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection [1,2]. The progress of the phase 1 trial has ignited great interest in ^{212}Pb radiopharmaceuticals for targeted $\alpha\text{-therapy}$ applications.

HER2 expression ranges from 25% to 30% (e.g., breast cancer) to 90% to 100% (colorectal cancer and ovarian cancer), with pancreatic cancer ranging from 35% to 45% [3–6]. These percentages are a reflection of the heterogenic nature of tumors, which in turn presents difficulties in providing patients with appropriate traditional or

Address all correspondence to: Diane E. Milenic, National Institutes of Health, 10 Center Drive, MSC-1002, Building 10, Room B3B69, Bethesda, MD 20892. E-mail: milenicd@mail.nih.gov

Received 28 February 2017; Revised 13 April 2017; Accepted 17 April 2017

Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of Neoplasia Press, Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/). 1936-5233/17

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tranon.2017.04.004

conventional treatments [7,8]. Targeting other molecules expressed by tumor cells presents a further opportunity to overcome tumor heterogeneity. Towards this end, studies in this laboratory have included identifying additional molecules that could serve as targets for α -particle RIT for the treatment of disseminated peritoneal disease [9–12].

One molecule of great interest for the development of targeted therapies is the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR; HER1). Several investigators have demonstrated the potential of radiolabeled cetuximab (Erbitux) for imaging and RIT applications targeting this receptor [12–20]. Most recently, the potential of cetuximab as a vehicle for the delivery of α -particle radiation, via ²¹²Pb, was demonstrated in a disseminated i.p. tumor mouse model [10]. Furthermore, ²¹²Pb-cetuximab therapy was found to be augmented by chemotherapeutics. Despite the evidence that cetuximab is an effective vehicle for α -radiation therapy of HER1-positive tumors, there are underlying concerns with the high percent of injected dose per gram (%ID/g) consistently observed in the liver with this mAb [11,12,17]. Until dosimetric calculations are performed, the consequence of this hepatic sequestration of radioactivity remains unknown.

Clinical trials with cetuximab have also highlighted concerns about infusion reactions (IRs) noted in patients as well as its immunogenicity, both of which could limit the number of treatments that could be administered to a patient [21]. Mild-to-moderate IRs have occurred in 12% to 19% of the patients treated with cetuximab; severe IRs have occurred in 3% of the patients. Cetuximab is a chimeric mAb of which 34% of the mAb structure is murine. Anti-cetuximab antibodies have been detected in 5% of the evaluable patients with a median onset of the response occurring at 44 days [22]. Panitumumab (Vectibix), a fully human mAb, the first of its type to gain Food and Drug Administration approval, appears to be less immunogenic than cetuximab with an incidence of 0.4% or 3.2% depending on the detection format [23]. Furthermore, only 4% of the patients treated with panitumumab have developed IR, with 1% being severe. Imaging and tumor targeting studies with radiolabeled panitumumab (¹¹¹In, ⁸⁶Y, and ⁸⁹Y) in mouse tumor models have indicated that the hepatic uptake of radioactivity is less than what has been observed with cetuximab [24]. Studies from this laboratory have demonstrated excellent tumor targeting of s.c., i.p. or i.t. tumor xenografts by radiolabeled (111 In, 89 Zr, or 86 Y) panitumumab when injected i.v., i.p., or i.t. [12,17,24-26]. These studies suggest that panitumumab would be an excellent candidate to explore for targeted α therapy.

The present report details studies assessing the therapeutic efficacy of panitumumab when labeled with ²¹²Pb. The work includes a pilot study directly comparing the effectiveness of targeting HER1 versus HER2 using panitumumab and trastuzumab, respectively, as the targeting vehicles. A reassessment of the effective therapeutic dose was performed followed by studies combining ²¹²Pb-panitumumab with each of the chemotherapeutics gemcitabine, paclitaxel, or topotecan.

Materials and Methods

Cells

Media and supplements were purchased from Lonza unless otherwise indicated. Therapy studies were conducted using the LS-174T, a human colon carcinoma cell line, grown in Dulbecco's minimum essential medium (12-614Q). The medium was supplemented with 1 mM glutamine (17-605E), 10% FetalPlex (Gemini Bioproducts, Inc.; 100-602), and 1 mM nonessential amino acids 13-114E as previously described [27,28].

Chelate Synthesis and mAb Conjugation

Panitumumab (Vectibix; Amgen, Inc., Thousand Oaks, CA) was purchased through the National Institutes of Health (NIH), Division of Veterinary Resources Pharmacy. Conjugation of panitumumab with the bifunctional ligands, 1, 4, 7, 10-tetraaza-1, 4, 7,10-tetra-(2carbamoyl methyl)-cyclododecane (TCMC) or trans-cyclohexyldiethylenetriamine-pentaacidic acid (CHX-A"), was performed at a 10-fold molar excess of ligand to panitumumab according to established methods previously described in detail [24,29-31]. The final concentration of panitumumab was determined by the Lowry method using a BSA standard [32]. The number of TCMC and CHX-A" molecules bound to panitumumab was quantitated using spectrophotometric assays based on the titration of lead-Arsenazo(III) and yttrium-Arsenazo(III) complex, respectively [33,34]. Polyclonal human immunoglobulin (HuIgG; MP Biochemicals, 64145) served as a negative control in these studies and was similarly conjugated with TCMC or CHX-A" in parallel and evaluated as described above. The HuIgG is purified from human serum, and to date, no known antigen has been described with which it reacts. Trastuzumab and cetuximab conjugated with TCMC, as previously described, were utilized in one study to allow a direct comparison of the therapeutic efficacy of panitumumab to that of trastuzumab and cetuximab when radiolabeled with ²¹²Pb [10,16,30,35–37].

Radiolabeling

Radioiodination of panitumumab (50 μ g) with Na¹²⁵I (0.5-1 mCi; PerkinElmer, Shelton, CT) was performed using Iodo-Gen (Pierce Chemical, Rockford, IL) [16,38]. Radiolabeling of CHX-A"panitumumab (50 μ g) with ¹¹¹In (1-2 mCi) was performed as previously described [39]. The radiolabeled products were purified with a PD-10 desalting column (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ) using PBS as the eluent.

The ²¹²Pb was obtained from a ²²⁴Ra/²¹²Pb generator (Oak Ridge National Laboratories, U Batelle, Oak Ridge, TN). Elution of the ²¹²Pb for radiolabeling of panitumumab-, cetuximab-, trastuzumab-, and HuIgG-TCMC and subsequent purification were performed as detailed elsewhere [30,40].

Radioimmunoassays

The immunoreactivity of the TCMC-panitumumab conjugate was evaluated in a competition radioimmunoassay (RIA) as outlined in an earlier publication using purified human epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR; Sigma-Aldrich, E3641-500UN) [24]. Briefly, EGFR was allowed to adsorb onto the wells of a 96-well plate, excess EGFR was removed, and 1% bovine serum albumin in phosphate-buffered saline (BSA/PBS; 100 µl) was added to each well. Following a 0.5- to 1-hour incubation at room temperature, the solution was removed, and serial dilutions of the immunoconjugate (1000 to 0.017 ng in 25 μ l) in BSA/PBS were added to the wells in triplicate. Following the addition of ¹²⁵I-panitumumab (50,000 cpm/25 μ l) to each of the wells, the plates were incubated for 4 hours at 37°C. The wells were washed, and the radioactivity was dissociated from the wells with 0.1 M NaOH (100 µl), adsorbed to cotton filters, and counted in a y-scintillation counter. The immunoconjugate was compared with unmodified panitumumab.

The percent inhibition was calculated using the buffer control and plotted. HuM195, a mAb that reacts with human CD33 (provided by Dr. M. McDevitt, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center), served as a negative control.

The immunoreactivity of the ²¹²Pb-panitumumab was assessed in an RIA using purified EGFR (100 ng per well). After adsorption of EGFR to the wells of a 96-well plate, the nonadsorbed EGFR was removed and the wells treated as described above. Serial dilutions of radiolabeled panitumumab (~200,000 cpm to 12,500 cpm in 50 μ l of BSA/PBS) were added to the wells and incubated for 4 hours at 37°C. The wells were washed, and the radioactivity was harvested and counted in a γ -scintillation counter again as just described. The percentage binding was calculated for each dilution and averaged. The specificity of the radiolabeled panitumumab was confirmed by incubating one set of wells with radiolabeled panitumumab and 10 μ g of unlabeled panitumumab.

