The FDA approved PI3K inhibitor GDC-0941 enhances *in vitro* the anti-neoplastic efficacy of Axitinib against c-myc-amplified high-risk medulloblastoma

Michael Ehrhardt ^{a, #}, Rogerio B. Craveiro ^{a, *, #}, Julia Velz ^a, Martin Olschewski ^a, Anna Casati ^a, Stefan Schönberger ^a, Torsten Pietsch ^b, Dagmar Dilloo ^a

^a Department of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, Center for Pediatrics, University of Bonn Medical Center, Bonn, Germany ^b Department of Neuropathology, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany

Received: August 9, 2017; Accepted: November 9, 2017

Abstract

Aberrant receptor kinase signalling and tumour neovascularization are hallmarks of medulloblastoma development and are both considered valuable therapeutic targets. In addition to VEGFR1/2, expression of PDGFR α/β in particular has been documented as characteristic of metastatic disease correlating with poor prognosis. Therefore, we have been suggested that the clinically approved multi-kinase angiogenesis inhibitor Axitinib, which specifically targets these kinases, might constitute a promising option for medulloblastoma treatment. Indeed, our results delineate anti-neoplastic activity of Axitinib in medulloblastoma cell lines modelling the most aggressive *c-myc*-amplified Non-WNT/Non-SHH and SHH-*TP53*-mutated tumours. Exposure of medulloblastoma cell lines to Axitinib results in marked inhibition of proliferation and profound induction of cell death. The differential efficacy of Axitinib is in line with target expression of medulloblastoma cells identifying VEGFR 1/2, PDGFR α/β and c-kit as potential markers for drug application. The high specificity of Axitinib and the consequential low impact on the haematopoietic and immune system render this drug ideal multi-modal treatment approaches. In this context, we demonstrate that the clinically available PI3K inhibitor GDC-0941 enhances the anti-neoplastic efficacy of Axitinib against *c-myc*-amplified medulloblastoma. Our findings provide a rational to further evaluate Axitinib alone and in combination with other therapeutic agents for the treatment of most aggressive medulloblastoma subtypes.

Keywords: medulloblastoma • Axitinib • GDC-0941 • targeted therapy • multi-kinase inhibitor • PI3K inhibitor

Introduction

Medulloblastoma is the most common malignant brain tumour of childhood. Despite current intensive therapy including a combination of surgery, chemotherapy and radiation, still one-third of the patients succumb to this disease [1]. Thus, novel treatment modalities are urgently needed.

Signalling *via* vascular endothelial growth factor receptor VEGFR 1/2, platelet-derived growth factor receptor α/β (PDGFR α/β) and c-kit is critical for medulloblastoma development and progression [2–7]. Axitinib, a potent angiogenesis inhibitor specifically targets these kinases with high affinity [8].

Axitinib has been approved by the Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of advanced renal cell carcinoma [8]. Multiple phase I, II and III studies in adult patients suffering from various cancers including glioblastoma multiforme document the efficacy and good tolerability of Axitinib [9, 10]. In comparison with broad-spectrum multi-kinase inhibitors such as Sorafenib and Sunitinib, the high specificity of Axitinib and the documented favourable toxicity profile, in particular, with respect to haematologic adverse events, render this drug an ideal candidate for complementation of immunotherapy, chemotherapy and other targeted agents [11–14].

While the anti-angiogenic capacity of Axitinib has been extensively delineated, only few reports show that the anti-tumour activity of Axitinib is also mediated by inhibition of its target kinases VEGFR1-3, PDGFR α/β and c-kit expressed by the tumour cells themselves [15–20]. In medulloblastoma, aberrant activation of these receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) is considered key to tumour development and progression [2–4, 6, 7, 21]. PDGFR α and β expression, in particular, have been found to be characteristic of metastatic disease and correlates with poor prognosis [5, 6]. In a xenograft mouse model, we previously documented tumour regression and prolonged survival following treatment of orthotopic medulloblastoma with the broad spectrum

doi: 10.1111/jcmm.13489

[#]These authors contributed equally.

^{*}Correspondence to: Dr. Rogério B. Craveiro

E-mail: Rogerio.craveiro@ukb.uni-bonn.de

^{© 2018} The Authors.

Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Foundation for Cellular and Molecular Medicine. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

multi-kinase inhibitors Pazopanib and Sorafenib [22]. In comparison with these drugs, Axitinib exhibits an exceedingly low IC50 for the mentioned RTKs such that it recommends itself as a highly attractive agent especially for multi-modal treatment approaches [8, 23]. To date, Axitinib has been successfully incorporated into the treatment regimes of adult malignancies [8, 9]. However, studies evaluating its efficacy in paediatric tumour entities are lacking.

Here, we report that Axitinib displays anti-proliferative, anti-clonogenic and pro-apoptotic activity in cell lines modelling the most aggressive c-myc-amplified Non-WNT/Non-SHH and SHH-TP53mutated medulloblastoma variants associated with a very poor prognosis [24-30]. In line with these findings, we demonstrate that Axitinib treatment reduces the phosphorylation state of the signalling molecules AKT and signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) known to be downstream of the targeted kinases VEGFR1/2, PDGFR α/β and c-kit. Recently, our research group documented in vitro and in vivo the anti-neoplastic potential of the phosphoinositid-3-kinase (PI3K) inhibitor GDC-0941 for medulloblastoma therapy [31]. Here, we show that Axitinib in combination with GDC-0941 displays enhanced cytotoxic and anti-proliferative efficacy alongside with a complete abrogation of AKT and STAT3 signalling in c-myc-amplified medulloblastoma. Thus, our data provide a rational for further assessment of Axitinib alone and in combination with other therapeutic approaches such as PI3K inhibitors for medulloblastoma treatment.

