



Single-fluorophore membrane transport activity sensors with dual-emission read-out

Cindy Ast¹, Roberto De Michele², Michael U Kumke³, Wolf B Frommer¹*

¹Department of Plant Biology, Carnegie Institution for Science, Stanford California, United States; ²Institute of Biosciences and Bioresources, Italian National Research Council, Palermo, Italy; ³Department of Physical Chemistry, Institute of Chemistry, University of Potsdam, Potsdam, Germany

Abstract We recently described a series of genetically encoded, single-fluorophore-based sensors, termed AmTrac and MepTrac, which monitor membrane transporter activity in vivo (*De Michele et al., 2013*). However, being intensiometric, AmTrac and Meptrac are limited in their use for quantitative studies. Here, we characterized the photophysical properties (steady-state and time-resolved fluorescence spectroscopy as well as anisotropy decay analysis) of different AmTrac sensors with diverging fluorescence properties in order to generate improved, ratiometric sensors. By replacing key amino acid residues in AmTrac we constructed a set of dual-emission AmTrac sensors named deAmTracs. deAmTracs show opposing changes of blue and green emission with almost doubled emission ratio upon ammonium addition. The response ratio of the deAmTracs correlated with transport activity in mutants with altered capacity. Our results suggest that partial disruption of distance-dependent excited-state proton transfer is important for the successful generation of single-fluorophore-based dual-emission sensors.

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.07113.001

*For correspondence: wfrommer@carnegiescience.edu

Competing interests: The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Funding: See page 9

Received: 20 February 2015 Accepted: 18 June 2015 Published: 19 June 2015

Reviewing editor: Richard Aldrich, The University of Texas at Austin, United States

© Copyright Ast et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited.

Main text

Quantitative analysis of transport activity in vivo is extremely challenging, and popular methods, such as crystallography, patch clamping and radioactivity assays can only give limited insights since they are often destructive or are restricted to cell surface studies. We recently engineered single fluorescent protein (FP)-based ammonium transport activity sensors, termed AmTrac and MepTrac, which allowed monitoring of the activity of ammonium transporters in living yeast cells by means of fluorescence intensity (FI) measurements (De Michele et al., 2013). Both AmTrac and MepTrac are composed of a circularly permuted enhanced green fluorescent protein (cpEGFP) inserted into the central loop of plant or yeast AMT/MEP ammonium transporters. Quantitative fluorescence spectroscopy of yeast cells expressing the sensor variants demonstrates that they accurately report transporter activity as 30-50% FI decrease of green FI (De Michele et al., 2013). Being based on a single FP, AmTrac and MepTrac are intensiometric, since the readout consists of FI modulations of a single wavelength. However, ratiometric signal output would be clearly advantageous for sensor calibration and reliable quantitative imaging (Tantama et al., 2012). Only a few existing single FP sensors are ratiometric, displaying two emission maxima at a single excitation wavelength. The pH-sensors deGFP1 and deGFP4 (Hanson et al., 2002) and the calcium sensor GEM-GECO1 (Zhao et al., 2011) belong to this category. Based on similar steady-state fluorescence spectra of AmTrac and deGFP1 and deGFP4 (Hanson et al., 2002), we reasoned that it should be possible to turn AmTrac into a ratiometric, dual-emission sensor.

CC

Biophysics and structural biology | Cell biology

In AmTrac, the cpEGFP was inserted between residue 233 and 234 of the Arabidopsis thaliana ammonium transporter AtAMT1;3 (*Figure 1A*, top). The AmTrac sensors differ only by two residues at the N-terminus of the cpEGFP, also referred to as left linker, the right linker being composed of phenylalanine (F) and asparagine (N) in all sensors. The first AmTrac engineered, also named AmTrac-LE, carries leucine (L, Leu²³⁴) and glutamate (E, Glu²³⁵) in the left linker. Brighter versions carry a serine (S, Ser²³⁵) in the second position of the left linker, and variable amino acids in the first position. AmTrac-GS carries a glycine (G, Gly²³⁴), AmTrac-LS a leucine (L, Leu²³⁴) and AmTrac-IS an isoleucine (I, Ile²³⁴) (*Figure 1A*).