In Vivo Studies

All *in vivo* studies were performed using 8- to 12-week-old female athymic (NCr-nu/nu) mice (NCI-Frederick, Cat#01B70). The studies were conducted per protocols approved by the National Cancer Institute Animal Care and Use Committee.

Tumor Localization

Mice (5 per time point) were injected i.p. with 1×10^8 LS-174T cells in 1 ml of medium and utilized in tumor targeting studies 5 days later. Following i.p. injection with ¹¹¹In-CHX-A"-panitumumab (~7.5 µCi on 0.6 µg), the mice were euthanized by CO₂ inhalation at 24, 48, 72, 96, and 168 hours. The blood, tumor, and major organs were collected, wet-weighed, and counted in a γ -scintillation counter. The %ID/g and the average cpm along with the standard deviations were calculated and plotted.

Therapy

RIT studies detailed below were initiated at 2 to 3 days following i.p. injection of the mice with LS-174T as described above. At this time point, the tumor burden presents as cell pellets either free floating in the peritoneum or showing evidence of adhering to organs and may have developing vasculature. ²¹²Pb-labeled mAb was administered i.p. to mice in 0.5 ml of PBS; the activity is indicated in each study description that follows. ²¹²Pb-HuIgG served as a nonspecific control in these studies. The mice were monitored at a minimum of 2 times weekly, and the body weight was measured and recorded 1 to 2 times per week for 4 to 6 weeks as a measure of toxicity due to therapy. Progression of disease was observed as an extension of the abdomen; development of ascites or noticeable, palpable nodules in the abdomen; or, conversely, as weight loss. Mice were euthanized if found to be in distress, moribund, or cachectic or when disease progression was evident as cited above. Euthanasia was also performed when a ~20% weight loss occurs or when disease progression was evident as cited above.

Study 1 was a pilot study exploring the potential of ²¹²Pb-panitumumumab as a therapeutic agent. ²¹²Pb-panitumumab was compared directly to ²¹²Pb-trastuzumab and ²¹²Pb-cetuximab. Tumor-bearing mice (n = 10) were injected (i.p.) with 10 µCi of ²¹²Pb-labeled panitumumab, trastuzumab, cetuximab, or HuIgG. A fourth group was left untreated.

Study 2 was conducted to assess the maximum effective working dose of ²¹²Pb-panitumumab. Tumor-bearing mice (groups of n = 10) were

given increasing doses of 212 Pb-panitumumab (10, 20, 30, or 40 μ Ci) by i.p. injection, 212 Pb-HuIgG (20 or 40 μ Ci), or no RIT.

Lastly, in study 3, a series of investigations with ²¹²Pbpanitumumab at the maximum effective working dose was conducted to assess potential enhancement of therapeutic efficacy by the inclusion of chemotherapeutics in the treatment regimen. The chemotherapeutics GEM (GEMZAR; Eli Lilly and Company, Indianapolis, IN), paclitaxel (Hospira, Inc., Lake Forest, IL), and topotecan (Fresenius Kabi USA, LLC, Lake Zurich, IL) were purchased through the NIH, Division of Veterinary Resources Pharmacy. Established from previous studies, mice (groups of n =10) bearing i.p. LS-174T tumors were injected i.p. with 1 mg of GEM or 0.6 mg of paclitaxel 24 hours prior to i.p. administration of 10 or 20 µCi of ²¹²Pb-panitumumab. These treatment groups were compared with mice pretreated with GEM or paclitaxel followed by ²¹²Pb-HuIgG. Control groups included mice receiving no treatment, ²¹²Pb-panitumumab, ²¹²Pb-HuIgG, paclitaxel, or GEM only. Based on a preliminary investigation of combining topotecan with ²¹²Pb-trastuzumab (Table S1), topotecan was included in the third study. The mice were given two injections (i.p.) of topotecan hydrochloride (0.25 mg): the day before and the day after administration of 10 or 20 μ Ci of ²¹²Pb-panitumumab. As with the other chemotherapeutic studies, the controls here included sets of mice treated with topotecan only and topotecan with 10 or 20 μCi of ²¹²Pb-HuIgG on this same schedule.

Quantitation of HER1 and HER2

Total protein isolates were prepared from LS-174T i.p. xenografts (n = 5) that were harvested 3 days after tumor cell inoculation. Briefly, tumors were removed, wet-weighed, washed in cold PBS, and homogenized in 1 ml of 50 mM Tris (pH 7.4) containing 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, and 0.5% NP-40. The homogenates were placed on ice for 30 minutes and then subjected to centrifugation for 30 minutes at 10,000×g. The supernatant fraction was collected, the protein determined by the Lowry method, aliquoted, and stored at -80° C until assayed.

The HER1 and HER2 contents of the tumor lysates were determined using ELISA kits (Invitrogen, Camarillo, CA) for the quantitation of human HER1 (Cat# KHR9061) and HER2 (Cat. # KHO0701).

Statistical Analyses

Kaplan-Meier survival (time to sacrifice or natural death) analysis was conducted using GraphPad Prizm 7; groups were compared using a log-rank test. A pairwise comparison was performed to test for differences between treatment groups (Holm-Sidak method). All reported *P* values correspond to two-sided tests.

Results

Characterization of TCMC-Panitumumab

In vitro analysis. Conjugation of panitumumab with the TCMC ligand resulted in a chelate:protein ratio of 2.5 \pm 1.6. A competition RIA was performed to determine if the modification with the TCMC chelate impacted the immunoreactivity of the panitumumab. As illustrated in Figure 1, the TCMC-panitumumab conjugate was found to have retained immunoreactivity with 4.7 ng required to achieve 50% inhibition of the ¹²⁵I-labeled panitumumab versus 4.3 ng for the unmodified panitumumab.



Figure 1. The retention of the panitumumab-TCMC conjugate immunoreactivity was demonstrated by a competition radioimmunoassay.

Radiolabeling of the TCMC-panitumumab with ²¹²Pb was facile, resulting in a specific activity of 13.0 ± 5.1 mCi/mg, consistent with previous radiolabeling results with trastuzumab and cetuximab [10,11,21,30,36,37]. The radioimmunoconjugate maintained its ability to recognize its cognate epitope in an RIA with a percent bound of 75.4 following a 4-hour incubation with EGFR coated in the wells of a 96-well plate. Specificity of this reaction was confirmed by the addition of 10 μ g of unlabeled panitumumab to a set of wells to compete with the ²¹²Pb-panitumumab. The excess unlabeled panitumumab reduced the percent bound to 0.3.

In Vivo Studies

Tumor localization. Panitumumab proved as effective in targeting i.p. tumor xenografts as it was in targeting subcutaneous tumors [24]. Twenty-four hours after the ¹¹¹In-CHX-A"-panitumumab was administered i.p. to the tumor-bearing mice, a %ID/g of 47.03 \pm 33.62 was obtained in the tumors (Figure 2A). The peak %ID/g was observed at 48 hours with a value of 48.3 ± 29.12 . The %ID/g then steadily decreased to a final value of 7.20 \pm 4.57 at the 168-hour time point. As shown in Figure 2B, when the average decay-corrected cpm was plotted, a different perspective is gained. From 48 to 168 hours, the amount of radioactivity in the tumor is constant, whereas the normal organs show a decrease in radioactivity, consistent with clearance of the radiolabeled mAb from the blood and retention of the radioactivity in the tumor. The LS-174T tumor is an aggressive tumor, and the rapid growth of the tumor skews the %ID/g values at the later time points. At 24 hours, the amount of tumor tissue harvested was 110 ± 112 mg, and by 168 hours, the amount collected was 1228 ± 826 mg. Of the normal tissues, meanwhile, the highest %ID/g was observed in the spleen (10.94 ± 2.68) at 48 hours. In general, the level of radioactivity in the normal organs was similar to what was reported when ¹¹¹In-panitumumab was administered i.v. for targeting s.c. tumor xenografts [24].

