Comparison of ³He and ¹²⁹Xe MRI for Evaluation of Lung Microstructure and Ventilation at 1.5T

Neil J. Stewart, PhD,¹ Ho-Fung Chan, MEng,¹ Paul J.C. Hughes, MEng,¹

Felix C. Horn, PhD,¹ Graham Norguay, PhD,¹ Madhwesha Rao, PhD,¹

Denise P. Yates, PhD,² Rob H. Ireland, PhD,³ Matthew Q. Hatton, MD,^{3,4}

Bilal A. Tahir, PhD,^{1,3} Paul Ford, PhD,¹ Andrew J. Swift,¹ Rod Lawson, PhD,⁴

Helen Marshall, PhD,¹ Guilhem J. Collier, PhD,¹ and Jim M. Wild, PhD¹*

Background: To support translational lung MRI research with hyperpolarized ¹²⁹Xe gas, comprehensive evaluation of derived quantitative lung function measures against established measures from ³He MRI is required. Few comparative studies have been performed to date, only at 3T, and multisession repeatability of ¹²⁹Xe functional metrics have not been reported. **Purpose/Hypothesis:** To compare hyperpolarized ¹²⁹Xe and ³He MRI-derived quantitative metrics of lung ventilation and microstructure, and their repeatability, at 1.5T.

Study Type: Retrospective.

Population: Fourteen healthy nonsmokers (HN), five exsmokers (ES), five patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), and 16 patients with nonsmall-cell lung cancer (NSCLC).

Field Strength/Sequence: 1.5T. NSCLC, COPD patients and selected HN subjects underwent 3D balanced steady-state free-precession lung ventilation MRI using both ³He and ¹²⁹Xe. Selected HN, all ES, and COPD patients underwent 2D multislice spoiled gradient-echo diffusion-weighted lung MRI using both hyperpolarized gas nuclei.

Assessment: Ventilated volume percentages (VV%) and mean apparent diffusion coefficients (ADC) were derived from imaging. COPD patients performed the whole MR protocol in four separate scan sessions to assess repeatability. Sameday pulmonary function tests were performed.

Statistical Tests: Intermetric correlations: Spearman's coefficient. Intergroup/internuclei differences: analysis of variance / Wilcoxon's signed rank. Repeatability: coefficient of variation (CV), intraclass correlation (ICC) coefficient. **Results:** A significant positive correlation between ³He and ¹²⁹Xe VV% was observed (r = 0.860, P < 0.001). VV% was larger for ³He than ¹²⁹Xe (P = 0.001); average bias, 8.79%. A strong correlation between mean ³He and ¹²⁹Xe ADC was obtained (r = 0.922, P < 0.001). MR parameters exhibited good correlations with pulmonary function tests. In COPD patients, mean CV of ³He and ¹²⁹Xe VV% was 4.08% and 13.01%, respectively, with ICC coefficients of 0.541 (P = 0.061) and 0.458 (P = 0.095). Mean ³He and ¹²⁹Xe ADC values were highly repeatable (mean CV: 2.98%, 2.77%, respectively; ICC: 0.995, P < 0.001; 0.936, P < 0.001). Data Conclusion: ¹²⁹Xe lung MRI provides near-equivalent information to ³He for quantitative lung ventilation and

microstructural MRI at 1.5T.

Level of Evidence: 3 Technical Efficacy: Stage 2

J. MAGN. RESON. IMAGING 2018;48:632-642.

he majority of hyperpolarized gas lung magnetic reso- to date in patients with lung diseases have used ³He gas,

nance imaging (MRI) studies that have been performed exploiting its intrinsically stronger MRI signal when

View this article online at wileyonlinelibrary.com. DOI: 10.1002/jmri.25992

Received Dec 12, 2017, Accepted for publication Feb 7, 2018.

*Address reprint requests to: J.M.W., Polaris, University of Sheffield, 18 Claremont Crescent, Sheffield, South Yorkshire, S10 2TA, UK. Email: j.m.wild@sheffield.ac.uk

From the ¹Academic Unit of Radiology, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK; ²Novartis Institutes for Biomedical Research, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA; ³Academic Unit of Clinical Oncology, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK; ⁴Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Sheffield, UK

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

632 © 2018 The Authors Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc. on behalf of International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine

compared to ¹²⁹Xe. However, recent years have seen an increase in ¹²⁹Xe lung MRI¹ studies in patients for assessment of lung ventilation,^{2–5} microstructure,^{6,7} and regional gas exchange⁸ with this naturally abundant isotope. This renewed interest is attributable to the relative scarcity of ³He gas,⁹ and parallel developments in ¹²⁹Xe gas polarization technology^{10–13} and MRI pulse sequences¹⁴ that have helped bridge the gap in image quality due to the difference in gyromagnetic ratio between the two nuclei.

Previous comparisons of the sensitivity of ³He and ¹²⁹Xe MRI for assessment of lung ventilation and microstructure have been reported in healthy subjects, former smokers,⁷ patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD),^{3,15} and asthma.¹⁶ Generally, lung ventilation and microstructural information of similar diagnostic quality has been obtained with the two nuclei, despite their different diffusivity in the lung airspaces. However, all of these reported studies were carried out at 3T, at relatively modest spatial resolution (15 mm and 30 mm slice thickness for ventilation and diffusion-weighted microstructural MRI, respectively), and at a single site.^{3,7,15,16} Magnetic susceptibility differences at 1.5T and 3T have been shown to have some impact on lung ventilation and diffusion-weighted microstructural MRI with both gases^{17,18}; however, quantitative information derived from ³He and ¹²⁹Xe techniques at the most-reported field strength to date for lung MRI (1.5T) has yet to be systematically compared. This information is critical for multisite implementation and widespread clinical dissemination of ¹²⁹Xe MRI in the future.

In addition, future clinical use of ¹²⁹Xe will require quantitative data regarding the repeatability and robustness of associated MRI biomarkers of lung disease. The most commonly reported quantitative metrics of lung ventilation from conventional, static hyperpolarized gas images are percentage ventilated volume (VV%) and ventilation defect percentage (VDP = 100% - VV%). Same-day ³He VDP measurements were reported to be highly repeatable at 3T in patients with COPD,^{19,20} while 1-week repeatability was relatively poorer. Good same-day and same-week repeatability of ³He VV% has been reported in cystic fibrosis (CF) patients at 1.5T²¹ and 3T,²² respectively. One report of high same-session repeatability of ¹²⁹Xe VDP in healthy subjects and asthma patients has been published recently²³; however, multisession, multiday variability in quantitative lung ventilation metrics derived from ¹²⁹Xe MRI has not been assessed. Delivery of several doses of ¹²⁹Xe gas for ventilation imaging on the same/subsequent day to subjects with a variety of pulmonary conditions has been reported.^{2,24} However, the primary purpose of those studies was to assess safety and tolerability, and ventilation volume repeatability was not evaluated.

