

Associations Between Hand Hygiene Education and Self-Reported Hand-Washing Behaviors Among Korean Adults During MERS-CoV Outbreak

Health Education & Behavior 2019, Vol. 46(1) 157–164 © 2018 Society for Public Health Education Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/1090198118783829 journals.sagepub.com/home/heb



Jieun Yang, BA¹, Eun-Cheol Park, MD, PhD¹, Sang Ah Lee, BA¹, and Sang Gyu Lee, MD, PhD, MBA¹

Abstract

Background. Hand washing is an effective way to prevent transmission of infectious diseases. Education and promotional materials about hand washing may change individuals' awareness toward hand washing. Infectious disease outbreak may also affect individuals' awareness. Aims. Our study aimed to examine associations between hand-washing education and selfreported hand-washing behaviors among Korean adults during the year of the Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS) outbreak. Methods. Data from the 2015 Community Health Survey were used for this study. The total study population comprised 222,599 individuals who were older than 20 years of age. A multiple linear regression model was used to investigate associations between hand hygiene education and self-reported hand-washing behaviors. Subgroup analyses stratified by age, sex, income, and MERS outbreak regions were also performed. Results. Individuals who received hand-washing education or saw promotional materials related to hand washing had significantly higher scores for self-reported use of soap or sanitizer ($\beta = 0.177, P < .0001$) and self-reported frequency of hand washing ($\beta = 0.481, P < .0001$) than those who did not have such experiences. The effect of hand-washing education on self-reported behavior change was greater among older adults, women, and lower income earners. The effect of hand hygiene education on self-reported use of soap or sanitizer was similar regardless of whether the participants lived in MERS regions. Conclusion. Our findings emphasize the importance of education or promotions encouraging hand washing, especially for older adults, women, and lower income earners. In addition, MERS outbreak itself affected individuals' awareness of hand-washing behaviors. Well-organized campaigns that consider these factors are needed to prevent infectious diseases.

Keywords

hand-washing education, hand-washing promotion, MERS outbreak, self-reported hand washing with sanitizer, self-reported hand washing with soap, self-reported hand-washing frequency

Hand washing has long been considered an effective way to prevent transmission of infectious diseases, such as respiratory and gastrointestinal infections, trachoma, and worm infections (Biran et al., 2014). Moreover, hand washing with soap or hand sanitizer reduces infection rates compared with hand washing with only water (Burton et al., 2011; Fendler et al., 2002; Luby et al., 2005; Paulson, Fendler, Dolan, & Williams, 1999; Sandora et al., 2005; Zaragoza, Sallés, Gomez, Bayas, & Trilla, 1999). Previous studies in other countries found that hand hygiene education effectively increased health care workers' hand-washing compliance (Mathai et al., 2010; Rosenthal, McCormick, Guzman, Villamayor, & Orellano, 2003). A hand-washing promotion or campaign was also effective in increasing individuals' awareness and performance of hand hygiene practices (Randle, Clarke, & Storr, 2006).

In May 2015, a severe outbreak of Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS) occurred in several regions of South Korea (hereafter, Korea). During the outbreak, 186 confirmed cases were reported across the country (Korean Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [KCDC], 2017b). MERS is an acute respiratory disease that is caused by a newly identified strain of coronavirus, Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (World Health Organization, 2017). At this point, there is no effective

¹Yonsei University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

Corresponding Author:

Sang Gyu Lee, Department of Hospital Management, Graduate School of Public Health, Yonsei University, 50 Yonsei-ro, Seodaemun-gu, Seoul 03722, Republic of Korea. Email: LEEVAN@yuhs.ac vaccine against this worldwide disease, whose fatality rate is approximately 35% (World Health Organization, 2017). Proper hand washing is considered the first and most crucial means of preventing MERS infection (KCDC, 2017b). Therefore, the MERS outbreak may have raised Koreans' awareness of the importance of hand hygiene and changed hand-washing behavior.