Pilot RIT targeting HER1 with ²¹²Pb-panitumumab. A pilot study was conducted to obtain an initial evaluation of the therapeutic efficacy of ²¹²Pb-panitumumab before proceeding with the larger,



Figure 2. Tumor and normal tissue distribution of ¹¹¹In-panitumumab. (A) Athymic mice bearing 5-day LS-174T i.p. tumor xenografts were injected i.p. with ¹¹¹In-panitumumab (\sim 7.5 μ Ci) and euthanized (n = 5) at 24, 48, 72, 96, and 168 hours after the injection. The tumor and tissues were harvested and wet-weighed, and the radioactivity was measured in a γ -counter. The %ID/g and standard deviation were calculated. (B) The average cpm of the tumor and tissues was also plotted along with the standard deviation.

more complex studies. In this study, the ²¹²Pb-panitumumab was directly compared with ²¹²Pb-labeled trastuzumab and cetuximab. ²¹²Pb-HuIgG served as a nonspecific control. Groups of mice (n = 10) bearing i.p. LS-174T tumor xenografts were injected with 10 µCi of each ²¹²Pb-labeled mAb. As illustrated in Figure 3 and provided in Table 1, the median survival (MS) for the group receiving ²¹²Pb-panitumumab was >293 (5 of 10 were still alive at 293 days), whereas for the groups treated with ²¹²Pb-trastuzumab and ²¹²Pb-cetuxmab, the MS was 182 and 147 days, respectively. Weight loss was observed at 8 days for the ²¹²Pb-labeled trastuzumab, panitumumab, and HuIgG (3.2%, 3.1%, and 3.4%, respectively). By 12 days, all the groups had returned to their pretherapy weights (Supplemental Material, Table S2).

A dose escalation study was then performed to determine an effective working dose for ²¹²Pb-panitumumab. Previous experience had demonstrated the necessity of determining treatment conditions for each radioimmunoconjugate. Cohorts of mice (n = 10) bearing i.p. tumors were treated (i.p.) with 10, 20, 30, or 40 µCi of

40

80

120

Figure 3. The therapeutic potential of ^{212}Pb -panitumumab was directly compared with ^{212}Pb -trastuzumab and ^{212}Pb -cetuximab. The ^{212}Pb -labeled mAbs (10 μCi per mouse) were administered i.p. to athymic mice bearing LS-174T i.p. tumor xenografts. Two other groups of mice were included in the experiment. One group received 10 μCi of ^{212}Pb -HulgG, a nonspecific control, and the other was left untreated.

160

Days Post Tumor Implantation

200

240

----Control

Cetuximab

Panitumumab

Trastuzumab

280

320

-HulgG

 $^{212}\text{Pb}\text{-panitumumab}$. The MS for these groups was 27, 35, 32, and 12 days, respectively (Figure 4). The MS for the untreated group was 25 days; 20 or 40 μCi of the nonspecific control, $^{212}\text{Pb}\text{-HuIgG}$, resulted in an MS of 19 and 10 days, respectively. Clearly, the higher nonspecific injected dose contributed to toxicity.

In addition to the MS of the groups, the weights of the mice were monitored for ~5 weeks following administration of the ²¹²Pb-panitumumab (Table S3). The mice experienced their greatest weight loss at 15 days with a 6.2%, 6.5%, 8.0%, and 15.1% loss for the 10, 20, 30, and 40 μ Ci, respectively. Whereas weight was regained for the first three dosages, those mice treated with 40 μ Ci of ²¹²Pb-panitumumab failed to show evidence of recovery. A similar pattern of weight loss was observed with the 20- and 40- μ Ci doses of ²¹²Pb-HuIgG. Based on the MS and the weight data, a dose of 20 μ Ci of ²¹²Pb-panitumumab was selected as the effective therapeutic dose for subsequent studies.

Having established the effective therapeutic dose, the investigation moved forward to culminate in that aspect of combining chemotherapeutics with ²¹²Pb-RIT at two doses, 10 and 20 μ Ci. The choice of two doses of ²¹²Pb-panitumumab permitted 1) a direct comparison with the previous studies conducted in this laboratory with ²¹²Pb-labeled trastuzumab and cetuximab at 10 μ Ci [10,16,30,37,41] and 2) an assessment as to whether or not greater

 $\textbf{Table 1. Comparison of $^{212}Pb-RIT$ Targeting HER1- and HER2-Positive Tumor Xenografts}$

Vehicle	Target	Median Survival (Days)	
None	None	20	
Trastuzumab	HER2	182	
Cetuximab	HER1	147	
Panitumumab	HER1	>293	
HuIgG	None	29	

A pilot study was performed to compare the therapeutic efficacy of ²¹²Pb-panitumumab to ²¹²Pb-labeled trastuzumab and cetuximab. Athymic mice (n = 10) bearing 3-day i.p. LS-174T tumor xenografts were injected with 10 µCi of each of the ²¹²Pb-labeled mAb. Additional groups included those that received no treatment and those that were injected with 10 µCi of the nonspecific control, ²¹²Pb-HuIgG.

Figure 4. A dose escalation study was performed with ²¹²Pb-panitumumab to determine an effective therapeutic dose. Groups of athymic mice (n = 10) bearing 3d LS-174T i.p. tumor xenografts were injected with 10, 20, 30, or 40 μ Ci of ²¹²Pb-panitumumab. Additional groups of mice were administered 20 or 40 μ Ci of ²¹²Pb-HulgG which served as a nonspecific control.

therapeutic efficacy would actually be realized with $^{212}\text{Pb}\text{-panitumumab}$ at the 20-µCi dose in combination with chemotherapeutics or whether this dose would result in some measure of immediate toxicity. Based on previously published data, GEM (1 mg; Figure 5A) or paclitaxel (0.6 mg; Figure 5B) was administered to tumor-bearing mice (n = 10) 24 hours before the administration of ²¹²Pb-panitumumab [16,37]. Also included in the study were groups of mice that received two injections of topotecan (0.25 mg each): one 24 hours prior to ²¹²Pb-RIT and a second dose 24 hours after the 212 Pb-RIT (Figure 5*C*). A pilot study combining single doses of topotecan prior to or post-RIT with ²¹²Pb-trastuzumab provided sufficient data to suggest that this chemotherapeutic was worth further investigation (Table S1). Controls for the study included groups of mice treated with ²¹²Pb-labeled panitumumab or ²¹²Pb-labeled HuIgG, each of the chemotherapeutics alone, as well as a group that was left untreated.

Consistent with the previous study, treatment of tumor-bearing mice with 10 or 20 µCi of ²¹²Pb-panitumumab resulted in an MS of 39 and 58 days, respectively, compared with 15 days for the untreated group (P < .002; Table 2 and Figure 5). Correspondingly, this translates to a therapeutic index (TI = MS of the treatment group divided by the MS of the untreated group) of 2.6 and 3.9. The MS of mice injected with 10 or 20 μ Ci of ²¹²Pb-HuIgG was 21 days with a calculated TI of 1.4. Mice pretreated with 1 mg GEM the day before the RIT (Figure 5A) realized an increase in the MS: 106 days for those administered 10 $\mu \text{Ci}^{-212}\text{Pb-panitumumab}$ (7.1 TI) and 192 days (12.8 TI) for those receiving 20 μ Ci. There was not a significant difference, however, between these two groups (P = 1), although 3 of 10 mice in the group treated with GEM and $10 \,\mu\text{Ci}$ ²¹²Pb-panitumumab survived to 289 days. GEM alone had a negligible influence on survival with an MS of 17 days, whereas treatment with GEM in combination with the control antibody, ²¹²Pb-HuIgG, resulted in an MS of 31 and 15 days for the 10- and 20-µCi doses, respectively.

Paclitaxel also potentiated the therapeutic efficacy of ²¹²Pb-panitumumab RIT dramatically by increasing survival of



---- Untreated

110

100



110

100

90

80

70

60

50

40

30

20

10

0

0

Percent Surviving



Figure 5. Effect of chemotherapeutics in combination with ²¹²Pb-panitumumab on the survival of athymic mice bearing LS-174T i.p. tumor xenografts. Mice were pretreated with gemcitabine (A) or paclitaxel (B) 24 hours prior to administration of ²¹²Pb-panitumumab or ²¹²Pb-HulgG. Another set of mice received topotecan (C) 24 hours before and 24 hours after injection of the ²¹²Pb-RIT. The combined modalities were assessed at two doses of ²¹²Pb-RIT, 10 and 20 μ Ci.

tumor-bearing mice with an MS of 94 days for paclitaxel combined with 10 μ Ci of ²¹²Pb-panitumumab, and 241 days when combined with the 20- μ Ci dose of ²¹²Pb-panitumumab (Figure 5*B*). Interestingly, the difference between these two treatment groups

was not significant with a P value of .468. The corresponding doses with ²¹²Pb-HuIgG resulted in an MS of 31 days following the pretreatment with paclitaxel, whereas paclitaxel alone resulted in an MS of 21 days.

