Pre-diagnostic beta-blocker use and head- and neck cancer risk

A nationwide population-based case-control study

Min-Su Kim, MD^a, Kyung Do Han, PhD^b, Soon Young Kwon, MD, PhD^{c,*}

Abstract

 β -blockers have been reported to exhibit potential anticancer effects in various cancer studies. However, few clinical studies concerning head and neck cancer have been conducted. We hypothesized that β -blockers could decrease the incidence of head and neck cancer. Therefore, we investigated the association between β -blocker treatment and head and neck cancer incidence.

Between January 2006 and December 2015, we selected 12,127 patients with head and neck cancer for this nationwide study using data from the Korean Health Insurance Review and Assessment Service. The patients were matched 1:5 with 60,635 control participants according to age, sex, and, region. Logistic regression analysis was used to estimate the odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (Cls) of cancer associated with β -blocker treatment. In the analysis, a crude (simple), adjusted model (adjusted model for age, sex, income, region of residence, hypertension, diabetes, and hyperlipidemia) was used.

The OR for head and neck cancer incidence was not lower in the β -blocker cohort (OR: 1.18; 95% CI: 1.105–1.26), especially for the oral cavity (OR: 1.165; 95% CI: 1.013–1.340), hypopharynx (OR: 1.555; 95% CI: 1.232–1.963), nasopharynx (OR: 1.251; 95% CI: 1-1.564), and paranasal sinus (OR: 1.378; 95% CI: 1.027–1.849). The duration of β -blocker use was not related to head and neck cancer incidence.

This study did not provide evidence that β -blockers can decrease the risk of head and neck cancer.

Abbreviations: CIs = confidence intervals, ICD-10 = International Classification of Diseases, 10th edition, IL = interleukin, NHID = National Health Information Database, NHIS = National Health Insurance Service, ORs = odds ratios, VEGF = vascular endothelial growth factor.

Keywords: adrenergic beta-antagonists, head and neck neoplasm, incidence

1. Introduction

 β -blockers are used for various indications, particularly cardiac arrhythmias, cardioprotection after myocardial infarction, hypertension, migraine, and tremor, by inhibiting the sympathetic actions of catecholamine hormones (i.e., epinephrine and norepinephrine). These diverse indications reflect the abundance of β -adrenergic receptors in the body. β -blockers were prescribed

The authors have no conflicts of interests to disclose.

^a Department of Otorhinolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, CHA Bundang Medical Center, CHA University, Seongnam, ^b Department of Biostatistics, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, ^c Department of Otorhinolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, Korea University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea.

^{*} Correspondence: Soon Young Kwon, Department of Otorhinolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, Korea University Ansan Hospital, Korea University College of Medicine, 123 Jeokgeum-ro, Danwon-gu, Ansan-si, Gyeonggi-do 15355, Republic of Korea (e-mail: entxien@gmail.com).

Copyright © 2019 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Medicine (2019) 98:24(e16047)

Received: 18 March 2019 / Received in final form: 23 May 2019 / Accepted: 24 May 2019

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000016047

more frequently for hypertension therapy in recent studies (10.8%, range 8–75%) than in previous studies.^[1] The prescription rate of secondary-prevention medications for post-acute coronary syndrome was 67.4% for β -blockers.^[2] Additionally, once β -blockers are prescribed, patients tend to use them for a long time.^[3]

Experimental evidence shows that malignant cell lines express β -adrenergic receptors and that sympathomimetic neurotransmitters may affect carcinogenesis through these receptors.^[4-6] These neurotransmitters are suggested to have a major impact on secondary tumor growth and to contribute to metastasis, induction of angiogenesis mediated via vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and interleukin (IL)-6, and tissue invasion.^[7-10]

Given that β -blockers are considered safe, cheap, and effective, potential concomitant beneficial effects of their use for cancer would be of interest. However, evidence from epidemiological and clinical studies has been inconclusive. Several studies' results have indicated that β -blockers could improve survival outcomes and reduce cancer risk, specifically of melanoma, ovarian, and prostate cancer.^[4,11–13] Others suggested that there is no meaningful evidence of an association between β -blocker use and cancer.^[14,15] However, data on the effect of β -blockers on head and neck cancer are sparse.^[11,12]

We hypothesized that β -blockers could decrease the incidence of head and neck cancer. Therefore, we conducted a populationbased case-control study to examine whether the use of β -blockers is associated with the incidence of head and neck cancer.

