Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

جامعة الملك سعوم King Saud University

Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences

journal homepage: www.sciencedirect.com



Original article

Antimicrobial activity of bacteria from marine sponge *Suberea mollis* and bioactive metabolites of *Vibrio* sp. EA348



Fehmida Bibi^{a,*}, Muhammad Yasir^a, Abdulmohsin Al-Sofyani^b, Muhammad Imran Naseer^c, Esam Ibraheem Azhar^a

^a Special Infectious Agents Unit, King Fahd Medical Research Center, Department of Medical Laboratory Technology, Faculty of Applied Medical Sciences, King Abdul-Aziz University, 21589 Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

^b Department of Marine Biology, Faculty of Marine Sciences, King Abdul-Aziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

^c Center of Excellence in Genomic Medicine Research (CEGMR), Department of Medical Laboratory Technology, Faculty of Applied Medical Sciences, King Abdul-Aziz University, 21589 Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 22 October 2019 Revised 27 January 2020 Accepted 1 February 2020 Available online 11 February 2020

Keywords: Marine sponge Antagonistic activity 16S rRNA gene sequence Enzymatic activity Bioactive metabolites

ABSTRACT

Discovery of potential bioactive metabolites from sponge-associated bacteria have gained attraction in recent years. The current study explores the potential of sponge (Suberea mollis) associated bacteria against bacterial and fungal pathogens. Sponge samples were collected from Red sea in Obhur region, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. Of 29 isolated bacteria belong to four different classes i.e. Firmicutes (62%), γ -Proteobacteria (21%), α -Proteobacteria (10%) and Actinobacteria (7%). Among them nineteen (65%) bacterial strains showed antagonistic activity against oomycetes and only 3 (10%) bacterial strains were active against human pathogenic bacteria tested. Most bioactive genera include Bacillus (55%), Pseudovibrio (13%) and Ruegeria (10%). Enzyme production (protease, lipase, amylase, cellualse) was identified in 12 (41%) bacterial strains where potential strains belonging to γ -Proteobacteria and Firmicutes groups. Production of antimicrobial metabolites and hydrolysates in these bacteria suggest their potential role in sponge against pathogens. Further bioactive metabolites from selected strain of Vibrio sp. EA348 were identified using LC-MS and GC-MS analyses. We identified many active metabolites including antibiotics such as Amifloxacin and fosfomycin. Plant growth hormones including Indoleacetic acid and Gibberellin A3 and volatile organic compound such as methyl jasmonate were also detected in this strain. Our results highlighted the importance of marine bacteria inhabiting sponges as potential source of antimicrobial compounds and plant growth hormones of pharmaceutical and agricultural significance. © 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access

article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Marine environment always represent as a source of bioactive compounds discovery due to immense biodiversity, diverse environmental conditions and production of secondary metabolites by marine plants, invertebrates, and their associated microbial communities (Tulp and Bohlin, 2005). Infectious diseases become a serious health risk in many developing countries including Saudi

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: fnaseer@kau.edu.sa (F. Bibi).

Peer review under responsibility of King Saud University.

ELSEVIER Production and hosting by Elsevier

Arabia (Devasahayam et al., 2010; Memish et al., 2014). Therefore, there is a need for discovery of new antibiotics to combat with emerging infectious diseases. Marine environment, particularly sponges remain a source of interest for searching natural products and bioactive compounds. Previous studies have reported that more than 15,000 natural compounds were isolated from marine invertebrates especially bioactive compounds and antibiotics from sponges (Brinkmann et al., 2017). Most of the secondary metabolites from marine environment are of microbial origin and diverse in their function exhibiting antiviral, antifungal, immunosuppressive, anti-inflammatory, antitumor, and many other function of biotechnological and (Imhoff et al., 2011; Taylor et al., 2007) pharmaceutical significance.

Marine natural products with range of biotechnological importance have been isolated from sponges, corals, tunicates, mollusks, algae, bryozoans, and associated microorganisms (Bhatnagar and Kim, 2010). Marine invertebrates harbor higher abundance of

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2020.02.002

¹³¹⁹⁻⁵⁶²X/© 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

symbiotic microorganisms than in seawater environment (Thomas et al., 2010; Hentschel et al., 2006). These symbiotic microorganisms are producers of diverse range of bioactive compounds especially important for drug discovery. Symbiotic microbial communities also play an important role in chemical defense of their host sponge against different predators. This strategy of symbiotic microbes plays a key role in survival of sponge in the marine ecosystem (Hay, 2009). In recent years, many bioactive secondary metabolites were identified from sponges and more than 300 new and novel bioactive compounds were discovered from a single phylum Porifera and subjected to preclinical and clinical trials (Blunt et al., 2016). The dominant phyla as a producer of bioactive compounds are Actinobacteria, Cyanobacteria, Proteobacteria, Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes in different species of marine sponges i.e Haliclona, Petrosia, Theonella, Dysidea, Xestospongia, Callyspongia, Halichondria, Aplysina, Xestospongia, and Sarcophyton sp. (Banakar et al., 2019)

Microbial communities producing bioactive compounds either live symbiotically or attach transiently with the host. To unravel interaction of sponge and symbiotic microbial communities, it is important to characterize and identify them from marine sponges. Many previous studies including culture dependent and independent techniques were used to identify bacterial communities associated with host sponges and until now more than 39 different phyla have been identified from marine sponges (Pita et al., 2018). Several studies have been performed to isolate and screen bacteria from marine sponges for the production of bioactive metabolites (Indraningrat et al., 2016).

Marine sponge *Suberea mollis* included in this study is already known for it's biotechnological importance and capable of producing bioactive and antioxidant compounds (Abbas et al., 2014). While in another study potent protective effect of *S. mollis* sponge extract was evident against carbon tetrachloride (CCl4) induced liver damage (Shaala et al., 2011). This marine sponge is not studied previously to isolate and identify bacterial communities using culturomics. Therefore this study is conducted to investigate bacterial communities associated with sponge and identify antagonistic bacteria producing bioactive metabolites.

2. Materials and method

2.1. Sample collection

Sponge specimens (three replicates) were collected at the depth of 30–40 m from the Red Sea in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. After collection, sponge samples were covered by seawater inside sterile plastic bags and transported to the laboratory for further identification and experiments. Sponge samples were identified as *Suberea mollis*, by Prof. Mohsin Al-Sofyani from King Abdulaziz University Jeddah.

2.2. Isolation of bacteria from sponge samples

The sponge samples were rinsed thoroughly with sterile seawater several times and finally chopped and grounded. Aliquots of 100 µl serial dilutions (10^{-3} , 10^{-4} and 10^{-5}) in sterile and filtered seawater (FS) were spread on to different media use for isolation. Different isolation media were prepared in sea water i.e. half strength R2A (½ R2A), half Tryptic soy agar (½ TSA), half nutrient agar (½ NA), and marine agar (MA) in distilled water. To inhibit the fungal growth, cyclohexamide ($50 \mu g/ml$) was added to culturing media. Plates were then incubated at 28 °C for 5–7 days. Single colonies grown on the plates were purified and further subcultured using 1/10 R2A medium. For further use purified bacterial strains were stored at -70 °C in 15% (v/v) glycerol.