Material and methods

Reagents and antibodies

Axitinib and GDC-0941 were obtained from LC Laboratories (Woburn, MA, USA). The primary antibodies pSTAT3 (TYR705, D3A7), STAT3 (124H6), pAKT (Ser473), AKT (11E7) and GAPDH were purchased from Cell Signalling while secondary antibodies were purchased from Dianova (Hamburg, Germany). Carboxyfluoreszein-succinimidyl ester (CFSE) was purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA, USA), while Hoechst 33258 was provided by Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA).

Cell culture

The human medulloblastoma cell lines Daoy (HTB 186) and D283 Med (HTB-185) were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). The medulloblastoma cell line MEB-Med-8A was generated by Prof. T. Pietsch. The medulloblastoma cell lines Daoy, D283 Med and MEB-Med-8A were maintained in complete medium, namely Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM, PAA), with L-glutamine supplemented with 1 mM sodium pyruvate (PAA), 1% penicilline/streptomycine (Invitrogen) and 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS, Invitrogen).

Quantification of cell numbers

MEB-Med-8A (7 \times 10⁵), D283 Med (5 \times 10⁵) and Daoy (3 \times 10⁵) cells were seeded in 6-well cell culture dishes in complete medium.

After overnight cultivation, the cells were treated with the respective drug concentration for 24 and 48 hrs. Dimethylsulfoxid (DMSO) served as control. Thereafter, dead and living cells were harvested and resuspended in an equal volume of PBS. Dead cells were identified by trypan blue stain according to the supplier's instructions. Results of microscopic cell count by means of a haemocytometer were confirmed by a flow cytometric analysis. Dead cells were excluded from analysis by Hoechst33258 stain. For each sample, the total number of living cells measured within 100 sec. was determined.

Cell proliferation and cell death assay

Medulloblastoma cells were stained with CFSE according to the supplier's instructions. MEB-Med-8A (7 \times 10⁵) and D283 Med (5 \times 10⁵) and Daoy (3 \times 10⁵) cells were seeded in 6-well cell culture dishes in complete medium. After overnight cultivation, the cells were treated with respective concentrations of Axitinib and GDC-0941 for 48 hrs. Thereafter, floating and attached cells were collected, stained with Hoechst33258 and analysed by flow cytometry. Proliferation was traced by CFSE staining and normalized to the control DMSO, while dead cell was determined by Hoechst33258 staining.

Colony formation assay

The cell lines Daoy (200 cells/well) and MEB-Med-8A (1000 cells/well) were plated in 6-well cell culture dishes. The cells were allowed to adhere and spread properly for 12 hrs at 37°C. Thereafter, the cells were exposed to the stated concentration of Axitinib. After 48 hrs of exposure, the cells were washed with standard medium to remove any trace of the inhibitor and cultured for another week. Colony numbers and colony size were assessed by IMAGEJ. Particles smaller than 40 pixel² were excluded from the analysis as these represented stain artefacts, cell detritus or non-proliferating single cells.

Immunoblotting analysis

The medulloblastoma cell lines Daoy, MEB-Med-8A, D283 Med and D341 were exposed to different Axitinib concentrations for 1 and 48 hrs, respectively. Thereafter, the cells were harvested and subsequently lysed in RIPA buffer (Sigma-Aldrich) containing protease and phosphatase inhibitors (protease inhibitor and PhosSTOP phosphatase inhibitor cocktail; Roche (Rotkreuz, Switzerland)). The lysates were centrifuged 10 min. at 10,000 \times q, and the protein concentration was determined by means of the Bradford assay. During the entire procedure, cells and lysates, respectively, were kept at 4°C. A total protein concentration of 25 µg derived from medulloblastoma cell lines was separated by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). The membranes were blocked for 1 hr at RT in $1 \times$ Tris-buffered saline containing 0.1% tween-20 (TBST) supplemented with 5% BSA. Thereafter, the membranes were incubated with the primary antibodies (1/1000) overnight at 4°C and subsequently with the respective secondary antibody (1/10,000) for 1 hr at room temperature. Immunoreactivity was detected by chemiluminescence and quantified by means of a ChemiDoc XRS Imaging System (Bio-Rad).

Statistical analysis

The two-sided Student's *t*-test was applied to determine statistical significance of differences between groups. P < 0.05 (*) was considered as statistically significant. Values stated within text and figures represent mean \pm standard deviation.

