Research Article



The association between *Interleukin-6* rs1800795/rs1800797 polymorphisms and risk of rotator cuff tear in a Chinese population

Jin Li¹, Lifeng Jiang², Xindie Zhou³, Lidong Wu², Dong Li⁴ and ^(D) Gang Chen¹

¹Department of Orthopedic Surgery, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Jiaxing University, Jiaxing 314000, China; ²Department of Orthopedic Surgery, The Second Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou 310000, China; ³Department of Orthopedics, The Affiliated Changzhou No.2 People's Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Changzhou 213000, China; ⁴Department of Trauma Center, The Affiliated Changzhou No.2 People's Hospital University, Changzhou 213000, China; ⁴Department of Trauma Center, The Affiliated Changzhou No.2 People's Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Changzhou 213000, China; ⁴Department of Trauma Center, The Affiliated Changzhou No.2 People's Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Changzhou 213000, China;

Correspondence: Dong Li (961014296@qq.com) or Gang Chen (chengangixey@126.com)



Expression of proinflammatory cytokines, such as interleukin (IL)-6 (IL-6) and metalloproteases, are elevated in patients with rotator cuff tear (RCT). In order to investigate the role of IL-6 gene polymorphisms on RCT risk, we genotyped two SNPs on IL-6 gene (rs1800795 and rs1800797) in 138 RCT patients and 137 healthy controls using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and Sanger sequencing. The IL-6 expression in shoulder joint synovial fluid was determined by using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) method. The constant score and visual analog scale (VAS) were used to evaluate the clinical outcome of two s (surgicsal vs. conservative) for RCT patients. For rs1800795, individuals with the GG genotype or G allele had significantly higher risk of RCT. Elevated risk of tear size was associated with the GG genotype of the rs1800795 polymorphism. The IL-6 rs1800797 polymorphism was also associated with an increased risk of RCT, especially among female, drinkers, and individuals with $B(MI) < 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$. The elevated levels of *IL*-6 gene were observed among the mutant genotype of rs1800795/rs1800797 polymorphism. Surgical group is significantly better than conservative treatment from the perspective of constant score and VAS. Furthermore, CG genotype of rs1800795 polymorphism increased the constant score at 6 months in comparison with CC genotype. In conclusion, our study supports a role of IL-6 rs1800795/rs1800797 polymorphisms on increased RCT risk. The RCT patients with CG genotype of rs1800795 polymorphism have more obvious surgical treatment effects by influencing the IL-6 expression.

Introduction

Rotator cuff disease is the most common etiology of shoulder pain, which leads to discomfort, pain and motor dysfunction [1]. Rotator cuff tear (RCT) is present in 20–54% of persons aged between 60 and 80 years [2,3]. As the population ages, the prevalence of RCTs increases significantly [4]. There is evidence that metabolic disorders (diabetes, obesity, hypercholesterolemia), hypertension and cigarette smoking are risk factors for RCT development [5]. In addition to behavioral influences, familial and genetic factors that influence RCT development have also been identified [6].

Patients with full-thickness RCT have increased levels of synovial inflammation in comparison with healthy controls [7]. Interleukin (IL)-6 (IL-6), which is rapidly and transiently produced in response to infections and tissue injuries, contributes to host defense [8]. In patients with RCT, expression of IL-1, IL-6 and TNF- α in the subacromial bursa has been shown to be elevated in comparison with healthy controls [9]. Additionally, higher IL-1 β and IL-6 levels were related to more severe pain in animal RCT models [10]. IL-6 expression levels are higher in ruptured Achilles tendon compared with normal Achilles tendon and painful Achilles tendon [11].

Received: 28 January 2020 Revised: 05 April 2020 Accepted: 07 April 2020

Accepted Manuscript online: 09 April 2020 Version of Record published: 21 April 2020



IL-6 is located on chromosome 7p15.3 and has six exons. *IL*-6 gene variants have been studied in various diseases. However, little is known about their association with susceptibility to RCT. The rs1800795 (-174 G>C) and rs1800797 (-597 A>G) polymorphisms are located in the promoter region of *IL*-6 gene, and these polymorphisms influence the production of IL-6 in plasma [12,13]. As such, we performed a case–control study to evaluate the role of *IL*-6 gene polymorphisms (rs1800795 and rs1800797) on the risk of RCT in a Chinese population. Additionally, the study also evaluated the association between IL-6 gene polymorphisms and clinical outcome of two management of RCT (operative vs. consevative).

Methods Study subjects

One hundred and thirty-eight patients were recruited for the present study and were screened with magnetic resonance imaging to confirm full-thickness supraspinatus or infraspinatus RCT at the Second Affiliated Hospital of Jiaxing University and The Second Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine between October 2015 and December 2018. Exclusion criteria included partial-thickness RCT, calcifying tendinitis, trauma or systemic disease, or any patient who had undergone a surgical procedure on the injured shoulder. The control group (matched for age and sex) consisted of individuals receiving a routine health examination at the same hospitals and without symptoms of shoulder pain and clinical signs of rotator cuff diseases. Participants with prior operations on their shoulder or a history of humeral fracture were excluded from the present study. All participants completed self-designed questionnaire forms (sex, age, drinking status, smoking status and body mass index (BMI)) that included medication use, personal history of rotator cuff disease and inflammatory disease.

The present study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the above two hospitals. All participants provided written informed consent prior to participation in the study.