2.3. Bacterial DNA extraction and 16S rDNA gene analysis

The bacterial strains were subjected to genomic DNA extraction and subsequent 16S rDNA gene analysis for identification. Using commercial genomic DNA extraction kit (Qiagen) genomic DNA was extracted. Bacterial universal primers were used for amplifications of 16S rRNA gene as described previously (Bibi et al., 2018). Using PCR purification kit (Qiagen) the PCR products were purified and further sequenced. The 16S rRNA gene sequence of all isolates were compared with sequences of related closest type strains obtained from National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) and using the EzBioCloud Database (https://www.ezbiocloud.net). The closest type species match and the percent sequence similarity were recorded. Using CLUSTAL_X version 1.83 (Thompson et al., 1997) alignments of 16S rRNA gene sequences were performed. For editing of gaps between sequences, BioEdit software version 4.7.3 (http://www.mbio.ncsu.edu/bioedit/ bioedit.html) was used. By using the neighbour-joining method in a MEGA7 Program (Kumar et al., 2016), phylogenetic tree was generated based on 16S rRNA gene sequences.

2.4. Analysis of antagonistic activity against oomycetes

Bacterial isolates were checked for their antagonistic activity against oomycete pathogens in vitro confrontation bioassay confrontation bioassay (Bibi et al., 2018). *Phytophthora capsici* and *Pythium ultimum* were maintained using V8 juice agar (1.0 g CaCO3, 100 ml of carrot juice, in 17.0 g agar in distilled water) and potato dextrose agar (PDA; Difco Laboratories), respectively. Screening of bacteria was performed using modified PDA and V8 media [½PDA + V8 juice agar supplemented with ½ R2A] using paper disc method as described previously (Bibi et al., 2018). All bacterial isolates were tested in replicates against test pathogens. The inhibitory activity was measured by checking the inhibition zone of fungus around bacterial streak.

2.5. Screening of bacteria for antibacterial and enzymatic activities

To check antibacterial activity, antagonistic bacteria were screened against different pathogenic bacterial test strains. Test strains of pathogenic bacteria (*Enterococcus faecalis* ATCC 29212, *Enterococcus faecium* ATCC 27270, *Escherichia coli* ATCC 8739, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853) were pregrown in LB broth for 24hrs at 37 °C. Antagonistic bacteria were grown on ½ R2A media 24hrs at 28 °C and then overlaid with 0.1% soft agar mixed with test strains at final concentration of A600 = 0.1. Further these plates were placed at 28 °C and were checked after 48hrs and the zone of inhibition was recorded. Antagonistic bacteria were further screened for their extracellular enzymatic production. Using skim milk ½ R2A agar media, proteolytic activity was checked. Starch media was used for checking for production of amylase. Positive strains show hydrolysis of starch as a clear zone on agar plates.

2.6. Optimization of bacterial culture conditions and identification of metabolites using LC-MS and GC-MS analyses

From 19 different antagonistic bacteria, based on low similarity and high antagonistic activity one strain *Vibrio* sp. EA348 was selected for identification of active metabolites. For optimization of culture conditions for *Vibrio* sp. EA348 four different i.e. ½NB, ½ TSB, ½ R2A and Marine broth were used. *Vibrio* sp. EA348 was cultured for 72 h and optical density (OD) was checked after 24hrs. Antifungal activity of culture was also assessed against *P. capsici, Py. ultimum* after every 24 hrs. In ½ R2A *Vibrio* sp. EA348 showed maximum inhibitory activity. Using ½ R2A culturing medium, different ranges of temperatures (20–40 °C) were used to check optimum temperature. Similarly different ranges of pH (5–12) were used. *Vibrio* sp. EA348 was grown in culture under optimized conditions for 36 hrs. Bacterial culture of 5 ml was placed at -70 °C for 5mins and then transfer to water bath at 37 °C for 5 mins. Repeat this process 5 times and then centrifuge it for 15 mins at 13000g. After centrifugation, 3 ml of supernatant was mixed with 12 ml of acetonitrile and vortexed for 30sec. Centrifuged again (13000g for 15 mins) and 300 µl supernatant was used for LC-MS analysis. Samples were analyzed on Agilent 6540B TOF/Q-TOF Mass Spectrometer integrated with Agilent



Fig. 1. Sample of marine sponge, Suberea mollis collected from Red sea.

Eavonomic identification, antifungal and antibacterial activity of bacteria from sponge. Subgreg mollis

Table 1

1290 UPLC and Dual AJS ESI source under conditions described previously (Bibi et al., 2018a). Raw data obtained was transfer to Agilent Mass Hunter Qualitative Analysis B.06.00 software for analysis. Metabolites of Vibrio sp. EA348 were identified by inhouse database. For GC-MS analysis, 1 ml of bacterial culture was lysed and centrifuge for 10 min at 13000g. Approx. 300ul of supernatant was transferred to new Eppendorf tube and acetonitrile (600 μ l) was added and vortex for 50sec. Further samples were centrifuge for 15 min at 12000g and 300 µl supernatant was transfer to a new glass vials and by using nitrogen dry machine solvent was removed. Then methoxyamine HCl in Pyridine (80 µl) was added to the glass vials, vortexed (1 min) and incubated for 30 min (at 70 °C). Further N, O-Bis (trimethylsilyl) trifluoroacetamide (80 μ l) containing 1% (v/v) trimethylchlorosilane (TMCS) was added and mixed briefly by vortexing (2-3 min) and finally derivatized for 30 min at 80 °C. Mixtures were centrifuged at 12000g (15 min) and 100 ul supernatant was further used for GC-MS analysis. Samples were analyzed using Shimadzu GCMS-QP2010 Ultra under conditions described previously (Bibi et al., 2018b).

2.7. Statistical analysis

We used PubChem (<u>http://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/</u>), ChemSpider (<u>http://www.chemspider.com/</u>), SciFinder (<u>http:// www.cas.org/products/scifinder</u>), ChEMBL (<u>https://www.ebi.ac.</u> <u>uk/chembl/</u>) and National Institute of Standards and Technology