Despite the minimal differences in their amino acid sequence, AmTrac-GS, -LS, -IS and LE differ greatly in the shape and intensities of their fluorescence spectra, when expressed in yeast (*Figure 1B*). The fluorescence spectra of all AmTracs show two excitation maxima, at $\lambda_{exc} \sim 390$ nm and $\lambda_{exc} \sim 500$ nm,



Figure 1. Steady-state and time-resolved fluorescence analysis of AmTrac sensors. (**A**) Scheme of AmTrac sensors showing left (LL) and right linker (RL) positions (top). Table indicates amino acid composition of linkers in AmTrac sensors. (**B**) Normalized fluorescence spectra, excitation (dashed lines; λ_{em} 514 nm), and emission (solid line; λ_{exc} 485 nm). Traces in grey represent blank (background fluorescence of untransformed yeast). Values relate to major peak of AmTrac-GS (n = 3). (**C**) Time-resolved fluorescence decay kinetics of AmTrac-GS and -LE in presence and absence of 1 mM NH₄Cl (λ_{exc} 475 nm; λ_{em} 514 nm; n = 3). (**D**) Time-resolved fluorescence anisotropy r(t) of AmTrac-GS and -LE in presence and absence of 1 mM NH₄Cl (λ_{exc} 475 nm; λ_{em} 514 nm). DOI: 10.7554/eLife.07113.002

The following figure supplements are available for figure 1:

Figure supplement 1. Summary of lifetime components (τ) and χ^2 values obtained for AmTrac-GS and -LE treated with water as control or 1 mM NH₄Cl. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.07113.003

Figure supplement 2. Time-resolved fluorescence decay kinetics of AmTrac-LE in presence and absence of 1 mM NH₄Cl (λ_{exc} 475 nm, λ_{em} 514 nm). DOI: 10.7554/eLife.07113.004 also referred to as A- and B-band hereafter. In AmTrac-LE, the A-state dominates the fluorescence spectrum, whereas all other AmTracs (-GS, -LS and -IS) show an equilibrium shift toward the B-state. In wild type GFP (wtGFP) (44), the A-band derives from the A-state, the protonated chromophore, while the B-state indicates the deprotonated chromophore (43). Both states are coupled by a protonation equilibrium in both wtGFP and the AmTrac sensors.

The generally accepted mechanism of single-FP sensors assumes changes in the solvent accessibility and consequent guenching of the fluorescence (Akerboom et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2013). However, AmTracs are substantially different from the soluble sensors characterized so far. Membrane localization of the sensors reduces motion and mobility. Therefore, we performed timeresolved fluorescence measurements to detect if non-radiative processes such as solvent quenching or homo-FRET are involved in the sensor response. This analysis was performed for AmTrac-GS and -LE (Figure 1C,D). AmTrac-GS showed single exponential decay kinetics with lifetime values of τ = 2.55 \pm 0.04 ns and τ = 2.48 \pm 0.1 ns when treated with water and ammonium, respectively (Figure 1C top and Figure 1-figure supplement 1). These values are in agreement with most reports on a single lifetime of about $\tau = 2.5$ to 2.8 ns for EGFP in solution (Stepanenko et al., 2004; Arpino et al., 2012). AmTrac-LE showed bi-exponential decay kinetics with fluorescence decay times of $\tau_1 = 1.96 \pm 0.4$ ns and $\tau_2 = 3.98 \pm 0.32$ ns and $\tau_1 = 1.69 \pm 0.07$ ns and $\tau_2 = 3.96 \pm 0.17$ ns when treated with water or ammonium, respectively (Figure 1C bottom and Figure 1-figure supplements 1, 2). The two lifetime components found for AmTrac-LE are indicative of the presence of two species in the excited state. We thus conclude that the response mechanism of AmTrac-LE vs -GS differs with regard to which state (A- or B-state) is excited, and postulate that either different excited state reactions and/or different excited state species are involved. Since the lifetime values in absence and presence of ammonium differed, at most, by less than 1 ns for AmTrac-LE and -GS (Figure 1—figure supplement 1) we exclude external quenching effects due to altered solvent access in AmTracs, which is the widely accepted mechanism of single-FP sensors.

