

Citation: Liu J, Yan H-F, Ge X-J (2016) The Use of DNA Barcoding on Recently Diverged Species in the Genus *Gentiana* (Gentianaceae) in China. PLoS ONE 11(4): e0153008. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0153008

Editor: Shilin Chen, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Peking Union Medical College, CHINA

Received: November 2, 2015

Accepted: March 21, 2016

Published: April 6, 2016

Copyright: © 2016 Liu et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative</u> <u>Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All 355 sequences are submitted into GenBank database. All sequences of GenBank accession numbers are available, please see <u>S1 Table</u>.

Funding: This work was funded by National Key Basic Research Program of China (973 Program) (Grant No. 2014CB954100) [http://www.most.gov.cn/ eng/programmes1/200610/t20061009_36223.htm]; the Ministry of Science and Technology of the People's Republic of China (MOST Grant No. 2011FY120200) [http://www.most.gov.cn/eng/]. The funders had no role in study design, data collection **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

The Use of DNA Barcoding on Recently Diverged Species in the Genus *Gentiana* (Gentianaceae) in China

Juan Liu¹, Hai-Fei Yan², Xue-Jun Ge²*

1 Collaborative Innovation Center of Jiangxi Typical Trees Cultivation and Utilization, Jiangxi Agriculture University, Nanchang, China, 2 Key Laboratory of Plant Resources Conservation and Sustainable Utilization, South China Botanical Garden, the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou, China

* xjge@scbg.ac.cn

Abstract

DNA barcoding of plants poses particular challenges, especially in differentiating, recently diverged taxa. The genus Gentiana (Gentianaceae) is a species-rich plant group which rapidly radiated in the Himalaya-Hengduan Mountains in China. In this study, we tested the core plant barcode (rbcL + matK) and three promising complementary barcodes (trnH-psbA, ITS and ITS2) in 30 Gentiana species across 6 sections using three methods (the genetic distancebased method, Best Close Match and tree-based method). rbcL had the highest PCR efficiency and sequencing success (100%), while the lowest sequence recoverability was from ITS (68.35%). The presence of indels and inversions in trnH-psbA in Gentiana led to difficulties in sequence alignment. When using a single region for analysis, ITS exhibited the highest discriminatory power (60%-74.42%). Of the combinations, matK + ITS provided the highest discrimination success (71.43%-88.24%) and is recommended as the DNA barcode for the genus Gentiana. DNA barcoding proved effective in assigning most species to sections, though it performed poorly in some closely related species in sect. Cruciata because of hybridization events. Our analysis suggests that the status of G. pseudosquarrosa needs to be studied further. The utility of DNA barcoding was also verified in authenticating 'Qin-Jiao' Gentiana medicinal plants (G. macrophylla, G. crassicaulis, G. straminea, and G. dahurica), which can help ensure safe and correct usage of these well-known Chinese traditional medicinal herbs.

Introduction

DNA barcoding, a term first proposed by Hebert in 2003 [1], has developed as a rapid and reliable technology to identify species based on variation in the sequence of short standard DNA region(s). This tool is now successfully used in a variety of biological applications, including discovering cryptic species [2], detecting invasive species [3], reconstructing food webs [4] and identifying medicinal plants in mixtures [5, 6]. In 2009, the Plant Working Group of the Consortium for the Barcode of Life (CBOL) proposed the combination of *rbcL* and *matK* as a 'core barcode' for identification across land plants [7]. However, the application of DNA barcoding has been hindered by the difficulty of distinguishing closely related species, especially in



and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

recently diverged taxa [8]. Limited performance of rbcL + matK has been reported in many complex taxa [9–13]. Since the plastid intergenic spacer trnH-psbA and the nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer ITS/ITS2 have been proposed as supplementary barcodes for land plants [14–16], the evaluation of plant barcoding regions has focused on the performance of these five loci (rbcL, matK, trnH-psbA, ITS and ITS2) individually and in various combinations. For example, matK + ITS was recommended as the barcode to be used in the genus Primula [17], while ITS + trnH-psbA + matK was demonstrated as the best barcode for discriminating *Rhododendron* species [18]. More studies are still needed to assess the efficacy of plant barcodes in closely related species, especially for groups that diverged recently.

Floristic DNA barcoding has been demonstrated to be effective for identifying species in species-rich regions that would otherwise require detailed ecological study for characterization [19]. However, correctly identifying the species of complex genera in local flora can still pose a significant challenge in biodiversity hotspots. For example, poor species resolution was found for sister species in the genera *Crocus* and *Quercus* in African forests [20], and low sequence variation was demonstrated for most polytypic genera in the Dinghushan subtropical forests of China [21]. This is largely due to the frequent occurrence of close relatives in the distribution centers of large genera.

Gentiana L. (Gentianaceae) consists of 361 species with a subcosmopolitan distribution; more than half of all the species are found in southwestern China and the adjacent northeastern Himalaya-Hengduan Mountain region [22]. This region is considered the center of diversification of many plant genera, such as *Rhododendron*, *Primula*, *Pedicularis* and *Gentiana* [18]. The genus *Gentiana* is divided into 15 sections, of which 5 are further divided into 22 series [23]. Although the monophyly of several sections has been verified, the taxonomic treatment of species in each section is still controversial, since the radiation occurred only recently and so has resulted in little variation in morphological features [23, 24]. Molecular studies suggest that rapid evolutionary processes have occurred in at least two sections: *Chondrophyllae* and *Cruciata* [24–26].

Most of the species in these sections are distributed in the mountainous regions of southwestern China and the nearby Qinghai-Tibet Plateau. Sect. *Chondrophyllae* is the largest and the most widely distributed section in this genus; it consists of about 163 species and is divided into 10 series [23]. The identification of individual species in this section is by no means an easy task due to the high morphological variability of the small annual or biennial plants [25]. Sect. *Cruciata* contains 21 perennial species. Some species in this section may have diverged four million years ago, though most result from more recent speciation events [26]. Twelve species in sect. *Cruciata* are well known in traditional Chinese medicine and are also widely utilized for medicinal purposes in Asia (e.g. *Gentiana davidii* as drugs of cholesteric and hepatic diseases) [27, 28]. Their dried roots are used as medicinal materials, and adulterants are frequently detected in traditional medicinal markets [29]. Authenticating medicinal plants can be very difficult because of similarities in morphological appearance [28–31]. Finding an appropriate DNA barcode to discriminate *Gentiana* species would therefore be invaluable.

