

Role of psychotherapy on antenatal depression, anxiety, and maternal quality of life

A meta-analysis

Caixia Li, BN, Xiaohua Sun, BN, Qing Li, BN, Qian Sun, BN, Beibei Wu, BN, Dongyun Duan, BN^{* 💿}

Abstract

Background: Depression and anxiety are common psychological manifestations encountered during the antenatal stage of pregnancy. Treatments by pharmacological interventions have been reported to impart negative implications on maternal and fetal health outcomes. Therefore, the use of psychotherapeutic interventions to bypass these side-effects and manage depression, anxiety has received a lot of attention. A meta-statistical consensus regarding the intervention is available, but with several limitations. In this study, we attempt to address these limitations and provide the current state of evidence evaluating the influence of psychotherapy on antenatal depression, anxiety, and maternal quality of life.

Objective: To demonstrate the effects of psychotherapy on depression, anxiety, and maternal quality of life during the antenatal stage of pregnancy.

Methods: A systematic identification of literature was performed according to PRISMA guidelines on four academic databases: MEDLINE, Scopus, EMBASE, and CENTRAL. A meta-analysis evaluated the influence of psychotherapy on depression, anxiety, and maternal quality of life as compared to conventional obstetric care.

Results: Out of 1146 records, 22 articles including 2146 pregnant women (mean age: 28.6 ± 2.8 years) were included in this review. This systematic review presents a 1b level of evidence supporting the use of psychotherapy for reducing depression, anxiety and enhancing maternal quality of life. The meta-analysis reveals the beneficial effects of psychotherapy for reducing depression (Hedge g: -0.48), anxiety (-0.47) and enhancing maternal quality of life (0.19) as compared to conventional obstetric care.

Conclusions: The current systematic review and meta-analysis recommend the use of psychotherapy as for reducing depression, anxiety and enhancing maternal quality of life during the antenatal stage of pregnancy.

Abbreviations: CBT = cognitive behavioral therapy, CENTRAL = Cochrane controlled register of trials, CMA = comprehensive meta-analysis, EMBASE = Excerpta Medica database, MEDLINE = medical literature analysis and retrieval system online, MeSH = medical subject heading, PRISMA = preferred reporting item for systematic reviews and meta-analyses, ROBINS-I + Risk of bias in nonrandomized studies of interventions, WHO = World Health Organization.

Keywords: cognition, fear of birth, gynecology, obstetrics, perinatal, psychotherapy

1. Introduction

The prominence of depression and anxiety during the antenatal stage of pregnancy is extremely high.^[1] According to the recent World Health Organization statistics, depression and anxiety are

Received: 24 January 2020 / Received in final form: 8 May 2020 / Accepted: 26 May 2020

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000020947

a public health concern for women of childbearing age.^[2,3] Recent epidemiological studies suggest that approximately 10% to 15% of all pregnant women suffer from depression and anxiety related disorders.^[4,5] This increased prevalence of anxiety and depression can also hint towards the rising suicidal tendencies amongst mothers and their partners undergoing the bereavement.^[6] Moreover, this incidence has been reported to be even higher in developing countries (for a detailed review see^[2]).

The literature suggests multiple mechanisms due to which the onset of these psychological manifestations are high.^[7,8] For instance, a direct correlation has been reported between the pregnancy-related changes in the level of maternal hormones such as progesterone, estrogen, prolactin, estradiol with a shift in hypothalamus-pituitary axis^[9] and the levels of cortisol.^[7,10,11] Pompili et al,^[11] for instance, suggested that the levels of prolactin and thyroid are usually dysregulated during the antenatal stage of pregnancy and that it can be associated with suicidal attempts due to its complex compensatory role in correcting the central serotonin activity. The authors also mentioned that the evaluation of the hormonal levels of thyroid, prolactin is of great importance owing to their ability predict suicidal attempts. Similarly, changes in epigenetic mechanisms due to varying antenatal conditions have been shown to act as a supplementary co-factor promoting depression, and anxiety.^[12,13] A recent

Editor: Massimo Tusconi.

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are publicly available.

Department of Obstetrics, Maternity and Child Health Care of Zaozhuang, Zaozhuang, Shandong, PR China.

^{*} Correspondence: Dongyun Duan, Department of Obstetrics, Maternity and Child Health Care of Zaozhuang, 25 Wenhua East Road, Zaozhuang, Shandong 277100, PR China (e-mail: Duandy123@outlook.com).

Copyright © 2020 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Li C, Sun X, Li Q, Sun Q, Wu B, Duan D. Role of psychotherapy on antenatal depression, anxiety & maternal quality of life: a metaanalysis. Medicine 2020;99:27(e20947).

review by Wesołowska et al^[14] mentioned that in addition to the aforementioned factors a range of environmental, that is, martial, family,^[15,16] and socioeconomic factors,^[17,18] could also act as additional precursors for the development of these disorders.

Together, these manifestations have been reported to impart a wide range of negative implications on both maternal and fetal health outcomes.^[19,20] In terms of maternal health, high levels of antenatal anxiety (fear of childbirth), depression have been associated with higher incidences of cesarean section,^[21] premature delivery,^[22] pregnancy-related complications, that is, anemia, preeclampsia,^[19,20] and poorer maternal quality of life.^[23] Furthermore, a strong correlation has been reported between the prominence of antenatal depression with higher incidences of postnatal depression, and poor maternal-fetal attachment.^[24–26] Despite the medical advancements in the past decades and the development of numerous novel interventions,^[27] epidemiological studies show no sign of decline in the onset of depression and anxiety during the antenatal stage of pregnancy.^[28] The main reason behind this could be negligence. Atif et al^[29] in their review mentioned that primary health care programs tend to focus more on maternal physical health as compared to mental health.

Conventionally, pharmacological interventions are considered as the front-line management approaches to alleviate depression and anxiety during pregnancy.^[30,31] Uguz et al,^[31] for instance, recommended the use of pharmacological prophylaxis while using serotonin-reuptake inhibitors to prevent the onset of depression and anxiety during the antenatal stage of pregnancy. The authors however, failed to discuss the negative implications of these drugs on fetal and neonatal health outcomes.^[32] Newport et al^[33] mentioned that irrespective of the class of the administered antidepressants, all drugs cross the placental barrier,^[34] and are present in both the amniotic fluid,^[35] and breast milk.^[36] Thereby, causing widespread changes in the fetal and neonatal health-related outcomes.

Taking this into consideration the use of psychotherapy to bypass these negative complications and simultaneously manage these psychosomatic disorders has received a lot of attention.^[33,37,38] Several underlying mechanisms have been reported to support the favorability of this approach. For instance, studies have demonstrated that psychotherapeutic interventions can enhance cognitive flexibility,^[39] maternal motivation,^[40] allow self-regulation of thoughts,^[41] and restructuring of negative emotions.^[42] A meta-analysis by Farrand and Woodford^[43] confirmed that interventions by psychotherapeutic techniques can not only benefit the mental health status but also provide enhancements in physical health status and quality of life. Ekers et al,^[44] in addition, reported that the impact of psychotherapeutic intervention can be augmented by promoting its delivery through paraprofessionals such as nurses. The authors mentioned that the cost-effectiveness,^[45] cultural proximity,^[46] and nurse-mother bonding,^[47] might further the effects of the psychotherapeutic interventions to alleviate depression and anxiety.

