# **BMC Clinical Pharmacology**



**Open Access** Research article

# Effect of buspirone on thermal sensory and pain thresholds in human volunteers

Goran Pavlaković\*, Julija Tigges and Thomas A Crozier

Address: Department of Anesthesiology, Emergency and Intensive Care Medicine, University of Göttingen Medical School, Göttingen, Germany Email: Goran Pavlaković\* - pavlakovic@gmx.net; Julija Tigges - julija.tigges@gmx.de; Thomas A Crozier - tcrozie@gwdg.de \* Corresponding author

> Received: 4 September 2008 Accepted: 29 May 2009

Published: 29 May 2009

BMC Clinical Pharmacology 2009, 9:12 doi:10.1186/1472-6904-9-12

This article is available from: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1472-6904/9/12

© 2009 Pavlaković et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0),

which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### **Abstract**

Background: Buspirone is a partial 5-HT<sub>IA</sub> receptor agonist. Animal studies have shown that modulation of serotoninergic transmission at the 5-HT<sub>IA</sub> receptor can induce analgesia in acute pain models. However, no studies have been published so far on the effects of serotonin receptor agonists on pain perception in humans.

Methods: The effects of buspirone (30 mg p.o.) on thermal sensory and pain thresholds were investigated in twelve female volunteers (26 ± 2 yrs) in a prospective, randomized, double-blind, double-dummy, placebo-controlled study with morphine (10 mg i.v.) as positive control.

**Results:** Morphine significantly increased the heat pain detection threshold (ΔT: placebo 1.0°C and 1.3°C, p < 0.05) at 60 minutes. Buspirone caused mild sedation in six participants at 60 minutes, but was without effect on any of the measured parameters.

Conclusion: Buspirone in the maximal recommended dose was without significant effect on thermal pain. However, as it is only a partial agonist at the 5-HT<sub>IA</sub> receptor and also acts on other receptor types, the negative results of the present study do not rule out a possible analgesic effect of more specific 5-HT<sub>IA</sub> receptor agonists.

#### **Background**

Numerous animal studies have implicated serotonergic pathways, particularly the 5-HT<sub>1a</sub> receptors, in pain perception [1,2]. Serotonin-transporter deficient mice which have lower levels of tissue serotonin have reduced inflammatory pain and thermal hyperalgesia [3]. 5-HT<sub>1a</sub> deficient (knock-out) mice exhibit higher thermal hypersensitivity than naive, non-knock-out mice [4]. The 5-HT<sub>1a</sub> agonist 8-OH-DPAT has analgesic properties in the hot-plate and tail-flick test in mice [5]. Various other 5-HT<sub>1a</sub> agonists, including the partial agonist buspirone, also exhibit analgesic effects in the hot-plate test in mice [6] and in a rat model of surgical pain [7]. Accordingly, 5-

HT<sub>1a</sub> receptor antagonists block analgesia induced by stimulation of the lateral hypothalamus [8]. The most likely mechanism is through descending inhibitory pathways that end in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord and affect sensory centripetal neurotransmission.

However, serotonin agonists acting on 5-HT<sub>1a</sub> receptors have also been shown to cause hyperalgesia in rats [9,10], a finding observed by Fasmer et al. with lower doses of buspirone as well [5]. In concordance to these reports, a peripheral injection of 5-HT<sub>1a</sub> agonists produces dosedependent hyperalgesia, whereas 5-HT<sub>1a</sub> antagonists attenuate serotonin-induced hyperalgesia [11].

5-HT $_{1a}$  receptor antagonists, but not 5-HT $_{1b}$  receptor antagonists enhance the analgesic effects of tramadol [12], thus providing evidence for an indirect effect of the serotonergic system on descending pain control systems. Similar effects were observed in a recent study which found that 5-HT $_{1a}$  antagonism potentiates venlafaxine-mediated analgesia, probably due to blockade of 5-HT $_{1a}$  receptors in the raphe nucleus [13]. Furthermore, buspirone inhibited morphine-associated analgesia in rats [14].