In this study, five DNA barcoding candidate regions (*rbcL*, *matK*, *trnH-psbA*, ITS and ITS2) were chosen for evaluation. We aimed to: i) evaluate the discriminative ability of the five barcoding regions, *rbcL*, *matK*, *trnH-psbA*, ITS and ITS2 and their combinations; and ii) explore the efficacy of DNA barcoding in *Gentiana*.

Materials and Methods

Taxon Sampling

We collected 79 accessions comprising 1–8 individuals of 30 species from China. In addition to sect. *Chondrophyllae* (12 species) and sect. *Cruciata* (8 species), the following 4 sections were

also selected for analysis: sect. *Frigidae* (2 species), sect. *Microsperma* (2 species), sect. *Kudoa* (4 species) and sect. *Monopodiae* (2 species). All specimens were collected from the wild and no specific permissions were required for the corresponding locations/activities. The field studies did not involve endangered or protected species. Vouchers specimens of the collected taxa were deposited in the South China Botanical Garden Herbarium (IBSC) (<u>S1 Table</u>).

PCR and Sequencing

Genomic DNA was extracted from dried leaves in silica gel using the CTAB method [32]. Four regions (*rbcL*, *matK*, *trnH-psbA* and ITS), were amplified and sequenced to test the effectiveness of their primers in *Gentiana*. *matK* required the use of two primer pairs (*matK*-3F-Kim/xf [9] and *matK*-xf/-5r [33]). The other three regions each used one universal pair of primers for sequence amplification (*rbcL*-Rev/-For, trnH05/psbA3 and ITS4/ITS5). A 25 µl PCR reaction mixture was prepared and amplified according to the procedure described by Zhang *et al.* [9]. PCR products were purified using a DNA gel cleaning kit (Takara) and sequenced in both directions on an ABI3730X sequencer (Applied Biosystems, USA) using the amplification primers. All sequences were deposited in GenBank (<u>S1 Table</u>).

Data analysis

The original trace files were checked and verified by searches with NCBI's web-based BLASTn. Sequences were assembled and inspected with Sequencher 4.1 [34], aligned with the MUSCLE aligner implemented in Mega 5.0 [35], and modified manually using Se-al version 2.0a11 [36]. Due to the presence of indels, *trnH-psbA* was aligned by section, and intraspecific inversions were found in this region. To reduce costs, we retrieved ITS2 from ITS data and re-amplified failed ITS samples.

Genetic divergence was calculated for the five markers according to the Kimura 2-Parameter (K2P) model using MEGA 5.0. Six distance parameters were estimated, including three interspecific distance parameters (average inter-specific distance, average theta prime and smallest inter-specific distance) and three intra-specific parameters (average intra-specific distance, theta and largest intra-specific distance) [15]. We calculated the mean K2P distances for each of the six sections and explored the difference in evolutionary divergence among sections in *Gentiana*.

Three methods, namely a genetic distance-based method, the analysis of Best Close Match and a tree-based method, were employed to evaluate the five single markers and their combinations. The first two methods were conducted using the R package SPIDER [37]. For the treebased method, two phylogenetic trees were inferred to calculate the rate of monophyletic clusters. Neighbor-Joining (NJ) trees were built using the software PAUP* version 4b10 with the K2P model. Node supports were assessed by 1000 bootstrap replicates. A Bayesian inference (BI) analysis was implemented using MrBayes on XSEDE (v3.2.6) [38], and the optimal models for each marker were determined under the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) using jModelTest2 on XSEDE (v2.1.6) [39]. Both were conducted on the CIPRES supercomputer cluster [40] with parameter sets according to Yan *et al.* [41]. Species were considered successfully identified if the monophyletic cluster of sequences representing a species was grouped with a bootstrap value above 70% or a posterior probability above 0.95. Singleton species (species with one specimen) were included and considered as the source of resolution failure.

Sequence acquisition from GenBank

In order to minimize the bias from incomplete sampling, we expanded our dataset using data from public databases. Since limited DNA barcode data is available for the genus *Gentiana*, we

retrieved all Gentianaceae sequences involving *rbcL* or *matK* and all *Gentiana* sequences with the internal transcribed spacer (ITS and ITS2) from GenBank. Sequences available on NCBI may not necessarily link with taxonomically validated voucher specimens, so we examined all the sequences downloaded from Genbank in an effort to ensure correct species identification. We found that almost all of the *Gentiana* sequences available in Genbank are associated with published phylogenetic or barcoding papers, and the sources of the sequences were identified by specialists working on this genus. Collection information for the voucher specimens was present in the relevant papers.

Due to difficulties in sequence alignment, *trnH-psbA* was not used for further analysis. We also removed sequences less than 300 bp in size and those lacking clear *Gentiana* species identification. We followed an established pipeline [42] to remove fungal sequence contamination. In some cases multiple individuals were available from a single population but we analyzed only two sequences due to time constraints. The whole dataset comprised of 280 sequences for *rbcL*, 274 sequences for *matK*, 243 sequences for ITS, and 304 sequences for ITS2. The data were analyzed with tree-based analysis, as above.

Results

Sequence recoverability and divergence

rbcL had the highest PCR efficiency and sequencing success (100%), followed by *matK* (96.2%) and *trnH-psbA* (96.2%) (Table 1). Sequence recoverability was lowest for ITS (68.35%) because of the incongruence of multiple copies which resulted in some 'messy' sequences. ITS2 had 16 more sequences, and its sequence recoverability was 88.61%. Due to the presence of indels, the length of *trnH-psbA* varied from 199 to 486 bp in different species, leading difficulties in sequence alignment (total length of 698 bp). In total, 355 sequences were obtained and submitted to the GenBank database (S1 Table).

Comparative analysis of inter- versus intra-specific distances for the five regions was conducted using six parameters [15] (Table 2). *trnH-psbA* exhibited the highest interspecific genetic distance, followed by ITS2, *matK*, ITS and *rbcL*. For the divergence among conspecifics, the rank order of theta was *trnH-psbA*, ITS2, ITS, *matK* and *rbcL*. An ideal barcode should possess higher interspecific variation than intraspecific variation in order to distinguish different species. ITS had one of the smallest interspecific distances and a relatively low coalescence depth.