To date, two systematic reviews have evaluated the effects of psychotherapy on antenatal depression and anxiety.^[48,49] However, a few limitations persisted in these review studies that raise questions concerning the reliability of their results. Firstly, the findings from Smith et al^[48] are misleading. The authors analyzed the effects of mindfulness-based therapies on antenatal depression and anxiety. These approaches do not quite represent the conventional psychotherapeutic interventions

commonly employed at the psychiatric care units. Secondly, van Ravesteyn et al^[49] analyzed the effects of psychotherapy on depression and anxiety. Again, this review misleads the analyses for anxiety as only one study was incorporated by the reviewers in the analyses. Moreover, the article included very few numbers of trials i.e. seven studies for cognitive psychotherapy. This could be because of the strict inclusion criteria imposed by the reviewers. Another reason why this review needs to be updated is that since its publication in 2017, several high quality randomized controlled trials have been published.^[50–53] Therefore, warranting the need for an updated systematic review and meta-analyses.

This systematic review and meta-analyses will attempt to address this gap in the literature by assessing the role of psychotherapeutic interventions on antenatal depression, anxiety, and maternal quality of life.

2. Methods

This systematic review and meta-analysis were carried in adherence to PRISMA guidelines.^[54] A PRISMA checklist has been provided in the Supplementary file. The ethical approval was not necessary because it is a systematic review and meta-analysis.

2.1. Data search strategy

We searched four academic databases (MEDLINE, CENTRAL, EMBASE, and Scopus) from inception until December 2019 using MeSH keywords "antenatal", "pregnancy", "perinatal", "before-birth", "pre-birth", "psychotherapy", "psychoanalytic therapy", "counseling", "cognitive therapy", "behavioral therapy", "cognitive behavioral therapy", "CBT", "psychoeducation", "interpersonal therapy", "crisis oriented therapy", "anxiety", "depression", "fear of birth", "fear of child birth". In addition, we screened the bibliography of the included studies for any additional relevant study. The inclusion criteria for the studies were as follows:

- 1. Studies evaluated the efficacy of psychotherapy on depression, anxiety and quality of life outcomes during the antenatal stage of pregnancy.
- 2. Studies evaluated pregnant women in the antenatal stage of pregnancy.
- 3. Studies evaluated the depression, anxiety and/or maternal quality of life outcome through a valid and reliable assessment method (e.g. State trait anxiety inventory, Edinburg perinatal depression scale, fear of birth scale, pregnancy worry and stress questionnaire, Wijma delivery expectancy scale, phobia anxiety scales, WHO quality of life scale-BREF, European quality of life scale, quality of life, etc).
- Studies were either randomized controlled trials, quasi randomized controlled trials, controlled clinical trials, prospective observational trials with control groups or retrospective trials.
- Studies published in peer-reviewed scientific journals, conferences.
- 6. Studies published in the English language.

The exclusion criteria for the study included the following:

 Studies that did not evaluate the efficacy of psychotherapy on depression, anxiety and quality of life during the antenatal stage of pregnancy were excluded.

- Studies that did not evaluate pregnant women in the antenatal stage of pregnancy were excluded.
- 3. Studies that did not evaluate the aspects of depression, anxiety and/or maternal quality of life outcome through a valid and reliable assessment method were excluded.
- 4. Studies which were not case controlled studies were excluded.
- 5. Studies which were not published in peer-reviewed journals were excluded.
- 6. Studies published languages other than English were excluded.

The selection procedure was independently replicated by two reviewers to avoid biasing. The following data were extracted from the included studies: authors, sample description (gender, age), method of assessment, intervention, comparator, stage of assessment and outcome measures. In the articles where quantitative data outcomes were incomplete or not mentioned the reviewers made attempts to contact respective corresponding authors for additional data.

2.2. Quality assessment

The risk of bias in the included studies was assessed by Cochrane's risk of bias assessment tool for randomized controlled trials and non-randomized controlled trials, that is, ROBINS-I.^[55,56] The included studies were independently appraised by 2 reviewers. The appraisal was done based on the presence of low, high or unclear risk of bias. The thresholds for interpretation of Cochrane risk of bias tool's assessment according to Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality standards is either good quality (all criteria are attained), fair quality (1 high-risk criteria or 2 unclear criteria) or poor quality (two or more criteria attained with high risks). Inadequate randomization, concealment of allocation and reporting of selective outcomes were considered as major threats for biasing.^[57] In cases of ambiguity, discussions were held between the reviewers until a consensus was reached. Moreover, a level of evidence analysis based on the Center for Evidence Based Medicine outcome was reported based on the type of included studies.[58]

2.3. Data analysis

A within group meta-analysis of the included studies was carried out using CMA (Comprehensive Meta-analysis version 2.0).^[59] The data was distributed and separately analyzed for depression, anxiety, and maternal quality of life. A meta-analysis was conducted based on a random effects model.^[60] The effect sizes are reported as weighted Hedge's g. The threshold for interpreting the weighted effect sizes are: <0.2 a small effect, \leq 0.5 as a medium effect and \geq 0.8 a large effect.^[61] Heterogeneity was assessed by computing I² statistics. The threshold for interpreting heterogeneity is: 0% to 25% with negligible heterogeneity, 25% to 75% with moderate heterogeneity and ≥75% with substantial heterogeneity.^[62] Sensitivity analyses were performed in cases where substantial sources of heterogeneity persisted.^[63] Here, based on the presence or absence of inadequate randomization methods in the studies we either included or excluded the results of the studies. For each evaluated parameter details of weighted effect size, 95% confidence intervals, level of significance and heterogeneity have been duly reported. In addition, we analyzed publication bias by performing Duval and Tweedie's trim and fill procedure.^[64] This non-parametric method estimates the number of missing studies and computes the effect that these studies might have on the outcome of meta-analyses. Here, asymmetric studies are imputed from the left side of the plotted graph to identify the unbiased effect. Thereafter, these trimmed effects are refilled in the plotted graph and then the combined effect is recalculated. In the present review, the alpha level was set at 5%.

3. Results

A preliminary search on 4 academic databases resulted in a total of 1121 studies, 25 more studies were included after the bibliography of these articles were screened (Fig. 1). Thereafter, upon excluding the duplicates and applying the inclusion criteria, a total of 22 studies were retained. In the included studies, 17 were randomized controlled trials, $^{[50-53,65-77]}$ whereas five were controlled clinical trials. $^{[78-82]}$ Significant reduction (P < .05) in depression and anxiety was reported in 18 of the included studies which received psychotherapy. $^{[52,53,65-78,80-82]}$ and three studies reported no effect, $^{[50,51,79]}$ of psychotherapy on depression, anxiety and maternal quality of life during the antenatal stage of pregnancy. Qualitative and quantitative data were then extracted from all the studies and summarized in Table 3.

3.1. Risk of bias

3.1.1. Randomized controlled trials. The risk of bias for the randomized controlled trials according to Cochrane's risk of bias assessment tool for randomized controlled trials has been demonstrated in Table 1. The overall risk in the included studies is poor. The highest risk of bias was observed to be due to lack of blinding of the participants, researchers, outcomes, sequence generation and other biases Figure 2. A level of evidence of 1b was observed for all the included studies based on their experimental design.

3.1.2. Controlled clinical trials. The prevalence of risk of bias for the controlled clinical trials according to Cochrane's risk of bias assessment tool for non-randomized controlled trials ROBINS-I has been demonstrated in Table 2. Here as well, the overall risk in the included studies is poor. The highest risk of bias was observed to be due to the lack of clarity in the confounding factors, classification of intervention and outcome measurement Figure 3. Furthermore, a few studies refrained from explaining the measures they undertook to manage missing data and/or analyses for intention to treat analysis. A level of evidence of 2b was observed for all the included studies based on their experimental design.

3.1.3. Publication bias. The trim and fill procedure identified three missing studies on the left side of the mean effect (Fig. 4). Further, according to random effect model, the point estimates and 95% confidence intervals for the evaluated parameters are -0.42 (-0.67 to -0.17). The trim and fill procedure report the imputed point estimate as -0.6 (-0.9 to -0.31).