DNA extraction and genotyping

We extracted genomic DNA from 2 ml whole blood sample using the QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Optical density at 260/280 nm was measured to evaluate the quality of the extracted DNA. Genotyping was performed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and Sanger sequencing. The primers were: 5'-CTGGCAGCACAAGGCAAAC-3' (rs1800797F) and 5'-AGGCAACTGGACCGAAGG-3' (rs1800795R). PCR amplification was performed in a 25-µl reaction volume containing 200 µM dNTPs, $10 \times$ PCR buffer of 1.5 µM MgCl₂, 1 U Taq polymerase, 100 ng genomic DNA and 20 pmol of each pair of primer and ddH₂O. The PCR product was analyzed on 2% agarose gel electrophoresis with ethidium bromide and was then photographed. The purified PCR product was sequenced by GenScript Inc. (Nanjing, China). Genotyping on a random selection (3%) of samples was repeated in a blind manner for quality control, and the results were 100% concordant.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay

Shoulder joint synovial fluid was collected from RCT patients. The collected synovial fluid was centrifuged and the supernatants were stored at -80° C until use. The IL-6 levels were determined using the human IL-6 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) Kit (Sino Biological, Beijing, China) following manufacturer's recommendations. The IL-6 level was calculated by interpolation from a standard curve.

Outcome assessment

We divided RCT patients into two groups: surgical and conservative groups. The patients from surgical group was diagnosed with RCT through magnetic resonance imaging. Conservative management includes nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, physiotherapy and rest. Patients' injured shoulder had not previously undergone surgery. We evaluate the clinical outcome at baseline, 6 and 12 months after surgical group and at inclusion for the conservative group. The clinical outcome was evaluated by constant score and visual analog scale (VAS). The constant score includes shoulder function test (65 points) and patients' subjective assessment (35 points). The pain and disability were determined with VAS. The score varies from 0 to 9, and higher scores indicate higher levels of pain and disability.

Statistical analyses

A Student's *t* test or χ^2 test was used to assess differences in mean and frequency distributions of demographic and clinical characteristics among cases and controls. We calculated the odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) to evaluate the association between IL-6 gene polymorphisms and risk of RCT by logistic regression analyses, and adjusted for sex and age. A goodness-of-fit chi-squared test was used to test the departure from Hardy–Weinberg



Table 1 The demographics and risk factors of study popula

Characteristics	Case (n=138)	Control (n=137)	Р
Age, years	52.66 <u>+</u> 10.98	53.12 <u>+</u> 11.05	0.731
Sex			0.184
Male	75 (54.3%)	64 (46.7%)	
Female	63 (45.7%)	73 (53.3%)	
BMI, kg/m ²	25.87 <u>+</u> 3.18	25.99 <u>+</u> 3.21	0.757
Smoking			0.763
Yes	68 (49.3%)	70 (51.1%)	
No	70 (50.7%)	67 (48.9%)	
Alcohol			0.764
Yes	66 (47.8%)	68 (49.6%)	
No	72 (51.2%)	69 (50.4%)	
Affected side			
Right	80 (58.0%)		
Left	58 (42.0%)		
Family history of RCT			
Yes	11 (8.0%)		
No	127 (92.0%)		
Tear size			
Small	71 (51.4%)		
Medium	41 (29.7%)		
Large	26 (18.8%)		
Pre-operative stiffness			
Yes	38 (27.5%)		
No	100 (72.5%)		

equilibrium (HWE) of IL-6 genotype distributions in controls. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS (var. 9.1.3; SAS Institute, Cary, NC, U.S.A.). *P*<0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

Results

Characteristics of the study population

One hundred and thirty-eight RCT patients and 137 healthy controls were recruited in the present study. The average age of the RCT patients was 52.66 years compared with 53.12 years for the controls (P=0.128). There was no significant difference between the two groups with regard to sex, smoking status, alcohol consumption, BMI. We also recorded family history of RCT and tear size of RCT patients to investigate its association with IL-6 rs1800795/rs1800797 polymorphisms (Table 1).

Quantitative analysis

The genotype distributions of *IL-6* gene polymorphisms among cases and controls are shown in Table 2. No significant deviation from HWE was found for rs1800795/rs1800797 polymorphisms in both cases and controls, suggesting these subjects are representative of the local population. The *IL-6* rs1800795 polymorphism was significantly associated with an increased risk of RCT under the homozygous and allelic models. Moreover, the GG or GG+AG genotype of *IL-6* rs1800797 polymorphism showed significant correlation with risk of RCT compared with AA genotype (GG vs. AA; OR, 2.29; 95% CI, 1.11–4.72; P=0.025; GG+AG vs. AA; OR, 1.69; 95% CI, 1.03–2.79; P=0.040). The *IL-6* rs1800797 polymorphism demonstrated significant association with risk of RCT under the allelic model. After adjusting for sex age and BMI, these findings remained significant.

We performed subgroup analyses according to sex, smoking status, alcohol consumption, ageand BMI (Table 3). For rs1800795, there were no significant differences in the frequencies of genotypes and alleles for the rs1800795 polymorphism between these groups. However, we found a significant positive association between *IL-6* rs1800797 polymorphism and RCT risk among women and drinkers in the homozygous model. This significant association was also evident in subgroups of subjects with BMI < 25 kg/m^2 .