The distance of the cpEGFP moieties in the sensors allows for homo-FRET, but anisotropy decay kinetics changed only minimally in the presence of ammonium for AmTrac-GS and -LE (*Figure 1D*). The large initial r-values found are most likely artificial and probably due to weak signal of the green fluorescence and strong light scattering of the yeast cells. We thus conclude that the FI decrease is not the result of a change in the efficiency of (a possible) homo-FRET and postulate that structural rearrangements affect the protonation states and thus the FI.

Excitation of the A-state of wtGFP leads to green emission due to excited-state proton transfer (ESPT) (*Chattoraj et al., 1996*). ESPT in wtGFP is a photophysical phenomenon that describes the rapid deprotonation of the neutral chromophore in the excited state leading to loss of blue fluorescence and formation of a green-emitting intermediate state (I-state). The proton travels from the chromophore hydroxyl group, via a buried water molecule and neighboring side chain serine 205 (Ser²⁰⁵), to the final acceptor Glu²²² after excitation of the A-form. ESPT is usually prevented in EGFP due to the S65T mutation (*Brejc et al., 1997*).

To test for ESPT in AmTracs, we recorded fluorescence emission spectra of yeast transformed with AmTrac-LE and -GS after excitation of the A-state (λ_{exc} 395 nm), in presence of varying ammonium concentrations. Green fluorescence was detected, further supporting the hypothesis of ESPT occurrence (*Figure 2B* and *Figure 2—figure supplement 1A*). However, AmTrac-LE failed to fully respond to ammonium treatment when the A-state was excited, since the FI decreased by 30% only (*Figure 2B*). Conversely, when the B-state was excited, the FI decreased up to ~50% (*Figure 2A*). The emission spectrum of AmTrac-LE was characterized by another unique feature: the excitation of the A-state showed two emission maxima, one higher energy shoulder-like maximum at λ_{em} 490 nm and a distinct peak at λ_{em} 515 nm. Upon ammonium addition, the relative intensity of the peaks was altered and an iso-emissive point was observed (*Figure 2B*). Interestingly, this iso-emissive behavior was detected for AmTrac-LE but not for AmTrac-GS (*Figure 2—figure supplement 1A*).

Crystal structures of AmTrac sensors are not available yet. However, crystal structures have been solved for cpEGFP, as free protein or within sensors (*Wang et al., 2008; Akerboom et al., 2009; Leder et al., 2010*) and can provide clues on how the linker residues are involved in the structural rearrangements during the sensing mechanism. The crystal structure of cpEGFP (PDB 3EVP) shows two rotamers for Glu¹⁴⁸ in close proximity to the chromophore Thr65–Tyr66–Gly67, which indicates a high degree of flexibility at position 148. We assume that due to re-arrangements in the cpEGFP barrel, Glu¹⁴⁸ may exist in different orientations and distances to the phenyl-group of the



Figure 2. Steady-state fluorescence spectra for excited-state proton transfer (ESPT) analysis of yeast transformed with AmTrac-LE and postulated structural differences. (**A**) Fluorescence excitation (dashed line; λ_{em} 530 nm) and emission (solid line; λ_{exc} 485 nm) after treatment with NH₄Cl at indicated concentrations. Values were normalized to the major peak of the B-band (n = 3). (**B**) Fluorescence emission spectrum (λ_{exc} 395 nm). Values normalized to major maximum of the water-treated control (n = 3). Asterisk (*) indicates iso-emissive point. (**C**) Chromophore environment of crystalized circularly permuted enhanced green fluorescent protein (cpEGFP, PDB 3EVP). Chromophore is depicted in green; residues involved in ESPT via a buried water molecule in blue, left linker residues in orange and red. Top and bottom illustrations show different rotamers of glutamate Glu¹⁴⁸ with indicated distances of hydrogen bonds to chromophore. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.07113.005

The following figure supplement is available for figure 2:

