

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences

journal homepage: www.sciencedirect.com



Original article

Effect of graded levels of dietary *Bacillus toyonensis* and *Bifidobacterium* bifidum supplementation on growth, carcass traits and ileal histomorphometry and microbiota of growing quails



Mohamed A. Nour^a, Mohamed M. El-Hindawy^a, Shaza Y.A. Qattan^b, Diaa E. Abou-Kassem^c, Elwy A. Ashour^a, Salama M. Aboelenin^d, Mohamed M. Soliman^e, Abdel-Moneim E. Abdel-Moneim^f

- ^a Poultry Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Zagazig University, Zagazig 44511, Egypt
- ^b Biological Sciences Department, Microbiology, Faculty of Science, King Abdulaziz University, P.O Box 80203, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia
- ^c Animal and Poultry Production Department, Faculty of Technology and Development, Zagazig University, Zagazig, Egypt
- ^d Biology Department, Turabah University College, Taif University, P.O. Box 11099, Taif 21944, Saudi Arabia
- ^e Clinical Laboratory Sciences Department, Turabah University College, Taif University, P.O. Box 11099, Taif 21944, Saudi Arabia
- ^fBiological Application Department, Nuclear Research Center, Atomic Energy Authority, Abou-Zabael 13759, Egypt

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 28 March 2021 Revised 14 April 2021 Accepted 18 April 2021 Available online 26 April 2021

Keywords: Probiotics Growing quail Growth Carcass Physiology Histology

ABSTRACT

This experiment investigated the role of graded dietary levels of two probiotic strains (*Bacillus toyonensis*; BT and *Bifidobacterium bifidum*; BB) on the growth rate, carcass traits, physiological and histological aspects of growing Japanese quail. One thousand and three hundred sixty one-day-old un-sexed Japanese quail chicks were distributed randomly into ten groups. The 1st group served as a control and fed the basal diet without supplement while the 2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th groups received the control diet supplemented with 0.05, 0.075, 0.10 and 0.125% BT, respectively. The 6th group fed the control diet plus 0.10% BB while the remaining groups (7th to 10th) received the basal diet incorporated with the previous levels of BT rich with 0.05% BB. Dietary supplementation of BT and/or BB increased body weight and gain; however, feed intake and feed conversion were not affected. Amylase activity was significantly elevated in 5th, 7th and 9th groups, while lipase activity was improved in all treatment groups except 3rd and 6th groups. Results obtained concluded that dietary supplementation of BT with or without BB is useful for performance, digestive enzyme activities, blood cholesterols, antioxidant status and ileal histomorphometry and microbiota of growing Japanese quail.

© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

The confusing use of antibiotics in poultry ranches expanded the general wellbeing suspicion related to the development of resistant pathogens (Abd El-Moneim, 2017; Abd El-Moneim et al., 2019; Abd El-Moneim and Sabic, 2019; Abdel-Moneim et al., 2019) and antibiotics residuals in meat and egg products (Chen et al., 2005; Abou-Kassem et al., 2018). Prohibiting antibiotic growth providers from European Union, followed by several other

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: dr.elwy.ashour@gmail.com (E.A. Ashour). Peer review under responsibility of King Saud University.



Production and hosting by Elsevier

countries, has challenged poultry scientists to find appropriate alternatives. As unconventional substitutions to chemotherapy and green feed additives, probiotics are recently used in the poultry industry to maintain enteric counts of beneficial microbiota (Alagawany et al., 2018; Abd El-Hack et al., 2018; Mahrose et al., 2019), intestinal pathogens competition for nutrients and attachment sites and inhibit their adhesion, enhance diets utilization by improving digestive absorption process, enhance the immune response of the host and supply it with compounds that served as metabolic energy sources (Abd El-Hack et al., 2017; Abd El-Moneim, 2017; Abd El-Moneim et al., 2019; Alagawany et al., 2016; Estrada et al., 2001; Lodemann et al., 2008). Various strains of bifidobacteria, including Bifidobacterium bifidum (BB), have been used in poultry and animal production as well as in humans diets as alternatives to chemotherapeutic agents (Abd El-Moneim, 2017; Abd El-Moneim et al., 2019; Dankowiakowska et al., 2013; Kantas et al., 2015 press) because of its ability to produce antimicrobial

substances for instance bacteriocins (bifidin and bifidocin B) and inhibit the multiplication of numerous gram-positive and gramnegative bacteria (Shah and Dave, 2002; Touré et al., 2003). Bifidocin B has antibacterial properties for numerous pathogens, for instance, Enterococcus, Pediococcus spp., Leuconostoc, Lactobacillus, and Listeria, while bifidin can inhibit counts of Micrococcus flavus and Staphylococcus aureus (Shah and Dave, 2002). Bacillus toyonensis (BT), as aerobic non-pathogenic gram-positive, is a spore-forming bacterium, fermentative and has been considered as probiotic supplement in animal rations (Roos et al., 2018; Abdel-Moneim et al., in press-b; Williams et al., 2009). Synergistic effects of mixtures combining aerobic and anaerobic probiotic strains might be presented by improving poultry health and production. Based on our lab's previous work either published (Abd El-Moneim et al., 2019; Abdel-Moneim et al., 2019a,b) or not we noticed that BB could promote birds growth performance at doses of 10⁸ to 10⁹ CFU/ kg diet. Therefore, the current study aimed to evaluate the effect of dietary gradual levels of BT, a single level of BB or mixture between BT levels and the half dose of BB on growing performance, carcass traits, physiological and histological aspects of growing quail.

2. Materials and method

The present study conducted at the Poultry Research Farm, Department of Biological Applications, Radioisotope Application Division, Nuclear Research Center, Egyptian Atomic Energy Authority (EAEA), Inshas region. The experimental procedures were performed due to the etical guidelines of the Department of Biological Applications, Nuclear Research Center, Egyptian Atomic Energy Authority (EAEA).

2.1. Animals, strains and diets

Bacillus toyonensis (BT) and Bifidobacterium bifidum (BB) strains have been obtained from Prof. Samir Mahgoub at the Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Agriculture, Zagazig University, Egypt. These strains were examined as probiotic bacteria. One thousand and three hundred sixty at one day of age, quail chicks were randomly divided into ten equal groups; each was sub-divided equally into eight replications. During the experimental period (1 to 42 d), control birds were fed a corn-soybean basal diet (T1) while T2, T3, T4 and T5 were fed the control diet plus 0.05, 0.075, 0.1 and 0.125% BT. Groups T6 fed the control diet supplemented with 0.10% BB. The remaining groups from T7 to T10 were fed on the basal diet incorporated with the same doses of groups T2 to T5, respectively, plus 0.05% BB. The diets (in mash form) were formulated to cover growing quail requirements as suggested by the NRC (1994). Ingredients and chemical composition of the basal diet shown in Table 1.

2.2. Management

Quail chicks of whole groups were reared throughout the trial period inappropriate conditions. Each replicate was housed in one cage ($100 \times 50 \times 60 \text{ cm}^3$). Quail chicks were exposed to continuous program light up to the end of the first three days of age after that received 23 h light per day. Each brooder cage was supplied by one fluorescent lamp. All birds were kept in the same environmental, managerial status and hygienic terms and all chicks had free access to water and feed during the investigation periods. All drinkers and feeding troughs were cleaned daily.

 Table 1

 Composition of the basal diet and its calculated analysis.

Basal Diet	Ingredients
554	Yellow maize
396	Soybean meal (44%)
7.50	Di-calcium phosphate
15.0	Limestone
3.00	Sodium chloride
3.00	Premix ¹
1.50	D. L. methionine
20.0	Palm oil
Calculated	analysis ² (g. per kg)
220.0	Crude protein (CP)
12.186	Metabolizable Energy (MJ/kg)
39.9	Crude fiber (CF)
12.1	Lysine (L)
5.20	Methionine (Met)
8.60	Methionine + Cysteine (Met + Cys)
8.52	Calcium (Ca)
3.30	Available phosphorus (P)

¹ Premix mixture provided each kg. diet contained: Vit, A, 12,000 I.U, Vit. D3, 5,000 I.U, Vit, E, 16.7 g, Vit. K, 0.67 g, Vit. B1, 0.67 g, Vit. B2, 2 g, Vit. B6, 67 g., Vit. B12, 0.004 g, Nicotinic acid, 16.7 g, Pantothenic acid, 6.67 g, biotin, 0.07 g, Folic acid. 1.67 g, Choline chloride, 400 g, Zn, 23.3 g, Mn, 10 g, Fe, 25 g, Cu,1.67 g, I, 0.25 g, Se. 0.033 g and, Mg. 133.4 g.

2.3. Growth performance

Quails were individually weighed weekly to the nearest 0.10 g before receiving any feed and water in the early morning to obtain the body weight. Weight gain and feed intake were recorded weekly to calculate the feed conversion ratio as g feed/g gain. At the group's experiment end, eight birds were randomly chosen, fasted overnight, weighed, and then slaughtered. Empty hot carcasses, liver, gizzard and heart were weighed and proportioned to pre-slaughtering weight. All of the carcass parts calculated as described by (Abd El-Moneim et al., 2019). Duodenum samples were collected to determine activities of digestive enzymes, while ileum samples were subjected to histological examination.