Results

Axitinib reduces the viability of different medulloblastoma cell lines in a time- and dose-dependent manner

For our analysis, we chose the paediatric medulloblastoma cell lines MEB-Med-8A, D283 Med and Daoy. MEB-Med-8A and D283 Med display distinct characteristics of *c-myc*-amplified Non-WNT/ Non-SHH medulloblastoma while the third analysed cell line, Daoy, shows markers of a subgroup of SHH tumours characterized by a mutation of tumoursuppressor protein 53 [24-30]. Cells were cultured in the presence of increasing concentrations of Axitinib or the vehicle DMSO as control with in vitro concentrations of 0.5 and 1 µM Axitinib corresponding to plasma levels observed in patients (Fig. 1). At 24 hrs. medulloblastoma cell lines have started to proliferate. At this early time, in culture, in the presence of 0.5 and 1 µM Axitinib, cell growth is significantly attenuated in MEB-Med-8A, D283 Med in comparison with the untreated control while in Daoy, this effect is observed only after dose escalation to 2 μ M Axitinib. In contrast, after 48 hrs, all three investigated cell lines exhibit a significant dose-dependent reduction of viable cells in comparison with the untreated control with a decrease in viable cell number to 53 \pm 11% at 0.5 $\mu M,$ 27 \pm 5% at 1 μM and 8 \pm 2% at 2 μM Axitinib in MEB-Med-8A and 58 \pm 7%, 21 \pm 4% and $16 \pm 1.5\%$ in D283 Med, respectively. In Daoy, suppression of viable cell number is again less pronounced after 48 hrs at 0.5 and 1 μM Axitinib with 50 \pm 17% and 40 \pm 11% residual viable cells compared to the untreated control and markedly enhanced cytore-duction at 2 μM Axitinib to 4 \pm 3%.

At 48 hrs, significant reduction of viable cells in relation to the number of seeded cells is noted in MEB-Med-8A with a cytoreductive effect to 63 \pm 11% at 1 μ M and 81 \pm 5.3% at 2 μ M Axitinib and 78 \pm 11% and 60 \pm 5.3% viable cell numbers in D283 Med. In Daoy, a net loss of viable cells was only detected after 48 hrs exposure to 2 μ M Axitinib with only 25 \pm 20% of the seeded cells surviving.

Axitinib interferes with the clonogenicity of medulloblastoma

Based on their different group affiliation, the medulloblastoma cell lines MEB-Med-8A and Daoy were chosen to assess Axitinib-induced modulation of colony formation (Fig. 2). In MEB-Med-8A, Axitinib reduces colony number and size in a dose-dependent manner, while in Daoy only the highest concentration exerts a significant inhibitory effect. After exposure to 2 μ M Axitinib, only 2 \pm 1 colonies grow in MEB-Med-8A compared to 122 \pm 12 in the DMSO control. In contrast, 39 \pm 5 colonies are detectable in Daoy compared to 70 \pm 11 residual colonies in the untreated control. Furthermore, Axitinib treatment also leads to profound reduction in colony size with 18 \pm 13p² in MEB-Med-8A and 158 \pm 19p² in Daoy in comparison with 122 \pm 11p² and 376 \pm 50p² in the respective controls.

Axitinib alone and in combination with the PI3K inhibitor GDC-0941 abrogates STAT3 and AKT activity

We exposed the medulloblastoma cell lines for 1 hr to 0.5, 1 and 2 μ M and determined the activity of the VEGFR 1/2, PDGFR α/β and c-kit downstream signalling molecules STAT3 and AKT (Fig. 3A). In MEB-Med-8A and D283 Med, STAT3 phosphorylation levels remain



Fig. 1 Axitinib reduces the viability of different medulloblatoma cell lines in a time- and dose-dependent manner. The stated medulloblastoma cell lines were seeded and exposed to 0.5, 1 and 2 μ M of Axitinib for 48 hrs. Cell viability was assessed by cell count after 24 and 48 hrs. Dead cells were excluded from analysis by trypan blue staining. All values below an asterisk are significantly different from control (*P < 0.05). Each experiment was performed in triplicates and repeated four times.

© 2018 The Authors.

Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Foundation for Cellular and Molecular Medicine.



Fig. 2 Axitinib interferes with the clonogenicity of medulloblastoma. Daoy and MEB-Med-8A cells were exposed to 0.5, 1 and 2 μ M Axitinib for 48 hrs. Subsequently, the cells were maintained in standard growth medium for 7 days and colony formation and colony size were assessed. Statistically significant differences from control are marked by an asterisk (*P < 0.05). The data shown represent five independent experiments.

stable comparable to controls after 1 hr of exposure to 0.5–2 μ M Axitinib, while in Daoy, phosphorylation levels are reduced. AKT activity, in contrast, was significantly increased upon drug treatment in all investigated cell lines in a dose-dependent manner.

Furthermore, 48 hrs treatment with 2 μ M Axitinib moderate reduction in STAT3 and AKT phosphorylation is observed in all analysed cell lines (Fig. 3B). Treatment with the PI3K/AKT pathway inhibitor GDC-0941 alone leads to moderate reduction of STAT3 activity in MEB-Med-8A and Daoy and profound impairment in D283 Med. GDC-0941 also results in complete abrogation of AKT signalling in all cell lines that is therefore not further enhanced by Axitinib. However, with regards to AKT protein levels, combination of Axitinib and GDC-0941 does have an additive suppressive effect in MEB-Med-8A and Daoy. The combination of Axitinib and GDC-0941 also results in decrease of STAT3 activity in MEB-Med-8A and Daoy compared to single drug application. Of note, the suppressive effect on STAT3 protein expression is also additive.

The PI3K inhibitor GDC-0941 enhances the antineoplastic efficacy of Axitinib against *c-myc*amplified medulloblastoma

We previously showed that the clinically available PI3K inhibitor GDC-0941 exerts significant anti-neoplastic efficacy against medulloblastoma *in vitro* and *in vivo* and displays additive anti-tumourigenic efficacy with the multi-kinase inhibitor (MKI) Vandetanib [31, 32]. Here, we investigated whether the PI3K inhibitor GDC-0941 also enhances the pro-apoptotic and anti-proliferative activity of Axitinib. For this purpose, we exposed the medulloblastoma lines for 48 hrs to 0.5–2 μ M Axitinib in combination with 1 μ M GDC-0941. Cells were analysed and enumerated by flow cytometry following a combined CFSE-Hoechst33258 stain. The vehicle DMSO served as control (Fig. 4).