These conflicting reports about the involvement of 5- $HT_{1a}$ receptors in pain perception in animal studies have been accompanied with only few studies in humans. Hoyer et al. demonstrated that 5-HT<sub>1a</sub> receptors are abundant in the human brain and spinal cord [15]. A recent study showed a correlation of cold pressor pain and 5-HT<sub>1a</sub> receptor binding in the human brain [16], but did not address the question whether a reduction of  $5\text{-HT}_{1a}$  receptor binding could affect pain perception. Only one study analyzed the effects of buspirone on pain in humans: Kishore-Kumar et al. [17] found that a single oral dose of 20 mg buspirone had no effect on postherpetic neuralgia or painful neuropathy. No human studies on the effects of a pharmacological manipulation of the serotonergic system on acute sensory and pain perception have been published so far.

The present study investigated the effect of buspirone on thermal sensory and pain thresholds in human volunteers.

#### **Methods**

The investigation was designed as a prospective, randomized, double-blind, double-dummy, placebo- and positive-controlled triple cross-over study. The study protocol was approved by the institutional human studies committee of the University of Göttingen and complied with the Declaration of Helsinki on Biomedical Research Involving Human Subjects.

Twelve young, healthy, female volunteers aged 20–30 years were recruited. Exclusion criteria were: pre-existing neurological disease, any acute or chronic drug or alcohol use, diabetes mellitus, pregnancy, known allergy to the tested substances, inability to communicate in the local language and prior wounds or fractures on the tested extremity. Concurrent drug use was ruled out by urine testing on the trial days. Before commencing the study, the subjects were introduced to the study protocol, gave their written informed consent and had one trial thermotesting run prior to the actual measurements.

The study was conducted in three different sessions, seven days apart. On each session, a peripheral venous cannula was inserted and the participants received either 30 mg

buspirone p.o. (Bespar®, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Germany), 10 mg morphine i.v. (MSI-10®, Mundipharma, Germany) in 100 ml saline infused over 15 minutes or placebo. Placebo tablets were prepared by the hospital pharmacy department and were identical to the buspirone tablets. Normal saline (100 ml) was infused over 15 minutes as i.v. placebo. All participants received one tablet and one infusion at each session. At the buspirone session (BUS) they received a buspirone tablet and a dummy infusion of saline, at the morphine session (MOR) they received a dummy placebo tablet and a morphine infusion, and at the placebo session (PLA) they received a placebo tablet and intravenous saline.

# **Determination of sensory thresholds**

Thermal thresholds were determined five minutes before as well as 60 and 120 minutes after administration of the tested substance, using the TSA-II Sensory analyzer® (Medoc Ltd., Ramat Yishai, Israel) with a skin contact surface area of the thermode of 9 cm². This was attached to the planar side of the forearm of the non-dominant arm by means of an elastic Velcro® tape, taking care to ensure that the entire surface of the thermode was in contact with the skin.

The order in which the test drugs were administered to each participant was randomized in a block design prior to the study. The same investigator, who was blinded to the group allocation, performed all tests.

The basal skin adaptation temperature of the thermode was set to 32°C. After an accommodation period of five minutes at this temperature, the tests were performed using the method of limits, with temperature change of 1°C sec-1 for heat and cold detection and 4°C sec-1 for heat pain and cold pain threshold testing and rapid return to the basal temperature. The more rapid temperature change used to determine pain thresholds was chosen to avoid sensitization of the skin due to the thermal stimuli. All tests were performed in the same quiet room with a constant room temperature of 21°C and always started at 9 a.m. No visual or auditory cues were given to indicate stimulus onset. The sensory qualities were always tested in the same order: cold detection threshold (CDT), cold pain detection threshold (CPDT), heat detection threshold (HDT) and heat pain detection threshold (HPDT). Four measurements were performed for each threshold and the results were averaged. There was a pause of at least ten seconds between each measurement.

Heart rate, blood pressure and Ramsay sedation score (RSS) [18] were assessed before each threshold determination. With the latter, patients are classified on a scale from 1 (anxious and restless) to 6 (no response to stimulus).

#### Data analysis

Data are presented as means and standard deviation (unless otherwise stated). Data analysis was carried out with the Statistica program Version 7.1 (StatSoft, Tulsa, OK, USA) in cooperation with our department of biomedical statistics. Thermal sensory and pain detection threshold data were tested for normal distribution with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and then analyzed with multiple t-tests for paired data with Tukey-Krame correction factor for multiple comparisons. P-values lower than 0.05 were considered significant.

#### Results

The mean age of the participants was  $26.5 \pm 2.4$  years. None of the participants were pregnant, suffered from neurological diseases or were taking any acute or chronic medication. None of the participants dropped out of the study and all drug-screening tests were negative.