Figure supplement 1. Steady-state fluorescence spectrum for ESPT analysis of intact yeast transformed with AmTrac-GS (n = 3) and postulated structural differences. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.07113.006

chromophore (*Figure 2C*). Since Glu¹⁴⁸ corresponds to Glu²³⁵ in AmTrac-LE, we consider the solved structure of cpEGFP as a proxy for AmTrac-LE. Theoretical modifications of the linker sequence were performed using Pymol by replacing the linker residues LE of cpEGFP (PDB 3EVP) with GS. The result shows only one conformational state for serine of the GS linker (*Figure 2—figure supplement 1B*) indicating more stability during conformation changes. This also indicates the impact of different amino acid linkers on the structural environment of the chromophore. We postulate that Glu²³⁵ of AmTrac-LE relocates during the sensing process, most likely altering the efficiency of ESPT and in turn leading to blue and green fluorescence emission.

The spectral behavior of AmTrac-LE shows similarities with the dual-emission pH sensor deGFP1 (Hanson et al., 2002) as well as the GFP mutant S65T/H148E (Shu et al., 2007). Therefore, we reasoned

Biophysics and structural biology | Cell biology

that it should be possible to generate improved dual-emission sensors with a larger ratio change compared to AmTrac-LE. We modified the left linker amino acids only, since they appeared to be involved in the dual-emission behavior. We replaced LE with two random amino acids, to check for all possible combinations, and analyzed these constructs in yeast. Out of more than 500 yeast colonies screened, we found four candidates with the new linker composition CP, FP, RP, YP that displayed distinct dual-emission patterns and two of these candidates showed improved ratios upon ammonium treatment. Interestingly, all four constructs, named deAmTracs, contained a proline residue at the linker position of the former Glu²³⁵, while the first amino acid of the linker varied (Figure 3 and Figure 3—figure supplement 1). The two candidates with the highest ratio changes, deAmTrac-CP and -FP, are described in more detail (Figure 3). deAmTrac-CP carried a cysteine and proline, while deAmTrac-FP contained phenylalanine and proline in the left linker. Upon excitation with λ_{exc} 395 nm, blue and green emission maxima at 490 nm and 515 nm, respectively, were detected, which showed opposing changes in FI upon ammonium treatment. A clear iso-emissive point in the dual-emission spectra was observed (Figure 3A). Plotting the response ratio of the intensity of the A-state /l-state vs the external ammonium concentration showed that the ratio values almost doubled for both deAmTrac-CP and -FP (Figure 3B). The affinity constants from the response ratio towards ammonium for deAmTrac-CP (EC₅₀ 54 \pm 9 μ M) and deAmTrac-FP (EC₅₀ 36 \pm 6 μ M) were similar to AmTrac $(EC_{50} 55 \pm 7 \mu M)$ (De Michele et al., 2013).





The following figure supplements are available for figure 3:

Figure supplement 1. Summary of properties of AmTrac sensor variants in response to water or 1 mM NH₄Cl with A-state excition ($\lambda_{exc} = 395-400$ nm). DOI: 10.7554/eLife.07113.008

Figure supplement 2. Chromophore environment of crystalized cpEGFP (PDB 3EVP). DOI: 10.7554/eLife.07113.009

Due to the appearance of blue emission we speculate that proline or glutamic acid at position 235 are involved in hindering deprotonation or stabilizing the protonated state of the chromophore. Theoretical modification of the LE linker in the crystal structure of cpEGFP (PDB 3EVP) for either CP or FP using Pymol yields different rotamers (*Figure 3—figure supplement 2*). We postulate that the residues CP and FP allow for more flexibility due to the possibility of different conformational states. Differently from GS in AmTrac-GS, these linker residues may partially disrupt the ESPT pathway, preventing rapid proton transfer and trapping the excited A-state which consequently emits blue light. The blue emission is rather small, since the green emission from successful ESPT dominates the spectrum.