Previous studies in Korea have focused on hand hygiene performance rates among health care workers (Oh, 2015), elementary school to high school students (Yang et al., 2014), and adults (Y.-H. Lee et al., 2016). Other studies have investigated the relationship between hand hygiene education and the behaviors of targeted individuals, including nurses (Kim & Choi, 2002), undergraduate students (Choi, Jang, & Choi, 2014), and adolescents (Min & Chang, 2014). Additionally, one study focused on the National Handwashing Survey administered to Korean adults from 2006 to 2014 (M.-S. Lee, Hong, & Kim, 2015); however, this study was conducted before the 2015 MERS outbreak in Korea.

Therefore, the present study aimed to examine associations of hand-washing education with self-reported handwashing frequency and self-reported use of soap or hand sanitizer among Korean adults during the year of the MERS outbreak in Korea using a nationally representative survey conducted 3 months after the first confirmed case occurred. We also performed subgroup analyses to evaluate associations of hand hygiene education or promotion with selfreported hand hygiene frequency and self-reported use of soap or hand sanitizer according to age group, sex, income, and MERS outbreak region.

Method

Study Population

This study analyzed data from the Community Health Survey (CHS) conducted by the KCDC. The CHS has been conducted annually since 2008 among individuals aged 19 years and older across the country. We used data from the 2015 CHS. The study's population was limited to adults \geq 20 years old, and we excluded individuals who were younger than 20 years old (n = 2,616) and individuals with missing data (n = 3,343). Therefore, the present study evaluated data from 222,599 individuals. The CHS received ethical approval from the institutional review board of the KCDC (IRB No. 2014-08EXP-09-4C-A), and written consent was obtained from all survey participants.

Variables

The main variable of interest was hand-washing education and promotion, which was assessed using self-reported data based on responses to the CHS question, "Did you receive any education on correct hand washing or see promotional materials related to hand washing during the past year?" Possible responses were "yes" and "no."

The dependent variables were self-reported hand-washing method and frequency. These were evaluated using selfreported data based on responses to the CHS questionnaire. To evaluate hand-washing methods, we used the question, "How often do you usually wash your hands with soap or hand sanitizer?" The possible responses were "always," "often," "sometimes," "rarely," and "never." Hand-washing method was scored on a 5-point scale from 1 (never) to 5 (always). To evaluate hand-washing frequency, we used three questions: "How often did you wash your hands before having a meal during the past week?" "How often did you wash your hands after using the toilet during the past week?" "How often did you wash your hands after returning from outside during the past week?" Each question had four possible responses: "always," "often," "sometimes," and "rarely." Hand-washing frequency in each situation was scored on a 4-point scale from 1 (rarely) to 4 (always). The total score for hand-washing frequency was the sum of the three questions; thus, total scores ranged from 3 to 12.

The analyses were adjusted for participants' demographic, socioeconomic, and health-related characteristics. The demographic characteristics considered were age group (20-29, 30-39, 40-49, 50-59, 60-69, 70-79, or \geq 80 years), sex (male or female), and marital status (married and cohabitating, married and non-cohabitating, or single). The socioeconomic characteristics were income level (low, lower middle, upper middle, or high), education (elementary school and less, middle school, high school, or college and higher), and occupation (white collar [managerial, professional, or clerical], pink collar [sales and services], blue collar [manual labor], or nonworking). The health-related factor was perceived health status (good, average, or poor). We also adjusted for residence in a MERS outbreak region in Korea (yes or no), as MERS occurred in 43 of the 254 regions where the 2015 CHS was conducted ("List of hospitals," 2017). All covariates were treated as categorical variables.

Statistical Analysis

The general characteristics of the study participants were examined using t tests and analysis of variance. A multiple linear regression model was used to investigate associations of hand hygiene education with self-reported hand-washing behaviors, including both methods and frequency, among Korean adults after adjusting for demographic, socioeconomic, and health-related characteristics. Subgroup analyses were also performed, with participants stratified by age, sex, income, and MERS outbreak regions. Differences were considered statistically significant at p values <.05. Effect sizes were calculated using partial eta squared, which refers to the proportion of variance explained. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS software (version 9.4, SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

Table I. General Characteristics of Study Population	I able 1.	 Genera 	Characteristics	of Stud	y Population
--	-----------	----------------------------	-----------------	---------	--------------

