



Draft Genome Sequences of Isolates from Sediments of the River Elbe That Are Highly Tolerant to Diclofenac

José Miguel Quesada,ª Inés Aguilar,ª Jesús de la Torre,ª Regina-Michaela Wittich,ª 🕩 Pieter van Dillewijnª

^aDepartment of Environmental Protection, Estación Experimental del Zaidín, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Granada, Spain

ABSTRACT Here, we report the genome sequences of one *Achromobacter* and four *Pseudomonas* strains isolated from sediments of the River Elbe which are highly tolerant toward the xenobiotic target compound diclofenac, a nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drug (NSAID) and emerging contaminant.

Diclofenac, an over-the-counter medication used in many countries, has a yearly production volume of about 90 tons in Germany and 800 tons in India. Insufficient removal by wastewater treatment plants has led to an increasing occurrence of diclofenac, as well as that of other pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs), in surface waters such as the River Elbe in Germany (1). Moreover, its toxic effects toward microbes as well as higher organisms (2, 3) have converted diclofenac into an emerging contaminant.

Isolates were obtained from enrichment cultures of sediment samples from the River Elbe downstream of the Hamburg harbor (4) with 0.5 mM diclofenac as the sole carbon and energy source. Bacteria growing in the presence of diclofenac were isolated and identified by sequencing the corresponding 16S rRNA genes. A MIC(s) study with various concentrations of diclofenac in lysogeny broth (LB) medium showed that the isolates RW405 and RW409 grew at concentrations greater than 1,500 mg/liter, but RW407, RW408, and RW410 grew only in a range between 750 and 1,200 mg/liter.

To characterize each isolate, pure cultures of each strain were grown overnight at 30°C in LB medium under agitation. Total genomic DNA was extracted using the Wizard genomic DNA purification kit (Promega). The quality and quantity of the DNA were assessed with the Nanodrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). To obtain the draft genome of each isolate, 300-bp paired-end sequencing libraries were prepared using the Illumina Nextera XT DNA library version 3 sample preparation kit, and sequencing was performed with the Illumina MiSeq platform at the Center for Scientific Instrumentation of the University of Granada (Spain). Sequence reads were assessed for quality using FastQC (Babraham Bioinformatics, Q > 30), filtered using Trimmomatic (5), and assembled *de novo* with Velvet (version 1.2.10) and VelvetOptimiser (version 2.2.5) (6) within a customized workflow on Galaxy (http://galaxy-mel.genome.edu .au/galaxy/). The contigs obtained were further annotated with the Rapid Annotations using Subsystems Technology (RAST) server version 2.0 (7), and for submission to GenBank, gene annotation was performed using the NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (PGAP) (8).

Genome sizes vary between 5,862 and 7,346 Mbp and GC contents from 61.8 to 67.6% (Table 1). Taxonomy was determined by comparing 16S rRNA gene sequences in EzBioCloud (9) and the *rpoD*, *recA*, and *gyrB* genes (10) with a BLAST search (11). As possible mechanisms for diclofenac tolerance, the isolates harbored between 35 and 46 genes related to efflux mechanisms for multidrug resistance and between 143 and 240 genes related to the metabolism of aromatic compounds, which constitute between 0.6 and 0.7% and 2.4 and 3.8% of the total number of genes, respectively. Pairwise

Received 18 June 2018 Accepted 10 July 2018 Published 16 August 2018

Citation Quesada JM, Aguilar I, de La Torre J, Wittich R-M, van Dillewijn P. 2018. Draft genome sequences of isolates from sediments of the River Elbe that are highly tolerant to diclofenac. Microbiol Resour Announc 7:e00849-18. https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA .00849-18.

Editor Julie C. Dunning Hotopp, University of Maryland School of Medicine

Copyright © 2018 Quesada et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Pieter van Dillewijn, pieter.vandillewijn@eez.csic.es.

lsolate	Bacterial species ^a	Genome size (bp)	No. of contigs	N ₅₀ (bp)	Total no. of genes	G+C content (%)	No. of genes related to efflux systems ^b	No. of genes related to metabolism of aromatic compounds ^b	GenBank accession no.
RW405	Pseudomonas putida	5,862,946	33	127,964	5,612	61.8	35	152	QHJD0000000
RW407	Pseudomonas citronellolis	7,346,097	108	38,667	6,724	67.4	37	256	QGSL0000000
RW408	Achromobacter xylosoxidans	6,532,250	49	57,962	6,060	67.6	44	143	QHHO0000000
RW409	Pseudomonas chlororaphis	7,154,805	42	130,324	6,555	62.5	46	171	QHHP00000000
RW410	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	6,529,102	74	120,648	6,289	66.2	42	166	QGSM0000000