Lab no	Accession Number	Similarity with closest type strain ^a	Identity ^b	Antifungal activity ^c			Antibacterial acitivity ^d		
	Humber		(55)	P. capsici	P. ultimum	P. aeruginosa	S. aureus	E. coli	E. faecalis
EA345	KY655446	Ruegeria arenilitoris G-M8(T)	99.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
EA346	KY655447	Bacillus persicus B48(T)	98.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
EA347	KY655448	Pseudovibrio denitrificans DN34(T)	99.5	3	3	-	-	-	-
EA348	KY655449	Vibrio sagamiensis LC2-047(T)	98.1	11	11	-	-	-	-
EA349	KY655450	Pseudovibrio denitrificans DN34(T)	98.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
EA350	KY655451	Bacillus nealsonii DSM15077(T)	99.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
EA351	KY655452	Brachybacterium muris C3H-21(T)	99.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
EA352	KY655453	Vibrio parahaemolyticus NBRC12711(T)	99	6	3	-	-	-	3
EA353	KY655454	Staphylococcus saprophyticus subsp Bovis GTC 843 (T)	100	6	10	-	-	-	-
EA354	KY655455	Bacillus paralicheniformis KJ-16(T)	99	6	6	-	-	-	-
EA355	KY655456	Pseudovibrio denitrificans DN34(T)	98.8	-	-	-	3	3	4
EA356	KY655457	Ruegeria conchae TW15(T)	97.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
EA357	KY655458	Pseudovibrio denitrificans DN34(T)	99.2	6	6				3
EA358	KY655459	Bacillus licheniformis ATCC14580(T)	97.4	4	10	-	-	-	-
EA359	KY655460	Bacillus subtilis subsp. Inaquosorum KCTC13429(T)	99.5	5	11	-	-	-	-
EA360	KY655461	Bacillus siamensis KCTC13613(T)	99.5	5	5	-	-	-	-
EA361	KY655462	Bacillus paralicheniformis KJ-16(T)	99.3	5	5	-	-	-	-
EA362	KY655463	Bacillus campisalis SA2-6(T)	99.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
EA363	KY655464	Bacillus subterraneus DSM13966(T)	99.5	3	3	-	-	-	-
EA364	KY655465	Bacillus nealsonii DSM15077(T)	99.3	3	3	-	-	-	-
EA365	KY655466	Bacillus safensis FO-36b(T)	99.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
EA366	KY655467	Bacillus timonensis 10403023(T)	98.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
EA367	KY655468	Bacillus nealsonii DSM 15077 (T)	99	4	3	-	-	-	-
EA368	KY655469	Bacillus badius MTCC1458(T)	99.2	3	3	-	-	-	-
EA369	KY655470	Bacillus endolithicus JC267(T)	98	3	3	-	-	-	-
EA370	KY655471	Lysinibacillus xylanilyticus DSM 23,493 (T)	99.3	3	3	-	-	-	-
EA371	KY655472	Bacillus dabaoshanensis GSS04 (T)	97.5	5	10	-	-	-	-
EA372	KY655473	Ruegeria conchae TW15(T)	99.4	3	3	-	-	-	-
EA373	KY655474	Micrococcus aloeverae AE-6 (T)	99.9	3	3	-	-	-	-

^a Identification of bacterial strain based on partial 16S rRNA gene sequence analyses.

^b % similarity of each bacterial strain with closely related type strain.

^c Antagonistic activity of sponge-associated bacteria. The activity was measured after 4–5 days incubation at 28 °C by measuring the clear zone of fungal growth inhibition: , Negative; +, 3 mm; ++, between 4 and 6 mm; +++, between 7 and 9 mm; ++++, between 10 and 12.

^d Antibacterial activity against human pathogenic bacteria: -, Negative; +, 3 mm. ++, between 4 and 6 mm.

Table 2

Bacterial enzymatic activities on different enzymatic media used for culturing.