Several lines of evidence demonstrated that AmTrac's fluorescence response is strictly correlated to the ammonium transporter activity (De Michele et al., 2013). To verify that such correlation was maintained in deAmTracs, we generated a series of mutants with altered transporter activity, based on the suppressor screen for the inactivating mutation T464D in AmTrac-LE (De Michele et al., 2013). In deAmTrac-CP and -FP, the T464D mutation was still sufficient to block growth and response. In the T464D mutant background the presence of the suppressing mutation A141E increased the ratiometric response and transport capacity, shown as reduced growth of the mutants on high ammonium concentrations. Conversely, mutation Q61E restored growth and response of deAmTrac-T464D, although not to the full extent (Figure 4-figure supplement 1). Overall, the mutations had an effect on transport activity and response similar to AmTrac-LE (De Michele et al., 2013) (Figure 4A and Figure 4—figure supplement 1). Spectral analysis of the mutant deAmTracs showed the impact of the introduced mutations on the dual-emission pattern. In the T464D mutant the blue emission disappeared, and only green emission was detected. In presence of A141E, blue emission increased and green emission decreased. Q61E shows the opposite effect with decreased blue and increased green emission at high ammonium concentrations (Figure 4B). These results support the utility of deAmTracs in assessing ammonium transporter activity. However, our findings also point out that such sensors are complex systems and that a single mutation affecting the structure and function of the transporter may also affect the direct environment of the chromophore.

Based on spectral analyzes and the ESPT model reported by **Chattoraj et al. (1996)**, we postulate a mechanistic model with three co-existing processes: (i) structural rearrangements in the sensor protein upon ammonium perception, (ii) a predominantly protonated state of the cpEGFP chromophore and (iii) partial disruption of the ESPT pathway to prevent efficient proton transfer. In the absence of ammonium transport activity, an efficient hydrogen bond network is established in close proximity to the chromophore, allowing for fast proton transfer from the excited state of the neutral chromophore to the final acceptor. Under these conditions, green emission from the deprotonated chromophore is observed. With increasing ammonium transport activity, the wellestablished ESPT pathway is partially inhibited, so that the proton cannot be efficiently transferred. Emission from the protonated intermediate is observed as blue light (*Figure 5*).

The backbone rearrangements must be extremely fast to disrupt and re-establish the ESPT network. Still, the motion is not dramatic enough to completely prevent ESPT, explaining why green emission is still observed as the dominant peak during conditions of high ammonium transport. Therefore, deAmTracs only show modest ratio changes compared to other published dual-emission sensors, possibly due to only small spatial rearrangements or averaging of the ensembles during the ammonium binding/transport process. Future efforts will address and explore alternative approaches for the design of improved ratiometric sensors for ammonium transporters.

Materials and methods

DNA constructs and mutagenesis

All cpEGFP-based sensor plasmid constructs described are based on pDRF'-AmTrac-LE and -GS; described previously (**De Michele et al., 2013**).

Random mutagenesis

The deAmTrac sensors were generated by substituting the LE-linker of AmTrac-LE with random amino acids to test all the possible linker sequences and effects on dual-emission patterns. The cpEGFP fragment was amplified with forward primer AmXX FW containing the variable sequence encoding the



Figure 4. Response ratio and spectral analysis of mutant deAmTrac-CP and -FP. (**A**) Fluorescence response to NH₄Cl (indicated concentrations) plotted as ratio of the intensity of the A-state /l-state (I_A/I_I). Data were normalized to water treated control (=1) (mean ± SE; n = 5). (**B**) Fluorescence emission spectra with λ_{exc} 395 nm recorded after treatment with NH₄Cl (concentrations indicated). Values are normalized to the maximum of the water treatment (n = 3). DOI: 10.7554/eLife.07113.010

The following figure supplement is available for figure 4:

Figure supplement 1. Yeast growth assay of Δmep1,2,3 strain (31019b) transformed with mutant deAmTracs. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.07113.011

linker, and the reverse primer AmFN RV, encoding the C-terminal FN-linker (**Table 1**). DNA-fragments were gel-purified (Machery-Nagel) and yeast was co-transformed with linearized pDRF'-AtAMT1;3 (cleaved after amino acid 233). The cpEGFP-fragment with varying linkers was inserted after amino acid 233 of AtAMT1;3 by homologous recombination (**De Michele et al., 2013**).

Site-directed mutagenesis

Site-directed mutagenesis was performed to generate deAmTrac-FP and -CP mutants. First, T464D was introduced using primers T464D-FW and T464D-RV (*Table 1*). Constructs deAmTrac-FP-T464D and deAmTrac-CP-T464D served as template to introduce a second mutation. Second mutations were either Q61E or A141E (primers Q61E FW and -RV, or A414E FW and -RV, respectively; *Table 1*).