In the *c-myc*-amplified Non-WNT/Non-SHH medulloblastoma lines MEB-Med-8A and D283 Med, Axitinib reduces viable cell number **Fig. 3** Axitinib alone and in combination with the phosphoinositid-3-kinase (PI3K) inhibitor GDC-0941 affects signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) and AKT signalling. Daoy, MEB-Med-8A and D283 Med cells were treated for 1 hr with 0.5, 1 and 2 μ M (**A**) and for 48 hrs with 2 μ M of Axitinib (**B**), respectively. Furthermore, the stated medulloblastoma cell lines were exposed for 48 hrs to 2 μ M Axitinib in the presence of 1 μ M GDC-0941 (**B**). Total protein levels and phosphorylation status of AKT and STAT3 were determined by Western blot. GAPDH served as loading control.



in a dose-dependent manner starting at the lowest concentration of 0.5 μ M, while in the SHH-*TP53*-mutated cell line Daoy, a significant decrease was only observed at 2 μ M, the highest concentration applied. In both the *c-myc*-amplified Non-WNT/Non-SHH medul-loblastoma cell lines, an additive cytoreductive effect of GDC-0941 is noticeable when combined with 1 and 2 μ M Axitinib.

After treatment of MEB-Med-8A and D283 Med with 1 μM Axitinib, a decline to 39 \pm 5% and 36 \pm 5% residual viable cells is observed, respectively, while after exposure to 1 µM GDC-0941, $19 \pm 1.6\%$ and $47 \pm 5\%$ cells survive. Compared to either drug alone, the combination of 1 μ M Axitinib with 1 μ M GDC-0941 significantly decreases the number of viable cells to 3.6 \pm 1% in MEB-Med-8A and to 14 \pm 3% in D283 Med. In MEB-Med-8A, cell loss was complete after combined exposure to 2 µM Axitinib and 1 uM GDC-0941. Yet, in D283 Med, there was no further loss in viable cell number. Daoy, in contrast, is considerably more resistant to Axitinib. Viable Daoy cells are 90 \pm 22 and 91 \pm 19% at concentrations of at 0.5 µM and 1 µM Axitinib. Notably, cytoreductive activity is documented at 2 μ M Axitinib with 30 \pm 2.5% cell surviving, which is significantly lower than after exposure to 1 µM GDC-0941 alone but without any additional effect by the drug combination.

We further dissected the anti-neoplastic effect of Axitinib with regards to its pro-apoptotic and anti-proliferative capacity based on the combined CFSE-Hoechst33258 stain. There was a substantial cell

death effect upon escalation of Axitinib from 1 to 2 µM. After treatment with 2 μM Axitinib, 75 \pm 4% of dead cells were detected in MEB-Med-8A and 36 \pm 3% in Daoy. In MEB-Med-8A and Daoy, this exceeds the cell death observed with 1 μ M GDC-0941 resulting in $40 \pm 3.5\%$ and $9 \pm 4\%$ cell death, respectively. Compared to single drug application, the Axitinib-GDC-0941 combination significantly enhances cytotoxicity in MEB-Med-8A for all Axitinib concentrations, while in Daoy, dose escalation of Axitinib had the major cytotoxic effect. In D283 Med, increasing the Axitinib dose has no effect on cell death, which remains below 20% as is the case with 1 µM GDC-0941 alone. However, in combination with GDC-0941, cytotoxicity is twice as pronounced reaching 43 \pm 10% and 37 \pm 8% when coadministered with 1 and 2 µM Axitinib, respectively. Moreover, AnnexinV/ 7ADD staining reveals that Axitinib alone or in combination with GDC-0941 exerts a pro-apoptotic effect on all three medulloblastoma cell lines (Fig. S3).

With regards to the anti-proliferative activity, in MEB-Med-8A and Daoy, the most prominent effect is achieved with 2 μ M Axitinib alone compared to all other experimental conditions with 57 \pm 3.5% and 43 \pm 1.5% of growth inhibition, respectively. At lower Axitinib concentrations, the combination with GDC-0941 augmented the anti-proliferative effect in MEB-Med-8A and D283 Med compared to single Axitinib application at the respective concentration. However, there was no additional effect compared to GDC-0941 alone in MEB-Med-8A and Daoy.

Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Foundation for Cellular and Molecular Medicine.



Fig. 4 The phosphoinositid-3-kinase (PI3K) inhibitor GDC-0941 enhances the anti-neoplastic efficacy of Axitinib against *c-myc*-amplified medulloblastoma. The cells were treated with the stated concentrations of Axitinib alone and in combination with 1 μ M of GDC-0941. In a combined flow cytometric cell viability/proliferation assay based on a carboxyfluoreszein-succinimidyl ester (CFSE)/Hoechst 33258 stain, (**A**) the total number of viable cells as number of events acquired in 100 sec. and (**B**) the percentage of dead cells (Hoechst33258⁺ cells) were assessed by flow cytometry after 48 hrs of treatment. (**C**) Inhibition of proliferation, traced by CFSE staining, was normalized to the control dimethylsulfoxid (DMSO) and all stated values differ significantly (*P* < 0.05) from the control DMSO. Statistically significant differences between Axitinib single application and the Axitinib-GDC combination are marked with an asterisk, while statistically significant differences between GDC-0941 and the Axitinib-GDC combination are marked by a hash sign (#*P* < 0.05). The dot line in A represents the number of viable cells upon treatment with 1 μ M of GDC-0941 only for 48 hrs. The data shown represent four independent experiments.

