Medicine

Variants in the 8q24 region associated with risk of breast cancer

Systematic research synopsis and meta-analysis

Xuedong Wang, MD^a, Xian He, MD^a, Hui Guo, MD^{a,b,*}, Yu Tong, PhD^{b,*}

Abstract

Breast cancer is a molecularly heterogeneous disorder associated with high lethal malignant tumors among women worldwide. Genetic factors play an important role in breast cancer development. Several single nucleotide polymorphisms in the 8q24 region associated with risk of breast cancer have been identified. Fifteen studies including 32,955 cases and 43,716 controls were collected to conduct a meta-analysis to evaluate the associations between variants in 8q24 region and risk of breast cancer. Our study showed that only rs13281615 is associated with breast cancer risk in this large-scale research synopsis and meta-analysis. Further studies are needed to explore the role of the 8q24 variants in the development of breast cancer.

Abbreviations: HWE = Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, SNPs = single nucleotide polymorphisms.

Keywords: 8q24, breast cancer, genetic variant, meta-analysis, susceptibility

1. Introduction

Breast cancer is a molecularly heterogeneous disorder associated with high lethal malignant tumors among women worldwide.^[1,2] Many kinds of dangerous factors, such as the genetic, lifestyle, ethnicity, environment, region, et al. are related to breast cancer.^[3] Several studies have reported that the genetic factors related to breast cancer, genetic factors play an important role in breast cancer development, including BRCA1, BRCA2.^[4,5] However, mechanisms of these associations remain unclear.

Multiple single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) within 8q24 region have been identified by Genome Wide Association Studies that are linked to susceptibility for different types of cancer, including breast cancer,^[6] colorectal cancer^[7] and prostate cancer,^[8] and so on. The susceptibility region of 8q24 actually lack of protein-coding genes, therefore it was described as a gene-

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81401238), grants from the Science and Technology Bureau of Sichuan province (2016JY0028, 18ZDYF1970), Grant from Science and Technology Bureau of Chengdu (2018-YF05–01155-SN).

^a Key Laboratory of Birth Defects and Related Diseases of Women and Children (Sichuan University), Ministry of Education, ^b Department of Pediatrics, West China Second University Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan Province, China.

* Correspondence: Yu Tong, Hui Guo, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan Province, China (e-mail: yutong@scu.edu.cn, 491162470@qq.com).

Copyright © 2020 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Wang X, He X, Guo H, Tong Y. Variants in the 8q24 region associated with risk of breast cancer: Systematic research synopsis and meta-analysis. Medicine 2020;99:8(e19217).

Received: 27 September 2019 / Received in final form: 12 January 2020 / Accepted: 20 January 2020

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000019217

desert. However, these risk alleles in 8q24 region may alter MYC oncogene expression. In 2007, Easton and his colleagues firstly identified a significant association between rs13281615 in 8q24 region and breast cancer.^[9] Then several SNPs in the 8q24 region associated with risk of breast cancer have been identified.^[6] The rs6983267, associated with both prostate and colorectal cancer,^[10,11] was also found to be associated with breast cancer risk.^[12] However, other studies reported inconsistent results in different populations.^[8] Recently, rs1562430, rs445114 were examined for their association with breast cancer risk, and significant association was found.^[13]

Here we performed a comprehensive meta-analysis, involving a total of 32,955 cases and 43,716 controls, to evaluate all genetic studies that investigated associations between fifteen variants in 8q24 and risk of breast cancer.

2. Methods

All methods were based on guidelines proposed by the Human Genome Epidemiology Network for systematic review of genetic association studies and followed the guidelines of Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses.