Editor: Wen Zhou.

This study was partly supported by grants from the Korean Society of Otorhinolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery (2016); and the National Research Foundation of Korea (Grant number, NRF-2017R1C1B1008842)

Table 1 General characteristics of participants.

	Control (N = 60635)	HN cancer (N = 12127)	P value
Age	60.38 ± 13.24	60.45 ± 13.28	.57
Sex (male/female)	45669/14966	9177/2950	.40
Income of lower 20%	14133 (23.31)	3045 (25.11)	<.001
Place (urban)	27094 (44.68)	5308 (43.77)	.06
Diabetes	8243 (13.59)	2012 (16.59)	<.001
Hypertension	18449 (30.43)	4006 (33.03)	<.001
Hyperlipidemia	12742 (21.01)	2345 (19.34)	<.001
β-blocker user/non-user	5663 (9.34)	1332 (10.98)	<.001
	/54972 (90.66)	/10795 (89.02)	
Duration of B-blocker use	· · · ·		<.001
<1 yr	3503 (5.78)	812 (6.7)	
1–2 yr	838 (1.38)	182 (1.5)	
2–3 yr	573 (0.94)	166 (1.37)	
3–5 yr	749 (1.24)	172 (1.42)	
Subsite	· · · ·	· · · ·	.99
Oral cavity	13873 (22.88)	2787 (22.98)	
Oropharynx	9015 (14.87)	1798 (14.83)	
Hypopharynx	4564 (7.53)	919 (7.58)	
Larynx	16491 (27.2)	3296 (27.18)	
Salivary gland	7728 (12.75)	1546 (12.75)	
Nasopharynx	6059 (9.99)	1212 (9.99)	
Paranasal sinus	2905 (4.79)	569 (4.69)	

HN = head and neck.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study population and data collection

During the study period, the Big Data Research Group of the Korean Society of Otorhinolaryngology–Head and Neck Surgery consistently reviewed and confirmed the results of the extracted data. The institutional review board of Korea University Ansan Hospital approved this study (Institutional Review Board no. AS16113).

The Korean National Health Insurance Service (NHIS) reported claims data of patients. We used the National Health Information Database (NHID) operated by the Korean NHIS, a governmentaffiliated agency under the Korean Ministry of Health and Welfare that administers and supervises all medical activities in Korea.^[16] All Korean citizens and registered foreigners, approximately 50,000,000 persons, are enrolled and receive medical services from the NHIS. Retrospective medical data for patients of all ages were extracted from the NHID from January 2006 to December 2015. The NHIS contains information on the patients' demographics, medical service use, medication, transaction information, deductions, and claims. When a physician is consulted at a medical facility in the Republic of Korea, the physician is required to assign a code according to the most appropriate diagnosis. These codes must be based on the International Classification of Diseases, 10th edition (ICD-10), which is designed by the World Health Organization to efficiently manage diseases and health problems. Therefore, all such records of medical services conducted in the

Republic of Korea will be assigned these diagnostic codes and stored in the NHID.