Species discrimination

A genetic distance-based method, the Best Close Match and a tree-based method were used to evaluate the discriminatory power of barcodes in *Gentiana*. In the single region analysis, *rbcL* performed poorly, as expected (Table 3). The highest discriminatory power was obtained using ITS (60.0%-74.42%), followed by *trnH-psbA* (45%-71.21%), *matK* (52.63%-69.23%) and ITS2

	Recovered Species	Recovered Samples	Recoverability	Sequencing length	Aligned length	Variable characters
rbcL	30	79	100%	534–564	554	55
matK	30	76	96.2%	809–855	743	220
ITS	26	54	68.35%	634–712	636	190
ITS2	29	70	88.61%	197–229	227	89
trnH-psbA	30	76	96.2%	199–486	698	240

Table 1. Sequence recoverability of the five barcodes.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0153008.t001

	Interspecific distance			Intraspecific distance			
	Mean (SD)	Theta Prime (SD)	Smallest (SD)	Mean (SD)	Theta (SD)	Coalescent Depth (SD)	
rbcL	0.05602 (0.02531)	0.05715 (0.01045)	0.00963 (0.01466)	0.00264 (0.00563)	0.00191 (0.00389)	0.00357 (0.00357)	
matK	0.06901 (0.03357)	0.06707 (0.01235)	0.01141 (0.01489)	0.00337 (0.0060)	0.00172 (0.0034)	0.00373 (0.00722)	
ITS	0.05829 (0.02650)	0.05981 (0.01695)	0.01886 (0.02367)	0.00332 (0.00698)	0.00461 (0.11374)	0.00585 (0.01148)	
ITS2	0.07429 (0.0320)	0.07644 (0.02089)	0.01873 (0.02465)	0.00814 (0.01629)	0.00540 (0.01286)	0.00976 (0.02153)	
trnH-psbA	0.10987 (0.05400)	0.11218 (0.01700)	0.01411 (0.01502)	0.01021 (0.02124)	0.00583 (0.01141)	0.01090 (0.02201)	

Table 2. Six genetic distance parameters measured with the five barcodes.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0153008.t002

(50%-67.80%). When combining two barcodes, matK + ITS gave the highest discrimination success (71.43%-88.24%). The three-region combination of rbcL + matK + ITS achieved slightly higher species identification success than matK + *ITS* when using the Best Close Match method (87.18%).

Analysis of the GenBank data showed that *rbcL* and *matK* can very reliably assign sequences to the genus *Gentiana* (100% success rate). Moreover, the DNA barcoding markers performed well at the section level. *rbcL* grouped 5/9 sections correctly, *matK* identified 8/10 sections, ITS identified 7/11 sections and ITS2 identified 5/11 sections (S1 Fig).

Comparative analysis of DNA barcoding identification among different sections

When the comparative analysis was restricted to each section, the mean K2P distances of all the barcodes showed significant heterogeneity (Fig 1). Divergences in sect. *Chondrophyllae* were significantly higher than in the other five sections, particularly sect. *Cruciata*, where the divergences were four times lower. There were significant 'barcoding gaps' for all barcodes in sect. *Chondrophyllae*, but no gap existed in sect. *Cruciata* (S2 and S3 Figs). The species identification rate in sect. *Chondrophyllae* was 72.72%, regardless of whether chloroplast or nuclear

Table 3. Species resolution using a genetic distance-based method, the Best Close Match method and the tree-based method with five barcode	s
and their combinations.	

	Genetic distance (%)	Best Close Match				NJ trees (%)	BI analysis (%)
		Correct (%)	Ambiguous (%)	Incorrect (%)	No ID (%)		
rbcL	45.57	59.42	37.68	2.90	0	50.0	40.0
matK	65.79	69.23	18.46	6.15	6.15	57.89	52.63
ITS	70.37	74.42	18.60	2.32	4.65	73.33	60.0
ITS2	58.57	67.80	22.03	3.39	6.78	50.0	50.0
trnH-psbA	50	71.21	19.70	3.03	6.06	45.0	60.0
rbcL+matK	63.17	72.31	18.46	6.15	3.08	63.16	52.63
rbcL+ITS	82.35	84.16	7.69	2.56	5.12	66.67	66.67
rbcL+ITS2	77.61	83.64	9.09	3.64	3.64	55.56	55.56
rbcL+trnH-psbA	58.90	74.19	22.48	1.61	1.61	60.0	50.0
matK+ITS	88.24	84.61	0	7.69	7.69	71.43	71.43
matK+ITS2	79.10	80.0	0	12.73	7.27	70.58	64.71
matK+tmH-psbA	63.10	69.35	14.52	9.68	6.45	47.36	68.42
rbcL+matK+ITS	88.24	87.18	0	7.69	5.13	71.43	71.43
rbcL+matK+ITS2	82.89	89.09	0	9.09	1.82	64.71	64.71
rbcL+matK+trnH-psbA	63.01	72.58	14.52	9.68	3.23	60.0	60.0

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0153008.t003



doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0153008.g001

regions were used (Fig 2). In sect. *Cruciata*, the nuclear regions (ITS, ITS2) performed much better (62.50%-12.50%) than chloroplast markers (37.50%-12.50%).

Discussion

The performance of DNA barcodes in Gentiana

While *rbcL* and *matK* have been proposed as core DNA barcodes for plants [43], their disadvantages (the low genetic divergence of *rbcL* and poor primer universality of *matK*) have since been reported in many studies [9, 11, 13, 44, 45]. In the present study, we did not encounter primer problems with *matK*, since we employed two universal pairs of primers recommended by CBOL. However, the combination of *rbcL* and *matK* had the lowest genetic divergence and could only poorly discriminate species in this genus. In sect. *Cruciata*, *rbcL* + *matK* was even less effective for differentiating closely related species (0–32.5%). The poor performance of *rbcL* + *matK* has been reported in many species-rich plant groups, such as *Lysimachia* (47.1%-60.82% discriminatory power) [9], *Berberis* (15.4%-23.1%) [46], *Viburnum* (53%) [12], *Primula* L. sect. *Proliferae* (50%) [13]. Therefore, as in other species-rich genera, the core barcodes *rbcL* + *matK* must be supplemented with more effective barcodes for the genus *Gentiana*.