2.1. Search strategy and selection criteria

We systematically searched PubMed and Embase to identify genetic studies of breast cancer associated with the 8q24 variants published in print or online before December 20th, 2018 in English language using key terms "8q24" and "variant or polymorphism or genotype" and "breast cancer or breast carcinoma or breast tumor". The eligibility of each study was assessed by 2 investigators independently (Xuedong Wang and Xian He), and disagreement was settled through discussion with principal investigator (Yu Tong). Data from each of the eligible publications included first author, publishing year, genes, variants, study design, source population, methods of case and control selection, sample size of cases and controls, minor and

Editor: Jimmy T. Efird.

major alleles, genotype and allele counts of cases and controls. The articles included in the meta-analysis must meet the following inclusion criteria:

- (1) evaluating the associations of genetic variants in the 8q24 with risk of breast cancer;
- (2) providing age-adjusted or multivariate-adjusted risk estimates (eg hazard ratios, relative risks, odds ratios (ORs), 95% confidence intervals (CIs) or sufficient data to calculate these estimates.

Studies were excluded when:

- (1) they were not published as full reports, such as conference abstracts and letters to editors;
- (2) they lacked sufficient information, such as source population, methods of case, and control selection, sample size of cases and controls, minor and major alleles, genotype and allele counts of cases and controls;
- (3) they were studies of cancer mortality (rather than incidence).

2.2. Data extraction

Data were extracted by 1 investigator (Xuedong Wang and Xian He), who used recommended guidelines for reporting on metaanalyses of observational studies. Data extracted from each eligible publication included first author, publishing year, study design, method of case selection, source population, ethnicity of participants, sample size, variants, major and minor alleles, genotype counts for cases and controls, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) among controls. Ethnicity was classified as African (African descent), Asian (East Asian descent), Caucasian (European descent), or other (including Native Hawaiians, Latinos, etc) based on the ethnicity of at least 80% of the study population. In total, 15 eligible publications had sufficient data available for extraction and inclusion in meta-analyses. Data was collected from published paper, this study did not need approval from Ethics committee approval.

2.3. Statistical analysis and assessment of cumulative evidence

The odds ratio was used as the metric of choice for each study. To detect overall genetic associations, allele frequencies were computed for studies reporting allele and genotype data. Pooled odds ratios were computed by the fixed effects model and the random effects model based on heterogeneity estimates. Once an overall gene effect was confirmed, the genetic effects and mode of inheritance were estimated using the genetic model-free approach suggested by Minelli et al.^[14] We performed Cochran Q test and calculated I^2 statistic to evaluate heterogeneity between studies. I^2 values >50% represent large heterogeneity, values 25% to 50% represent moderate heterogeneity, values <25% represent no or little heterogeneity. Sensitivity analyses were conducted to examine if the significant association would be lost when the first published report was excluded, or studies deviated from HWE in controls were excluded. Harbord test was performed to evaluate publication bias. Small study bias was calculated by Egger test. We conducted a sensitivity analysis for variants with a significant association to test if the association would be abolished by excluding a single dataset successively, or the first positive (or the first published) report, or studies which violated HWE in controls. All analyses were conducted using Stata, version 14.0 (StataCorp, 2017), with the metan, metabias, metacum, and metareg commands.

3. Results

3.1. Eligible studies

Our initial database search identified 182 potentially relevant studies. Based on a review of titles and abstracts, 81 articles were retained. The full text of these 81 articles was reviewed in detail, and 15 studies were eligible for inclusion in the meta-analysis (Table 1). The specific process for identifying eligible studies and inclusion and exclusion criteria^[6,15–25] are summarized in Figure 1.

