

## Efficacy and safety of dual vs single reninangiotensin-aldosterone system blockade in chronic kidney disease

## An updated meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials

Mingming Zhao, PhD<sup>a</sup>, Hua Qu, PhD<sup>b,c,d</sup>, Rumeng Wang, MM<sup>a</sup>, Yi Yu, MM<sup>a</sup>, Meiying Chang, PhD<sup>a</sup>, Sijia Ma, MM<sup>a</sup>, Hanwen Zhang<sup>e</sup>, Yuejun Wang, MM<sup>f</sup>, Yu Zhang, PhD<sup>a,\*</sup>

## Abstract

**Background:** To lower albuminuria and to achieve blood pressure (BP) goals, dual renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system (RAAS) inhibitors are sometimes used in clinical practice for the treatment of CKD. However, the efficacy and safety of dual RAAS blockade therapy remains controversial.

**Methods:** PubMed, EMBASE, and Cochrane Library were searched, and random effects model was used to calculate the effect sizes of eligible studies. Potential sources of heterogeneity were detected by meta-regression and subgroup analysis.

**Results:** The present meta-analysis of 72 randomized controlled trials with 10,296 patients demonstrated that dual RAAS blockade therapy was superior to monotherapy in reducing the urine albumin excretion, urine protein excretion, and BP. These beneficial effects were related to the decrease of glomerular filtration rate, the increase of serum potassium level, and higher rates of hyperkalemia and hypotension. Meanwhile, these effects did not lead to improvements in short-term or long-term outcomes, including doubling of serum creatinine, acute kidney injury, end-stage renal disease, mortality, and hospitalization. Compared with the single therapy, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor (ACEI) in combination with angiotensin-receptor blocker (ARB) was a better dual therapy than ACEI or ARB in combination with renin inhibitor or aldosterone receptor antagonist in decreasing urine albumin excretion, urine protein excretion and BP, and the combination was not associated with a lower glomerular filtration rate.

**Conclusion:** Compared with the single therapy, ACEI in combination with ARB was a better dual therapy than ACEI or ARB in combination with renin inhibitor or aldosterone receptor antagonist. Although ACEI in combination with ARB was associated with higher incidences of hyperkalemia and hypotension, careful individualized management and potassium binders may further expand its application (PROSPERO number CRD42020179398).

**Abbreviations:** ACEI = angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor, AKI = acute kidney injury, ARA = aldosterone receptor antagonist, ARB = angiotensin-receptor blocker, BP = blood pressure, CI = confidence interval, CKD = chronic kidney disease, DBP = diastolic blood pressure, ESRD = end-stage renal disease, GFR = glomerular filtration rate, RAAS = renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system, RCTs = randomized controlled trials, RI = renin inhibitor, RR = relative risk, SBP = systolic blood pressure, SMD = standard mean difference, WMD = weighted mean difference.

Keywords: blood pressure, chronic kidney disease, dual therapy, glomerular filtration rate, hyperkalemia, hypotension, proteinuria, renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system blockade

### Editor: Xichan Hu.

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant number 81873300); the Capital Health Research and Development of Special (grant number 2018–2–4173); and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central public welfare research institutes (grant number ZZ11–023).

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Supplemental Digital Content is available for this article.

Copyright © 2021 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.

Received: 17 February 2021 / Received in final form: 10 June 2021 / Accepted: 14 June 2021 http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000026544

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article [and its supplementary information files].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Department of Nephrology, Xiyuan Hospital, China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, Beijing, China, <sup>b</sup> Xiyuan Hospital, China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, Beijing, China, <sup>c</sup> NMPA Key Laboratory for Clinical Research and Evaluation of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Beijing, China, <sup>d</sup> National Clinical Research Center for Chinese Medicine Cardiology, Beijing, China, <sup>e</sup> Department of Statistics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, <sup>f</sup> Department of Geriatrics, Zhejiang Aged Care Hospital, Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou, China.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: Yu Zhang, Xiyuancaochang, No. 1, R. Xiyuangcaochang, District Haidian, Beijing, China (e-mail: zhangyu8225@126.com).

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial License 4.0 (CCBY-NC), where it is permissible to download, share, remix, transform, and buildup the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be used commercially without permission from the journal.

How to cite this article: Zhao M, Qu H, Wang R, Yu Y, Chang M, Ma S, Zhang H, Wang Y, Zhang Y. Efficacy and safety of dual vs single renin–angiotensin– aldosterone system blockade in chronic kidney disease: An updated meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Medicine 2021;100:35(e26544).

## 1. Introduction

Chronic kidney disease (CKD), defined as decreased kidney function shown by glomerular filtration rate (GFR) <60 mL/min/ 1.73 m<sup>2</sup>, or markers of kidney damage, or both, of at least 3 months duration, regardless of the underlying cause, is an increasing public health issue due to its high prevalence and increased risk of end-stage renal disease (ESRD), cardiovascular disease, and premature death.<sup>[1,2]</sup> Prevalence of CKD is estimated to be 8% to 16% worldwide, 78% of which are concentrated in middle- and low-income countries.<sup>[3,4]</sup> Hypertension usually coexists with CKD, and its prevalence increases with the decline of renal function.<sup>[5,6]</sup> The complex interaction between hypertension and CKD increases the risk of adverse cardiovascular outcomes.<sup>[7]</sup>

CKD can be detected by routine laboratory tests. The treatment proposed in the guidelines can prevent and slow down the progress of CKD, reduce the complications of reduced GFR and the risk of cardiovascular diseases, and improve the rate of survival and quality of life.<sup>[8]</sup> For the CKD patients with proteinuria, the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) has been an important therapeutic target. According to recent guidelines, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor (ACEI) or angiotensin-receptor blocker (ARB) should be the drugs of first choice.<sup>[9]</sup> A previous meta-analysis demonstrated that the combined RAAS blockade therapy was superior to single RAAS blocker in reducing proteinuria.<sup>[10]</sup> However, all recent guidelines against the use of dual RAAS blockade therapy based on less benefits for most patients and more adverse events, including renal dysfunction, hyperkalemia, and hypotension.<sup>[11]</sup> Among the latest published randomized controlled trials (RCTs), the efficacy and safety of dual RAAS blockade therapy remains controversial. Therefore, we conducted the meta-analysis of RCTs to reassess the efficacy and safety of dual RAAS blockade therapy in patients with CKD.

## 2. Methods

## 2.1. Data sources and searches

We searched PubMed, EMBASE, and Cochrane Library from inception to March 2020 to retrieved relevant articles. Two reviewers (Mingming Zhao and Rumeng Wang) screened the titles and abstracts and retrieved full-text articles respectively. The disagreements were resolved by consulting a third investigator (Yu Zhang). Medical subject headings terms and free-text terms used in each database were as follows: "diabetic nephropathy," "hypertensive nephropathy," "glomerular disease," "proteinuria," "renal insufficiency," "kidney disease," "chronic renal failure," "chronic kidney disease," "drug therapy, combination," "renin–angiotensin system," "angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor," "angiotensin-receptor blocker," "aldosterone blockade," "selective aldosterone blockade," "renin inhibitor," "direct renin inhibitor." (Item S1, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/MD2/A262)

## 2.2. Study selection

We included studies if they met the following inclusion criteria:

- (1) patients with CKD;
- (2) the intervention group received dual RAAS blockade (dual therapy), and the control group received single RAAS blockade (single therapy);

- (3) the outcomes involved albuminuria, proteinuria, GFR, serum potassium, blood pressure (BP), or any adverse effect;
- (4) randomized, controlled, crossover or parallel trials;
- (5) the articles were published in English language.

#### 2.3. Data extraction and quality assessment

Two reviewers (Mingming Zhao and Rumeng Wang) extracted data independently and resolved disagreements by consulting with a third investigator (Yu Zhang). The data extracted from each of the published studies included in our review were as follows: the first author's name, publication year, study design, intervention, sample size, percentage of men, mean age of subjects, duration of intervention, GFR, urine albumin excretion or urine protein excretion, BP, including systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP) and mean arterial pressure, serum potassium, etc. The methodological quality of included studies was assessed based on the Cochrane Handbook, including random sequence generation, assignment concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting, and other biases. Marked 1 point when the risk was low.

### 2.4. Data synthesis and analysis

The random effects model was used to calculate the effect sizes of eligible studies. For continuous outcomes, we calculated a weighted mean difference (WMD) or standard mean difference (SMD) with a 95% confidence interval (CI). For dichotomous outcomes, we estimated the relative risk (RR) with a 95% CI.

Heterogeneity of included studies was described with the I<sup>2</sup> index and the chi-square test. I<sup>2</sup>  $\geq$  50% and P < .05 indicated medium-to-high heterogeneity. Meta-regression and subgroup analysis were used to detect the potential sources of heterogeneity. Sensitivity analysis was performed to assess the robustness of the pooled results. Begg's test and Egger's test were used to evaluate the publication bias. Statistical analysis was performed by Stata (version 15.1). The methodological quality of eligible studies was performed by RevMan5.3. We have registered the protocol for the present systematic review and meta-analysis, and the registered number in PROSPERO is CRD42020179398.

## 3. Results

#### 3.1. Characteristics and quality of the studies

A total of 25,089 studies (18,664 from PubMed, 4,047 from EMBASE, and 2,378 from the Cochrane Library) were identified, of which 72 studies met the inclusion criteria (Fig. 1).

The characteristics of the individual trials are displayed in Table 1. Seventy-two studies with 10,296 patients consisted of 22 crossover and 50 parallel-arm RCTs. These studies used various combinations of blockers: 95 study arms used ACEI in combination with ARB, 6 study arms used ACEI or ARB in combination with a renin inhibitor (RI), 16 study arms used ACEI or ARB in combination with an aldosterone receptor antagonist (ARA). The sample size varied from 10 to 1,448, and the mean age of the subjects of the trials ranged from 12 to 76 years, and the duration of intervention ranged from 1 to 60 months. Forty-one studies enrolled patients with GFR  $\geq 60$  mL/min or mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> and 10 studies enrolled patients with GFR < 60 mL/min or



mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>. Twenty-one studies did not report the subjects' baseline of kidney function. At enrollment, the patients in 25 studies had albuminuria, and those in 36 studies had proteinuria. Eleven studies did not report the urine albumin or protein excretion at enrollment. The trials involved diabetics (32 studies), nondiabetics (22 studies). About 73.61% of the studies were of good quality (score 4–7), while the rest were of fair quality (score 1–3) (Fig. 2).

## 3.2. Effect of dual renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system blockade therapy on kidney-related endpoints

Twenty-two study arms reported changes in albuminuria and 50 study arms reported changes in proteinuria. Compared with the single therapy, dual therapy significantly reduced the urine albumin excretion (SMD, -0.53; 95% CI, -0.75 to -0.30;

P < .001) (Fig. 3, Table 2) and urine protein excretion (SMD, -0.17; 95% CI, -0.27 to -0.07; P = .001) (Fig. 4, Table 2). However, dual therapy did not significantly increase the rate of return to normoalbuminuria (RR, 1.27; 95% CI, 0.95 to 1.71; P = .11) (Fig. 5, Table 3).

Sixty-one study arms reported changes in GFR. Meta-analysis showed that dual RAAS blockade therapy was associated with a decrease in GFR (SMD, -0.07; 95% CI, -0.13 to -0.01; P=.02) compared with monotherapy (Fig. 6, Table 2). No effects of dual RAAS blockade therapy as compared with single RAAS blockade therapy, was observed on doubling of serum creatinine (RR, 1.10; 95% CI, 0.66 to 1.83; P=.73), acute kidney injury (AKI: RR, 1.42; 95% CI, 0.98 to 2.06; P=.07) and ESRD (RR, 0.72; 95% CI, 0.51 to 1.03; P=.07) (Table 3).

Fifty-two study arms reported changes in serum potassium and 43 study arms reported the rate of hyperkalemia. By meta-