ProteaseLipaseAnylaseCellualeEA345Ruegeria centilitoris G-M8(T)5 <th>Lab no</th> <th>Similarity with closest type strain^a</th> <th colspan="5">Enzymatic activity (mm)</th>	Lab no	Similarity with closest type strain ^a	Enzymatic activity (mm)				
EA345Ruegeria arenilitoris G-M8(T)5EA346Bacillus persicus B48(T)<			Protease	Lipase	Amylase	Cellualse	
EA346Bacillus persicus B48(T)EA347Pseudovibrio denitrificans DN34(T)5EA348Wibrio sagamiensis LC2-047(T) <td>EA345</td> <td>Ruegeria arenilitoris G-M8(T)</td> <td>5</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>	EA345	Ruegeria arenilitoris G-M8(T)	5	-	-	-	
EA347 Pseudovibrio denitrificans DN34(T) 5 EA348 Vibrio sagamiensis LC2-047(T) - - - EA349 Pseudovibrio denitrificans DN34(T) - - - EA350 Bocillus nealsonii DSM15077(T) 5 - - - EA351 Brachybacterium muris C3H-21(T) - - - - EA353 Staphylococcus saprophyticus subsp Bovis CTC 843(T) - - - - EA355 Pseudovibrio denitrificans DN34(T) - - - - EA355 Macy and icheniformis K]-16(T) - - - - - EA355 Pseudovibrio denitrificans DN34(T) - - - - - EA356 Ruegeria conchae TW15(T) 4 - <td>EA346</td> <td>Bacillus persicus B48(T)</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>	EA346	Bacillus persicus B48(T)	-	-	-	-	
EA348 Vibrio sagamiensis LC2-047(T) - - - EA349 Pseudovibrio denitrificans DN34(T) - - - EA350 Bacillus nealsonii DSM15077(T) 5 - - - EA351 Brachybacterium muris C3H-21(T) - - - - EA352 Vibrio parahaemolyticus NBRC12711(T) - - - - EA354 Bacillus paralicheniformis KJ-16(T) - - - - EA355 Pseudovibrio denitrificans DN34(T) - - - - EA355 Ruegria conchae TW15(T) - - - - - EA355 Ruegria conchae TW15(T) - <	EA347	Pseudovibrio denitrificans DN34(T)	5				
EA349 Pseudovibrio denitrificans DN34(T) - - - EA350 Bacillus nealsonii DSM15077(T) 5 - - EA351 Brachybacterium muris C3H-21(T) - - - EA352 Vibrio parahaemolyticus NBRC12711(T) - - - - EA353 Staphylococus saprophyticus subg Bovis GTC 843(T) - - - - EA354 Bacillus paralicheniformis N1-16(T) - - - - - EA355 Pseudovibrio denitrificans DN34(T) - <td< td=""><td>EA348</td><td>Vibrio sagamiensis LC2-047(T)</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></td<>	EA348	Vibrio sagamiensis LC2-047(T)	-	-	-	-	
EA350Bacillus nealsonii DSM15077(T)5EA351Brachybacterium muris C3H-21(T)EA352Wibrio parahaemolyticus NBRC1271(T)EA353Staphylococcus saprophyticus subs Bovis GTC 843(T) <td>EA349</td> <td>Pseudovibrio denitrificans DN34(T)</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>	EA349	Pseudovibrio denitrificans DN34(T)	-	-	-	-	
EA351 Brachybacterium nuris C3H-21(T) - - - EA352 Vibrio parahaemolyticus NBRC12711(T) - - - EA353 Staphylococcus sayrophyticus subs Bovis GTC 843(T) - - - EA354 Bacillus paralicheniformis KJ-16(T) - - - EA355 Pseudovibrio denitrificans DN34(T) - - - EA356 Ruegeria concher TW15(T) 4 - - EA357 Pseudovibrio denitrificans DN34(T) - - - - EA358 Bacillus licheniformis ATCC14580(T) - - - - - EA359 Bacillus subtrins ATCC14580(T) 6 - - - - EA351 Bacillus subtrins ATCC14580(T) 7 -	EA350	Bacillus nealsonii DSM15077(T)	5	-	-	-	
EA352Vibrio parahaemolyticus NBRC12711(T)EA353Staphylococcus saprophyticus subsp Bovis GTC 843(T)EA354Bacillus paralichenijornis KJ-16(T)EA355Pseudovibrio denitrificans DN34(T) <td>EA351</td> <td>Brachybacterium muris C3H-21(T)</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>	EA351	Brachybacterium muris C3H-21(T)	-	-	-	-	
EA353 Staphylococcus saprophyticus subsp Bovis GTC 843(T) - - - - EA354 Bacillus paralicheniformis KJ-16(T) - - - - EA355 Pseudovibrio denitrificans DN34(T) - - - - EA356 Ruegeria conchae TW15(T) 4 - - - - EA358 Bacillus licheniformis ATCC14580(T) - - - - - EA359 Bacillus subtilis subsp. Inaquosorum KCTC13429(T) 6 - - - - EA361 Bacillus samensis KCTC13613(T) 7 -	EA352	Vibrio parahaemolyticus NBRC12711(T)	-	-	-	-	
EA354 Bacillus paralicheniformis KJ-16(T) - - - - EA355 Pseudovibrio denitrificans DN34(T) - - - EA356 Ruegeria conchae TW15(T) 4 - - EA357 Pseudovibrio denitrificans DN34(T) - - - EA358 Bacillus icheniformis ATCC14580(T) - - - - EA359 Bacillus subrib subsp. Inaquosorum KCTC13429(T) 6 - - - - EA360 Bacillus paralicheniformis KJ-16(T) 7 - - - - EA361 Bacillus paralicheniformis KJ-16(T) 7 - - - - EA362 Bacillus paralicheniformis KJ-16(T) 7 - - - - EA363 Bacillus paralicheniformis KJ-16(T) 7 - <td>EA353</td> <td>Staphylococcus saprophyticus subsp Bovis GTC 843(T)</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>	EA353	Staphylococcus saprophyticus subsp Bovis GTC 843(T)	-	-	-	-	
EA355 Pseudovibrio denitrificans DN34(T) - - - - EA356 Ruegeria conchar TW15(T) 4 - - EA357 Pseudovibrio denitrificans DN34(T) - - - - EA358 Bacillus licheniformis ATCC14580(T) - - - - - EA350 Bacillus subtilis subsp. Inaquosorum KCTC13429(T) 6 - <	EA354	Bacillus paralicheniformis KJ-16(T)	-	-	-	-	
EA356 Ruegeria conchae TW15(T) 4 EA357 Pseudovibrio denitrificans DN34(T) - - - EA358 Bacillus licheniformis ATCC14580(T) - - - EA359 Bacillus siubtilis subs. Inaquosorum KCTC13429(T) 6 - - EA360 Bacillus siamensis KCTC13613(T) 7 - - - EA361 Bacillus paralicheniformis KJ-16(T) - - - - EA362 Bacillus campisalis SA2-6(T) - - - - EA363 Bacillus subtromes SDN13966(T) 5 - - - EA364 Bacillus nealsonii DSN1507(T) 5 - - - EA365 Bacillus tinonensis 10403023(T) - - - - EA366 Bacillus tinonensis 10507 (T) - - - - - EA366 Bacillus tinonensis 10403023(T) - - - - - EA368 Bacillus tinonensis 10507 (T) - - - - - EA369 Bacillus tinotensi	EA355	Pseudovibrio denitrificans DN34(T)	-	-	-	-	
EA357Pseudovibrio denitrificans DN34(T)EA358Bacillus licheniformis ATCC14580(T)EA359Bacillus subtilis subsp. Inaquosorum KCTC13429(T)65 <td>EA356</td> <td>Ruegeria conchae TW15(T)</td> <td>4</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	EA356	Ruegeria conchae TW15(T)	4				
EA358 Bacillus licheniformis ATCC14580(T) - - - - EA359 Bacillus subtilis subsp. Inaquosorum KCTC13429(T) 6 - - 5 EA360 Bacillus sidmensis KCTC13613(T) 7 - - - - EA361 Bacillus paralicheniformis KJ-16(T) -	EA357	Pseudovibrio denitrificans DN34(T)	-	-	-	-	
EA359 Bacillus subtilis subsp. Inaquosorum KCTC13429(T) 6 - - 5 EA360 Bacillus siamensis KCTC13613(T) 7 - - - EA361 Bacillus paralicheniformis KJ-16(T) - - - - EA362 Bacillus paralicheniformis KJ-16(T) - - - - - EA362 Bacillus paralicheniformis KJ-16(T) - <td>EA358</td> <td>Bacillus licheniformis ATCC14580(T)</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>	EA358	Bacillus licheniformis ATCC14580(T)	-	-	-	-	
EA360 Bacillus siamensis KCTC13613(T) 7 - - - EA361 Bacillus paralicheniformis KJ-16(T) - - - - EA362 Bacillus campisalis SA2-6(T) - - - - EA363 Bacillus campisalis SA2-6(T) - - - - EA363 Bacillus subterraneus DSM13966(T) 5 - - - EA364 Bacillus nealsonii DSM15077(T) 5 - - - EA365 Bacillus safensis FO-36b(T) - - - - - EA366 Bacillus innonensis 10403023(T) -	EA359	Bacillus subtilis subsp. Inaquosorum KCTC13429(T)	6	-	-	5	
EA361 Bacillus paralicheniformis KJ-16(T) - - - - EA362 Bacillus campisalis SA2-6(T) - - - - EA363 Bacillus subterraneus DSM13966(T) 5 - - - EA364 Bacillus nealsonii DSM15077(T) 5 5 - - EA365 Bacillus safensis FO-36b(T) - - - - EA366 Bacillus imonensis 10403023(T) - - - - EA367 Bacillus nealsonii DSM 15,077 (T) - - - - EA368 Bacillus badius MTCC1458(T) - - - - EA369 Bacillus valanityticus DSM 23493 (T) - - - - EA370 Lysinibacillus xylanityticus DSM 23493 (T) - - - - EA371 Bacillus dabaoshanensis GSSO4 (T) - - - - - EA372 Ruegria conchae TW15(T) - - - - - - EA373 Microcccus aloeverae AE-6 (T) 5 - <td< td=""><td>EA360</td><td>Bacillus siamensis KCTC13613(T)</td><td>7</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></td<>	EA360	Bacillus siamensis KCTC13613(T)	7	-	-	-	
EA362 Bacillus campisalis SA2-6(T) - - - - EA363 Bacillus subterraneus DSM13966(T) 5 - - - EA364 Bacillus nealsonii DSM1507(T) 5 5 - - EA365 Bacillus safensis FO-36b(T) - - - - EA366 Bacillus innonensis 10403023(T) - - - - EA367 Bacillus nealsonii DSM 15,077 (T) - - - - EA368 Bacillus nealsonii DSM 2267(T) - - - - EA369 Bacillus aylaniyticus DSM 23493 (T) - - - - EA370 Lysinibacillus xylaniyticus DSM 23493 (T) - - - - EA371 Bacillus dabashanensis GSS04 (T) - - - - - EA372 Ruegria conchae TW15(T) - - - - - - EA373 Microcccus aloeverae AE-6 (T) 5 - - - - -	EA361	Bacillus paralicheniformis KJ-16(T)	-	-	-	-	
EA363 Bacillus subterraneus DSM13966(T) 5 - - EA364 Bacillus nealsonii DSM15077(T) 5 - - EA365 Bacillus safensis FO-36b(T) - - - - EA366 Bacillus safensis FO-36b(T) - - - - EA366 Bacillus timonensis 10403023(T) - - - - EA367 Bacillus nealsonii DSM 15,077 (T) - - - - - EA368 Bacillus badius MTCC1458(T) -	EA362	Bacillus campisalis SA2-6(T)	-	-	-	-	
EA364 Bacillus nealsonii DSM15077(T) 5 - EA365 Bacillus safensis FO-36b(T) - - - EA366 Bacillus innonensis 10403023(T) - - - - EA367 Bacillus nealsonii DSM 15,077 (T) - - - - EA368 Bacillus nealsonii DSM 15,077 (T) - - - - EA368 Bacillus nealsonii DSM 15,077 (T) - - - - EA368 Bacillus nealsonii DSM 15,077 (T) - - - - EA368 Bacillus nealsonii DSM 15,077 (T) - - - - - EA369 Bacillus nealsonii DSM 2507(T) -	EA363	Bacillus subterraneus DSM13966(T)	5	-	-	-	
EA365 Bacillus safensis FO-36b(T) - - - - - EA366 Bacillus timonensis 10403023(T) - - - - - EA367 Bacillus nealsonii DSM 15,077 (T) - - - - - EA368 Bacillus nealsonii DSM 15,077 (T) - - - - - EA368 Bacillus nealsonii DSM 15,077 (T) - - - - - EA368 Bacillus nealsonii DSM 15,077 (T) - - - - - EA369 Bacillus nealsonii JCC1458(T) - - - - - - EA370 Lysinibacillus xylanilyticus DSM 23493 (T) - <td< td=""><td>EA364</td><td>Bacillus nealsonii DSM15077(T)</td><td>5</td><td></td><td>5</td><td>-</td></td<>	EA364	Bacillus nealsonii DSM15077(T)	5		5	-	
EA366 Bacillus timonensis 10403023(T) - - - - - - EA367 Bacillus nealsonii DSM 15,077 (T) - <td>EA365</td> <td>Bacillus safensis FO-36b(T)</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>	EA365	Bacillus safensis FO-36b(T)	-	-	-	-	
EA367 Bacillus nealsonii DSM 15,077 (T) - - - - - EA368 Bacillus badius MTCC1458(T) - - - - - EA369 Bacillus endolithicus JC267(T) - - - - - EA370 Lysinibacillus xylanilyticus DSM 23493 (T) - - - - EA371 Bacillus dabaoshanensis GSS04 (T) - - - - EA372 Ruegeria conchae TW15(T) - - - 4 EA373 Microcccus aloeverae AE-6 (T) 5 - - -	EA366	Bacillus timonensis 10403023(T)	-	-	-	-	
EA368 Bacillus badius MTCC1458(T) -	EA367	Bacillus nealsonii DSM 15,077 (T)	-	-	-	-	
EA369 Bacillus endolithicus JC267(T) -	EA368	Bacillus badius MTCC1458(T)	-	-	-	-	
EA370Lysinibacillus xylanilyticus DSM 23493 (T)EA371Bacillus dabaoshanensis GSS04 (T)EA372Ruegeria conchae TW15(T)4EA373Microcccus aloeverae AE-6 (T)5	EA369	Bacillus endolithicus JC267(T)	-	-	-	-	
EA371Bacillus dabaoshanensis GSS04 (T)EA372Ruegeria conchae TW15(T)4EA373Micrococcus aloeverae AE-6 (T)5	EA370	Lysinibacillus xylanilyticus DSM 23493 (T)	-	-	-	-	
EA372 Ruegeria conchae TW15(T) - - 4 EA373 Micrococcus aloeverae AE-6 (T) 5 - - 4	EA371	Bacillus dabaoshanensis GSS04 (T)	-	-	-	-	
EA373 <i>Micrococcus aloeverae</i> AE-6 (T) 5 – – – –	EA372	Ruegeria conchae TW15(T)	-	-	-	4	
	EA373	Micrococcus aloeverae AE-6 (T)	5	-	-	-	