Table 2. Enhancement of t	e Therapeutic	Efficacy of ²¹² Pb	-RIT by	Chemotherapeutics
---------------------------	---------------	-------------------------------	---------	-------------------

		Chemotherapeutic			
mAb	Activity (µCi)	None	Gemcitabine	Paclitaxel	Topotecan
None	None	15 ^a	17	21	31
Panitumumab	10	39	106	94	>289 ^b
	20	58	192	241	197
HulgG	10	21	31	31	31
0	20	21	15	31	35

^a Median survival (days) of athymic mice bearing LS-174T i.p. tumor xenografts following pretreatment with chemotherapeutics and a single injection of ²¹²Pb-panitumumab. Paclitaxel (0.6 mg) and gemcitabine (1 mg) were administered i.p. to tumor-bearing mice 24 hours before RIT. Two doses of topotecan (0.25 mg each) were injected: 24 hours before and again 24 hours after the administration of the RIT. Additional groups of mice included those that were treated with each of the chemotherapeutics alone, ²¹²Pb-HulgG alone, ²¹²Pb-HulgG in combination with each of the chemotherapeutics, as well as a group of mice that were left untreated.

^b Eight of 10 mice remained alive at 289 days.

The most dramatic enhancement of the therapeutic efficacy of ²¹²Pb-panitumumab was observed in those groups treated with two doses of topotecan (0.25 mg each): one dose given the day before RIT and the second dose given the day after the α -therapy (Figure 5*C*). At 289 days, the MS of the group of tumor-bearing mice that had been treated with two doses of topotecan and a single dose of 10 μ Ci ²¹²Pb-panitumumab could not be determined because 8 of the 10 mice remained alive. The MS of the group that received topotecan and 20 μ Ci ²¹²Pb-panitumumab was also respectable with an MS of 197 days. Meanwhile, topotecan alone, topotecan with 10 μ Ci ²¹²Pb-HuIgG, and topotecan with 20 μ Ci ²¹²Pb-HuIgG therapies resulted in MSs of 31, 31, and 35 days, respectively.

Among the treatment groups, the greatest weight loss was observed in the group of mice that had been treated with two doses of topotecan and the ²¹²Pb-RIT. Four days after receiving the ²¹²Pb-panitumumb, the mice exhibited a 23.9% and 21.8% weight loss in the 10- and 20- μ Ci groups, respectively (Table S4). The mice then recovered from this weight loss, and by 19 days, both groups had returned to their pretherapy weights. A similar degree of weight loss was also observed at both dose levels of ²¹²Pb-HuIgG (19.2% and 22.4%) in combination with the topotecan. These mice, however, never returned to their pretherapy weights. In fact, both the 10-and 20- μ Ci groups remained 10.7% below their original weights at 28 days.

Weight loss was also observed in the rest of the treatment groups. Except for the group treated with just 10 μ Ci of ²¹²Pb-panitumumab, the mice given 20 μ Ci of ²¹²Pb-RIT (panitumumab or HuIgG) demonstrated weight loss. Weight recovery was evident in all of the groups receiving the ²¹²Pb-panitumumab beginning 6 to 13 days after RIT; at 4 weeks, the mice had recovered at least 91% of their body weight (91.1% to 100%). In contrast, as with the groups that were treated with topotecan and ²¹²Pb-HuIgG, the recovery appears slower in the groups injected with either GEM or paclitaxel combined with ²¹²Pb-HuIgG. Furthermore, those animals that were still alive at 4 weeks were at 83% to 92.7% of their original weights.

Flow cytometric analysis of the LS-174T cell line had indicated that ~77% of the cells were positive for HER2 expression with a mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of 30.3, whereas 99% (MFI = 62) of the cells express HER1 [24,30]. More cells do express HER1; however, the level of HER1 is not much greater than HER2 when the MFI is compared. Considering the therapeutic efficacy that is observed with both 212 Pb-labeled trastuzumab and panitumumab and that 212 Pb-panitumumab provided greater therapeutic benefit, quantita-

tion of HER1 and HER2 in LS-174T tumor xenografts was warranted. Tumors were harvested from untreated mice (n = 5) 3 days post tumor cell implantation, and whole tumor lysates were prepared. HER1 and HER2 levels were then quantitated using an ELISA kit specific for the detection of each molecule. As outlined in Table 3, the amount of HER1 per mg of tumor was 1.00 ± 0.37 ng, ranging from 0.56 to 1.60 ng. Meanwhile, HER2 levels were found to be 12.5-fold lower with an average of 0.08 ± 0.04 ng per mg of tumor (0.03-0.11 ng HER2/mg tumor).

Discussion

All indications are that locoregional administration of ²¹²Pb-RIT can be performed safely. Eighteen patients with HER2-positive peritoneal malignancies that had failed standard therapies received ²¹²Pb-trastuzumab (0.2 to 0.74 mCi/m²; 2-3 patients per cohort) by i.p. infusion. The single i.p. injection was reported as being well tolerated with grade 1 toxicity, mostly asymptomatic [42].

Prior to the approval of the evaluation of 212 Pb-trastuzumab in a clinical trial at the University of Alabama at Birmingham, the Food and Drug Administration required two toxicological studies. One study was an evaluation of the effects of free ²¹²Pb which was conducted with normal Balb/c mice to respond to concerns regarding what effects free ²¹²Pb would have if prematurely released from the mAb-chelate conjugate, i.e., a worst case injection scenario [43]. The other study was a biodistribution and toxicological study of ²¹²Pb-trastuzumab in nonhuman primates [44]. In the mouse study, changes in blood counts, chemistries, or tissues were observed at 7 and 90 days. These changes were interpreted to be not severe enough to impact organ function. The data from the cynomolgus monkey study were even more encouraging in that there was a lack of toxicity following i.p. injection of the ²¹²Pb-trastuzumab reported [2]. Perhaps more importantly, the results from the clinical trial have advocated the safety of ²¹²Pb-RIT. No late renal, hepatic, or cardiac toxicity was observed in patients up to 1 year after receiving a single infusion of ²¹²Pb-trastuzumab in the clinical trial conducted at the University of Alabama at Birmingham [42].

Renal toxicity may be even less of a concern when other factors are considered. The TCMC chelate was developed specifically for Pb(II) radioisotopes. The Pb[TCMC]²⁺ complex is less likely to release Pb(II) than Pb[DOTA]²⁻ at pH \leq 3.5 [29]. When radioimmuno-conjugates are catabolized, excretion of the radiometal occurs following lysosomal degradation, and it is in the form of a metabolite, not free metal [45,46]. In addition, when administered by i.p.

Table 3. Quantitation of HER1 and HER2 Content in LS-174T Tumors

Tumor #	Tumor Weight (mg)	HER1 (ng/mg Tumor)	HER2 (ng/mg Tumor)
1	21.0	1.07 ± 0.01	0.11 ± 0.04
2	34.1	0.56 ± 0.08	0.03 ± 0.02
3	28.0	0.78 ± 0.10	0.05 ± 0.03
4	29.0	1.00 ± 0.16	0.09 ± 0.02
5	20.4	1.60 ± 0.13	0.10 ± 0.03
Average ± S.D.	26.5 ± 5.8	1.00 ± 0.37	0.08 ± 0.04

Lysates were prepared from LS-174T i.p. tumor xenografts (n = 5) harvested 3 days post–tumor cell implantation.

The amount of HER1 and HER2 in each of the tumor lysates was then quantitated using commercially available.

ELISA kits for the detection of each molecule. The assays were performed twice, each sample in duplicate. The values represent the average of the results from both assays.

injection, ²¹²Pb-trastuzumab demonstrates minimal redistribution out of the peritoneal cavity and no significant uptake in major organs in humans. The toxicology study of i.p. injection of ²¹²Pb-trastuzumab in cynomolgus monkeys showed that ~90% to 100% of the ²¹²Pb and 83% to 92% of the ²¹²Bi daughter remained in the peritoneum [2].