Figure 5. Suggested mechanistic ESPT model to describe the dual-emission in AmTrac-LE and deAmTracs during high and low ammonium transport activity. A, protonated A-state of the chromophore; I, deprotonated intermediate state; B, deprotonated B-state. Asterisks (*) indicate the excited state upon illumination with the indicated wavelength. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.07113.012

Yeast transformation and culture

Measurements were carried out using yeast strain 31019b (*mep1* Δ *mep2* Δ ::LEU2 *mep3* Δ ::KanMX2 *ura3*), lacking endogenous MEP ammonium transporters (*Marini et al., 1997*). Yeast transformation was performed with lithium acetate (*Schiestl and Gietz, 1989*). Transformants were plated on solid YNB (minimal yeast medium without amino acids/ammonium sulfate; Difco BD, Franklin Lakes, NJ) supplemented with 3% glucose and 1 mM arginine. Single colonies were selected and inoculated in 5 ml liquid YNB supplemented with 3% glucose and 0.1% proline under agitation (230 rpm) at 30°C until OD_{600nm} 0.5–0.9.

For the complementation assay, liquid cultures were diluted 10^{-1} , 10^{-2} , 10^{-3} , 10^{-4} and 10^{-5} in water and 5 µl of each dilution was spotted on solid YNB medium buffered with 50 mM MES/Tris, pH 5.2, supplemented with 3% glucose and either NH₄Cl, or 1 mM arginine as the sole nitrogen source. After 3 days of incubation at 30°C, cell growth was documented by flatbed scanning the plate at 300 dpi in grayscale mode.

For fluorescence measurements, liquid yeast cultures were washed twice in 50 mM MES pH 6.0, and resuspended to $OD_{600nm} \sim 0.5$ in 50 mM MES pH 6.0, supplemented with 5% glycerol to delay cell sedimentation (Ast et al., 2015).

Steady-state fluorescence measurements of AmTrac-LE, -LS, -IS and -GS

Steady-state fluorescence of washed liquid cultures expressing AmTrac-LE, -LS, -IS and -GS (*Figure 1B*) was acquired using a Fluoromax-P fluorescence spectrometer (Horiba Jobin Yvon, Kyoto,

Primer name	Primer sequence
AmXX FW	GAAGGTCCTCGTCGTGGTCGGTTCGAGAAANNNNNAACGTCTATATCAAGGCCG
AmFN RV	CCGCGCAGAGCAATAGCGCGACCACC ATTAAA GTTGTACTCCAGCTTGTGCC
T464D FW	GCA AGG GAT GGA TAT GGA TCG TCA CGG TGG CTT TGC
T464D RV	GCA AAG CCA CCG TGA CGA TCC ATA TCC ATC CCT TGC
Q61E FW	CCT TGT CTT CGC CAT GGA GCT CGG CTT CGC TAT GC
Q61E RV	GCA TAG CGA AGC CGA GCT CCA TGG CGA AGA CAA GG
A141E FW	CAA TGG GCG TTC GCA ATC GAG GCC GCT GGA ATC AC
A141E RV	GTG ATT CCA GCG GCC TCG ATT GCG AAC GCC CAT TG
DOI: 10.7554/el ife 07	113 013

Table 1. List of mutagenesis primers

Japan) and 3.5 ml silica cuvettes (Hellma Analytics, Mullheim, Germany). 2 ml cultures were diluted with 0.5 ml water before recording excitation/emission spectra ($\lambda_{em} = 514$ nm, $\lambda_{exc} = 485$ nm, step size 1 nm, n = 5). Untransformed yeast cells served as blank. Measurements were repeated independently at least three times.