TABLE 1 Characteristics and accession numbers of genomes of the diclofenac-tolerant bacterial isolates

aStrain identification by \geq 99% similarity with 16S rRNA and \geq 97% with the *rpoD*, *recA*, and *gyrB* genes. ^bAccording to annotation with the RAST server.

similarity between the genomes as determined by average nucleotide identity (ANI) with the OrthoANIu tool (12) ranged between the pseudomonad genomes from 76.6 to 82% and between the pseudomonads and the Achromobacter strain from 69.2 to 70.7%, which are all well below the 95 to 96% species threshold (13).

Data availability. The whole-genome sequences have been deposited in DDBJ/ENA/ GenBank under the accession numbers listed in Table 1. The versions described in this paper are the first versions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by grants CTM2013-44271-R and CTM2017-86504-R of the Spanish Ministry of Economy, Industry and Competitiveness and the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF).

REFERENCES

- 1. Wiegel S, Aulinger A, Brockmeyer R, Harms H, Löffler J, Reincke H, Schmidt R, Stachel B, von Tümpling W, Wanke A. 2004. Pharmaceuticals in the river Elbe and its tributaries. Chemosphere 57:107-126. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2004.05.017.
- 2. Lonappan L, Brar SK, Das RK, Verma M, Surampalli RY. 2016. Diclofenac and its transformation products: environmental occurrence and toxicity—a review. Environ Int 96:127-138. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint 2016 09 014
- 3. Jiang C, Geng J, Hu H, Ma H, Gao X, Ren H. 2017. Impact of selected non-steroidal anti-inflammatory pharmaceuticals on microbial community assembly and activity in sequencing batch reactors. PLoS One 12:e0179236. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0179236.
- 4. Wittich R-M, Strömpl C, Moore ERB, Blasco R, Timmis KN. 1999. Interaction of Sphingomonas and Pseudomonas strains in the degradation of chlorinated dibenzofurans. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol 23:353-358. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.jim.2900740.
- 5. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics 30:2114–2120. https://doi.org/10 .1093/bioinformatics/btu170.
- 6. Zerbino DR, Birney E. 2008. Velvet: algorithms for de novo short read assembly using de Bruijn graphs. Genome Res 18:821-829. https://doi .org/10.1101/gr.074492.107.
- 7. Aziz RK, Bartels D, Best AA, DeJongh M, Disz T, Edwards RA, Formsma K, Gerdes S, Glass EM, Kubal M, Meyer F, Olsen GJ, Olson R, Osterman AL, Overbeek RA, McNeil LK, Paarmann D, Paczian T, Parrello B, Pusch GD,

Reich C, Stevens R, Vassieva O, Vonstein V, Wilke A, Zagnitko O. 2008. The RAST server: Rapid Annotations using Subsystems Technology. BMC Genomics 9:75. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-9-75.

- 8. Tatusova T, DiCuccio M, Badretdin A, Chetvernin V, Nawrocki EP, Zaslavsky L, Lomsadze A, Pruitt KD, Borodovsky M, Ostell J. 2016. NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline. Nucleic Acids Res 44:6614-6624. https://doi .org/10.1093/nar/gkw569.
- 9. Yoon S-H, Ha S-M, Kwon S, Lim J, Kim Y, Seo H, Chun J. 2017. Introducing EzBioCloud: a taxonomically united database of 16S rRNA gene sequences and whole-genome assemblies. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 67: 1613-1617. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.001755.
- 10. Pascual J, Macián MC, Arahal DR, Garay E, Pujalte MJ. 2010. Multilocus sequence analysis of the central clade of the genus Vibrio by using the 16S rRNA, recA, pyrH, rpoD, gyrB, rctB and toxR genes. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 60:154-165. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.010702-0.
- 11. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol 215:403-410. https://doi.org/10.1016/ \$0022-2836(05)80360-2
- 12. Yoon S-H, Ha S, Lim J, Kwon S, Chun J. 2017. A large-scale evaluation of algorithms to calculate average nucleotide identity. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 110:1281-1286. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10482-017-0844-4.
- 13. Richter M, Rosselló-Móra R. 2009. Shifting the genomic gold standard for the prokaryotic species definition. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106: 19126-19131. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0906412106.