2.4. Enzyme activity assay

As described by Abdel-Moneim et al. (in press-b), collected duodenum samples were used to obtain a homogeny digesta sample by tract massaging from both ends. Based on samples weights, they were diluted ten times with ice-cold neutral PBS, homogenized and then centrifuged at 4500g for 15 min at 5 °C and supernatants stored at 4 °C. Protease, lipase and amylase analyses were conducted within 24 h after extraction following the methods qualified by Lowry et al. (1951), Boutwell (1962) and Coles (1986), respectively.

2.5. Blood sampling and biochemistry

Blood sampling was performed during slaughtering from eight quails per group, immediately centrifuged at 3500 g for 20 min. and then sera were frozen at $-25\,^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ till the biochemical analysis. The concentrations of serum total protein, albumin, glucose level, alkaline phosphatase (ALP), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), uric acid, creatinine urea-N, triglycerides (TG), total cholesterol (TC), low-density lipoprotein (LDL), very-low-density lipoprotein (VLDL) and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) were determined due to the manufacturer's instructions of commercial kits (Spinreact Co., Spain). Thyroxine and triiodothyronine concentrations in sera samples were measured using

² Calculated according to NRC (1994).

radioimmunoassay (RIA) kits. Serum contents of glutathione (GSH), malondialdehyde (MDA), and activities of glutathione reductase (GSR), glutathione S-transferase (GST), superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT) and glutathione peroxidase (GPx) were determined according to the manufacturer's instructions of commercial kits (Cell Biolabs Inc., USA).

2.6. Histological observations

Ileal specimens were gathered and fixed in formalin solution 10% (pH 7.0) for 48 h, dehydrated in ethanol, cleared in xylene, and embedded in paraffin. Paraffin blocks were sliced using a microtome (Leica RM 2155, England) into five-micron slices. After section preparation, they were stained with hematoxylin and eosin for histological evaluation. Morphometric analysis was done by camera microscope AmScope® software as the following: Villus height (μm) measured from the tip to the base of villus and diameter. Villus width, Muscular thickness and submucosa layer (Crypt depth) thickness were also calculated (Seyvedin and Nazem, 2017).

2.7. Bacterial analysis

At the end of the experimental period (42 d), the ileal contents (10 cm anterior to the junction with caecum and rectum) of 3 birds in each replicate were separately collected into the sterile tubes for microbiological examination. The control birds were fed a cornsoybean basal diet (T1) while T2, T3, T4 and T5 were fed the control diet plus 0.05, 0.075, 0.1 and 0.125% BT. Groups T6 fed the control diet supplemented with 0.10% BB. The remaining groups from T7 to T10 were fed on the basal diet incorporated with the same doses of groups T2 to T5, respectively, plus 0.05% BB. The dietary samples supplemented by B. toyonensis (BT) probiotic bacteria (0.5, 0.75, 1.0 and 1.25 ml/kg) named T1, T2, T3 and T4, respectively. While the dietary samples supplemented by Bifido. bifidum (BB) probiotic bacteria (1.0 ml/kg) named T5. T6 treatment service as control. The dietary samples supplemented by co-culture from the two probiotic bacteria (0.5 BT + 0.5 BB, 0.75 BT + 0.5 BB, 1.0 BT + 0.5 BB and 1.25 BT + 0.5 BB) named T7, T8, T9 and T10, respectively. About one g of ileal digesta was added into the stomacher bag (Sewared, London, UK), and homogenized with 10 ml of sterile saline peptone water (SPW:1 g/l peptone, 8.5 g/l sodium chloride) for 3 min. The TBC, coliform, E. coli, Salmonella spp., Bacillus toyonensis and Bifidobacterium bifidum were determined by serial dilution (10^{-2} to 10^{-8}) of ileal samples before inoculation onto Petri dishes. The TBC were determined on plate count agar (Merck, 1.05463) after 48 h incubation at 30 °C. Violet Red Bile agar (VRB, Biolife, Italy) was used for counting coliform after 24 h incubation at 37 °C in anaerobic media. E. coli were counted on MacConkey agar (Oxoid, CM0007) after 24 h at 37 °C in anaerobic media. Salmonella spp. were counting onto SS agar (Salmonella and Shigella agar, Biolife, Melano, Italy). Bifidobacterium were counted on MRS agar after 24 h at 37 °C in anaerobic media. Bacillus were counted after pasteurized the dilution at 80 °C for 15 min. on nutrient agar after 24 h at 35 °C in anaerobic media All plates were examined for typical colony types and morphological characteristics associated to each culture medium. The number of bacterial group was transferred to Log number before statistical analysis.

2.8. Statistics

Collected data were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance using the general linear model (GLM) procedures of SPSS software, Version 18.0. The experiment unit was considered the cage for productive performance parameters, whereas the individual data was the experimental unit for the rest of the parameters. Tukey's multiple comparison test estimated significant differences among

means at a significant level of P < 0.05. The statistical model used was:

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + T_i + e_{ij}$$

where Y_{ij} is an observation, μ is the overall mean, T_i is effect of dietary treatment, and e_{ij} is the experimental random error.

3. Results

3.1. Growth performance

Results in Table 2 show a significant increase in quails' body weight at all studied ages except the first wk of age by dietary treatment with BT and/or BB compared to the control. Weight gain was also increased with these dietary treatments during all experimental periods except the period from 21 to 28 d as compared to the control. Groups T9 and T10 recorded the best growth performance at the overall experimental period, followed by T5 and T6 groups (Table 3). Feed intake was increased (p < 0.01) in groups T4 to T8 only at the period (8-14 d) while not affected at the remaining experimental periods and the overall one (Table 4). The feed conversion ratio was also non-significantly influenced by dietary treatments during all experimental periods (Table 5). The effects of dietary BT and/or BB on the carcass traits of growing quail birds are shown in Table 6. Dressing percentage, carcass yield and relative weights of liver and heart were significantly (p < 0.01)influenced while the gizzard's relative weight was not affected. The highest dressing percentage and carcass yield values were recorded in the T8 group compared with the other treatment groups.

3.2. Digestive enzyme activities

Duodenal digestive enzyme activities across all treatments are presented in Table 7. Amylase activity was significantly elevated in T5, T7 and T9, while lipase activity was improved in all treatment groups except T3 and T6 compared with the control. Protease activity was insignificantly affected in all treated groups. The highest values of amylase and lipase were recorded in birds of the T5, T7 and T9 groups.

3.3. Blood biochemistry

Serum hepatic and renal function biomarkers of quails treated with BT and/or BB are shown in Table 8. Increasing the supplementation level of BT increased serum levels of total protein and albumin and decreased AST and ALT activities. Feeding on the T6 diet was not significantly affected the parameters above, while the combination between BT and BB generally, not affect these parameters except in groups T8 and T9. Serum ALP, uric acid, urea-N and creatinine values were not influenced by all treatments than the control group.

As presented in Table 9, all feeding treatments except groups T2 and T3 reduced serum levels of TG and VLDL and increased HDL level, while TC and LDL values were insignificantly affected. The hypocholesterolemic impact of feeding BT was significantly enhanced by increasing its dietary level and its combination with BB in the quail diet. Furthermore, blood glucose concentrations were insignificantly altered among experimental groups, while triiodothyronine level was increased significantly in groups fed BT levels. Thyroxin activity was also markedly elevated in the abovementioned groups and T6 group. Interestingly, the blood levels of triiodothyronine and thyroxin were not affected by the BT and BB mixture's dietary supplementation.

Table 2Effect of *Bacillus toyonensis* (BT) and *Bifidobacterium bifidum* (BB) on body weight of growing quail.

Treatments (ml/kg diet)	1 d	7 d	14 d	21 d	28 d	35 d	42 d
Control	9.37	23.23	37.79 ^e	85.65 ^e	122.05 ^e	173.50 ^e	209.83 ^{de}
0.50 BT	9.58	24.35	40.37 ^{de}	89.35 ^d	131.28 ^d	177.11 ^{de}	206.93 ^e
0.75 BT	9.41	24.32	43.08 ^d	90.87 ^d	130.14 ^d	180.05 ^d	213.79 ^d
1.00 BT	9.47	25.57	40.90^{de}	94.73°	141.28 ^{cd}	175.72 ^{de}	225.07 ^{bc}
1.25 BT	9.60	26.96	54.89 ^a	105.28 ^b	149.24 ^b	196.35 ^a	241.64 ^a
1.00 BB	9.53	24.93	55.80 ^a	100.65 ^{bc}	135.65 ^d	189.41 ^b	226.86 ^b
0.50 BT + 0.50 BB	9.60	26.33	51.53 ^b	113.46 ^a	158.96 ^a	193.75 ^{ab}	223.22 ^c
0.75 BT + 0.50 BB	9.54	25.36	49.16 ^b	116.78 ^a	160.88 ^a	195.32a	221.57 ^c
1.00 BT + 0.50 BB	9.59	24.85	45.50 ^c	102.72 ^b	146.19 ^c	179.09 ^d	227.67 ^b
1.25 BT + 0.50 BB	9.57	24.90	46.88 ^c	104.96 ^b	149.48 ^b	186.51 ^c	240.97a
SEM	0.09	0.21	0.49	1.91	2.13	2.35	2.22
P-value	0.748	0.452	0.010	<0.001	0.007	0.013	0.009

Means in the same column within each classification bearing different letters are significantly ($P \le 0.05$) different.