International state         Internat         International state <th< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>Renin-angiotensin-aldostero</th><th>ne system blockade</th><th></th><th>Male (%)</th><th>Age (</th><th>_</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></th<>			Renin-angiotensin-aldostero	ne system blockade		Male (%)	Age (	_				
mage         mage <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>,</th><th>Durati</th><th>GFR on (mL/min or</th><th>Albuminuria or proteinuria</th><th>SBP</th><th>DBP</th></t<>							,	Durati	GFR on (mL/min or	Albuminuria or proteinuria	SBP	DBP
	Studies	Design	Dual therapy (mg/day)	Single therapy (mg/d)	N (T/C)	-	-	c (mont	is) mL/min/1.73 m <sup>-</sup> )	(g/g or g/24h)	(mm Hg)	(mm Hg)
	Shima et al <sup>(30)</sup>	Parallel- arm	Lisinopril 20 mg + losartan 100 mg	Lisinopril 20 mg	31/31	58.06 51.6	1 12.00 1	1.90 24	120.70	0.60	109.05	63.00
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Saglimbene et al <sup>[31]</sup>	Parallel- arm	ACEI + ARB	ACEI	416/413	27.52 28.7	5 63.40 6	2.20 32.4	67.85	0.16	137.90	80.50
			ACEI + ARB	ARB	416/414	27.52 28.5	4 63.40 6	2.60 32.4	69.10	0.17	138.10	80.30
Home of the second condition con	Chen et al <sup>[32]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Irbesartan 150 mg + spironolactone 20 mg	Irbesartan 150 mg	52/53	48.08 52.8	3 67.00 6	3.00 18	79.20	NR	155.00	95.00
Insutional of the production of the productin of the production of the producti			Irbesartan 300 mg + spironolactone 20 mg	Irbesartan 150 mg	49/53	53.06 52.8	3 67.00 6	3.00 18	79.55	NR	155.00	94.50
Mathematical field         Interaction 2010         Interaction 20			Irbesartan 150 mg + spironolactone 20 mg	Irbesartan 300 mg	52/52	48.08 51.9	2 67.00 6	7.00 18	79.00	NR	155.50	95.00
			Irbesartan 300 mg + spironolactone 20 mg	Irbesartan 300 mg	49/52	53.06 51.9	2 67.00 6	7.00 18	79.35	NR	155.50	94.50
	Katayama et al <sup>[33]</sup>	Parallel- arm	ACEI or ARB+ finerenone	ACEI or ARB+ placebo	84/12	79.76 83.3	3 62.40 6	5.75 3	64.68	0.22*	137.04	76.19
Both         Tender         Ald or helenene         Ald or helenen	Zinellu <sup>[18]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Ramipril 5 mg+ telmisartan 40 mg	Telmisartan 80 mg	12/12	33.33 33.3	3 62.00 5	3.00 6	48.72	1.22	133.00	80.00
One aff <sup>10</sup> Fanks and the announce of the announce and the announce	Bakris <sup>[34]</sup>	Parallel- arm	ACEI or ARB+ finerenone	ACEI or ARB+ placebo	727/94	78.40 73.4	0 64.34 6	3.26 3	67.60	0.20*	138.18	77.15
Mathematical problem (50mg)         Altern (50m)         Altern (50m) <td>Woo et al<sup>(35)</sup></td> <td>Parallel- arm</td> <td>Aliskiren 150 mg+ losartan 100 mg</td> <td>Losartan 100 mg</td> <td>51/52</td> <td>40.38 30.7</td> <td>7 55.00 5</td> <td>2.00 36</td> <td>48.00</td> <td>1.35</td> <td>135.50</td> <td>85.00</td>	Woo et al <sup>(35)</sup>	Parallel- arm	Aliskiren 150 mg+ losartan 100 mg	Losartan 100 mg	51/52	40.38 30.7	7 55.00 5	2.00 36	48.00	1.35	135.50	85.00
Image         Image <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>Aliskiren 150 mg + losartan 100 mg</td><td>Aliskiren 150 mg</td><td>51/52</td><td>40.38 37.2</td><td>5 55.00 5</td><td>2.00 36</td><td>48.50</td><td>1.25</td><td>133.50</td><td>85.00</td></t<>			Aliskiren 150 mg + losartan 100 mg	Aliskiren 150 mg	51/52	40.38 37.2	5 55.00 5	2.00 36	48.50	1.25	133.50	85.00
	Schrier et al <sup>[36]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Lisinopril + telmisartan	Lisinopril + placebo	273/285	51.65 49.8	2 37.00 3	5.30 60	91.50	0.02*	NR	NR
	Rajkumar <sup>[37]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Olmesartan 40 mg+ aliskiren 150 mg	Olmesartan 40 mg	25/25	72.00 64.0	0 54.28 5	1.04 2	NR	0.68	167.60	96.40
Zwith         Family         Family<	Makhlough et al <sup>[38]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Losartan 25 mg+ spironolactone 25 mg	Spironolactone 25 mg+ placebo	30/30	23.33 36.3	6 51.20 5	2.30 3	114.05	0.09*	134.18	81.42
	Zwiech et al <sup>[39]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Ramipril 5 mg+ losartan 50 mg	Ramipril 10 mg	47/47	61.70 59.5	7 59.90 6	0.10 4	NR	NR	127.50	78.50
Image: Interfact of the image of	Ziaee et al <sup>[40]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Enalapril 50 mg+ spironolactone 25 mg	Enalapril 50 mg	29/31	58.62 64.5	2 53.10 5	3.03 3	81.20	0.12*	125.72	77.64
Leadensist et al.         Cassave tale         Tendensist folton         <	Nakamura et al <sup>[41]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Imidapril 5 mg + losartan 50 mg	Losartan 100 mg	14/14	71.43 64.2	9 61.70 6	1.40 12	87.75	0.25*	135.00	79.00
	Lizakowski et al <sup>[42]</sup>	Crossover	Telmisartan 80 mg + aliskiren 300 mg	Telmisartan 160 mg	18/18	77.78 39.3	0 2 8	5.20 1.62	116.80	73.80		
Head of a failed of a main bound for the solution of the soluti solution of the solution of the solution of the soluti			Telmisartan 80 mg + eplerenone 50 mg	Telmisartan 160 mg	18/18	77.78 39.3	0 2 8	5.20 1.62	116.80	73.80		
	Fried et al <sup>[43]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Lisinopril 40 mg + losartan 100 mg	Losartan 100 mg+ placebo	724/724	98.76 99.5	9 64.50 6	4.70 26.4	53.65	1.04*	136.95	72.65
Rest of all of an and static of any integration $30$ may be achore $30$ model and $30$ model $30$ mod	Fernandez Juarez et al <sup>[44]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Lisinopril 5 mg + irbesartan 75 mg	Lisinopril 10 mg	70/35	78.00 70.0	0 63.00 6	3.70 32	49.00	1.20	152.50	80.50
			Lisinopril 5 mg + irbesartan 75 mg	Irbesartan 150 mg	70/28	78.00 75.0	0 63.00 6	7.90 32	48.00	1.40	153.00	81.50
	Bakris et al <sup>[45]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Valsartan 320 mg + aliskiren 300 mg	Valsartan 320 mg	574/565	59.41 56.8	1 55.00 5	5.20 2	95.40	NR	166.00	98.30
Slagman et al <sup>471</sup> Crossore         Listopid 40mg+ valsartan 320 mg- kosodium         Listopid 40 mg- kosodium         Listopid 41 mg- kosodium         Listopid 40 mg- kosodium <td>Titan et al<sup>[46]</sup></td> <td>Parallel- arm</td> <td>Enalapril 40 mg + losartan 100 mg</td> <td>Enalapril 40 mg+ placebo</td> <td>28/28</td> <td>71.43 53.5</td> <td>7 58.10 5</td> <td>3.00 4</td> <td>49.87</td> <td>3.22</td> <td>148.65</td> <td>80.45</td>	Titan et al <sup>[46]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Enalapril 40 mg + losartan 100 mg	Enalapril 40 mg+ placebo	28/28	71.43 53.5	7 58.10 5	3.00 4	49.87	3.22	148.65	80.45
	Slagman et al <sup>[47]</sup>	Crossover	Lisinopril 40 mg+ valsartan 320 mg+ low sodium	Lisinopril 40 mg + placebo + low sodium	52/52	82.69 51.5	0 1.5 7	0.50 1.63	131.00	76.25		
			Lisinopril 40 mg + valsartan 320 mg + regular sodium	Lisinopril 40 mg+ placebo+ regular sodium	52/52	82.69 51.5	0 1.5 7	0.50 1.63	131.00	76.25		
	Meier et al <sup>[48]</sup>	Crossover	Losartan 100 mg+ lisinopril 20 mg	Losartan 100 mg	20/20	50.00 53.0	0 2 6	7.00 6.39	138.50	83.50		
			Losartan 100 mg+ lisinopril 20 mg	Losartan 200 mg	20/20	50.00 53.0	0 2 6	7.00 6.39	138.50	83.50		
	Bilić et al <sup>(49]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Ramipril + valsartan	Ramipril	26/23	NR 46.1	0 46.30	12 74.05	5.20	143.60	89.20	
Ohish et al <sup>[50]</sup> Parallel- arm         Inidapri 10mg+ valsartan 180 mg         Olmesartan 40 mg         18/19         86.49         64.00         4         41.05         1.70         106.00         86.00         71.00			Ramipril + valsartan	Valsartan	26/22	NR 46.1	0 47.40	12 76.25	4.60	147.10	89.60	
Cic et al <sup>[51]</sup> Parallel arm         ACEH + telmisartan 80mg         ACEH + placebo         165/167         53.33         54.49         62.70         68.90         0.91         125.40         81.00           Mehdi et al <sup>[52]</sup> Parallel arm         Lisinopril 80mg + spirondactone 25 mg         Lisinopril 80mg + placebo $27/27$ $48.15$ $4.44$ $52.30$ $49.30$ $12$ $68.90$ $0.91^{*}$ $134.00$ $73.00$ Mehdi et al <sup>[52]</sup> Crossore         ACEH + telmisartan 80mg         Lisinopril 80mg + placebo $27/27$ $48.15$ $4.44$ $51.70$ $49.30$ $12$ $68.90$ $0.91^{*}$ $134.00$ $73.00$ Masafitis-zagajewska and Nowicki <sup>[53]</sup> Crossore         ACEH + losartan 50mg         ACEH + placebo $27/27$ $48.15$ $4.4.4$ $51.70$ $49.30$ $12$ $68.30$ $0.91^{*}$ $134.00$ $73.00$ Kraittitichal and Chaisuvanarat <sup>[54]</sup> Parallel arm         ACEI or ARB+ spironolactore 25 mg         ACEI or ARB+ placebo $27/27$ $65.65$ $57.14$ $75.47$ $77.70$ Zhu et al <sup>[56]</sup> Parallel arm         Darazlel arm         Be	Ohishi et al <sup>[50]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Imidapril 10mg+ valsartan 160 mg	Olmesartan 40 mg	18/19	86.49 64.0	0 4 4	1.05 1.70	106.00	86.00		
Mehd is tal <sup>[22]</sup> Parallel arm         Lisinopril 80mg + losartan 100 mg         Lisinopril 80mg + losartan 80 mg	Cice et al <sup>[51]</sup>	Parallel- arm	ACEI + telmisartan 80 mg	ACEI + placebo	165/167	53.33 54.4	9 62.70 6	2.80 36	NR	NR	125.40	81.00
Lishopril 80mg + values       Lishopril 80mg + value       Lishopril 80mg + value <thlishopril +="" 80mg="" th="" value<=""> <thlishopr< td=""><td>Mehdi et al<sup>[52]</sup></td><td>Parallel- arm</td><td>Lisinopril 80 mg+ losartan 100 mg</td><td>Lisinopril 80 mg + placebo</td><td>26/27</td><td>50.00 44.4</td><td>4 52.30 4</td><td>9.30 12</td><td>68.90</td><td>0.91*</td><td>134.00</td><td>73.00</td></thlishopr<></thlishopril>	Mehdi et al <sup>[52]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Lisinopril 80 mg+ losartan 100 mg	Lisinopril 80 mg + placebo	26/27	50.00 44.4	4 52.30 4	9.30 12	68.90	0.91*	134.00	73.00
Masafits-Zagajewska and Now(kl <sup>[54]</sup> Corssover         ACEH locatan 50mg         ACEH placebo $21/21$ $76.19$ $54.10$ $1$ NR $99.55^{\circ}$ Kraitittichal and Chaiswannarat <sup>[54]</sup> Parallel arm         Enalapril 40mg+ telmisartan 80mg         Enalapril 40mg $40/40$ $53.75$ $56.67$ $6$ $46.33$ $2.31$ $140.46$ $75.47$ Edwards et al <sup>[56]</sup> Parallel arm         ACEI or ARB+ spinonlactone 25mg         ACEI or ARB+ placebo $56/56$ $57.14$ $58.93$ $54.00$ $53.00$ $9$ $51.00$ $71.00$ Zhu et al <sup>[56]</sup> Parallel arm         Benazepril 10mg+ valsartan 80mg         Benazepril 10mg+ placebo $27/27$ $55.56$ $55.00$ $3$ $71.06$ $77.00$ Zhu et al <sup>[56]</sup> Parallel arm         Benazepril 10mg+ valsartan 80mg         Valsartan 80mg+ placebo $27/27$ $55.56$ $55.00$ $3$ $71.00$ $77.00$ Zhu et al <sup>[56]</sup> Parallel arm         Benazepril 10mg+ valsartan 80mg         Valsartan 80mg+ placebo $27/27$ $55.56$ $57.00$ $3$ $N$ $0.33^{\circ}$ $153.70$			Lisinopril 80 mg + spironolactone 25 mg	Lisinopril 80 mg + placebo	27/27	48.15 44.4	4 51.70 4	9.30 12	62.20	1.01*	132.00	73.50
Kraittictictal and ChaisuvannatarlistParallel armEnalapril 40mg+ telmisartan 80mgEnalapril 40mg $40/40$ $53.75$ $55.67$ $6$ $46.33$ $2.31$ $140.46$ $75.47$ Edwards et al <sup>[56]</sup> Parallel armACEI or ARB+ spinonlactone 25mgACEI or ARB+ placebo $56/56$ $57.14$ $58.93$ $54.00$ $53.00$ $9$ $51.00$ NR $130.00$ $77.00$ Zhu et al <sup>[56]</sup> Parallel armBenazepril 10mg+ valsartan 80mgBenazepril 10mg+ placebo $27/28$ $55.56$ $57.14$ $56.00$ $3$ NR $0.33^*$ $153.50$ $95.50$ Zhu et al <sup>[56]</sup> Parallel armBenazepril 10mg+ valsartan 80mgValsartan 80mgValsartan 80mgValsartan 80mg $277/27$ $55.56$ $55.00$ $3$ NR $0.33^*$ $152.50$ $95.50$ Parving et al <sup>[57]</sup> Parallel armLosartan 100mg+ aliskiren 300mgLosartan 100mg+ placebo $277/27$ $55.56$ $59.26$ $57.00$ $3$ NR $0.33^*$ $152.50$ $94.50$ Mori-Takeyama et al <sup>[59]</sup> Parallel armBenazepril 2.5-10mg+ ralesartan 4mgCandesartan 4-12 mg $397/38$ $56.41$ $57.00$ $35.00$ $36.90$ $57.00$ $39.40^*$ $57.00$ $37.80$ $57.00$ $37.80$ $37.50$ <td>Masajtis-Zagajewska and Nowicki<sup>[53]</sup></td> <td>Crossover</td> <td>ACEI+ losartan 50 mg</td> <td>ACEI+ placebo</td> <td>21/21</td> <td>76.19 54.1</td> <td>0 1</td> <td>NR NR</td> <td><math>99.55^{+}</math></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Masajtis-Zagajewska and Nowicki <sup>[53]</sup>	Crossover	ACEI+ losartan 50 mg	ACEI+ placebo	21/21	76.19 54.1	0 1	NR NR	$99.55^{+}$			
Edwards et al <sup>[55]</sup> Parallel arm         ACEI or ARB+ spironolactone 25mg         ACEI or ARB+ placebo         56/56         57.14         58.93         54.00         53.00         9         51.00         NR         130.00         77.00           Zhu et al <sup>[56]</sup> Parallel arm         Benazepril 10mg+ valsartan 80mg         Benazepril 10mg+ valsartan 80mg         Valsartan 80mg+ placebo $27/27$ 55.56         57.14         56.00         3         NR         0.33*         153.50         95.50           Parving et al <sup>[57]</sup> Parallel arm         Usastan 100mg+ placebo $27/27$ 55.56         59.26         55.00         3         NR         0.33*         152.50         94.50           Parving et al <sup>[57]</sup> Parallel arm         Losartan 100mg+ aliskiren 300mg         Losartan 100mg+ placebo         301/298         68.44         74.16         59.80         61.80         6         67.65         0.53*         134.50         77.50           Moni-Takeyama et al <sup>[59]</sup> Parallel arm         Usastartan 4mg         Candesartan 4-12 mg         39/38         56.41         63.16         56.00         35.00         36.96         0.53*         134.56         77.50           Moni-Takeyama et al <sup>[59]</sup> Parallel arm         Usastartan 4mg	Krairittichai and Chaisuvannarat <sup>[54]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Enalapril 40 mg+ telmisartan 80 mg	Enalapril 40 mg	40/40	53.75 55.6	7 6 4	5.33 2.31	140.46	75.47		
Zhu et al <sup>[56]</sup> Parallel arm         Benazepril 10mg+ valsartan 80 mg         Benazepril 10mg+ valsartan 80 mg         Benazepril 10mg+ valsartan 80 mg         Valsartan 80 mg         Z7/28         55.56         57.14         56.00         3         NR         0.33 <sup>*</sup> 153.50         95.50           Parving et al <sup>[57]</sup> Parallel arm         Benazepril 10mg+ valsartan 80 mg         27/27         55.56         59.26         56.00         3         NR         0.33 <sup>*</sup> 152.50         94.50           Parving et al <sup>[57]</sup> Parallel arm         Losartan 100 mg + aliskiren 300 mg         Losartan 100 mg + placebo         301/298         68.44         74.16         59.80         61.80         6         67.65         0.53 <sup>*</sup> 134.50         77.50           Moni-Takeyama et al <sup>[59]</sup> Parallel arm         Benazepril 2.5-10 mg + valsartan 320 mg         Lisinopril 40 mg         40/47         77.50         77	Edwards et al <sup>[55]</sup>	Parallel- arm	ACEI or ARB+ spironolactone 25 mg	ACEI or ARB+ placebo	56/56	57.14 58.9	3 54.00 5	3.00 9	51.00	NR	130.00	77.00
Benazepril 10mg+ valsartan 80 mg         Valsare <thvalsare< th="">         Valsare<td>Zhu et al<sup>[56]</sup></td><td>Parallel- arm</td><td>Benazepril 10 mg + valsartan 80 mg</td><td>Benazepril 10 mg+ placebo</td><td>27/28</td><td>55.56 57.1</td><td>4 56.00 5</td><td>5.00 3</td><td>NR</td><td>0.33*</td><td>153.50</td><td>95.50</td></thvalsare<>	Zhu et al <sup>[56]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Benazepril 10 mg + valsartan 80 mg	Benazepril 10 mg+ placebo	27/28	55.56 57.1	4 56.00 5	5.00 3	NR	0.33*	153.50	95.50
Parving et al <sup>[57]</sup> Parallel- arm         Losartan 100 mg + aliskiren 300 mg         Solution 301/298         68.44         74.16         59.80         61.80         6         67.65         0.53         134.50         77.50           Mori-Takeyama et al <sup>[59]</sup> Parallel arm         Benazepril 2.5-10 mg + candesartan 4 mg         Candesartan 4-12 mg         39/38         56.41         63.16         36.90         37.80         36         94.95         1.35         134.15         82.60           Menne et al <sup>[59]</sup> Parallel arm         Lisinopril 20 mg + valsartan 320 mg         Lisinopril 40 mg         40/47         77.50         70.21         59.20         59.70         7.5         113.05         NR         151.70         90.35			Benazepril 10 mg + valsartan 80 mg	Valsartan 80 mg + placebo	27/27	55.56 59.2	6 56.00 5	7.00 3	NR	0.33*	152.50	94.50
Mori-Takeyama et al <sup>[58]</sup> Parallel- arm         Benazepril 2.5-10 mg + candesartan 4 mg         Candesartan 4-12 mg         39/38         56.41         63.16         36.90         37.80         36         94.95         1.35         134.15         82.60           Menne et al <sup>[59]</sup> Parallel - arm         Lisinopril 20 mg + valsartan 320 mg         Lisinopril 40 mg         40/47         77.50         70.21         59.20         59.70         7.5         113.05         NR         151.70         90.35	Parving et al <sup>[57]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Losartan 100 mg + aliskiren 300 mg	Losartan 100 mg+ placebo	301/298	68.44 74.1	6 59.80 6	1.80 6	67.65	0.53*	134.50	77.50
Menne et al <sup>[59]</sup> Parallel- arm Lisinopril 20 mg + valsartan 320 mg Lisinopril 40 mg 40/47 77.50 70.21 59.20 59.70 7.5 113.05 NR 151.70 90.35	Mori-Takeyama et al <sup>[58]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Benazepril 2.5-10 mg + candesartan 4 mg	Candesartan 4–12 mg	39/38	56.41 63.1	6 36.90 3	7.80 36	94.95	1.35	134.15	82.60
	Menne et al <sup>[59]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Lisinopril 20 mg + valsartan 320 mg	Lisinopril 40 mg	40/47	77.50 70.2	1 59.20 5	9.70 7.5	113.05	NR	151.70	90.35