3.1.4. Participant information. A total of 2146 pregnant women were evaluated in the studies included in this review. Here, a total of 1180 women were a part of the experimental group where psychotherapy was administered, whereas 966 women were a part of the control group receiving conventional obstetric care. Two of the included studies did not mention the age of the included sample.^[51,65] However, from the studies that did report the age of their participants, the mean age of the included participants was 28.6 ± 2.8 years for the experimental and 28.2 ± 3.4 years for the control group.



3.1.5. Assessment. Nine studies assessed the influence of psychotherapy on depression alone, ^[65,68,71,73,74,76,78,79,82] whereas 2 studies assessed its influence on anxiety. ^[72,75] Eleven studies jointly evaluated the effects of psychotherapy on both depression and anxiety. ^[50–53,66,67,69,70,77,80,81] The average baseline, follow-up assessments for the included studies was performed at 23.2 ± 3.6 weeks, and 30.8 ± 5 weeks, respectively. However, from the included studies 6 did not report the stage at which they performed initial and follow-up assessments, ^[50,51,71,76,78,81] whereas 1 study did not report the stage at which the follow-up assessment was performed. ^[65]

3.1.6. *Intervention.* In the included studies, 13 used cognitive behavioral interventions, ^[50,51,53,65,67,71,72,74,76-79,81] whereas nine of the studies used interpersonal psychotherapeutic measures. ^[52,66,68–70,73,75,80,82] Moreover, all the included studies compared the effects of psychotherapy with conventional obstetric care except three studies. ^[51,68,72]

3.2. Meta-analysis reports

3.2.1. Depression. Depression was assessed in fourteen studies.^[51–53,65–71,73,74,76,77] Here, data from 803 participants was assessed in the experimental group receiving psychotherapy as compared to 729 in the control group. The assessment of depression was performed by 7 studies using Edinburg perinatal depression scale,^[52,53,65,67,68,73,77] three studies using Beck depression inventory,^[70,71,76] and one study each using self-efficacy questionnaire,^[51] severity of depression scale,^[69] and center for epidemiological studies depression inventory scale.^[66] An across group, random-effect analysis (Fig. 5) revealed a *medium* negative and significant effect of psychotherapy on depression as compared to conventional obstetric care (g: -0.48, 95% C.I: -0.76 to -0.19, P=.001) with moderate heterogeneity (I²: 39%).

3.2.2. *Anxiety.* Anxiety was assessed in 10 studies.^[51–53,66,67,69, 70,72,75,77] Here, data from 485 participants were assessed in the experimental group receiving psychotherapy as compared to 500

Table 1

Illustrates the quality of the analyzed studies according to the Cochrane risk of bias assessment tool for randomized controlled trials (-: high risk of bias, +: low risk of bias, ?: unclear risk of bias.

Study	Random sequence generation	Concealment of allocation	Blinding	Blinding of outcome	Incomplete outcome data	Selective reporting	Other bias	Level of evidence
Burger et al (2019)	?	_	_	+	_	_	?	1b
Loughnan et al (2019)	_	+	_	+	+	?	+	1b
Kelman et al (2018)	?	_	_	+	+	_	?	1b
Forsell et al (2017)	?	_	_	+	+	_	?	1b
Lenze and Potts (2017)	?	_	_	+	+	?	?	1b
Yazdanimehr et al (2016)	?	_	+	+	+	+	+	1b
Grote et al (2015)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1b
O'Mahen et al (2013)	?	+	+	_	+	_	_	1b
Field et al (2013)	?	?	?	+	+	_	?	1b
Nanzer et al (2012)	-	_	_	+	+	+	?	1b
Le et al (2011)	-	_	_	?	+	+	+	1b
Tandon et al (2011)	?	_	_	+	+	+	?	1b
Lilliecreutz et al (2010)	?	_	_	+	+	+	?	1b
Grote et al (2009)	?	_	_	+	+	+	?	1b
Austin et al (2008)	?	+	+	-	+	_	_	1b
Freeman et al (2008)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1b
Saisto et al (2001)	?	_	_	+	_	_	?	1b

Table 2

Illustrates the quality of the analyzed studies according to the Cochrane risk of bias assessment tool for non-randomized controlled trials ROBINS-I (-: high risk of bias, +: low risk of bias, ?: unclear risk of bias).

Study	Confounding bias	Selection bias	Deviation from intended intervention	Missing data	Measurement in outcome	Selection of reported result	Classification of intervention	Level of evidence
Felder et al (2017)	?	+	_	_	+	+	_	2b
Dimidjian et al (2015)	?	+	?	_	?	+	_	2b
Kim et al (2014)	+	+	+	+	+	?	_	2b
Grote et al (2004)	+	+	+	+	+	?	_	2b
Spinelli (1997)	?	+	+	+	+	+	_	2b

in the control group. The assessment of anxiety was performed by 4 studies each using generalized anxiety disorder,^[51,53,67,69] 2 studies using Beck anxiety inventory,^[70,77] and 1 study each using state trait anxiety inventory scale,^[52] pregnancy anxiety scale,^[75] injection phobia anxiety scale,^[72] and center for epidemiological studies anxiety inventory.^[66] An across group, random-effect



Figure 2. Illustrates risk of bias (%) within studies according to Cochrane risk of bias assessment tool for randomized controlled trials.

analysis (Fig. 6) revealed a *medium* negative and significant effects of psychotherapy on anxiety as compared to conventional obstetric care (g: -0.47, 95% C.I: -1 to -0.04, P=.07) with moderate heterogeneity (I²: 32%).

3.2.3. Maternal quality of life outcome. Maternal quality of life outcome was assessed in 2 studies.^[53,67] Here, one study each used EQ-5D,^[67] and World health organization quality of life scale,^[53] to assess maternal quality of life outcome. Here, data from 65 participants was assessed in the experimental group receiving psychotherapy as compared to 64 in the control group. An across group, random-effect analysis (Fig. 7) revealed a *small* positive and non-significant effects psychotherapy on quality of life outcome as compared to conventional obstetric care (g: 0.19, 95% C.I: -0.1 to 0.5, P=.2) with no heterogeneity (I²: 0%).

4. Discussion

This review provides a 1b level of evidence regarding the beneficial effects of psychotherapy as compared to conventional obstetric care for reducing depression, anxiety and enhancing the maternal quality of life during the antenatal stage of pregnancy. Moreover, the present meta-analysis reveals the beneficial effects of psychotherapy for reducing depression (Hedgeg: -0.48), anxiety (-0.47) and enhancing maternal quality of life (0.19) as compared to conventional obstetric care.

Table 3

Illustrates the characteristics of the included studies.