Table 3Effect of dietary *Bacillus toyonensis* (BT) and *Bifidobacterium bifidum* (BB) on weight gain of growing quail.

Treatments (ml/kg diet)	1-7 d	8-14 d	15-21 d	22-28 d	29-35 d	36-42 d	1-42 d
Control	1.98 ^f	2.08 ^e	3.12 ^{ef}	5.27	7.35 ^a	5.19 ^{cd}	4.33 ^d
0.50 BT	2.11 ^e	2.34 ^{de}	3.44 ^e	5.99	6.69 ^b	4.26 ^{de}	4.30^{d}
0.75 BT	2.13 ^e	2.68 ^d	3.41 ^e	5.61	7.13 ^{ab}	4.82 ^d	4.47 ^{cd}
1.00 BT	2.30 ^c	2.19 ^{de}	3.82^{d}	6.65	4.92^{d}	7.05 ^b	4.66 ^{bc}
1.25 BT	2.48^{a}	3.99 ^b	3.59^{d}	6.28	6.73 ^b	6.47 ^c	5.09 ^a
1.00 BB	2.20^{d}	4.41 ^a	2.99 ^f	5.00	7.68 ^a	5.35 ^{cd}	4.77 ^b
0.50 BT + 0.50 BB	2.39 ^b	3.60 ^{bc}	5.54 ^b	6.50	4.97^{d}	4.21 ^{de}	4.70 ^{bc}
0.75 BT + 0.50 BB	2.26 ^c	3.40 ^c	6.17 ^a	6.30	4.92^{d}	3.75 ^e	4.63 ^{bc}
1.00 BT + 0.50 BB	2.18^{d}	2.95 ^{cd}	4.93 ^c	6.21	$4.70^{\rm d}$	6.94 ^b	4.82 ^{ab}
1.25 BT + 0.50 BB	2.19 ^d	3.14 ^{cd}	4.76 ^c	6.36	5.29 ^c	7.78 ^a	5.09 ^a
SEM	0.03	0.17	0.21	0.16	0.24	0.27	0.05
P value	0.001	0.005	0.001	0.282	0.001	0.001	0.001

Means in the same column within each classification bearing different letters are significantly ($P \le 0.05$) different. SEM: standard error mean.

 Table 4

 Feed intake (g/bird/day) of Japanese quail as affected by dietary Bacillus toyonensis (BT) and Bifidobacterium bifidum (BB) during the experimental periods.

Treatments (ml/kg diet)	1-7 d	8-14 d	15-21 d	22-28 d	29-35 d	36-42 d	1-42 d
Control	4.56	7.77 ^d	13.75	17.32	20.92	21.93	14.41
0.50 BT	4.24	7.36 ^{de}	12.76	14.58	22.55	21.93	13.96
0.75 BT	4.39	8.26 ^{cd}	14.45	15.56	23.21	22.83	14.69
1.00 BT	4.84	6.81 ^e	11.24	19.14	18.89	24.12	14.23
1.25 BT	6.15	9.73 ^b	15.92	17.40	21.68	22.92	15.68
1.00 BB	6.37	10.81 ^a	15.77	13.27	21.27	20.28	14.68
0.50 BT + 0.50 BB	7.64	8.79 ^c	15.57	17.36	20.02	18.65	14.72
0.75 BT + 0.50 BB	6.70	8.59 ^c	15.56	18.31	22.10	17.57	14.89
1.00 BT + 0.50 BB	4.24	7.39 ^{de}	17.28	22.37	18.88	23.59	15.51
1.25 BT + 0.50 BB	4.71	7.23 ^{de}	16.28	20.75	16.25	21.53	14.53
SEM	0.37	0.34	0.68	0.75	0.95	0.99	0.71
P value	0.195	0.010	0.750	0.873	0.925	0.937	0.990

Means in the same column within each classification bearing different letters are significantly ($P \le 0.05$) different. SEM: standard error mean.

3.4. Antioxidant status

Results presented in Table 10 revealed that the antioxidant system of Japanese quails was improved by probiotics dietary treatments, where serum CAT activity increased and MDA content reduced significantly in all treatment groups compared with those of control. Furthermore, serum GSH content and SOD activity were significantly elevated in birds of experimental groups G2, G9 and G10. However, serum GPx, GSR and GST values were insignificantly altered by dietary treatment compared with the control group.

3.5. Ileal histomorphometry

Data presented in Table 11 show significant improvement in the mucosal architecture in terms of increased ileal villus height (VH),

villus width (VW), muscular thickness (MT) and VH: CD ratio, as well as decreased crypt depth (CD) in quail fed probiotic diets. Groups T5 and T6 recorded the highest VH values, VW, MT and VH: CD ratio and lowest value of CD. The mixture between BT and BB also enhanced the parameters mentioned above compared with the un-supplemented group. However, although the combination between these two probiotic strains (especially groups T9 and T10) improved ileal function, it did not exhibit a 2-fold increment impact than the individual supplementation.

3.6. Ileal bacterial content

Impacts of BT and BB on the microbiological funging of total bacterial count (TBC), total coliform count (TCC), *E. coli* and *Salmonella* count in ileal contentare are investigated in the current

 Table 5

 Feed conversion ratio (g feed/g gain) of Japanese quail as affected by dietary Bacillus toyonensis (BT) and Bifidobacterium bifidum (BB) during the experimental periods.

Treatments (ml/kg diet)	1-7 d	8-14 d	15-21 d	22-28 d	29-35 d	36-42 d	1-42 d
Control	2.28	4.22	4.41	3.24	2.84	3.57	3.32
0.50 BT	2.01	3.39	3.73	2.36	3.42	4.15	3.24
0.75 BT	2.06	3.09	4.21	2.72	3.24	3.93	3.28
1.00 BT	2.11	3.39	3.06	2.89	3.86	3.03	3.05
1.25 BT	2.46	2.59	4.49	2.80	3.24	3.08	3.07
1.00 BB	2.86	2.53	5.53	2.65	2.81	3.20	3.06
0.50 BT + 0.50 BB	3.19	2.63	2.84	2.68	4.02	3.57	3.13
0.75 BT + 0.50 BB	2.97	2.73	2.53	2.89	4.53	3.84	3.21
1.00 BT + 0.50 BB	1.94	2.77	3.49	3.69	4.28	3.03	3.22
1.25 BT + 0.50 BB	2.15	2.52	3.42	3.24	3.10	2.46	2.86
SEM	0.11	0.14	0.17	0.13	0.15	0.21	0.23
P value	0.302	0.333	0.079	0.919	0.455	0.464	0.986

Means in the same column within each classification bearing different letters are significantly ($P \le 0.05$) different.

SEM: standard error mean.

Table 6Carcass and some digestive tract traits of Japanese quail as affected by dietary *Bacillus toyonensis* (BT) and *Bifidobacterium bifidum* (BB) at the end of the experimental periods.

Treatments (ml/kg diet)	Pre-slaughter weight	Dressing %	Liver %	Gizzard %	Heart %	Carcass yield %
Control	183.50 ^e	78.39 ^b	2.12 ^{cd}	2.09	0.80°	83.39 ^b
0.50 BT	191.00 ^d	77.94 ^b	2.54 ^a	1.99	0.70^{f}	83.17 ^b
0.75 BT	192.50 ^d	76.10 ^c	1.99 ^e	1.89	0.91 ^a	80.90 ^e
1.00 BT	209.00 ^b	75.47 ^d	2.20 ^c	2.05	0.76^{d}	80.48 ^e
1.25 BT	219.00 ^a	77.31 ^b	2.14 ^{cd}	1.85	0.79^{c}	82.10 ^c
1.00 BB	204.36 ^c	79.07 ^a	1.77 ^f	1.89	0.83 ^b	83.53 ^b
0.50 BT + 0.50 BB	209.06 ^b	76.63 ^c	1.82 ^f	1.81	0.90^{a}	81.17 ^e
0.75 BT + 0.50 BB	213.21 ^b	79.78 ^a	2.40^{b}	2.03	0.73 ^e	84.94 ^a
1.00 BT + 0.50 BB	211.50 ^b	76.75 ^c	2.32 ^{bc}	1.77	0.87 ^{ab}	81.72 ^d
1.25 BT + 0.50 BB	220.03 ^a	77.46 ^b	2.45 ^b	1.91	0.84 ^b	82.65 ^c
SEM	2.25	0.29	0.05	0.08	0.03	0.75
P value	<0.001	0.003	< 0.001	0.128	< 0.001	0.001

Means in the same column within each classification bearing different letters are significantly ($P \le 0.05$) different. SEM: standard error mean.