The global mean ³He apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) in the lung airspaces derived from diffusion-

weighted MRI is a well-established marker of alveolar microstructural change. Comprehensive investigations of same-session²⁵ and multiday²⁶ repeatability of mean ³He ADC values have demonstrated a high intra-individual repeatability in healthy subjects and patients with emphysema. Furthermore, studies at 3T have highlighted a higher repeatability of ³He ADC when compared to ³He VDP in patients with COPD.^{19,20} However, to our knowledge, interscan repeatability of ¹²⁹Xe ADC has not been assessed to date. Evaluation of the repeatability of lung ventilation and microstructural metrics derived from ¹²⁹Xe MRI against ³He MRI and pulmonary functional tests is therefore necessary to facilitate the clinical dissemination of these methods.

Taking the gaps in the literature discussed above into account, the purpose of this work was to evaluate the applicability and repeatability of quantitative metrics of ¹²⁹Xe ventilation and diffusion-weighted MRI as compared to the equivalent ³He measurements made at a field strength of 1.5T.

Materials and Methods

Subjects

We present an analysis of MR data obtained from several distinct studies. Two groups of healthy nonsmokers: a) 11 participants (age = 43 ± 6 years), and b) three participants (age = 31 ± 4 years), all with no history of smoking or respiratory disorders; five ex-smokers with a pack history of ≥ 10 years and no history of respiratory disorders (age = 51 ± 2 years); five patients with COPD (GOLD stage: 3D [n = 4], 3B [n = 1]; age = 67 ± 7 years); and 16 patients with nonsmall-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) (age = 67 ± 12 years) were recruited for separate studies approved by the National Research Ethics Committee, with governance approval from the local National Health Service research committee. All subjects provided written informed consent. Patient demographics and pulmonary function test (PFT) results are summarized in Table 1. Subjects with a resting oxygen saturation of <90%, unstable cardiac disease, or (for NSCLC patients) comorbid conditions that precluded radiotherapy, were excluded.

MRI Technique

All subjects underwent MRI at 1.5T (GE HDx, GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI). Flexible quadrature radiofrequency coils were employed for transmission and reception of MR signals at the Larmor frequencies of ³He and ¹²⁹Xe (Clinical MR Solutions, Brookfield, WI). ³He and ¹²⁹Xe gas was polarized by collisional spinexchange optical pumping, using a prototype commercial ³He polarizer (MITI, Durham, NC) (average polarization ~25%) and a home-built ¹²⁹Xe polarizer^{12,27} (average polarization ~10–15%), respectively.

Patients with NSCLC and COPD, and three healthy nonsmokers (group b) underwent 3D lung ventilation MRI with a steady-state free precession (SSFP) sequence at breath-hold after inhalation of ³He or ¹²⁹Xe gas.^{14,28} ¹H images of the thorax were also acquired for anatomical reference and calculation of total lung volume. As illustrated in Fig. 1 (right), ¹H images were acquired in both the same breath-hold²⁹ and a separate breath-hold as ³He

	Subject group					
	Healthy nonsmokers					
Parameter	a	b	Healthy ex-smokers	NSCLC patients	COPD patients	
Age (yrs) (# of Subjects)	43.0 ± 6.4 (6M, 5F)	30.7 ± 3.5 (3M)	51.0 ± 2.3 (3M, 2F)	66.9 ± 12.0 (10M, 6F)	67.4 ± 6.5 (2M, 3F)	
FEV ₁ (%-pred)	101.0 ± 12.5	92.6 ± 15.9	94.9 ± 9.8	72.7 ± 25.0	38.0 ± 6.6	
FEV ₁ /FVC (%)	77.1 ± 7.2	77.0 ± 7.6	75.1 ± 13.2	57.1 ± 16.5	29.7 ± 5.9	
D _{LCO} (%-pred)	89.6 ± 17.8	102.3 ± 9.3	103.5 ± 16.2	56.6 ± 31.0	42.5 ± 32.4	
³ He VV%		98.4 ± 0.60		79.6 ± 11.7	71.4 ± 7.8	
¹²⁹ Xe VV%		96.6 ± 0.32		70.8 ± 13.3	59.8 ± 10.4	
³ He ADC _{glob} (cm ² .s ⁻¹)	0.190 ± 0.017		0.211 ± 0.022		0.432 ± 0.127	
129 Xe ADC _{glob} (cm ² .s ⁻¹)	0.038 ± 0.003		0.043 ± 0.004		0.073 ± 0.019	

120

ventilation images (same breath-hold for ease of image registration; separate breath-hold in case same breath-hold acquisition failed or could not be registered). Due to the length of the ¹²⁹Xe ventilation imaging breath-hold, ¹H images were acquired in a separate breath-hold. VV% was derived by segmentation (and registration if required) of paired sets of hyperpolarized gas and corresponding ¹H images as described previously²⁸ (VV% = volume of

"ventilated" regions from segmentation of hyperpolarized gas images / total lung volume from segmentation of ¹H images). In addition to calculation of VV%, images were qualitatively assessed for size and number of ventilation defects (regions of unventilated signal, defined as falling below 2 standard deviations of the noise) and signal heterogeneity in ventilated areas. Hyperpolarized gas and ¹H pulse sequence parameters are listed in Table 2. In each



FIGURE 1: Left: Comparison of ³He and ¹²⁹Xe MR ventilation images of i. a healthy nonsmoker (group b), ii. a patient with NSCLC (white arrows indicate the location of a lesion), and iii. a patient with COPD. (Note: The slice thickness of ³He images is half that of ¹²⁹Xe images; see Table 2). Right: Corresponding breath-hold scheme for ventilation imaging scans. Scans were acquired in the order shown, with a change of RF coil and repositioning of the patient between ³He and ¹²⁹Xe scans.