4

Table 1

Medicine

(continued).											
	ļ	Renin-angiotensin-aldosterone	e system blockade	-1	Male (%)	Age (Y)					
Churd on	Danjaan	Dural the constant (stand)	Circle throws (marked)		c +	c F	Duration	GFR (mL/min or mi /min/1 72 m2A	Albuminuria or proteinuria	SBP	DBP
oraries	libicari	buar urstapy (irig/uay)	angle merapy (mg/u)	N (I/C)	-	-		( III c / · I / IIIII/ III	(11+7/A IN A/A)	(Ruum)	(fu mm)
		Lisinopril 20 mg + valsartan 320 mg	Valsartan 320 mg	40/42 77	50 66.67	59.20 57.0	0 7.5	119.75	NR	151.75	91.00
Knudsen et al <sup>f60]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Lisinopril 20 mg + candesartan 16 mg	Lisinopril 40 mg	25/26 72	.00 80.77	56.00 57.0	0 12	117.50	NR	140.50	83.00
Ogawa et al <sup>[61]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Temocapril 2 mg + candesartan 4 mg	Temocapril 4 mg	37/34 48	.65 47.06	61.80 60.9	0 24	NR	0.24*	154.00	91.15
		Temocapril 2 mg + candesartan 4 mg	Candesartan 8mg	37/40 48	.65 47.50	61.80 62.2	0 24	NR	0.24*	153.00	90.80
		Candesartan 4 mg + temocapril 2 mg	Temocapril 4 mg	35/34 48	.57 47.06	62.50 60.9	0 24	NR	0.25*	151.50	90.20
		Candesartan 4 mg + temocapril 2 mg	Candesartan 8 mg	35/40 48	.57 47.50	62.50 62.2	0 24	NR	0.25*	150.50	89.85
Nakamura et al <sup>(62)</sup>	Parallel- arm	Temocapril 2 mg + olmesartan 10 mg	Temocapril 2 mg	8/8 50	.00 50.00	31.00 31.0	3	88.70	1.95	116.50	68.00
		Temocapril 2 mg + olmesartan 10 mg	Olmesartan 10 mg	8/8 50	.00 62.50	31.00 34.0	3	88.50	1.95	117.50	69.00
Bakris et al <sup>[63]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Ramipril 10 mg + irbesartan 150-300 mg	Ramipril 10 mg+ placebo	204/201 60	.29 63.68	65.50 65.8	0 5	NR	NR	163.50	89.50
Abe et al <sup>[64]</sup>	Parallel- arm	ACEI + losartan 25 mg or 50 mg	ACEI	14/20 78	.57 55.00	59.50 59.8	0 12	NR	1.35*	144.00	79.00
van den Meiracker et al <sup>í65]</sup>	Parallel- arm	ACEI or ARB + spironolactone 25-50	ACEI or ARB + placebo	24/29 69	57 58.62	55.20 55.2	12	75.50	0.89*	146.00	81.00
Song et al <sup>[66]</sup>	Crossover	Ramipril 5 mg + candesartan 8 mg	Ramipril 10 mg	21/21 52	.38 49.00	4 40.6	0 4.10	133.00	81.00		
		Ramipril 5 mg + candesartan 8 mg	Candesartan 16 mg	21/21 52	.38 49.00	4 40.6	0 4.10	133.00	81.00		
Sengul et al <sup>l67]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Lisinopril 20 mg+ telmisartan 80 mg	Lisinopril 20 mg	47/48 38	.30 35.42	57.00 57.2	2 0	95.15	0.16*	139.80	82.15
		Lisinopril 20 mg + telmisartan 80 mg	Telmisartan 80 mg	47/48 38	.30 37.50	57.00 56.4	2 (	94.15	0.17*	139.95	83.30
		Telmisartan 80 mg + lisinopril 20 mg	Lisinopril 20 mg	49/48 40	.82 35.42	56.90 57.2	2 0	94.70	0.17*	140.15	82.85
		Telmisartan 80 mg + lisinopril 20 mg	Telmisartan 80 mg	49/48 40	.82 37.50	56.90 56.4	2 (	93.70	0.17*	140.30	84.00
Kanno et al <sup>[68]</sup>	Parallel- arm	ACEI + candesartan 2–12 mg	ACEI	45/45 40	.00 40.00	60.30 59.5	36	NR	1.70	137.50	84.50
lgarashi et al <sup>(19]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Enalapril 5 mg + losartan 50 mg	Enalapril 10 mg	13/13 76	.92 61.54	63.50 63.9	3	75.55	1.81	148.70	80.45
Horita et al <sup>[69]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Temocapril 1 mg+ losartan 12.5 mg	Temocapril 1 mg	13/14 53	.85 57.14	38.00 43.0	0 12	92.55	0.70	118.00	73.00
		Temocapril 1 mg + losartan 12.5 mg	Losartan 12.5 mg	13/16 53	.85 56.25	38.00 42.0	0 12	91.65	0.82	123.50	78.00
Epstein et al <sup>[70]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Enalapril 20 mg + eplerenone 50 mg	Enalapril 20 mg+ placebo	91/91 65	.93 54.95	58.70 59.6	3	72.51	0.43	143.35	85.32
		Enalapril 20 mg + eplerenone 100 mg	Enalapril 20 mg+ placebo	86/91 65	.12 54.95	59.06 59.6	3	73.34	0.35*	144.06	85.97
Chrysostomou et al <sup>[71]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Ramipril 5 mg + irbesartan 150 mg	Ramipril 5mg+ placebo	10/10 80	.00 70.00	56.30 59.2	3	74.80	2.55	132.50	79.50
		Ramipril 5 mg + spironolactone 25 mg	Ramipril 5mg+ placebo	10/10 70	.00 70.00	65.70 59.2	3	70.50	2.40	137.00	77.75
Atmaca and Gedik <sup>[72]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Lisinopril 10 mg + losartan 50 mg	Lisinopril 10 mg	8/9 37	50 44.44	55.10 55.1	0 12	NR	0.07	120.00	78.30
		Lisinopril 10 mg + losartan 50 mg	Losartan 50 mg	8/9 37	50 44.44	55.10 55.1	0 12	NR	0.07*	120.00	78.85
Schjoedt et al <sup>[73]</sup>	Crossover	ACEI or ARB + spironolactone 25 mg	ACEI or ARB+ placebo	20/20 75	.00 45.00	2 NR	>0.30*	NR	NR		
Scaglione et al <sup>[74]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Ramipril 5mg+ Iosartan 50mg	Ramipril 5mg+ placebo	17/17 47	.06 47.06	58.00 54.0	9 (	71.50	0.45*	160.50	95.50
		Ramipril 5mg+ Iosartan 50mg	Losartan 50 mg + placebo	17/17 47	.06 47.06	58.88 56.0	9 (	70.00	0.41*	162.50	93.00
Matos et al <sup>[75]</sup>	Crossover	Perindopril 8 mg + irbesartan 300 mg	Perindopril 8 mg	20/20 25	.00 54.74	4 67.0	0.00	154.00	86.00		
		Perindopril 8 mg + irbesartan 300 mg	Irbesartan 300 mg	20/20 25	.00 54.74	4 67.0	0.97	153.50	86.00		
Esnault et al <sup>[76]</sup>	Crossover	Ramipril 5 mg + valsartan 80 mg	Ramipril 10 mg	18/18 66	.67 49.30	1 NR	3.71	149.06	83.00		
		Ramipril 5 mg + valsartan 80 mg	Valsartan 160 mg	18/18 66	.67 49.30	1 NR	3.71	149.06	83.00		
Rutkowski et al <sup>[77]</sup>	Crossover	Benazepril 5 mg + losartan 25 mg	Benazepril 10 mg	24/24 50	.00 35.46	4 85.7	2 2.13	139.52	90.73		
		Benazepril 5 mg + losartan 25 mg	Losartan 50 mg	24/24 50	.00 35.46	4 85.7	2 2.13	139.52	90.73		
Renke et al <sup>[78]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Enalapril 10 mg + losartan 25 mg	Enalapril 10 mg	16/18 68	.75 66.67	37.70 43.4	6	94.35	2.93	137.00	89.30
		Enalapril 10 mg + losartan 25 mg	Losartan 25 mg	16/18 68	.75 38.89	37.70 40.4	6 (	93.65	2.71	138.55	89.50
Nakao et al <sup>[79]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Trandolapril 3 mg+ losartan 100 mg	Trandolapril 3 mg	31/31 58	.06 54.84	43.20 43.3	36	46.35	1.95	138.00	81.00
		Trandolapril 3 mg+ losartan 100 mg	Losartan 100 mg	31/30 58	.06 56.67	42.90 43.4	36	45.90	1.90	137.00	80.50
Morgan et al <sup>(80)</sup>	Crossover	Lisinopril 20 mg + candesartan 16 mg	Lisinopril 20 mg	23/23 95	.65 75.60	1 77.0	0 NR	142.00	79.80		
		Lisinopril 20 mg + candesartan 16 mg	Lisinopril 40 mg	23/22 95	.65 75.60	1 77.0	0 NR	142.00	79.80		

5

**Table 1** 

(continued)