Table 7Effect of dietary *Bacillus toyonensis* (BT) and *Bifidobacterium bifidum* (BB) on digestive enzyme activities of growing Japanese quail.

-				
	Treatments (ml/kg diet)	AMZ, U g ⁻¹ digesta	LPZ, U g ⁻¹ digesta	PRZ, μmol g ⁻¹ digesta
-	Control 0.50 BT 0.75 BT 1.00 BT 1.25 BT 1.00 BB 0.50 BT + 0.50 BB 0.75 BT + 0.50 BB 1.00 BT + 0.50 BB	603.7 ^d 870.0 ^{cd} 725.5 ^d 1036.5 ^{bcd} 1302.5 ^{bc} 789.0 ^{cd} 1938.5 ^a 1025.0 ^{bcd} 1485.0 ^{ab}	4.053 ^e 10.85 ^{bcd} 7.401 ^{de} 10.91 ^{bcd} 18.56 ^a 8.182 ^{cde} 23.01 ^a 13.27 ^b 12.89 ^{bc}	0.294 0.345 0.321 0.321 0.339 0.316 0.338 0.329 0.315
	1.25 BT + 0.50 BB SEM P value	1090.0 ^{bcd} 165.51 <0.001	9.095 ^{bcd} 1.13 <0.001	0.312 0.037 0.993

Means in the same column within each classification bearing different letters are significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) different.

SEM: standard error mean.

AMZ: Amylaze, LPZ: Lipase, PRZ, Protease.

study. Our results emphasized that increasing BT or BB or BT + BB levels significantly reduced the intestinal TBC, coliform *E. coli* and *Salmonella* spp. populations. The results are presented in Table 12 showed the antimicrobial activity of dietary supplemented with BT or BB or BT + BB against the TBC, coliform, *Salmonella* spp. and *E. coli* populations. Whereas increasing BT or BB or BT + BB levels in the diet decreased significantly (P < 0.05) of *E. coli*, *Salmonella* and coliform with approximately 0.75 to 1.5 Log₁₀ CFU/g and decreased TBC (\sim 1.0 Log₁₀ CFU/g) without affecting on the populations of probiotic bacteria bacteria. Supplementation of broilers' diet with BT showed a strong anti-bacterial activity against Gram

positive and Gram negative bacteria. The antibacterial activities of probiotic bacteria and bacillus such as *Bifidobacterium bifidum* are due to lactic acid contents and other compounds.

4. Discussion

The improvement in quails' body weight in the present study might be attributed to stimulating the production of certain vitamins and other active substances in a multi-species probiotic. This could inhibit the growth of the enteropathpgens in the quail gut by decreasing the pH of intestine, improving digestion, and consequently enhancing the utilization of nutrients, which positively reflected the values of body weight (Premavalli et al., 2018). Also, there was an explanation clarified by Applegate et al. (2010), who confirmed that treatment of probiotics resulted in bacterial antagonism, colonization competition and emulation for nutrients. These actions decrease toxic compounds, modulate the immune system and increase nutrient absorption and digestibility, leading to improved body weight. Enhancement in weight gain may be due to probiotics, which decreases the pathogenic bacteria and active levels of digestive enzymes, especially in T7 and T9. In the same trend (Wang and Gu, 2010) reported the improvement of weight gain might be because of the contribution of exogenous enzymes secreted by probiotics and the endogenous enzymes produced by the host. Recently, in the chicken from treatments received the probiotic in their drinking water with grading amounts of 0.05, 0.10 and 0.25 g/L, the body weight gain was significantly (p = 0.027) greater than in the control group not receive the probiotic, (Abramowicz et al., 2019).

On the other hand, the feed conversion ratio results were in partial agreement with (Abdel-Moneim et al., in press-b), who

Table 8 Influence of *Bacillus toyonensis* (BT) and *Bifidobacterium bifidum* (BB) on serum hepatic and renal functions biomarkers of Japanese quail birds.

Treatments (ml/kg diet)	Total protein, g dl ⁻¹	Albumin, g dl ⁻¹	AST, U L ⁻¹	ALT, U L ⁻¹	ALP, U L ⁻¹	Uric Acid, mg dl ⁻¹	Urea-N, mg dl ⁻¹	Creatinine, mg dl ⁻¹
Control	3.74 ^c	1.90 ^e	227.9 ^{ab}	15.48 ^a	966.6	7.83	4.35	0.580 ^{abc}
0.50 BT	5.18 ^{ab}	2.87 ^{ab}	152.8 ^{de}	12.95 ^{ab}	1007.2	6.76	3.69	0.710 ^a
0.75 BT	5.44 ^a	2.93 ^a	145.8 ^{de}	16.03 ^a	983.4	8.12	3.28	0.535 ^{bc}
1.00 BT	4.77 ^{ab}	2.75 ^{abc}	140.5 ^e	8.09 ^c	853.1	6.67	4.48	0.430 ^c
1.25 BT	4.84 ^{ab}	2.66 ^{abcd}	155.4 ^{de}	8.18 ^c	864.6	8.10	4.30	0.460 ^c
1.00 BB	4.31 ^{bc}	2.20 ^{cde}	354.9 ^a	16.02 ^a	962.9	7.53	4.46	0.675 ^{ab}
0.50 BT + 0.50 BB	3.62 ^c	2.15 ^{de}	177.2 ^{cd}	16.08 ^a	911.9	8.62	3.69	0.565 ^{bc}
0.75 BT + 0.50 BB	5.15 ^{ab}	2.57 ^{abcd}	212.2bc	16.66 ^a	787.2	8.21	3.79	0.520 ^c
1.00 BT + 0.50 BB	4.77 ^{ab}	2.32 ^{bcde}	178.1 ^{cd}	9.48 ^{bc}	1069.7	6.77	2.87	0.540 ^{bc}
1.25 BT + 0.50 BB	4.38 ^{bc}	1.94 ^e	197.4 ^{bc}	15.74 ^a	971.5	6.94	3.46	0.430 ^c
SEM	0.29	0.18	4.56	1.12	43.40	0.74	0.53	0.04
P value	0.005	0.003	< 0.001	0.001	0.703	0.370	0.396	0.003

Means in the same column within each classification bearing different letters are significantly ($P \le 0.05$) different.

SEM: standard error mean.

AST: aspartate aminotransferase, ALT: alanine aminotransferase, ALP: alkaline phosphatase.

Table 9Effect of *Bacillus toyonensis* (BT) and *Bifidobacterium bifidum* (BB) on the serum lipid and thyroid hormone of quail.

Treatments (ml/kg diet)	Cholesterol mg dl ⁻¹	Triglycerides mg dl ⁻¹	HDL- cholesterol mg.dl ⁻¹	LDL- cholesterol mg dl^{-1}	VLDL- cholesterol g dl^{-1}	Glucose mg dl ⁻¹	T ₃ , ng ml ⁻¹	T_4 , μg dl^{-1}
Control	233.9 ^{abcd}	3795.0 ^a	26.90 ^c	131.1 ^{abc}	75.90 ^a	353.9	0.505 ^c	3.875 ^d
0.50 BT	253.4 ^{abc}	3354.0ab	26.17 ^c	160.2 ^{ab}	67.08 ^{ab}	271.1	0.777 ^{ab}	6.927 ^a
0.75 BT	281.4 ^{ab}	3012.0 ^{abc}	27.25 ^c	193.9 ^a	60.24 ^{abc}	276.5	0.813 ^a	6.840 ^a
1.00 BT	177.1 ^d	2466.0 ^{cde}	55.87 ^a	71.86 ^c	49.32 ^{cde}	273.7	0.773 ^{ab}	6.000 ^{ab}
1.25 BT	285.9 ^{ab}	2420.0 ^{cde}	41.10 ^b	129.7 ^{abc}	48.40 ^{cde}	233.2	0.751 ^{ab}	7.265 ^a
1.00 BB	290.4 ^a	1997.5 ^{de}	40.17 ^b	146.9 ^{ab}	39.95 ^{de}	318.9	0.601 ^c	5.695 ^{abc}
0.50 BT + 0.50 BB	209.3 ^{cd}	2691.5 ^{bcd}	50.07 ^{ab}	105.4 ^{bc}	53.83 ^{bcd}	311.4	0.556 ^c	3.905^{d}
0.75 BT + 0.50 BB	289.3 ^a	2699.3 ^{bcd}	39.46 ^b	149.1 ^{ab}	53.99 ^{bcd}	283.9	0.632bc	5.040 ^{bcd}
1.00 BT + 0.50 BB	206.5 ^{cd}	2857.5 ^{bcd}	45.64 ^{ab}	103.7 ^{bc}	57.15 ^{bcd}	381.2	0.492 ^c	3.850^{d}
1.25 BT + 0.50 BB	215.5 ^{bcd}	1665.5 ^e	51.51 ^{ab}	130.6 ^{abc}	33.31 ^e	383.3	0.510^{c}	4.270 ^{cd}
SEM	10.52	102.30	2.89	14.35	5.60	21.92	0.04	0.55
P value	0.008	0.001	<0.001	0.020	0.001	0.060	< 0.001	<0.001

Means in the same column within each classification bearing different letters are significantly ($P \le 0.05$) different.