Author	Age: M±S.D years	Sample size	Assessment	Intervention (sessions)	Control group	Stage of assessment	Outcome
Burger et al (2019)	Exp: 33.4±4.6	Exp: 140	Edinburgh perinatal depres- sion scale and state trait anxiety inventory	Cognitive behavioral psychotherapy (6–10)	Conventional obstetric care	Baseline: -	No effect on Edinburgh perinatal depression scale, state trait anxiety inventory scores between Exp and Ct.
Loughnan et al (2019)	Ct: 32.1±4.5 Exp: 31.6±4.4	Ct: 142 Exp: 43	Edinburgh perinatal depres- sion scale, Kessler psycho- logical distress 10-item, patient health question- naire-9, generalized anxiety disorder-7 item scale and World health organization quality of life scale	Internet based cognitive behavioral psychother- apy (6)	Conventional obstetric care	Follow-up: - Baseline: 14– 27 weeks	Significant reduction in Kessler psychological dis- tress 10-item and general- ized anxiety disorder-7 item scale in Exp as compared to Ct.
	Ct: 31.5±3.6	Ct: 44				Follow-up: 32 weeks	Reduction in Edinburgh perinatal depression scale scores with Exp. Enhancement in World health organization quality of life scale with Exp.
Kelman et al (2018)	Exp: -	Exp: 43	Patient health question- naire-4, generalized anxiety disorder scale and self- compassion scale	Internet based cogni- tive, mindfulness beha- vioral psychotherapy (8)	Internet based compassionate mind training	Baseline: -	No effect on Patient health questionnaire-4, generalized anxiety disorder scale and self-compassion scale between Exp and Ct.
Forsell et al (2017)	Ct: - Exp: 31.2±3.7	Ct: 41 Exp: 22	Edinburgh perinatal depres- sion scale, Montgomery- Åsberg Depression Rating Scale, generalized anxiety disorder-7 item scale and European Quality of life-5D	Internet based cognitive behavioral psychother- apy (10)	Conventional obstetric care	Follow-up: - Baseline: 12– 28 weeks	Significant reduction in Montgomery-Åsberg Depression Rating in Exp as compared to Ct.
	Ct: 30.8±5.3	Ct: 20	Luropean edulity of inc-50			Follow-up: 38 weeks	Reduction in generalized anxiety disorder-7 scale with Exp. No effect on European Quality of life-5D score with Exp.
Lenze and Potts (2017)	Exp: 26.9±5.8	Exp: 21	Edinburgh perinatal depres- sion scale and state trait anxiety inventory	Interpersonal psy- chotherapy (9)	Conventional obstetric care	Baseline: 24 weeks	Significant reduction in state trait anxiety inventory in Exp as compared to Ct.
	Ct: 26.3±5.9	Ct: 21				Follow-up: 33 weeks	No effect on Edinburgh perinatal depression scale with Exp.
Felder et al (2017)	Exp: 30.4±4	Exp: 37	Edinburgh perinatal depres- sion scale and patient health questionnaire-9	Internet based cogni- tive, mindfulness beha- vioral psychotherapy (8)	Conventional obstetric care	Baseline: 24 weeks	No effect on Edinburgh perinatal depression scale and patient health ques- tionnaire-9 with Exp.
	Ct: -	Ct: -				Follow-up: 32 weeks	
Yazdanimehr et al (2016)	Exp: 26±5.8	Exp: 33	Edinburgh perinatal depres- sion scale and Beck anxiety inventory	Cognitive, mindfulness behavioral psychother- apy (8)	Conventional obstetric care	Baseline: 15 weeks	Significant reduction in Edinburgh perinatal depres- sion scale and Beck anxiety inventory in Exp as com- pared to Ct.
	Ct: 26.7±4.5	Ct: 30				Follow-up: 19 weeks	
Dimidjian et al (2015)	Exp: 31.8±4.1	Exp: 30	Edinburg perinatal depres- sions scale	Cognitive, behavioral psychotherapy (8)	-	Baseline: -	Significant reduction in Edinburg perinatal depres- sions scale score with Exp.
Grote et al (2015)	Ct: - Exp: 27.7±6.5	Ct: - Exp: 83	Severity of depression SCL- 20 scale and generalized anxiety disorder	Interpersonal psy- chotherapy (6)	Conventional obstetric care	Follow-up: - Baseline: 22	Significant reduction in Beck depression inventory score between Exp and Ct.
	Ct: 27.2±5.7	Ct: 81				weeks Follow-up: 28 weeks	
Kim et al (2014)	Exp: 29.1±6.3	Exp: 30	Beck depression inventory and Beck anxiety inventor	Cognitive, behavioral psychotherapy (-)	-	Baseline: -	Significant reduction in Beck depression and anxi- ety inventory score between Exp and Ct.
O'Mahen et al (2013)	Ct: - Exp: 27.4±5.3	Ct: - Exp: 30	Beck depression inventory	Cognitive, behavioral psychotherapy (12)	Conventional obstetric care	Follow-up: - Baseline: 30.9 weeks	Significant reduction in Beck depression inventory score between Exp and Ct.
	Ct: 26.6±6	Ct: 25				Follow-up: 40	Soore Settioon Exp and Ot.

(continued)

Table 3

Author	Age: $M \pm S.D$ years	Sample size	Assessment	Intervention (sessions)	Control group	Stage of assessment	Outcome
Field et al (2013)	Exp: 25.7±5.3	Exp: 100	Centre for epidemiological studies depression scale and state trait anxiety inventory	Peer support interper- sonal psychotherapy (12)	Conventional obstetric care	Baseline: 22 weeks	Significant reduction in Centre for epidemiological studies depression scale and state trait anxiety inventory with Exp.
	Ct: 24.1±5	Ct: 100				Follow-up: 34 weeks	
Nanzer et al (2012)	Exp: 33±4	Exp: 40	Edinburg perinatal depres- sions scale	Interpersonal psy- chotherapy (6)	Conventional obstetric care	Baseline: 22.5 weeks	Significant reduction in Edinburg perinatal depres- sions scale score with Exp
	Ct: 33±4.3	Ct: 88				Follow-up: 27 weeks	
Le et al (2011)	Exp: 25.8±4.4	Exp: 112	Beck depression inventory	Cognitive, behavioral psychotherapy (8)	Conventional obstetric care	Baseline: -	Significant reduction in Beck depression inventory score with Exp as com- pared to Ct.
Tandon et al (2011)	Ct: 25±4.8 Exp: 24.1	Ct: 105 Exp: 32	Beck depression inventory	Cognitive, behavioral psychotherapy (6)	Conventional obstetric care	Follow-up: - Baseline: -	Significant reduction in Beck depression inventory score with Exp.
Lilliecreutz et al (2010)	Ct: 22.6 Exp: 28.5±5	Ct: 29 Exp: 30	Injection phobia anxiety scale	Cognitive, behavioral psychotherapy (2)	No manage- ment	Follow-up: - Baseline: 25 weeks	Significant reduction in injection phobia anxiety score in Exp as compared
	Ct: 30.5±4	Ct: 44				Follow-up: 36 weeks	to Ct.
Grote et al (2009)	Exp: 24.3±5.3	Exp: 25	Beck depression inventory, beck anxiety inventory	Interpersonal psy- chotherapy (8)	Conventional obstetric care	Baseline: 21 weeks	Significant reduction in Beck depression, anxiety inventory score in Exp as compared to Ct.
	Ct: 24.7±5.6	Ct: 28				Follow-up: 30 weeks	compared to GL
Austin et al (2008)	Exp: -	Exp: 191	Edinburg perinatal depres- sions scale	Cognitive, behavioral psychotherapy (1)	Conventional obstetric care	Baseline: 25 weeks	Significant reduction in Edinburg perinatal depres- sions scale scores in Exp as compared to Ct.
Freeman et al (2008)	Ct: - Exp: 29.7±6.2	Ct: 86 Exp: 28	Edinburg perinatal depressions scale	Interpersonal psychotherapy (6)	Omega-3 fatty acids	Follow-up: - Baseline: 24 weeks	Significant reduction in Edinburg perinatal depressions scale with Exp as compared to Ct.
	Ct: 31±5.8	Ct: 31				Follow-up: 30 weeks	
Grote et al (2004)	Exp: 25.3±6.5	Exp: 12	Edinburg perinatal depressions scale and beck anxiety inventory score	Interpersonal psychotherapy (8)	-	Baseline: 19.5 weeks	Significant reduction in Edinburg perinatal depressions and beck anxiety inventory score in Exp.
	Ct: -	Ct: -				Follow-up: 28 weeks	
Saisto et al (2001)	Exp: 31.2±5.1	Exp: 85	Pregnancy anxiety scale	Interpersonal psychotherapy (4)	Conventional obstetric care	Baseline: 24 weeks	Significant reduction in pregnancy anxiety scale in Exp as compared to Ct.
	Ct: 31.9±4.8	Ct: 91				Follow-up: 28 weeks	
Spinelli (1997)	Exp: 30	Exp: 13	Edinburg perinatal depression scale	Interpersonal psychotherapy (16)	-	Baseline: 19.5 weeks	Significant reduction in Edinburg perinatal depressions score in
	Ct: -	Ct: -				Follow-up: 28 weeks	Exp.