2.2. Participant selection

Based on the above information, a patient diagnosed at a hospital during the study period with a diagnostic code (ICD-10) for head and neck cancer (nasopharynx: C11; oral cavity: C00, 02-06; oropharynx: C01, 09, 10; hypopharynx: C12, 13; larynx: C32) was defined as a head and neck cancer patient. When the patient was also registered in the Korean cancer registration system, we regarded the patient as a head and neck cancer participant. All participants diagnosed accordingly (n=12,127) were included in this study. Control participants (n=60,635) were matched 1:5 according to age, sex, and region of residence. The systemic diseases investigated were hypertension (code I10 and received anti-hypertensive medication), diabetes (codes E11-14 with anti-diabetic medication), and hyperlipidemia (code E78). Ultimately, 12,127 head and neck cancer patients and 60,635 control subjects were enrolled in the study. Each cohort was also divided into 2 groups: β-blocker users and non-users. B-blocker users were defined as those who were prescribed β-blockers more than 2 times within 6 months before head and neck cancer registration. The index date was set as the initial date of the first treatment for prescriptions with more than 2 treatments. B-blocker non-users were defined as those who had not been prescribed β -blockers during the study period.

2.3. Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were conducted using SAS software version 9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Paired *t* test and Chi-square test were used to compare the general characteristics between the 2 groups. Logistic regression analysis was used to estimate the odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of the incidence of head and neck cancers associated with β -blockers treatment. In this analysis, a crude (simple), adjusted model (adjusted model for age, sex, income, region of residence, hypertension, diabetes, and hyperlipidemia) was used. *P* values less than .05 indicated statistical significance.

3. Results

Age, sex, and region of residence were equivalent in both groups. In contrast, low income, comorbidities (hypertension, diabetes, and hyperlipidemia), and the use of β -blockers were significantly higher in the head-and-neck-cancer group than in the control group (Table 1). The risk of total head and neck cancer incidence was not lower in the β -blocker user group than in the β -blocker non-user group (OR: 1.180; 95% CI: 1.105–1.260). The duration of β -blocker use was not associated with the risk of total head and neck cancer (Table 2). Table 3 shows the

Table 2

		Control (N = 60635)	HN cancer (N = 12127)	Crude OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR (95% Cl
Total head and neck					
Use of β -blocker	No	54972 (90.66)	10795 (89.02)	1	1
	Yes	5663 (9.34)	1332 (10.98)	1.198 (1.125-1.276)	1.18 (1.105-1.26)
Duration on β -blocker	No use	54972 (90.66)	10795 (89.02)	1	1
	<1 year	3503 (5.78)	812 (6.7)	1.18 (1.091-1.278)	1.168 (1.078-1.266)
	1-2 years	838 (1.38)	182 (1.5)	1.106 (0.941-1.3)	1.08 (0.917-1.272)
	2-3 years	573 (0.94)	166 (1.37)	1.476 (1.24-1.757)	1.45 (1.216-1.729)
	3-5 years	749 (1.24)	172 (1.42)	1.169 (0.99-1.382)	1.143 (0.965-1.354)

CI=confidence interval, HN=head and neck, OR=odds ratio.