	Tot	al			Self-r	eported hai	nd hygiene behavior				
	(N = 222,599)		Using soap or hand sanitizer				Hand-washing frequency				
Variables	N	%	М	SD	P value	ESª	м	SD	P value	ESª	
Hand hygiene education or promotion					<.0001	0.006			<.0001	0.012	
Yes	169,662	76.2	4.23	0.90			10.36	1.81			
No	52,937	23.8	3.87	1.04			9.54	2.14			
Age (years)					<.0001	0.007			<.0001	0.007	
20-29	21,796	9.8	4.40	0.80			10.32	1.77			
30-39	32,077	14.4	4.47	0.76			10.58	1.68			
40-49	41,305	18.6	4.30	0.86			10.37	1.81			
50-59	44,725	20.1	4.15	0.93			10.25	1.86			
60-69	37,800	17.0	4.04	0.99			10.15	1.93			
70-79	32,673	14.7	3.80	1.03			9.71	2.10			
≥80	12,223	5.5	3.55	1.05			9.07	2.30			
Sex	12,225	5.5	5.55	1.00	<.0001	0.003	7.07	2.50	<.0001	0.048	
Male	100,136	45.0	4.12	0.97	~.0001	0.005	9.73	2.08	<.0001	0.040	
Female	122,463	55.0	4.17	0.94			10.52	1.72			
Marital status	122,403	55.0	7.17	0.74	<.0001	0.000	10.52	1.72	<.0001	0.001	
	153,763	69.1	4.17	0.94	<.0001	0.000	10.24	1.90	<.0001	0.001	
Married, cohabiting	37,656	16.9	3.87	1.02			9.85	2.05			
Married, non-cohabiting		16.9									
Single	31,180	14.0	4.35	0.84	<.0001	0.001	10.18	1.87	< 0001	0 000	
Income	40 5 20	21.8	3.80	1.05	<.0001	0.001	9.68	2.13	<.0001	0.000	
Low	48,530										
Lower-middle	79,320	35.6	4.15	0.94			10.13	1.93			
Upper-middle	60,095	27.0	4.30	0.86			10.38	1.79			
High	34,654	15.6	4.37	0.83			10.55	1.72			
Education					<.0001	0.010			<.0001	0.009	
Elementary school or less	59,490	26.7	3.75	1.03			9.65	2.11			
Middle school	24,591	11.1	4.05	0.97			9.99	1.99			
High school	72,554	32.6	4.26	0.89			10.23	1.87			
College or over	65,964	29.6	4.42	0.80			10.62	1.66			
Occupation					<.0001	0.001			<.0001	0.002	
White collar	43,313	19.5	4.42	0.79			10.62	1.63			
Pink collar	29,159	13.1	4.28	0.87			10.48	1.72			
Blue collar	70,084	31.5	4.01	0.98			9.82	2.00			
Nonworking	80,043	36.0	4.07	0.99			10.11	2.02			
Perceived health status					<.0001	0.002			<.0001	0.006	
Good	81,931	36.8	4.28	0.88			10.41	1.79			
Average	92,838	41.7	4.18	0.93			10.22	1.87			
Poor	47,830	21.5	3.84	1.04			9.65	2.16			
MERS outbreak region					<.0001	0.001			<.0001	0.001	
Yes	37,886	17.0	4.29	0.88			10.42	1.79			
No	184,713	83.0	4.12	0.96			10.11	1.95			
Total	222,599	100.0	4.15	0.95			10.17	1.93			

Note. ES = effect size; MERS = Middle East respiratory syndrome.

^aEffect sizes were computed using partial eta-squared.

Results

Table 1 shows the general characteristics of the study population. Among the 222,599 participants, 169,662 (76.2%) indicated that they had received education on correct hand washing or had seen hand-washing-related promotional materials within the past year, whereas 52,937 participants (23.8%) reported no exposure to hand-washing education or promotional materials on hand washing. The means and standard deviations (*SD*s) for hand washing with soap or