The heterogeneous nature of tumors presents challenges to those devising treatment regimens for patients. Fortunately for RIT, expression of the target molecule by every tumor cell is not a requisite for success, nor does the target need to be expressed at high levels. The omnidirectional nature of radioactive decay and cross-fire effects can result in the delivery of a cytotoxic dose to neighboring cells, both malignant and normal. The bystander effect that occurs during cell death/damage due to the targeted radioactivity also exerts deleterious effects on cells in proximity [47-49]. If the target molecule is a receptor, the success of RIT is also not dependent on eliciting a biological effect upon interacting with that receptor; the injected protein doses when used as a targeting vector are generally well below therapeutic amounts. Regardless of the above-stated advantages of RIT, accruing additional target molecules and hence targeting vehicles such as mAbs broaden the options available for the treatment of cancer patients.

Earlier studies from this laboratory have demonstrated that exploiting HER1 for α -particle RIT might have the same potential as that of targeting HER2 and would expand the repertoire of available treatment options as well as address aspects of target heterogeneity by having the ability to direct therapy to multiple sites within the malignancy. Those studies were performed with cetuximab as the delivery vehicle of ²¹²Pb [10]. As outlined earlier, however, cetuximab is a chimeric mAb, and its success in RIT applications may be restricted by the development of significant adverse responses by patients including anticetuximab responses. The information in the product label states that 5% of the patients treated with cetuximab develop an antibody response to cetuximab. However, there are reports of incidence rates as high as 22% [21,50-52]. Having shown HER1 as a viable target for RIT, the development of a targeting vehicle devoid of some of the problems associated with cetuximab was deemed a worthwhile pursuit [10,15,20,53].

Panitumumab has clear advantages over cetuximab. It is a fully human monoclonal antibody, and as such, immune responses in patients have been minimal: 0.4% or 3.2% depending on the assay format utilized [23]. When radiolabeled with ¹¹¹In, panitumumab exhibited four-, three-, and two-fold lower levels of radioactivity in the liver, spleen, and kidneys, respectively, than what was reported for equivalent cetuximab analogs in tumor localization studies [10,24]. Higher liver uptake with ¹⁷⁷Lu-labeled cetuximab was also noted in a recent study in which ¹⁷⁷Lu-labeled panitumumab and cetuximab were directly compared in a tumor targeting study as well as by single photon emission computed tomography/computed tomography imaging [15]. In that same report, ¹⁷⁷Lu-panitumumab also demonstrated greater therapeutic efficacy. The superior tumor targeting of radiolabeled panitumumab versus cetuximab has also been demonstrated via PET imaging using ⁸⁶Y [17]. Considering that these two mAbs both target EGFR, albeit different epitopes, the difference in the normal organ distribution along with the superior quality of the images obtained with panitumumab may be an indication that cetuximab is undergoing catabolism. Another possibility may be due to the differences in their affinity constants with panitumumab ($K_d \sim 0.05$ nM) having a greater affinity than

cetuximab ($K_d = 0.39$ nM) for HER1 [54]. Given the patient experience and the demonstrated advantages of radiolabeled panitumumab over the equivalent cetuximab analogs in preclinical *in vivo* models, ²¹²Pb-panitumumab has the potential to obviate the difficulties anticipated in the use of ²¹²Pb-cetuximab. The studies presented within this report validate ²¹²Pb-panitumumab for targeting HER1 and show its potential of providing yet another treatment option for cancer patients.

The RIT studies described herein began with a pilot study directly comparing the therapeutic efficacy of panitumumab to trastuzumab and to cetuximab radiolabeled with 212 Pb at 10 μ Ci each. At 293 days, when the experiment was terminated, 50% of the mice that had received ²¹²Pb-panitumumab were still alive versus 20% of the mice that received either ²¹²Pb-trastuzumab or ²¹²Pb-cetuximab. A dose escalation of the 212 Pb-panitumumab dose (μ Ci) in a subsequent experiment led to the decision that 20 µCi would be the highest dose to evaluate in conjunction with the chemotherapeutics. Admittedly, the median survival of mice treated with ²¹²Pb-panitumumab only was not as dramatic in subsequent experiments as what was observed in the pilot study. This is not the first time that such a phenomenon has been observed. Looking over the many therapy studies that this laboratory has conducted and published investigating targeted α -particle radiation therapy, there can be differences of 20 to 30 days in the median survival of mice treated with ²¹²Pb-trastuzumab. In one set of studies, there was a difference of ~100 days in the median survival, and yet the untreated mice or the mice receiving the radiolabeled nonspecific antibody only varied 10 to 15 days in their median survival [16]. Another example may be found in the therapy studies with ²¹²Pb-cetuximab [10]. Inclusion of the appropriate controls in the studies (i.e., treatment with a nonspecific antibody along with groups that are not treated) ensures that the model itself exhibits the expected characteristics and is not compromised. The controls also demonstrate that the therapeutic response is specific and is due to the targeting vehicle.

Great care is taken to ensure that the animal model is prepared the same for each experiment. The LS-174T cells are harvested during log-phase growth and are >95% viable when injected into the mice. There is also no more than 5% variance in the number of cells inoculated. One explanation for the differences in median survival between studies is differences in tumor burden when the RIT is administered. For example, at the initiation of the first time point of the biodistribution studies described in this report, albeit 6 days, the average tumor burden was 110 mg with a standard deviation of 112 mg. Another possibility is the accessibility of the target antigen/ tumor to the ²¹²Pb conjugate. Differences in the expression of the target molecule or accessibility of the target on the tumor would also influence the success of the ²¹²Pb-RIT. One study that has yet to be performed is to assess the level of EGFR (and HER2) on the surface of LS-174T cells freshly isolated from i.p. tumor xenografts using a method such as flow cytometry. In addition, the ²¹²Pb-RIT is administered by i.p. injection of a 0.5-ml solution. The distribution of that volume in the peritoneum could also greatly influence the outcome of the therapy.

The combination of chemotherapeutics (i.e., gemcitabine, paclitaxel) with α -particle radiation therapy for the treatment of HER2-positive peritoneal xenografts potentiates therapeutic efficacy using trastuzumab as the delivery vehicle [10,16,35,37]. Demonstrating the success of these treatment regimens exploiting another mAb and target, albeit of the same family of growth factor molecules, would validate the strategy. The efficacy of $^{212}\text{Pb}\text{-panitumumab}$ in combination with the chemotherapeutics was assessed at both 20 μCi , the dose chosen from the dose escalation study, and at 10 μCi , as it was deemed possible that the higher dose combined with a chemotherapeutic might lead to toxicity. The latter dose was also added to allow a direct comparison with the preexisting body of data generated with $^{212}\text{Pb}\text{-trastuzumab}$ [10,16,35,37].

The 20- μ Ci dose of ²¹²Pb-panitumumab combined with either GEM or paclitaxel provided greater benefit than 10 μ Ci of ²¹²Pb-panitumumab combined with either of these two drugs. Comparing the paclitaxel plus ²¹²Pb-panitumumab versus the paclitaxel plus ²¹²Pb-trastuzumab (10 μ Ci) treatment regimens, the calculated TIs were 6.2 and 10.7, respectively. At the higher dose of 20 μ Ci of ²¹²Pb-panitumumab, however, the TI increased to 16.1, surpassing both results at 10 μ Ci. The combination of GEM with ²¹²Pb-RIT (10 μ Ci) resulted in a TI of 7.1 for ²¹²Pb-panitumumab and 4.4 for ²¹²Pb-trastuzumab. The TI for mice pretreated with GEM 24 hours before administration of 20 μ Ci of ²¹²Pb-panitumumab was 12.8. Thus, at the higher dose, 20 μ Ci of ²¹²Pb-panitumumab in combination with either paclitaxel or GEM provided improved therapeutic efficacy.

Interestingly, it was the combination of topotecan, the topoisomerase I inhibitor, with ²¹²Pb-panitumumab that yielded the best results. A pilot study combining topotecan with ²¹²Pb-trastuzumab had provided sufficient data to suggest that this chemotherapeutic was worth further investigation (Table S1). That study also indicated that a single dose of topotecan 24 hours before or 24 hours after the ²¹²Pb-RIT might be more effective than a concurrent administration of the two modalities. With that possibility in mind and since the single dose of topotecan was not impressive as what was observed with paclitaxel or GEM when combined with ²¹²Pb-trastuzumab, the decision was made to give the mice two injections of topotecan: one the day before and a second the day after injection of the ²¹²Pb-panitumumab. The selected dose, 0.25 mg per mouse, was derived from reports demonstrating the feasibility of combining topotecan with RIT that showed that a single dose of topotecan at 12.5 mg/kg would result in minimal weight loss and no deaths due to toxicity [55,56].