Metric 3 He 3D 1 H 3D 12 Xe 3D 1 H 3D 12 Xe 3D 1 H 3D 0 We 2D 0 We 2D FOV (cm) ~40 ~44 ~40 </th <th colspan="8">TABLE 2. Summary of MR Pulse Sequence Acquisition Parameters</th>	TABLE 2. Summary of MR Pulse Sequence Acquisition Parameters							
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Metric	³ He	VV%	¹²⁹ Xe	VV%	³ He ADC	¹²⁹ Xe ADC	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pulse sequence	³ He 3D SSFP	¹ H 3D SPGR	¹²⁹ Xe 3D SSFP	¹ H 3D SPGR	DW 2D SPGR	DW 2D SPGR	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	FOV (cm)	~ 40	~ 40	$\sim \! 40$	~ 40	$\sim \! 40$	~ 40	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Phase FOV	0.8	1.0	0.8	1.0	0.75	0.75	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Matrix	100×80	100×100	100×80	100×100	64×64	64×48	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Pixel size (mm)	4×4	4×4	4×4	4×4	6.25×6.25	6.25 × 8.33	
Slice thickness (mm)55101015 (10 mm gap)15 (10 mm gap)Flip angle (°)959/10 ^a 54.86.7TE/TR (msec)0.6/1.90.6/1.92.2/6.70.6/1.94.8/10.012.5/27.0BW(kHz) ± 83.3 ± 83.3 ± 8 ± 83.3 ± 31.25 ± 2 Gas dose (ml)200N/A600N/A300600Breath-hold (s)541441616 ~ -14 (³ He+gap+ ¹ H) $$ $ \Delta$ (ms) $ N_D$ $ \delta = 1.0; X=0$) $\delta = 3.0; X=1.4$ N_D $ \delta = 1.0; X=0$) $\delta = 3.0; X=1.4$ N_D $ \delta = 1.0; X=0$) $\delta = 3.0; X=1.4$ N_D $ \delta = 1.0; X=0$) $\delta = 3.0; X=1.4$ N_D $ \delta = 1.0; X=0$) $\delta = 3.0; X=1.4$ N_D $ \delta = 1.0; X=0$) $\delta = 3.0; X=1.4$ N_D $ \delta = 1.0; X=0$) $\delta = 3.0; X=1.4$ N_D $ \delta = 1.0; X=0$ $\delta = 3.0; X=1.4$ N_D $ \delta = 0.6$ $\delta = 0.6$ $M_{1,2}$ $ \delta = 0.6$ $\delta = 0.6$ $M_{1,2}$ $ \delta = 0.6$ $\delta = 0.6$ M_D $-$ <	# of slices	$\sim \!\! 48$	$\sim \!\! 48$	~ 24	~ 24	5	4	
Flip angle (°)959/10a54.86.7TE/TR (msec)0.6/1.90.6/1.92.2/6.70.6/1.94.8/10.012.5/27.0BW(kHz) ± 83.3 ± 83.3 ± 8 ± 83.3 ± 31.25 ± 2 Gas dose (ml)200N/A600N/A300600Breath-hold (s)541441616 $\sim 14 ({}^{3}\text{He}+{}_{\text{B}}+{}^{1}\text{H})$ $$ $ \Delta$ (ms) $ N_D$ $ \delta=1.0; X=0$) $\delta=3.0; X=1.4$ N_D $ 6$ 4 G_{m} (mT.m ⁻¹) $ 31.6$ 32.2 $b_{1,2}$ (s.cm ⁻²) $ 0; 1.6$ $0; 8.0$	Slice thickness (mm)	5	5	10	10	15 (10 mm gap)	15 (10 mm gap)	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Flip angle (°)	9	5	9/10 ^a	5	4.8	6.7	
BW(kHz) ± 83.3 ± 83.3 ± 8 ± 83.3 ± 31.25 ± 2 Gas dose (ml)200N/A600N/A300600Breath-hold (s)541441616 $\sim 14 ({}^{3}\text{He}+\text{gap}+{}^{1}\text{H})$ $ \Delta$ (ms) $ 1.6 (\tau=0.3);$ $5.0 (\tau=0.3);$ $ \delta=1.0; X=0$) $\delta=3.0; X=1.4$)N _D $ 6$ 4 $G_{\rm m} ({\rm mT.m}^{-1})$ $ 31.6$ 32.2 $b_{1,2} ({\rm s.cm}^{-2})$ $ 0; 1.6$ $0; 8.0$	TE/TR (msec)	0.6/1.9	0.6/1.9	2.2/6.7	0.6/1.9	4.8/10.0	12.5/27.0	
Gas dose (ml)200N/A600N/A300600Breath-hold (s)541441616 $\sim -14 (^{3}He+gap+^{1}H)$ Δ (ms)1.6 (τ =0.3;5.0 (τ =0.3; δ =1.0; X=0) δ =3.0; X=1.4)N _D 64 G_{m} (mT.m ⁻¹)31.632.2 $b_{1,2}$ (s.cm ⁻²)0; 1.60; 8.0	BW(kHz)	±83.3	±83.3	± 8	±83.3	±31.25	±2	
Breath-hold (s)541441616 $\sim 14 ({}^{3}\text{He}+\text{gap}+{}^{1}\text{H})$ Δ (ms)1.6 (τ =0.3;5.0 (τ =0.3; δ =1.0; X=0) δ =3.0; X=1.4)N _D 64G _m (mT.m ⁻¹)31.632.2 $b_{1,2}$ (s.cm ⁻²)0; 1.60; 8.0	Gas dose (ml)	200	N/A	600	N/A	300	600	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Breath-hold (s)	5	4	14	4	16	16	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$\sim 14 (^{3}\text{He} + \text{gap} + ^{1}\text{H})$		—		—	_	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Δ (ms)			—		1.6 (τ=0.3;	5.0 (τ=0.3;	
N _D 6 4 $G_{\rm m}$ (mT.m ⁻¹) 31.6 32.2 $b_{1,2}$ (s.cm ⁻²) 0; 1.6 0; 8.0						$\delta = 1.0; X = 0)$	$\delta = 3.0; X = 1.4)$	
$G_{\rm m} ({\rm mT.m}^{-1})$ - 31.6 32.2 $b_{1,2} ({\rm s.cm}^{-2})$ - 0; 1.6 0; 8.0	N _D					6	4	
$b_{1,2} (\text{s.cm}^{-2})$ — 0; 1.6 0; 8.0	$G_{\rm m}~({\rm mT.m}^{-1})$			_		31.6	32.2	
	$b_{1,2} \text{ (s.cm}^{-2})$	_		_		0; 1.6	0; 8.0	

SSFP: steady-state free precession; SPGR: spoiled gradient echo; FOV: field of view; TE: echo time; TR: repetition time; BW: bandwidth; Δ : diffusion time, τ : ramp time, δ : plateau time; X: separation of gradient lobes; N_D: number of diffusion-weighted interleaves; G_m: maximum gradient amplitude; $b_{1,2}$: *b* values of first two interleaves.

FOV and ventilation # of slices were increased if necessary to cover the whole lungs of larger patients.