SEM: standard error mean.

HDL: High density lipoprotein, LDL: Low density lipoprotein, VLDL: Very low density lipoprotein.

Table 10Effect of *Bacillus toyonensis* (BT) and *Bifidobacterium bifidum* (BB) on antioxidant status of Japanese quail.

Treatments (ml/kg diet)	MDA, μ mol ml $^{-1}$	GSH, ng ml ⁻¹	GPX, ng ml^{-1}	SOD, qU L ⁻¹	GSR, ng ml ⁻¹	GST, pg ml ⁻¹	CAT, ng ml ⁻¹
Control	0.785ª	0.177 ^{cd}	0.184 ^{abc}	0.149 ^c	0.244	0.259	0.074 ^d
0.50 BT	0.578 ^{cd}	0.283 ^{ab}	0.223 ^{ab}	0.271 ^{ab}	0.393	0.312	0.257 ^{ab}
0.75 BT	0.585 ^{cd}	0.248 ^{abc}	0.216 ^{ab}	0.243 ^{abc}	0.314	0.390	0.219 ^{abc}
1.00 BT	0.558 ^{cd}	0.123 ^d	0.108 ^c	0.155 ^c	0.373	0.294	0.182 ^{bc}
1.25 BT	0.537 ^d	0.122 ^d	0.147 ^{bc}	0.200 ^{bc}	0.205	0.224	0.230 ^{abc}
1.00 BB	0.665 ^b	0.195 ^{cd}	0.248 ^{ab}	0.194 ^{bc}	0.243	0.274	0.154 ^c
0.50 BT + 0.50 BB	0.680^{b}	0.207^{bc}	0.221 ^{ab}	0.265 ^{ab}	0.365	0.305	0.154 ^c
0.75 BT + 0.50 BB	0.581 ^{cd}	0.235 ^{bc}	0.211 ^{ab}	0.214 ^{abc}	0.317	0.316	0.216 ^{abc}
1.00 BT + 0.50 BB	0.594 ^{cd}	0.316 ^a	0.265 ^a	0.284 ^{ab}	0.212	0.233	0.229 ^{abc}
1.25 BT + 0.50 BB	0.616 ^{bc}	0.325 ^a	0.248 ^{ab}	0.310^{a}	0.334	0.275	0.272^{a}
SEM	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.02
P value	<0.001	<0.001	0.047	0.025	0.121	0.255	0.001

Means in the same column within each classification bearing different letters are significantly ($P \le 0.05$) different. SEM: standard error mean.

MDA: malondialdehyde, GSH: glutathione, GPx: glutathione peroxidase, SOD: superoxide dismutase, GSR: glutathione reductase, GST: glutathione S-transferase, CAT: catalase.

reported that feeding *Bacillus subtilis* spores to quails resulted in better overall FCR than the control group. Also, Panda et al. (1999) reported a non-significant effect on chicks' feed conversion rate receiving probiotics. Results of carcass traits are partly in agreement with Omar (2014), who found that liver percentage of Cobb broiler chicks increased significantly (p < 0.05) with group fed dietary probiotic (*L. acidophilus*) as compared to untreated ones. Contrarily, (Abd El-Moneim et al., 2019) reported insignificant alteration in carcass yield and dressing percentages in Cobb broilers *in-ovo* inoculated with different doses from BB.

The highest digestive enzyme activities were observed in birds fed the combination between Bacillus and Bifidobacteria rather than those fed Bacillus alone.

Poultry health, performance, and nutrient retention are remarkably affected by gastrointestinal enzymes, essential for nutrient digestion. In the present study, duodenal lipolytic and amylolytic activities were increased in probiotic-treated groups, while proteolytic activity was not significantly altered. These results are in agreement with the finding of Wang and Gu (2010), Jin et al. (2000) and Abdel-Moneim et al. (in press-b). They reported that

Table 11 Influence of dietary BT and BB on ileal histomorphology of growing quail.

Treatments (ml/kg diet)	VH	VW	CD	MT	VH:CD
Control	506.3 ^f	68.52°	149.47ª	40.88 ^e	3.49 ^f
0.50 BT	560.5 ^{ef}	78.10 ^{bc}	139.47 ^{ab}	43.83 ^{de}	4.75 ^f
0.75 BT	640.6 ^{de}	84.29 ^{bc}	131.07 ^{abc}	48.57 ^{de}	5.67 ^{ef}
1.00 BT	729.4 ^{cd}	126.91 ^{abc}	88.64 ^{bcd}	52.47 ^{de}	8.25 ^{de}
1.25 BT	1197.3 ^a	204.86 ^a	62.45 ^d	117.38 ^a	19.16 ^a
1.00 BB	921.7 ^b	192.28 ^a	66.15 ^d	103.48 ^{ab}	13.94 ^b
0.50 BT + 0.50 BB	801.7 ^{bc}	104.96 ^{bc}	97.26 ^{abcd}	67.81 ^{cd}	8.47 ^{cde}
0.75 BT + 0.50 BB	748.6 ^{cd}	120.67 ^{abc}	94.85 ^{abcd}	64.73 ^{cde}	9.08 ^{cd}
1.00 BT + 0.50 BB	840.8 ^{bc}	156.45 ^{ab}	74.64 ^{cd}	78.73 ^c	11.33 ^{bc}
1.25 BT + 0.50 BB	817.1 ^{bc}	154.89 ^{abc}	65.92 ^d	82.94 ^{bc}	13.13 ^b
SEM	31.703	10.223	7.015	4.459	0.771
P value	< 0.001	0.007	0.008	< 0.001	< 0.001

Means in the same column within each classification bearing different letters are significantly ($P \le 0.05$) different. SFM: standard error mean

VH: Villus height, VW: Villus width, CD: Crypt depth, MT: Muscular thickness,.

treatment with probiotics (Bacillus or Lactobacillus) stimulated lipase and amylase activities. Contrarily, Rodjan et al. (2018) noticed insignificant changes in lipolytic, amylolytic, or proteolytic activities by administering probiotics.

Moreover, Zhang et al. (2016) reported that probiotic treatment did not affect protease activity. The current improvement in digestive enzymes' activity maybe because of the accumulative effect of exogenous enzymes secreted by probiotics and endogenous enzymes produced by the host. (Wang and Gu, 2010). Higher lipolytic, amylolytic activities improved starch and lipid digestion, which might explain the observed growth improvement in this study.

In the present study, probiotic supplementation generally, not altered serum concentrations of urea-N, uric acid and creatinine, increased total protein and albumin levels and decreased ALT and AST activities. In agreement with these results, Kasmani et al. (2012), Pourakbari et al. (2016) and Yazhini et al. (2018) noticed that probiotic treatments significantly increased serum proteins. Moreover, Kasmani et al. (2012) and Ahmed et al. (2015) are in line with our findings related to renal and hepatic function biomarkers. The inhibition exclusion mechanism could explain the elevation in serum total protein and albumin where lactic acid bacteria can improve utilization of dietary proteins via inhibiting the growth of pathogens that reduce degradation of proteins into nitrogen and improve the efficiency of dietary protein and increase nutrients absorption (Yazhini et al., 2018). Besides, the hepatoprotective effect of probiotics was reported by Rishi et al. (2009), who suggested that probiotic administration reduced

hepatic translocation of pathogenic bacteria, which decrease blood transaminase levels.

Hypolipidemic effect of probiotics used in the present study agrees with earlier findings by Aluwong et al. (2013a), Pourakbari et al. (2016), Yazhini et al. (2018), Abd El-Moneim and Sabic (2019), Abdel-Moneim et al. (in press-b). The reduction impact of probiotics on blood triglycerides and cholesterols might be attributed to probiotics ability to incorporate cholesterol into their cells, hydrolyze bile salts or inhibit the rate-limiting enzyme of cholesterogenesis, hydroxymethylglutaryl-CoA (Pourakbari et al., 2016). Maintaining blood glucose level might be due to the suppressive impact of probiotic bacteria on glucagon (Aluwong et al., 2013a), which decrease blood glucose. However, serum glucose homeostasis maintained by the high absorptive capacity due to histological changes (Rodjan et al., 2018) and higher amylolytic activity noticed in this study, which increases the nutrient available to the birds. Moreover, Bacillus dietary inclusion increased serum levels of thyroxine and triiodothyronine. This rise in thyroid hormone could be interpreted by probiotics' ability to activate the thyroid-stimulating hormone-releasing hormone from the hypothalamus, thus stimulating the release of thyroidstimulating hormone from the anterior pituitary gland (Abdel-Moneim et al., in press-b; Aluwong et al., 2013a). Furthermore, probiotics might enhance corticotrophin-releasing factor (CRF) activity, which promotes the secretion of thyrotropin and, hence. T4 secretion (Geris et al., 1996; Geris et al., 1999; Klieverik et al., 2009). Recently, Shini et al., (2020) found that feed conversion ratio probiotic of NE-H57 (Bacillus amyloliquefaciens) broilers was signif-

Table 12Effect of *Bacillus toyonensis* (BT) and *Bifidobacterium bifidum* (BB) on Caecal microflora (Log₁₀ CFU/g wet weight; total bacterial counts (TBC), total probiotic count (TPC), total Coliform count (TCC) *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* spp. in quail birds.