In contrast to the therapeutic potentiation of ²¹²Pb-panitumumab observed with paclitaxel or GEM, the two doses of topotecan on this schedule proved more effective in concert with 10 µCi than the 20-µCi dose. The MS of the topotecan/10-µCi ²¹²Pb-panitumumab group could not be determined due to their lengthy survival (80% at 330+ days), and the TI was > 19.2 versus 13.1 for the group of mice that were treated with topotecan and 20 μ Ci of ²¹²Pb-panitumumab. The topotecan combined with ²¹²Pb-RIT regimen did result in greater weight loss in the mice in the first week following the therapy; however, the weights rebounded to pretreatment levels by ~3 weeks. It is worthy of note that the results for the RIT plus topotecan combination study provide a basis for investigating the other chemotherapeutics in a similar fashion by giving GEM or paclitaxel 24 hours before and after RIT treatment. This will provide a direct comparison of the three chemotherapeutics in the same treatment schedule with RIT.

In a series of papers from this laboratory, initial investigations into the molecular mechanisms of cell killing by α -particle radiation using trastuzumab to target HER2 were methodically described. These studies also provided insight into the pathways invoked by GEM and paclitaxel to potentiate the sensitivity of tumor cells to ²¹²Pb-RIT with each chemotherapeutic affecting different pathways [57–63]. RIT with ²¹²Pb-trastuzumab was found to induce double-stranded DNA breaks, impaired DNA damage repair, arrested the cell cycle at the G2-M phase, and induced chromatin remodeling [57]. Subsequently, gene profiling demonstrated that the expression of genes involved in apoptosis and cell cycle arrest was affected by the ²¹²Pb-RIT [63]. Pretreatment with GEM was found to abrogate the G2-M checkpoint and inhibit DNA damage repair [59,61]. Meanwhile, paclitaxel sensitization of tumor cells to α -particle radiation perturbed the mitotic spindle checkpoint which results in mitotic catastrophe [58]. It will be interesting to investigate the mechanism that leads to cell death when topotecan is included in the treatment regimen with ²¹²Pb-RIT. Such studies have yet to be initiated.

The studies described herein indicate that α -particle radiation therapy of HER1 may provide greater therapeutic benefit than the targeting of HER2. Flow cytometric analysis of LS-174T cells has shown that the expression of either of these molecules on the cell surface is not high (i.e., mean fluorescence intensity). However, localization of radiolabeled panitumumab to tumors in biodistribution studies would argue that expression of this target might be higher in LS-174T tumor xenografts. Differences in antigen expression in cell culture and *in vivo* have been previously reported [64]. Quantitation of HER1 and HER2 levels in the LS-174T i.p. tumor xenografts was the first step towards gaining a better understanding of the differences between the therapeutic efficacies of targeting these two molecules with ²¹²Pb-labeled mAbs. The data revealed that the tumors contained 12.5 times more HER1 than HER2 per mg of tumor. This differences in the two targeting molecules provide a significant explanation for the greater therapeutic benefit provided by ²¹²Pb-panitumumab over that of ²¹²Pb-trastuzumab. Admittedly, the quantitation was performed with whole lysates of the tumor. At this juncture, the amount of HER1 and HER2 available on the cell surface of these tumors is not known and will be investigated further. Included among those studies to be conducted will be dual targeting of HER1 and HER2 with ²¹²Pb-labeled panitumumab and trastuzumab in combination with the above scheduled administration of topotecan [10].

Despite the fact that panitumumab is a human mAb, there are few reports investigating its potential as an RIT agent [15,24,53,65,66]. Consistent with the objectives of this laboratory to systematically develop multimodal treatment regimens for cancer patients, the data described within this report presents an evaluation of panitumumab for the therapy of HER1-positive disease with α -particle radiation. A single dose of ²¹²Pb-panitumumab was found to have therapeutic efficacy that surpassed either ²¹²Pb-trastuzumab or ²¹²Pb-cetuximab. Clearly, incorporation of chemotherapeutics into the treatment design increases the therapeutic impact of the α -particle radiation therapy. Equally clear however is the need to understand and develop optimal dosing and scheduling of the components of such therapies. Having methodically assessed three mAbs in this approach, it is perhaps safe to state that the strategy possesses great potential for the treatment and management of cancer patients.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

There are no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

Funding

This research was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the NIH, National Cancer Institute, Center for Cancer Research.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at http://dx. doi.org/10.1016/j.tranon.2017.04.004.

References

- Meredith R, Torgue J, Shen S, Fisher DR, Banaga E, Bunch P, Morgan D, Fan J, and Straughn Jr JM (2014). Dose escalation and dosimetry of first-in-human alpha radioimmunotherapy with ²¹²Pb-TCMC-trastuzumab. *J Nucl Med* 55, 1636–1642. http://dx.doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.114.143842.
- [2] Meredith RF, Torgue J, Azure MT, Shen S, Saddekni S, Banaga E, Carlise R, Bunch P, Yoder D, and Alvarez R (2014). Pharmacokinetics and imaging of ²¹²Pb-TCMC-trastuzumab after intraperitoneal administration in ovarian cancer patients. *Cancer Biother Radiopharm* **29**, 12–17. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/</u> cbr.2013.1531.
- [3] Agus DB, Bunn Jr PA, Franklin W, Garcia M, and Ozols RF (2000). Her-2/Neu as a therapeutic target in non–small cell lung cancer, prostate cancer, and ovarian cancer. *Semin Oncol* 27, 53–63 [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query. fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&cdb=PubMed&cdopt=Citation&clist_uids=11236029].
- [4] Lanitis E, Dangaj D, Hagemann IS, Song DG, Best A, Sandaltzopoulos R, Coukos G, and Powell Jr DJ (2012). Primary human ovarian epithelial cancer cells broadly express Her2 at immunologically-detectable levels. *PLoS One* 7, e49829. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0049829</u>.
- [5] Natali PG, Nicotra MR, Bigotti A, Venturo I, Slamon DJ, Fendly BM, and Ullrich A (1990). Expression of the P185 encoded by Her2 oncogene in normal and transformed human tissues. *Int J Cancer* 45, 457–461 [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&tdb=PubMed&tdopt=Citation&tlist_uids=1968437].
- [6] Ross JS and McKenna BJ (2001). The Her-2/Neu oncogene in tumors of the gastrointestinal tract. *Cancer Invest* 19, 554–568 [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&dopt=Citation&list_uids= 11458821].
- [7] Hand PH, Nuti M, Colcher D, and Schlom J (1983). Definition of antigenic heterogeneity and modulation among human mammary carcinoma cell populations using monoclonal antibodies to tumor-associated antigens. *Cancer Res* 43, 728–735 [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd= Retrieve&db=PubMed&cdopt=Citation&list_uids=6848188].
- [8] Natali PG, Giacomini P, Bigotti A, Imai K, Nicotra MR, Ng AK, and Ferrone S (1983). Heterogeneity in the expression of HLA and tumor-associated antigens by surgically removed and cultured breast carcinoma cells. *Cancer Res* 43, 660–668 [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&dopt=Citation&list_uids=6336658].
- [9] Milenic D, Garmestani K, Dadachova E, Chappell L, Albert P, Hill D, Schlom J, and Brechbiel M (2004). Radioimmunotherapy of human colon carcinoma xenografts using a ²¹³Bi-labeled domain-deleted humanized monoclonal antibody. *Cancer Biother Radiopharm* 19, 135–147. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/108497804323071904</u>.
- [10] Milenic DE, Baidoo KE, Kim YS, and Brechbiel MW (2015). Evaluation of cetuximab as a candidate for targeted α-particle radiation therapy of HER1-positive disseminated intraperitoneal disease. *MAbs* 7, 255–264. <u>http:</u> //dx.doi.org/10.4161/19420862.2014.985160.
- [11] Milenic DE, Wong KJ, Baidoo KE, Ray GL, Garmestani K, Williams M, and Brechbiel MW (2008). Cetuximab: preclinical evaluation of a monoclonal antibody targeting EGFR for radioimmunodiagnostic and radioimmunotherapeutic applications. *Cancer Biother Radiopharm* 23, 619–631. http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/cbr.2008.0493.
- [12] Nayak TK, Garmestani K, Baidoo KE, Milenic DE, and Brechbiel MW (2010). Preparation, biological evaluation, and pharmacokinetics of the human anti-HER1 monoclonal antibody panitumumab labeled with ⁸⁶Y for quantitative PET of carcinoma. J Nucl Med 51, 942–950. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2967/</u> jnumed.109.071290.
- [13] Guo Y, Parry JJ, Laforest R, Rogers BE, and Anderson CJ (2013). The role of P53 in combination radioimmunotherapy with ⁶⁴Cu-DOTA-cetuximab and cisplatin in a mouse model of colorectal cancer. J Nucl Med 54, 1621–1629. <u>http:</u> //dx.doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.112.118539.
- [14] Koi L, Bergmann R, Bruchner K, Pietzsch J, Pietzsch HJ, Krause M, Steinbach J, Zips D, and Baumann M (2014). Radiolabeled anti–EGFR-antibody improves local tumor control after external beam radiotherapy and offers theragnostic potential. *Radiother Oncol* 110, 362–369. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2013.12.001.
- [15] Liu Z, Ma T, Liu H, Jin Z, Sun X, Zhao H, Shi J, Jia B, Li F, and Wang F (2014). ¹⁷⁷Lu-labeled antibodies for EGFR-targeted SPECT/CT imaging and

radioimmunotherapy in a preclinical head and neck carcinoma model. *Mol Pharm* **11**, 800–807. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/mp4005047.