Fig 2. Species resolution comparison using NJ-tree analysis between sect. *Chondrophyllae* and sect. *Cruciata*.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0153008.g002

The high variation of the *trnH-psbA* spacer and the availability of a universal primer have led to its successful application in many DNA barcoding studies. In this study, trnH-psbA gave the highest inter- and intra-specific divergence of all the single regions. Nevertheless, several problems limit its use in Gentiana. First, extensive variation in the size of trnH-psbA resulted in alignment ambiguities. The length of the *trnH-psbA* sequence varied across five of the six sections; the sequence was 199-327 bp in sect. Chondrophyllae, 410-486 bp in sect. Cruciata, 413 bp in sect. Monopodiae, 348-442 bp in sect. Microsperm, 397-470 bp in sect. Kudoa, and 360-391 bp in sect. Frigidae. We therefore had to manually adjust the algorithmically-generated alignment, which required significant effort. Second, a short 30 bp inversion was detected in trnH-psbA in Gentiana. Frequent inversions of trnH-psbA in the family Gentianaceae were reported by Whitlock et al. [47]. Furthermore, we found a 21 bp inverted repeat region which may form a stem loop and facilitate the process of inversion [48]. Re-inversions were found in G. panthaica (9 bp) and G. pseudosquarrosa (12 bp). These inversions lead to overestimation of the variation between closely related species and unite distantly related taxa, resulting in erroneous phylogenetic inferences [47]. Third, mononucleotide repeats (poly A/T) in bi-directional reads from *trnH-psbA* have proved a hindrance to obtaining full length sequences in many other studies [9, 43], although this was not the case in our study. An ideal universal DNA barcode should be standardized and easily accepted by non-experts [49]. While trnH-psbA may serve as a valuable DNA barcode in plant groups where these challenges are not encountered, too much effort must be spent resolving these problems in Gentiana; trnH-psbA is therefore not recommended as a DNA barcode for Gentiana.

ITS has been proposed as a DNA barcode because it evolves 3–4 times faster than the plastid regions, and it has been successfully used in many phylogenetic studies [49]. A previous study of *Gentiana* at the sectional level demonstrated the phylogenetic utility of ITS sequences [22]. Many taxonomy-based barcoding studies have demonstrated its effectiveness as a barcode for identifying species, even in complex taxa, such as *Ficus* [10], *Lysimachia* [9] and *Viburnum* [12]. In this study, ITS exhibited the best performance of all five barcodes for discriminating species of *Gentiana*. This region was also capable of differentiating the closely related species *Gentiana dahurica*, *G. decumbens* and *G. macrophylla*. However, the sequencing success of ITS in *Gentiana* was low (63.7%), which may imply incomplete concerted evolution of a nuclear multiple-copy locus in this genus.

A short nuclear region (300 bp) of ITS2 was proposed as a novel DNA barcoding region for medicinal plants by Chen *et al.* [15] and has been strongly recommended for many groups [42, 50–52]. ITS2 is favored as a barcode because it can be amplified with a universal primer and is easy to sequence. Compared with the full length ITS, ITS2 may be less powerful for differentiating closely related species [53]. However, in our study, ITS2 sequences were obtained from more 16 individuals than ITS sequences. Moreover, the short length of this region makes it potentially more attractive for actual DNA barcoding applications, such as barcoding degraded DNA from the powder of herbal products [6] and 'DNA meta-barcoding' using Next Generation Sequencing [54].

No single barcode was perfect for species identification in *Gentiana*. The use of multiple regions with more and less variation, such as combinations of plastid regions and nuclear regions, has been recommended and has been shown to improve discriminatory power in many barcoding studies [12, 16]. In the present study, the combination of the two regions matK + ITS gave the highest species resolution (88.24%), roughly equivalent to the resolution of the three-marker combination rbcL + matK + ITS. We support the recommendation of the matK + ITS combination as a core DNA barcode for large genera of flowering plants [16]. matK + ITS seems to be the best choice as a DNA barcode for *Gentiana*, with ITS2 serving as a back-up region for ITS to improve sequence recoverability.

However, other highly variable regions are required to successfully identify all species. In a recent *Gentiana* barcoding study, 5S rRNA and *trnL-F* were successfully used as barcodes to differentiate five medicinal *Gentiana* species and their adulterants [55]. However, using 5S rRNA requires cloning the amplified PCR product rather than direct sequencing, which limits its value as a barcode. *trnL-F*, with universal primers and high discriminatory power, also seems to be a good choice as a barcode for *Gentiana* species and has been suggested for other groups that have undergone a recent radiation [50], but more samples should be tested to validate this conclusion in *Gentiana*.

Species resolution comparison between sect. *Chondrophyllae* and sect. *Cruciata*

Although sect. *Chondrophyllae* and sect. *Cruciata* have both recently diverged in the Himalaya-Hengduan Mountains [22, 25], greater discrimination success was achieved for sect. *Chondrophyllae* (72.72%-83.33%) than for sect. *Cruciata* (0–62.5%) (Fig.2). This may be partly attributed to life history traits which are correlated with the molecular evolution rate [56]. Tall perennial plants, such as species from sect. *Cruciata*, evolve more slowly than shorter annuals, such as species from sect. *Chondrophyllae* [41, 57]. In addition, the effectiveness of DNA barcoding is related to the phylogenetic relation between species; DNA barcoding is more powerful in distantly related taxa and less effective in recently radiated groups [58]. Limited sampling in sect. *Chondrophyllae* in this study (10/163 species belonging to 5 series) may have caused over-estimation of the discriminatory power of DNA barcoding. In contrast, 8/12 species in sect. *Cruciata* were sampled and several closely related species were included, such as *G. daurica*, *G. officinalis*, *G. macrophylla* and *G. decumbens* [26].