Table 1

Characteristics of the included articles.															
		Country/region	Ethnicity	Variant	Cases/ controls	Genotype (cases)			Genotype (controls)			Allele (cases)		Allele (controls)	
Study, yr	Study design					AA1 AB	AB1	BB1	AA0	AB0	BBO	A1	B1	A0	BO
Yu Zhang, 2014 ^[27]	Hospital-based case- control study	China	Asian	rs13281615	482/527	91	248	143	120	283	124	0.45	0.55	0.50	0.50
				rs6983267	478/522	151	218	109	183	233	106	0.54	0.46	0.57	0.43
Jingxuan Shan, 2012 ^[28]	Case-control study	Tunisia	Caucasian	rs13281615	629/365	133	297	199	93	176	96	0.45	0.55	0.50	0.50
Olivia Fletcher, 2008 ^[29]	Case-control study	United Kingdom	Caucasian	rs13281615	1470/1341	435	730	305	487	629	225	0.54	0.46	0.60	0.40
				rs6983267	1480/1336	408	734	338	371	653	312	0.52	0.48	0.52	0.48
Dominika Wokołorczyk, 2008 ^[10]	Case-control study	Poland	Caucasian	rs6983267	1006/1910	254	507	245	513	977	420	0.50	0.50	0.52	0.48
Huiying Liang, 2016 ^[30]	Case-control study	China	Asian	rs1562430	609/879	415	165	29	594	254	31	0.82	0.18	0.82	0.18
Valentina Silvestri, 2015 ^[13]	Case-control study	Rome	Caucasian	rs1562430	386/908	172	173	41	319	427	162	0.67	0.33	0.59	0.41
Isabel Elematore, 2014 ^[31]	Case-control study	Chile	Caucasian	rs13281615	347/801	68	148	131	152	394	255	0.41	0.59	0.44	0.56
Sharon N Teraoka, 2011 ^[32]	Population-based, nested case-control study	Denmark and USA	Caucasian	rs13281615	705/1390	201	338	166	420	720	250	0.52	0.48	0.56	0.44
Daniele Campa, 2011 ^[33]	Cohort study	Multiple countries	Caucasian	rs13281615	8302/11615	2494	4044	1764	3813	5609	2193	0.54	0.46	0.57	0.43
Jirong Long, 2010 ^[34]	Population-based case-control study	China	Asian	rs13281615	2945/2981							0.48	0.52	0.50	0.50
Montserrat Garcia-Closas, 2008[35]	Cohort study	Multiple countries	Asian	rs13281615	14098/19314	4608	6785	2705	6794	9335	3185	0.57	0.43	0.59	0.41
Tatiana V. Gorodnova, 2010 ^[36]	Case-control study	Russia	Caucasian	rs13281615	140/174	35	63	42	52	84	38	0.48	0.53	0.54	0.46
Ayse Latif, 2014 ^[37]	Case-control study	United Kingdom	Caucasian	rs13281615	693/343	200	343	150	127	160	56	0.54	0.46	0.60	0.40
Taeko Mizoo, 2013 ^[38]	Case-control study	Japan	Asian	rs1562430	472/457	369	96	7	351	102	4	0.88	0.12	0.88	0.12
Rulla M. Tamimi, 2010 ^[39]	Population-based, nested	Sweden	Caucasian	rs13281615	661/711	175	263	223	161	277	273	0.46	0.54	0.42	0.58



Figure 1. Flow diagram of included and excluded studies.

3.2. Allelic associations

Of the 4 variants located in 8q24, only rs13281615 A>G was significantly associated with risk of breast cancer. No significant associations were found between rs445114, rs6983267, rs1562430 and breast cancer (Table 2).

Eleven studies were included to evaluate the association between the rs13281615 and the risk of breast cancer (Table 1), and a significant association with risk of breast cancer was found ($P=3.98 \times 10^{-7}$, random effect OR=1.13, 95% CI: 1.08, 1.18; Q=24.34, P=.007, $I^2=58.9\%$, Fig. 2A). Similar pattern were observed for both Asians ($P=2.88 \times 10^{-13}$, random effect OR=1.11, 95% CI: 1.08, 1.14; Q=1.63, P=.443, $I^2=0.0\%$) and Caucasians (P=.002, random effect OR=1.14, 95\% CI: 1.05, 1.24; Q=22.50, P=.002, $I^2=68.9\%$). No publication bias was found in the eligible studies (Harbord test P=.503, Table 2).

3.3. Genotype comparison

All 11 studies reported genotype information of rs13281615. The genotype effects for GG versus AA (OR1, Fig. 2B) and AG versus AA (OR2, Fig. 2C) were calculated for each study. A multivariate

meta-analysis was conducted to estimate the pooled risk (Table 2). There was a significantly increased risk of breast cancer among individuals with the homozygous GG genotype ($\underline{P}=1.94 \times 10^{-6}$, random effect OR1=1.28, 95% CI: 1.16, 1.42; $Q=22.06, \underline{P}=.009, I^2=59.2\%$) and heterozygous AG genotype (P=.004, random effect OR2=1.09, 95% CI: 1.03, 1.16; $Q=13.1, P=.158, I^2=31.3\%$).