Index angle and the second of the	l able 1 (continued).												
Notice         Res			Renin-angiotensin-aldostero	ne system blockade		Male	(%)	Age (Y					
Note         Note <t< th=""><th>Studies</th><th>nesiren</th><th>Dual therany (molday)</th><th>Sincle therany (mo/d)</th><th></th><th>  ⊢</th><th></th><th><b>н</b></th><th>Duration C (months)</th><th>GFR (mL/min or m1 /min/1_73 m<sup>2</sup>)</th><th>Albuminuria or proteinuria (n/n or n/24h)</th><th>SBP (mm Hot)</th><th>DBP (mm Ha)</th></t<>	Studies	nesiren	Dual therany (molday)	Sincle therany (mo/d)		⊢		<b>н</b>	Duration C (months)	GFR (mL/min or m1 /min/1_73 m <sup>2</sup> )	Albuminuria or proteinuria (n/n or n/24h)	SBP (mm Hot)	DBP (mm Ha)
Hold of all function         Enclosed of clips         Consideration (clip)         Consideration (clip) <thclip (clip)<="" th="">         Consin         &lt;</thclip>		R	(fan fair) fan on ang			•	,				(a.a.a.a.a.a.		
Hold of the fail o			Lisinopril 20 mg + candesartan 16 mg	Candesartan 16 mg	23/23	95.65	75.60	1 77	.00 NR	142.00	79.80		
the full         Paralle- and Terrorisor         Terrorisor         Dimensional ( $-2$ ) ( $-2$ ( $-2$ ) ( $-2$ ( $-2$ ) ( $-2$ ) ( $-2$ ) ( $-2$ ) ( $-2$ ) ( $-2$ ) ( $-2$ ) ( $-2$ ( $-2$ ) ( $-2$ ) ( $-2$ ( $-2$ ) ( $-2$ ( $-2$ ) (			Lisinopril 20mg+ candesartan 16 mg	Candesartan 32 mg	23/23	95.65	75.60	1 77	.00 NR	142.00	79.80		
Turnol in the state of the state	Horita et al <sup>[81]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Temocapril 1 mg + losartan 12.5 mg	Temocapril 1 mg	11/10	45.45	40.00	39.60 30	.60 6	92.00	0.74	121.00	75.50
Soug et allow         Coscore         Rampid $5-7$ may exonstant $4-9m$ Rammid $5-7$ may exonstant $4-7m$ Rammid $5-7$ may exonstant $4-7m$ Rammid $5-7$ may exonstant $4-7m$ Rammid $5-7$ may exonstant $3-7m$ Rammid $5-7$ may exonstant $3-7m$ Rammid $5-7$ may exonstant $3-7m$ Rammid $3-7m$			Temocapril 1 mg + losartan 12.5 mg	Losartan 12.5 mg	11/10	45.45	50.00	39.60 42	.70 6	89.90	0.78	122.50	76.50
Rander at $1^{100}$ Rander 5-Tom- constant 4-on         Rander 4-on         <	Song et al <sup>[82]</sup>	Crossover	Ramipril 5-7.5 mg + candesartan 4-8 mg	Ramipril 5-7.5 mg+ placebo	14/14	42.86	31.00	4 60	.30 4.00	$91.20^{\dagger}$			
Signa et al <sup>NA</sup> Parale and Parale and Benageri 12-0mg         Parale and Parale and Benageri 12-0mg         Parale and Parale and ACR + parale and ACR + parale and Parale and ACR + parale and Parale and Consoner         Parale and ACR + parale and ACR + parale and Parale and Parale and ACR + parale and Parale and Pa			Ramipril 5-7.5 mg+ candesartan 4-8 mg	Ramipril 5-7.5 mg+ placebo	18/18	38.89	42.00	4 55	.40 4.10	$92.30^{+}$			
Restant form         12/12         833         667         47.90	Segura et al <sup>[83]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Benazepril 10-20 mg + valsartan 160 mg	Benazepril10-20 mg	12/12	83.33	66.67	47.90 49	.80 6	70.00	3.95	151.50	90.50
Result of all from et all mont at all form et all form			Benazepril 10–20 mg + valsartan 160 mg	Valsartan 160 mg	12/12	83.33	66.67	47.90 49	.70 6	71.00	4.35	150.50	88.00
Kin et al         Crossole         Ramport + condestran 4mg         Ramport + placebo         41/41         45.3         51.0         3         51.0         40.0         93.00 <sup>1</sup> Jacobsen et al (E+J) <sup>RII</sup> Crossole         Frakmin 40mg+ insertion 500mg         Enagent 10mg+ valisation 500mg         Enagent 10mg+ valisation 500mg         Benazepit 20mg+ valisation 500mg         Benazepit 20mg+ valisation 500mg         Benazepit 20mg+ valisation 500mg         Benazepit 20mg+ valisation 500mg         Valisation 50	Rossing et al <sup>[84]</sup>	Crossover	ACEI + candesartan 16 mg	ACEI + placebo	20/20	85.00	62.00	2	IR >0.30*	NR	NR		
	Kim et al <sup>(85]</sup>	Crossover	Ramipril + candesartan 4 mg	Ramipril + placebo	41/41	46.34	34.00	3 61	.20 4.00	$93.00^{\dagger}$			
Jacobsen et al (B+V) <sup>[67]</sup> Cressore         Berazepril 20mg + valartan 80 mg         Benazepril 20mg	Jacobsen et al (E+I) <sup>[86]</sup>	Crossover	Enalapril 40 mg + irbesartan 300 mg	Enalapril 40 mg + placebo	24/24	70.83	42.00	2	IR >0.30 <sup>*</sup>	NR	NR		
Reaction         Benaction         Benaction <t< td=""><td>Jacobsen et al (B+V)<sup>[B7]</sup></td><td>Crossover</td><td>Benazepril 20 mg + valsartan 80 mg</td><td>Benazepril 20 mg</td><td>18/18</td><td>72.22</td><td>43.00</td><td>~</td><td>IR 0.67<sup>*</sup></td><td>141.00</td><td>81.00</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Jacobsen et al (B+V) <sup>[B7]</sup>	Crossover	Benazepril 20 mg + valsartan 80 mg	Benazepril 20 mg	18/18	72.22	43.00	~	IR 0.67 <sup>*</sup>	141.00	81.00		
Campbel et al <sup>961</sup> Cossoler         Benzapril 10mg + telearten 80mg         Benzapril 20mg         24/24         55.8         45.0         2         65.14         3.28         14.000         91.00 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>Benazepril 20 mg + valsartan 80 mg</td><td>Valsartan 80 mg</td><td>18/18</td><td>72.22</td><td>43.00</td><td>2</td><td>IR 0.67<sup>*</sup></td><td>141.00</td><td>81.00</td><td></td><td></td></th<>			Benazepril 20 mg + valsartan 80 mg	Valsartan 80 mg	18/18	72.22	43.00	2	IR 0.67 <sup>*</sup>	141.00	81.00		
This         Benazapril 10mg + valsartan 80 mg         Valsartan 160 mg         2424         56.3         48.90         2         69.14         3.28         14.000         91.00           Tylicki et al <sup>(01)</sup> Parallel - am         Enalgapi 10mg + valsartan 80 mg         Valsartan 160 mg         15/17         73.33         11.8         66.4         43.00         3         95.05         2.97         136.68         8           Ressing et al <sup>(01)</sup> Crossover         ACE + parelear an 5mg         Losantan 25mg         Losantan 25mg         157.17         73.33         11.8         66.6         57.00         57.00         85.00         2.97         136.68         2.93         25.00         57.00         87.00	Campbell et al <sup>[88]</sup>	Crossover	Benazepril 10 mg + valsartan 80 mg	Benazepril 20 mg	24/24	95.83	48.90	2 69	.14 3.28	140.00	91.00		
Tyriki et al[94] = Parallel - arm Enalgeni 10mg + losartan 25mg Enalgeni 10mg = 15/17 7.333 70.56 36.64 4.00 3 95.05 2.97 136.68 2.97 138.71 8 8 8 4.00 3 93.35 2.11 138.71 8 8 8 8 8 7 8 93.35 2.11 138.71 8 8 8 8 8 7 8 93.35 2.11 138.71 8 8 8 8 8 7 8 93.35 2.11 138.71 8 8 8 8 8 7 8 93.35 2 7 138.71 8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			Benazepril 10 mg + valsartan 80 mg	Valsartan 160 mg	24/24	95.83	48.90	2 69	.14 3.28	140.00	91.00		
Resident of allowed al	Tylicki et al <sup>[89]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Enalapril 10 mg + losartan 25 mg	Enalapril 10 mg	15/17	73.33	70.59	36.64 44	.00 3	95.05	2.97	136.68	88.34
Resing et al <sup>[90]</sup> Crossore         ACEI + candesartan 8mg         ACEI + placebo         18/18         76.47         58.00         2         NR         1.78         159.00         85.00           Nakamura et al <sup>[91]</sup> Paralel - arm         Trandolapril 2 mg + candesartan 8mg         Trandolapril 2 mg         Trandolapril 2 mg         Trandolapril 2 mg         Trandolapril 2 mg         100.00         57.00         18         10.260         NR         1123.00         73.00<			Enalapril 10 mg + losartan 25 mg	Losartan 25 mg	15/17	73.33	41.18	36.64 41	.23 3	93.35	2.71	138.71	89.00
Nalamura et al <sup>[91]</sup> Parallel arm         Tranologini 2 mg + candesartan 8mg         Tranologini 2 mg + candesartan 16 mg         Tranologini 2 mg + candesartan	Rossing et al <sup>ígo]</sup>	Crossover	ACEI + candesartan 8 mg	ACEI + placebo	18/18	76.47	58.00	2	JR 1.78 <sup>*</sup>	159.00	85.00		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Nakamura et al <sup>[91]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Trandolapril 2 mg+ candesartan 8 mg	Trandolapril 2mg	15/15	73.33	66.67	57.80 57	.00 18	102.60	NR	123.00	75.00
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			Trandolapril 2 mg + candesartan 8 mg	Candesartan 8mg	15/15	73.33	60.00	57.80 56	.50 18	103.70	NR	122.00	74.00
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Luño et al <sup>[92]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Lisinopril 20 mg + candesartan 16 mg	Lisinopril 40 mg	16/14	56.25	85.71	42.00 50	.00 6	90.00	3.70	135.00	82.00
Kincaid-Smith et al <sup>[94]</sup> Crossover         ACEI + candesartan 8mg         ACEI         60/60         NR         NR         NR         NR         2.30         136:50         8           Jacobsen et al <sup>[94]</sup> Crossover         ACEI + inbeaartan 300mg         ACEI + placebo $21/21$ $80.95$ $45.00$ $2$ NR $187$ $156.00$ $87.00$ Jacobsen et al <sup>[94]</sup> Crossover         Fosinopril 20mg+ irbesartan 300mg         ACEI + placebo $21/21$ $80.95$ $45.00$ $2$ NR $187$ $156.00$ $87.00$ Ferrai et al <sup>[94]</sup> Crossover         Fosinopril 20mg+ irbesartan 150 mg         Fosinopril 20mg $10/10$ $70.00$ $48.00$ $15.770$ $7.90$ $143.50$ $91.00$ Berger et al <sup>[96]</sup> Crossover         ACEI + placebo $12/12$ $57.00$ $7.90$ $147.50$ $75.00$ $79.00$ $75.00$ $79.00$ $75.00$ $79.00$ Regr et al <sup>[96]</sup> Crossover         ACEI + placebo $12/12$ $57.70$ $51.40$ $128.50$ $79.00$ $75.00$ $79.00$ Ruit			Lisinopril 20 mg+ candesartan 16 mg	Candesartan 32 mg	16/15	56.25	66.67	42.00 45	.00 6	100.00	3.90	134.00	82.00
Jacobsen et al <sup>[94]</sup> Crossover         ACEI + irbesartan 300mg         ACEI + placebo $21/21$ $80.95$ $45.00$ $2$ NR $1.87$ $156.00$ $87.00$ Ferrai et al <sup>[93]</sup> Crossover         Fosinopril 20mg + irbesartan 150 mg         Fosinopril 20mg $10/10$ $70.00$ $48.00$ $15$ $77.00$ $7.90$ $143.50$ $91.00$ Berger et al <sup>[96]</sup> Crossover         ACEI + candesartan 150 mg         Irbesartan 150 mg $10/10$ $70.00$ $48.00$ $15$ $77.00$ $7.90$ $143.50$ $91.00$ Berger et al <sup>[96]</sup> Crossover         ACEI + candesartan 8mg         ACEI + placebo $12/12$ $50.00$ $22.00$ $25.00$ $20.00$ $117.50$ $75.00$ $79.00$ Bergar         Enalapril 5 mg + losartan 50 mg         ACEI + placebo $177.50$ $75.00$ $75.00$ $75.00$ $75.00$ $75.00$ $75.00$ $75.00$ $75.00$ $77.50$ $77.50$ Agarwal <sup>[98]</sup> Drasor	Kincaid-Smith et al <sup>[93]</sup>	Crossover	ACEI + candesartan 8 mg	ACEI	60/09	NR	NR	NR	JR 3	NR	2.30	136.50	83.00
Ferrar et al <sup>[93]</sup> Crossover         Fosinopril 20mg+ irbesartan 150mg         Fosinopril 20mg         Fosinopril 20mg+ irbesartan 150mg         Fosino 10mg+	Jacobsen et al <sup>[94]</sup>	Crossover	ACEI + irbesartan 300 mg	ACEI + placebo	21/21	80.95	45.00	2	JR 1.87 <sup>*</sup>	156.00	87.00		
Fosinopril 20mg + irbesartan 150mg         Inbesartan 150mg         10/10         70.00         48.00         1.5         77.00         7.90         143.50         91.00           Berger et al <sup>901</sup> Crossover         ACE1 + candesartan 8mg         ACE1 + placebo         12/12         50.00         2.00         128.50         79.00           Tuthricuic et al <sup>971</sup> Parallel - arm         Enalapril 5 mg + losartan 50 mg         Enalapril 5 mg         Losartan 50 mg         10/12         NR         57.70         51.40         12         NR         0.09*         117.50         75.00           Agarwal <sup>1981</sup> Parallel - arm         Enalapril 5 mg + losartan 50 mg         Losartan 50 mg         Losartan 50 mg         Losartan 50 mg         10/12         NR         57.70         51.40         12         NR         0.10*         117.50         75.0           Agarwal <sup>1981</sup> Crossover         Usinopril 40 mg + losartan 50 mg         Losartan 50 mg         Usinopril 40 mg + placebo         16/16         NR         57.70         58.10         126.00         88.00           Ruiope et al <sup>1994</sup> Parallel - arm         Benazepril 5 or 10 mg + valsartan 160 mg         Valsartan 160 mg         42/22         70.0         57.00         57.30         1         NR         1	Ferrari et al <sup>[95]</sup>	Crossover	Fosinopril 20 mg+ irbesartan 150 mg	Fosinopril 20 mg	10/10	70.00	48.00	1.5 77	06.7 00.	143.50	91.00		
Berger et al <sup>pol</sup> Crossover         ACEI + candesartan 8mg         ACEI + placebo         12/12         50.00         22.00         2.85.00         7.00         7.00           Tuitricci et al <sup>gr1</sup> Parallel arm         Enalapril 5 mg + losartan 50 mg         Enalapril 5 mg         Losartan 50 mg         10/12         NR         57.70         51.40         12         NR         0.09*         117.50         75.00           Tuitricci et al <sup>gr1</sup> Enalapril 5 mg + losartan 50 mg         Losartan 50 mg         Losartan 50 mg         Losartan 50 mg         10/12         NR         57.70         58.10         12         NR         0.10*         117.50         75.0           Agarwal <sup>real</sup> Crossover         Lisinopril 40 mg + losartan 50 mg         Losartan 50 mg         Losartan 50 mg         42/12         7.00         73.00         1         NR         0.10*         117.50         75.0           Ruilope et al <sup>gel</sup> Parallel arm         Benazepril 5 or 10 mg + valsartan 80 mg         Valsartan 160 mg         42/22         7.00         73.00         56.00         57.30         1         NR         1.17         156.50         \$           Ruilope et al <sup>gel</sup> Parallel arm         Benazepril 5 or 10 mg + valsartan 160 mg         Valsartan 160 mg         42/22			Fosinopril 20 mg + irbesartan 150 mg	Irbesartan 150 mg	10/10	70.00	48.00	1.5 77	06.7 00.	143.50	91.00		
Tutitricuit et al <sup>1371</sup> Parallel- arm         Enalapril 5 mg + losartan 50 mg         Enalapril 5 mg         Io/12         NR         57.70         51.40         12         NR         0.09*         117.50         75.00           Reinder and the contraction 50 mg         Losartan 50 mg         Losartan 50 mg         Losartan 50 mg         Losartan 50 mg         10/12         NR         57.70         58.10         12         NR         0.10*         117.50         77.50           Agarwall <sup>681</sup> Crossover         Lisinopril 40 mg+ losartan 50 mg         Losinopril 40 mg+ placebo         16/16         NR         53.00         1         66.00         3.58         156.00         88.00           Ruilope et al <sup>1991</sup> Parallel- arm         Benazepril 5 or 10 mg+ valsartan 80 mg         Valsartan 160 mg         42/22         70.0         73.00         56.90         57.30         1         NR         1,77         156.50         \$           Ruilope et al <sup>1991</sup> Parallel- arm         Benazepril 5 or 10 mg+ valsartan 160 mg         Valsartan 160 mg         42/22         70.00         73.00         1         NR         1,77         157.50         \$	Berger et al <sup>[96]</sup>	Crossover	ACEI + candesartan 8 mg	ACEI + placebo	12/12	50.00	52.00	2 65	.00 2.00	128.50	79.00		
Enalapril 5 mg + losartan 50 mg         Losartan 50 mg         Losartan 50 mg         10/12         NR         57.70         58.10         12         NR         0.10 <sup>*</sup> 117.50         77.50           Agarwal <sup>(90]</sup> Crossover         Usinopril 40 mg + losartan 50 mg         Usinopril 40 mg + losartan 50 mg         Usinopril 40 mg + placebo         16/16         NR         53.00         1         66.00         3.58         156.00         88.00           Ruilope et al <sup>1991</sup> Parallel- arm         Benazepril 5 or 10 mg + valsartan 80 mg         Valsartan 160 mg         42/22         70.00         73.00         1         NR         137         156.50         5           Benazepril 5 or 10 mg + valsartan 160 mg         Valsartan 160 mg         44/22         29/25         66.00         57.30         1         NR         177         157.50         5	Tütüncü et al <sup>[97]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Enalapril 5 mg + losartan 50 mg	Enalapril 5 mg	10/12	NR	57.70	51.40	2 NR	0.09*	117.50	75.00	
Agarwal <sup>(96)</sup> Crossover         Lisinopril 40 mg + losartan 50 mg         Lisinopril 40 mg + losartan 50 mg         Lisinopril 40 mg + losartan 50 mg         S3.00         1 66.00         3.58         156.00         88.00           Ruikope et al <sup>(94)</sup> Parallel - arm         Benazepril 5 or 10 mg + valsartan 80 mg         Valsartan 160 mg         42/22         70.00         73.00         56.90         57.30         1         NR         156.50         5           Ruikope et al <sup>(94)</sup> Parallel - arm         Benazepril 5 or 10 mg + valsartan 160 mg         Valsartan 160 mg         44/22         29/25         66.00         57.30         1         NR         1.77         157.50         5			Enalapril 5 mg + losartan 50 mg	Losartan 50 mg	10/12	NR	57.70	58.10	2 NR	0.10*	117.50	77.50	
Ruilope et a <sup>[94]</sup> Parallel- arm         Benazepril 5 or 10mg + valsartan 80 mg         Valsartan 160 mg         42/22         70.00         73.00         56.90         57.30         1         NR         1.56.50         5           Ruilope et a <sup>[94]</sup> Parallel- arm         Benazepril 5 or 10 mg + valsartan 160 mg         Valsartan 160 mg         44/22         29/25         66.00         57.30         1         NR         1.77         157.50         5	Agarwal <sup>[98]</sup>	Crossover	Lisinopril 40 mg + losartan 50 mg	Lisinopril 40 mg + placebo	16/16	NR	53.00	1 66	.00 3.58	156.00	88.00		
Benazepril 5 or 10mg + valsartan 160mg Valsartan 160mg 44/22 29/25 66.00 57.60 57.30 1 NR 1.77 157.50 5	Ruilope et al <sup>[99]</sup>	Parallel- arm	Benazepril 5 or 10 mg + valsartan 80 mg	Valsartan 160 mg	42/22	70.00	73.00	56.90 57	30 1	NR	1.81	156.50	93.50
			Benazepril 5 or 10 mg + valsartan 160 mg	Valsartan 160 mg	44/22	29/25	66.00	57.60 57	30 1	NR	1.77	157.50	94.50

treatment group, Y = year. \* Value represents urinary albumin excretion. \* Mean arterial pressure.

Zhao et al. Medicine (2021) 100:35

6







Figure 3. Comparison of dual RAAS blockade and single RAAS blockade for urine albumin excretion. RAAS = renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system.

## Table 2

## Summary effect of dual vs single RAAS blockade therapy on continuous outcomes.

			Random-effects mode	el	Assessment	of heterogeneity	Publication	bias ( <i>P</i> -value)
Outcomes	No. study arms	No. participants	95% Cl	<i>P</i> -value	l <sup>2</sup> (%)	P-value	Begg's Test	Egger's test
Urine albumin excretion (g/g of creatinine or g/24 h)	22	1,018	SMD: -0.53 (-0.75, -0.30)	<.001	66.2	<.001	.004	<.001
Urine protein excretion (g/g of creatinine or g/24 h)	50	2,586	SMD: -0.17 (-0.27, -0.07)	.001	33.5	.01	.12	.10
Glomerular filtration rate (mL/min or mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )	61	4,162	SMD: -0.07 (-0.13, -0.01)	.02	0.0	1.00	.39	.72
Serum potassium (mmol/L)	52	3,464	WMD: 0.10 (0.05, 0.15)	<.001	63.1	<.001	.67	.06
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	76	3,730	WMD: -1.35 (-1.86, -0.84)	<.001	0.0	.53	.48	<.001
Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg)	76	3,730	WMD: -2.03 (-2.97, -1.09)	<.001	78.1	<.001	.58	.007

CI = confidence interval.

D	SMD (95% CI)	Weight
Shima Y. 2019	-0.39 (-0.89, 0.11)	2.43
Zinellu A. 2016	-0.36 (-1.17, 0.45)	1.23
Woo KT. 2014A	0.00 (-0.39, 0.39)	3.25
Woo KT. 2014B	0.85 (0.45, 1.25)	3.11
Rajkumar J.2014	0.69 (0.12, 1.26)	2.06
Lizakowski S. 2013A	-0.21 (-0.86, 0.45)	1.69
Lizakowski S. 2013B	0.19 (-0.47, 0.84)	1.70
Fernandez Juarez G. 2013A	0.27 (-0.14, 0.68)	3.08
Fernandez Juarez G. 2013B	0.02 (-0.42, 0.46)	2.85
Slagman MC.2011A	-0.23 (-0.62, 0.16)	3.25
Slagman MC.2011B	-0.15 (-0.54, 0.23)	3.26
Slagman MC.2011C	-0.14 (-0.52, 0.25)	3.26
Slagman MC.2011D	-0.27 (-0.66, 0.11)	3.25
Ohishi M.2010	0.11 (-0.53, 0.76)	1.73
Krairittichai U. 2009	0.01 (-0.43, 0.45)	2.85
Mori-Takeyama U.2008	-0.48 (-0.94, -0.03)	2.74
Song JH. 2006A	-0.37 (-0.98, 0.24)	1.88
Song JH. 2006B	-0.23 (-0.84, 0.38)	1.89
Kanno Y. 2006	-0.60 (-1.02, -0.17)	2.96
Igarashi M. 2006	-0.94 (-1.75, -0.13)	1.21
Horita Y. 2006A	-0.09 (-0.85, 0.66)	1.36
Horita Y. 2006B	-0.25 (-0.98, 0.49)	1.42
Matos JP. 2005A	0.13 (-0.59, 0.84)	1.48
Matos JP. 2005B	-0.18 (-0.90, 0.54)	1.48
Esnault VL. 2005A	-0.23 (-0.89, 0.42)	1.69
Esnault VL. 2005B	-0.01 (-0.66, 0.65)	1.70
Esnault VL. 2005C	0.01 (-0.64, 0.67)	1.70
Esnault VL. 2005D	-0.08 (-0.73, 0.58)	1.70
Rutkowski P. 2004A	-0.64 (-1.22, -0.06)	2.01
Rutkowski P. 2004B	-0.47 (-1.04, 0.11)	2.04
Renke M. 2004A	0.26 (-0.42, 0.94)	1.62
Renke M. 2004B	0.34 (-0.33, 1.02)	1.61
Horita Y. 2004A	-0.19 (-1.05, 0.67)	1.11
Horita Y. 2004B	-0.28 (-1.14, 0.58)	1.10
Song JH.2003A	-0.42 (-1.17, 0.33)	1.38
Song JH.2003B	-0.19 (-0.84, 0.47)	1.70
Segura J. 2003A	-0.85 (-1.68, -0.01)	1.15
Segura J. 2003B	-0.65 (-1.48, 0.17)	1.19
Kim MJ.2003	-0.47 (-0.91, -0.03)	2.84
Campbell R. 2003A	-0.22 (-0.78, 0.35)	2.07
Campbell R. 2003B	-0.33 (-0.90, 0.24)	2.06
Luño J. 2002A	-0.44 (-1.17, 0.28)	1.45
Luño J. 2002B	-0.78 (-1.52, -0.05)	1.43
Kincaid-Smith P. 2002	-0.16 (-0.53, 0.20)	3.43
Ferrari P. 2002A	-0.44 (-1.33, 0.45)	1.05
Ferrari P. 2002B	-0.39 (-1.28, 0.49)	1.05
Berger ED. 2002	-0.58 (-1.40, 0.24)	1.20
Agarwal R.2001	0.12 (-0.58, 0.81)	1.56
Ruilope LM. 2000A	-0.08 (-0.59, 0.44)	2.35
Ruilope LM. 2000B	-0.34 (-0.86, 0.18)	2.35
Overall (I-squared = 33.5%, p = 0.013)	-0.17 (-0.27, -0.07)	100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis		



Study		%
ID	RR (95% CI)	Weight
Shima Y. 2019	1.00 (0.84, 1.20)	11.59
Makhlough A. 2014	1.29 (0.79, 2.08)	9.13
Menne J. 2008A	2.20 (1.04, 4.65)	6.78
Menne J. 2008B	1.21 (0.66, 2.22)	8.00
Ogawa S. 2007A	1.65 (0.62, 4.45)	5.09
Ogawa S. 2007B	0.88 (0.41, 1.89)	6.68
Ogawa S. 2007C	1.55 (0.56, 4.28)	4.95
Ogawa S. 2007D	0.83 (0.38, 1.83)	6.44
Sengul AM. 2006A	→ 17.35 (1.03, 292.39)	0.99
Sengul AM. 2006B	→ 17.35 (1.03, 292.39)	0.99
Sengul AM. 2006C	<b>14.70 (0.86, 250.46)</b>	0.98
Sengul AM. 2006D		0.98
Atmaca A. 2006A	0.84 (0.53, 1.34)	9.31
Atmaca A. 2006B	0.96 (0.57, 1.64)	8.66
Nakamura T. 2002A		0.98
Nakamura T. 2002B		0.98
Tütüncü NB. 2001A	0.84 (0.52, 1.36)	9.16
Tütüncü NB. 2001B	1.05 (0.59, 1.86)	8.31
Overall (I-squared = 65.0%, p = 0.000)	1.27 (0.95, 1.71)	100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis		
.00342 1	292	

Figure 5. Comparison of dual RAAS blockade and single RAAS blockade for regression to normoalbuminuria. RAAS = renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system.