Table 3

		Control (N = 60635)	HN cancer (N = 12127)	Crude OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR (95% Cl)
Oral cavity		. ,	. ,	. ,	
Use of B-blocker	No	12591 (90.76)	2492 (89.42)	1	1
bio or p bioblio	Yes	1282 (9.24)	295 (10.58)	1.163 (1.017–1.329)	1.165 (1.013–1.34)
Duration on β -blocker	No use	12591 (90.76)	2492 (89.42)	1	1
	<1 yr	787 (5.67)	184 (6.6)	1.181 (1–1.395)	1.164 (0.982–1.38)
	1–2 yr	188 (1.36)	40 (1.44)	1.075 (0.762–1.516)	1.093 (0.769–1.552)
	2–3 yr	137 (0.99)	37 (1.33)	1.365 (0.947–1.967)	1.425 (0.982–2.067)
	3–5 yr	170 (1.23)	34 (1.22)	1.011 (0.697–1.464)	1.042 (0.714–1.52)
Oropharynx	5—5 yr	170 (1.23)	34 (1.22)	1.011 (0.037-1.404)	1.042 (0.714-1.32)
Use of B-blocker	No	8173 (90.66)	1621 (90.16)	1	1
Use of p-blocker	Yes	842 (9.34)	177 (9.84)	1.06 (0.894–1.257)	1.072 (0.898–1.281)
Duration on B-blocker	No use	8173 (90.66)	1621 (90.16)	1.00 (0.034-1.237)	1.072 (0.090-1.201)
Duration on p-blocker	<1 yr	538 (5.97)	106 (5.9)	0.993 (0.801–1.232)	1.02 (0.819–1.27)
	-				
	1-2 yr	120 (1.33)	22 (1.22)	0.924 (0.585–1.461)	0.901 (0.566-1.432)
	2-3 yr	73 (0.81)	20 (1.11)	1.382 (0.84-2.272)	1.409 (0.852-2.33)
	3–5 yr	111 (1.23)	29 (1.61)	1.317 (0.872–1.99)	1.304 (0.857–1.985)
Hypopharynx	N		001 (07.10)	4	
Use of β -blocker	No	4177 (91.52)	801 (87.16)	1	1
	Yes	387 (8.48)	118 (12.84)	1.59 (1.277–1.98)	1.555 (1.232–1.963)
Duration on β-blocker	No use	4177 (91.52)	801 (87.16)	1	1
	<1 yr	231 (5.06)	75 (8.16)	1.693 (1.291-2.221)	1.645 (1.242–2.178)
	1–2 yr	64 (1.4)	21 (2.29)	1.711 (1.039–2.817)	1.706 (1.015-2.868)
	2–3 yr	38 (0.83)	11 (1.2)	1.51 (0.768-2.966)	1.469 (0.731–2.951)
	3—5 yr	54 (1.18)	11 (1.2)	1.062 (0.553-2.04)	1.024 (0.522-2.007)
Larynx					
Use of β -blocker	No	14930 (90.53)	2895 (87.83)	1	1
	Yes	1561 (9.47)	401 (12.17)	1.325 (1.179–1.489)	1.082 (0.956-1.224)
Duration on β-blocker	No use	14930 (90.53)	2895 (87.83)	1	1
	<1 yr	962 (5.83)	233 (7.07)	1.249 (1.077-1.449)	1.078 (0.924–1.257)
	1–2 yr	233 (1.41)	62 (1.88)	1.372 (1.034–1.821)	1.026 (0.767-1.372)
	2–3 yr	164 (0.99)	54 (1.64)	1.698 (1.245-2.315)	1.28 (0.929-1.763)
	3–5 yr	202 (1.22)	52 (1.58)	1.328 (0.976-1.805)	1.007 (0.734–1.381)
Salivary gland					
Use of β -blocker	No	6961 (90.08)	1389 (89.84)	1	1
	Yes	767 (9.92)	157 (10.16)	1.026 (0.856-1.23)	1.177 (0.971-1.426)
Duration on β-blocker	No use	6961 (90.08)	1389 (89.84)	1	1
	<1 yr	473 (6.12)	98 (6.34)	1.038 (0.829-1.3)	1.13 (0.894-1.427)
	1–2 yr	124 (1.6)	18 (1.16)	0.727 (0.442-1.197)	0.901 (0.541-1.502)
	2–3 yr	74 (0.96)	26 (1.68)	1.761 (1.122-2.763)	2.086 (1.311-3.321)
	3–5 yr	96 (1.24)	15 (0.97)	0.783 (0.453-1.353)	1.059 (0.604-1.854)
Nasopharynx	,	× ,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Use of B-blocker	No	5513 (90.99)	1098 (90.59)	1	1
	Yes	546 (9.01)	114 (9.41)	1.048 (0.848-1.296)	1.251 (1-1.564)
Duration on B-blocker	No use	5513 (90.99)	1098 (90.59)	1	1
	<1 yr	344 (5.68)	74 (6.11)	1.08 (0.833-1.4)	1.262 (0.965-1.649)
	1–2 yr	61 (1.01)	11 (0.91)	0.905 (0.475–1.726)	1.135 (0.586–2.199)
	2–3 yr	62 (1.02)	8 (0.66)	0.649 (0.31–1.358)	0.78 (0.367–1.658)
	3–5 yr	79 (1.3)	21 (1.73)	1.335 (0.822–2.169)	1.667 (1.01–2.75)
Paranasal sinus	5 0 ji	10 (1.0)	21 (1.10)	1.000 (0.022 2.100)	1.007 (1.01 2.70)
Use of B-blocker	No	2627 (90.43)	499 (87.7)	1	1
OSE OF D-DIOCKER		278 (9.57)	. ,		
Duration on Q blocker	Yes		70 (12.3)	1.326 (1.003–1.752)	1.378 (1.027–1.849)
Duration on β-blocker	No use	2627 (90.43)	499 (87.7)	1 216 (0 026 1 07)	1 224 (0 021 1 012)
	<1 yr	168 (5.78)	42 (7.38)	1.316 (0.926–1.87)	1.334 (0.931–1.913)
	1-2 yr	48 (1.65)	8 (1.41)	0.877 (0.413–1.866)	0.912 (0.422-1.971)
	2—3 yr	25 (0.86)	10 (1.76)	2.106 (1.005-4.412)	2.263 (1.061-4.827)
	3_5 vr	37 (1 27)	10 (1 76)	1 /23 (0 703_2 88)	1 626 (0 701_3 3/2