^aFlip angle was 9° for COPD patients, 10° for NSCLC patients.

case, a dose of ³He (99.25% of 100% ³He isotope, 0.75% N₂) or 129-enriched xenon (86% ¹²⁹Xe) gas was balanced to 1 L with N₂ in a Tedlar bag and inhaled via a sterilized air filter. Prior to image acquisition, subjects inhaled from functional residual capacity (FRC) after a period of steady breathing. Target inhaled gas doses were achieved to within a tolerance of \pm 10 mL for ³He and \pm 20 mL for ¹²⁹Xe. Images with a signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of <5 were not included in quantitative analysis. This threshold was determined by quantitative evaluation of the effect of SNR on ventilation heterogeneity³⁰ by incrementally adding noise to high SNR ventilation images.

Healthy nonsmokers (group a), ex-smokers, and COPD patients underwent 2D multislice ³He and ¹²⁹Xe diffusion-weighted lung MRI to acquire ADC maps of the lungs. ADC values were calculated from the first two interleaves of a multiple *b*-value 2D diffusion-weighted spoiled gradient echo sequence. Acquisition parameters and bipolar diffusion gradient timing parameters are summarized in Table 2. Gradients were designed to achieve comparable diffusion weighting for the two nuclei, and slice locations were matched (with an additional anterior slice for ³He acquisitions). The same SNR threshold as ventilation imaging

was applied to diffusion-weighted MRI data. Note: Healthy nonsmokers (group a) and ex-smokers did not undergo ventilation imaging in this study. Likewise, patients with NSCLC and healthy group b did not undergo diffusion-weighted MRI.

Patients with COPD underwent the complete MR protocol on four separate scan sessions in total: twice on day 1; once on day 2; and once 2 weeks after day 1, to assess the repeatability of 3 He and 129 Xe metrics.

Pulmonary Function Testing

PFTs, including the diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide (D_{LCO}) and spirometry, were performed by each COPD patient, once on the same day as each MR session (three times in total, always following MRI). Healthy nonsmokers and ex-smokers performed the same tests immediately after their single-timepoint diffusion-weighted MR scans, and NSCLC patients performed PFTs within ± 1 week of MRI.

Statistical Analysis

VV% and global mean ADC (ADC_{glob}) values derived from $^3{\rm He}$ and $^{129}{\rm Xe}$ MRI were compared for differences in each metric

Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging

between two, or more than two, *subject groups* with conventional *t*tests or analyses of variance (ANOVA), respectively. Differences in metrics derived between *gas nuclei* were analyzed using Wilcoxon signed rank tests. Spearman's rank correlation coefficients were derived to quantify the relationship between ³He and ¹²⁹Xe datasets, and Bland–Altman analysis was used to visualize systematic differences in VV% between the two nuclei. MRI metrics were compared and correlated against PFT results by calculating Spearman's rank coefficients. The mean of repeated measurements from each COPD patient was used to represent a single datapoint for the above statistical tests.

Where repeatability data were available, the coefficient of variation (CV) of each parameter was calculated as the ratio of the standard deviation (SD) to the mean over all repeated measurements, expressed as a percentage. In addition, two-way mixed intraclass correlation (ICC) coefficients were calculated for absolute agreement between repeat measurements. Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics (v. 23, Armonk, NY) and R (R3.4, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria), and statistical significance level was set to P < 0.05.

Results

Imaging Data Quality

From the healthy nonsmokers cohort, ¹²⁹Xe diffusionweighted MR images from one subject exhibited insufficient SNR for accurate calculation of ADC maps. From the COPD cohort, one ³He and one ¹²⁹Xe ADC dataset, and two ³He and two ¹²⁹Xe VV% datasets (each out of a total of 20 datasets for each nucleus, each metric) were either not successfully acquired or image SNR was unsatisfactory. From the NSCLC patient cohort, one ³He dataset and one ¹H dataset was not acquired successfully, and two ¹²⁹Xe datasets showed low SNR.

All other recorded data were of sufficient SNR for analysis: ie, for ³He, >95% of ADC data and >90% of VV% data were acceptable; for ¹²⁹Xe, 95% of ADC data and >85% of VV% data were acceptable. All gas doses were well-tolerated and no significant side effects or adverse events were reported. Mean MR parameters and PFT results are shown in Table 1.

Ventilation Imaging

Representative ³He and ¹²⁹Xe coronal ventilation images and corresponding structural ¹H images from patients with NSCLC and COPD are shown in Fig. 1 (left), alongside images from a healthy nonsmoker. Considerable ventilation abnormalities were observed in patients with NSCLC, with most patients exhibiting a heterogeneous distribution of ventilated airspaces and complete absences of ventilation in the region of the lung associated with malignancies (as identified on structural ¹H MRI). Severe ventilation defects were detected in most COPD patients, and entire lobes of the lungs were often observed to be completely unventilated.



FIGURE 2: Bland–Altman analysis of ³He and ¹²⁹Xe VV% values in patients with NSCLC and COPD and healthy nonsmokers group b. The solid gray line indicates the mean difference (bias) between ³He and ¹²⁹Xe VV%, and dashed lines represent \pm 1.96 standard deviations from the mean. Datapoints and error bars for COPD patients denote intrasubject means and standard deviations of repeated acquisitions, respectively.

By pooling data from healthy nonsmokers (group b) and patients with NSCLC and COPD, a significant positive correlation between ³He and ¹²⁹Xe VV%, was identified (Spearman's correlation coefficient, r = 0.860, P < 0.001). Derived VV% values were larger on average for ³He when compared with ¹²⁹Xe (mean over all healthy group b, NSCLC, and COPD subjects); P < 0.001 (Wilcoxon signed rank) and qualitatively, typically larger and more numerous ventilation defects could be observed in ¹²⁹Xe images. A Bland-Altman plot of the systematic differences between ³He and ¹²⁹Xe VV% is shown in Fig. 2, indicating a bias of +8.79% towards higher ³He VV%. Analyzing data from COPD and NSCLC patients separately, intragroup correlations between ³He and ¹²⁹Xe VV% were statistically significant for NSCLC patients (r = 0.657, P = 0.024) but not COPD patients (r = 0.700, P = 0.233). Additionally, ³He VV% values were found to be higher than ¹²⁹Xe VV% values when COPD (P = 0.063, close to significant) and NSCLC (P = 0.002, significant) patient data were analyzed separately. There were no significant differences in either mean ³He VV% (P = 0.095) or mean ¹²⁹Xe VV% (P = 0.085) values between the two patient groups, although the VV% trended to be lower in COPD patients compared with NSCLC patients.