Ct = control group, Ex = experimental group.

The use of psychotherapeutic interventions such as cognitive behavioral therapy and interpersonal therapy has been intensified in the past decade. David et al^[83] for instance, even termed these psychotherapeutic interventions as the gold standard approach to manage depression and anxiety during pregnancy.^[84,85] Most importantly, the use of this approach is favored because of its capability to avoid pharmacological complications.^[86] Cooper et al^[87] for instance, reported that the use of antidepressants such as selective serotonin-reuptake inhibitors during the antenatal stage of pregnancy could predispose towards poorer maternal health, quality of life and promote developmental fetal defects.^[88] Furthermore, the use of psychotherapeutic interventions has been preferred because of their ability to promote a challenging environment within which the pregnant women gain cognitive flexibility,^[39] and intrinsic-extrinsic motivation.^[89] Besides, the preference of psychotherapy has also been driven due to the intervention's ability to provide retainable effects. Dimidjian et al^[78] reported that training with a mindful cognitive behavioral



Figure 3. Illustrates risk of bias (%) within studies according to Cochrane risk of bias assessment tool for controlled clinical trials.

task allowed a significant reduction in the depression scores which were maintained even during the 6-month postpartum phase. Likewise, studies also report that psychotherapy can promote the robustness of self-monitoring and attentional allocation cognitive resources, which might further allow a depressed and anxious woman to actively adapt according to a situation.^[90,91] Nagata et al^[39] mentioned that cognitive behavioral therapy can effectively combat situations where the loss of emotional automation is prevalent. Here, psychotherapy mediated enhancements in cognitive resources can allow a patient to replace their negative thoughts into objective prospects, thereby, providing a breakthrough from the vicious depression-anxiety cycle.^[92] In agreement with the existing state of literature, the current meta-analyses also report *medium* effect reduction in the levels of depression (Hedgeg: -0.48) and anxiety (g: -0.47) with psychotherapy.

The utilization of psychotherapy has been suggested to impart beneficial effects on maternal quality of life as well. Eells^[93] reported that psychotherapeutic interventions could enhance the quality of life outcomes in addition to mental health. The authors reported that psychotherapy can effectively reduce the onset of behavior that exacerbates fatigue, pain, and insomnia. This, then, could allow enhancements in physical functioning and eventually the quality of life. Loughnan et al^[53] too reported that training with internet based cognitive behavioral training resulted in a better quality of life score for patients especially in terms of attentional concentration, personal esteem, and self-image. Our findings concerning the maternal quality of life outcomes are in line with the existing literature. In this present meta-analysis, we encountered a *small* beneficial effect of psychotherapy for enhancing the maternal quality of life (g: 0.19).

Finally, an important additional reason due to which the efficacy of psychotherapeutic interventions could have been enhanced, is that this intervention was delivered by paraprofessional nursing services. Grote et al^[70] for instance suggested that several cultural and environmental barriers can hinder the delivery of mental health services. The authors demonstrated that enhancing the cultural competence of the delivered intervention extended the benefits of a brief interpersonal psychotherapy intervention. Although not evaluated for the antenatal population group, we presume that the use of non-specialist staff such as nurses for delivering psychotherapeutic interventions could be an efficient way to enhance mental health prospects in an obstetric care unit. A previous randomized controlled trial by Espie et al^[94] had demonstrated the viability and efficacy of this approach. In this manner, not only the efficacy of the psychotherapy would be enhanced but also its effectiveness would be enhanced in developing countries where shortfalls in specialist personnel prevails the onset of antenatal depression and anxiety.^[95,96] Owing to the lack of pertinent literature it is difficult to interpret the comparative efficacy between culturally relevant care as compared to nurse administered therapies. Nevertheless, we presume that using paraprofessional nursing staff which has a similar cultural background as the patient would have an edge



Figure 4. Illustrates the publication bias funnel plot by the Duval and Tweedie trim and fill procedure. Each of the analyzed effect is denoted by a circle in the plot. The boundaries of the plot mark the area where 95% of all the effects reside in case there were no publication biases. The vertical midline denotes the mean standardized effect of zero.

	Hedges's g	Standard error	Variance	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value					
Loughnan et al., (2019)	-0.202	0.213	0.045	-0.620	0.216	-0.948	0.343		+-			
Kelman et al., (2018)	0.587	0.221	0.049	0.154	1.020	2.657	0.008			-	──┤■	
Forsell et al., (2017)	-1.207	0.330	0.109	-1.855	-0.559	-3.651	0.000	(-			
Lenze & Potts (2017)	0.146	0.303	0.092	-0.448	0.740	0.482	0.630			┈┼═		-
Yazdanumehr et al., (2016) -2.091	0.311	0.097	-2.701	-1.482	-6.722	0.000	K				
Grote et al., (2015)	-0.346	0.157	0.025	-0.653	-0.039	-2.207	0.027		-+∎			
Nanzer et al., (2015)	-0.104	0.190	0.036	-0.476	0.267	-0.550	0.583		<u> </u>	╼	-	
O'Mahen et al., (2013)	-0.611	0.273	0.075	-1.146	-0.075	-2.236	0.025	(-1		
Field et al., (2013)	-0.494	0.143	0.020	-0.774	-0.214	-3.453	0.001	÷.	-#	-		
Tandon et al., (2011)	-0.346	0.255	0.065	-0.846	0.153	-1.358	0.174					
Le et al., (2011)	-0.241	0.136	0.018	-0.507	0.025	-1.775	0.076			┣─┤		
Grote et al., (2009)	-1.758	0.320	0.103	-2.386	-1.130	-5.488	0.000	K				
Freeman et al., (2008)	-0.588	0.263	0.069	-1.103	-0.073	-2.237	0.025	(
Austin et al., (2008)	-0.075	0.130	0.017	-0.329	0.179	-0.580	0.562		-			
	-0.480	0.144	0.021	-0.762	-0.198	-3.339	0.001		\blacklozenge	•		
								-1.00	-0.50	0.00	0.50	1.00

Figure 5. Illustrates the forest plot for studies evaluating the effects of psychotherapy on the outcome of depression during the antenatal stage of pregnancy. Weighted effect size is presented as boxes, 95% C.I are presented as whiskers. A negative effect represents a reduced outcome of depression; a positive effect represents an enhanced outcome of depression.

over nursing staff which does not share any cultural bond. Here, a similar cultural bondage between the caregiver and the expectant mother might help in alleviating pregnancy related anxiety while simultaneously removing communicational gaps.^[97] We strongly

recommend future research to elucidate the comparative effectiveness of psychotherapeutic interventions being delivered by culturally relevant and irrelevant nursing staff for managing antenatal psychosomatic disorders.

Study name		5	Statistics f	or each s	study		
ł	Hedges's g	Standard error	Variance	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value
Loughnan et al., (2019)	-0.405	0.215	0.046	-0.826	0.016	-1.886	0.059
Kelman et al., (2018)	1.867	0.260	0.068	1.358	2.377	7.186	0.000
Forsell et al., (2017)	-0.604	0.310	0.096	-1.212	0.004	-1.948	0.051
Lenze & Potts (2017)	-0.103	0.303	0.092	-0.697	0.491	-0.340	0.734
Yazdanumehr et al., (2016)	-1.685	0.291	0.085	-2.255	-1.115	-5.793	0.000
Grote et al., (2015)	-0.242	0.156	0.024	-0.548	0.063	-1.553	0.120
Field et al., (2013)	-0.492	0.143	0.020	-0.772	-0.212	-3.439	0.001
Lilliecrutz et al., (2010)	-1.782	0.276	0.076	-2.323	-1.240	-6.449	0.000
Grote et al., (2009)	-1.341	0.301	0.090	-1.931	-0.752	-4.459	0.000
Saisto et al., (2001)	-0.095	0.150	0.023	-0.389	0.200	-0.629	0.529
	-0.478	0.268	0.072	-1.002	0.047	-1.785	0.074

Figure 6. Illustrates the forest plot for studies evaluating the effects of psychotherapy on the outcome of anxiety during the antenatal stage of pregnancy. Weighted effect size is presented as boxes, 95% C.I are presented as whiskers. A negative effect represents a reduced outcome of anxiety; a positive effect represents an enhanced outcome of anxiety.