Natural hybrids and polyploidization pose a great challenge to attempts to barcode species. Hybridization events have been reported in sect. *Cruciata*. Hybridization may have occurred between *G. officinalis* and *G. daurica* [59, 60], which were used in the present study. All vouchers of these two species shared identical sequences among the chloroplast regions except *matK*, which had two differences (S2 Table); furthermore, two hybrids (*G. officinalis*_018 and *G.officinalis*_048_1) were found in the ITS region. Additional studies sampling from more populations are needed to confirm this hypothesis.

The potential application of DNA barcoding in Gentiana

Although limited species resolution was achieved in *Gentiana*, DNA barcoding enabled us to confidently assign most individuals at the genus and section level, even using only the *rbcL/matK* region or when the data set was expanded using GenBank sequences. If the section and geographical information of specimens is known, DNA barcoding will make the identification of most *Gentiana* species much easier for non-experts, which will greatly reduce the time and labor compared with morphological identification, especially in species-rich areas [42, 61].

Although many have argued that DNA barcoding can be used for species determination [8], studies generally support its use to clarify questions regarding the taxonomy of some groups instead, such as resolving taxonomic uncertainties in *Lysimachia* [9] and *Primula* [17], and revising the taxonomic status of a variety in *Roscoea* [62]. In this study, most species in sect. *Chondrophyllae* were monophyletic, except *G. squarrosa* and *G. pseudosquarrosa*. All accessions of both species always clustered together with high confidence in the NJ tree and Bayesian analysis (Fig 3). This result may have been caused by many factors, such as imperfect taxonomy, misidentification, introgression or the low discrimination ability of DNA barcoding. According to the description in "A worldwide monograph of *Gentiana*" [23], the morphological characters distinguishing these species are the relative length of the corolla vs. calyx and the





Fig 3. Phylogenetic tree based on Bayesian analysis of *rbcL* + *matK* + **ITS** + *trnH-psbA*. Bootstrap value \geq 50% in the NJ analysis and posterior probabilities \geq 0.95 in the BI analysis are shown on the left and right of the slash, respectively.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0153008.g003

color of the seeds. We checked the holotypes of the two species and found that the length of the corolla was largely related to the extent of flowering. Both holotypes have a short corolla in their early blooming stage and a much longer corolla on blooming flowers. Therefore, the morphological data support the conclusion from barcoding that *G. pseudosquarrosa* should be treated as a synonym of *G. squarrosa*. However, only one specimen of *G. pseudosquarrosa* was included in this study, and it is possible that *G. pseudosquarrosa* was misidentified due to the small size of calyx and corolla. Additional studies with more samples and more molecular evidence are necessary to validate the taxonomic status of *G. squarrosa* and *G. pseudosquarrosa*.

DNA barcoding has been widely accepted as a technique to authenticate herbal medicinal materials (e.g. powder, processed roots, barks and leaves) and detect product substitution and contamination [5, 6, 30, 63]. Although authentication of closely related species using DNA barcoding has been challenging [5], DNA barcoding can readily separate species which are morphologically similar but phylogenetically distant. It is very common for morphologically similar products to be used as substitutions in the medicinal plant trade [5]. For example, 'Qin-Jiao', the dried roots of four species of Gentiana (G. macrophylla, G. crassicaulis, G. straminea, and G. dahurica) [64, 65], has been a well-known traditional medicinal plant in China for over a thousand years [65]. Adulterants or counterfeits with similar-looking processed roots from other families or genera, such as Aconitum sinomontanum (Ranunculaceae, which has the common name "Qin-Jiao" in the Xinjiang Province of China), Salvia brzewalskii Maxim (Lamiaceae, called "Hong Qin-Jiao"), and Veratrilla baillonii Franch. (Gentianaceae, called "Huang Qin-Jiao"), have entered the commercial market [28, 29]. In order to assess the ability of DNA barcoding to authenticate medicinal herbs, we downloaded *matK* and ITS sequences of these counterfeit species from GenBank and compared them to the correct 'Qin-Jiao' sequences from this study using a NJ tree-based method (S4 Fig). The results show that DNA barcoding can successfully differentiate Qin-Jiao from the substitutes. In a previous study [29], ITS2 has been found to be capable of identifying Qin-Jiao adulterants or counterfeits. Our study further verified that DNA barcoding can provide reliable identification and ensure the safety and efficacy of the herbal products from sect. Cruciata.

Conclusions

The suggested core plant barcode (rbcL + matK) is not very effective for identifying species in the genus *Gentiana*. Because of poor alignment and frequent inversions, the non-coding region of trnH-psbA also may not be desirable as a DNA barcode for *Gentiana*, even though it had the highest genetic variation and relatively high discriminatory performance. ITS was much more effective for species resolution and was capable of discriminating the closely related species in sect. *Cruciata*. A two-region combination of matK + ITS is recommended for use as a plant barcode in *Gentiana*. The ITS2 region, with its high sequence recoverability, can serve as a back-up region for ITS. Although DNA barcoding may not always be the perfect identification tool, we emphasize the practical applications of this method in biodiversity surveys, clarifying taxonomic questions and authenticating medicinal plant materials.

Supporting Information

S1 Fig. DNA barcoding identification at the level of genus and section using the GenBank sequence dataset for *Gentiana*. (a-b) NJ trees using *rbcL* and *matK*, respectively, with GenBank sequences for the family of Gentianceae; (c-d) NJ trees using ITS and ITS2, respectively, with GenBank sequences for genus *Gentiana*. (PDF)

S2 Fig. DNA barcoding gap in sect. *Chondrophyllae*. (TIF)
S3 Fig. DNA barcoding gap in sect. *Cruciata*. (TIF)
S4 Fig. Authentication Qin-Jiao using DNA barcoding. (PDF)
S1 Table. Species information and GenBank accession numbers in the genus *Gentiana*. (XLSX)
S2 Table. Diagnostic nucleotides in *matK* and ITS regions for *G. officinalis* and *G. daurica* in sect. *Cruciata*.

(DOCX)

Acknowledgments

We thank Hong-mei Lai and Yu-ying Zhou for help with the experimental work. Dr. Yongming Yuan helped with sample collection and identification. Prof. Shang-wu Liu helped with the identification of specimens.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: XJG. Performed the experiments: JL. Analyzed the data: JL HFY. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: XJG. Wrote the paper: JL XJG.