Most clinical characteristics of RCT patients did not demonstrate and significant association with the *IL-6* rs1800795 polymorphism (Table 4). However, the GG genotype of rs1800795 polymorphism was more frequent in



Models	Genotype	Case (n, %)	Control (n, %)	OR (95% CI)	P-value	*OR (95% CI)	*P-value
rs1800795							
Wild	CC	39 (28.5%)	54 (39.7%)	1.00 (reference)	-	1.00 (reference)	-
Heterozygote	CG	69 (50.4%)	64 (47.1%)	1.49 (0.88–2.55)	0.141	1.48 (0.86–2.54)	0.155
Homozygote	GG	29 (21.2%)	18 (13.2%)	2.23 (1.09–4.57)	0.029	2.10 (1.01–4.33)	0.046
Dominant	CC	39 (28.5%)	54 (39.7%)	1.00 (reference)	-	1.00 (reference)	-
	GG+CG	98 (71.5%)	82 (60.3%)	1.66 (0.99–2.74)	0.051	1.62 (0.97–2.70)	0.066
Recessive	CG+CC	108 (78.8%)	118 (86.8%)	1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference)	-
	GG	29 (21.2%)	18 (13.2%)	1.76 (0.93–3.35)	0.085	1.66 (0.87–3.19)	0.126
Allele	С	147 (53.6%)	172 (63.2%)	1.00 (reference)	-	1.00 (reference)	-
	G	127 (46.4%)	100 (36.8%)	1.49 (1.06–2.09)	0.023	-	-
rs1800797							
Wild	AA	41 (29.9%)	57 (41.9%)	1.00 (reference)	-	1.00 (reference)	-
Heterozygote	AG	68 (49.6%)	62 (45.6%)	1.53 (0.90–2.59)	0.118	1.56 (0.91–2.66)	0.104
Homozygote	GG	28 (20.4%)	17 (12.5%)	2.29 (1.11–4.72)	0.025	2.29 (1.10–4.77)	0.026
Dominant	AA	41 (29.9%)	57 (41.9%)	1.00 (reference)	-	1.00 (reference)	-
	GG+AG	96 (70.1%)	79 (58.1%)	1.69 (1.03–2.79)	0.040	1.72 (1.04–2.85)	0.036
Recessive	AG+AA	109 (79.6%)	119 (87.5%)	1.00 (reference)	-	1.00 (reference)	-
	GG	28 (20.4%)	17 (12.5%)	1.80 (0.93–3.47)	0.080	1.78 (0.92–3.47)	0.089
Allele	А	150 (54.7%)	176 (64.7%)	1.00 (reference)	-	1.00 (reference)	-
	G	124 (45.3%)	96 (35.3%)	1.52 (1.07–2.14)	0.018	-	-

Table 2 Genotype frequencies of IL-6 gene polymorphisms in cases and controls

The genotyping was successful in 137 cases and 136 controls for rs1800795; the genotyping was successful in 137 cases and 136 controls for rs1800797. Bold values are statistically significant (P<0.05). *Adjusted for age, sex and BMI.



patients with large tear size compared with patients with medium tear size, suggesting an association with tear size of RCT patients. Additionally, we observed no evidence of a significant association between rs1800797 polymorphism and clinical characteristics of RCT.

IL-6 expression in shoulder joint synovial fluid

We further investigated the effect of IL-6 gene polymorphism on the IL-6 expression using ELISA method. There is a significant difference among three genotypes of rs1800795/rs1800797 polymorphism with regard to the IL-6 levels (Figure 1). The mutant/heterozygous genotype had a higher IL-6 levels had a significantly higher expression of IL-6 in shoulder joint synovial fluid than wild genotype.

Outcome

A majority of RCT patients chose surgical treatment rather than conservative treatment (Table 5). No significant



Table 3 Stratified analyses between rs1800795/rs1800797 polymorphisms and the risk of RCT