Few limitations were present in this review. First, registration of this systematic review was not performed in a prospective registry such as PROSPERO. This might raise questions concerning the validity of this review.^[98] Second, we did not perform a literature search on renowned academic databases such as PsychInfo, and Sciencedirect. This too might raise questions concerning the outcomes of the review regarding the number of articles obtained after the review. Therefore, we would recommend future researchers to address this aspect in the future while performing a systematic search on a wide range of academic databases. Thirdly, a scarcity of statistical data in the included studies could have biased our interpretations concerning the influence of psychotherapy on maternal quality of life outcome. Here, the evaluation of quality of life outcome was performed only in two studies including a total of 65, 64 participants in the experimental and control groups, respectively. In this instance, the outcome due to a small sample size could possibly influence the results due to a type II error.^[99] We recommend future studies to address this paucity of data by evaluating the quality of life outcomes and sharing descriptive statistics in open access data repositories. Thirdly, because we incorporated a broad inclusion criterion in our review study, we were able to include a wide range of studies assessing different psychotherapeutic interventions with different assessment tools. Due to this, moderate heterogeneity, that is, 37%, 34% was observed in two of the meta-analysis reports analyzing the effects of psychotherapy on depression and anxiety, respectively. Therefore, we would strongly recommend our readers to carefully interpret these results.

In conclusion, this systematic review and meta-analysis provide a 1b level of evidence to support the use of psychotherapy to reduce depression, anxiety and enhance the maternal quality of life during the antenatal stage of pregnancy. The findings from the current meta-analyses can have widespread implications for developing best practice antenatal care approaches worldwide. In terms of practical applications, we strongly recommend antenatal care centers to employ the regular use of psychotherapeutic evaluations and therapies to alleviate depression, anxiety and enhance the maternal quality of life during the antenatal stage of pregnancy. Based on the existential resources antenatal care centers are recommended to assign a culturally relevant paraprofessional nursing staff to provide a one-on-on psychotherapeutic evaluation and therapy to alleviate any psychosomatic manifestation displayed by the mother. Moreover, in low- and middle-income countries where lack of resources adhere the capacity of medical staff to implement a one-on-one psychotherapy, the use of internet or mobile-application based psychotherapeutic approaches should be favored to reduce the burden of antenatal depression and anxiety.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Caixia Li, Dongyun Duan.

- Data curation: Caixia Li, Xiaohua Sun, Qing Li, Qian Sun, Beibei Wu, Dongyun Duan.
- Formal analysis: Xiaohua Sun, Qing Li, Qian Sun, Beibei Wu.
- Methodology: Caixia Li, Xiaohua Sun, Qing Li, Qian Sun, Beibei Wu, Dongyun Duan.
- Project administration: Qian Sun.
- Resources: Xiaohua Sun, Qing Li, Beibei Wu, Dongyun Duan.
- Software: Beibei Wu, Dongyun Duan.
- Supervision: Dongyun Duan.
- Validation: Caixia Li, Xiaohua Sun, Qing Li, Qian Sun, Beibei Wu, Dongyun Duan.
- Visualization: Caixia Li, Xiaohua Sun, Qing Li, Qian Sun, Beibei Wu, Dongyun Duan.

Writing - original draft: Caixia Li.

Writing - review & editing: Dongyun Duan.

References

- [1] Teixeira C, Figueiredo B, Conde A, et al. Anxiety and depression during pregnancy in women and men. J Affect Disord 2009;119:142–8.
- [2] Fisher J, Cabral de Mello M, Patel V, et al. Prevalence and determinants of common perinatal mental disorders in women in low- and lowermiddle-income countries: a systematic review. Bull World Health Organ 2012;90:139–49H.
- [3] Husain N, Bevc I, Husain M, et al. Prevalence and social correlates of postnatal depression in a low income country. Arch Womens Ment Health 2006;9:197–202.
- [4] Engle PL. Maternal mental health: program and policy implications. Am J Clin Nutr 2009;89:963S–6S.
- [5] Saxena S, Funk M, Chisholm D. World Health Assembly adopts comprehensive mental health action plan 2013–2020. Lancet 2013; 381:1970–1.
- [6] Pompili M, Shrivastava A, Serafini G, et al. Bereavement after the suicide of a significant other. Indian J Psychiatry 2013;55:256–63.
- [7] Leung BMY, Kaplan BJ. Perinatal depression: prevalence, risks, and the nutrition link-a review of the literature. J Am Diet Assoc 2009; 109:1566–75.
- [8] Waters CS, Hay DF, Simmonds JR, et al. Antenatal depression and children's developmental outcomes: potential mechanisms and treatment options. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry 2014;23:957–71.

- [9] Kammerer M, Taylor A, Glover V. The HPA axis and perinatal depression: a hypothesis. Arch Womens Ment Health 2006;9:187–96.
- [10] Iliadis SI, Comasco E, Sylvén S, et al. Prenatal and postpartum evening salivary cortisol levels in association with peripartum depressive symptoms. PLoS One 2015;10:e0135471.
- [11] Pompili M, Gibiino S, Innamorati M, et al. Prolactin and thyroid hormone levels are associated with suicide attempts in psychiatric patients. Psychiatry Res 2012;200:389–94.
- [12] Perera F, Herbstman J. Prenatal environmental exposures, epigenetics, and disease. Reprod Toxicol 2011;31:363–73.
- [13] Tarantino LM, Sullivan PF, Meltzer-Brody S. Using animal models to disentangle the role of genetic, epigenetic, and environmental influences on behavioral outcomes associated with maternal anxiety and depression. Front Psychiatry 2011;2: Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3141357/. [cited 2020 Jan 1, 2020]
- [14] Wesołowska E, Jankowska A, Trafalska E, et al. Sociodemographic, lifestyle, environmental and pregnancy-related determinants of dietary patterns during pregnancy. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2019;16:
- [15] Nestler EJ, Barrot M, DiLeone RJ, et al. Neurobiology of depression. Neuron 2002;34:13–25.
- [16] Senturk V, Abas M, Berksun O, et al. Social support and antenatal depression in extended and nuclear family environments in Turkey: a cross-sectional survey. BMC Psychiatry 2011;11:48.
- [17] Bleker LS, de Rooij SR, Roseboom TJ. Malnutrition and depression in pregnancy and associations with child behaviour and cognitive function: a review of recent evidence on unique and joint effects 1. Can J Physiol Pharmacol 2019;97:158–73.
- [18] Goyal D, Gay C, Lee KA. How much does low socioeconomic status increase the risk of prenatal and postpartum depressive symptoms in first-time mothers? Womens Health Issues 2010;20:96–104.
- [19] Bansil P, Kuklina EV, Meikle SF, et al. Maternal and fetal outcomes among women with depression. J Womens Health (Larchmt) 2010; 19:329–34.
- [20] Chung TK, Lau TK, Yip AS, et al. Antepartum depressive symptomatology is associated with adverse obstetric and neonatal outcomes. Psychosom Med 2001;63:830–4.
- [21] Waldenström U, Hildingsson I, Ryding EL. Antenatal fear of childbirth and its association with subsequent caesarean section and experience of childbirth. BJOG 2006;113:638–46.
- [22] Glover V, O'Connor TG. Effects of antenatal stress and anxiety: implications for development and psychiatry. Br J Psychiatry 2002; 180:389–91.
- [23] Abbaszadeh F, Kafaei Atrian M, Masoudi Alavi N, et al. Relationship between quality of life and depression in pregnant women. Nurs Midwifery Stud 2013;2:193–7.
- [24] Aris-Meijer J, Bockting C, Stolk R, et al. What if pregnancy is not seventh heaven? The influence of specific life events during pregnancy and delivery on the transition of antenatal into postpartum anxiety and depression. Int J Environ Res Public Health [Internet] 2019;16: Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6720783/. [cited Jan 16, 2020]
- [25] Misri S, Kendrick K, Oberlander TF, et al. Antenatal depression and anxiety affect postpartum parenting stress: a longitudinal, prospective study. Can J Psychiatry 2010;55:222–8.
- [26] Pampaka D, Papatheodorou SI, AlSeaidan M, et al. Postnatal depressive symptoms in women with and without antenatal depressive symptoms: results from a prospective cohort study. Arch Womens Ment Health 2019;22:93–103.
- [27] Dennis C-L, Ross LE, Grigoriadis S. Psychosocial and psychological interventions for treating antenatal depression. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2007;CD006309.
- [28] Milgrom J, Schembri C, Ericksen J, et al. Towards parenthood: an antenatal intervention to reduce depression, anxiety and parenting difficulties. J Affect Disord 2011;130:385–94.
- [29] Atif N, Lovell K, Rahman A. Maternal mental health: the missing "m" in the global maternal and child health agenda. Semin Perinatol 2015; 39:345–52.
- [30] Bellantuono C, Martellini M, Orsolini L. General approach to pharmacological treatment: during the perinatal period. Perinat Psychopharmacol 2019;55–66.
- [31] Uguz F, Subasi E, Dalboy F, et al. Pharmacological prophylaxis of postpartum exacerbation in depressive and anxiety symptoms: a retrospective study. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2019;32:2774–6.
- [32] Källén B. Neonate characteristics after maternal use of antidepressants in late pregnancy. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 2004;158:312–6.