CI = confidence interval, HN = head and neck, OR = odds ratio.

3–5 yr

incidences of the 7 head and neck cancer types (oral cavity, oropharynx, hypopharynx, larynx, salivary gland, nasopharynx, and paranasal sinus) according to the use of β -blockers and the duration of β -blocker use. Compared with the patients who did

37 (1.27)

not use β-blockers, patients who underwent β-blocker treatment did not exhibit lower risks of cancer in the oral cavity (OR: 1.165; 95% CI: 1.013–1.340), hypopharynx (OR: 1.555; 95% CI: 1.232–1.963), nasopharynx (OR: 1.251; 95% CI: 1–1.564), and

1.423 (0.703-2.88)

1.626 (0.791-3.342)

10 (1.76)

paranasal sinus (OR: 1.378; 95% CI: 1.027–1.849). In addition, the duration of β -blocker use was not related to the risk of the 7 head and neck cancer types.

4. Discussion

We could not find a preventive effect of β -blockers for head and neck cancer. On the contrary, the risk of head and neck cancer incidence was not lower in β -blocker users than in β -blocker nonusers. Additionally, the duration of β -blocker use was not associated with the risk of head and neck cancer. Moreover, β -blocker use did not lower cancer incidence in any head and neck cancer types. Thus, the hypothesis that β -blocker use, which interferes with the norepinephrine via blocking β -adrenergic receptor, decreases the risk of head and neck cancer could not be confirmed.

There have been some studies investigating the association between β-blockers and cancer risk. The results of one study on colorectal cancer were comparable to our findings since it did not show a beneficial effect of β -blocker use on cancer risk.^[17] A Taiwanese population-based cohort study showed that compared with patients who did not take propranolol, patients who received propranolol treatment did not exhibit significantly lower risks of cancer in the hepatobiliary tract (OR: 1.10; 95% CI: 0.82-1.47), lung (OR: 0.80; 95% CI: 0.58-1.10), skin (OR: 0.53; 95% CI: 0.22-1.24), breast (OR: 0.72; 95% CI: 0.50-1.02), uterus (OR: 0.60; 95% CI: 0.32-1.13), bladder (OR: 1.06; 95% CI: 0.58-1.92), kidney (OR: 1.71; 95% CI: 0.77-3.84), brain (OR: 0.27; 95% CI: 0.06-1.28), and thyroid (OR: 0.77; 95% CI: 0.30-1.94).^[11] Additionally, other studies found that the use of β -blockers in patients with cancer does not appear to have a consistent association with cancer recurrence or survival in either the epidemiological, clinical, or systematic review setting.^[4,14,15,18] However, the previously mentioned Taiwanese population-based cohort study showed that propranolol could decrease the risk of head and neck cancer, including 67 patients.^[11] Moreover, some clinical studies suggested that β-blocker use may be associated with improved survival outcomes in patients with cancer.[12,13] Specifically, B-blocker use may be associated with better outcomes in specific types of cancer (e.g., melanoma and ovarian cancer), while an opposite effect was observed in patients with endometrial, prostate, or lung cancer. The lack of a causal relationship and specificity between β -blocker therapy and long-term cancer outcomes reflects the paucity of data but may also reflect the underlying heterogeneity in the response of cancer subtypes to β-blocker therapy modulation.^[14]