CG vs. CC AB GG vs. CC AB GB vs. CC AB<	Variable		Case/control		Heterozygous	Homozygous	Recessive	Dominant
Inst Sex Maiu 20/20 S0/27 18/10 1.73 (0.81-3.73) 2.34 (0.85-6.16) 1.70 (0.72-4.02) 1.90 (0.33-3.89) Maiu 13/28 33/37 11.81 1.12 (0.82-6.16) 1.70 (0.72-4.02) 1.90 (0.33-3.69) Smoking U.474 0.200 0.200 1.22 (0.82-6.17) 1.22 (0.82-6.17) 1.22 (0.82-6.17) 1.44 (0.72-8.16) 0.017 Smoking U.474 0.233 1.70 (0.72-4.02) 1.40 (0.49-3.06) 1.44 (0.73-12) 0.017 Acchal U.474 0.233 0.77 (0.233,07) 1.26 (0.233-07) 1.26 (0.233-07) 1.26 (0.233-07) 1.26 (0.233-07) 1.26 (0.233-07) 1.26 (0.233-07) 1.26 (0.233-07) 1.26 (0.233-07) 1.26 (0.233-07) 1.26 (0.233-07) 1.26 (0.233-07) 1.26 (0.233-07) 1.26 (0.233-07) 1.26 (0.233-07) 1.26 (0.233-07) 1.27 (0.47,47) 1.88 (0.47-45,47) 0.187 0.017 0.017 0.0213 0.017 0.0213 0.017 0.0213 0.0213 0.0213 0.0213 0.0213 0.0213 0.0213 0.0213 0.0213 0.0213 <th></th> <th>сс</th> <th>CG</th> <th>GG</th> <th>CG vs. CC OR (95% CI), <i>P</i>-value</th> <th>GG vs. CC OR (95% Cl), <i>P</i>-value</th> <th>GG vs. CC+CG OR (95% CI), <i>P</i>-value</th> <th>GG+CG vs. CC OR (95% Cl), <i>P</i>-value</th>		сс	CG	GG	CG vs. CC OR (95% CI), <i>P</i> -value	GG vs. CC OR (95% Cl), <i>P</i> -value	GG vs. CC+CG OR (95% CI), <i>P</i> -value	GG+CG vs. CC OR (95% Cl), <i>P</i> -value
Joss Joss <thjoss< th=""> Joss Joss <thj< td=""><td>rs1800795</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></thj<></thjoss<>	rs1800795							
	Male	20/26	36/27	18/10	1.73 (0.81–3.73); 0.160	2.34 (0.89–6.16); 0.085	1.70 (0.72–4.02); 0.224	1.90 (0.93–3.89); 0.080
Smoling Second Se	Female	19/28	33/37	11/8	1.31 (0.62–2.78); 0.474	2.03 (0.69–5.97); 0.200	1.72 (0.64–4.58); 0.279	1.44 (0.70–2.95); 0.317
Yes 22/30 36/33 97 1.4/9 0.72-0.371 1.7/8 0.57-0.391 1.0/8 0.77-0.301 No 17/24 33/31 20/11 1.50 0.68-3.321 2.57 0.08-77-201 1.28 0.77-2037 Acome 30/28 35/54 10/6 1.460 0.8-3.321 2.57 0.68-5.57 0.10 0.74-0.328 0.713 0.213 0	Smoking							
No 17.24 33.31 20.11 1.50 (0.63-3.32), 0.27 (0.96-3.72), 0.20 (0.67-4.58), 0.176 (0.74-3.78), 0.276 1.78 (0.76-3.74), 0.276 Aborla 1.78 (0.76-3.78), 0.276 1.78 (0.76-3.78), 0.276 1.78 (0.76-3.78), 0.276 0.713 0.274 0.273 0.273 0.274 0.273 0.273 0.274 0.275 0.277 0.277 0.273 0.244 0.086 6.968 1.076 0.473 1.137 0.276 0.277 0.277 0.276	Yes	22/30	36/33	9/7	1.49 (0.72–3.07); 0.283	1.76 (0.57–5.43); 0.330	1.40 (0.49–3.99); 0.533	1.53 (0.77–3.08); 0.228
Alcohol Vision 20/28 36/24 10/46 10/46 1.44 (0.69-3.00); 0.035 2.33 (0.73-7.47); 0.156 1.88 (0.74-3.28); 0.263 1.58 (0.74-3.28); 0.274 1.58 (0.74-3.28); 0.274 1.58 (0.74-3.28); 0.274 1.56 (0.27-3.38); 0.274 1.44 (0.69-3.12); 0.276 1.56 (0.27-3.28); 0.276 1.46 (0.57-3.12); 0.157 1.46 (0.57-3.12); 0.38 1.44 (0.69-3.12); 0.38 1.48 (0.57-3.12); 0.316 1.48 (0.57-3.12); 0.316 1.48 (0.57-3.12); 0.316 1.48 (0.57-3.12); 0.38 1.44 (0.57-3.12); 0.38 1.48 (0.57-3.12); 0.38 1.20 (0.47, 5.1); 0.38	No	17/24	33/31	20/11	1.50 (0.68–3.32); 0.313	2.57 (0.98–6.72); 0.055	2.00 (0.87–4.58); 0.102	1.78 (0.85–3.74); 0.127
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Alcohol							
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Yes	20/28	35/34	10/6	1.44 (0.69–3.03); 0.335	2.33 (0.73–7.47); 0.154	1.88 (0.64–5.51); 0.250	1.58 (0.77–3.22); 0.213
Age (wars) ~ 60 29/3751/4219/131.55 (0.52-2.62)1.37 (0.72-4.39) 0.154 1.44 (0.67-3.12) 0.591 1.62 (0.82-2.91) 0.051 ≥ 60 10/1718/2210/5 1.39 (0.51-3.78) 0.517 3.40 (0.50-12.82) 0.071 2.79 (0.85-0.55) 0.068 1.76 (0.65-4.55) 0.298 BMI (kg/m ²) < 225 $24/33$ 36/4416/25 1.39 (0.57, 2.23) 0.778 1.20 (0.47, 3.11) 0.071 2.12 (0.94, 4.73) 0.077 Variablecase/controlHetrozygous 0.077 Recessive 0.077 Dominant 0.087 Variablecase/controlHetrozygous 0.077 Recessive 0.077 Dominant 0.087 Variablecase/controlHetrozygous 0.077 Recessive 0.067 Dominant 0.067 Variablecase/controlHetrozygous 0.077 Recessive 0.077 Dominant 0.067 Variablecase/controlHetrozygous 0.077 Recessive 0.067 Dominant 0.067 Variablecase/controlHetrozygous 0.067 Recessive 0.077 Dominant 0.067 Variablecase/controlHetrozygous 0.067 Recessive 0.067 Dominant 0.067 Variablecase/controlHetrozygous 0.077 Recessive 0.077 Dominant 0.067 Variablecase/controlHetrozygous 0.067 Recessive 0.077 Dominant 0.040 Variablecase/controlHetrozygous 0.040 Recessive 0.030 Dominant 0.040 Var	No	19/26	34/30	19/12	1.55 (0.72–3.35); 0.263	2.17 (0.85–5.51); 0.105	1.67 (0.74–3.78); 0.216	1.73 (0.84–3.54); 0.135
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Age (years)							
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	<60	29/37	51/42	19/13	1.55 (0.82–2.92); 0.177	1.87 (0.79–4.39); 0.154	1.44 (0.67–3.12); 0.351	1.62 (0.89–2.96); 0.114
$\begin{array}{ $	≥60	10/17	18/22	10/5	1.39 (0.51–3.78); 0.517	3.40 (0.90–12.82); 0.071	2.79 (0.86–9.05); 0.088	1.76 (0.69–4.53); 0.239
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	BMI (kg/m ²)							