Discussion

To date, targeted therapies have become an integral element of cancer treatment [33]. Multi-kinase inhibitors with anti-angiogenic properties also directly impair signal transduction pathways critical for neoplastic transformation and tumour progression. The availability of anti-cancer drugs with partially redundant target profiles such as Vandetanib, Sorafenib, Sunitinib and Pazopanib is steadily increasing [34]. Axitinib stands out from these broad-spectrum MKI, as it displays one to two log higher affinity to the receptors for VEGFR1-3, PDGFR α/β and c-kit with a favourable toxicity profile that renders Axitinib well suited for combination therapy with other targeted agents [8].

While Axitinib is currently under clinical investigation in glioblastoma, this is a first report documenting profound anti-neoplastic activity in paediatric medulloblastoma cell lines [10]. In MEB-Med-8A and D283 Med with specific characteristics of the most aggressive *cmyc*-amplified Non-WNT/Non-SHH medulloblastoma variant [24–29], Axitinib reduces viable cell number in a dose-dependent manner with the highest responsiveness in MEB-Med-8A. Of the three medulloblastoma cell lines assessed, MEB-Med-8A is the only cell line that does not express cancer stem cell markers (Fig. S1). At the highest dose, significant cytoreductive activity is also achieved in Daoy representing the TP53-mutated subgroup of SHH tumours with extremely poor survival rates [30]. The observation that the SHH-TP53-mutated cell line—Daoy—is less susceptible to lower doses of Axitinib might also reflect the fact that Daoy differs in its target profile from the myc-amplified medulloblastoma lines MEB-Med-8A and D283 Med with similar VEGFR1-3, PDGFR α/β receptor but lower levels of c-kit receptor expression (Table S1).

The profound loss of cell viability in all three MB lines can be attributed to marked pro-apoptotic and anti-proliferative activity. Axitinib arrests proliferation in G2/M phase (Fig. S2) and interferes with medulloblastoma clonogenicity regardless of medulloblastoma group affiliation.

In clinical pharmacokinetic studies, there is a high degree of variability in plasma levels even in healthy volunteers. However, although it is well documented that Axitinib is primarily metabolized by CYP3A4/5 and to a lesser extent by CYP1A2, CYP2C19 and UGT1A1, in two meta-analyses, no association between plasma levels and polymorphisms of these enzymes was found. [35, 36] In clinical anti-cancer therapy, daily doses range from 10 to 20 mg Axitinib depending on the sensitivity to adverse events and anti-neoplastic efficacy in the respective patient cohorts. Thus, in renal cell carcinoma patients, plasma levels range between 0.5 and 1 µM following administration of 20 mg of Axitinib [37]. Within the range of 0.5 and 1 µM, our in vitro experiments proof anti-neoplastic efficacy against different medulloblastoma cell lines. Morover, in vivo, drug accumulation or retention as a result of newly formed dysfunctional tumour vessels might lead to spatial Axitinib concentration in the brain tumour tissue that exceeds the patient plasma concentration [38]. Thus, in vivo, in addition to direct anti-tumour effects, modulation of the tumour microenvironment contributes to MKI efficacy. Thus, a recent report on a phase II clinical study in recurrent glioblastoma concludes that Axitinib improves response and progression-free survival [10]. Two additional phase II clinical trials testing the efficacy and safety of Axitinib in patients with glioblastoma are currently under way. (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT03291314 and NCT01508117).

In medulloblastoma, we have previously shown in an orthotopic in vivo xenograft model that the oral administration of the broadspectrum MKI Sorafenib and Pazopanib attenuate tumour growth and prolong survival [22], an effect that was significantly enhanced by the addition of the PI3K inhibitor GDC-0491 [31]. Based on the promising in vitro cytotoxicity of Axitinib shown here further in vivo studies evaluating Axitinib in orthotopic medulloblastoma mouse models are warranted. Pazopanib and Sorafenib also target VEGFR1-3, PDGFR α/β and c-kit among other kinases and affect medulloblastoma proliferation and cell survival by inhibition of STAT3 and, to a lesser extend, AKT phosphorylation [22, 39, 40]. These observations underline the importance of the respective signalling elements in aberrant RTK activation in medulloblastoma and provide evidence of significant crosstalk between these pathways [31]. While prolonged treatment with the highly specific MKI Axitinib impairs both STAT3 as well as AKT activity in MEB-Med-8A and Daoy, after 1 hr of drug exposure, transient albeit profound upregulation of AKT activation is observed. This underscores the notion that in medulloblastoma, combined inhibition of both signalling elements STAT3 and AKT might enhance antitumour efficacy.

