

# Response to “Features of Postpartum Hemorrhage-Associated Thrombotic Microangiopathy and Role of Short-Term Complement Inhibition”: Still a Long Way to Go



**The Author Replies:** We read with interest the work entitled “Features of Postpartum Hemorrhage-Associated Thrombotic Microangiopathy and Role of Short-Term Complement Inhibition.”<sup>1</sup> The authors contend that postpartum patients presenting with thrombotic microangiopathy may benefit from short-term C5 inhibition. Several lines of argumentation tend to mitigate this claim. First and foremost, no comparison is provided between patients who received C5 inhibition (72.5%) and those treated without these agents. Therefore, in the absence of a control group, the author’s conclusion rests heavily on the assumption that the outcome of kidney function following postpartum thrombotic microangiopathy is poor. This hypothesis is not supported by the current literature. In 1 multicenter retrospective investigation reporting on patients with postpartum thrombotic microangiopathy, including preeclampsia ( $n = 33$ ) and postpartum hemorrhage ( $n = 20$ ), Meibody *et al.*<sup>2</sup> recorded a median estimated glomerular filtration rate of 82 ml/min, 89.5 ml/min (86–92) at 12-month follow-up, respectively. None but 2 patients with preeclampsia received eculizumab. In fact, the final kidney function course depended primarily on the occurrence of cortical necrosis with a median last follow-up estimated glomerular filtration rate of 6 ml/min (5–31). However, patients experiencing cortical necrosis would not be considered eligible to receive a complement inhibitor trial, given the potentially irreversible nature of kidney lesions, further narrowing down the expected gains from C5 inhibition. Finally, the understanding of the paper’s results may fall within the scope of what authors have termed the “modifier gene” concept, whereby the disease results from the interaction of genetic predisposition and a second “hit,” in this case pregnancy and its complications.<sup>3,4</sup> This conceptual framework is

suggested in the Supplementary Appendix wherein the authors distinguish pathogenic variants from risk haplotypes. Unfortunately, not only is this assumption not spelled out explicitly, but the authors claim quite contrarily that none of the patients with postpartum hemorrhage exhibited susceptibility variants. Pending robust clinical evidence, we suggest that the current use of complement inhibitors should be grounded in the implementation of fast-track genetic testing to identify the small fraction of patients with pathogenic variants who have demonstrated proven benefit from these treatments in the short-term and long-term.<sup>5</sup>

1. Kaufeld JK, Kühne L, Schönermarck U, et al. Features of postpartum hemorrhage-associated thrombotic microangiopathy and role of short-term complement inhibition. *Kidney Int Rep.* 2024;9:919–928. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ekir.2024.01.035>
2. Meibody F, Jamme M, Tsatsaris V, et al. Post-partum acute kidney injury: sorting placental and non-placental thrombotic microangiopathies using the trajectory of biomarkers. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 2020;35:1538–1546. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfz025>
3. Leon J, LeStang MB, Sberro-Soussan R, et al. Complement-driven hemolytic uremic syndrome. *Am J Hematol.* 2023;98:S44–S56. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajh.26854>
4. Nobile G, Doreille A, Raymond L, et al. Role of the I416L variant of complement factor I in thrombotic microangiopathy among patients of African ancestry. *Kidney Int Rep.* 2023;8:2136–2140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ekir.2023.07.027>
5. Doreille A, Rafat C, Rondeau E, Mesnard L. How I treat thrombotic microangiopathy in the era of rapid genomics. *Blood.* 2022;141:147–155. <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2022015583>

Cédric Rafat<sup>1,2</sup>, Laurent Mesnard<sup>1,2,3</sup> and Yosu Luque<sup>1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Soins Intensifs Néphrologiques et Rein Aigu, Hôpital Tenon, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Paris, France; <sup>2</sup>French Intensive Renal Network, Paris, France; and <sup>3</sup>Unité INSERM UMR-S 1155, Paris, France

**Correspondence:** Cédric Rafat, French Intensive Renal Network, Paris France. E-mail: [cedric.rafat@aphp.fr](mailto:cedric.rafat@aphp.fr)

**Received 9 April 2024; accepted 23 April 2024; published online 4 May 2024**

*Kidney Int Rep* (2024) **9**, 2306; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ekir.2024.04.063>

© 2024 Published by Elsevier, Inc., on behalf of the International Society of Nephrology. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).