All participants were cooperative and tranquil (Ramsay score 2) at the start of each session. Blood pressure and heart rate were not affected by either of the tested substances at any tested time point (Figure 1).

Buspirone caused sedation in half of the patients at 60 minutes (Figure 2), but this has largely resolved at 120

minutes. No other side effects were observed or reported. Morphine resulted in a higher incidence and a higher degree of sedation at sixty minutes after drug administration. Four participants complained of dizziness and four of nausea following the infusion of morphine.


Morphine significantly increased the heat pain detection threshold at the two time points, both compared to baseline as well as placebo (Figure 3). The changes of the thresholds for cold detection and cold pain detection following morphine administration were formally non-significant according to our criteria (p = 0.08 and p = 0.07, respectively, compared to placebo).


Buspirone did not cause a significant change in any of the tested sensory thresholds at either time point after its administration, nor was there any difference compared to the placebo at respective times.

#### **Discussion**


This is the first published study of the effects of a  $5\text{-HT}_{1a}$  partial agonist on acute thermal sensation and pain perception in human subjects.

A gender homogenous group was deliberately recruited for this study to avoid the documented influence of gen-





**Figure 1 Hemodynamic parameters.** Hemodynamic parameters 60 and 120 minutes following buspirone and morphine administration: The values are expressed as change in the blood pressure or heart rate from the pre-treatment value. a) Systolic blood pressure change, b) Heart rate change. Blood pressure and heart rate changes following the two drugs were not significantly different than with placebo (p < 0.05).  $BP_0$ ,  $BP_{60}$ ,  $BP_{120}$  = systolic blood pressure at the time of drug administration (0) and 60 and 120 minutes after drug administration.  $HR_0$ ,  $HR_{60}$ ,  $HR_{120}$  = heart rate at the time of drug administration (0) and 60 and 120 minutes after drug administration.



**Figure 2 Sedation scores.** Ramsay sedation scores for the subjects before and 60 and 120 minutes following the drug administration. The initial score always 2 (cooperative and tranquil) in all participants. \* = statistical significance of the Ramsay scores compared to pretreatment score (p < 0.05). \*\* = statistical significance of the Ramsay scores compared to placebo at the same time point (p < 0.05).

der on pain perception and pain reporting, which could have affected data dispersion and complicated data analysis [19,20]. We chose female participants, since the investigator performing the threshold testing was a woman and this could have influenced the response of male participants [21,22].

Morphine induced a significant increase in the heat pain threshold, indicating that the study design was valid and capable of detecting an analgesic effect on heat pain. The changes in the other three sensory thresholds after morphine administration were not statistically significant compared to placebo, however, the calculated p-values of 0.08 and 0.07 for CDT and CPDT, respectively, indicate that this may have been due to insufficient power of the study group size. We found no significant effect of buspirone in the highest recommended clinical dose on the tested thermal thresholds. The reason for this could be due to the pharmacodynamics of buspirone itself or to the details of the study design.

Mico et al. [23] have linked the effects of serotonin on nociception to its action on 5-HT $_{1A}$  somatodendritic autoreceptors in the raphe nucleus that control descending inhibitory pathways involved in the control of rostral nociceptive transmission. As described above, buspirone and other 5-HT $_{1A}$  receptor agonists can alter the response

to pain in experimental animals, but the effects are complicated and depend to some extent on the study design.

Murphy et al. [10] found that stimulation of 5-HT $_{1A}$  receptors raised the threshold to thermal pain, but decreased it with regard to mechanical pain. The 5-HT $_{1A}$  agonist F13640 was shown to induce both hyperalgesia and analgesia depending on the time after administration and thus possibly depending on the drugs' plasma concentrations [24] with higher concentrations associated with hyperalgesia.

Buspirone was without effect on tail-flick latency in the hot-plate test in rats but significantly attenuated the analgesic effects of morphine [14]. The 5-HT<sub>1A</sub> agonist 8-OH-DPAT similarly attenuated the analgesic effect of acetaminophen in the hot-plate test in mice [25].

The affinity of buspirone is highest for  $5\text{-HT}_{1A}$  receptors but it is not entirely specific and also acts on other receptors including an antagonistic effect on  $DA_2$  dopamine receptors. This partial agonistic and somewhat non-specific action on  $5\text{-HT}_{1A}$  receptors could possibly explain the spectrum of its actions.