	Self-reported hand hygiene behavior										
	Using	soap or hand san	itizer	Hand-washing frequency							
Variables	β	SE	P value	β	SE	P value					
Hand hygiene education or promo	tion										
Yes	0.177	0.005	<.0001	0.481	0.009	<.0001					
No	Ref.			Ref.							
Age (years)											
20-29	0.422	0.014	<.0001	0.472	0.028	<.0001					
30-39	0.442	0.012	<.0001	0.560	0.024	<.000 I					
40-49	0.307	0.012	<.0001	0.435	0.023	<.0001					
50-59	0.269	0.011	<.0001	0.548	0.022	<.0001					
60-69	0.302	0.010	<.0001	0.743	0.020	<.0001					
70-79	0.197	0.010	<.0001	0.543	0.020	<.0001					
≥80	Ref.			Ref.							
Sex											
Male	-0.113	0.004	<.0001	-0.900	0.009	<.0001					
Female	Ref.			Ref.							
Marital status											
Married, cohabiting	0.055	0.008	<.0001	0.221	0.016	<.0001					
Married, non-cohabiting	0.033	0.010	0.0005	0.106	0.019	<.0001					
Single	Ref.			Ref.							
Income											
Low	-0.131	0.008	<.0001	-0.122	0.016	<.0001					
Lower-middle	-0.051	0.006	<.0001	-0.083	0.012	<.0001					
Upper-middle	-0.030	0.006	<.0001	-0.063	0.012	<.0001					
High	Ref.			Ref.							
Education											
Elementary school or less	-0.358	0.008	<.0001	-0.718	0.016	<.0001					
Middle school	-0.182	0.008	<.0001	-0.498	0.016	<.0001					
High school	-0.068	0.005	<.0001	-0.280	0.011	<.0001					
College or over	Ref.	0.005		Ref.	0.011						
Occupation											
White collar	0.017	0.006	0.0095	0.143	0.013	<.0001					
Pink collar	0.001	0.007	0.9334	0.099	0.013	<.0001					
Blue collar	-0.071	0.005	<.0001	-0.098	0.010	<.0001					
Nonworking	Ref.	0.005		Ref.	0.010						
Perceived health status	Nei.			itel.							
Good	0.116	0.006	<.0001	0.423	0.012	<.0001					
Average	0.087	0.006	<.0001	0.253	0.012	<.0001					
Poor	Ref.	0.000	0001	Ref.	0.011	~.0001					
MERS outbreak region	1761.			1/61.							
Yes	0.081	0.005	<.0001	0.154	0.010	<.0001					
No	Ref.	0.005	~.0001	Ref.	0.010	\. 0001					

Table 2. Factors Associated With Self-Reported Hand Hygiene Behavior (Methods, Frequency).

Note. SE = standard error; MERS = Middle East respiratory syndrome. Multiple linear regressions were performed.

hand sanitizer were 4.23 ± 0.90 among those who had and 3.87 ± 1.04 among those who had not experienced hand-washing education, respectively, and the means and *SD*s for hand-washing frequency were 10.36 ± 1.81 and 9.54 ± 2.14 , respectively.

Table 2 shows the factors associated with self-reported hand-washing methods and frequency. The results demonstrate that exposure to hand-washing education or promotional materials was significantly associated with self-reported handwashing behaviors. Individuals who had received education on correct hand washing or seen promotional materials related to hand washing within the previous year had significantly higher scores for both self-reported hand-washing methods (β = 0.177, *P* < .0001) and self-reported hand-washing frequency (β = 0.481, *P* < .0001) than those who had not had such educational opportunities. Men exhibited significantly lower scores for both self-reported hand-washing methods ($\beta = -0.113$, P < .0001) and self-reported hand-washing frequency ($\beta = -0.900$, P < .0001) than women. With the highest income level set as the reference group, scores for self-reported hand-washing methods ($\beta = -0.030$, P < .0001; $\beta = -0.051$, P < .0001; $\beta = -0.131$, P < .0001) and self-reported frequency ($\beta = -0.063$, P < .0001; $\beta = -0.122$, P < .0001) were progressively lower in the following order: upper middle > low income, respectively. Individuals who lived in MERS outbreak regions showed significantly higher scores for both self-reported hand-washing methods ($\beta = 0.081$, P < .0001) and self-reported hand-washing methods ($\beta = 0.081$, P < .0001) and self-reported hand-washing methods ($\beta = 0.081$, P < .0001) and self-reported hand-washing methods ($\beta = 0.081$, P < .0001) and self-reported hand-washing frequency ($\beta = 0.154$, P < .0001) than did those who lived elsewhere.