## Table 3

Summary effect of dual vs single RAAS blockade therapy on dichotomous outcomes.

			Random-effects	s model	Assessment of	of heterogeneity	Publication	pias ( <i>P</i> -value)
Outcomes	No. study arms	No. participants	RR (95% CI)	P-value	<i>l</i> ² (%)	P-value	Begg's test	Egger's test
Any adverse effect	21	7,530	1.05 (1.00, 1.11)	.07	0.0	.67	.12	.23
Development of hyperkalemia	43	9,576	1.78 (1.41, 2.24)	<.001	15.9	.19	.22	.008
Development of hypotension	24	3,659	2.38 (1.58, 3.58)	<.001	0.0	.97	.59	.29
Doubling of serum creatinine	5	1,872	1.10 (0.66, 1.83)	.73	16.7	.31	.22	.76
Acute kidney injury	4	2,649	1.42 (0.98, 2.06)	.07	13.5	.33	1.00	0.80
End-stage renal disease	8	3,521	0.72 (0.51, 1.03)	.07	0.0	.80	.90	.34
Mortality	8	4,799	0.88 (0.67, 1.16)	.37	28.1	.20	0.54	0.35
Hospitalization	6	615	1.40 (0.52, 3.74)	.51	37.9	.15	.71	.02
Regression to normoalbuminuria	18	1,100	1.27 (0.95, 1.71)	.11	65.0	<.001	.004	<.001

CI = confidence interval.

D	SMD (95% Cl)	Weight
Shima V 2010	0.01 (.0.46, 0.53)	1.50
Zinellu A. 2016	-034 (-115, 046)	0.57
WeekT 2014A	012/027 051	0.40
Woo KT 2014B	0.02 (0.22, 0.31)	2.40
Makhardh A. 2014	0.00 (-0.33, 0.39)	1.49
Makriough A, 2014	0.38 (-0.13, 0.89)	1.42
Ziaee A. 2013	-0.24 (-0.75, 0.27)	1.44
Nakamura A. 2013	0.07(-0.67, 0.81)	0.68
Lizakowski S. 2013A	-0.02 (-0.68, 0.63)	18.0
Lizakowski S. 2013B	0.01 (-0.64, 0.66)	0.87
Bakris GL. 2013	-0.07 (-0.18, 0.05)	27.49
Titan SM. 2011	-0.23 (-0.82, 0.37)	1.05
Slagman MC.2011A	0.05 (-0.33, 0.44)	2.51
Slagman MC.2011B	-0.15 (-0.54, 0.23)	2.50
Meier P. 2011A	-0.05 (-0.67, 0.57)	0.97
Meier P. 2011B	0.15 (-0.47, 0.77)	0.96
Ohishi M.2010	0.09 (-0.55, 0.74)	0.89
Mehdi UF. 2009A	-0.27 (-0.87, 0.34)	1.00
Mehdi UF. 2009B	-0.36 (-1.00, 0.29)	0.89
Krainittichai U. 2009	-0.50 (-0.94, -0.05)	1.87
Knudsen ST. 2008	-0.08 (-0.63, 0.46)	1.23
Song JH. 2006A	-0.26 (-0.87, 0.35)	1.01
Song JH. 20068	0.03 (-0.57, 0.64)	1.01
Sengul AM. 2006A	0.04 (-0.36, 0.44)	2.29
Sengul AM. 2006B	0.49 (0.08, 0.90)	2.23
Sengul AM. 2006C	-0.36 (-0.77, 0.04)	2.30
Sengul AM. 2006D	0.03 (-0.36, 0.43)	2.34
Igarashi M. 2006	0.10 (-0.67, 0.87)	0.63
Horita Y 2006A	0.09 (-0.66, 0.85)	0.65
Horita Y. 2006B	0.02 (-0.71, 0.75)	0.69
Enstein M 2006A	-0.22(-0.51, 0.08)	4.37
Enstein M 2006B	-0.18(-0.48.0.11)	4.25
Choreostamou & 2006A	-0.31 (-1.20, 0.57)	0.49
Charlestemou A 2006P	0.57(116,022)	0.46
Pablaadl KL 0005	0.57 (1.46, 0.33)	0.40
Scripted Na. 2005	-0.13(-0.17, 0.47)	0.30
Scaglione P. 2005A	-0.52(-1.20, 0.17)	0.79
Scaglione R. 2005B	-0.12 (-0.80, 0.55)	0.82
Malos JP. 2005A	0.04 (-0.68, 0.76)	0.72
Malos JP. 2005B	-0.09 (-0.80, 0.63)	0.72
Rutkowski P. 2004A	-0.06 (-0.63, 0.50)	1.16
Rutkowski P. 2004B	-0.08 (-0.65, 0.48)	1.16
Renke M. 2004A	-0.57 (-1.25, 0.12)	0.78
Renke M. 2004B	-0.21 (-0.89, 0.46)	0.81
Horita Y. 2004A	-0.02 (-0.88, 0.83)	0.51
Horita Y. 2004B	-0.00 (-0.86, 0.86)	0.51
Song JH.2003 A	-0.07 (-0.81, 0.67)	0.68
Song JH.2003B	-0.19 (-0.84, 0.47)	0.87
Segura J. 2003A	-0.08 (-0.88, 0.72)	0.58
Segura J. 2003B	-0.05 (-0.85, 0.75)	0.58
Rossing K. 2003	-0.12 (-0.74, 0.50)	0.96
Kim MJ.2003	-0.04 (-0.47, 0.40)	1.98
Jacobsen P. (E+I) 2003	-0.08 (-0.65, 0.48)	1.16
Campbell R. 2003A	0.03 (-0.54, 0.59)	1.16
Campbell R. 2003B	0.01 (-0.55, 0.58)	1.16
Rossing K. 2002	-0.18 (-0.85, 0.48)	0.84
Luño J. 2002A	0.24 (-0.48, 0.96)	0.72
Luño J. 2002B	0.00 (-0.70, 0.70)	0.75
Jacobsen P. 2002	-0.08 (-0.72, 0.55)	0.92
Ferrari P. 2002A	0.00 (-0.88, 0.89)	0.48
Ferrari P. 2002B	0.23.61.11.0.65	0.48
Berger ED. 2002	010(-0.90,0.70)	0.58
Anarwal B 2001	0.10 (-0.50, 0.70)	0.77
Overall (Leguard = 0.0% p = 0.000)	0.07(0.10, 0.01)	100.00
Coreran (resolution = 0.0%, h = 0.999)	-0.07 (-0.13, -0.01)	100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis		

Figure 6. Comparison of dual RAAS blockade and single RAAS blockade for GFR. GFR = glomerular filtration rate, RAAS = renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system.

Makhlough A. 2014

Ziaee A. 2013

Lizakowski S. 2013A	0.11 (0.03, 0.1	.9) 4.51
Lizakowski S. 2013B	-0.17 (-0.23, -(	0.11) 4.68
Bakris GL. 2013	0.04 (-0.01, 0,	09) 4,79
Slagman MC.2011A	0.30 (0.02, 0.5	(8) 2.07
Slagman MC 2011B	0.00 (-0.28, 0,	28) 2.07
Meier P. 2011A	0.30 (0.10, 0.5	50) 2.92
Meier P 2011B	0.10(-0.18.0	38) 2.04
Aasaitis-Zagaiewska A 2009	0.00(-0.45.0	45) 1.04
Krairittichai U. 2009	0.18(0.12.0.2	(4) 4.70
Edwards NC, 2009	0.20 (0.01, 0.3	39) 3.01
Aori-Takevama U.2008	-0.10 (-0.21, 0	4.03
Song JH. 2006A	-0.10 (-0.33, 0	(13) 2.53
Song JH. 2006B	-0.10 (-0.33, 0	.13) 2.53
(anno Y. 2006	0.10(-1.84.2	04) 0.07
forita Y 2006A	0.10(-0.73.0.	93) 0.37
Horita Y 2006B	0.10(-0.73.0.	93) 0.37
Chrysostomou A. 2006A	0.30(-0.12.0	72) 1.17
Chrysostomou A. 2006B	0.50 (-0.08, 1,	08) 0.69
Schioedt KJ. 2005	0.20 (-0.08.0.	48) 2.05
Scaglione B 2005A	0.10(-0.41.0	61) 0.88
Scaglione B 2005B	0.10(-0.37.0	57) 0.99
Aatos JP 2005A	0.50(-0.20, 1	20) 0.49
Aatos JP. 2005B	0.40(-0.22.1	02) 0.62
snault VL 2005A	0.15(-0.28.0	58) 1.16
snault VL 2005B	0.04(-0.39.0	47) 1.12
Autkowski P 2004A	0.13(-0.26.0	52) 1.29
Autkowski P 2004B	0.10(-0.27.0	47) 1.45
Aorgan T. 2004A	0.02(-0.21.0	25) 2.48
Aorgan T. 2004B	-0.09 (-0.31, 0	2.62
Norgan T. 2004C	0.18 (-0.02, 0.	38) 2.93
Norgan T. 2004D	0.03 (-0.18, 0.	24) 2.77
lorita Y. 2004A	0.10 (-0.77, 0.	97) 0.33
Horita Y. 2004B	0.06 (-0.77, 0.	89) 0.36
Song JH.2003A	0.10 (-0.17, 0.	37) 2.10
Song JH.2003B	0.10 (-0.17, 0.	37) 2.09
Segura J. 2003A	0.10 (-0.42, 0.	62) 0.83
Segura J. 2003B	0.00 (-0.49, 0.	49) 0.93
Rossing K. 2003	0.20 (-0.08, 0.	48) 2.05
(im MJ.2003	0.10 (-0.18, 0.	38) 2.07
acobsen P. (E+I) 2003	0.10 (-0.18, 0.	38) 2.07
acobsen P. (B+V) 2003A	0.30 (-0.14, 0.	74) 1.10
acobsen P. (B+V) 2003B	0.40 (0.12, 0.6	38) 2.04
Campbell R. 2003A	0.18 (-0.05, 0.	41) 2.54
Campbell R. 2003B	0.30 (0.08, 0.5	2.60
Rossing K. 2002	0.20 (-0.61, 1.	01) 0.38
(incaid-Smith P. 2002	0.00 (-0.25, 0.	25) 2.34
acobsen P. 2002	0.30 (0.03, 0.5	57) 2.11
errari P. 2002A	-0.10 (-0.55, 0	.35) 1.07
errari P. 2002B	0.00 (-0.48, 0.	48) 0.94
Agarwal R.2001	0.20 (-0.15, 0.	55) 1.52
Overall (I-squared = 63.1%, p = 0.000)	0.10 (0.05, 0.1	5) 100.00
IOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis		
2.04		

Figure 7. Comparison of dual RAAS blockade and single RAAS blockade for serum potassium. RAAS = renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system.

analysis, dual RAAS blockade therapy significantly increased the serum potassium (WMD, 0.10; 95% CI, 0.05 to 0.15; P < .001) (Fig. 7, Table 2). Meta-analysis showed that the rate of hyperkalemia (RR, 1.78; 95% CI, 1.41 to 2.24; P<.001) was higher with dual RAAS blockade therapy (Fig. 8, Table 3).

## 3.3. Effect of dual renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system blockade therapy on blood pressure

Seventy-six study arms reported on changes of SBP and DBP, and 24 study arms reported the rate of hypotension. Compared with the single therapy, dual RAAS blockade therapy significantly

Study

ID

%

Weight

3.09

3.01

WMD (95% CI)

-0.17 (-0.35, 0.01)

0.24 (0.05, 0.43)

D	RR (95% CI)	% Weight
Saglimbene V. 2018A	1.49 (0.53, 4.15)	3.97
Saglimbene V. 2018B	1.28 (0.48, 3.40)	4.26
Chen Y. 2018A	3.06 (0.13, 73.36)	0.51
Chen Y. 2018B	14.04 (0.81, 242.87)	0.63
Chen Y. 2018C	1.00 (0.06, 15.57)	0.68
Chen Y. 2018D	6.37 (0.79, 51.00)	1.15
Bakris GL.2015	2.22 (0.13, 38.13)	0.64
Woo KT. 2014A	1.94 (1.00, 3.75)	7.28
Woo KT. 2014B	2.42 (1.17, 5.03)	6.44
Schrier RW. 2014	2.30 (0.81, 6.52)	3.85
Makhlough A. 2014	3.00 (0.13, 70.83)	0.52
Fried LF. 2013	+ <b>+</b> - 2.25 (1.50, 3.37)	11.66
Fernandez Juarez G. 2013A	0.88 (0.51, 1.53)	8.99
Fernandez Juarez G. 2013B	0.84 (0.47, 1.48)	8.61
Bakris GL. 2013	0.98 (0.20, 4.86)	1.87
Titan SM. 2011	3.29 (0.37, 29.20)	1.05
Slagman MC.2011A	21.00 (1.26, 349.29)	0.65
Slagman MC.2011B	• 0.14 (0.01, 2.70)	0.60
Cice G. 2010	2.53 (0.50, 12.86)	1.81
Mehdi UF. 2009A	5.19 (1.26, 21.47)	2.31
Mehdi UF. 2009B	7.00 (1.76, 27.89)	2.41
Edwards NC. 2009	1.00 (0.15, 6.85)	1.33
Parving HH. 2008	0.87 (0.44, 1.72)	7.09
Menne J. 2008A	1.09 (0.07, 16.94)	0.68
Menne J. 2008B	1.00 (0.06, 15,48)	0.68
Bakris GL 2007	0.99 (0.32, 3.00)	3.46
van den Meiracker AH. 2006	5.17 (0.64, 41,63)	1.15
Song JH 2006A	2 00 (0 20 20 41)	0.94
Song JH, 2006B	5,00 (0,25, 98,27)	0.58
Epstein M. 2006A	0.99 (0.26, 3.83)	2.50
Epstein M 2006B	2 15 (0 67, 6 86)	3 24
Chrysostomou A 2006B	3 00 (0 14 65 90)	0.54
Schipedt K.L. 2005	3 00 (0 13 69 87)	0.52
Matos JP 2005A	7 00 (0.39, 124,83)	0.62
Matos JP. 2005B	3 00 (0.35, 25, 68)	1.09
Morgan T 2004A	9 00 (0.51, 158 17)	0.63
Morgan T 2004B	8 62 (0 49, 151 39)	0.63
Morgan T 2004C	9.00 (0.51, 158, 17)	0.63
Morgan T 2004D	9 00 (0 51, 158,17)	0.63
Kincaid-Smith P 2002	3.00 (0.12, 72.20)	0.51
Jacobsen P 2002	7 00 (0.38, 127, 22)	0.61
Ruilone I M 2000A		1 15
Ruilope LM 2000B		0.92
$\Omega_{\rm varell}$ (Lequared = 15.9% $n = 0.196$ )		100.00
Sverali (I-squared = 15.9%, $\mu$ = 0.100)	1.70 (1.41, 2.24)	100.00

Figure 8. Comparison of dual RAAS blockade and single RAAS blockade for the development of hyperkalemia. RAAS = renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system.

decreased the SBP (WMD, -1.35; 95% CI, -1.86 to -0.84; P < .001) and DBP (WMD, -2.03; 95% CI, -2.97 to -1.09; P < .001) (Figs. 9 and 10, Table 2). Compared with the single therapy, the rate of hypotension was higher with dual RAAS blockade therapy (RR, 2.38; 95% CI, 1.58 to 3.58; P < .001) (Fig. 11, Table 3).