Diffusion-Weighted Imaging

Examples of ³He and ¹²⁹Xe ADC maps obtained from a healthy nonsmoker and a patient with COPD are shown in Fig. 3. ADC_{glob} values of both nuclei were significantly elevated in COPD patients when compared to both healthy nonsmokers (group a) and ex-smokers: ³He ADC_{glob} = $0.432 \pm 0.127 \text{ cm}^2 \text{.s}^{-1}$ in COPD patients, $0.211 \pm 0.022 \text{ cm}^2 \text{.s}^{-1}$ in



FIGURE 3: Representative dataset of ³He and ¹²⁹Xe ADC maps obtained from a healthy nonsmoker (group a) and a patient with COPD. The mean ADC over all slices is quoted underneath each dataset.

ex-smokers (P = 0.036 vs. COPD patients) and $0.190 \pm 0.017 \text{ cm}^2 \text{.s}^{-1}$ in healthy nonsmokers (P = 0.028 vs. COPD patients); mean ¹²⁹Xe ADC_{glob} = $0.073 \pm 0.019 \text{ cm}^2 \text{.s}^{-1}$ in COPD patients, $0.042 \pm 0.004 \text{ cm}^2 \text{.s}^{-1}$ in ex-smokers (P = 0.045 vs. COPD patients) and $0.038 \pm 0.003 \text{ cm}^2 \text{.s}^{-1}$ in healthy nonsmokers (P = 0.029 vs. COPD patients). Ex-smoker and healthy nonsmoker ADC_{glob} values were statistically indistinguishable; P = 0.214 and P = 0.114 for ³He and ¹²⁹Xe data, respectively.

A strong positive correlation between ³He and ¹²⁹Xe ADC_{glob} was found when pooling data from healthy nonsmokers group a, ex-smokers and COPD patients together (Fig. 4: Spearman's r = 0.922, P < 0.001). Analyzing the three subject groups separately, significant correlations between ³He and ¹²⁹Xe ADC_{glob} were observed for each of the three groups (P < 0.05).

MR Metrics vs. PFTs

A summary of the statistical analyses of relationships between MR metrics and PFTs is presented in Table 3. Both ³He and ¹²⁹Xe VV% exhibited significant correlations with FEV₁ and FEV₁/FVC at P < 0.001 (except ¹²⁹Xe VV% vs. FEV₁, P < 0.05 significance level). Correlations of



FIGURE 4: Correlation between mean ³He and ¹²⁹Xe ADC_{glob} in healthy nonsmokers (group a), ex-smokers, and patients with COPD, with associated Spearman's correlation coefficient (*r*) and *P* value of statistical significance. The dashed line represents a linear fit to the data and error bars represent the standard deviation of repeated scans for each COPD patient.

VV% values derived from both nuclei with D_{LCO} were relatively weaker, although still significant at the P < 0.05 level. Note: One significant outlying datapoint from a patient with NSCLC with %-predicted spirometry ~90% but low VV% values, ~50% for both nuclei, was excluded from the analysis presented in Table 3. When these outlying data were included in the analysis, the correlation statistics were severely affected; correlations of VV% with D_{LCO} were no longer significant (P > 0.05) and all other correlations were significant at the P < 0.05 level, rather than P < 0.001 (Table 3 caption).

ADC_{glob} values also showed strong correlations with PFTs; notably, correlations of ³He ADC_{glob} with both FEV₁ and FEV₁/FVC were significant at the P < 0.001 level, while correlations with D_{LCO} were significant at the P < 0.05 level (Table 3). Similarly, correlations of ¹²⁹Xe ADC_{glob} with FEV₁ were significant to P < 0.001, and correlations with FEV₁/FVC and D_{LCO} were significant to P < 0.05.

Repeatability

In COPD patients, both ³He and ¹²⁹Xe ventilation images appeared qualitatively similar (in terms of visual ventilation defect prevalence and ventilation homogeneity) at each of the four scan timepoints, as shown for a representative example in Fig. 5. Mean CV values of ³He and ¹²⁹Xe VV% between all repeated scans were 4.08% and 13.01%, respectively (Table 4). According to ICC analysis, both ³He VV% (ICC coefficient 0.541, P = 0.061) and ¹²⁹Xe VV% (coefficient 0.458, P = 0.095) repeatability—calculated by considering data from all scan timepoints—was classified as just beyond the 95% confidence level. The CV of ³He VV% was comparable to that of PFTs, while the ¹²⁹Xe VV% CV was higher.

Good agreement in the appearance of both ³He and ¹²⁹Xe ADC maps was observed for each scan timepoint, as illustrated in Fig. 6. ³He and ¹²⁹Xe ADC_{glob} values were highly repeatable over all scan sessions, with ICC coefficients for interscan agreement (0.995 and 0.936 for ³He and ¹²⁹Xe, respectively) significant at the P < 0.001 level, and mean CV values of <3% in both cases (see Table 4).

TABLE 3. Correlations Between MRI-Derived 3 He and 129 Xe VV% and ADC Measurements and PFTs					
r (<i>P</i>)	³ He ADC	¹²⁹ Xe ADC	³ He VV%	¹²⁹ Xe VV%	
D _{LCO} (%-pred)	$-0.537 (0.018^{a})$	$-0.628 (0.005^{a})$	$0.524^{\rm c}$ (0.019 ^a)	$0.476^{\rm c} \ (0.035^{\rm a})$	
FEV ₁ (%-pred)	$(-0.724 < 0.001^{b})$	$-0.834 \ (<0.001^{\rm b})$	$0.732~(<0.001^{\rm b})$	0.648 (0.002 ^a)	
FEV ₁ /FVC	$-0.747 \ (<0.001^{b})$	$-0.672 (0.001^{a})$	$0.819 \ (< 0.001^{\rm b})$	0.744 (<0.001 ^b)	
^a Correlations at the statistical significance level of $P < 0.05$. ^b Correlations at the statistical significance level of $P < 0.001$. ^c Results of correlating VV% values with PFTs represent the statistics obtained when outlying data associated with one NSCLC patient					

was excluded. When this data-point was included, the correlation coefficients (*P* values) for VV% altered as follows: ³He, 3 rows: 0.432 (0.052); 0.592 (0.005^a); 0.559 (0.012^a); ¹²⁹Xe, 3 rows: 0.387 (0.084); 0.531 (0.012^a); 0.529 (0.015^a). %-predicted pulmonary function test result.

ICC coefficients and CVs of ADC_{glob} values were comparable to those of FEV₁ and FEV₁/FVC, and considerably less than those of D_{LCO} and VV%.

Discussion

This work indicates that ¹²⁹Xe lung MRI can provide equivalent regional information on lung ventilation and microstructure to ³He MRI at to-date the most-reported field strength for lung MRI, 1.5T. Specifically, we demonstrated a clear agreement between ¹²⁹Xe ventilation and diffusion MRI measurements and ³He equivalent measurements; these findings substantiate previous work from the Robarts group that was performed at 3T with lower spatial resolution imaging.^{3,15,16} We also quantified the repeatability of ¹²⁹Xe ventilation and diffusion-weighted MRI metrics against ³He equivalent measurements in COPD patients.