Factors unrelated to the relevance of  $5\text{-HT}_{1A}$  receptors in the modulation of pain perception may also have contributed to the lack of effect in the present study. The temperature change rate of  $4\,^{\circ}\text{C}$  sec<sup>-1</sup> used to determine pain thresholds was chosen in order to reduce sensitization of the skin due to the repeated thermal stimuli, but this may have been too rapid to detect only small alterations of the threshold.

Buspirone has a high hepatic extraction ratio and high first-pass metabolism after oral administration resulting in low bioavailability [26]. While the plasma and CNS concentrations present one hour after administering the maximal recommended dose of 30 mg were adequate to cause sedation, they might not have been sufficient for an analgesic effect. However, should buspirone have analgesic effects at a higher dose, the significant sedative side-effects would probably limit its clinical usefulness. Studies of acute pain in humans using more specific 5-HT $_{1A}$  receptor agonists will be required to define their potential use in analgesia or pain modulation.

#### Conclusion

This first human study on the effect of a 5- $\mathrm{HT}_{1\mathrm{A}}$  receptor agonist buspirone on acute thermal sensory and pain perception demonstrated that buspirone in a clinically relevant dose has no effect on thermal sensory and pain perception. It does not rule out a possibility that more specific 5- $\mathrm{HT}_{1\mathrm{A}}$  receptor agonists might have analgesic effects.




Figure 3
Thermal sensory and pain thresholds. The effect of the two drugs (morphine and buspirone) on the tested thermal sensory qualities: a) HDT, b) HPDT, c) CDT and d) CPDT. Data are expressed as means  $\pm$  SD of the difference between the baseline threshold values measured before and 60 or 120 minutes after the administration. \* = statistical significance of change in threshold compared to pretreatment (p < 0.05). HDT = heat detection threshold, HPDT = heat pain detection threshold, CDT = cold detection threshold, CPDT = cold pain detection threshold.

### **Competing interests**

The study was funded through the departmental research fund of our institution and was not supported by any company that could profit from the results of the study. The authors declare no financial conflict of interest connected to this study and its results.

# **Authors' contributions**

GP participated in the conception and design of the study, data analysis and drafting of the manuscript. JT partici-

pated in data acquisition and drafting of the manuscript. TAC participated in the conception and design of the study, data analysis and drafting of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### References

- Besson JM, Chaouch A: Peripheral and spinal mechanisms of
- nociception. Physiol Rev 1987, 67(1):67-186.

  Colpaert FC, Tarayre JP, Koek W, Pauwels PJ, Bardin L, Xu XJ, Wiesenfeld-Hallin Z, Cosi C, Carilla-Durand E, Assie MB, et al.: Large-amplitude 5-HTIA receptor activation: a new mecha-