With acceptable tolerability including limited haemotological side effects, Axitinib is particularly well suited for combination therapy [14, 41]. Axitinib also has a favourable profile with respect to the antitumour T cell response with less pronounced inhibitory effects on T cell effector functions compared to other broad-spectrum MKI [42]. In solid tumours including intracerebral neoplastic lesions, Axitinib facilitates a shift from a suppressive to an activated immunologic milieu [43-45]. Thus, MKI-mediated effects on the haematopoietic and immune system are of particular interest when considering administration in conjunction not only with chemotherapy but also with immunotherapy or other targeted agents [46-48]. Within this context, it has recently been reported that the combination of Axitinib with the anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) inhibitor dalantercept is well tolerated [49]. Furthermore, combined inhibition of the mTOR pathway and AKT by Axitinib and Everolimus is momentarily under extensive evaluation in patients with disease progression in various solid cancers (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT 01334073). Against this background, we have previously shown that upstream of mTOR/ AKT the clinically available PI3K inhibitor GDC-0941 potently inhibits AKT phosphorylation in high-risk variants of medulloblastoma and promotes survival in an orthotopic xenograft model [31]. Here, we demonstrate that application of GDC-0941 in combination with Axitinib significantly enhances the anti-neoplastic efficacy in cell lines harbouring a *c-myc* amplification compared to treatment with either drug alone. Combination of Axitinib with the AKT inhibitor GDC-0941 results in near to complete abrogation of viable cells in MEB-Med-8A and D283 Med. Corresponding to the enhanced cytotoxicity of the Axitinib-GDC-0941 combination, AKT and STAT3 signalling are markedly impaired. While there is a cumulative suppressive effect of Axitinib and GDC-0941 on STAT3 activity, as expected the specific PI3K inhibitor dominates the inhibitory effect on AKT. The significance of PI3K/AKT signalling has not only been delineated for *c-myc-*amplified medulloblastoma but also for cerebellar cells overexpressing *c-myc* resulting in a similar molecular profile and PI3K dependency [50]. Upregulated PI3K signalling is also a feature of cancer stem cells and corresponds to the capacity for self-renewal in a number of tumour entities including glioblastoma [51]. In contrast to the *c-mvc*-amplified MEB-Med-8A and D283 Med medulloblastoma cells, in the SHH-TP53-mutated medulloblastoma line Daoy, at the highest dose, the anti-neoplastic effect of Axitinib exceeds the cytotoxic activity of GDC-0941 and combination of the two drugs has no additional effect. Of note, across the different medulloblastoma entities, not only the activity of STAT3 and AKT but also protein levels are diminished by the applied inhibitors, which is most likely because of the role of STAT3 and AKT as key regulators of protein expression [52, 53]. Our previous study delineates a similar result for the MKI Vandetanib that also targets VEGFR-2 and 3 but, in addition, the EGFR receptor. In contrast to this study, we have previously documented that the Vandetanib-GDC-0941 combination displayed enhanced anti-neoplastic efficacy compared to single drug application in Daoy [32]. This might be because of to the fact that Daoy expresses high levels of the Vandetanib target EGFR but expression of the Axitinib target c-kit as mentioned above is low. This finding points towards receptor tyrosine expression profiles as biomarker for MKI treatment.

In conclusion, our study demonstrates that Axitinib, a highly specific clinically available inhibitor of angiogenesis, displays marked cytotoxic activity against paediatric medulloblastoma cell

Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Foundation for Cellular and Molecular Medicine.

lines modelling most aggressive *c-mvc*-amplified Non-WNT/Non-SHH and SHH-TP53-mutated tumours. In this setting, marked antiproliferative property of Axitinib is complemented by profound cytotoxic capacity. We further show that Axitinib anti-neoplastic efficacy correlates with the target expression profile on the tumour cells. As the availability of anti-cancer agents with partially redundant target profiles such as Axitinib, Vandetanib, Sorafenib, Sunitinib and Pazopanib is steadily increasing, biomarkers supporting the choice of MKI are of increasing importance. With regards to multi-modal treatment approaches, PI3K/AKT pathway inhibition by means of GDC-0941 enhances the efficacy of Axitinib against *c-myc-*amplified medulloblastoma. Our data suggest that Axitinib single treatment or in combination with PI3K inhibitors such as GDC-0941 might prove a promising strategy to clinically target the most aggressive c-myc-amplified and SHH-TP53-mutated medulloblastoma subtypes.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the expert technical support of Anne Petruschke. Part of this work was supported by Caroline Wenzel Foundation.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Supporting information

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the supporting information tab for this article:

Fig. S1 Expression of the cancer stem cell markers CD24, CD44 and CD133 is absent in MEB-Med-8A.

Fig. S2 Axitinib induces a G2/M-phase cell cycle arrest in medulloblastoma.

Fig. S3 Determination of pro-apoptotic effects of Axitinib in medulloblastoma cells.

 Table S1
 Relative expression of Axitinib target proteins in medulloblastoma cell lines (+ = low; ++++ = high)

References

- Gajjar A, Chintagumpala M, Ashley D, et al. Risk-adapted craniospinal radiotherapy followed by high-dose chemotherapy and stem-cell rescue in children with newly diagnosed medulloblastoma (St Jude Medulloblastoma-96): long-term results from a prospective, multicentre trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2006; 7: 813–20.
- Blom T, Roselli A, Hayry V, et al. Amplification and overexpression of KIT, PDGFRA, and VEGFR2 in medulloblastomas and primitive neuroectodermal tumors. J Neurooncol. 2010; 97: 217–24.
- Chilton-Macneill S, Ho M, Hawkins C, et al. C-kit expression and mutational analysis in medulloblastoma. *Pediatr Dev Pathol.* 2004; 7: 493–8.
- Enguita-German M, Gurrea M, Schiapparelli P, et al. KIT expression and methylation in medulloblastoma and PNET cell lines and tumors. J Neurooncol. 2011; 103: 247–53.
- Gilbertson RJ, Clifford SC. PDGFRB is overexpressed in metastatic medulloblastoma. *Nat Genet.* 2003; 35: 197–8.
- MacDonald TJ, Brown KM, LaFleur B, et al. Expression profiling of medulloblastoma: PDGFRA and the RAS/MAPK pathway as therapeutic targets for metastatic disease. Nat Genet. 2001; 29: 143–52.
- 7. Slongo ML, Molena B, Brunati AM, et al. Functional VEGF and VEGF receptors are

expressed in human medulloblastomas. *Neuro Oncol.* 2007; 9: 384–92.