Table 3 shows the results of subgroup analyses stratified by age group, sex, income, and MERS outbreak region. The subgroup analyses showed significant differences in each group, although modifying effects of sex on self-reported use of soap or sanitizer and MERS outbreak region on both dependent variables were not significant in the tests for interaction. Participants in the 30 to 39, 40 to 49, 50 to 59, 60 to 69, 70 to 79, and ≥ 80 years age groups who had received education on correct hand washing or had seen related promotional materials exhibited higher scores with age for both self-reported hand-washing methods ($\beta = 0.090, P < .0001; \beta = 0.119, P < .0001; \beta = 0.119, P < .0001; \beta = 0.119, P < .0001; \beta = 0.000; \beta = 0.000$ $.0001; \beta = 0.161, P < .0001; \beta = 0.198, P < .0001; \beta = 0.239,$ P < .0001; and $\beta = 0.319$, P < .0001, respectively) and selfreported hand-washing frequency ($\beta = 0.267, P < .0001; \beta =$ $0.387, P < .0001; \beta = 0.439, P < .0001; \beta = 0.471, P < .0001;$ $\beta = 0.603, P < .0001$; and $\beta = 0.830, P < .0001$, respectively). Women who received education on correct hand washing or saw promotional materials related to hand washing showed higher scores in self-reported hand-washing methods ($\beta =$ 0.211, P < .0001) than did men. Women who received education on hand washing or saw promotional materials showed a trend toward a greater magnitude of self-reported hand-washing frequency scores than men. As income level decreased from high to upper middle, lower middle, and low, individuals who reported exposure to hand-washing education or promotional materials exhibited progressively higher scores in both self-reported hand-washing methods ($\beta = 0.111, P < .0001; \beta$ $= 0.131, P < .0001; \beta = 0.169, P < .0001; \beta = 0.247, P < .0001,$ respectively) and self-reported hand-washing frequency ($\beta =$ $0.373, P < .0001; \beta = 0.397, P < .0001; \beta = 0.480, P < .0001;$ $\beta = 0.586$, P < .0001, respectively). Individuals who lived in MERS outbreak regions and who had exposure to hand-washing education or promotional materials showed a trend toward a slightly greater magnitude of self-reported hand-washing frequency scores than individuals who did not live in those regions ($\beta = 0.528, P < .0001; \beta = 0.472, P < .0001,$ respectively).

Discussion

The results of the present study revealed that self-reported behaviors related to hand-washing methods and frequency were significantly associated with exposure to hand-washing education and promotion. Individuals who had received education on correct hand washing or saw related promotional materials within the previous year had higher scores in both self-reported hand-washing methods and self-reported handwashing frequency than did those who did not have such experiences. Men, single people, individuals with lower incomes, and individuals who had less education had significantly lower scores for both self-reported hand-washing methods and self-reported hand-washing frequency than did their counterparts. The associations reported here are similar to those in previous studies on hand-washing behaviors in several countries, including associations with hand hygiene education (Pittet et al., 2000), sex (Van de Mortel, Bourke, McLoughlin, Nonu, & Reis, 2001), income, and educational level (Y.-H. Lee et al., 2016). Interestingly, in our study, individuals who lived in MERS outbreak regions showed significantly higher scores for both self-reported hand-washing methods and self-reported hand-washing frequency than did those who lived elsewhere. In Korea, the first confirmed MERS patient occurred on May 20, 2015, and the number of confirmed patients rapidly increased in June 2015. As of July 4, 2015, no more confirmed cases were reported (Ministry of Health and Welfare, 2016). Meanwhile, the 2015 CHS that we used in this study was performed from August 31, 2015, to November 8, 2015 (KCDC, 2017a). Since the survey was conducted only a few months after the severe outbreak, the responses to the survey questions were probably affected by individuals' motivation to prevent the disease. A major outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS), which is mainly transmitted by respiratory droplets, occurred in 2002 in Hong Kong. The SARS fatality rate was high, and it served to remind individuals living in Hong Kong of the importance of hand washing; indeed, their self-reported hand hygiene compliance increased during the SARS outbreak and remained high nearly 2 years later (Fung & Cairncross, 2007). Higher self-reported compliance in regions of Korea where MERS occurred in 2015 might be interpreted as similar to that during the 2002 SARS outbreak in Hong Kong. To avoid contracting MERS, individuals who lived in MERS outbreak regions were more aware of using soap or hand sanitizer and frequent hand washing than were those who lived outside MERS areas.