- nism of profound, central analgesia. Neuropharmacology 2002, 43(6):945-958.
- 3. Palm F, Mossner R, Chen Y, He L, Gerlach M, Bischofs S, Riederer P, Lesch KP, Sommer C: Reduced thermal hyperalgesia and enhanced peripheral nerve injury after hind paw inflammation in mice lacking the serotonin-transporter. Eur J Pain 2008, 12(6):790-797.
- Kayser V, Elfassi IE, Aubel B, Melfort M, Julius D, Gingrich JA, Hamon M, Bourgoin S: Mechanical, thermal and formalin-induced nociception is differentially altered in 5-HTIA-/-, 5-HTIB-/-, 5-HT2A-/-, 5-HT3A-/- and 5-HTT-/- knock-out male mice. Pain 2007, 130(3):235-248.
- Fasmer OB, Berge OG, Post C, Hole K: Effects of the putative 5-HTIA receptor agonist 8-OH-2-(di-n-propylamino)tetralin on nociceptive sensitivity in mice. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 1986, 25(4):883-888.
- Galeotti N, Ghelardini C, Bartolini A: 5-HTIA agonists induce central cholinergic antinociception. Pharmacology, biochemistry, and behavior 1997, 57(4):835-841.
- Kiss I, Degryse AD, Bardin L, Gomez de Segura IA, Colpaert FC: The novel analgesic, F 13640, produces intra- and postoperative analgesia in a rat model of surgical pain. Eur J Pharmacol 2005, 523(1-3):29-39.
- 8. Holden JÉ, Farah EN, Jeong Y: Stimulation of the lateral hypothalamus produces antinociception mediated by 5-HTIA, 5-HTIB and 5-HT3 receptors in the rat spinal cord dorsal horn. Neuroscience 2005, 135(4):1255-1268.
- Belcheva S, Petkov VD, Konstantinova E, Petkov VV, Boyanova E: Effects on nociception of the Ca2+ and 5-HT antagonist dotarizine and other 5-HT receptor agonists and antagonists. Acta Physiol Pharmacol Bulg 1995, 21(4):93-98.
- Murphy AZ, Murphy RM, Zemlan FP: Role of spinal serotonin1 receptor subtypes in thermally and mechanically elicited nociceptive reflexes. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 1992, 108(1-2):123-130.
- Taiwo YO, Levine JD: Serotonin is a directly-acting hyperalgesic agent in the rat. Neuroscience 1992, 48(2):485-490.
- Berrocoso E, Rojas-Corrales MO, Mico JA: Differential role of 5-HTIA and 5-HTIB receptors on the antinociceptive and antidepressant effect of tramadol in mice. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 2006, 188(1):111-118.
- 13. Berrocoso E, Mico JA: Role of serotonin 5-HTIA receptors in the antidepressant-like effect and the antinociceptive effect of venlafaxine in mice. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol 2009, 12(1):61-71.
- Millan MJ, Colpaert FC: Attenuation of opioid induced antinociception by 5-HTIA partial agonists in the rat. Neuropharmacology 1990, 29(3):315-318.
- Hoyer D, Pazos A, Probst A, Palacios JM: Serotonin receptors in the human brain. I. Characterization and autoradiographic localization of 5-HTIA recognition sites. Apparent absence of 5-HTIB recognition sites. Brain Res 1986, 376(1):85-96.
- Martikainen IK, Hirvonen J, Kajander J, Hagelberg N, Mansikka H, Nagren K, Hietala J, Pertovaara A: Correlation of human cold pressor pain responses with 5-HT(IA) receptor binding in the brain. Brain Res 2007, 1172:21-31.
- Kishore-Kumar R, Schafer SC, Lawlor BA, Murphy DL, Max MB: Single doses of the serotonin agonists buspirone and m-chlorophenylpiperazine do not relieve neuropathic pain. Pain 1989, 37(2):223-227.
- Ramsay MA, Savege TM, Simpson BR, Goodwin R: Controlled sedation with alphaxalone-alphadolone. Br Med J 1974, 2(5920):656-659.
- Hurley RW, Adams MC: Sex, gender, and pain: an overview of a complex field. Anesth Analg 2008, 107(1):309-317.
- Wiesenfeld-Hallin Z: Sex differences in pain perception. Gend Med 2005, 2(3):137-145.
- Rhudy JL, Williams AE: Gender differences in pain: do emotions play a role? Gend Med 2005, 2(4):208-226.
- Levine FM, De Simone LL: The effects of experimenter gender on pain report in male and female subjects. Pain 1991, 44(1):69-72.
- 23. Mico JA, Berrocoso E, Ortega-Alvaro A, Gibert-Rahola J, Rojas-Corrales MO: The role of 5-HTIA receptors in research strategy for extensive pain treatment. Curr Top Med Chem 2006, 6(18):1997-2003.

- Bardin L, Assie MB, Pelissou M, Royer-Urios I, Newman-Tancredi A, Ribet JP, Sautel F, Koek W, Colpaert FC: Dual, hyperalgesic, and analgesic effects of the high-efficacy 5-hydroxytryptamine IA (5-HTIA) agonist F 13640 [(3-chloro-4-fluoro-phenyl)-[4-fluoro-4-{[(5-methyl-pyridin-2-ylmethyl)-am ino]-methyl}piperidin-1-yl]methanone, fumaric acid salt]: relationship with 5-HTIA receptor occupancy and kinetic parameters. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2005, 312(3):1034-1042.
- Roca-Vinardell A, Ortega-Alvaro A, Gibert-Rahola J, Mico JA: The role of 5-HTIA/B autoreceptors in the antinociceptive effect of systemic administration of acetaminophen. Anesthesiology 2003, 98(3):741-747.
- 26. Gammans ŘE, Mayol RF, LaBudde JA: Metabolism and disposition of buspirone. Am J Med 1986, 80(3B):41-51.

## **Pre-publication history**

The pre-publication history for this paper can be accessed here:

http://www.biomedcentral.com/1472-6904/9/12/prepub

Publish with **Bio Med Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing\_adv.asp