Enzymatic activity was assessed as zone of halo formed around bacterial colonies: -, Negative; +, 3 mm; ++, between 4 and 5 mm; +++, between 6 and 7 mm.



Fig. 2. Percentage composition of different genera of isolated bacteria from *S. mollis* on the basis of 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity.

(NIST) databases to identify bacterial metabolites. The CSV file obtained after alignment with statistic compare component.

2.8. Nucleotide sequence number

Nucleotide sequences obtained from sponge-associated bacteria were deposited to GenBank database under accession numbers KY655446–KY655474.

3. Results

3.1. Isolation of bacteria from sponge sample

Sponge sample, *Suberea mollis* was collected and studied for isolation and identification of associated bacterial symbionts (Fig. 1). To culture bacteria from sponge sample different types of culturing media (½ R2A, ½ TSA, ½ NA, and MA) were used. Bacteria were recovered on different media vary in their number and morphology. High percentage of bacteria was recovered on ½ R2A and MA while ½ TSA and ½ NA showed less number and diversity of bacteria when checked morphology. It indicates that ½ R2A and MA favor growth of bacteria from sponge. High concentration of nutrients in other two media may not support marine bacteria to grow. Therefore, it is important to use different composition and concentration of media to get maximum number of bacteria from any source use for isolation of bacteria. A total of 29 different bacteria were isolated from *S. mollis* using different culturing media mentioned above (Table 1).

3.2. Antimicrobial activity of sponge-associated bacteria

Twenty-nine bacteria isolates from marine sponge S. mollis were screened against oomycetes i.e. Py. ultimum and P. capsici and pathogenic bacteria i.e. S. aureus, P. aeruginosa, E. faecalis and E. coli. From sponge S. mollis, nineteen (65%) bacterial strains were active against oomycetes from total twenty-nine. Five different classes of bacteria i.e. Firmicutes (n = 18; 62%), γ -Proteobacteria (n = 6; 21%), α -Proteobacteria (n = 3; 10%) and Actinobacteria (n = 2; 7%) were identified from *S. mollis* (Table 1). Bacterial strains were checked for their inhibitory activity against human pathogenic bacteria by using agar spot test. Only 3 (10%) bacteria from S. mollis were able to inhibit pathogenic bacteria in an in vitro assay. From these active isolates, strain EA355 belong to Pseudovibrio sp. showed only antibacterial activity and was fail to inhibit fungal pathogens (Table 2). Strain EA352 exhibited antifungal as well as antibacterial activity. Dominant genus in this study from three marine sponges was Bacillus as 19 different species of this genus were detected from these sponges.

3.3. Enzymatic activities of antagonistic bacteria

Production of cell wall lytic enzymes such as lipase, amylase, protease and cellulose activities of antagonistic bacteria were checked. These hydrolytic activities are summarized in Table 2. Isolates from *S. mollis* were potential for production of protease (n = 9, 31%) while cellulase (n = 2, 7%) and amylase (n = 1, 3%) activity was detected at low rate and no isolate was positive for production of lipase. The number of bacteria presenting protease production was high as compared to other enzymatic activities.

3.4. Phylogenetic analysis

Bacterial identification was accomplished by amplification of 16S rRNA gene sequence. From sponge *S. mollis*, eight different genera were encountered i.e *Reugeria*, *Bacillus*, *Pseudovibrio*, *Vibrio*, *Brachybacterium*, *Staphylococcus*, *Lysinibacillus*, and *Micrococcus* belong to four different classes where *Firmicutes* (65%) was dominant class (Fig. 2). Sequence similarities of isolated bacteria were ranges from 97.4% to 100% from marine sponge *S. mollis* (Table 1). Some new and novel bacterial strains were also recovered in this study. To check phylogenetic relatedness, neighbor Joining (NJ) phylogenetic tree for antagonistic bacterial isolates was constructed using 16S rRNA gene sequence data (Fig. 3). Bootstrap values were high in phylogenetic tree.