References

- Hebert PDN, Cywinska A, Ball SL, deWaard JR. Biological identifications through DNA barcodes. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 2003; 270(1512): 313–321. doi: <u>10.1098/rspb.</u> 2002.2218 PMID: <u>12614582</u>.
- Crawford AJ, Cruz C, Griffith E, Ross H, Ibanez R, Lips KR, et al. DNA barcoding applied to ex situ tropical amphibian conservation programme reveals cryptic diversity in captive populations. Molecular Ecology Resources. 2013; 13(6): 1005–1018. doi: <u>10.1111/1755-0998.12054</u> PMID: <u>23280343</u>.
- Steinke D, Carolan JC, Murray TE, Fitzpatrick Ú, Crossley J, Schmidt H, et al. Colour patterns do not diagnose species: quantitative evaluation of a DNA Barcoded cryptic bumblebee complex. PLoS ONE. 2012; 7(1): e29251. doi: <u>10.1371/journal.pone.0029251</u> PMID: <u>22238595</u>.
- Garcia-Robledo C, Erickson DL, Staines CL, Erwin TL, Kress WJ. Tropical plant-herbivore networks: reconstructing species interactions using DNA barcodes. PLoS ONE. 2013; 8(1): e52967. doi: <u>10.</u> <u>1371/journal.pone.0052967</u> PMID: <u>23308128</u>.
- Kool A, Boer HJd, Kruger A, Rydberg A, Abbad A, Bjork L, et al. Molecular Identification of commercialized medicinal plants in southern Morocco. PLoS ONE. 2012; 7(6): e39459. doi: <u>10.1371/journal.pone.</u> <u>0039459.g001</u> PMID: <u>22761800</u>.
- Newmaster SG, Grguric M, Shanmughanandhan D, Ramalingam S, Ragupathy S. DNA barcoding detects contamination and substitution in North American herbal products. BMC medicine. 2013; 11 (1): 222. doi: <u>10.1186/1741-7015-11-222</u> PMID: <u>24120035</u>.
- Hollingsworth ML, Clark AA, Forrest LL, Richardson J, Pennington RT, Long DG, et al. Selecting barcoding loci for plants: evaluation of seven candidate loci with species-level sampling in three divergent groups of land plants. Molecular Ecology Resources. 2009; 9(2):439–457. doi: <u>10.1111/j.1755-0998.</u> <u>2008.02439.x</u> PMID: <u>21564673</u>.
- Hollingsworth P, Graham S, Little D. Choosing and using a plant DNA barcode. PLoS ONE. 2011; 6(5): e19254. doi: <u>10.1371/journal.pone.0019254.g001</u> PMID: <u>21637336</u>.
- Zhang CY, Wang FY, Yan HF, Hao G, Hu CM, Ge XJ. Testing DNA barcoding in closely related groups of *Lysimachia* L. (Myrsinaceae). Molecular Ecology Resources. 2012; 12(1): 98–108. doi: <u>10.1111/j.</u> <u>1755-0998.2011.03076.x</u> PMID: <u>21967641</u>.

- Li HQ, Chen JY, Wang S, Xiong SZ. Evaluation of six candidate DNA barcoding loci in *Ficus* (Moraceae) of China. Molecular Ecology Resources. 2012; 12(5): 783–790. doi: <u>10.1111/j.1755-0998.2012</u>. <u>03147.x</u> PMID: <u>22537273</u>.
- Xiang XG, Hu H, Wang W, Jin XH. DNA barcoding of the recently evolved genus *Holcoglossum* (Orchidaceae: Aeridinae): a test of DNA barcode candidates. Molecular Ecology Resources. 2011; 11(6): 1012–1021. doi: 10.1111/j.1755-0998.2011.03044.x PMID: 21722327.
- Clement WL, Donoghue MJ. Barcoding success as a function of phylogenetic relatedness in *Viburnum*, a clade of woody angiosperms. BMC Evolutionary Biology. 2012; 12:73. doi: <u>10.1186/1471-2148-12-</u> <u>73 PMID: 22646220</u>.
- Yan HF, Hao G, Hu CM, Ge XJ. DNA barcoding in closely related species: A case study of *Primula* L. sect. *Proliferae* Pax (Primulaceae) in China. Journal of Systematics and Evolution. 2011; 49(3): 225–236. doi: 10.1111/j.1759-6831.2011.00115.x
- Kress WJ, Wurdack KJ, Zimmer EA, Weigt LA, Janzen DH. Use of DNA barcodes to identify flowering plants. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2005; 102 (23): 8369–8374. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0503123102 PMID: 15928076.
- Chen S, Yao H, Han J, Liu C, Song J, Shi L, et al. Validation of the ITS2 region as a novel DNA barcode for identifying medicinal plant species. PLoS ONE. 2010; 5(1): e8613. doi: <u>10.1371/journal.pone.</u> <u>0008613.g001</u> PMID: <u>20062805</u>.
- Li DZ, Gao LM, Li HT, Wang H, Ge XJ, Liu JQ, et al. Comparative analysis of a large dataset indicates that internal transcribed spacer (ITS) should be incorporated into the core barcode for seed plants. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2011; 108(49): 19641– 19646. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1104551108 PMID: 22100737.
- Yan HF, Liu YJ, Xie XF, Zhang CY, Hu CM, Hao G, et al. DNA barcoding evaluation and its taxonomic implications in the species-rich genus *Primula* L. in China. PLoS ONE. 2015; 10(4): e0122903. doi: <u>10.</u> <u>1371/journal.pone.0122903</u> PMID: <u>25875620</u>.
- Yan LJ, Liu J, Möller M, Zhang L, Zhang XM, Li DZ, et al. DNA barcoding of *Rhododendron* (Ericaceae), the largest Chinese plant genus in biodiversity hotspots of the Himalaya–Hengduan Mountains. Molecular Ecology Resources. 2015; 10(4): e0122903.
- Kress WJ, Erickson DL, Jones FA, Swenson NG, Perez R, Sanjur O, et al. Plant DNA barcodes and a community phylogeny of a tropical forest dynamics plot in Panama. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2009; 106(44): 18621–18626. doi: <u>10.1073/pnas.</u> <u>0909820106</u> PMID: <u>19841276</u>.
- Parmentier I, Duminil J, Kuzmina M, Philippe M, Thomas DW, Kenfack D, et al. How effective are DNA barcodes in the identification of African rainforest trees? PLoS ONE. 2013; 8(4): e54921. doi: <u>10.1371/</u> journal.pone.0054921 PMID: 23565134.
- Liu J, Yan HF, Newmaster SG, Pei NC, Ragupathy S, Ge XJ. The use of DNA barcoding as a tool for the conservation biogeography of subtropical forests in China. Diversity Distributions. 2015; 21(2): 188–199. doi: 10.1111/ddi.12276
- Yuan YM, Kupfer P, Doyle JJ. Infrageneric phylogeny of the genus Gentiana (Gentianaceae) inferred from nucleotide sequences of the internal transcribed spacers (ITS) of nuclear ribosomal DNA. American Journal of Botany. 1996; 83(5): 641–652. doi: 10.2307/2445924
- 23. Ho TN, Liu SW. A worldwide monograph of Gentiana. Beijing: Science Press; 2001.
- 24. Mishiba K, Yamane K, Nakatsuka T, Nakano Y, Yamamura S, Abe J, et al. Genetic relationships in the genus Gentiana based on chloroplast DNA sequence data and nuclear DNA content. Breeding Science. 2009; 59: 119–127.
- 25. Yuan YM, Kupfer P. The monophyly and rapid evolution of *Gentiana* sect *Chondrophyllae* Bunge s I (Gentianaceae): Evidence from the nucleotide sequences of the internal transcribed spacers of nuclear ribosomal DNA. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society. 1997; 123(1): 25–43. doi: <u>10.1111/j.1095-8339.1997.tb01403.x</u>
- Zhang XL, Wang YJ, Ge XJ, Yuan YM, Yang HL, Liu JQ. Molecular phylogeny and biogeography of Gentiana sect. Cruciata (Gentianaceae) based on four chloroplast DNA datasets. Taxon. 2009; 58(3): 862–870.
- Nalawade SM, Sagare AP, Lee CY, Kao CL, Tsay HS. Studies on tissue culture of Chinese medicinal plant resources in Taiwan and their sustainable utilization. Botanical Bulletin of Academia Sinica. 2003; 44: 79–98.
- Wu LH, Bligh SWA, Leon CJ, Li XS, Wang ZT, Branford-White CJ, et al. Chemotaxonomically significant roburic acid from Section *Cruciata* of *Gentiana*. Biochemical Systematics and Ecology. 2012; 43:152–155. doi: 10.1016/j.bse.2012.03.008