Treatments (ml/kg diet)	TBC	TPC	TCC	Escherichia coli	Salmonella spp.
Control	8.97ª	5.82ª	5.96 ^a	4.87ª	3.49 ^a
0.50 BT	8.56 ^{abcd}	7.52 ^b	5.53 ^{abc}	4.67 ^{ab}	2.85 ^{bc}
0.75 BT	8.68 ^{bc}	7.84 ^b	5.49 ^{abc}	4.37 ^{cd}	2.72 ^{bc}
1.00 BT	8.53 ^{bcd}	7.79 ^e	4.52 ^d	4.29 ^{de}	2.69^{c}
1.25 BT	8.58 ^{cd}	7.65 ^b	5.34 ^{bcd}	4.43 ^{bc}	2.57^{c}
1.00 BB	8.69 ^{ab}	7.65 ^b	5.83 ^{ab}	4.38 ^{cd}	2.59 ^c
0.50 BT + 0.50 BB	8.58 ^{cd}	7.88 ^{cd}	5.11 ^{acd}	4.43 ^{bc}	2.86 ^{bc}
0.75 BT + 0.50 BB	8.54 ^{cd}	7.69b ^c	5.17 ^{bcd}	4.14 ^e	2.63°
1.00 BT + 0.50 BB	8.49 ^d	7.49 ^d	4.75 ^{cd}	4.31 ^{cd}	2.49^{b}
1.25 BT + 0.50 BB	8.66 ^{ab}	7.68 ^{bc}	5.21 ^{bc}	4.09 ^{ef}	2.27 ^{bc}
SEM	8.58	7.46	5.59	0.05	0.07
P value	< 0.001	0.008	0.024	0.012	0.041

Means in the same column within each classification bearing different letters are significantly (P 0.05) different. SEM: standard error mean.

TBC: total bacterial counts, TPC: total probiotic count, TCC: total Coliform count.

icantly (p < 0.001) improved when compared to control birds (1.28 vs. 1.36, values), while there were no significant effects on BW and feed intake between control and H57 birds.

The present findings manifested the physiological role of probiotics to enhance Japanese quail's antioxidant system because its ability to produce certain substances captures reactive oxygen species, scavenge free radicals, and inhibit their cytotoxic activity (Lin and Yen, 1999). The findings of Aluwong et al. (2013b), Popović et al. (2015), Abudabos et al. (2016) are in close agreement with our results.

The development of ileum histomorphology was well presented in groups supplemented with BT and BB strains in the current study (Table 9). The better development of ileum and greater villus height are intestinal villi activity indicators and associated with better digestive enzyme activities and absorption of nutrients leading to better growth performance (Ebeid et al., 2019). However, large crypt depth can lead to poor nutrient absorption and lowperformance sequences for the broiler chicks (Abd El-Moneim et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2003). The present study results are in agreement with (Abd El-Moneim, 2017; Abd El-Moneim et al., 2019). They reported that *in-ovo* inoculation of *Bifidobacterium longum* and *B. bifidum* was connected with increased villi height in hatched chicks. Furthermore, Olnood et al. (2015) and Abdel-Moneim et al. (2019a) reported a significant increase in villus length and villus length/crypt depth ratio in probiotic administrated groups.

Pathogenic bacteria (e.g., Salmonella, Shigella, E.coli, and Listeria) found when food chains (egg, meat, milk, etc..) contaminated with undesirable microbes and they could be a concern (U.S. FDA, 2016). Probiotic bacteria are defined as "live bacteria which when administered in adequate amounts confer a health benefit on the host" by WHO and FAO. Among the many probiotic bacteria, Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium spp. are known as autochthonous microbiota in the human and animal intestinal tract. Intestinal mucus and epithelial cells are predominantly susceptible to the attachment of pathogenic microorganisms resulting in active proliferation and colonization. This microbial adhesion is critical for the beginning of pathogen and epithelial cell interaction (Ribet and Cossart, 2015). Consequently, avoiding bacterial adhesion onto the gastrointestinal mucosa is regarded as an efficient approach for decreasing the risk of foodborne disease (Thöle et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2015). Our finding showed that Bacillus or probiotic bacteria produce antimicrobial component and the main active components are acids such as lactic acid, which exhibit a high degree of anti-bacterial and anti-fungal activities. Serafini et al. (2013) observed inhibitory properties of B. bifidum against pathogenic bacteria (i.e., Escherichia coli and Cronobacter sakazakii) regarding enteric adaptation properties using epithelial intestinal cell monolayers (i.e., Caco-2 and HT-29). The lactic acid bacteria have been used in various functional foods for centuries. The major functional effects that are provided by probiotics are: (i) production of antimicrobial peptides (i.e., bacteriocins) (Underwood et al., 2012; Martinez et al., 2013; Mandal et al., 2014); (ii) assimilation of dietary fibers (Slavin, 2013); (iii) regulation of fat storage (Aronsson et al., 2010; DiBaise et al., 2012); (iv) modulation of mucosal immunity (Hardy et al., 2013); and (v) regulation of gut flora via competitive exclusion of pathogenic bacteria resulting in decreased pathogen colonization(Yu et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2014; Lim, 2014). Among the five key functional effects of probiotics, attachment of probiotic bacteria onto the mucosal surface of the gastrointestinal tract is regarded as essential for the competitive exclusion of pathogens and must occur before effective regulation of immune activities, resulting in protective function against intestinal pathogens (Lebeer et al., 2010; Van Tassell and Miller, 2011). The cell adhesion stage of probiotics onto colon cells is

essential for the successful microbial colonization inside of the host's intestinal tract. This cell adhesion ability has been regarded as one of the critical screening standards for active probiotic strains (Duary et al., 2011) since adhesion is necessary to actively proliferate and provide a resistance to excretion from the intestinal tract as waste by peristalsis. Mechanisms of bacterial adhesion onto epithelial cell can be divided into: (i) non-specific adhesion when regarding physicochemical factors of outer cell surfaces; or (ii) specific adhesion when considering the expression of specific molecules onto the microbial membranes that directly attach to the binding sites of epithelial cell mucosal surfaces (Lebeer et al., 2010; Van Tassell and Miller, 2011; Duary et al., 2011). This adhesion ability is a function of hydrophobic properties, level of ions, pH, and physical morphology (Polak-Berecka et al., 2014). These factors considerably affect microbial adhesion onto intestinal tissues of the host, demonstrating the complexity of preliminary microbial adhesion onto the mucosal surface. According to Krasowska and Sigler (2014), microbial hydrophobicity plays a key role in the initial interaction with the mucosal surface and epithelial cells of the intestinal lining due to the chemical composition of the bacterial surfaces. The physicochemical characteristics of the microbial outer membrane are generally estimated by analysis of cell surface hydrophobicity. It has been proven that microorganisms that express higher hydrophobicity more effectively attach onto the colon cells compared to hydrophilic microbial strains (Van Tassell and Miller, 2011; Duary et al., 2011). High cost and complexity of in vivo models encouraged attention into the use of an in vitro system for the initial selection and screening of potentially adherent probiotic microorganisms. Microorganisms that express high adhesive activity to inanimate surfaces (e.g., hydrocarbon surface) or non-polar solvents are considered hydrophobic, and cells that express lower adhesive activity are considered hydrophilic (Duary et al., 2011; Krasowska and Sigler, 2014). Pelletier et al. (1997) reported that the existence of proteinaceous components on the microbial outer layer cause higher hydrophobicity, while hydrophilic properties are related to the existence of polysaccharides in the cell wall structure.

5. Conclusion

From the obtained results, it could be concluded that increasing the supplemental level of BT to the Japanese quail diet enhanced growth performance, digestive enzyme activities, antioxidant status, and ileal histomorphometry and reduced blood cholesterols levels of growing Japanese quail. Dietary incorporation of BT and BB mixture enhanced the improving impact of dietary BT alone.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgments

The authors appreciate and thank Taif University, Saudi Arabia, for financial support through its Researchers Supporting Project (TURSP-2020-105).

Funding

The current work was funded by Taif University, Saudi Arabia, for financial support through its Researchers Supporting Project (TURSP-2020-105).