The subgroup analyses of hand-washing education revealed that age, sex, income level, and MERS outbreak regions were significantly associated with self-reported hand-washing methods and frequency, although the modifying effects of sex and MERS outbreak regions were not significant. Regarding age, the effect of hand-washing education or promotion on self-reported hand-washing methods and frequency became stronger with increasing age. Generally, older adults have less opportunity to receive education on hand hygiene than do younger generations. Thus, once older adults receive education related to hand hygiene or are exposed to hand hygiene campaigns, their awareness of the

Variables	Self-rep	ported using so	oap or hand san	itizer	Self-reported hand-washing frequency Education				
		Educa	ation						
	Yes			No	Yes			No	
	β	SE	P value	β	β	SE	P value	β	
Age (years)									
20-29	0.078	0.014	<.0001	Ref.	0.339	0.030	<.0001	Ref.	
30-39	0.090	0.012	<.0001	Ref.	0.267	0.025	<.0001	Ref.	
40-49	0.119	0.012	<.0001	Ref.	0.387	0.023	<.0001	Ref.	
50-59	0.161	0.011	<.0001	Ref.	0.439	0.021	<.0001	Ref.	
60-69	0.198	0.012	<.0001	Ref.	0.471	0.022	<.0001	Ref.	
70-79	0.239	0.012	<.0001	Ref.	0.603	0.023	<.0001	Ref.	
≥80	0.319	0.019	<.0001	Ref.	0.830	0.040	<.0001	Ref.	
Sex									
Male	0.144	0.007	<.0001	Ref.	0.447	0.015	<.0001	Ref.	
Female	0.211	0.006	<.0001	Ref.	0.511	0.012	<.0001	Ref.	
Income									
Low	0.247	0.010	<.0001	Ref.	0.586	0.019	<.0001	Ref.	
Lower-middle	0.169	0.008	<.0001	Ref.	0.480	0.016	<.0001	Ref.	
Upper-middle	0.131	0.009	<.0001	Ref.	0.397	0.019	<.0001	Ref.	
High	0.111	0.012	<.0001	Ref.	0.373	0.025	<.0001	Ref.	
MERS outbreak region									
Yes	0.174	0.011	<.0001	Ref.	0.528	0.022	<.0001	Ref.	
No	0.177	0.005	<.0001	Ref.	0.472	0.010	<.0001	Ref.	

 Table 3.
 Subgroup Analysis of the Association Between Hand Hygiene Education and Self-Reported Hand Hygiene Behavior (Methods, Frequency).

Note. SE = standard error; MERS = Middle East respiratory syndrome.

importance of hand hygiene may increase. The provision of hand hygiene education or promotion in senior centers might be an effective way to raise older adults' hand hygiene awareness.

Regarding gender, women who received education about correct hand washing or saw promotional materials related to hand hygiene obtained higher scores for both self-reported use of soap or sanitizer and self-reported hand-washing frequency than did men. Generally, women are more compliant than are men (Lindahl & Heimann, 1997), and they may follow newly learned guidance received through hand hygiene education or promotional materials more easily. Thus, the effect on women of hand-washing education or promotion could be stronger than that on men.

Regarding income level, the effect of hand-washing education or promotion increased as income level decreased. Individuals with relatively lower income have less opportunity to receive education on hand hygiene or be exposed to hand hygiene promotions than do those with higher income. Greater opportunity to receive education on correct hand washing would likely increase their hand hygiene awareness.

Regarding MERS outbreak regions, individuals who lived in MERS outbreak regions and experienced hand-washing education or promotion showed slightly higher scores for self-reported hand-washing frequency than those who did not live in these regions. Additionally, the effect of hand hygiene education or promotion on self-reported use of soap or hand sanitizer when washing hands was similar in individuals who lived in MERS outbreak regions and those who did not. Because the MERS outbreak was a seriously disturbing event, individuals who lived in MERS regions might already have been aware of the importance of washing with soap or hand sanitizer and might have been more likely to do so to avoid getting the disease. Thus, their self-reported behaviors might not have been greatly influenced by hand hygiene education or promotional materials.