- [33] Newport DJ, Wilcox MM, Stowe ZN. Antidepressants during pregnancy and lactation: defining exposure and treatment issues. Semin Perinatol 2001;25:177–90.
 [34] Rampono J, Simmer K, Ilett KF, et al. Placental transfer of SSRI and
- [34] Rampono J, Simmer K, liett KF, et al. Placental transfer of SSRI and SNRI antidepressants and effects on the neonate. Pharmacopsychiatry 2009;42:95–100.
- [35] Loughhead AM, Fisher AD, Newport DJ, et al. Antidepressants in amniotic fluid: another route of fetal exposure. Am J Psychiatry 2006;163:145–7.
- [36] Weissman AM, Levy BT, Hartz AJ, et al. Pooled analysis of antidepressant levels in lactating mothers, breast milk,;1; and nursing infants. Am J Psychiatry 2004;161:1066–78.
- [37] Evans K, Spiby H, Morrell JC. Non-pharmacological interventions to reduce the symptoms of mild to moderate anxiety in pregnant women. A systematic review and narrative synthesis of women's views on the acceptability of and satisfaction with interventions. Arch Womens Ment Health 2019;23:11–28.
- [38] Pearlstein T. Depression during Pregnancy. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol 2015;29:754–64.
- [39] Nagata S, Seki Y, Shibuya T, et al. Does cognitive behavioral therapy alter mental defeat and cognitive flexibility in patients with panic disorder? BMC Res Notes [Internet] 2018;11:23Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5767061/. [cited 2020 Jan 16]
- [40] Cho HJ, Kwon JH, Lee JJ. Antenatal cognitive-behavioral therapy for prevention of postpartum depression: a pilot study. Yonsei Med J 2008;49:553–62.
- [41] Beck R, Fernandez E. Cognitive-behavioral self-regulation of the frequency, duration, and intensity of anger. J Psychopathol Behav Assess 1998;20:217–29.
- [42] Mueser KT, Gottlieb JD, Xie H, et al. Evaluation of cognitive restructuring for post-traumatic stress disorder in people with severe mental illness. Br J Psychiatry 2015;206:501–8.
- [43] Farrand P, Woodford J. Effectiveness of cognitive behavioural self-help for the treatment of depression and anxiety in people with long-term physical health conditions: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. Ann Behav Med 2015;49:579–93.
- [44] Ekers D, Richards D, McMillan D, et al. Behavioural activation delivered by the non-specialist: phase II randomised controlled trial. Br J Psychiatry 2011;198:66–72.
- [45] Graveley EA, Littlefield JH. A cost-effectiveness analysis of three staffing models for the delivery of low-risk prenatal care. Am J Public Health 1992;82:180–4.
- [46] Leslie SW, Sajjad H, Murphy PB. Wilms Tumor (Nephroblastoma). In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2019. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK442004/. [cited Dec 9, 2019].
- [47] Schaffer MA, Goodhue A, Stennes K, et al. Evaluation of a public health nurse visiting program for pregnant and parenting teens. Public Health Nurs 2012;29:218–31.
- [48] Smith CA, Shewamene Z, Galbally M, et al. The effect of complementary medicines and therapies on maternal anxiety and depression in pregnancy: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Affect Disord 2019;245:428–39.
- [49] van Ravesteyn LM, Lambregtse-van den Berg MP, Hoogendijk WJG, et al. Interventions to treat mental disorders during pregnancy: A systematic review and multiple treatment meta-analysis. PLoS One 2017;12:e0173397.
- [50] Burger H, Verbeek T, Meijer J, et al. 80: Effects of cognitive behavioural therapy for antenatal anxiety and depression on mother and offspring. Am J Obstetr Gynecol 2019;220:S65.
- [51] Kelman AR, Evare BS, Barrera AZ, et al. A proof-of-concept pilot randomized comparative trial of brief Internet-based compassionate mind training and cognitive-behavioral therapy for perinatal and intending to become pregnant women. Clin Psychol Psychother 2018.
- [52] Lenze SN, Potts MA. Brief Interpersonal Psychotherapy for depression during pregnancy in a low-income population: a randomized controlled trial. J Affect Disord 2017;210:151–7.
- [53] Loughnan SA, Sie A, Hobbs MJ, et al. A randomized controlled trial of "MUMentum Pregnancy": Internet-delivered cognitive behavioral therapy program for antenatal anxiety and depression. J Affect Disord 2019;243:381–90.
- [54] Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. PRISMA GroupPreferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. PLoS Med 2009;6:e1000097.