B-adrenergic receptor expression is found on cancer and immune cells, and activation of these receptors in different cancer types has diverse effects on the tumor microenvironment (tumor proliferation, migration, and invasion). Importantly, in vivo studies that explored the effects of β -adrenergic receptor signals suggested a key role for the β 2-adrenergic receptor in modulating tumor outcomes, and typically investigated β-blockades using propranolol, a non-selective beta-blocker.^[7–10] Some studies suggested that increased expression of \u03b32-adrenergic receptor at the mRNA- and protein level in head and neck cancer cell lines may inhibit tumor proliferation.^[5,6,8] Nikolaus et al showed that propranolol reduced head and neck cancer viability, induced apoptosis, and inhibited the production of the proangiogenic protein VEGF.^[5] However, our study focused on whether prediagnostic use of β -blockers could lower head and neck cancer risk. In fact, it has been reported that post-diagnostic β -blocker use was associated with decreased survival in head and neck cancer.^[12] The results of a Taiwanese population-based cohort study indicated that propranolol can reduce the risk of head and neck cancer, which contrast with our results.^[11] However, the study analyzed only 67 patients with head and neck cancer, whereas this study investigated 12,127 head and neck cancer patients.

One strength of this study is the large number of study participants (N=72,762). To our knowledge, this is the largest study that evaluated the relationship of B-blockers for head and neck cancer. In addition, few studies have been conducted according to specific head and neck cancer types. Another strength is the availability of comprehensive medical records for each participant. A previous study questioned participants about their history of β-blockers prescription, which could have introduced recall bias.^[12] In this study, we extracted patients' medical records from the NHID regarding β-blockers treatment. These recorded data were not distorted by their memories. The NHID includes the entire population without exception. Therefore, we did not overlook any participants during the study period, while other studies were affected by significant losses during the study period.^[12] Although we did not use randomized controlled trial methods, we matched our participants with a control group according to age, sex, and region of residence. Region matching was important as it could be a determinant factor for access to medical treatment. Finally, our study results were based on data from the entire Korean population and were verified by a statistician for representativeness.

However, our study had several limitations. First, we used health insurance claims data, which may not have been reflective of the actual use of β -blockers by patients. However, medical claims data are very important in Korea. If the claim codes are incorrect, the medical claim fee cannot be paid by the NHIS. Moreover, β-blockers cannot be prescribed to patients without exact diagnosis codes, and patients could be rejected to private insurance services. Claim code data are not subject to recall bias; therefore, the associated medical data would be more accurate than if derived from surveys or subjective data from other studies. Moreover, the Korean cancer registration system compensates for the possibility of misdiagnoses, even though some patients were misdiagnosed. We also used a patient-control study design with a large number of participants, considering the possibility of misdiagnosis would exist in both the patient and control groups. Second, smoking is an important risk factor for head and neck cancers, and could, therefore, confound the association between beta-blockers and head- and neck cancer. However, this study did not investigate exactly head and neck cancer patients' smoking history. Third, we could not extract all the information from the NHID because of security problems. Therefore, this study did not classify beta-blockers into several categories, such as nonselective, beta 1 selective, and beta 2 selective blocker. In addition, this study did not investigate the association between beta-blockers and cancer survival. Future prospective studies are needed to validate our findings. In conclusion, our study did not find a link between β -blocker use and a decrease in the incidence of head and neck cancer.

Author contributions

The manuscript was edited for proper English language, grammar, punctuation, spelling, and overall style by the highly qualified native English-speaking editors at Editage.

Conceptualization: Soon Young Kwon.

Data curation: Kyung Do Han.