- Gross-Goupil M, Francois L, Quivy A, et al. Axitinib: a review of its safety and efficacy in the treatment of adults with advanced renal cell carcinoma. *Clin Med Insights Oncol.* 2013; 7: 269–77.
- Cohen EE, Tortorici M, Kim S, et al. A Phase II trial of axitinib in patients with various histologic subtypes of advanced thyroid cancer: long-term outcomes and pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic analyses. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol.* 2014; 74: 1261–70.
- Duerinck J, Du Four S, Vandervorst F, et al. Randomized phase II study of axitinib versus physicians best alternative choice of therapy in patients with recurrent glioblastoma. J Neurooncol. 2016; 128: 147–55.
- Grunwald V, Merseburger AS. Axitinib for the treatment of patients with advanced metastatic renal cell carcinoma (mRCC) after failure of prior systemic treatment. Onco Targets Ther. 2012; 5: 111–7.
- Rini BI, Escudier B, Tomczak P, et al. Comparative effectiveness of axitinib versus sorafenib in advanced renal cell carcinoma (AXIS): a randomised phase 3 trial. Lancet. 2011; 378: 1931–9.
- 13. Santoni M, Berardi R, Amantini C, et al. Role of natural and adaptive immunity in renal cell carcinoma response to

VEGFR-TKIs and mTOR inhibitor. *Int J Cancer*, 2014: 134: 2772–7.

- Santoni M, Rizzo M, Burattini L, et al. Present and future of tyrosine kinase inhibitors in renal cell carcinoma: analysis of hematologic toxicity. Recent Pat Antiinfect Drug Discov. 2012; 7: 104–10.
- He Q, Gao J, Ge S, et al. Axitinib alone or in combination with chemotherapeutic drugs exerts potent antitumor activity against human gastric cancer cells in vitro and in vivo. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 2014; 140: 1575–83.
- Hu-Lowe DD, Zou HY, Grazzini ML, et al. Nonclinical antiangiogenesis and antitumor activities of axitinib (AG-013736), an oral, potent, and selective inhibitor of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor tyrosine kinases 1, 2, 3. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2008; 14: 7272–83.
- Lu L, Saha D, Martuza RL, et al. Single agent efficacy of the VEGFR kinase inhibitor axitinib in preclinical models of glioblastoma. J Neurooncol. 2015; 121: 91– 100.
- Menna C, De Falco E, Pacini L, et al. Axitinib affects cell viability and migration of a primary foetal lung adenocarcinoma culture. *Cancer Invest.* 2014; 32: 13–21.
- Takahashi H, Ojima H, Shimizu H, et al. Axitinib (AG-013736), an oral specific VEGFR TKI, shows potential therapeutic

utility against cholangiocarcinoma. *Jpn J Clin Oncol.* 2014; 44: 570–8.

- Morelli MB, Amantini C, Nabissi M, et al. Axitinib induces senescence-associated cell death and necrosis in glioma cell lines: the proteasome inhibitor, bortezomib, potentiates axitinib-induced cytotoxicity in a p21 (Waf/Cip1) dependent manner. Oncotarget. 2017; 8: 3380–95.
- MacDonald TJ, Brown KM, LaFleur B, et al. CORRIGENDUM: Expression profiling of medulloblastoma: PDGFRA and the RAS/ MAPK pathway as therapeutic targets for metastatic disease. *Nat Genet.* 2001; 2003: 143–52.
- Craveiro RB, Ehrhardt M, Holst MI, et al. In comparative analysis of multi-kinase inhibitors for targeted medulloblastoma therapy pazopanib exhibits promising *in vitro* and *in vivo* efficacy. Oncotarget. 2014; 5: 7149– 61.
- Kumar R, Crouthamel MC, Rominger DH, et al. Myelosuppression and kinase selectivity of multikinase angiogenesis inhibitors. Br J Cancer. 2009; 101: 1717–23.
- Jacobsen PF, Jenkyn DJ, Papadimitriou JM. Establishment of a human medulloblastoma cell line and its heterotransplantation into nude mice. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol. 1985; 44: 472–85.
- Yokota N, Mainprize TG, Taylor MD, et al. Identification of differentially expressed and developmentally regulated genes in medulloblastoma using suppression subtraction hybridization. Oncogene. 2004; 23: 3444– 53.
- Bodey BS, Kaiser SE, Hans E. Molecular markers of brain tumor cells. Kluwer Academic Publisher, 2004 Dordrecht, Print ISBN: 1-4020-2781-8
- Rosen ST. Clinically relevant resistance in cancer chemotherapy. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2002.
- Briggs KJ, Corcoran-Schwartz IM, Zhang W, et al. Cooperation between the Hic1 and Ptch1 tumor suppressors in medulloblastoma. Genes Dev. 2008; 22: 770–85.
- Calabrese CM, Gaber WM, Kilmar J, et al. Autofluorescent mouse models of human medulloblastoma. AACR Meeting. Anaheim, CA: Proc Amer Assoc Cancer Res, 2005. p. 903-c-4.
- Zhukova N, Ramaswamy V, Remke M, et al. Subgroup-specific prognostic implications of TP53 mutation in medulloblastoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2013; 31: 2927–35.