- Luo K, Ma P, Yao H, Xin T, Hu Y, Zheng S, et al. Identification of *Gentianae macrophyllae* radix using the ITS2 barcodes. Acta pharmaceutica Sinica. 2012; 47(12): 1710–1717. PMID: <u>23460980</u>.
- Techen N, Parveen I, Pan Z, Khan IA. DNA barcoding of medicinal plant material for identification. Current Opinion in Biotechnology. 2014; 25: 103–110. doi: <u>10.1016/j.copbio.2013.09.010</u> PMID: 24484887.
- Mankga L, Yessoufou K, Moteetee A, Daru B, van der Bank M. Efficacy of the core DNA barcodes in identifying poorly conserved and processed plant materials commonly used in South African traditional medicine. ZooKeys. 2013; 365(365): 215–233. doi: <u>10.3897/zookeys.365.5730</u> PMID: <u>24453559</u>.
- Doyle JJ, Doyle JL. A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. Phytochemical Bulletin. 1987; 19: 11–15.
- **33.** Ford CS, Ayres KL, Toomey N, Liu C. Selection of candidate coding DNA barcoding regions for use on land plants. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society. 2009; 159:1–11.
- 34. Codes G. Sequencher: version 4.1. 2. gene codes corporation. Ann Arbor. 2000.
- Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, Kumar S. MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. Molecular Biology and Evolution. 2011; 28(10): 2731–2739. doi: <u>10.1093/molbev/msr121</u> PMID: <u>21546353</u>.
- 36. Rambaut A. Se-al version 2. 0a11. Available from http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/seal/ 2007.
- Brown SDJ, Collins RA, Boyer S, Lefort MC, Malumbres-Olarte J, Vink CJ, et al. Spider: An R package for the analysis of species identity and evolution, with particular reference to DNA barcoding. Molecular Ecology Resources. 2012; 12(3): 562–565. doi: 10.1111/j.1755-0998.2011.03108.x PMID: 22243808.
- Ronquist F, Klopfstein S, Vilhelmsen L, Schulmeister S, Murray LD, Rasnitsyn PA. A total-evidence approach to dating with fossils applied to the early radiation of the hymenoptera. Systematic Biology. 2012; 61(6): 973–999 PMID: 22723471. doi: 10.1093/sysbio/sys058
- Darriba D, Taboada GL, Doallo R, Posada D. jModelTest 2: more models, new heuristics and parallel computing. Nat Methods. 2012; 9(8): 772. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.2109 PMID: 22847109.
- Miller AM, Pfeiffer W, Schwartz T. Creating the CIPRES science gateway for inference of large phylogenetic trees. In proceedings of the gateway comuting environments workshop(GCE). 2010.
- Yan HF, He CH, Peng CI, Hu CM, Hao G. Circumscription of *Primula* subgenus *Auganthus* (Primulaceae) based on chloroplast DNA sequences. Journal of Systematics and Evolution. 2010; 48(2): 123– 132. doi: 10.1111/j.1759-6831.2010.00068.x
- Gao T, Yao H, Song J, Zhu Y, Liu C, Chen S. Evaluating the feasibility of using candidate DNA barcodes in discriminating species of the large Asteraceae family. BMC Evolutionary Biology. 2010; 10: 324. doi: 10.1186/1471-2148-10-324 PMID: 20977734.
- 43. Hollingsworth PM, Forrest LL, Spouge JL, Hajibabaei M, Ratnasingham S, van der Bank M, et al. A DNA barcode for land plants. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2009; 106(31): 12794–12797. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0905845106 PMID: 19666622
- Costion C, Ford A, Cross H, Crayn D, Harrington M, Lowe A. Plant DNA barcodes can accurately estimate species richness in poorly known floras. PLoS ONE. 2011; 6(11): e26841. doi: <u>10.1371/journal.pone.0026841</u> PMID: <u>22096501</u>
- **45.** Dunning LT, Savolainen V. Broad-scale amplification of *matK* for DNA barcoding plants, a technical note. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society. 2010; 164(1): 1–9.
- 46. Roy S, Tyagi A, Shukla V, Kumar A, Singh UM, Chaudhary LB, et al. Universal plant DNA barcode loci may not work in complex groups: a case study with Indian Berberis species. PLoS ONE. 2010; 5(10): e13674. doi: <u>10.1371/journal.pone.001367</u> PMID: <u>21060687</u>
- Whitlock BA, Hale AM, Groff PA, Joly S. Intraspecific inversions pose a challenge for the *trnH-psbA* plant DNA barcode. PLoS ONE. 2010; 5(7): e11533. doi: <u>10.1371/journal.pone.0011533</u> PMID: <u>20644717</u>.
- 48. Graham SW, Reeves PA, Burns AC, Olmstead RG. Microstructural changes in noncoding chloroplast DNA: interpretation, evolution, and utility of indels and inversions in basal angiosperm phylogenetic inference. International Journal of Plant Sciences. 2000; 161(6): 83–96.
- Chase MW, Cowan RS, Hollingsworth PM, van den Berg C, Madrinan S, Petersen G, et al. A proposal for a standardised protocol to barcode all land plants. Taxon. 2007; 56(2): 295–299.
- Pang XH, Song JY, Zhu YJ, Xu HX, Huang LF, Chen SL. Applying plant DNA barcodes for Rosaceae species identification. Cladistics. 2011; 27(2): 165–170. doi: 10.1111/j.1096-0031.2010.00328.x
- Pang XH, Shi LC, Song JY, Chen XC, Chen SL. Use of the potential DNA barcode ITS2 to identify herbal materials. Journal of Natural Medicines. 2013; 67(3): 571–575. doi: <u>10.1007/s11418-012-0715-</u> <u>2 PMID: 23179313</u>.