Formal analysis: Kyung Do Han.

Funding acquisition: Min-Su kim, Soon Young Kwon.

Investigation: Soon Young Kwon.

Methodology: Kyung Do Han.

Software: Kyung Do Han.

Supervision: Soon Young Kwon.

Writing - original draft: Min-Su kim.

Writing - review & editing: Min-Su kim.

References

- Welsh T, Gladman J, Gordon AL. The treatment of hypertension in care home residents: a systematic review of observational studies. J Am Med Dir Assoc 2014;15:8–16.
- [2] Kang HB, Choi M, Yoon SG, et al. In-hospital prescriptions of secondary-prevention medications for post-acute coronary syndrome patients in South Korea. Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther 2018;56:1–1.
- [3] Nishimura S, Izumi C, Himura Y, et al. Very long-term follow-up data of non-ischemic idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy after beta-blocker therapy: recurrence of left ventricular dysfunction and predictive value of (123)I-metaiodobenzylguanidine scintigraphy. Heart Vess 2019;34: 259–67.
- [4] Johannesdottir SA, Schmidt M, Phillips G, et al. Use of B-blockers and mortality following ovarian cancer diagnosis: a population-based cohort study. BMC Cancer 2013;13:85.
- [5] Wolter NE, Wolter JK, Enepekides DJ, et al. Propranolol as a novel adjunctive treatment for head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2012;41:334–44.
- [6] Shang ZJ, Liu K, Liang DF. Expression of beta2-adrenergic receptor in oral squamous cell carcinoma. J Oral Pathol Med 2009;38:371–6.

- [7] Cole SW, Sood AK. Molecular pathways: beta-adrenergic signaling in cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2012;18:1201–6.
- [8] Yang EV, Kim SJ, Donovan EL, et al. Norepinephrine upregulates VEGF, IL-8, and IL-6 expression in human melanoma tumor cell lines: implications for stress-related enhancement of tumor progression. Brain Behav Immun 2009;23:267–75.
- [9] Chakroborty D, Sarkar C, Basu B, et al. Catecholamines regulate tumor angiogenesis. Cancer Res 2009;69:3727–30.
- [10] Entschladen F, Drell TL, Lang K, et al. Tumour-cell migration, invasion, and metastasis: navigation by neurotransmitters. Lancet Oncol 2004; 5:254–8.
- [11] Chang PY, Huang WY, Lin CL, et al. Propranolol reduces cancer risk: a population-based cohort study. Medicine (Baltimore) 2015;94:e1097.
- [12] Kim SA, Moon H, Roh JL, et al. Postdiagnostic use of beta-blockers and other antihypertensive drugs and the risk of recurrence and mortality in head and neck cancer patients: an observational study of 10,414 personyears of follow-up. Clin Transl Oncol 2017;19:826–33.
- [13] Watkins JL, Thaker PH, Nick AM, et al. Clinical impact of selective and nonselective beta-blockers on survival in patients with ovarian cancer. Cancer 2015;121:3444–51.
- [14] Yap A, Lopez-Olivo MA, Dbowitz J, et al. Effect of beta-blockers on cancer recurrence and survival: a meta-analysis of epidemiological and perioperative studies. Br J Anaesth 2018;121:45–57.
- [15] Weberpals J, Jansen L, Carr PR, et al. Beta blockers and cancer prognosis

 The role of immortal time bias: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Cancer Treat Rev 2016;47:1–1.
- [16] Cheol Seong S, Kim YY, Khang YH, et al. Data resource profile: the national health information database of the National Health Insurance Service in South Korea. Int J Epidemiol 2017;46:799–800.
- [17] Jansen L, Below J, Chang-Claude J, et al. Beta blocker use and colorectal cancer risk: population-based case-control study. Cancer 2012;118: 3911–9.
- [18] Jansen L, Weberpals J, Kuiper JG, et al. Pre- and post-diagnostic betablocker use and prognosis after colorectal cancer: Results from a population-based study. Int J Cancer 2017;141:62–71.