The present study has several strengths. First, we used CHS data gathered by a national institution; these data are more statistically reliable than are those from surveys conducted by private survey institutions. Second, our study revealed how specific demographic and socioeconomic factors affected individuals' hand hygiene behaviors. The present study also has several limitations. First, the CHS is a cross-sectional survey, and we therefore could not establish a causal relationship between hand-washing education and self-reported hand-washing methods and frequency. Second, we used self-reported data to identify hand-washing behaviors, which might have resulted in recall bias. Third, selfreported data of socially desirable behavior such as correct hand washing might have resulted in social desirability bias (Biran et al., 2008; Manun'Ebo et al., 1997). Fourth, careful interpretation of statistical significance is needed due to the very large sample size of this study. Fifth, we could not distinguish between individuals who received hand hygiene education and those who saw promotional materials related to hand washing because the CHS questionnaire asked about both items in a single question. If a later version of the questionnaire could distinguish between hand-washing education and promotion, it might improve our findings regarding the association between hand-washing education and selfreported hand-washing behaviors among Korean adults.

The present study has several implications. Our study indicated that hand-washing education or promotion is important to strengthen individuals' awareness of correct hand-washing behaviors. Moreover, targeted hand-washing education or promotion could increase individuals' awareness of hand-washing compliance effectively. Thus, wellorganized hand hygiene educational programs or promotional campaigns that address associated demographic and socioeconomic factors we have investigated are needed to prevent infectious diseases. In addition, serious 2015 MERS outbreak in Korea affected individuals' awareness of proper hand-washing behaviors. Regarding these results, further studies on how long the 2015 MERS effects on hand washing would be sustained and how to keep these positive effects longer are needed to prevent infectious diseases.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interests with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iDs

Jieun Yang (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6065-0940 Eun-Cheol Park (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2306-5398

References

- Biran, A., Rabie, T., Schmidt, W., Juvekar, S., Hirve, S., & Curtis, V. (2008). Comparing the performance of indicators of hand-washing practices in rural Indian households. *Tropical Medicine & International Health*, 13, 278-285.
- Biran, A., Schmidt, W.-P., Varadharajan, K. S., Rajaraman, D., Kumar, R., Greenland, K., . . . Curtis, V. (2014). Effect of a behaviour-change intervention on handwashing with soap in India (SuperAmma): A cluster-randomised trial. *Lancet Global Health*, 2, e145-e154.
- Burton, M., Cobb, E., Donachie, P., Judah, G., Curtis, V., & Schmidt, W.-P. (2011). The effect of handwashing with water or soap on bacterial contamination of hands. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 8, 97-104.