 3 He and 129 Xe VV% correlated strongly, with a positive bias towards increased VV% for 3 He. Discrepancies can

likely be attributed to differences in image slice thickness, gas polarization, and the fundamental properties of the gases as discussed below. The generally lower VV% of ¹²⁹Xe may be explained by the ~5-fold lower diffusion coefficient of xenon in air (as confirmed by the mean ADCs of the two gases measured in healthy lungs here), and the resulting slower penetration of partially obstructed airways, although the relatively lower mean SNR of ¹²⁹Xe ventilation images may also contribute to this observation. In patients with severe disease, partially obstructed airways may cause an accentuation of this diffusion-related effect, leading to observation of further ventilation abnormalities with ¹²⁹Xe when compared with ³He. We note that a time-dependent diffusive effect of delayed filling of ventilation defects and collateral ventilation has been observed in COPD patients by ³He MRI,³¹ but the equivalent measurements has not yet been reported for ¹²⁹Xe. A reduced VV% for ¹²⁹Xe when compared with ³He was also reported previously at 3T^{3,16}



FIGURE 5: Selected ³He and ¹²⁹Xe ventilation image slices acquired at each of the four scan timepoints of the repeatability study from a COPD patient. Calculated ventilated volume percentages (VV%) are quoted underneath each respective set of images.

TABLE 4. Repeatability of PFTs and MRI Metrics in Patients With COPD					
	ICC (P value)	CV mean (%)	CV range (%)		
FEV ₁ (%-pred)	0.934 (<0.001 ^b)	4.22	2.16-6.35		
FEV ₁ /FVC	0.987 (<0.001 ^b)	2.34	1.49-3.80		
D _{LCO} (%-pred)	0.992 (<0.001 ^b)	8.73	3.91–16.15		
³ He VV%	0.541 (0.061)	4.08	2.21-8.86		
¹²⁹ Xe VV%	0.458 (0.095)	13.01	9.62–25.28		
³ He ADC _{glob}	0.995 (<0.001 ^b)	2.98	1.48–5.61		
¹²⁹ Xe ADC _{glob}	0.936 (<0.001 ^b)	2.77	1.68–5.88		
ICC = intraclass correlation coefficient; CV = coefficient of variation. ^a Statistical significance level of $P < 0.05$. ^b Statistical significance level of $P < 0.001$.					

and attributed to lower diffusivity. Furthermore, systematically higher absolute ventilated volumes have been reported for ³He when compared with ¹²⁹Xe at 3T,¹⁵ wherein it was suggested that ³He has a higher propensity to flow through collateral channels in COPD patients. Nevertheless, this property of ¹²⁹Xe could prove useful in the assessment of early (ie, small-scale) structural abnormalities of distal airways and lung parenchyma in a variety of pulmonary diseases, provided that equivalent image SNR and spatial resolution can be achieved as that of ³He MRI.

The lower CV values (and higher ICC coefficients) of 3 He VV% when compared with 129 Xe VV% are likely attributable to the generally lower and more variable SNR of 129 Xe images acquired at the time of the study (mean \pm standard deviation of SNR over all NSCLC and COPD

patients: 23.6 ± 16.8 for ¹²⁹Xe; 30.6 ± 10.8 for ³He), and the differences in lung inflation level in some cases between ¹²⁹Xe and anatomical ¹H images acquired in a separate breath-hold.²⁸ As mentioned in the Materials and Methods, polarization levels, and thus image SNR, affect the ventilation heterogeneity and therefore images with low SNR (<5) can significantly distort the physiological interpretation of the data. The relatively lower SNR may be explained by the fact that a prototype ¹²⁹Xe polarizer (producing ¹²⁹Xe polarization ~10–15%) was used for most ¹²⁹Xe experiments in this work.¹² We have since developed and optimized a clinical-scale polarizer capable of rapidly producing ¹²⁹Xe polarized to ~40%, which will enable improved ¹²⁹Xe image SNR in future studies.²⁷ Nevertheless, the CV of ¹²⁹Xe VV% is severely biased by an outlying subject (CV of



FIGURE 6: Representative ³He and ¹²⁹Xe ADC maps acquired at each of the four scan timepoints of the repeatability study from a COPD patient. Global mean ADC values (ADC_{glob}) are quoted underneath each respective set of maps.

Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging

25%); the CV in all other COPD patients was $\sim 10\%$. The ¹²⁹Xe images from the first scan session in this outlying subject were excluded from analysis because of insufficient SNR. Despite this observation, no other metrics showed abnormally high CV for that patient and no differences in the patient's clinical status were noted.

The high regional heterogeneity of COPD may explain the increased CV of VV% when compared with ADC_{glob} (ADC maps are of lower resolution than ventilation images), although we note that, qualitatively, the prominent areas of ventilation defect were observed repeatedly over scan sessions. Furthermore, the variability of bronchodilator therapy applied on a day-to-day basis will potentially impact VV% more severely than ADC. Nonetheless, both ³He and ¹²⁹Xe VV% CV values calculated from COPD patient data are similar to week-to-week percentage variability in FEV1 and D_{LCO},³² and same-day ³He VV% variability in pediatric CF patients,²¹ as reported earlier, although poorer than or comparable to the repeatability of PFTs measured in the present work. Our ICC values for ¹²⁹Xe VV% are considerably lower than same-session (10 minutes apart) ¹²⁹Xe VDP ICCs reported by Ebner et al²³; however, the differences can potentially be explained by the fact that in that work, patients did not leave the scanner between scans, no multisession/multiday data were recorded, and the number of patients was significantly higher than in the present work. Otherwise, the results of 129Xe VV% and ADC repeatability have, to our knowledge, not been previously reported, and hence our measurements can only be assessed against literature data for equivalent ³He measurements. Resolution of some of the issues discussed herein, including utilization of the higher performance polarizer, should lead to improvements in the repeatability of ¹²⁹Xe VV% to a similar level as ³He, thus facilitating translation to largescale clinical studies.