3.5. GC-MS and LC-MS analyses for identification of metabolites

Vibrio sp. EA348 was selected from 19 different antagonistic bacteria and analyzed for presence of active metabolites using GC and LC-MS analyses. This strain was selected due to its low sequence similarity of 16S rRNA gene, taxonomic affiliation and high antifungal activity. LC and GC-MS analyses of Vibrio sp. EA348 showed presence of different chemical compounds in culture (Fig. 4a-b and Fig. 5). Results of LC-MS analysis from culture extract of Vibrio sp. EA348 identified different bioactive metabolites where main peaks of 6 secondary bioactive metabolites were present in both the positive- and negative-ion mode (Fig. 4a and b). These compounds include Amifloxacin, Carbenicillin, Rescinnamine, Chlorcyclizine, Tioconazole and Azaspiracids. While using GC-MS, mostly plant growth hormones i.e. Indoleacetic acid, Gibberellin A3 (GA3), methyl jasmonate and antibiotic fosfomycin was identified from culture extract. These compounds were identified by comparing their mass spectra with NIST library (Fig. 5). Bioactive metabolites and details of matching criteria/metrics are summarizing in Table 3.



Fig. 3. Phylogenetic distribution of bacteria isolated from *S. mollis* on the basis of 16S rRNA gene sequences from sponge-associated bacteria. The phylogenetic relationships were inferred using the neighbor-joining method with the Jukes-Cantor algorithm. Bootstrap values (1,000 replicates) are shown next to the branches.



Fig. 4. Bioactive secondary metabolites in culture extract of strain Vibrio sp. EA348 detected by LC/MS analysis. (a) Positive mode LC/MS analysis and (b) negative mode LC/MS analysis.

4. Discussion

Marine environment remain always an area of research for scientists all around the world. Extreme conditions of temperature and salinity in marine ecosystem provide unique and untapped microbiome. These unique environmental conditions become a source for exploration of new and novel microbes and their secondary compounds. Until now more than 20,000 different bioactive compounds have been discovered from marine fauna and flora (Choudhary et al., 2017). Bacterial communities associated with sponges are believed to be an important partner for host survival. Endosymbiotic microorganisms perform different functions in sponges and comprises of 40% of their total biomass.

Sponge *S. mollis* showed presence of potential strains of bacteria when screened against different pathogenic bacteria and fungi. The

high number of antagonistic bacteria belong to group *Firmicutes*. Bioactivity of these antagonistic isolates was high against fungi as compare to human pathogenic bacteria. Regarding distribution of antagonistic bacteria associated with sponges, *Actinobacteria* was the most bioactive group following *Proteobacteria*, *Firmicutes*, *Cyanobacteria*, *Verrucomicrobia*. Most of the sponge based antibiotics were derived from bacteria belong to *Actinobacteria* (Thomas et al., 2010; Fenical and Jensen, 2006). Different bioactive genera obtained in this study are already known for their antimicrobial properties. Antimicrobial activity of the genus *Bacillus* is well evident from terrestrial sources. Similar kind of bioactivities were reported from marine *Bacillus* spp. associated with sponges (Gebhardt et al., 2002; Anand et al., 2006; Kanagasabhapathy et al., 2008; Romanenko et al., 2008). Analysis of genome sequence in genus *Bacillus* revealed that this genus has potential to produce



4. Gibberellin A3

Fig. 5. Spectra of GC/MS analysis presenting detection secondary metabolites in strain Vibrio sp. EA348.

Table 3										
Secondary	bioactive	metabolites	from	crude	extract	of	Vibrio sp	. 1	EA348.	

No	Compounds ^a	Formula	RT (min) ^b	m/z
LC-MS (Positive mode)				
1	Dichlorphenamide	$C_6H_6Cl_2N_2O_4S_2$	0.7099	304.8993
2	Azaspiracid	C ₄₇ H ₇₁ NO ₁₂	9.9789	864.4476
3	Solacaproine	C ₁₈ H ₃₉ N ₃ O	13.5358	314.3455
4	Chlorcyclizine	$C_{18}H_{21}C_{I}N_{2}$	13.6452	301.146
LC-MS (Negative mode)				
5	Amifloxacin	$C_{16}H_{19}FN_4O_3$	0.6905	514.8378
6	Chlorohydrin	C ₁₃ H ₉ ClO	0.7464	215.0291
7	Carbenicillin	C ₁₇ H ₁₈ N ₂ O ₆ S	0.7932	377.0873
8	Rescinnamine	$C_{35}H_{42}N_2O_9$	8.3892	633.299
9	Soyasaponin II	C ₄₇ H ₇₆ O ₁₇	11.9654	911.5035
10	Ibervirin	$C_5H_9NS_2$	18.3267	146.0116
11	Apo-12'-violaxanthal	$C_{25}H_{34}O_3$	18.3338	381.2395
12	Tioconazole	C ₁₆ H ₁₃ C ₁₃ N ₂ OS	18.4002	384.9374
13	Bacitracin	$C_{66}H_{103}N_{17}O_{16}S$	18.4147	1420.71
GC–MS				
14	Methyl jasmonate	$C_{13}H_{20}O_3$	334.1442	94.08767
15	Indolelactic acid	C ₁₁ H ₁₁ NO ₃	1647.6537	130.14
16	Fosfomycin	C ₃ H ₇ O ₄ P	1645.381699	211.1417691
17	Gibberellin A3	$C_{19}H_{22}O_6$	1648.43767	167.1247946

Bioactive compounds were identified through various databases and the in-house accurate mass database.

^b RT = retention time.

antibiotics-like compounds (Devi et al., 2010). Many Gram negative strains of genus Paenibacillus exhibited antimicrobial activity (Fickers, 2012 Kim et al., 2004). Strains of Staphylococcus isolated from marine sponge produce antimicrobial compounds (Tupinambá et al., 2008). Following Firmicutes, isolates of two phyla γ -Proteobacteria and α -Proteobacteria were more prevalent in this study. Bacterial strains belong to genus Ruegeria in α -Proteobacteria and two genera i.e. Pseudovibrio and Vibrio belonging to γ-Proteobacteria were identified. Sponge-associated antagonistic bacterial strains of γ - and α -*Proteobacteria* were identified in many

previous studies. Many strains of Pseudovibrio showing spectra for different active compounds were recovered from marine sponges (Sertan-de Guzman et al., 2007). Marine vibrio species have been reported as source of many novel and new compounds. More than 93 different active metabolites were identified from Vibrionaceae. These are known to produce wide range of antibacterial compounds which ecologically favoring their population in sponges (Chen et al., 2012; Phelan et al., 2012). Two-thirds of antibiotics from natural sources were isolated from Actinobacteria. Novel and new chemical compounds have been recovered from marine taxa of *Actinobacteria* and *Salinispora*. Strains of *Salinispora* were cultured from marine sponge Pseudoceratina clavata (Kim et al., 2005). Only one antagonitsic strain of *Micrococcus* sp. EA373 is identified from sponge *S. mollis* in this study. Antimicrobial activity of the strains of *Micrococcus* associated with sponges has been observed (Abdelmohsen et al., 2010).