# 3.4. Effect of dual renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system blockade therapy on other endpoints

Twenty-one study arms reported on the incidence of any adverse effect (as defined in individual trials, such as hyperkalemia, hypotension, cough, dizziness, diarrhea, headache, and so on). Meta-analysis showed that dual RAAS

D		WMD (95% CI)	Weight
Shima Y 2019		0.50 (-4.87, 5.87)	0.90
Zinellu A. 2016		-1.00 (-11.20, 9.20)	0.25
Woo KT 2014A		-2.00 (-6.25, 2.25)	1.43
Woo KT. 2014B		0.00(-4.44, 4.44)	1.31
Rajkumar J.2014	-	-2.80 (-7.08, 1.48)	1.41
Makhlough A. 2014		1.30 (-3.46, 6.06)	1, 14
Zwiech R. 2013		2.00 (-3.67, 7.67)	0.80
Ziaee A. 2013	•	-1.00 (-1.76, -0.24)	44.73
Nakamura A. 2013		0.00(-6.31, 6.31)	0.65
Fernandez Juarez G. 2018A		1.00 (-6.44, 8.44)	0.47
Femandez Juarez G. 20138		2.00 (-6.64, 10.64)	0.35
Titan SM 2011		-4.70 (-17.11, 7.71)	0,17
Slagman M.C.2011A		-2.00 (-9.07, 5.07)	0.52
Slagman M C 2011B		-3.00 (+11.31, 5.31)	0.37
Ohishi M.2010		3.00 (-6.67, 12.67)	0.28
Krainttichai U. 2009		-4.67 (-13.41, 4.07)	0.34
Edwards NC: 2009		-6.00 (-11.61, -0.39)	0.82
Zhu S. 2008 A		-2.00 (-5.51, 1.51)	2.09
Zhu S. 2008 B		-4.00 (-7.88, -0.12)	1.71
Mori-Takeyama U. 2008		1.50 (-0.13, 3.13)	9.70
Knudsen ST. 2008		-6.00 (-13.15, 1.15)	0.51
Ogawa S 2007A		4.00 (-9.33, 17.33)	0.15
Ogawa S. 2007B		6.00 (-7.59, 19.59)	0.14
Ogawa S 2007C		-4.00 (-17.04, 9.04)	0.15
Ogawa S. 2007D		-2.00 (-15.31, 11.31)	0.15
Nakamura T. 2007A		-1.00 (-8 13, 6.13)	0.51
Nakamura T. 2007B		-3.00(-10.13, 4.13)	0.51
Abe H. 2007		230(-3.09, 7.69)	0.89
Song JH. 2006A		-1.00 (-5.28, 3.28)	1.41
Song JH 20068		0.00 (-4.55, 4.55)	1.25
Kanno Y. 2006		-1.00 (-5.38, 3.38)	1.34
Igarashi M. 2006		-2.70 (-12.25, 6.86)	0.28
Honta T. 2006A		0.00(-23.93, 23.93)	0.05
Honta Y 2008		-4.00(-22.07, 14.07)	0.08
Chrysostomou A. 2005A		-9.00(-21.98, 3.98)	0.15
Chrysostomou A. 2006		2.00(-14.00, 18.00)	0.03
Schjuedi ku. 2000		4 00 ( 7 71 . 0.20)	1.07
Stadions R 2005R		3 00 ( 7 03 1 03 )	1.50
Matore ID 20054		500(6706, 108)	0.21
Matos UP 2005R		20061055 14 55)	0.16
Eenquit VI 2005A		1.69(-17.92, 21.19)	0.07
Eshault VI. 2005B		4 76 (-23 15 13 63)	0.08
Butkowski P 2004A		-5.22(-12.14.1.70)	0.54
Butkowski P. 2004B		-2.28(-845,3.89)	0.68
Banka M 2004A		4,00(65,15,13,15)	0.31
Benke M 2004B		-8.00 (-17.78, 1.78)	0.27
Nakao N 2004A		-100(-436,236)	2.29
Nakao N. 2004B		0.00(-3.17.3.17)	2 57
Morgan T 2004A		-250(-9.02.4.02)	0.61
Morgan T 2004B		-240 (-8 65, 3 85)	0.66
Morgan T. 2004C		-3 20 (-9 45 3 05)	0.66
Morgan T. 2004D		-5.80 (-12.18, 0.58)	0.63
Horita Y 2004A		-8.00 (-40.44, 24.44)	0.02
Horita Y 2004B		-10.00 (-26.86, 6.86)	0.09
Rossing K. 2003		-3.00 (-11.32, 532)	0.37
Jacobsen P. (E+I) 2003		-8.00 (-16.32, 0.32)	0.37
Jacobsen P. (B+V) 2008A		-7.00 (-16.80, 2.80)	0.27
Jacobsen P. (B+V) 2003B		-7.00 (-15.77, 1.77)	0.34
Campbell R. 2003A		-2.00 (-8.00, 4.00)	0.72
Campbell R. 2003B		-5.00 (-11.79, 1.79)	0.56
Rossing K. 2002		-10.00 (-21.43, 1.43)	0.20
Nakamura T 2002A	!	-10.00 (-18.16, -1.84)	0.39
Nakamura T 2002B		-2.00 (-8.48; 4.48)	0.61
Luño J. 2002A	<b>+</b>	-4.00 (-15.48, 7.48)	0.20
Luno J. 2002B		-7.00 (-16.33, 2.33)	0.30
Kincaid-Smith P. 2002	<b>_</b> _	-6.00 (-10.90, -1.10)	1.07
Jacobsen P 2002		-8.00 (-19.09, 3.09)	0.21
Ferrari P. 2002A		-6.00 (- 14.81, 2.81)	0.33
Ferran P. 2002B		-5.00 (- 13.81, 3.81)	0.33
Berger ED 2002		-4.00 (-11.07, 3.07)	0.52
Tatanca NB 2001A		0.00 (-5.43, 5.43)	0.87
Tatanca NB. 2001B		-5.00 (-10.04, 0.04)	1.02
Agarwal R 2001		2.00 (-11 15, 15, 15)	0.15
Rullope LM 2000A		-6.00 (-15.40, 3.40)	0.29
Ruilope LM 2000B		-10.00 (-20.04, 0.04)	0.26
Overall (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.534)	•	-1.35 (-1.86, -0.84)	100.00



Study D		WMD (95% C1)	% Weight
3hima Y. 2019		-6.60 (-11.93, -1.27)	1.33
inellu A. 2016		-1.00 (-7.33, 5.33)	1.13
Voo KT 2014A		-1.00 (-3.70, 1.70)	1.95
Voo KT, 2014B	-+	-2.00 (-4.52, 0.52)	1.99
tajkumar J. 2014		-2.96 (-5.72, -0.20)	1.94
AskNough A. 2014		0.38 (-3.45, 4.21)	1.68
Swiech R. 2013		1.00 (-4.06, 6.06)	1.39
Daee A. 2013	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.50 (0.10, 0.90)	2.32
Vakamura A. 2013		-1.00 (-5.94, 3.94)	1.41
Fernandez Juarez G. 2013A		1.00 (-3.20, 5.20)	1.59
Fernandez Juarez G. 2013B	· · · · ·	2.00(-2.21, 6.21)	1.58
litan SM 2011		-0 10 (-7.99, 7.79)	0.88
Slagman M C.2011A		-2.00 (-7.54, 3.54)	1.28
Bagman M.C.2011B		-3.00(-8.54, 2.54)	1.28
Dhishi M.2010	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	5.00(-3.44, 13.44)	0.81
Gainttichai U. 2009		-3.18(-845, 2.09)	1.34
Edwards NC: 2009	-+	-2.00 (-5.52, 1.52)	1.75
2hu S. 2008 A.		-4.00 (-7.44, -0.56)	1.77
Zhu S. 2008 B		-2.00 (-5.77, 1.77)	1.69
Mori-Takeyama U. 2008		-0.90 (-2.18, 0.38)	2.23
(nudsen ST. 2008		0.00(-4.39, 4.39)	1.54
Dgawa S. 2007A		-1 00 (-8 88, 6.88)	0.88
Dgawa S 2007B		3.20 (-5.68, 12.08)	0.76
Dgawa S. 2007C		-0.10(-8.97, 8.77)	0.76
Dgawa S. 2007D		4.10(-5.67, 13.87)	0.66
Nakamura T. 2007A		1.00 (-5.82, 7.82)	1.04
Nakamura T. 2007B		-2.00 (-9.50, 5.50)	0.94
Abe H. 2007		2.00(-1.03, 5.03)	1.87
Bong JH. 2006A		-2.00 (-5.34, 1.34)	1.80
Song JH 20068		-1.00 (-4.34, 234)	1.80
(anno Y 2006		-2.00 (-7.55, 3.55)	1.28
garashi M. 2006		-0.10 (-8.06, 7.86)	0.87
Horita Y. 2006A		-1.00 (-16.31, 14.31)	0.32
Horita Y 20068		-8.00 (-20.55, 4.55)	0.45
chrysostomou A 2006A		-10.00/-18.50 -1.50)	0.80
Chrysostomou A. 2006B		-5.50 (-15 13, 4 13)	0.67
Schioedt KJ. 2005		-1.00(-6.54, 4.54)	1.28
Scaplione R. 2005A		-3.00(-7.75, 1.75)	1.46
Scaptione R. 2005B		-5.00 (-9.03 -0.97)	1.63
Matos JP 2005A		0.00(-832,832)	0.82
Matos IP 2005B		100.65.07 8.07)	1.00
Fenguit V/L 2005A		-1 29 ( 10 32 7 74)	0.74
Fenault VI. 2005B		3.60(.1230 5.10)	0.77
Bitkowski P 2004A	1	3.91 (9.40, 1.72)	1.28
Ruthowski P. 2004B		4.24 (9.41 0.03)	1.96
Renke M. 2004		010(714,794)	0.00
Perior M. 2004P		0.00(7.14, 7.34)	0.90
Here M. 2004b		-9/20(-17/01,-0.59)	0.79
Vakao N. 2004A		-100(-536(336)	1.04
Aaraa T 2004B		0.00(4.21, 4.21)	1,09
Access T. 2004R		100(404,204)	1.70
Assess T. 20040		1.00(2.31, 4.91)	1.75
Jerose T 20040		-100(-449,249)	1.70
Josh V 2004		-0.70(-4.44, 3.04)	1.70
Initia 1, 2009A		-3.00 (-25.35, 19.35)	0.16
Tonia i 2004D		-7.00(-23.80, 9.80)	0.27
Instalant P. (E. I) 2002		-2.00(+7.54, 3.54)	1.28
Inschool P. (E+4) 2003		700(-838(038)	1.04
Habitation P. (B+V) 2003A		-7.00 (-12.55, -1.45)	1.28
acobsen M (8+V) 20085		-7.00 (-12.55, -1.45)	1.28
Lampbell R. 2003A		-2'00 (-6'82, 2'82)	1.44
Jampbell H. 2008		-1.00 (-5.82, 3.82)	1.44
1088ing K. 2002		-3.00 (-8 55, 2 55)	1.28
Nakamura 1 2002A		0.00(-3.65, 3.65)	1.72
vakamura 1 20025		0.00 (-2.86, 2.86)	1.91
uno J. 2002A		-1.00(-8.92, 6.92)	0.88
uno J. 20028		2.00(-5.83, 9.83)	0.89
ancaid-Smith P. 2002		-2.00(-4.84, 0.84)	1.92
lacobsen P 2002		-5.00 (-10.55, 0.55)	1.28
Ferrari P. 2002A		-1.00 (-6.71, 4.71)	1.25
Ferrari P. 2002B		-1.00 (-6.26, 4.26)	1.34
Berger ED: 2002		-3.00 (-8.55, 2.55)	1.28
Fatanca NB 2001A		-10.00 (-11.68, -8.32)	2.17
fatanca NB. 2001B	<b></b>	-10.00 (-11.68, -8.32)	2.17
Agarwal R. 2001	•	1.00(-6.07, 8.07)	1.00
Rullope LM . 2000A		-5.00 (-11.23, 1.23)	1.15
Ruilope LM 2000B		-8.00 (-14.43, -1.57)	1.11
		-2 (8 ( 2 97 -1 09)	100.00
overall (I-squared = 78.1%, p = 0.000)	<b>*</b>	-E.00 ( E. 01, -1.00)	



Study	RR (95% CI)	% Weigh
Shima Y. 2019	0.33 (0.01, 7.88)	1.67
Saglimbene V. 2018A	0.66 (0.11, 3.94)	5.24
Saglimbene V. 2018B	1.00 (0.14, 7.03)	4.36
Katayama S.2017 +	0.76 (0.04, 15.05)	1.88
Slagman MC.2011A	1.33 (0.31, 5.67)	7.96
Meier P. 2011A	5.00 (0.26, 98.00)	1.88
Meier P. 2011B	5.00 (0.26, 98.00)	1.88
Cice G. 2010	2.60 (1.12, 6.07)	23.28
Mehdi UF. 2009B	3.00 (0.13, 70.53)	1.67
Parving HH. 2008	3.96 (1.13, 13.89)	10.58
Menne J. 2008A	5.47 (0.66, 44.93)	3.76
Menne J. 2008B	1.25 (0.36, 4.34)	10.75
Song JH. 2006A	5.00 (0.25, 98.27)	1.88
Song JH. 2006B	2.00 (0.20, 20.41)	3.09
Horita Y. 2006A	5.00 (0.26, 96.13)	1.91
Horita Y. 2006B	5.31 (0.28, 102.38)	1.90
Schjoedt KJ. 2005	3.00 (0.13, 69.87)	1.68
Matos JP. 2005A	2.00 (0.20, 19.78)	3.17
Matos JP. 2005B	5.00 (0.26, 96.13)	1.91
Horita Y. 2004A	2.54 (0.11, 56.25)	1.74
Horita Y. 2004B	2.54 (0.11, 56.25)	1.74
Jacobsen P. (E+I) 2003	9.00 (0.51, 158.52)	2.03
Jacobsen P. (B+V) 2003A	• 13.00 (0.79, 214.91)	2.12
Kincaid-Smith P. 2002	7.00 (0.37, 132.66)	1.93
Overall (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.967)	2.38 (1.58, 3.58)	100.0
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis		
.00465 1	215	

Figure 11. Comparison of dual RAAS blockade and single RAAS blockade for the development of hypotension. RAAS = renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system.

blockade therapy did not significantly increase the rate of any adverse effect (RR, 1.05; 95% CI, 1.00 to 1.11; P=.07) (Fig. 12, Table 3).

Eight study arms reported on the incidence of mortality and 6 study arms on the incidence of hospitalization. By meta-analysis, dual RAAS blockade therapy was not associated with any of these outcomes (Table 3).

## 3.5. Sensitivity analysis and meta-regression

To ensure the reliability of the present meta-analysis, we evaluated the robustness of the results (Tables 2 and 3) by sensitivity analysis, which indicated that the results of the meta-analysis were robust.

Significant heterogeneities for the continuous outcomes and dichotomous outcomes were observed (Tables 2 and 3). Based on

a priori selected study characteristics, including the mean age of subjects, duration of intervention, baseline of GFR, and quality of included studies, we detected the potential sources of heterogeneity by meta-regression.