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	<25	15/21	33/20	13/9	2.20 (0.92, 5.25); 0.076	1.93 (0.65, 5.68); 0.235	1.20 (0.47, 3.11); 0.701	2.12 (0.94, 4.78); 0.072
Variabletests/controlHeterozyouHemozyouRecessiveDominantAgriadowAgriad	≥25	24/33	36/44	16/25	1.13 (0.57, 2.23); 0.736	2.44 (0.93, 6.46); 0.071	2.28 (0.94, 5.52); 0.067	1.35 (0.70, 2.59); 0.367
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Variable		case/control		Heterozygous	Homozygous	Recessive	Dominant
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			10		AG vs. AA OR (95% Cl),	GG vs. AA OR (95% Cl),	GG vs. AA+AG OR (95% CI),	GG+AG vs. AA OR (95% CI),
rs1800797 Sex Male 25/25 34/30 15/9 1.13 (0.54-2.38); 0.740 1.67 (0.62-4.51); 0.314 0.56 (0.63-3.64); 0.520 0.521 0.520 0.521 <th></th> <th>AA</th> <th>AG</th> <th>GG</th> <th>P-value</th> <th>P-value</th> <th>P-value</th> <th>P-value</th>		AA	AG	GG	P-value	P-value	P-value	P-value
Sex Nale 25/25 34/30 15/9 $1.32, 0.74-2.39; 0.740$ $1.67, 0.63-4.51; 0.514$ $1.50, 0.63-3.84; 0.520, 0.520$ $1.50, 0.520, 0.520$ Fenale 16/32 34/32 13/8 $2.13, 0.39-4.59; 0.055$ 0.314 $0.080-5.41; 0.133, 0.520, 0.520, 0.510$ $0.330, 0.550, 0.330, 0.510$	rs1800797							
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Sex							
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Male	25/25	34/30	15/9	1.13 (0.54–2.38); 0.740	1.67 (0.62–4.51); 0.314	1.55 (0.63–3.84); 0.340	1.26 (0.63–2.52); 0.520
Smoking Yes 18/25 36/36 14/9 1.39 (0.65–2.98); 0.398 2.16 (0.77–6.07); 0.124 1.76 (0.71–4.38); 0.227 0.243 No 2.3/32 32/26 14/8 1.71 (0.81–3.61); 0.398 0.144 0.227 0.243 0.243 Alcohol 2.3/26 14/8 1.71 (0.81–7.61); 0.174 2.44 (0.88–6.76); 0.203 0.203 0.203 Alcohol 2.31 32/33 15/9 1.89 (0.86–4.17); 0.115 2.89 (1.02–8.19); 0.156 1.93 (0.78–4.78); 0.054 2.11 (0.99–4.48); 0.054 No 26/31 36/29 13/8 1.32 (0.64–2.71); 0.115 0.346 0.468 0.565–4.35); 0.285 0.263 Age (years) 2 32/37 49/44 18/10 1.29 (0.69–2.40); 0.427 0.488 (0.65–4.35); 0.285 0.2683 0.2683 Age (years) 2 2 19/18 10/7 2.35 (0.65–6.49); 0.113 1.80 (0.78–4.14); 0.44 (0.79–2.60); 0.231 0.283 0.283 0.263 0.263 0.2683 0.261 0.231 0.231 0.231 0.231 0.231 0.231 0.231 0.231 0.231 0.231 0.231 0.231 0.231 </td <td>Female</td> <td>16/32</td> <td>34/32</td> <td>13/8</td> <td>2.13 (0.98–4.59); 0.055</td> <td>3.25 (1.12–9.43); 0.030</td> <td>2.08 (0.80–5.41); 0.133</td> <td>2.35 (1.13–4.89); 0.022</td>	Female	16/32	34/32	13/8	2.13 (0.98–4.59); 0.055	3.25 (1.12–9.43); 0.030	2.08 (0.80–5.41); 0.133	2.35 (1.13–4.89); 0.022
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Smoking							
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Yes	18/25	36/36	14/9	1.39 (0.65–2.98); 0.398	2.16 (0.77–6.07); 0.144	1.76 (0.71–4.38); 0.227	1.54 (0.75–3.19); 0.243
AlcoholYes15/2632/3315/91.89 (0.86-4.17); 0.1152.89 (1.02-8.19); 0.0461.93 (0.78-4.78); 0.1562.11 (0.99-4.48); 0.054No26/3136/2913/81.32 (0.64-2.71); 0.1151.94 (0.70-5.39); 0.2051.68 (0.65-4.35); 0.2851.45 (0.74-2.86); 0.283Age (years)	No	23/32	32/26	14/8	1.71 (0.81–3.61); 0.157	2.44 (0.88–6.76); 0.088	1.85 (0.72–4.74); 0.203	1.88 (0.94–3.77); 0.075
Yes15/2632/3315/91.89 (0.86–4.17); 0.1152.89 (1.02–8.19); 0.1561.93 (0.78–4.78); 0.0542.11 (0.99–4.48); 0.054No26/3136/2913/81.32 (0.64–2.71); 0.4581.94 (0.70–5.39); 0.2051.68 (0.65–4.35); 0.2831.45 (0.74–2.86); 0.283Age (years) $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ <60	Alcohol							
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Yes	15/26	32/33	15/9	1.89 (0.86–4.17); 0.115	2.89 (1.02–8.19); 0.046	1.93 (0.78–4.78); 0.156	2.11 (0.99–4.48); 0.054
Age (years) <60 $32/37$ $49/44$ $18/10$ $1.29 (0.69-2.40); 0.427$ $2.08 (0.84-5.15); 0.166$ $1.80 (0.78-4.14); 0.233$ ≥ 60 $9/20$ $19/18$ $10/7$ $2.35 (0.85-6.49); 0.101$ $3.17 (0.91-11.03); 0.069$ $1.94 (0.66-5.72); 0.231$ $2.58 (0.99-6.67); 0.051$ BMI (kg/m ²) $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ ≥ 25 $15/23$ $32/20$ $15/6$ $2.58 (1.09, 6.12); 0.031$ $3.83 (1.22, 12.09); 0.221$ $2.23 (0.79, 6.28); 0.011$ $2.88 (1.28, 6.49); 0.031$ ≥ 25 $26/34$ $36/42$ $13/11$ $1.12 (0.57, 2.21); 0.741$ $1.55 (0.60, 4.00); 0.404$ $1.21 (0.64, 2.30); 0.562$	No	26/31	36/29	13/8	1.32 (0.64–2.71); 0.458	1.94 (0.70–5.39); 0.205	1.68 (0.65–4.35); 0.285	1.45 (0.74–2.86); 0.283
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Age (years)							
$ \stackrel{\geq 60}{=} \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<60	32/37	49/44	18/10	1.29 (0.69–2.40); 0.427	2.08 (0.84–5.15); 0.113	1.80 (0.78–4.14); 0.166	1.44 (0.79–2.60); 0.233
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	≥60	9/20	19/18	10/7	2.35 (0.85–6.49); 0.101	3.17 (0.91–11.03); 0.069	1.94 (0.66–5.72); 0.231	2.58 (0.99–6.67); 0.051
<25	BMI (kg/m ²)							
≥25 26/34 36/42 13/11 1.12 (0.57, 2.21); 1.55 (0.60, 4.00); 1.45 (0.61, 3.46); 1.21 (0.64, 2.30); 0.741 0.370 0.404 0.562								
	<25	15/23	32/20	15/6	2.58 (1.09, 6.12); 0.031	3.83 (1.22, 12.09); 0.021	2.23 (0.79, 6.28); 0.128	2.88 (1.28, 6.49); 0.011