Two media, 1/2 R2A and MA were most efficient media for isolation of bacteria from sponge S. mollis. Fermentation conditions are important for production of bacterial secondary metabolites. These culturing conditions effects production of bioactive compounds and can be different in different strains of bacteria (Chen et al., 2000). Bacterial strain Vibrio sp. EA348 selected for identification of metabolites also showed maximum antimicrobial activity in 1/2 R2A liquid medium. We used both GC and LC-MS techniques to identify bioactive compounds. Several bioactive compounds including antibiotics and plant growth hormones were observed. These bioactive compounds including Amifloxacin, Carbenicillin, Rescinnamine, Chlorcyclizine, Tioconazole and Azaspiracids are already known for their broad spectrum antimicrobial properties. These compounds are synthetic or semi-synthetic in nature and identified first time from marine sponge-associated bacteria. Sponges associated microflora produced potent metabolites mainly glycosides, phenols, porphyrins, phenazines, alkaloids, terpenoids, polyketides and sterols. Many of these bioactive compounds are in clinical or preclinical trials (Mehbub et al., 2014). Brominated bioactive metabolites are reported from sponge S. mollis exhibiting activity against pathogenic bacteria S. aureus, P. aerugenosa, and K. pneumoniae (Abou-Shoer et al., 2008).

Strain Vibrio sp. EA348 showed close 16S rDNA gene similarity to Vibrio sagamiensis LC2-047 ^T that was isolated previously from sea water in Japan (Yoshizawa et al., 2010). There is no evidence and report for presence of bioactive compounds from this related type strain. While our study showed presence of broad spectrum bioactive compounds and phytohormones in strain EA348. Symbiotic or endophytic bacteria from terrestrial environment are already known for production of phytohormones. These phytohormones such as Indoleacetic acid and Gibberellin A3 play an important role in plant growth and defense mechanism (Sgrov et al., 2009). Volatile organic compound, methyl jasmonate help in root growth, seed germination and plant defense against different pathogens. These plant growth regulators and defense compounds synthesized by plant associated bacteria in response to abiotic and biotic stresses (Egamberdieva et al., 2017). The findings suggest that strains isolated from S. mollis are capable of producing antimicrobial compounds effective against pathogenic fungi and human pathogenic bacteria. Strain EA348 showed presence of bioactive secondary metabolites having implications in different health sector.

5. Conclusions

Our results confirm that potential bioactive secondary metabolites are produced by *Vibrio* sp. EA348 that is the most promising strain to work in future. Bacterial communities of sponges play a significant ecological role mainly the production of secondary antimicrobial metabolites for protection of host against different pathogens. Bioactive metabolites detected in *Vibrio* sp. EA348 have great biotechnological and medicinal importance due to antibacterial, antifungal and plant growth hormones production. There is no evidence or any study reported for presence of such bioactive compounds in strains of *Vibrio*. These sponge-associated bacterial communities have medical and biotechnological importance to search new and effective drugs for treatment of different diseases. Our results demonstrated the potential of bacterial communities associated with sponge *Suberea mollis* and open up the way for future studies.

Acknowledgments

This project was funded by the Deanship of scientific Research (DSR), King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, under grant No. (DF-661-141-1441). The author therefore, gratefully acknowledge DSR technical and financial support.

References

- Abbas, A.T., El-Shitany, N.A., Shaala, L.A., Ali, S.S., Azhar, E.I., Abdel-Dayem, U.A., Youssef, D.T., 2014. Red Sea Suberea mollis sponge extract protects against CCl4induced acute liver injury in rats via an antioxidant mechanism 745606 J. Evid. Based Complement. Altern. Med., 1–9 https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/745606.
- Abdelmohsen, U.R., Pimentel-Elardo, S.M., Hanora, A., Radwan, M., Abou-El-Ela, S.H., Ahmed, S., Hentschel, U., 2010. Isolation, phylogenetic analysis and antiinfective activity screening of marine sponge-associated Actinomycetes. Mar. Drugs 8, 399–412. https://doi.org/10.3390/md8030399.
- Abou-Shoer, M.I., Shaala, L.A., Youssef, D.T., Badr, J.M., Habib, A.A.M., 2008. Bioactive brominated metabolites from the Red Sea sponge Suberea mollis. J. Nat. Prod. 71 (8), 1464–1467. https://doi.org/10.1021/np800142n.
 Anand, T.P., Bhat, A.W., Shouche, Y.S., Roy, U., Siddharth, J., Sarma, S.P., 2006.
- Anand, T.P., Bhat, A.W., Shouche, Y.S., Roy, U., Siddharth, J., Sarma, S.P., 2006. Antimicrobial activity of marine bacteria associated with sponges from the waters off the coast of South East India. Microbiol. Res. 161, 252–262. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2005.09.002.
- Banakar, S.P., Karthik, L., Li, Z., 2019. Mass production of natural products from microbes derived from sponges and corals. In: Symbiotic Microbiomes of Coral Reefs Sponges and Corals. Springer, Dordrecht, 2019, pp. 505–526.
- Bhatnagar, I., Kim, S.K., 2010. Immense essence of excellence: marine microbial bioactive compounds. Mar. Drugs 8 (10), 2673–2701. https://doi.org/10.3390/ md8102673.
- Bibi, F., Strobel, G.A., Naseer, M.I., Yasir, M., Al-Ghamdi, K., Ahmed, A., Azhar, E.I., 2018. Microbial flora associated with the halophyte-salsola imbricate and its biotechnical potential. Front. Microbiol. 9, 65. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fmicb.2018.00065.
- Bibi, F., Naseer, M.I., Yasir, M, Al-Ghamdi, A.A., Azhar, E.I., 2018a. LC-MS based identification of secondary metabolites from marine antagonistic endophytic bacteria. Genet. Mol. Res. 17, gmr16039857. https://doi.org/10.4238/ gmr16039857.
- Bibi, F., Strobel, G.A., Naseer, M.I., Yasir, M., Khalaf, Al-Ghamdi A.A., Azhar, E.I., 2018b. Halophytes-associated endophytic and rhizospheric bacteria: diversity, antagonism and metabolite production. Biocontrol. Sci. Technol. 28, 192–213. https://doi.org/10.1080/09583157.2018.1434868.
- Blunt, J.W., Copp, B.R., Keyzers, R.A., Munro, M.H., Prinsep, M.R., 2016. Marine natural products. Nat. Prod. Rep. Natural 33 (3), 382–431. https://doi.org/ 10.1039/C5NP00156K.
- Brinkmann, C., Marker, A., Kurtböke, D., 2017. An overview on marine spongesymbiotic bacteria as unexhausted sources for natural product discovery. Diversity. 9 (4), 40. https://doi.org/10.3390/d9040040.
- Chen, G., Li, X., Waters, B., Davies, J., 2000. Enhanced production of microbial metabolites in the presence of dimethyl sulfoxide. J. Antibiotics 53, 1145–1153. https://doi.org/10.7164/antibiotics.53.1145.
- Chen, Y.H., Kuo, J., Sung, P.J., Chang, Y.C., Lu, M.C., Wong, T.Y., Liu, J.K., Weng, C.F., Twan, W.H., Kuo, F.W., 2012. Isolation of marine bacteria with antimicrobial activities from cultured and field-collected soft corals. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 28 (12), 3269–3279. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-012-1138-7.
- Choudhary, A., Naughton, L., Montánchez, I., Dobson, A., Rai, D., 2017. Current status and future prospects of marine natural products (MNPs) as antimicrobials. Mar. Drugs 15 (9), 272. https://doi.org/10.3390/md15090272.
- Devasahayam, G., Scheld, W.M., Hoffman, P.S., 2010. Newer antibacterial drugs for a new century. Expert Opin. Invest. Drugs 19 (2), 215–234. https://doi.org/ 10.1517/13543780903505092.
- Devi, P., Wahidullah, S., Rodrigues, C., Souza, L.D., 2010. The sponge-associated bacterium *Bacillus* licheniformis SAB1: A source of antimicrobial compounds. Mar. Drugs 8 (4), 1203–1212. https://doi.org/10.3390/md8041203.
- Egamberdieva, D., Wirth, S.J., Alqarawi, A.A., Abd_Allah, E.F., Hashem, A., 2017. Phytohormones and beneficial microbes: essential components for plants to balance stress and fitness. Front. Microbiol. 8, 2104. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fmicb.2017.02104.
- Fenical, W., Jensen, P.R., 2006. Developing a new resource for drug discovery: marine actinomycete bacteria. Nat. Chem. Biol. 2, 666–673. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/nchembio841.
- Fickers, P., 2012. Antibiotic compounds from *Bacillus*: why are they so amazing?. Am. J. Biochem. Biotechnol. 8, 40–46.
- Gebhardt, K., Schimana, J., Müller, J., Fiedler, H.P., Kallenborn, H.G., Holzenkämpfer, M., Krastel, P., Zeeck, A., Vater, J., Höltzel, A., Schmid, D.G., 2002. Screening for biologically active metabolites with endosymbiotic bacilli isolated from arthropods. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 217 (2), 199–205. https://doi.org/10.1111/ j.1574-6968.2002.tb11475.x.
- Hay, M.E., 2009. Marine chemical ecology: chemical signals and cues structure marine populations, communities, and ecosystems. Ann Rev Mar Sci. 1, 193– 212. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.marine.010908.163708.