A significant heterogeneity for the outcome of urine protein excretion was observed ( $I^2=33.5\%$ , P=.01), which was dependent on the baseline of GFR (exp, 0.99; 95% CI, 0.99 to 1.00; adjusted R<sup>2</sup>=30.97%; P=.04). A significant heterogeneity for the outcome of serum potassium was observed ( $I^2=$ 63.1%, P<.001), which had obvious correlation with the baseline of GFR (exp, 1.00; 95% CI, 0.99 to 1.00; adjusted R<sup>2</sup>= 26.86%; P=.03). A significant heterogeneity for the outcome of regression to normoalbuminuria was observed ( $I^2=65.0\%$ , P<.001), which had obvious correlation with baseline of GFR (exp, 0.91; 95% CI, 0.87 to 0.96; adjusted R<sup>2</sup>=100.00%; P=.002). By meta-regression, heterogeneities of urine albumin

Study ID	RR (95% CI)	% Weight
Saglimbene V. 2018A	1.16 (0.78, 1.72)	1.89
Saglimbene V. 2018B	1.17 (0.79, 1.73)	1.89
Katayama S.2017	0.95 (0.52, 1.75)	0.79
Rajkumar J.2014	1.22 (0.62, 2.42)	0.63
Bakris GL.2015	1.06 (0.86, 1.31)	6.45
Fried LF. 2013	1.09 (1.00, 1.20)	33.62
Bakris GL. 2013	1.09 (0.93, 1.29)	11.02
Bilić M. 2011A <b>C</b>	0.18 (0.01, 3.52)	0.03
Cice G. 2010	1.52 (0.87, 2.65)	0.95
Edwards NC. 2009	1.00 (0.15, 6.85)	0.08
Parving HH. 2008	0.99 (0.89, 1.11)	23.18
Menne J. 2008A	1.17 (0.87, 1.56)	3.44
Menne J. 2008B	1.15 (0.85, 1.54)	3.35
Knudsen ST. 2008	0.65 (0.21, 2.00)	0.23
Bakris GL.2007	1.05 (0.84, 1.32)	5.62
Song JH. 2006A	1.33 (0.34, 5.24)	0.16
Song JH. 2006B	1.00 (0.29, 3.48)	0.19
Epstein M. 2006A	0.72 (0.50, 1.03)	2.28
Epstein M. 2006B	0.94 (0.68, 1.29)	2.83
Ruilope LM. 2000A	0.73 (0.39, 1.37)	0.75
Ruilope LM. 2000B	0.55 (0.28, 1.09)	0.62
Overall (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.665)	1.05 (1.00, 1.11)	100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis		
.00897 1	111	



excretion and DBP were not associated with priori selected study characteristics.

## 3.6. Subgroup analysis

To detect the potential sources of heterogeneity, subgroup analysis was performed.

Only 1 study with ACEI or ARB in combination with RI reported on the development of hypotension and only 1 study with ACEI or ARB in combination with ARA reported on the urine protein excretion, which made these studies unable to compare with the corresponding effects of other combination therapies. Compared with the single therapy, ACEI or ARB in combination with RI or ARA did not decrease the urine albumin excretion (ACEI or ARB in combination with ARA: SMD, -0.21; 95% CI, -0.70 to 0.27; P = .39), urine protein excretion (ACEI or ARB in combination with RI: SMD, 0.35; 95% CI, -0.16 to 0.86; P = .18) and SBP (ACEI or ARB in combination with RI: WMD,

-1.64; 95% CI, -4.14 to 0.86; P=.20; ACEI or ARB in combination with ARA: WMD, -1.28; 95% CI, -3.12 to 0.55; P=.17), and increased the incidence of hyperkalemia (ACEI or ARB in combination with RI: RR, 1.53; 95% CI, 1.04 to 2.25; P=.03; ACEI or ARB in combination with ARA: RR, 3.75; 95% CI, 1.86 to 7.55; P<.001). Compared with the single therapy, ACEI in combination with ARB was superior in the decrease of urine albumin excretion (SMD, -0.56; 95% CI, -0.80 to -0.32; P<.001), urine protein excretion (SMD, -0.23; 95% CI, -0.31 to -0.15; P<.001) and BP (SBP: WMD, -1.62; 95% CI, -2.35 to -0.89; P<.001; DBP: WMD, -2.13; 95% CI, -3.18 to -1.08; P<.001), and the combination was not associated with a lower GFR (SMD, -0.07; 95% CI, -0.15 to 0.02; P=.11) (Table 4).

## 3.7. Publication bias

Begg's test and Egger's test were used to evaluate publication bias based on the key outcomes of the meta-analysis. The result

## Table 4 Subgroup analyses based on the type of dual therapy.

Outcomes	Types of dual therapy						
	ACEI + ARB		ACEI or ARB + RI		ACEI or ARB+ARA		
	95% CI	P-value	95% CI	P-value	95% CI	P-value	
Urine albumin excretion (g/g of creatinine or g/24 h)	SMD: -0.56 (-0.80, -0.32)	<.001	Not reported	Not reported	SMD: -0.21 (-0.70, 0.27)	.39	
Urine protein excretion (g/g of creatinine or g/24 h)	SMD: -0.23 (-0.31, -0.15)	<.001	SMD: 0.35 (-0.16, 0.86)	.18	Not available	Not available	
Glomerular filtration rate (mL/min or mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )	SMD: -0.07 (-0.15, 0.02)	.11	SMD: -0.05 (-0.15, 0.06)	.39	SMD: -0.15 (-0.31, 0.01)	.06	
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	WMD: -1.62 (-2.35, -0.89)	<.001	WMD: -1.64 (-4.14, 0.86)	.20	WMD: -1.28 (-3.12, 0.55)	.17	
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	WMD: -2.13 (-3.18, -1.08)	<.001	WMD: -1.97 (-3.51, -0.44)	.01	WMD: 0.45 (0.06, 0.84)	.02	
Development of hyperkalemia	RR: 1.77 (1.27, 2.46)	0.001	RR: 1.53 (1.04, 2.25)	.03	RR: 3.75 (1.86, 7.55)	<.001	
Development of hypotension	RR: 2.27 (1.45, 3.54)	<.001	Not available	Not available	RR: 1.84 (0.31, 10.95)	.50	

ACEI = angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor, ARA = aldosterone receptor antagonist, ARB = angiotensin-receptor blocker, CI = confidence interval, RI = renin inhibitor.

suggested less susceptibility to publication bias, except for urine albumin excretion and regression to normoalbuminuria (Tables 2 and 3).

## 4. Discussion

The present meta-analysis of 72 RCTs demonstrated that dual RAAS blockade therapy was superior to single therapy in reducing urine albumin excretion, urine protein excretion and BP, including SBP and DBP. These beneficial effects were related to the decrease of GFR, the increase of serum potassium, and higher rates of hyperkalemia and hypotension. Meanwhile, these effects did not lead to improvements in short-term or long-term outcomes, including doubling of serum creatinine, AKI, ESRD, mortality, and hospitalization. The results of most subgroup analyses were consistent with the overall results, but some were different. Compared with the single therapy, ACEI in combination with ARB was a better dual therapy than ACEI or ARB in combination with RI or ARA in decreasing urine albumin excretion, urine protein excretion and BP, and the combination was not associated with a lower GFR.

Proteinuria and hypertension are risk factors of progression in CKD<sup>[12,13]</sup> Considering traditional cardiovascular risk factors, albuminuria and impaired kidney function may increase the risk of cardiovascular diseases by 2 to 4 times, as well as predict the development of cardiovascular events.<sup>[14,15]</sup> The crucial strategy to treat hypertension in renal diseases is to inhibit RAAS. Experimental and clinical studies have demonstrated that dual RAAS blockade therapy is superior to single RAAS blockade in reducing proteinuria and controlling BP.<sup>[10,16]</sup> The 2012 Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes guideline suggests that patients with IgA nephropathy increase the dose of ACEI or ARB until proteinuria <1 g/d. It should be noted that in order to reduce albuminuria and achieve BP targets, moderate to high doses of RAAS blockers are usually required.<sup>[9]</sup> ACEI or ARB may reduce proteinuria by up to 40% to 50% in a dose-dependent manner, especially if the patient complies with dietary salt restriction.<sup>[17]</sup> Proteinuria is still present in some patients after treatment with ACEI or ARB.<sup>[18,19]</sup> Based on subgroup analyses, ACEI in combination with ARB was a superior dual therapy in reducing urine albumin excretion, urine protein excretion and BP compared with single therapy.

According to our meta-analysis, other RAAS blockers, RI and ARA are also being used in the treatment of CKD, but their efficacy is limited.<sup>[20]</sup> Considering the adverse effects, aliskiren in combination with ACEI or ARB is contraindicated in patients with diabetes mellitus or CKD stages 3 to 5.<sup>[11]</sup> Our results not only confirmed that ACEI or ARB in combination with RI increased the incidence of hyperkalemia, but also concluded that ACEI or ARB in combination with RI did not significantly decrease urine protein excretion and SBP in comparison with single RAAS blockade therapy.<sup>[21,22]</sup>

Despite treatment with agents such as ACEI or ARB, many studies have demonstrated that the RAAS is not completely blocked, showing persistent or elevated plasma aldosterone levels. This phenomenon is often referred to as "aldosterone escape" and is considered to be one of the main factors in the progression of CKD.<sup>[23]</sup> Increasing researches have shown that ARA, spironolactone, eplerenone and finerenone, can reduce proteinuria and BP in patients at all stages of CKD.<sup>[24,25]</sup> However, our meta-analysis demonstrated that ACEI or ARB in combination with ARA did not decrease urine albumin excretion and SBP compared with single RAAS blockade therapy, and increased the incidence of hyperkalemia.

The key safety issues associated with dual RASS block therapy are syncope due to hypotension and AKI and hyperkalemia due to impaired renal function.<sup>[26]</sup> In this meta-analysis, although overall analysis showed a decrease of GFR was more common in patients with dual RAAS blockade therapy, subgroup analysis revealed ACEI in combination with ARB did not reduce the GFR. On the premise of reducing urine albumin excretion, urine protein excretion and BP, ACEI in combination with ARB increased the incidence of hyperkalemia and hypotension. According to recent researches, the application of dual RAAS blockade therapy may be further expanded by careful individualized management and potassium binders.<sup>[20,27]</sup>

Potassium binders can optimize RAAS inhibitor therapy in CKD patients at risk of hyperkalemia, obtain the benefits of potassium-rich diet, and improve hemodialysis outcomes.<sup>[28,29]</sup> When diarrhea or vomiting occurs, it should be instructed to stop dual RAAS blockade therapy, and ambulatory BP monitoring can be used to avoid hypotension.<sup>[11]</sup>

In recent years, dual RAAS blockade therapy has caused a lot of controversy. In past 7 years, there was no systemic review and meta-analysis had analyzed the efficacy and safety of dual RAAS blockade therapy in patients with CKD. As far as we know, this is the largest systematic review and meta-analysis of patients with CKD to assess the effect of dual RAAS blockade therapy on kidney-related endpoints, BP, and other clinically important endpoints based on the type of dual therapy. However, several limitations should be noted. ACEI in combination with ARB was used in most of the included studies, while ACEI or ARB in combination with RI or ARA was less; thus, researches on ACEI or ARB in combination with RI or ARA are not enough. The included studies were heterogeneous, and we performed sensitivity analysis, meta-regression and subgroup analysis to warrant the reliability.

## 5. Conclusion

In conclusion, compared with the single therapy, ACEI in combination with ARB is a better dual therapy than ACEI or ARB in combination with RI or ARA in decreasing urine albumin excretion, urine protein excretion and BP without decreased GFR. Although ACEI in combination with ARB is associated with higher incidences of hyperkalemia and hypotension, careful individualized management and potassium binders may further expand its application.

## Author contributions

Conceptualization: Mingming Zhao, Hua Qu, Rumeng Wang, Yu Zhang.

- Data curation: Mingming Zhao, Hua Qu, Rumeng Wang, Yi Yu, Meiying Chang, Sijia Ma, Hanwen Zhang, Yuejun Wang, Yu Zhang.
- Formal analysis: Mingming Zhao, Hua Qu, Rumeng Wang, Yi Yu, Meiying Chang, Sijia Ma, Hanwen Zhang, Yuejun Wang, Yu Zhang.
- Funding acquisition: Yu Zhang.
- Investigation: Mingming Zhao, Hua Qu, Rumeng Wang, Yi Yu, Meiying Chang, Yu Zhang.
- Methodology: Mingming Zhao, Hua Qu, Rumeng Wang, Sijia Ma, Hanwen Zhang, Yuejun Wang, Yu Zhang.
- Project administration: Mingming Zhao, Hua Qu, Rumeng Wang, Yu Zhang.
- Resources: Mingming Zhao, Rumeng Wang, Yi Yu, Meiying Chang, Yu Zhang.
- Software: Mingming Zhao, Hua Qu, Rumeng Wang, Sijia Ma, Hanwen Zhang.
- Supervision: Mingming Zhao, Hua Qu, Yu Zhang.
- Validation: Mingming Zhao, Hua Qu, Rumeng Wang, Yu Zhang.
- Visualization: Mingming Zhao, Hua Qu, Rumeng Wang, Sijia Ma, Hanwen Zhang, Yu Zhang.
- Writing original draft: Mingming Zhao, Hua Qu, Rumeng Wang, Yu Zhang.
- Writing review & editing: Mingming Zhao, Hua Qu, Rumeng Wang, Yi Yu, Meiying Chang, Sijia Ma, Hanwen Zhang, Yuejun Wang, Yu Zhang.

## References

- Webster AC, Nagler EV, Morton RL, et al. Chronic kidney disease. Lancet 2017;389:1238–52.
- [2] Matsushita K, van der Velde M, Astor BC, et al. Association of estimated glomerular filtration rate and albuminuria with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in general population cohorts: a collaborative metaanalysis. Lancet 2010;375:2073–81.
- [3] Jha V, Garcia-Garcia G, Iseki K, et al. Chronic kidney disease: global dimension and perspectives. Lancet 2013;382:260–72.

- [4] Mills KT, Xu Y, Zhang W, et al. A systematic analysis of worldwide population-based data on the global burden of chronic kidney disease in 2010. Kidney Int 2015;88:950–7.
- [5] Muntner P, Anderson A, Charleston J, et al. Hypertension awareness, treatment, and control in adults with CKD: results from the Chronic Renal Insufficiency Cohort (CRIC) Study. Am J Kidney Dis 2010;55:441–51.
- [6] Egan BM, Li J, Hutchison FN, et al. Hypertension in the United States, 1999 to 2012: progress toward Healthy People 2020 goals. Circulation 2014;130:1692–9.
- [7] Hamrahian SM. Management of hypertension in patients with chronic kidney disease. Curr Hypertens Rep 2017;19:43.
- [8] Levey AS, Coresh J. Chronic kidney disease. Lancet 2012;379:165–80.[9] Kalaitzidis RG, Elisaf MS. Treatment of hypertension in chronic kidney
- disease. Curr Hypertens Rep 2018;20:64.
  [10] Susantitaphong P, Sewaralthahab K, Balk EM, et al. Efficacy and safety of combined vs. single renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system blockade in chronic kidney disease: a meta-analysis. Am J Hypertens 2013;26:424–41.
- [11] Esteras R, Perez-Gomez MV, Rodriguez-Osorio L, et al. Combination use of medicines from two classes of renin-angiotensin system blocking agents: risk of hyperkalemia, hypotension, and impaired renal function. Ther Adv Drug Saf 2015;6:166–76.
- [12] Liu D, Lv LL. New understanding on the role of proteinuria in progression of chronic kidney disease. Adv Exp Med Biol 2019;1165: 487–500.
- [13] Nagai K, Yamagata K, Iseki K, et al. Antihypertensive treatment and risk of cardiovascular mortality in patients with chronic kidney disease diagnosed based on the presence of proteinuria and renal function: a large longitudinal study in Japan. PLoS One 2019;14:e0225812.
- [14] Gansevoort RT, Correa-Rotter R, Hemmelgarn BR, et al. Chronic kidney disease and cardiovascular risk: epidemiology, mechanisms, and prevention. Lancet 2013;382:339–52.
- [15] Provenzano M, Coppolino G, Faga T, et al. Epidemiology of cardiovascular risk in chronic kidney disease patients: the real silent killer. Rev Cardiovasc Med 2019;20:209–20.
- [16] Zhang F, Liu H, Liu D, et al. Effects of RAAS inhibitors in patients with kidney disease. Curr Hypertens Rep 2017;19:72.
- [17] Nakamura T, Ushiyama C, Suzuki S, et al. Effects of angiotensinconverting enzyme inhibitor, angiotensin II receptor antagonist and calcium antagonist on urinary podocytes in patients with IgA nephropathy. Am J Nephrol 2000;20:373–9.
- [18] Zinellu A, Sotgia S, Mangoni AA, et al. Effects of ramipril and telmisartan on plasma concentrations of low molecular weight and protein thiols and carotid intima media thickness in patients with chronic kidney disease. Dis Markers 2016;2016:1821596.
- [19] Igarashi M, Hirata A, Kadomoto Y, et al. Dual blockade of angiotensin II with enalapril and losartan reduces proteinuria in hypertensive patients with type 2 diabetes. Endocr J 2006;53:493–501.
- [20] Viazzi F, Bonino B, Cappadona F, et al. Renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system blockade in chronic kidney disease: current strategies and a look ahead. Intern Emerg Med 2016;11:627–35.
- [21] Dhakarwal P, Agrawal V, Kumar A, et al. Update on role of direct renin inhibitor in diabetic kidney disease. Ren Fail 2014;36:963–9.
- [22] Persson F, Rossing P, Parving HH. Direct renin inhibition in chronic kidney disease. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2013;76:580–6.
- [23] Lu Y, Ku E, Campese VM. Aldosterone in the pathogenesis of chronic kidney disease and proteinuria. Curr Hypertens Rep 2010;12:303–6.
- [24] Hirsch JS, Drexler Y, Bomback AS. Aldosterone blockade in chronic kidney disease. Semin Nephrol 2014;34:307–22.
- [25] Zuo C, Xu G. Efficacy and safety of mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists with ACEI/ARB treatment for diabetic nephropathy: a meta-analysis. Int J Clin Pract 2019;e13413.
- [26] Oktaviono YH, Kusumawardhani N. Hyperkalemia associated with angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor or angiotensin receptor blockers in chronic kidney disease. Acta Med Indones 2020;52:74–9.
- [27] Ruggenenti P. Dual renin-angiotensin system blockade for nephroprotection. Nephrol Ther 2017;13(Suppl 1):S43–s45.
- [28] Bakris GL, Pitt B, Weir MR, et al. Effect of Patiromer on serum potassium level in patients with hyperkalemia and diabetic kidney disease: the AMETHYST-DN randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2015;314:151–61.
- [29] Palmer BF. Potassium binders for hyperkalemia in chronic kidney disease-diet, renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system inhibitor therapy, and hemodialysis. Mayo Clin Proc 2020;95:339–54.
- [30] Shima Y, Nakanishi K, Sako M, et al. Lisinopril versus lisinopril and losartan for mild childhood IgA nephropathy: a randomized controlled trial (JSKDC01 study). Pediatric Nephrol 2019;34:837–46.