References

- Abd El-Moneim, E.A., El-Wardany, I., Abu-Taleb, A.M., Wakwak, M.M., Ebeid, T.A., Saleh, A.A., 2019. Assessment of in ovo administration of *Bifidobacterium bifidum* and *Bifidobacterium longum* on performance, ileal histomorphometry, blood hematological, and biochemical parameters of broilers. Probiotics Antimicrobial Proteins 12 (2), 439–450. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12602-019-09549-2.
- Abd El-Moneim, A.E., Sabic, E.M., 2019. Beneficial effect of feeding olive pulp and *Aspergillus awamori* on productive performance, egg quality, serum/yolk cholesterol and oxidative status in laying Japanese qualis. J. Animal Feed Sci. 28, 52–61. https://doi.org/10.22358/jafs/105537/2019.
- Abd El-Moneim, E.A., 2017. Influence of in ovo injection with an effective bacterial preparation (*Bifidobacterium spp.*) on some productive and physiological traits in poultry PhD Doctoral dissertation. Ain Shams University, Faculty of Agriculture.
- Abd El-Hack, M.E.A., Samak, D.H., Noreldin, A.E., El-Naggar, K., Abdo, M., 2018. Probiotics and plant-derived compounds as eco-friendly agents to inhibit microbial toxins in poultry feed: a comprehensive review. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 25, 31971–31986.
- Abd El-Hack, M., Mahgoub, S., Alagawany, M., Ashour, E., 2017. Improving productive performance and mitigating harmful emissions from laying hen excreta via feeding on graded levels of corn DDGS with or without *Bacillus subtilis* probiotic. J. Animal Physiol. Animal Nutr. 101, 904–913.
 Abdel-Moneim, A.E., Elbaz, A.M., Khidr, R.E., Badri, F.B., in press-a. Effect of in ovo
- Abdel-Moneim, A.E., Elbaz, A.M., Khidr, R.E., Badri, F.B., in press-a. Effect of in ovo inoculation of Bifidobacterium spp. on growth performance, thyroid activity, ileum histomorphometry and microbial enumeration of broilers. Probiotics Antimicrobial Proteins. in press.
- Abdel-Moneim, A.E., Selim, D.A., Basuony, H.A., Sabic, E.M., Saleh, A.A., Ebeid, T.A., in press-b. Effect of dietary supplementation of *Bacillus subtilis* spores on growth performance, oxidative status and digestive enzyme activities in Japanese quail birds. Tropical Animal Health Prod. in press.
- Abou-Kassem, D., El-Kholy, M., Alagawany, M., Laudadio, V., Tufarelli, V., 2018. Age and sex-related differences in performance, carcass traits, hemato-biochemical parameters, and meat quality in Japanese quails. Poult. Sci. 98, 1684–1691.
- Abramowicz, K., Krauze, M., Ognik, K., 2019. The effect of a probiotic preparation containing *Bacillus suntilis* pb6 in The diet of chickens on redox and biochemical parameTers in Their blood. Ann. Animal Sci. 19, 433–451.
- Abudabos, A., Alyemni, A., Zakaria, H., 2016. Effect of two strains of probiotics on the antioxidant capacity, oxidative stress, and immune responses of salmonella-challenged broilers. Revista Brasileira de Ciência Avícola 18, 175–180.
- Ahmed, H.A., Abou-Elkhair, R., Ketkat, S.A., Selim, S., 2015. Growth and economic performance of broiler chickens fed on graded levels of canola meal with or without multi-enzyme supplementation. J. Agric. Sci. 7, 137.
- Alagawany, M., El-Hack, M.E.A., Arif, M., Ashour, E.A., 2016. Individual and combined effects of crude protein, methionine, and probiotic levels on laying hen productive performance and nitrogen pollution in the manure. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 23, 22906–22913.
- Alagawany, M., Abd El-Hack, M.E., Farag, M.R., Sachan, S., Karthik, K., Dhama, K., 2018. The use of probiotics as eco-friendly alternatives for antibiotics in poultry nutrition. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 25, 10611–10618.
- Aronsson, L., Huang, Y., Parini, P., Korach-André, M., Håkansson, J., Gustafsson, J., Pettersson, S., Arulampalam, V., Rafter, J., 2010;5:1544. Decreased fat storage by *Lactobacillus paracasei* is associated with increased levels of angiopoietin-like 4 protein (ANGPTL4). PLoS ONE 5, 1544.
- Aluwong, T., Hassan, F., Dzenda, T., Kawu, M., Ayo, J., 2013a. Effect of different levels of supplemental yeast on body weight, thyroid hormone metabolism and lipid profile of broiler chickens. J. Vet. Med. Sci. 75, 291–298.
- Aluwong, T., Kawu, M., Raji, M., Dzenda, T., Govwang, F., Sinkalu, V., Ayo, J., 2013b. Effect of yeast probiotic on growth, antioxidant enzyme activities and malondialdehyde concentration of broiler chickens. Antioxidants 2, 326–339
- 326–339.

 Applegate, T., Klose, V., Steiner, T., Ganner, A., Schatzmayr, G., 2010. Probiotics and phytogenics for poultry: Myth or reality? J. Appl. Poult. Res. 19, 194–210.
- Boutwell, J.H., 1962. Clinical Chemistry. Laboratory Manual and Methods. J. Med. Educ. 37 (158).
- Chen, Y., Son, K., Min, B., Cho, J., Kwon, O., Kim, I., 2005. Effects of dietary probiotic on growth performance, nutrients digestibility, blood characteristics and fecal noxious gas content in growing pigs. Asian-australasian J. Animal Sci. 18, 1464–1468.
- Coles, E., 1986. Veterinary clinical Pathology 4th ed WB Saunders company Philadelphia. London, Toronto, Mexico, Riodejenario, Sydney, Tokyo & Hong Kong, pp. 136–170.
- Dankowiakowska, A., Kozłowska, I., Bednarczyk, M., 2013. Probiotics, prebiotics and snybiotics in Poultry-mode of action, limitation, and achievements. J. Central European Agric. 14, 467–478.
- DiBaise, J.K., Frank, D.N., Mathur, R., 2012. Impact of the gut microbiota on the development of obesity: current concepts. Am. J. Gastroenterol. Suppl. 1, 22–27
- Duary, R.K., Rajput, Y.S., Batish, V.K., Grover, S., 2011. Assessing the adhesion of putative indigenous probiotic lactobacilli to human colonic epithelial cells. Indian J. Med. Res. 134, 664–671.
- Ebeid, T., Fathi, M., Al-Homidan, I., Ibrahim, Z., Al-Sagan, A., 2019. Effect of dietary probiotics and stocking density on carcass traits, meat quality, microbial populations and ileal histomorphology in broilers under hot-climate conditions. Animal Prod. Sci. 59 (9), 1711–1719. https://doi.org/10.1071/AN18353.