Time-resolved emission measurements of AmTrac-LE, and -GS

A FL920 fluorescence lifetime spectrometer (Edinburgh Instruments, Livingston, UK) operating in time-correlated single-photon counting mode was used for time-resolved emission measurements of yeast cultures expressing AmTrac-LE and -GS after treatment with 1 mM ammonium chloride. Green fluorescence was excited by a supercontinuum laser (SC-400-PP, Fianium, Southampton, UK) at $\lambda_{exc} = 475$ nm at a rate of 20 MHz. Emission was detected at 90° relative to incoming beam. A 500 nm long pass filter (AHF Analysentechnik, Tübingen, Germany) in front of the detection system cut off scattered excitation light from the detector. AmTrac-GS was excited by vertically polarized light; the emission polarizer was set to magic angle (54.7°) to eliminate polarization from fluorescence decay. AmTrac-LE was measured without polarizers. Fluorescence decays were recorded for 5 min and analyzed with FAST software (Edinburgh Instruments, UK).

Fluorescence decays used to calculate the time-resolved anisotropy decay r(t) were recorded with vertically polarized excitation light and detected with emission polarizers set to vertical ($FI_v(t)$) or horizontal ($FI_H(t)$) positions, respectively. Anisotropy decay rates were calculated using **Equation 1** (FI(t), FI at time t after laser pulse excitation at a given polarizer orientation; vertical v or horizontal h; G, instrument correction factor). G-factor was determined by measuring emission intensity with emission polarizers set to horizontal and vertical positions after horizontally polarized excitation.

$$r(t) = \frac{FI_V(t) - G * FI_H(t)}{FI_V(t) + 2G * FI_H(t)}.$$
(1)

Fluorometric measurements for sensor response analysis

AmTrac-LE and -GS and deAmTrac variants were investigated using a fluorescence plate reader (Safire; Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland). 200 μ l of washed cells were loaded into black 96-well microplates with clear bottom (Greiner Bio-One, Germany) and treated with 50 μ l ammonium solutions (10 mM, 1 mM, 100 μ M or 10 μ M NH₄Cl) or water as control. For the ammonium titrations, ammonium concentrations of 1000 μ M, 400 μ M, 200 μ M, 100 μ M, 50 μ M, 25 μ M, 12.5 μ M or water were used. Cells were incubated for 8 min to saturate the response.

Fluorescence spectra were recorded in bottom reading mode using 7.5 nm bandwidth for both excitation and emission wavelengths ($\lambda_{exc} = 395$ or 485 nm; $\lambda_{em} = 530$ nm) and the values for single point fluorescence analysis were extracted ($\lambda_{em} = 490$ nm or 515 nm). A minimum of three independent transformants was analyzed. The fit of the titration kinetics was performed using a dose-response function (**Equation 2**) (a, EC_{max}; b, EC₅₀; c, EC_{baseline}).

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{a^* \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{x}} + c.$$
⁽²⁾

Graphs were created using OriginPro 8.6 G software (OriginLab, Northampton, MA, USA).

f

Acknowledgements

We thank Soeren Gehne (University of Potsdam, Germany) for his help with the data analysis of the time-resolved fluorescence measurements and Lily S Cheung (Carnegie Institution for Science) for her help with the kinetics analysis and critical reading.

Additional information

Funding

National Science MCB-1021677 Wolf B Frommer Foundation (NSF)	Funder	Grant reference	Author
	National Science Foundation (NSF)	MCB-1021677	Wolf B Frommer

Funder	Grant reference	Author
National Science Foundation (NSF)	MCB-1413254	Wolf B Frommer

The funder had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Author contributions

CA, MUK, Conception and design, Acquisition of data, Analysis and interpretation of data, Drafting or revising the article; RDM, WBF, Conception and design, Analysis and interpretation of data, Drafting or revising the article

References

Akerboom J, Rivera JD, Guilbe MM, Malavé EC, Hernandez HH, Tian L, Hires SA, Marvin JS, Looger LL, Schreiter ER. 2009. Crystal structures of the GCaMP calcium sensor reveal the mechanism of fluorescence signal change and aid rational design. The Journal of Biological Chemistry 284:6455–6464. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M807657200.

Arpino JA, Rizkallah PJ, Jones DD. 2012. Crystal structure of enhanced green fluorescent protein to 1.35 Å resolution reveals alternative conformations for Glu222. *PLOS ONE* **7**:e47132. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0047132.