Bold values are statistically significant (P < 0.05).



Table 4 The associations between IL-6 rs1800795/rs1800797 polymorphisms and clinical characteristics of RCT

Characteristics	Genotype distributions				
	CC	CG	GG	CG+GG	
rs1800795					
Tear size					
Large/Medium	4/15	12/21	10/5	14/26	
OR (95% Cl); P-value	1.0 (reference)	2.14 (0.58–7.95); 0.255	7.50 (1.61–34.95); 0.010	2.02 (0.56–7.26); 0.282	
Tear size					
Large/Small	4/20	12/36	10/14	22/50	
OR (95% Cl); <i>P</i> -value	1.0 (reference)	1.67 (0.47–5.86); 0.426	3.57 (0.93–13.72); 0.064	2.20 (0.67–7.19); 0.192	
Family history					
Yes/No	1/38	8/61	2/27	10/88	
OR (95% Cl); <i>P</i> -value	1.0 (reference)	4.98 (0.60–41.43); 0.137	2.82 (0.24–32.64); 0.408	4.32 (0.53–34.93); 0.170	
Characteristics		Genoty	pe distributions		
	AA	AG	GG	AG+GG	
rs1800797					
Tear size					
Large/Medium	4/12	16/18	5/11	21/29	
OR (95% Cl); <i>P</i> -value	1.0 (reference)	2.67 (0.72–9.95); 0.144	1.36 (0.29–6.42); 0.695	2.17 (0.61–7.68); 0.229	
Tear size					
Large/Small	4/25	16/34	5/12	21/46	
OR (95% Cl); <i>P</i> -value	1.0 (reference)	2.94 (0.88–9.88); 0.081	2.60 (0.59–11.49); 0.206	2.85 (0.88–9.24); 0.080	
Family history					
Yes/No	1/40	6/62	4/24	10/86	
OR (95% Cl); <i>P</i> -value	1.0 (reference)	3.87 (0.45–33.37); 0.218	6.67 (0.70–63.19); 0.098	4.65 (0.58–37.59); 0.149	

Bold values are statistically significant (P < 0.05).

Table 5 The functional baseline characteristics of patients with RCT

Variables	Surgery group (n=89)	Conservative group (n=49)	Р
Age, years	53.34 <u>+</u> 10.69	51.43 <u>+</u> 11.50	0.330
Sex			0.895
Male	48 (53.9%)	27 (55.1)	
Female	41 (46.1%)	22 (44.9)	
BMI, kg/m ²	25.45 ± 3.13	26.64 <u>+</u> 3.16	0.037
Smoking			0.037
Yes	38 (42.7%)	30 (61.2%)	
No	51 (57.3%)	19 (38.8%)	
Alcohol			0.104
Yes	38 (42.7%)	28 (57.1%)	
No	51 (57.3%)	21 (42.9%)	
Affected side			0.830
Right	51 (57.3%)	29 (59.2%)	
Left	38 (42.7%)	20 (40.8%)	
Family history of RCT			0.211
Yes	9 (10.1%)	2 (4.1%)	
No	80 (89.9%)	47 (95.9%)	
Tear size			0.263
Small	42 (47.2%)	29 (59.2%)	
Medium	27 (30.3%)	14 (28.6%)	
Large	20 (22.5%)	6 (12.2%)	
Constant score (range 0–100)			
Baseline	36.94 ± 3.44	37.93 <u>+</u> 3.40	0.107
6 months	66.05 ± 3.79	64.71 <u>+</u> 3.28	0.042
12 months	81.90 <u>+</u> 3.77	77.81 <u>+</u> 2.49	<0.001