- Choi, E. H., Jang, I. S., & Choi, J. Y. (2014). The effect of an educational hand washing program on knowledge, attitude and performance of hand washing in undergraduates. *Journal of the Korean Society of School Health*, 27, 39-49.
- Fendler, E., Ali, Y., Hammond, B., Lyons, M., Kelley, M., & Vowell, N. (2002). The impact of alcohol hand sanitizer use on infection rates in an extended care facility. *American Journal* of Infection Control, 30, 226-233.
- Fung, I. C.-H., & Cairneross, S. (2007). How often do you wash your hands? A review of studies of hand-washing practices in the community during and after the SARS outbreak in 2003. *International Journal of Environmental Health Research*, 17, 161-183.
- Kim, N.-C., & Choi, K.-O. (2002). Effects on nurses' hand washing behavior and reduction of respiratory isolation rate of MRSA of the hand washing education. *Korean Journal of Adult Nursing*, 14, 26-33.
- Korean Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2017a). *Introduction to community health survey*. Retrieved from https://chs.cdc.go.kr/chs/sub01/sub01_04_02.jsp#s4_02
- Korean Centers for Disease Control & Prevention. (2017b). Middle East respiratory syndrome. Retrieved from http:// www.cdc.go.kr/CDC/eng/contents/CdcEngContentView. jsp?cid=74219&menuIds=HOME002-MNU0576-MNU0582
- Lee, M.-S., Hong, S., & Kim, Y.-T. (2015). Handwashing with soap and national handwashing projects in Korea. *Epidemiology and Health*, 37, e2015039.
- Lee, Y.-H., Lee, M.-S., Hong, S., Yang, N.-Y., Hwang, H.-J., Kim, B.-H., . . . Kim, Y.-T. (2016). Related factors to handwashing with soap in Korean adults. *Journal of Korean Society for School & Community Health Education*, 17, 89-99.
- Lindahl, L. B., & Heimann, M. (1997). Social proximity in early mother–infant interactions: Implications for gender differences? *Infant and Child Development*, 6, 83-88.
- List of hospitals where confirmed MERS patients either stayed or visited. (2017). Retrieved from http://www.mers.go.kr/mers/ html/jsp/Menu H/content H1.jsp?cid=63450
- Luby, S. P., Agboatwalla, M., Feikin, D. R., Painter, J., Billhimer, W., Altaf, A., & Hoekstra, R. M. (2005). Effect of handwashing on child health: A randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*, 366, 225-233.
- Manun'Ebo, M., Cousens, S., Haggerty, P., Kalengaie, M., Ashworth, A., & Kirkwood, B. (1997). Measuring hygiene practices: A comparison of questionnaires with direct observations in rural Zaire. *Tropical Medicine & International Health*, 2, 1015-1021.
- Mathai, E., Allegranzi, B., Seto, W., Chraïti, M.-N., Sax, H., Larson, E., & Pittet, D. (2010). Educating healthcare workers to optimal hand hygiene practices: Addressing the need. *Infection*, 38, 349-356.
- Min, J. W., & Chang, Y.-S. (2014). An experience of personal hygiene education and hand-washing practices among adolescents in the Korean youth risk behavior web-based survey. *Journal of Korean Society for School Health Education*, 15, 31-43.
- Ministry of Health and Welfare. (2016). *The 2015 MERS outbreak in the Republic of Korea: Learning from MERS.* Seoul, South Korea: Author.

- Oh, H. S. (2015). Analysis of hand hygiene practices of health care personnel. *Journal of the Korea Academia-Industrial cooperation Society*, 16, 6160-6168.
- Paulson, D. S., Fendler, E. J., Dolan, M. J., & Williams, R. A. (1999). A close look at alcohol gel as an antimicrobial sanitizing agent. *American Journal of Infection Control*, 27, 332-338.
- Pittet, D., Hugonnet, S., Harbarth, S., Mourouga, P., Sauvan, V., Touveneau, S., & Perneger, T. V. (2000). Effectiveness of a hospital-wide programme to improve compliance with hand hygiene. *Lancet*, 356, 1307-1312.
- Randle, J., Clarke, M., & Storr, J. (2006). Hand hygiene compliance in healthcare workers. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, 64, 205-209.
- Rosenthal, V. D., McCormick, R. D., Guzman, S., Villamayor, C., & Orellano, P. W. (2003). Effect of education and performance feedback on handwashing: The benefit of administrative support in Argentinean hospitals. *American Journal of Infection Control*, 31, 85-92.

- Sandora, T. J., Taveras, E. M., Shih, M.-C., Resnick, E. A., Lee, G. M., Ross-Degnan, D., & Goldmann, D. A. (2005). A randomized, controlled trial of a multifaceted intervention including alcohol-based hand sanitizer and hand-hygiene education to reduce illness transmission in the home. *Pediatrics*, *116*, 587-594.
- Van de Mortel, T., Bourke, R., McLoughlin, J., Nonu, M., & Reis, M. (2001). Gender influences handwashing rates in the critical care unit. *American Journal of Infection Control*, 29, 395-399.
- World Health Organization. (2017). Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus. Retrieved from http://www.who.int/features/qa/mers-cov/en/
- Yang, N. Y., Lee, M.-S., Hwang, H.-J., Hong, J.-Y., Kim, B.-H., Kim, H.-S., . . . Park, Y.-J. (2014). Related factors of handwashing with soap and its practices by students in South Korea. *Journal of Korean Public Health Nursing*, 28, 372-386.
- Zaragoza, M., Sallés, M., Gomez, J., Bayas, J. M., & Trilla, A. (1999). Handwashing with soap or alcoholic solutions? A randomized clinical trial of its effectiveness. *American Journal of Infection Control*, 27, 258-261.