3.4. Sensitivity analysis

Excluding a single dataset (P=.492), or the first published report (P=.499, Table 2), or studies which violated HWE in controls (P=.499) did not affect the pooled OR significantly. Sensitivity analysis for the results of 8q24 variants and breast cancer risk demonstrated that the obtained results were statistically robust and no individual study affected the pooled OR significantly (P=.499, Table 2).

4. Discussion

To our knowledge, this study is the largest and most comprehensive and newest evaluation of literatures on associ-

Table 2

Details of genetic variants	associated with bre	east cancer in meta-analyses.
-----------------------------	---------------------	-------------------------------

Setails of genetic variants associated with breast cancer in meta-analyses.												
	Breast cancer risk		Initial study influence					Genotype cancer risk				
	OR		OR		Deviation	P value for	P value for small	OR1		0R2		
Variants	(95% CI)	P value	(95% CI)	P value	from HWE	publication bias	study bias	(95% CI)	P value	(95% CI)	P value	
rs13281615	1.13 (1.08, 1.18)	3.98×10^{-7}	1.11 (1.06–1.17)	5.36×10^{-6}	No	.503	.499	1.28 (1.16, 1.42)	$1.94 imes10^{-6}$	1.09 (1.03, 1.16)	.004	
rs6983267	1.05 (0.98, 1.13)	.171	/	/	No	.539	.539	1.10 (0.96, 1.26)	0.179	1.05 (0.94, 1.18)	.407	
rs1562430	0.88 (0.68, 1.14)	.321	/	/	No	.707	.674	0.93 (0.39, 2.21)	0.866	0.86 (0.74, 1.00)	.043	

CI = confidence interval, HWE = Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, OR = odds ratio.



Figure 2. Forest plots for associations between rs13281615 in the 8q24 region and breast cancer risk. Allelic association (A) and genotype comparison (B and C) of rs13281615 with breast cancer risk.

ations between genetic variants in the 8q24 region and breast cancer risk. Previous meta-analyses have been performed to investigate associations between variants in the 8q24 region and risk of breast cancer. However, these meta-analyses were mostly based on a relatively small sample size or limited to a single SNP. Here we did the first field synopsis and the largest meta-analyses to systematically evaluate the associations between 4 variants located in 8q24 and risk of breast cancer based on data from 15 articles totaling 32,955 cases and 43,716 controls.

Of the 4 variants located in 8q24, only rs13281615 A>G was significantly associated with risk of breast cancer ($P=3.98 \times 10^{-7}$, OR=1.13). In addition, both homozygous GG ($P=1.94 \times 10^{-6}$, OR1=1.28) and heterozygous GA (P=.004, OR2=1.09) genotypes were associated with breast cancer risk. In terms of study design and sensitivity analyses, our findings were robust, based on several gene-association studies and several thousand participants. No evidence of publication bias or small study bias was found. In subgroup analyses by population, significant associations were found for Caucasians and Asians.

Studies of rs13281615 polymorphism on cancer risk have been performed for prostate^[26] and breast cancer in previous studies, no association was found with prostate cancer. In the last decade of the twentieth century, 2 major predisposing genes susceptibility to breast cancer, BRCA1 and BRCA2 were identified through the genetic linkage mapping and the positional cloning. However, mutations of these 2 genes account for only 5% to -10% of breast cancer risk.^[27] It seems that the combination of risk alleles accounts for the breast cancer.

Our study has some limitations. First, due to insufficient data, environmental factors and life style information were not available for all studies, and we were unable to evaluate publication bias. Second, some publications with null results might be not identified, although we conducted an exhaustive literature search.

In summary, we have identified rs13281615 in the 8q24 region that showed strong evidence of an association with breast cancer risk in this meta-analysis. Further functional studies are needed to explore the exact mechanisms of 8q24 variants involved in parthenogenesis of breast cancer.

Author contributions

Xuedong Wang and Xian He collected the data. Hui Guo analyzed the data. Yu Tong prepared for the manuscript.