- Ehrhardt M, Craveiro RB, Holst MI, et al. The PI3K inhibitor GDC-0941 displays promising *in vitro* and *in vivo* efficacy for targeted medulloblastoma therapy. Oncotarget. 2015; 6: 802–13.
- Craveiro RB, Ehrhardt M, Velz J, et al. The anti-neoplastic activity of Vandetanib against high-risk medulloblastoma variants is profoundly enhanced by additional PI3K inhibition. Oncotarget. 2017; 8: 46915–27.
- Baudino TA. Targeted cancer therapy: the next generation of cancer treatment. *Curr Drug Discov Technol.* 2015; 12: 3–20.
- Shi L, Zhou J, Wu J, et al. Anti-angiogenic therapy: strategies to develop potent VEGFR-2 tyrosine kinase inhibitors and future prospect. Curr Med Chem. 2016; 23: 1000–40.
- Brennan M, Williams JA, Chen Y, et al. Meta-analysis of contribution of genetic polymorphisms in drug-metabolizing enzymes or transporters to axitinib pharmacokinetics. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol.* 2012; 68: 645–55.
- Garrett M, Poland B, Brennan M, et al. Population pharmacokinetic analysis of axitinib in healthy volunteers. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2014; 77: 480–92.
- Verzoni E, Grassi P, Testa I, et al. Targeted treatments in advanced renal cell carcinoma: focus on axitinib. *Pharmgenomics Pers Med.* 2014; 7: 107–16.
- Dvorak HF. Leaky tumor vessels: consequences for tumor stroma generation and for solid tumor therapy. *Prog Clin Biol Res.* 1990; 354A: 317–30.
- Yang F, Van Meter TE, Buettner R, et al. Sorafenib inhibits signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 signaling associated with growth arrest and apoptosis of medulloblastomas. *Mol Cancer Ther.* 2008; 7: 3519–26.
- Yang F, Jove V, Xin H, et al. Sunitinib induces apoptosis and growth arrest of medulloblastoma tumor cells by inhibiting STAT3 and AKT signaling pathways. Mol Cancer Res. 2010; 8: 35–45.
- Ueda T, Uemura H, Tomita Y, et al. Efficacy and safety of axitinib versus sorafenib in metastatic renal cell carcinoma: subgroup analysis of Japanese patients from the global randomized Phase 3 AXIS trial. Jpn J Clin Oncol. 2013; 43: 616–28.
- Stehle F, Schulz K, Fahldieck C, et al. Reduced immunosuppressive properties of axitinib in comparison with other tyrosine kinase inhibitors. J Biol Chem. 2013; 288: 16334–47.

- Bose A, Lowe DB, Rao A, et al. Combined vaccine+axitinib therapy yields superior antitumor efficacy in a murine melanoma model. Melanoma Res. 2012; 22: 236– 43.
- Du Four S, Maenhout SK, De Pierre K, et al. Axitinib increases the infiltration of immune cells and reduces the suppressive capacity of monocytic MDSCs in an intracranial mouse melanoma model. Oncoimmunology. 2015; 4: e998107.
- Zhang XH, Qiao EQ, Gao Z, et al. Efficacy of combined axitinib with dacarbazine in a B16F1 melanoma xenograft model. Oncol Lett. 2013; 6: 69–74.
- 46. Mahalingam D, Malik L, Beeram M, et al. Phase II study evaluating the efficacy, safety, and pharmacodynamic correlative study of dual antiangiogenic inhibition using bevacizumab in combination with sorafenib in patients with advanced malignant melanoma. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol. 2014; 74: 77–84.
- Gangadhar TC, Cohen EE, Wu K, et al. Two drug interaction studies of sirolimus in combination with sorafenib or sunitinib in patients with advanced malignancies. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2011; 17: 1956–63.
- Gomez-Martin C, Bustamante J, Castroagudin JF, et al. Efficacy and safety of sorafenib in combination with mammalian target of rapamycin inhibitors for recurrent hepatocellular carcinoma after liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl.* 2012; 18: 45– 52.
- Voss MH, Bhatt RS, Plimack ER, et al. The DART study: results from the dose-escalation and expansion cohorts evaluating the combination of dalantercept plus axitinib in advanced renal cell carcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2017; 23: 3557–65.
- Pei Y, Moore CE, Wang J, et al. An animal model of MYC-driven medulloblastoma. *Cancer Cell.* 2012; 21: 155–67.
- Sunayama J, Sato A, Matsuda K, et al. Dual blocking of mTor and PI3K elicits a prodifferentiation effect on glioblastoma stem-like cells. *Neuro Oncol.* 2010; 12: 1205–19.
- Martini M, De Santis MC, Braccini L, et al. PI3K/AKT signaling pathway and cancer: an updated review. Ann Med. 2014; 46: 372– 83
- Wake MS, Watson CJ. STAT3 the oncogene

 still eluding therapy? FEBS J. 2015; 282: 2600–11.