- [55] Jørgensen L, Paludan-Müller AS, Laursen DR, et al. Evaluation of the Cochrane tool for assessing risk of bias in randomized clinical trials: overview of published comments and analysis of user practice in Cochrane and non-Cochrane reviews. Syst Rev [Internet] 2016;5: Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4862216/. [cited Dec 9, 2019]
- [56] Sterne JA, Hernán MA, Reeves BC, et al. ROBINS-I: a tool for assessing risk of bias in non-randomised studies of interventions. BMJ 2016;355: i4919.
- [57] Viswanathan M, Ansari MT, Berkman ND, et al Assessing the Risk of Bias of Individual Studies in Systematic Reviews of Health Care Interventions. In: Methods Guide for Effectiveness and Comparative Effectiveness Reviews [Internet]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US); 2008 [cited 2019 Dec 9]. (AHRQ Methods for Effective Health Care). Accessed date: 9/12/2019. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK91433/.
- [58] Burns PB, Rohrich RJ, Chung KC. The levels of evidence and their role in evidence-based medicine. Plast Reconstr Surg 2011;128:305–10.
- [59] Bax L, Yu L-M, Ikeda N, et al. A systematic comparison of software dedicated to meta-analysis of causal studies. BMC Med Res Methodol 2007;7:40.
- [60] Higgins JPT, Thompson SG, Spiegelhalter DJ. A re-evaluation of random-effects meta-analysis. J R Stat Soc Ser A Stat Soc 2009;172: 137–59.
- [61] Rosenthal R. Parametric measures of effect size. In: The handbook of research synthesis. New York, NY: Russell Sage Foundation; 1994. 231– 244.
- [62] Higgins JPT, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a metaanalysis. Stat Med 2002;21:1539–58.
- [63] Petitti DB. Approaches to heterogeneity in meta-analysis. Stat Med 2001;20:3625–33.
- [64] Duval S, Tweedie R. Trim and fill: A simple funnel-plot-based method of testing and adjusting for publication bias in meta-analysis. Biometrics 2000;56:455–63.
- [65] Austin M-P, Frilingos M, Lumley J, et al. Brief antenatal cognitive behaviour therapy group intervention for the prevention of postnatal depression and anxiety: a randomised controlled trial. J Affect Disord 2008;105:35–44.
- [66] Field T, Diego M, Delgado J, et al. Peer support and interpersonal psychotherapy groups experienced decreased prenatal depression, anxiety and cortisol. Early Hum Dev 2013;89:621–4.
- [67] Forsell E, Bendix M, Holländare F, et al. Internet delivered cognitive behavior therapy for antenatal depression: a randomised controlled trial. J Affect Disord 2017;221:56–64.
- [68] Freeman MP, Davis M, Sinha P, et al. Omega-3 fatty acids and supportive psychotherapy for perinatal depression: a randomized placebo-controlled study. J Affect Disord 2008;110:142–8.
- [69] Grote NK, Katon WJ, Russo JE, et al. Collaborative care for perinatal depression in socioeconomically disadvantaged women: a randomized trial. Depress Anxiety 2015;32:821–34.
- [70] Grote NK, Swartz HA, Geibel SL, et al. A randomized controlled trial of culturally relevant, brief interpersonal psychotherapy for perinatal depression. Psychiatr Serv 2009;60:313–21.
- [71] Le H-N, Perry DF, Stuart EA. Randomized controlled trial of a preventive intervention for perinatal depression in high-risk Latinas. J Consult Clin Psychol 2011;79:135–41.
- [72] Lilliecreutz C, Josefsson A, Sydsjö G. An open trial with cognitive behavioral therapy for blood- and injection phobia in pregnant women-a group intervention program. Arch Womens Ment Health 2010;13:259–65.
- [73] Nanzer N, Sancho Rossignol A, Righetti-Veltema M, et al. Effects of a brief psychoanalytic intervention for perinatal depression. Arch Womens Ment Health 2012;15:259–68.
- [74] O'Mahen H, Himle JA, Fedock G, et al. A pilot randomized controlled trial of cognitive behavioral therapy for perinatal depression adapted for women with low incomes. Depress Anxiety 2013;30:679–87.
- [75] Saisto T, Salmela-Aro K, Nurmi J-E, et al. A randomized controlled trial of intervention in fear of childbirth. Obstet Gynecol 2001;98(5, Part 1):820–6.
- [76] Tandon SD, Perry DF, Mendelson T, et al. Preventing perinatal depression in low-income home visiting clients: a randomized controlled trial. J Consult Clin Psychol 2011;79:707–12.

- [77] Yazdanimehr R, Omidi A, Sadat Z, et al. The effect of mindfulnessintegrated cognitive behavior therapy on depression and anxiety among pregnant women: a randomized clinical trial. J Caring Sci 2016;5: 195–204.
- [78] Dimidjian S, Goodman SH, Felder JN, et al. An open trial of mindfulnessbased cognitive therapy for the prevention of perinatal depressive relapse/ recurrence. Arch Womens Ment Health 2015;18:85–94.
- [79] Felder JN, Segal Z, Beck A, et al. An open trial of web-based mindfulnessbased cognitive therapy for perinatal women at risk for depressive relapse. Cogn Behav Pract 2017;24:26–37.
- [80] Grote NK, Bledsoe SE, Swartz HA, et al. Feasibility of providing culturally relevant, brief interpersonal psychotherapy for antenatal depression in an obstetrics clinic: a pilot study. Res Soc Work Pract 2004;14:397–407.
- [81] Kim DR, Hantsoo L, Thase ME, et al. Computer-assisted cognitive behavioral therapy for pregnant women with major depressive disorder. J Womens Health (Larchmt) 2014;23:842–8.
- [82] Spinelli MG. Interpersonal psychotherapy for depressed antepartum women: a pilot study. Am J Psychiatry 1997;154:1028–30.
- [83] David D, Cristea I, Hofmann SG. Why cognitive behavioral therapy is the current gold standard of psychotherapy. Front Psychiatry [Internet] 2018;9: Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/ PMC5797481/. [cited 2020 Jan 16, 2020]
- [84] Glover V. Maternal depression, anxiety and stress during pregnancy and child outcome; what needs to be done. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol 2014;28:25–35.
- [85] Stoll K, Swift EM, Fairbrother N, et al. A systematic review of nonpharmacological prenatal interventions for pregnancy-specific anxiety and fear of childbirth. Birth 2018;45:7–18.
- [86] Dubovicky M, Belovicova K, Csatlosova K, et al. Risks of using SSRI /SNRI antidepressants during pregnancy and lactation. Interdiscip Toxicol 2017;10:30–4.
- [87] Cooper WO, Willy ME, Pont SJ, et al. Increasing use of antidepressants in pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2007;196:544e1-5.
- [88] Arch JJ, Dimidjian S, Chessick C. Are exposure-based cognitive behavioral therapies safe during pregnancy? Arch Womens Ment Health 2012;15:445–57.
- [89] Lombardi DR, Button ML, Westra HA. Measuring motivation: change talk and counter-change talk in cognitive behavioral therapy for generalized anxiety. Cogn Behav Ther 2014;43:12–21.
- [90] Silverstein M, Feinberg E, Cabral H, et al. Problem-solving education to prevent depression among low-income mothers of preterm infants: a randomized controlled pilot trial. Arch Womens Ment Health 2011; 14:317–24.
- [91] Sockol LE. A systematic review of the efficacy of cognitive behavioral therapy for treating and preventing perinatal depression. J Affect Disord 2015;177:7–21.
- [92] Albano AM, Barlow DH. Breaking the vicious cycle: cognitivebehavioral group treatment for socially anxious youth. In: Psychosocial treatments for child and adolescent disorders: Empirically based strategies for clinical practice. Washington, DC, US: American Psychological Association; 1996. 43–62.
- [93] Eells TD. Can therapy affect physical health? J Psychother Pract Res 2000;9:100–4.
- [94] Espie CA, MacMahon KMA, Kelly H-L, et al. Randomized clinical effectiveness trial of nurse-administered small-group cognitive behavior therapy for persistent insomnia in general practice. Sleep 2007;30: 574–84.
- [95] Beck A, Nadkarni A, Calam R, et al. Increasing access to cognitive behaviour therapy in low and middle income countries: a strategic framework. Asian J Psychiatr 2016;22:190–5.
- [96] Blane D, Williams C, Morrison J, et al. Cognitive behavioural therapy: why primary care should have it all. Br J Gen Pract 2013;63:103–4.
- [97] Lyons S, O'Keeffe F, Clarke A, et al. Cultural diversity in the Dublin maternity services: The experiences of maternity service providers when caring for ethnic minority women. Ethn Health 2008;13:261–76.
- [98] The PLoS Medicine Editors . Best practice in systematic reviews: the importance of protocols and registration. PLoS Med 2011;8:e1001009.
- [99] Harmon LJ, Losos JB. The effect of intraspecific sample size on Type I and Type II error rates in comparative studies. Evolution 2005;59: 2705–10.