- Liu Z, Zeng X, Yang D, Chu G, Yuan Z, Chen S. Applying DNA barcodes for identification of plant species in the family Araliaceae. Gene. 2012; 499(1): 76–80. doi: <u>10.1016/j.gene.2012.02.016</u> PMID: <u>22406497</u>.
- Han J, Zhu Y, Chen X, Liao B, Yao H, Song J, et al. The short ITS2 sequence serves as an efficient taxonomic sequence tag in comparison with the full-length ITS. BioMed research international. 2013; 2013: 1–7. doi: 10.1155/2013/741476 PMID: 23484151.
- Yoccoz NG, BrÅThen KA, Gielly L, Haile J, Edwards ME, Goslar T, et al. DNA from soil mirrors plant taxonomic and growth form diversity. Molecular Ecollogy. 2012; 21(15): 3647–3655. doi: <u>10.1111/j.</u> <u>1365-294X.2012.05545.x</u> PMID: <u>22507540</u>.
- Wong KL, But PH, Shaw PC. Evaluation of seven DNA barcodes for differentiating closely related medicinal *Gentiana* species and their adulterants. Chinese medicine. 2013; 8(1): 16. doi: <u>10.1186/</u> <u>1749-8546-8-16</u> PMID: <u>23962024</u>.
- Smith SA, Donoghue MJ. Rates of molecular evolution are linked to life history in flowering plants. Science. 2008; 322(5898): 86–89. doi: 10.1126/science.1163197 PMID: 18832643.
- Lanfear R, Ho SYW, Davies TJ, Moles AT, Aarssen L, Swenson NG, et al. Taller plants have lower rates of molecular evolution. Nature Communications. 2013; 4(5): 54–56. doi: <u>10.1038/ncomms2836</u> PMID: <u>23695673</u>.
- Ebihara A, Nitta JH, Ito M. Molecular species identification with rich floristic sampling: DNA barcoding the Pteridophyte flora of Japan. PLoS ONE. 2010; 5(12):e15136. doi: <u>10.1371/journal.pone.0015136</u> PMID: <u>21170336</u>.
- Zhang XL, Ge XJ, Liu JQ. Morphological, karyological and molecular delimitation of two gentians: Gentiana crassicaulis versus G. tibetica (Gentianaceae). Acta Phytotaxonomica Sinica. 2006; 44(6): 627–640.
- Li XJ, Wang LY, Yang HL, Liu JQ. Confirmation of natural hybrids between Gentiana straminea and G. siphonantha (Gentianaceae) based on molecular evidence. Acta Botanica Yunnanica. 2007; 29(1): 91–97.
- Saarela JM, Sokoloff PC, Gillespie LJ, Consaul LL, Bull RD. DNA barcoding the Canadian Arctic flora: core plastid barcodes (*rbcL* plus *matK*) for 490 vascular plant species. PLoS ONE. 2013; 8(10): e77982. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0077982 PMID: 24348895.
- Zhang DQ, Duan LZ, Zhou N. Application of DNA barcoding in Roscoea (Zingiberaceae) and a primary discussion on taxonomic status of Roscoea cautleoides var.pubescens. Biochemical Systematics and Ecology. 2014; 52: 14–9. doi: 10.1016/j.bse.2013.10.004
- Mahadani P, Sharma GD, Ghosh SK. Identification of ethnomedicinal plants (Rauvolfioideae: Apocynaceae) through DNA barcoding from northeast India. Pharmacognosy Magazine. 2013; 9(35):255–263. doi: 10.4103/0973-1296.113284 PMID: 23930011.
- Zheng P, Zhang K, Wang Z. Genetic diversity and gentiopicroside content of four *Gentiana* species in China revealed by ISSR and HPLC methods. Biochemical Systematics and Ecology. 2011; 39: 704– 710. doi: 10.1016/j.bse.2011.06.002
- Wang YM, Xu M, Wang D, Yang CR, Zeng Y, Zhang YJ. Anti-inflammatory compounds of "Qin-Jiao", the roots of *Gentiana dahurica* (Gentianaceae). Journal of Ethnopharmacology. 2013; 147(2): 341– 348. doi: <u>10.1016/j.jep.2013.03.016</u> PMID: <u>23506994</u>.