- Estrada, A., Wilkie, D., Drew, M., 2001. Administration of *Bifidobacterium bifidum* to chicken broilers reduces the number of carcass condemnations for cellulitis at the abattoir. J. Appl. Poult. Res. 10, 329–334.
- Geris, K., Kotanen, S., Berghman, L., Kühn, E., Darras, V., 1996. Evidence of a thyrotropin-releasing activity of ovine corticotropin-releasing factor in the domestic fowl (*Gallus domesticus*). Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 104, 139–146.
- Geris, K., Laheye, A., Berghman, L., Kühn, E., Darras, V., 1999. Adrenal inhibition of corticotropin-releasing hormone-induced thyrotropin release: a comparative study in pre-and posthatch chicks. J. Exp. Zool. 284, 776–782.
- Jin, L., Ho, Y., Abdullah, N., Jalaludin, S., 2000. Digestive and bacterial enzyme activities in broilers fed diets supplemented with Lactobacillus cultures. Poult. Sci. 79, 886–891.
- Hardy, H., Harris, J., Lyon, E., Beal, J., Foey, A., 2013. Probiotics, prebiotics and immunomodulation of gut mucosal defences: Homeostasis and immunopathology. Nutrients. 5, 1869–1912.
- Kantas, D., Papatsiros, V., Tassis, P., Giavasis, I., Bouki, P., Tzika, E., 2015. A feed additive containing *Bacillus toyonensis* (Toyocerin®) protects against enteric pathogens in postweaning piglets. J. Appl. Microbiol. 118, 727–738.
- Kasmani, B., Karimi Torshizi, M., Allameh, A., Shariatmadari, F., 2012. A novel aflatoxin-binding Bacillus probiotic: performance, serum biochemistry, and immunological parameters in Japanese quail. Poult. Sci. 91, 1846–1853.
- Kim, B.J., Hong, J.H., Jeong, Y.S., Jung, H.K., 2014. Evaluation of two Bacillus subtilis strains isolated from Korean fermented food as probiotics against loperamideinduced constipation in mice. J. Korean Soc. Appl. Biol. Chem. 57, 797–806.
- Kim, J.K., Shin, E.C., Park, H.G., 2015. Fructooligosaccharides decreased the ability of probiotic *Escherichia coli* Nissle 1917 to adhere to co-cultures of human intestinal cell lines. J. Korean Soc. Appl. Biol. Chem. 58, 45–52.
- Klieverik, L.P., Janssen, S.F., van Riel, A., Foppen, E., Bisschop, P.H., Serlie, M.J., Boelen, A., Ackermans, M.T., Sauerwein, H.P., Fliers, E., 2009. Thyroid hormone modulates glucose production via a sympathetic pathway from the hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus to the liver. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 106, 5966–5971.
- Krasowska, A., Sigler, K., 2014. How microorganisms use hydrophobicity and what does this mean for human needs?. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 4, 112.
- Lebeer, S., Vanderleyden, J., De Keersmaecker, S.C., 2010. Host interactions of probiotic bacterial surface molecules: Comparison with commensals and pathogens. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 8, 171–184.
- Lim, S.M., 2014. Anti-helicobacter pylori activity of antimicrobial substances produced by lactic acid bacteria isolated from Baikkimchi. J. Korean Soc. Appl. Biol. Chem. 57, 621–630.
- Lin, M.-Y., Yen, C.-L., 1999. Antioxidative ability of lactic acid bacteria. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 47, 1460–1466.
- Lodemann, U., Lorenz, B.M., Weyrauch, K.D., Martens, H., 2008. Effects of *Bacillus cereus* var. toyoi as probiotic feed supplement on intestinal transport and barrier function in piglets. Arch. Anim. Nutr. 62, 87–106.
- Lowry, O.H., Rosebrough, N.J., Farr, A.L., Randall, R.J., 1951. Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. J. Biol. Chem. 193, 265–275.
- Mahrose, K.M., Abd El-Hack, M.E., Mahgoub, S.A., Attia, F.A., 2019. Influences of stocking density and dietary probiotic supplementation on growing Japanese quail performance. Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências 91.
- Mandal, S.M., Silva, O.N., Franco, O.L., 2014. Recombinant probiotics with antimicrobial peptides: a dual strategy to improve immune response in immunocompromised patients. Drug Discov. Today. 19, 1045–1050.
- Martinez, F.A., Balciunas, E.M., Converti, A., Cotter, P.D., de Souza, O.R.P., 2013. Bacteriocin production by Bifidobacterium spp: a review. Biotechnol. Adv. 31, 482–488.
- NRC, 1994. Nutrition Requirements of Poultry. National Academy Press, Washington, DC.
- Olnood, C.G., Beski, S.S., Choct, M., Iji, P.A., 2015. Novel probiotics: Their effects on growth performance, gut development, microbial community and activity of broiler chickens. Animal Nutr. 1, 184–191.
- Omar, M.A., 2014. Economic evaluation of probiotic (*Lactobacillus acidophilus*) using in different broiler breeds within Egypt. Benha Vet. Med. J. 26, 52–60. Panda, A., Ramarao, S.V., Reddy, M.R., Prharaj, N.K., 1999. Effect of dietary inclusion
- of probiotic on growth, carcass traits and immune response in broilers. Indian J. Poultry Sci. 34, 343–346.
- Pelletier, C., Bouley, C., Cayuela, C., Bouttier, S., Bourlioux, P., Bellon-Fontaine, M., 1997. Cell surface characteristics of *Lactobacillus casei* subsp. *casei*, *Lactobacillus paracasei* subsp. *paracasei*, and *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* strains. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 63, 1725–1731.
- Polak-Berecka, M., Waśko, A., Paduch, R., Skrzypek, T., Sroka-Bartnicka, A., 2014. The effect of cell surface components on adhesion ability of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus*. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 106, 751–762.
- Popović, S.J., Kostadinović, L.M., Puvača, N.M., Lević, J.D., Đuragić, O.M., Kokić, B.M., Čabarkapa, I.S., Vranješ, M.V., 2015. Effect of synbiotic on growth and antioxidant status of blood in broiler chicken. Food Feed Res. 42, 163–169.
- Pourakbari, M., Seidavi, A., Asadpour, L., Martínez, A., 2016. Probiotic level effects on growth performance, carcass traits, blood parameters, cecal microbiota, and immune response of broilers. Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências 88, 1011–1021.
- Premavalli, K., Sangilimadan, K., Omprakash, A., 2018. Effect of supplementation of multi-species probiotic on production performance of Japanese quail. Inter. J. Chem. Stud. 6, 2164–2166.
- Ribet, D., Cossart, P., 2015. How bacterial pathogens colonize their hosts and invade deeper tissues. Microbes Infect. 17, 173–183. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. micinf.2015.01.004.

- Rishi, P., Mavi, S.K., Bharrhan, S., Shukla, G., Tewari, R., 2009. Protective efficacy of probiotic alone or in conjunction with a prebiotic in Salmonella-induced liver damage. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 69, 222–230.
- Rodjan, P., Soisuwan, K., Thongprajukaew, K., Theapparat, Y., Khongthong, S., Jeenkeawpieam, J., Salaeharae, T., 2018. Effect of organic acids or probiotics alone or in combination on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, enzyme activities, intestinal morphology and gut microflora in broiler chickens. J. Animal Physiol. Animal Nutr. 102, e931–e940.
- Roos, T.B., de Moraes, C.M., Sturbelle, R.T., Dummer, L.A., Fischer, G., Leite, F.P.L., 2018. Probiotics *Bacillus toyonensis* and Saccharomyces boulardii improve the vaccine immune response to Bovine herpesvirus type 5 in sheep. Res. Vet. Sci. 117, 260–265.
- Serafini, F., Strati, F., Ruas-Madiedo, P., Turroni, F., Foroni, E., Duranti, S., Milano, F., Perotti, A., Viappiani, A., Guglielmetti, S., et al., 2013. Evaluation of adhesion properties and antibacterial activities of the infant gut commensal *Bifidobacterium bifidum* PRL2010. Anaerobe. 21, 9–17.
- Seyyedin, S., Nazem, M.N., 2017. Histomorphometric study of the effect of methionine on small intestine parameters in rat: an applied histologic study. Folia morphologica 76, 620–629.
- Shah, N.P., Dave, R., 2002. Antimicrobial substances including bacteriocins produced by lactic acid bacteria. Bioscience Microflora 21, 217–223.
- Shini, S., Zhang, D., Aland, R.C., Li, X., Dart, P.J., Callaghan, M.J., Speight, R.E., Bryden, W.L., 2020. Probiotic *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* H57 ameliorates subclinical necrotic enteritis in broiler chicks by maintaining intestinal mucosal integrity and improving feed efficiency. Poult. Sci. 99, 4278–4293.
- Slavin, J., 2013. Fiber and prebiotics: Mechanisms and health benefits. Nutrients. 5, 1417–1435.
- Thöle, C., Brandt, S., Ahmed, N., Hensel, A., 2015. Acetylated rhamnogalacturonans from immature fruits of *Abelmoschus esculentus* inhibit the adhesion of *Helicobacter pylori* to human gastric cells by interaction with outer membrane proteins. Molecules 20, 16770–16787.

- Touré, R., Kheadr, E., Lacroix, C., Moroni, O., Fliss, I., 2003. Production of antibacterial substances by bifidobacterial isolates from infant stool active against Listeria monocytogenes. J. Appl. Microbiol. 95, 1058–1069.
- U.S. FDA. Food and Drug Administration, Bad Bug Book (Second Edition) [(accessed on 30 June 2016)]; Available online: http://www.fda.gov/Food/FoodbornelllnessContaminants/CausesOflllnessBadBugBook.
- Underwood, M., Kananurak, A., Coursodon, C., Adkins-Reick, C., Chu, H., Bennett, S., Wehkamp, J., Castillo, P., Leonard, B., Tancredi, D., et al., 2012. *Bifidobacterium bifidum* in a rat model of necrotizing enterocolitis: Antimicrobial peptide and protein responses. Pediatr. Res. 71, 546–551.
- Van Tassell, M., Miller, M., 2011. Lactobacillus adhesion to mucus. Nutrients 3, 613–636.
- Wang, Y., Gu, Q., 2010. Effect of probiotic on growth performance and digestive enzyme activity of Arbor Acres broilers. Res. Vet. Sci. 89, 163–167.
- Williams, L.D., Burdock, G.A., Jiménez, G., Castillo, M., 2009. Literature review on the safety of Toyocerin®, a non-toxigenic and non-pathogenic *Bacillus cereus* var. toyoi preparation. Regul. Toxicol. Pharm. 55, 236–246.
- Xu, Z., Hu, C., Xia, M., Zhan, X., Wang, M., 2003. Effects of dietary fructooligosaccharide on digestive enzyme activities, intestinal microflora and morphology of male broilers. Poult. Sci. 82, 1030–1036.
- Yazhini, P., Visha, P., Selvaraj, P., Vasanthakumar, P., Chandran, V., 2018. Dietary encapsulated probiotic effect on broiler serum biochemical parameters. Veterinary World 11, 1344.
- Yu, Q., Wang, Z., Yang, Q., 2010. Ability of *Lactobacillus* to inhibit enteric pathogenic bacteria adhesion on Caco-2 cells. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol 27, 881–886.
- Zhang, L., Zhang, L., Zeng, X., Zhou, L., Cao, G., Yang, C., 2016. Effects of dietary supplementation of probiotic, *Clostridium butyricum*, on growth performance, immune response, intestinal barrier function, and digestive enzyme activity in broiler chickens challenged with Escherichia coli K88. J. Anim. Sci. Biotechnol. 7. 3.