References

- Lehmann BD, Pietenpol JA. Clinical implications of molecular heterogeneity in triple negative breast cancer. Breast 2015;24(Suppl 2):S36–40.
- [2] Irshad S, Ellis P, Tutt A. Molecular heterogeneity of triple-negative breast cancer and its clinical implications. Curr Opin Oncol 2011; 23:566–77.
- [3] Barnard ME, Boeke CE, Tamimi RM. Established breast cancer risk factors and risk of intrinsic tumor subtypes. Biochim Biophys Acta 2015;1856:73–85.
- [4] Friedlaender A, Vuilleumier A, Viassolo V, et al. BRCA1/BRCA2 germline mutations and chemotherapy-related hematological toxicity in breast cancer patients. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2019;174:775–83.
- [5] Cline MS, Liao RG, Parsons MT, et al. BRCA challenge: BRCA exchange as a global resource for variants in BRCA1 and BRCA2. PLoS Genet 2018;14:e1007752.
- [6] Shi J, Zhang Y, Zheng W, et al. Fine-scale mapping of 8q24 locus identifies multiple independent risk variants for breast cancer. Int J Cancer 2016;139:1303–17.
- [7] Wang H, Haiman CA, Burnett T, et al. Fine-mapping of genome-wide association study-identified risk loci for colorectal cancer in African Americans. Hum Mol Genet 2013;22:5048–55.
- [8] Tong Y, Yu T, Li S, et al. Cumulative Evidence for Relationships Between 8q24 Variants and Prostate Cancer. Front Physiol 2018;9:915.
- [9] Easton DF, Pooley KA, Dunning AM, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies novel breast cancer susceptibility loci. Nature 2007;447:1087–93.
- [10] Wokolorczyk D, Gliniewicz B, Sikorski A, et al. A range of cancers is associated with the rs6983267 marker on chromosome 8. Cancer Res 2008;68:9982–6.
- [11] Papanikolopoulou A, Landt O, Ntoumas K, et al. The multi-cancer marker, rs6983267, located at region 3 of chromosome 8q24, is associated with prostate cancer in Greek patients but does not contribute to the aggressiveness of the disease. Clinical chemistry and laboratory medicine 2011;50:379–85.
- [12] Bertucci F, Lagarde A, Ferrari A, et al. 8q24 cancer risk allele associated with major metastatic risk in inflammatory breast cancer. PloS One 2012;7:e37943.
- [13] Silvestri V, Rizzolo P, Scarno M, et al. Novel and known genetic variants for male breast cancer risk at 8q24.21, 9p21.3, 11q13. 3 and 14q24. 1: results from a multicenter study in Italy. Eur J Cancer 2015;51:2289–95.
- [14] Minelli C, Thompson JR, Abrams KR, et al. Bayesian implementation of a genetic model-free approach to the meta-analysis of genetic association studies. Stat Med 2005;24:3845–61.
- [15] Sueta A, Ito H, Kawase T, et al. A genetic risk predictor for breast cancer using a combination of low-penetrance polymorphisms in a Japanese population. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2012;132:711–21.
- [16] Zhang Z, Zhu Z, Zhang B, et al. Frequent mutation of rs13281615 and its association with PVT1 expression and cell proliferation in breast cancer. J Genet Genomics 2014;41:187–95.