Continued over



Table 5 The functional baseline characteristics of patients with RCT (Continued)

Variables	Surgery group (n=89)	Conservative group (n=49)	Р
VAS score (range 0–10)			
Baseline	6.74 ± 0.44	6.56 ± 0.38	0.017
6 months	2.62 ± 0.31	3.30 ± 0.38	<0.001
12 months	1.09 <u>+</u> 0.37	2.76 <u>+</u> 0.44	<0.001
Pold voluce are statistically signif	ficant $(D_{1}, 0, 0.5)$		

Bold values are statistically significant (P < 0.05).

Table 6 The association between IL-6 gene polymorphisms and functional outcome of surgery group at 1 year follow-up

Outcome	Genotype			Р
	Wild-type	Heterozygote	Homozygous	
	CC (n=21)	CG (<i>n</i> =47)	GG (<i>n</i> =20)	
rs1800795				
Constant score (range 0–100)				
Baseline	37.03 <u>+</u> 3.97	36.69 <u>+</u> 3.23	37.36 <u>+</u> 3.54	0.764
6 months	64.35 <u>+</u> 3.03	67.02 <u>+</u> 3.82	65.82 <u>+</u> 3.80	0.023
12 months	81.06 <u>+</u> 3.58	82.22 ± 3.89	82.27 <u>+</u> 3.64	0.463
VAS score (range 0–10)				
Baseline	6.77 <u>+</u> 0.50	6.66 ± 0.40	6.88 <u>+</u> 0.43	0.170
6 months	2.55 <u>+</u> 0.32	2.64 ± 0.32	2.63 <u>+</u> 0.28	0.570
12 months	1.13 <u>+</u> 0.38	1.13 <u>+</u> 0.37	0.99 ± 0.36	0.296
Outcome		Genotype		Р
—	Wild-type	Heterozygote	Homozygous	
	AA (n=28)	AG (n=45)	GG (n=15)	
rs1800797				
Constant score (range 0–100)				
Baseline	37.50 <u>+</u> 3.98	36.66 ± 3.06	36.56 ± 3.63	0.553
6 months	66.05 <u>+</u> 3.79	66.18 <u>+</u> 4.11	65.70 <u>+</u> 3.05	0.916
12 months	82.56 <u>+</u> 3.44	81.62 <u>+</u> 3.81	81.89 <u>+</u> 4.18	0.576
VAS score (range 0–10)				
Baseline	6.69 ± 0.50	6.78 <u>+</u> 0.42	6.70 <u>+</u> 0.38	0.625
6 months	2.65 <u>+</u> 0.26	2.61 <u>+</u> 0.33	2.59 <u>+</u> 0.35	0.770
12 months	0.99 ± 0.38	1.15 <u>+</u> 0.32	1.15 <u>+</u> 0.47	0.175

difference was observed among two groups with regard to age, sex, drinking, affected side, family history of RCT and tear size. Remarkably, the mean BMI and proportion of smokers differ significantly among two groups.

Table 5 also presents the follow-up results of RCT patients with/without surgery treatment. There was no significant difference in baseline constant score between surgery and conservative groups. The constant score of the surgery group was significantly higher than that of the conservation group (P<0.05) after 6 months or 1 year of treatment. The primary VAS in the surgery group was higher, but decreased significantly after surgery treatment. Above all, the surgical treatment was significantly better than conservation treatment through evaluating constant score and VAS.

The present study also assessed whether IL-6 gene polymorphism is associated with the clinical outcome of RCT patients receiving surgery treatment (Table 6). The basic constant score in three genotypes of rs1800795 polymorphism did not differ significantly. Notably, CG genotype of rs1800795 polymorphism has the highest constant score compared with CC or GG genotype at 6 months (P<0.05), indicating the significant association between IL-6 rs1800795 polymorphism. However, the finding is not applicable to rs1800797 polymorphism.

Discussion

Findings from the present study suggest that the *IL-6* rs1800795/rs1800797 polymorphisms are associated with an increased risk of RCT. The subgroup analyses performed suggest that the increased effect of rs1800797 polymorphism on RCT is stronger in women, drinkers and subjects with BMI < 25 kg/m². The *IL-6* rs1800795 polymorphism was



correlated with tear size of RCT patients. Additionally, the RCT patients with CG genotype of rs1800795 polymorphism have more obvious surgical treatment effects.

RCT rate increases after the age of 60 [14]. With advancing age, the cuff undergoes several internal changes, such as collagen disorganization and thinning, myxoid and hyaline degeneration, fatty infiltration and vascular proliferation [15]. In addition, the type II collagen variant at the fibrocartilage junctions of the tendon, which is primarily responsible for compressive load, converts into type III [16]. This decreases the capability of the tendon to withstand compressive loads and predisposes it to tear [16]. Moreover, IL-6 and/or soluble IL-6 receptor can down-regulate human type collagen gene expression in articular chondrocytes [17].