- [31] Saglimbene V, Palmer SC, Ruospo M, et al. The long-term impact of renin-angiotensin system (RAS) inhibition on cardiorenal outcomes (LIRICO): a randomized, controlled trial. J Am Soc Nephrol: JASN 2018;29:2890–9.
- [32] Chen Y, Liu P, Chen X, et al. Effects of different doses of irbesartan combined with spironolactone on urinary albumin excretion rate in elderly patients with early type 2 diabetic nephropathy. Am J Med Sci 2018;355:418–24.
- [33] Katayama S, Yamada D, Nakayama M, et al. A randomized controlled study of finerenone versus placebo in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and diabetic nephropathy. J Diabetes Complications 2017;31:758–65.
- [34] Bakris GL, Agarwal R, Chan JC, et al. Effect of finerenone on albuminuria in patients with diabetic nephropathy: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2015;314:884–94.
- [35] Woo K-T, Choong H-L, Wong K-S, et al. Aliskiren and losartan trial in non-diabetic chronic kidney disease. J Renin Angiotensin Aldosterone Syst: JRAAS 2014;15:515–22.
- [36] Schrier RW, Abebe KZ, Perrone RD, et al. Blood pressure in early autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease. N Engl J Med 2014; 371:2255–66.
- [37] Rajkumar J, Kaushal J, Aggarwal HK. A comparative study of efficacy & safety of aliskiren as an add on therapy to olmesartan versus olmesartan monotherapy in patients with hypertension. Indo Glob J Pharmaceut Sci 2014;4:103–12.
- [38] Makhlough A, Kashi Z, Akha O, et al. Effect of spironolactone on diabetic nephropathy compared to the combination of spironolactone and losartan. Nephro-Urol Mon 2014;6:e12148.
- [39] Zwiech R, Bruzda-Zwiech A. The dual blockade of the renin-angiotensin system in hemodialysis patients requires decreased dialysate sodium concentration. Inte Urol Nephrol 2013;45:1365–72.
- [40] Ziaee A, Vaezi AA, Oveisi S, et al. Effects of additive therapy with spironolactone on albuminuria in diabetes mellitus: a pilot randomized clinical trial. Casp J Intern Med 2013;4:648–53.
- [41] Nakamura A, Shikata K, Nakatou T, et al. Combination therapy with an angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitor and an angiotensin II receptor antagonist ameliorates microinflammation and oxidative stress in patients with diabetic nephropathy. J Diabetes Investig 2013;4:195–201.
- [42] Lizakowski S, Tylicki L, Rutkowski P, et al. Safety of enhanced reninangiotensin-aldosterone system inhibition with aliskiren in nondiabetic patients with chronic kidney disease. Polskie Archiwum Medycyny Wewnetrznej 2013;123:221–7.
- [43] Fried LF, Emanuele N, Zhang JH, et al. Combined angiotensin inhibition for the treatment of diabetic nephropathy. N Engl J Med 2013;369: 1892–903.
- [44] Fernandez Juarez G, Luño J, Barrio V, et al. Effect of dual blockade of the renin-angiotensin system on the progression of type 2 diabetic nephropathy: a randomized trial. Am J Kidney Dis 2013;61:211–8.
- [45] Bakris GL, Oparil S, Purkayastha D, et al. Randomized study of antihypertensive efficacy and safety of combination aliskiren/valsartan vs valsartan monotherapy in hypertensive participants with type 2 diabetes mellitus. J Clin Hypertens 2013;15:92–100.
- [46] Titan SM, Vieira JMJr, Dominguez WV, Barros RT, Zatz R. ACEI and ARB combination therapy in patients with macroalbuminuric diabetic nephropathy and low socioeconomic level: a double-blind randomized clinical trial. Clin Nephrol 2011;76:273–83.
- [47] Slagman MC, Waanders F, Hemmelder MH, et al. Moderate dietary sodium restriction added to angiotensin converting enzyme inhibition compared with dual blockade in lowering proteinuria and blood pressure: randomised controlled trial. BMJ 2011;343:d4366.
- [48] Meier P, Maillard MP, Meier JR, et al. Combining blockers of the reninangiotensin system or increasing the dose of an angiotensin II receptor antagonist in proteinuric patients: a randomized triple-crossover study. J Hypertens 2011;29:1228–35.
- [49] Bilić M, Munjas-Samarin R, Ljubanović D, et al. Effects of ramipril and valsartan on proteinuria and renal function in patients with nondiabetic proteinuria. Coll Antropol 2011;35:1061–6.
- [50] Ohishi M, Takeya Y, Tatara Y, et al. Strong suppression of the reninangiotensin system has a renal-protective effect in hypertensive patients: high-dose ARB with ACE inhibitor (Hawaii) study. Hypertens Res 2010;33:1150–4.
- [51] Cice G, Di Benedetto A, D'Isa S, et al. Effects of telmisartan added to angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors on mortality and morbidity in hemodialysis patients with chronic heart failure: a double-blind, placebocontrolled trial. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010;56:1701–8.

- [52] Mehdi UF, Adams-Huet B, Raskin P, et al. Addition of angiotensin receptor blockade or mineralocorticoid antagonism to maximal angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition in diabetic nephropathy. J Am Soc Nephrol: JASN 2009;20:2641–50.
- [53] Masajtis-Zagajewska A, Nowicki M. Influence of dual blockade of the renin-angiotensin system on thirst in hemodialysis patients. Nephron Clin Pract 2009;112:c242–247.
- [54] Krairittichai U, Chaisuvannarat V. Effects of dual blockade of reninangiotensin system in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients with diabetic nephropathy. Chotmaihet thangphaet [J Med Assoc Thail] 2009; 92:611–7.
- [55] Edwards NC, Steeds RP, Stewart PM, et al. Effect of spironolactone on left ventricular mass and aortic stiffness in early-stage chronic kidney disease: a randomized controlled trial. J Am Coll Cardiol 2009;54:505– 12.
- [56] Zhu S, Liu Y, Wang L, et al. Transforming growth factor-(1 is associated with kidney damage in patients with essential hypertension: renoprotective effect of ACE inhibitor and/or angiotensin II receptor blocker. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2008;23:2841–6.
- [57] Parving H-H, Persson F, Lewis JB, et al. Aliskiren combined with losartan in type 2 diabetes and nephropathy. N Engl J Med 2008;358: 2433–46.
- [58] Mori-Takeyama U, Minatoguchi S, Murata I, et al. Dual blockade of the rennin-angiotensin system versus maximal recommended dose of angiotensin II receptor blockade in chronic glomerulonephritis. Clin Exp Nephrol 2008;12:33–40.
- [59] Menne J, Farsang C, Deák L, et al. Valsartan in combination with lisinopril versus the respective high dose monotherapies in hypertensive patients with microalbuminuria: the VALERIA trial. J Hypertens 2008;26:1860–7.
- [60] Knudsen ST, Andersen NH, Poulsen SH, et al. Pulse pressure lowering effect of dual blockade with candesartan and lisinopril vs. high-dose ACE inhibition in hypertensive type 2 diabetic subjects: a CALM II study posthoc analysis. Am J Hypertens 2008;21:172–6.
- [61] Ogawa S, Takeuchi K, Mori T, et al. Effects of monotherapy of temocapril or candesartan with dose increments or combination therapy with both drugs on the suppression of diabetic nephropathy. Hypertens Res 2007;30:325–34.
- [62] Nakamura T, Inoue T, Sugaya T, et al. Beneficial effects of olmesartan and temocapril on urinary liver-type fatty acid-binding protein levels in normotensive patients with immunoglobin A nephropathy. Am J Hypertens 2007;20:1195–201.
- [63] Bakris GL, Ruilope L, Locatelli F, et al. Treatment of microalbuminuria in hypertensive subjects with elevated cardiovascular risk: results of the IMPROVE trial. Kidney Int 2007;72:879–85.
- [64] Abe H, Minatoguchi S, Ohashi H, et al. Renoprotective effect of the addition of losartan to ongoing treatment with an angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor in type-2 diabetic patients with nephropathy. Hypertens Res 2007;30:929–35.
- [65] van den Meiracker AH, Baggen RG, Pauli S, et al. Spironolactone in type 2 diabetic nephropathy: effects on proteinuria, blood pressure and renal function. J Hypertens 2006;24:2285–92.
- [66] Song JH, Cha SH, Lee HJ, et al. Effect of low-dose dual blockade of renin-angiotensin system on urinary TGF-beta in type 2 diabetic patients with advanced kidney disease. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2006;21:683–9.
- [67] Sengul AM, Altuntas Y, Kürklü A, et al. Beneficial effect of lisinopril plus telmisartan in patients with type 2 diabetes, microalbuminuria and hypertension. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2006;71:210–9.
- [68] Kanno Y, Takenaka T, Nakamura T, et al. Add-on angiotensin receptor blocker in patients who have proteinuric chronic kidney diseases and are treated with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2006;1:730–7.
- [69] Horita Y, Taura K, Taguchi T, et al. Aldosterone breakthrough during therapy with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin II receptor blockers in proteinuric patients with immunoglobulin A nephropathy. Nephrology (Carlton) 2006;11:462–6.
- [70] Epstein M, Williams GH, Weinberger M, et al. Selective aldosterone blockade with eplerenone reduces albuminuria in patients with type 2 diabetes. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2006;1:940–51.
- [71] Chrysostomou A, Pedagogos E, MacGregor L, et al. Double-blind, placebo-controlled study on the effect of the aldosterone receptor antagonist spironolactone in patients who have persistent proteinuria and are on long-term angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor therapy, with or without an angiotensin II receptor blocker. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2006;1:256–62.

- [72] Atmaca A, Gedik O. Effects of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, angiotensin II receptor blockers, and their combination on microalbuminuria in normotensive patients with type 2 diabetes. Adv Ther 2006;23:615–22.
- [73] Schjoedt KJ, Rossing K, Juhl TR, et al. Beneficial impact of spironolactone in diabetic nephropathy. Kidney Int 2005;68:2829–36.
- [74] Scaglione R, Argano C, Corrao S, et al. Transforming growth factor beta1 and additional renoprotective effect of combination ACE inhibitor and angiotensin II receptor blocker in hypertensive subjects with minor renal abnormalities: a 24-week randomized controlled trial. J Hypertens 2005;23:657–64.
- [75] Matos JP, de Lourdes Rodrigues M, Ismerim VL, et al. Effects of dual blockade of the renin angiotensin system in hypertensive type 2 diabetic patients with nephropathy. Clin Nephrol 2005;64:180–9.
- [76] Esnault VL, Ekhlas A, Delcroix C, et al. Diuretic and enhanced sodium restriction results in improved antiproteinuric response to RAS blocking agents. J Am Soc Nephrol: JASN 2005;16:474–81.
- [77] Rutkowski P, Tylicki L, Renke M, et al. Low-dose dual blockade of the renin-angiotensin system in patients with primary glomerulonephritis. Am J Kidney Dis 2004;43:260–8.
- [78] Renke M, Tylicki L, Rutkowski P, et al. Low-dose angiotensin II receptor antagonists and angiotensin II-converting enzyme inhibitors alone or in combination for treatment of primary glomerulonephritis. Scand J Urol Nephrol 2004;38:427–33.
- [79] Nakao N, Seno H, Kasuga H, et al. Effects of combination treatment with losartan and trandolapril on office and ambulatory blood pressures in non-diabetic renal disease: A COOPERATE-ABP substudy (Retraction in: American Journal of Nephrology (2009) 30 6 (563)). Am J Nephrol 2004;24:543–8.
- [80] Morgan T, Anderson A, Bertram D, et al. Effect of candesartan and lisinopril alone and in combination on blood pressure and microalbuminuria. J Renin Angiotensin Aldosterone Syst 2004;5:64–71.
- [81] Horita Y, Tadokoro M, Taura K, et al. Low-dose combination therapy with temocapril and losartan reduces proteinuria in normotensive patients with immunoglobulin a nephropathy. Hypertens Res 2004;27: 963–70.
- [82] Song JH, Lee SW, Suh JH, et al. The effects of dual blockade of the reninangiotensin system on urinary protein and transforming growth factorbeta excretion in 2 groups of patients with IgA and diabetic nephropathy. Clin Nephrol 2003;60:318–26.
- [83] Segura J, Praga M, Campo C, et al. Combination is better than monotherapy with ACE inhibitor or angiotensin receptor antagonist at recommended doses. J Renin Angiotensin Aldosterone Syst 2003; 4:43–7.
- [84] Rossing K, Jacobsen P, Pietraszek L, et al. Renoprotective effects of adding angiotensin II receptor blocker to maximal recommended doses of ACE inhibitor in diabetic nephropathy: a randomized double-blind crossover trial. Diabetes Care 2003;26:2268–74.

- [85] Kim MJ, Song JH, Suh JH, et al. Additive antiproteinuric effect of combination therapy with ACE inhibitor and angiotensin II receptor antagonist: differential short-term response between IgA nephropathy and diabetic nephropathy. Yonsei Med J 2003;44:463–72.
- [86] Jacobsen P, Andersen S, Rossing K, et al. Dual blockade of the reninangiotensin system versus maximal recommended dose of ACE inhibition in diabetic nephropathy. Kidney Int 2003;63:1874–80.
- [87] Jacobsen P, Andersen S, Jensen BR, et al. Additive effect of ACE inhibition and angiotensin II receptor blockade in type I diabetic patients with diabetic nephropathy. J Am Soc Nephrol 2003;14:992–9.
- [88] Campbell R, Sangalli F, Perticucci E, et al. Effects of combined ACE inhibitor and angiotensin II antagonist treatment in human chronic nephropathies. Kidney Int 2003;63:1094–103.
- [89] Tylicki L, Rutkowski P, Renke M, et al. Renoprotective effect of small doses of losartan and enalapril in patients with primary glomerulonephritis. Short-term observation. Am J Nephrol 2002;22:356–62.
- [90] Rossing K, Christensen PK, Jensen BR, et al. Dual blockade of the reninangiotensin system in diabetic nephropathy: a randomized double-blind crossover study. Diabetes Care 2002;25:95–100.
- [91] Nakamura T, Ushiyama C, Osada S, et al. Combination therapy of trandolapril and candesartan cilexetil reduces microalbuminuria and urinary endothelin-1 excretion in patients with type 2 diabetes. Clin Exp Nephrol 2002;6:135–9.
- [92] Luño J, Barrio V, Goicoechea MA, et al. Effects of dual blockade of the renin-angiotensin system in primary proteinuric nephropathies. Kidney Int Suppl 2002;82:S47–52.
- [93] Kincaid-Smith P, Fairley K, Packham D. Randomized controlled crossover study of the effect on proteinuria and blood pressure of adding an angiotensin II receptor antagonist to an angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor in normotensive patients with chronic renal disease and proteinuria. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2002;17:597–601.
- [94] Jacobsen P, Andersen S, Rossing K, et al. Dual blockade of the reninangiotensin system in type 1 patients with diabetic nephropathy. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2002;17:1019–24.
- [95] Ferrari P, Marti H-P, Pfister M, et al. Additive antiproteinuric effect of combined ACE inhibition and angiotensin II receptor blockade. J Hypertens 2002;20:125–30.
- [96] Berger ED, Bader BD, Ebert C, et al. Reduction of proteinuria; combined effects of receptor blockade and low dose angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition. J Hypertens 2002;20:739–43.
- [97] Tütüncü NB, Gürlek A, Gedik O. Efficacy of ACE inhibitors and ATII receptor blockers in patients with microalbuminuria: a prospective study. Acta Diabetol 2001;38:157–61.
- [98] Agarwal R. Add-on angiotensin receptor blockade with maximized ACE inhibition. Kidney Int 2001;59:2282–9.
- [99] Ruilope LM, Aldigier JC, Ponticelli C, et al. Safety of the combination of valsartan and benazepril in patients with chronic renal disease. J Hypertens 2000;18:89–95.