- [16] Milenic DE, Garmestani K, Brady ED, Baidoo KE, Albert PS, Wong KJ, Flynn J, and Brechbiel MW (2008). Multimodality therapy: potentiation of high linear energy transfer radiation with paclitaxel for the treatment of disseminated peritoneal disease. *Clin Cancer Res* 14, 5108–5115. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-08-0256.</u>
- [17] Nayak TK, Garmestani K, Milenic DE, Baidoo KE, and Brechbiel MW (2011). HER1-targeted ⁸⁶Y-panitumumab possesses superior targeting characteristics than ⁸⁶Y-cetuximab for PET imaging of human malignant mesothelioma tumors xenografts. *PLoS One* 6, e18198. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0018198.
- [18] Niu G, Sun X, Cao Q, Courter D, Koong A, Le QT, Gambhir SS, and Chen X (2010). Cetuximab-based immunotherapy and radioimmunotherapy of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res* 16, 2095–2105. <u>http://dx.doi.org/</u>10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-09-2495.
- [19] Perk LR, Visser GW, Vosjan MJ, Stigter-van Walsum M, Tijink BM, Leemans CR, and van Dongen GA (2005). (89)Zr as a PET surrogate radioisotope for scouting biodistribution of the therapeutic radiometals (90)Y and (177)Lu in tumor-bearing nude mice after coupling to the internalizing antibody cetuximab. *J Nucl Med* 46, 1898–1906 [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16269605].
- [20] Song H, Hedayati M, Hobbs RF, Shao C, Bruchertseifer F, Morgenstern A, Deweese TL, and Sgouros G (2013). Targeting aberrant DNA double-strand break repair in triple-negative breast cancer with alpha-particle emitter radiolabeled anti-EGFR antibody. *Mol Cancer Ther* **12**, 2043–2054. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/ 1535-7163.MCT-13-0108.</u>
- [21] Chung CH (2008). Managing premedications and the risk for reactions to infusional monoclonal antibody therapy. *Oncologist* 13, 725–732. <u>http://dx.doi.org/</u> 10.1634/theoncologist.2008-0012.
- [22] Erbitux (cetuximab) [package insert]. Branchburg, NJ: ImClone Systems Inc.; 2016.
- [23] Vectibix (panitumumab) [package insert]. Thousand Oaks, CA: Amgen; 2015 .
- [24] Ray GL, Baidoo KE, Wong KJ, Williams M, Garmestani K, Brechbiel MW, and Milenic DE (2009). Preclinical evaluation of a monoclonal antibody targeting the epidermal growth factor receptor as a radioimmunodiagnostic and radioimmunotherapeutic agent. *Br J Pharmacol* 157, 1541–1548. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/</u> j.1476-5381.2009.00327.x.
- [25] Nayak TK, Bernardo M, Milenic DE, Choyke PL, and Brechbiel MW (2013). Orthotopic pleural mesothelioma in mice: SPECT/CT and MR imaging with HER1and HER2-targeted radiolabeled antibodies. *Radiology* 267, 173–182. <u>http:</u> //dx.doi.org/10.1148/radiol.12121021.
- [26] Nayak TK, Garmestani K, Milenic DE, and Brechbiel MW (2012). PET and MRI of metastatic peritoneal and pulmonary colorectal cancer in mice with human epidermal growth factor receptor 1-targeted ⁸⁹Zr-labeled panitumumab. J Nucl Med 53, 113–120. http://dx.doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.111.094169.
- [27] Milenic DE, Wong KJ, Baidoo KE, Nayak TK, Regino CA, Garmestani K, and Brechbiel MW (2010). Targeting HER2: a report on the in vitro and in vivo pre-clinical data supporting trastuzumab as a radioimmunoconjugate for clinical trials. *MAbs* 2, 550–564. http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/mabs.2.5.13054.
- [28] Tom BH, Rutzky LH, and Jakstys MH (1976). Human colonic adenocarcinoma cells. establishment and description of a new cell line. *In Vitro* 12, 180–191.
- [29] Chappell LL, Dadachova E, Milenic DE, Garmestani K, Wu C, and Brechbiel MW (2000). Synthesis, characterization, and evaluation of a novel bifunctional chelating agent for the lead isotopes ²⁰³Pb and ²¹²Pb. *Nucl Med Biol* 27, 93–100 [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10755652].
- [30] Milenic DE, Garmestani K, Brady ED, Albert PS, Ma D, Abdulla A, and Brechbiel MW (2005). α-Particle radioimmunotherapy of disseminated peritoneal disease using a ²¹²Pb-labeled radioimmunoconjugate targeting HER2. *Cancer Biother Radiopharm* 20, 557–568. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/</u> cbr.2005.20.557.
- [31] Wu C, Kobayashi H, Sun B, Yoo TM, Paik CH, Gansow OA, Carrasquillo JA, Pastan I, and Brechbiel MW (1997). Stereochemical influence on the stability of radio-metal complexes in vivo. synthesis and evaluation of the four stereoisomers of 2-(P-nitrobenzyl)-trans-Cydtpa. *Bioorg Med Chem* 5, 1925–1934 [http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9370037].
- [32] Lowry OH, Rosebrough NJ, Farr AL, and Randall RJ (1951). Protein measurement with the folin phenol reagent. J Biol Chem 193, 265–275.
- [33] Dadachova E, Chappell LL, and Brechbiel MW (1999). Spectrophotometric method for determination of bifunctional macrocyclic ligands in macrocyclic ligand-protein conjugates. *Nucl Med Biol* 26, 977–982 [http://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pubmed/10708314].