- [17] Zhang Y, Zeng X, Lu H, et al. Association between 8q24 (rs13281615 and rs6983267) polymorphism and breast cancer susceptibility: a metaanalysis involving 117,355 subjects. Oncotarget 2016;7:68002–11.
- [18] Purrington KS, Slager S, Eccles D, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies 25 known breast cancer susceptibility loci as risk factors for triple-negative breast cancer. Carcinogenesis 2014;35:1012–9.
- [19] Pereira B, Chin SF, Rueda OM, et al. The somatic mutation profiles of 2,433 breast cancers refines their genomic and transcriptomic landscapes. Nat Communs 2016;7:11479.
- [20] Hein A, Rack B, Li L, et al. Genetic breast cancer susceptibility variants and prognosis in the prospectively randomized SUCCESS a study. Geburtshilfe Frauenheilkd 2017;77:651–9.
- [21] Gong WF, Zhong JH, Xiang BD, et al. Single nucleotide polymorphism 8q24 rs13281615 and risk of breast cancer: meta-analysis of more than 100,000 cases. PloS One 2013;8:e60108.
- [22] Dai G, Guo Z, Yang X, et al. Association of 8q24 rs13281615A > G polymorphism with breast cancer risk: evidence from 40,762 cases and 50,380 controls. Mol Biol Rep 2013;40:4065–73.
- [23] Almendro V, Kim HJ, Cheng YK, et al. Genetic and phenotypic diversity in breast tumor metastases. Cancer Res 2014;74:1338–48.
- [24] Pei YL, Zhang HL, Han HG. Polymorphism of 8q24 rsl3281615 and breast cancer risk: a meta-analysis. Tumour Biol 2013;34:421–8.
- [25] Chan M, Ji SM, Liaw CS, et al. Association of common genetic variants with breast cancer risk and clinicopathological characteristics in a Chinese population. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2012;136:209–20.
- [26] Meyer A, Schurmann P, Ghahremani M, et al. Association of chromosomal locus 8q24 and risk of prostate cancer: a hospital-based study of German patients treated with brachytherapy. Urol Oncol 2009;27:373–6.
- [27] Zhang Y, Yi P, Chen W, et al. Association between polymorphisms within the susceptibility region 8q24 and breast cancer in a Chinese population. Tumour Biol 2014;35:2649–54.
- [28] Shan J, Mahfoudh W, Dsouza SP, et al. Genome-Wide Association Studies (GWAS) breast cancer susceptibility loci in Arabs: susceptibility and prognostic implications in Tunisians. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2012;135:715–24.

- [29] Fletcher O, Johnson N, Gibson L, et al. Association of genetic variants at 8q24 with breast cancer risk. Cancer Epidemiol 2008;17:702–5.
- [30] Liang H, Li H, Yang X, et al. Associations of genetic variants at nongenic susceptibility loci with breast cancer risk and heterogeneity by tumor subtype in Southern Han Chinese women. BioMed Res Int 2016;2016: 3065493.
- [31] Elematore I, Gonzalez-Hormazabal P, Reyes JM, et al. Association of genetic variants at TOX3, 2q35 and 8q24 with the risk of familial and early-onset breast cancer in a South-American population. Mol Biol Rep 2014;41:3715–22.
- [32] Teraoka SN, Bernstein JL, Reiner AS, et al. Single nucleotide polymorphisms associated with risk for contralateral breast cancer in the Women's Environment, Cancer, and Radiation Epidemiology (WECARE) Study. Breast Cancer Res 2011;13:R114.
- [33] Campa D, Kaaks R, Le Marchand L, et al. Interactions between genetic variants and breast cancer risk factors in the breast and prostate cancer cohort consortium. J Natl Cancer Inst 2011;103:1252–63.
- [34] Long J, Shu XO, Cai Q, et al. Evaluation of breast cancer susceptibility loci in Chinese women. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2010;19: 2357–65.
- [35] Garcia-Closas M, Hall P, Nevanlinna H, et al. Heterogeneity of breast cancer associations with five susceptibility loci by clinical and pathological characteristics. PLoS Genet 2008;4:e1000054.
- [36] Gorodnova TV, Kuligina E, Yanus GA, et al. Distribution of FGFR2, TNRC9, MAP3K1, LSP1, and 8q24 alleles in genetically enriched breast cancer patients versus elderly tumor-free women. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 2010;199:69–72.
- [37] Latif A, Hadfield KD, Roberts SA, et al. Breast cancer susceptibility variants alter risks in familial disease. J Med Genet 2014;47:126–31.
- [38] Mizoo T, Taira N, Nishiyama K, et al. Effects of lifestyle and single nucleotide polymorphisms on breast cancer risk: a case-control study in Japanese women. BMC Cancer 2013;13:565.
- [39] Tamimi RM, Lagiou P, Czene K, et al. Birth weight, breast cancer susceptibility loci, and breast cancer risk. Cancer Causes Control 2010;21:689–96.