Typically, lower imaging bandwidths and larger diffusion gradients are required for ¹²⁹Xe when compared with ³He lung MRI, necessitating longer breath-hold times or lower spatial resolutions. Additionally, until recently, ¹²⁹Xe polarizer performance has not been adequate to overcome the inherent MR signal disadvantages compared with ³He. As such, a compromise was reached in this work, such that the in-plane resolution of ¹²⁹Xe ventilation imaging acquisitions matched that of ³He, but the slice resolution was halved. Developments in compressed sensing (CS) and parallel imaging acquisition strategies for accelerated imaging^{33,34} should help permit equivalent, isotropic resolution ¹²⁹Xe, and ³He ventilation imaging within a clinically feasible breath-hold in the near future, and facilitate same-breath ¹²⁹Xe and ¹H imaging for improved ¹²⁹Xe ventilation volumetry. Moreover, it has recently been demonstrated that 3D multiple b-value diffusion-weighted CS-based acquisition strategies for whole-lung morphometric analysis developed for diffusion-weighted ³He MRI³⁵ are readily transferable to ¹²⁹Xe.³⁶ Although the through-plane ¹²⁹Xe ventilation and diffusion image resolution in this work is already superior to previous reports at 3T, the adoption of CS methods for both applications should enable these resolution limits to be pushed further towards those attainable with ³He.

Mean ADC_{glob} values of both nuclei exhibited excellent correlation with each other and high repeatability in COPD patients, with comparable or lower interscan CVs than conventional PFTs, suggesting that ¹²⁹Xe diffusionweighted MR has approximately equal sensitivity to ³He for quantitative assessment of lung microstructural changes, and sufficient reliability for routine clinical application.

The observation of elevated ADC_{glob} in COPD patients agrees with previous reports for both ³He^{3,26} and ¹²⁹Xe,^{6,7} and has been well characterized. Similarly, increased ADC_{glob} in subjects with smoking history is consistent with previous observations,⁷ although the ¹²⁹Xe *b*values used in those works differ from this work. As a consequence of the non-Gaussian phase dispersion of the ³He and ¹²⁹Xe diffusion regime experienced in the lungs, ADCglob exhibits some variation with *b*-value,³⁷ which constrains the validity of interstudy comparisons. As such, we recommend that for ease of implementation and comparison across sites, a standardized *b*-value and diffusion time should be adopted for ¹²⁹Xe diffusion-weighted MRI, similar to the value of 1.6 s.cm⁻² now widely used for ³He.

CV values of both ³He and ¹²⁹Xe ADC_{glob} are less than those of previously reported same-day variability in FEV_{1} ,³² and substantially lower than measured variability in $D_{\rm LCO}$ (~9%)³⁸. $D_{\rm LCO}$ is perhaps the most relevant PFT to compare with ADC, since both measurements rely on gas diffusion within the alveoli, albeit for studying different lung physiology. Thus, the observed repeatability is extremely promising for advancing ¹²⁹Xe diffusion-weighted MRI to large-scale multisite trials with the goal of routine clinical implementation. Additionally, our reported CV values of both ³He and ¹²⁹Xe ADC_{glob} are less than ³He ADC CVs reported for healthy subjects and patients with emphysema at 1.5T,²⁶ and are comparable to ³He CVs measured at 3T.²⁰

The design of the present study has some limitations that could be effectively resolved in future studies. Although a reasonable number of patients were included in this study (37 in total), repeatability experiments were only performed on five patients and, thus, a follow-up comprehensive, repeatability-specific study is required with an increased number of subjects, including both patients and volunteers. In such a study, it may be statistically meaningful to distinguish same-day from 2-week repeatability, and therefore better identify potential sources of physiological variability between different scan sessions. Due to a few missing datasets, the current data is insufficient in quantity for such statistically sound intertimepoint repeatability analysis; hence, the repeatability was only analyzed over all scan sessions. Data regarding day-to-day and week-to-week repeatability is critical for understanding the natural physiological variability in VV% and ADC_{glob} in patients and discriminating this from measurement uncertainty.

In conclusion, the findings reported herein demonstrate that ¹²⁹Xe is approaching readiness as a clinically viable alternative to ³He for quantitative lung ventilation and microstructural MRI studies. For future dissemination and multisite cross-platform clinical trials, the fact that our results agree with many of the trends seen in prior studies that benchmarked ³He and ¹²⁹Xe MRI measurements at 3T is encouraging. Although quantitative measurements of ventilation and ADC will be biased by magnetic susceptibility effects at the two field strengths, we believe that equivalent functional information can be achieved with both gases at both field strengths. In addition, the high repeatability of both ³He and ¹²⁹Xe ADC observed here is perhaps the most convincing evidence to date that ¹²⁹Xe ADC imaging has developed into a robust microstructural imaging methodology. Moreover, this report builds upon previous measurements at 3T in terms of improved spatial resolution of ¹²⁹Xe imaging, and the realization of 3D DW-MRI with both nuclei^{35,36} is extremely promising for further validation and clinical application in the near future. The preliminary assessment of the repeatability of ¹²⁹Xe ventilation and diffusion MRI biomarkers addresses a gap in knowledge that was not considered prior to this work and paves the way for further, more comprehensive multisite repeatability studies.

Conflict of Interest

Dr. Yates was involved in the study design and imaging protocol for the COPD imaging metric repeatability study. She is part of the Novartis imaging biomarker team and this work was not related to a clinical trial of a therapeutic.

References

- Mugler JP, Altes TA. Hyperpolarized 129Xe MRI of the human lung. J Magn Reson Imaging 2013;313–331.
- Driehuys B, Martinez-Jimenez S, Cleveland ZI, et al. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: Safety and tolerability of hyperpolarized 129 Xe MR imaging in healthy volunteers and patients. Radiology 2012; 262:279–289.
- Kirby M, Svenningsen S, Owrangi A, et al. Hyperpolarized 3 He and 129 Xe MR imaging in healthy volunteers and patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Radiology 2012;265:600–610.
- Thomen RP, Walkup LL, Roach DJ, Cleveland ZI, Clancy JP, Woods JC. Hyperpolarized 129Xe for investigation of mild cystic fibrosis lung disease in pediatric patients. J Cyst Fibros 2017;16:275–282.
- Virgincar RS, Cleveland ZI, Sivaram Kaushik S, et al. Quantitative analysis of hyperpolarized 129Xe ventilation imaging in healthy volunteers and subjects with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. NMR Biomed 2013;26:424–435.