- [34] Pippin CG, Parker TA, McMurry TJ, and Brechbiel MW (1992). Spectrophotometric method for the determination of a bifunctional DTPA ligand in DTPA-monoclonal antibody conjugates. *Bioconjug Chem* 3, 342–345 [http://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1390990].
- [35] Milenic DE, Baidoo KE, Shih JH, Wong KJ, and Brechbiel MW (2013). Evaluation of platinum chemotherapy in combination with HER2-targeted α-particle radiation. *Cancer Biother Radiopharm* 28, 441–449. http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/cbr.2012.1423.
- [36] Milenic DE, Brady ED, Garmestani K, Albert PS, Abdulla A, and Brechbiel MW (2010). Improved efficacy of α-particle–targeted radiation therapy: dual targeting of human epidermal growth factor receptor-2 and tumor-associated glycoprotein 72. *Cancer* **116**, 1059–1066. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cncr.24793.
- [37] Milenic DE, Garmestani K, Brady ED, Albert PS, Abdulla A, Flynn J, and Brechbiel MW (2007). Potentiation of high-Let radiation by gemcitabine: targeting HER2 with trastuzumab to treat disseminated peritoneal disease. *Clin Cancer Res* 13, 1926–1935. http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-06-2300.
- [38] Fraker PJ and Speck Jr JC (1978). Protein and cell membrane iodinations with a sparingly soluble chloroamide, 1,3,4,6-tetrachloro-3a,6a-diphrenylglycoluril. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 80, 849–857 [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/ query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&dopt=Citation&list_uids=637870].
- [39] Garmestani K, Milenic DE, Plascjak PS, and Brechbiel MW (2002). A new and convenient method for purification of ⁸⁶Y using a Sr(Ii) selective resin and comparison of biodistribution of ⁸⁶Y and ¹¹¹In labeled herceptin. *Nucl Med Biol* 29, 599–606 [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12088731].
- [40] Baidoo KE, Milenic DE, and Brechbiel MW (2013). Methodology for labeling proteins and peptides with lead-212 (²¹²Pb). *Nucl Med Biol* 40, 592–599. <u>http:</u> //dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nucmedbio.2013.01.010.
- [41] Milenic DE, Garmestani K, Brady ED, Albert PS, Ma D, Abdulla A, and Brechbiel MW (2004). Targeting of HER2 antigen for the treatment of disseminated peritoneal disease. *Clin Cancer Res* 10, 7834–7841. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/</u> 1078-0432.CCR-04-1226.
- [42] Meredith RF, Torgue JJ, Rozgaja TA, Banaga EP, Bunch PW, Alvarez RD, Straughn JM, and Jr.;Dobelbower, M. C.Lowy, A. M. (2016). Safety and outcome measures of first-in-human intraperitoneal α radioimmunotherapy with ²¹²Pb-TCMC-trastuzumab. *Am J Clin Oncol*. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/</u> COC.000000000000353.
- [43] Milenic DE, Molinolo AA, Solivella MS, Banaga E, Torgue J, Besnainou S, Brechbiel MW, and Baidoo KE (2015). Toxicological studies of ²¹²Pb intravenously or intraperitoneally injected into mice for a phase 1 trial. *Pharmaceuticals (Basel)* 8, 416–434. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ph8030416.
- [44] Kasten BB, Azure MT, Schoeb TR, Fisher DR, and Zinn KR (2016). Imaging, biodistribution, and toxicology evaluation of ²¹²Pb-TCMC-trastuzumab in nonhuman primates. *Nucl Med Biol* 43, 391–396. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nucmedbio.2016.04.001</u>.
- [45] Yao Z, Garmestani K, Wong KJ, Park LS, Dadachova E, Yordanov A, Waldmann TA, Eckelman WC, Paik CH, and Carrasquillo JA (2001). Comparative cellular catabolism and retention of astatine-, bismuth-, and lead-radiolabeled internalizing monoclonal antibody. *J Nucl Med* 42, 1538–1544 [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db= PubMed&dopt=Citation&list_uids=11585870].
- [46] Rogers BE, Franano FN, Duncan JR, Edwards WB, Anderson CJ, Connett JM, and Welch MJ (1995). Identification of metabolites of ¹¹¹In-diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid-monoclonal antibodies and antibody fragments *in vivo*. *Cancer Res* 55, 5714s-5720s [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query. fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&dopt=Citation&list_uids=7493333].
- [47] Hall EJ (2003). The Bystander Effect. *Health Phys* 85, 31–35 [https://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12852468].
- [48] Hall EJ and Hei TK (2003). Genomic instability and bystander effects induced by high-let radiation. Oncogene 22, 7034–7042. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/</u> sj.onc.1206900.
- [49] Nagar S, Smith LE, and Morgan WF (2003). Characterization of a novel epigenetic effect of ionizing radiation: the death-inducing effect. *Cancer Res* 63, 324–328 [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12543783].
- [50] Erbitux (cetuximab) [package insert]. Bridgewater, NJ: ImClone LLC; 2016 .
- [51] Messersmith WA and Hidalgo M (2007). Panitumumab, a monoclonal anti epidermal growth factor receptor antibody in colorectal cancer: another one or

the one? Clin Cancer Res 13, 4664–4666. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/</u>1078-0432.CCR-07-0065.

- [52] O'Neil BH, Allen R, Spigel DR, Stinchcombe TE, Moore DT, Berlin JD, and Goldberg RM (2007). High incidence of cetuximab-related infusion reactions in Tennessee and North Carolina and the association with atopic history. *J Clin Oncol* 25, 3644–3648. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2007.11.7812</u>.
- [53] Liu Z, Liu Y, Jia B, Zhao H, Jin X, Li F, Chen X, and Wang F (2010). Epidermal growth factor receptor-targeted radioimmunotherapy of human head and neck cancer xenografts using ⁹⁰Y-labeled fully human antibody panitumumab. *Mol Cancer Ther* 9, 2297–2308. http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-10-0444.
- [54] Jakobovits A, Amado RG, Yang X, Roskos L, and Schwab G (2007). From xenomouse technology to panitumumab, the first fully human antibody product from transgenic mice. *Nat Biotechnol* 25, 1134–1143 [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&dopt=Citation&list_ uids=17921999].
- [55] Chastagner P, Merlin JL, Marchal C, Hoffstetter S, Barberi-Heyob M, Vassal G, and Duprez A (2000). In vivo potentiation of radiation response by topotecan in human rhabdomyosarcoma xenografted into nude mice. *Clin Cancer Res* 6, 3327–3333 [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd= Retrieve&db=PubMed&dopt=Citation&clist_uids=10955820].
- [56] Guichard S, Montazeri A, Chatelut E, Hennebelle I, Bugat R, and Canal P (2001). Schedule-dependent activity of topotecan in OVCAR-3 ovarian carcinoma xenograft: pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic evaluation. *Clin Cancer Res* 7, 3222–3228 [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd= Retrieve&db=PubMed&dopt=Citation&clist_uids=11595718].
- [57] Yong KJ, Milenic DE, Baidoo KE, and Brechbiel MW (2012). ²¹²Pb-radioimmunotherapy induces G(2) cell-cycle arrest and delays DNA damage repair in tumor xenografts in a model for disseminated intraperitoneal disease. *Mol Cancer Ther* 11, 639–648. http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-11-0671.
- [58] Yong KJ, Milenic DE, Baidoo KE, and Brechbiel MW (2013). ²¹²Pb-radioimmunotherapy potentiates paclitaxel-induced cell killing efficacy by perturbing the mitotic spindle checkpoint. *Br J Cancer* **108**, 2013–2020. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2013.189.
- [59] Yong KJ, Milenic DE, Baidoo KE, and Brechbiel MW (2013). Sensitization of tumor to ²¹²Pb-radioimmunotherapy by gemcitabine involves initial abrogation of G2 arrest and blocked DNA damage repair by interference with Rad51. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 85, 1119–1126. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2012.09.015</u>.
- [60] Yong KJ, Milenic DE, Baidoo KE, and Brechbiel MW (2014). Impact of α-targeted radiation therapy on gene expression in a pre-clinical model for disseminated peritoneal disease when combined with paclitaxel. *PLoS One* 9, e108511. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0108511</u>.
- [61] Yong KJ, Milenic DE, Baidoo KE, and Brechbiel MW (2016). Cell killing mechanisms and impact on gene expression by gemcitabine and ²¹²Pb-trastuzumab treatment in a disseminated i.p. tumor model. *PLoS One* 11, e0159904. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0159904</u>.
- [62] Yong KJ, Milenic DE, Baidoo KE, and Brechbiel MW (2016). Mechanisms of cell killing response from low linear energy transfer (LET) radiation originating from ¹⁷⁷Lu-radioimmunotherapy targeting disseminated intraperitoneal tumor xenografts. *Int J Mol Sci* 17. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms17050736</u>.
- [63] Yong KJ, Milenic DE, Baidoo KE, Kim YS, and Brechbiel MW (2013). Gene expression profiling upon ²¹²Pb-TCMC-Trastuzumab treatment in the LS-174T i.p. xenograft model. *Cancer Med* 2, 646–653. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cam4.132.
- [64] Horan Hand P, Colcher D, Salomon D, Ridge J, Noguchi P, and Schlom J (1985). Influence of spatial configuration of carcinoma cell populations on the expression of a tumor-associated glycoprotein. *Cancer Res* 45, 833–840 [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db= PubMed&dopt=Citation&list_uids=3881173].
- [65] Wong KJ, Baidoo KE, Nayak TK, Garmestani K, Brechbiel MW, and Milenic DE (2011). In vitro and in vivo pre-clinical analysis of a F(ab')₂ fragment of panitumumab for molecular imaging and therapy of HER1 positive cancers. *EJNMMI Res* 1. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/2191-219X-1-1</u>.
- [66] Yook S, Cai Z, Lu Y, Winnik MA, Pignol JP, and Reilly RM (2015). Radiation nanomedicine for EGFR-positive breast cancer: panitumumab-modified gold nanoparticles complexed to the beta-particle-emitter, ¹⁷⁷Lu. *Mol Pharm* 12, 3963–3972. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.molpharmaceut.5b00425.