- Hentschel, U., Usher, K.M., Taylor, M.W., 2006. Marine sponges as microbial fermenters. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 55 (2), 167–177. https://doi.org/10.1111/ j.1574-6941.2005.00046.x.
- Imhoff, J.F., Labes, A., Wiese, J., 2011. Bio-mining the microbial treasures of the ocean: new natural products. Biotechnol. Adv. 29, 468–482. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.biotechadv.2011.03.001.
- Indraningrat, A., Smidt, H., Sipkema, D., 2016. Bioprospecting sponge-associated microbes for antimicrobial compounds. Mar. Drugs 14 (5), 87. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/md14050087.
- Kanagasabhapathy, M., Sasaki, H., Nagata, S., 2008. Phylogenetic identification of epibiotic bacteria possessing antimicrobial activities isolated from red algal species of Japan. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 24, 2315–2321. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s11274-008-9746-y.
- Kim, D.S., Bae, C.Y., Jeon, J.J., Chun, S.J., Oh, H.W., 2004. Paenibacillus elgii sp. nov., with broad antimicrobial activity. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 54, 2031–2035. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.02414-0.
- Kim, T.K., Garson, M.J., Fuerst, J.A., 2005. Marine actinomycetes related to the 'Salinospora'group from the Great Barrier Reef sponge Pseudoceratina clavata. Environ. Microbiol. 7, 509–518. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2005.00716.x.
- Mehbub, M.F., Lei, J., Franco, C., Zhang, W., 2014. Marine sponge derived natural products between 2001 and 2010: trends and opportunities for discovery of bioactives. Mar. Drugs 12 (8), 4539–4577. https://doi.org/10.3390/ md12084539.
- Memish, Z.A., Jaber, S., Mokdad, A.H., AlMazroa, M.A., Murray, C.J., Al Rabeeah, A.A., 2014. Peer reviewed: Burden of disease, injuries, and risk factors in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, 1990–2010. Prev. Chronic Dis. 11, E169. https://doi. org/10.5888/pcd11.140176.
- Phelan, R.W., O'Halloran, J.A., Kennedy, J., Morrissey, J.P., Dobson, A.D., O'Gara, F., Barbosa, T.M., 2012. Diversity and bioactive potential of endospore-forming bacteria cultured from the marine sponge *Haliclona simulans*. J. Appl. Microbiol. 112, 65–78. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2011.05173.x.
- Pita, L., Rix, L., Slaby, B.M., Franke, A., Hentschel, U., 2018. The sponge holobiont in a changing ocean: from microbes to ecosystems. Microbiome 2018 (6), 46. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-018-0428-1.
- Romanenko, L.A., Uchino, M., Kalinovskaya, N.I., Mikhailov, V.V., 2008. Isolation, phylogenetic analysis and screening of marine mollusc-associated bacteria for antimicrobial, hemolytic and surface activities. Microbiol. Res. 163, 633–644. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2006.10.001.

- Sertan-de Guzman, A.A., Predicala, R.Z., Bernardo, E.B., Neilan, B.A., Elardo, S.P., Mangalindan, G.C., Tasdemir, D., Ireland, C.M., Barraquio, W.L., 2007. *Pseudovibrio* denitrificans strain Z143–1, a heptylprodigiosin-producing bacterium isolated from a Philippine tunicate. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 277 (2), 188–196. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2007.00950.x.
- Sgroy, V., Cassán, F., Masciarelli, O., Del Papa, M.F., Lagares, A., Luna, V., 2009. Isolation and characterization of endophytic plant growth-promoting (PGPB) or stress homeostasis-regulating (PSHB) bacteria associated to the halophyte Prosopis strombulifera. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 85, 371–381. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00253-009-2116-3.
- Shaala, L.A., Bamane, F.H., Badr, J.M., Youssef, D.T., 2011. Brominated argininederived alkaloids from the red sea sponge suberea mollis. J. Nat. Prod. 74, 1517– 1520. https://doi.org/10.1021/np200120d.
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Li, M., Knyaz, C., Tamura, K., 2016. MEGA X: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. Molecular biology and evolution. Mol. Biol. Evol. 35, 1547–1549. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/ msv096.
- Taylor, M.W., Radax, R., Steger, D., Wagner, M., 2007. Sponge-associated microorganisms: evolution, ecology, and biotechnological potential. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 71, 295–347. https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.00040-06.
- Thomas, T.R., Kavlekar, D.P., LokaBharathi, P.A., 2010. Marine drugs from spongemicrobe association-A review. Mar. Drugs 8, 1417–1468. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/md8041417.
- Thompson, J.D., Gibson, T.J., Plewniak, F., Jeanmougin, F., Higgins, D.G., 1997. The CLUSTAL_X windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. Nucleic Acids Res. 25, 4876–4882. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/25.24.4876.
- Tulp, M., Bohlin, L., 2005. Rediscovery of known natural compounds: nuisance or goldmine?. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 13, 5274–5282. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. bmc.2005.05.067.
- Tupinambá, G., Da Silva, A.J., Alviano, C.S., Souto-Padron, T.C., Seldin, L., Alviano, D.S., 2008. Antimicrobial activity of Paenibacillus polymyxa SCE2 against some mycotoxin-producing fungi. J. Appl. Microbiol. 105, 1044–1053. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2008.03844.x.
- Yoshizawa, S., Wada, M., Yokota, A., Kogure, K., 2010. Vibrio sagamiensis sp. nov., luminous marine bacteria isolated from sea water. J. Gen. Appl. Microbiol. 56, 499–507. https://doi.org/10.2323/jgam.56.499.