Stewart et al.: ³He and ¹²⁹Xe Lung MRI at 1.5T

- Kaushik SS, Cleveland ZI, Cofer GP, et al. Diffusion-weighted hyperpolarized 129Xe MRI in healthy volunteers and subjects with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Magn Reson Med 2011;65:1155–1165.
- Kirby M, Ouriadov A, Svenningsen S, et al. Xe magnetic resonance imaging apparent diffusion coefficients: Physiological relevance on older never- and exsmokers. Physiol Rep 2014;2:e12068.
- Qing K, Ruppert K, Jiang Y, et al. Regional mapping of gas uptake by blood and tissue in the human lung using hyperpolarized xenon-129 MRI. J Magn Reson Imaging 2014;39:346–359.
- 9. Shea DA. The helium-3 shortage: Supply, demand, and options for Congress. Congr Res Serv Rep Congr 2010.
- Hersman FW, Ruset IC, Ketel S, et al. Large production system for hyperpolarized 129Xe for human lung imaging studies. Acad Radiol 2008;15:683–692.
- Nikolaou P, Coffey AM, Walkup LL, et al. Near-unity nuclear polarization with an open-source 129Xe hyperpolarizer for NMR and MRI. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2013;110:14150–14155.
- Norquay G, Parnell SR, Xu X, Parra-Robles J, Wild JM. Optimized production of hyperpolarized 129Xe at 2 bars for in vivo lung magnetic resonance imaging. J Appl Phys 2013;113:44908.
- Six JS, Hughes-Riley T, Stupic KF, Pavlovskaya GE, Meersmann T. Pathway to cryogen free production of hyperpolarized krypton-83 and xenon-129. PLoS One 2012;7:e49927.
- Stewart NJ, Norquay G, Griffiths PD, Wild JM. Feasibility of human lung ventilation imaging using highly polarized naturally abundant xenon and optimized three-dimensional steady-state free precession. Magn Reson Med 2015;74:346–352.
- Kirby M, Svenningsen S, Kanhere N, et al. Pulmonary ventilation visualized using hyperpolarized helium-3 and xenon-129 magnetic resonance imaging: Differences in COPD and relationship to emphysema. J Appl Physiol 2013;114:707–715.
- Svenningsen S, Kirby M, Starr D, et al. Hyperpolarized 3He and 129Xe MRI: Differences in asthma before bronchodilation. J Magn Reson Imaging 2013;38:1521–1530.
- Deppe MH, Parra-Robles J, Ajraoui S, et al. Susceptibility effects in hyperpolarized 3He lung MRI at 1.5T and 3T. J Magn Reson Imaging 2009;30:418–423.
- Parra-Robles J, Ajraoui S, Marshall H, Deppe MH, Xu X, Wild JM. The influence of field strength on the apparent diffusion coefficient of 3He gas in human lungs. Magn Reson Med 2012;67:322–325.
- Mathew L, Evans A, Ouriadov A, et al. Hyperpolarized 3He magnetic resonance imaging of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Reproducibility at 3.0 Tesla. Acad Radiol 2008;15:1298–1311.
- Parraga G, Ouriadov A, Evans A, et al. Hyperpolarized 3He ventilation defects and apparent diffusion coefficients in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: Preliminary results at 3.0 Tesla. Invest Radiol 2007; 42:384–391.
- Woodhouse N, Wild JM, Van Beek EJR, Hoggard N, Barker N, Taylor CJ. Assessment of hyperpolarized 3He lung MRI for regional evaluation of interventional therapy: A pilot study in pediatric cystic fibrosis. J Magn Reson Imaging 2009;30:981–988.
- O'Sullivan B, Couch M, Roche JP, et al. Assessment of repeatability of hyperpolarized gas MR ventilation functional imaging in cystic fibrosis. Acad Radiol 2014;21:1524–1529.
- Ebner L, He M, Virgincar RS, et al. Hyperpolarized 129Xenon magnetic resonance imaging to quantify regional ventilation differences in mild to moderate asthma. Invest Radiol 2017;52:120–127.
- Shukla Y, Wheatley A, Kirby M, et al. Hyperpolarized 129Xe magnetic resonance imaging. Tolerability in healthy volunteers and subjects with pulmonary disease. Acad Radiol 2012;19:941–951.
- Morbach AE, Gast KK, Schmiedeskamp J, et al. Diffusion-weighted MRI of the lung with hyperpolarized helium-3: A study of reproducibility. J Magn Reson Imaging 2005;21:765–774.
- 26. Diaz S, Casselbrant I, Piitulainen E, et al. Hyperpolarized 3He apparent diffusion coefficient MRI of the lung: Reproducibility and volume

Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging

dependency in healthy volunteers and patients with emphysema. J Magn Reson Imaging 2008;27:763–770.

- Norquay G, Collier GJ, Rao M, et al. Large-scale production of highly-polarized 129Xe. In: Proc 25th Annual Meeting ISMRM, Honolulu; 2017. p 2140.
- Horn FC, Tahir BA, Stewart NJ, et al. Lung ventilation volumetry with same-breath acquisition of hyperpolarized gas and proton MRI. NMR Biomed 2014;27:1461–1467.
- Wild JM, Ajraoui S, Deppe MH, et al. Synchronous acquisition of hyperpolarised 3He and 1H MR images of the lungs — maximising mutual anatomical and functional information. NMR Biomed 2011;24: 130–134.
- Tzeng Y-S, Lutchen K, Albert M. The difference in ventilation heterogeneity between asthmatic and healthy subjects quantified using hyperpolarized ³ He MRI. J Appl Physiol 2009;106:813–822.
- Marshall H, Deppe MH, Parra-Robles J, et al. Direct visualisation of collateral ventilation in COPD with hyperpolarised gas MRI. Thorax 2012;67:613–617.
- Pellegrino R, Viegi G, Brusasco V, et al. Interpretative strategies for lung function tests. Eur Respir J 2005;26:948–968.

- Ajraoui S, Lee KJ, Deppe MH, Parnell SR, Parra-Robles J, Wild JM. Compressed sensing in hyperpolarized 3He lung MRI. Magn Reson Med 2010;63:1059–1069.
- Deppe MH, Parra-Robles J, Marshall H, Lanz T, Wild JM. A flexible 32-channel receive array combined with a homogeneous transmit coil for human lung imaging with hyperpolarized 3He at 1.5 T. Magn Reson Med 2011;66:1788–1797.
- Chan HF, Stewart NJ, Parra-Robles J, Collier GJ, Wild JM. Whole lung morphometry with 3D multiple b-value hyperpolarized gas MRI and compressed sensing. Magn Reson Med 2017;77:1916–1925.
- Chan H-F, Stewart NJ, Norquay G, Collier GJ, Wild JM. 3D diffusionweighted 129 Xe MRI for whole lung morphometry. Magn Reson Med 2017; DOI: 10.1002/mrm.26960 [Epub ahead of print].
- Fichele S, Paley MNJ, Woodhouse N, Griffiths PD, Van Beek EJR, Wild JM. Investigating 3He diffusion NMR in the lungs using finite difference simulations and in vivo PGSE experiments. J Magn Reson 2004;167:1–11.
- Hathaway EH, Tashkin DP, Simmons MS. Intraindividual variability in serial measurements of D I CO and alveolar volume over one year in eight healthy subjects using three independent measuring systems. Am Rev Respir Dis 1989;140:1818–1822.