Ast C, Frommer WB, Grossmann G, De Michele R. 2015. Quantification of extracellular ammonium concentrations and transporter activity in yeast using AmTrac fluorescent sensors. *Bio-Protocol* **5**:e1372.

- Brejc K, Sixma TK, Kitts PA, Kain SR, Tsien RY, Ormö M, Remington SJ. 1997. Structural basis for dual excitation and photoisomerization of the Aequorea victoria green fluorescent protein. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of USA 94:2306–2311. doi: 10.1073/pnas.94.6.2306.
- Chattoraj M, King BA, Bublitz GU, Boxer SG. 1996. Ultra-fast excited state dynamics in green fluorescent protein: multiple states and proton transfer. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of USA 93:8362–8367. doi: 10.1073/pnas.93.16.8362.
- Chen TW, Wardill TJ, Sun Y, Pulver SR, Renninger SL, Baohan A, Schreiter ER, Kerr RA, Orger MB, Jayaraman V, Looger LL, Svoboda K, Kim DS. 2013. Ultrasensitive fluorescent proteins for imaging neuronal activity. *Nature* 499:295–300. doi: 10.1038/nature12354.
- De Michele R, Ast C, Loqué D, Ho CH, Andrade SL, Lanquar V, Grossmann G, Gehne S, Kumke MU, Frommer WB. 2013. Fluorescent sensors reporting the activity of ammonium transceptors in live cells. *eLife* 2:e00800. doi: 10. 7554/eLife.00800.
- Hanson GT, McAnaney TB, Park ES, Rendell ME, Yarbrough DK, Chu S, Xi L, Boxer SG, Montrose MH, Remington SJ. 2002. Green fluorescent protein variants as ratiometric dual emission pH sensors. 1. Structural characterization and preliminary application. *Biochemistry* 41:15477–15488. doi: 10.1021/bi026609p.
- Leder L, Stark W, Freuler F, Marsh M, Meyerhofer M, Stettler T, Mayr LM, Britanova OV, Strukova LA, Chudakov DM, Souslova EA. 2010. The structure of Ca2+ sensor Case16 reveals the mechanism of reaction to low Ca2+ concentrations. *Sensors* 10:8143–8160. doi: 10.3390/s100908143.
- Marini AM, Soussi-Boudekou S, Vissers S, Andre B. 1997. A family of ammonium transporters in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Molecular and Cellular Biology 17:4282–4293.
- Schiestl RH, Gietz RD. 1989. High efficiency transformation of intact yeast cells using single stranded nucleic acids as a carrier. *Current Genetics* 16:339–346. doi: 10.1007/BF00340712.
- Shu X, Kallio K, Shi X, Abbyad P, Kanchanawong P, Childs W, Boxer SG, Remington SJ. 2007. Ultrafast excitedstate dynamics in the green fluorescent protein variant S65T/H148D. 1. Mutagenesis and structural studies. *Biochemistry* 46:12005–12013. doi: 10.1021/bi7009037.
- Stepanenko OV, Verkhusha VV, Kazakov VI, Shavlovsky MM, Kuznetsova IM, Uversky VN, Turoverov KK. 2004. Comparative studies on the structure and stability of fluorescent proteins EGFP, zFP506, mRFP1, 'dimer2', and DsRed1. Biochemistry 43:14913–14923. doi: 10.1021/bi048725t.
- Tantama M, Hung YP, Yellen G. 2012. Optogenetic reporters: fluorescent protein-based genetically encoded indicators of signaling and metabolism in the brain. *Progress in Brain Research* **196**:235–263. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-444-59426-6.00012-4.
- Wang Q, Shui B, Kotlikoff MI, Sondermann H. 2008. Structural basis for calcium sensing by GCaMP2. Structure 16: 1817–1827. doi: 10.1016/j.str.2008.10.008.
- **Zhao Y**, Araki S, Wu J, Teramoto T, Chang YF, Nakano M, Abdelfattah AS, Fujiwara M, Ishihara T, Nagai T, Campbell RE. 2011. An expanded palette of genetically encoded Ca²⁺ indicators. *Science* **333**:1888–1891. doi: 10.1126/science.1208592.