The association between *IL-6* rs1800795 polymorphism and disease risk such as cervical cancer [18]; polycystic ovary syndrome [19]; type 2 diabetes [20] and rheumatoid arthritis [21], has been widely investigated. Similarly, *IL-6* rs1800797 polymorphism has also been studied in various disease [22–25]. However, few studies have investigated its possible association with RCT susceptibility. Patients with full-thickness RCT have greater levels of synovial inflammation compared with controls and IL-6 is significantly increased in individuals with RCT [7]. In the present study, we conducted a hospital-based case–control study to evaluate the role of *IL-6* gene polymorphisms on the risk of RCT. *IL-6* rs1800795 polymorphism conferred susceptibility to RCT and was associated with the tear size. Additionally, we found a significant association between rs1800797 polymorphism and RCT risk, which appeared stronger in women, drinkers and subjects with BMI < 25 kg/m². To date, the present study represents the first to examine the association between *IL-6* gene variants and RCT risk and may help guide future studies in this area.

Piper et al. [26] conducted a meta-analysis with three Caucasian studies to evaluate operative versus nonoperative treatment for the management of full-thickness RCT. They observed statistically significant differences favoring surgery in both constant and VAS score [26]. The clinical outcome of surgery is significantly better than those of conservative treatment [26], which is similar to our results. Notably, we also evaluate the association between IL-6 gene polymorphisms and constant score and VAS for RCT patients. We found that the constant score of CG genotype of rs1800795 polymorphism significantly improved after 6 months of surgical treatment. Further studies with larger sample size was needed to verify the finding.

There are several limitations to the present study. First, limited availability to the original dataset prevented detection for gene–environment interactions, such as diet and lifestyle. Second, the sample size of the present study was relatively small, as so we cannot rule out the possibility of false-positive or false-negative results. Later, the multicenter studies with larger sample size were need to confirm these findings. Third, two polymorphisms cannot represent the whole *IL-6* gene and may have limited impact on the development of RCT. Lastly, his was a hospital-based case–control study, selection bias was unavoidable and the participants may not represent the whole population.

In conclusion, the *IL-6* rs1800795 or rs1800797 polymorphism showed a statistically significant association with RCT risk, and these polymorphisms may serve as potential biomarkers for early screening and treatment of RCT. Future investigations that include a larger sample size, as well as functional evaluation of the studied polymorphism, are warranted to confirm the findings of the present study.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that there are no competing interests associated with the manuscript.

Funding

The authors declare that there are no sources of funding to be acknowledged.

Author Contribution

Conceived and designed the experiments: D.L. and G.C. Performed the experiments: J.L. and X.Z. Analyzed the data: J.L. and L.J. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: L.W. Wrote the paper: J.L., D.L. and G.C.

Abbreviations

BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; HWE, Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium; IL, interleukin; OR, odds ratio; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; RCT, rotator cuff tear; VAS, visual analog scale.

References

- 1 Mitchell, C., Adebajo, A., Hay, E. and Carr, A. (2005) Shoulder pain: diagnosis and management in primary care. BMJ 331, 1124–1128, https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.331.7525.1124
- 2 Bartolozzi, A., Andreychik, D. and Ahmad, S. (1994) Determinants of outcome in the treatment of rotator cuff disease. *Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res.* 308, 90–97, https://doi.org/10.1097/00003086-199411000-00015



- 3 Kukkonen, J., Joukainen, A., Lehtinen, J., Mattila, K.T., Tuominen, E.K., Kauko, T. et al. (2015) Treatment of nontraumatic rotator cuff tears: a randomized controlled trial with two years of clinical and imaging follow-up. *J. Bone. Joint Surg. Am.* 97, 1729–1737, https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.N.01051
- 4 Gumina, S., Carbone, S., Campagna, V., Candela, V., Sacchetti, F.M. and Giannicola, G. (2013) The impact of aging on rotator cuff tear size. *Musculoskelet. Surg.* **97**, 69–72, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12306-013-0263-2
- 5 Abate, M., Di Carlo, L., Salini, V. and Schiavone, C. (2017) Risk factors associated to bilateral rotator cuff tears. *Orthop. Traumatol. Surg. Res.* **103**, 841–845, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otsr.2017.03.027
- 6 Tashjian, R.Z., Granger, E.K., Zhang, Y., Teerlink, C.C. and Cannon-Albright, L.A. (2016) Identification of a genetic variant associated with rotator cuff repair healing. J. Shoulder Elbow Surg. 25, 865–872, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jse.2016.02.019
- 7 Abrams, G.D., Luria, A., Carr, R.A., Rhodes, C., Robinson, W.H. and Sokolove, J. (2016) Association of synovial inflammation and inflammatory mediators with glenohumeral rotator cuff pathology. J. Shoulder Elbow Surg. 25, 989–997, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jse.2015.10.011
- 8 Tanaka, T., Narazaki, M. and Kishimoto, T. (2014) IL-6 in inflammation, immunity, and disease. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* 6, a016295, https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a016295
- 9 Voloshin, I., Gelinas, J., Maloney, M.D., O'Keefe, R.J., Bigliani, L.U. and Blaine, T.A. (2005) Proinflammatory cytokines and metalloproteases are expressed in the subacromial bursa in patients with rotator cuff disease. *Arthroscopy* 21, 1076.e1071–e1079, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arthro.2005.05.017
- 10 Yamazaki, H., Ochiai, N., Kenmoku, T., Ohtori, S., Sasho, T., Miyagi, M. et al. (2014) Assessment of pain-related behavior and pro-inflammatory cytokine levels in the rat rotator cuff tear model. J. Orthop. Res. 32, 286–290, https://doi.org/10.1002/jor.22486
- 11 Legerlotz, K., Jones, E.R., Screen, H.R. and Riley, G.P. (2012) Increased expression of IL-6 family members in tendon pathology. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* **51**, 1161–1165, https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/kes002
- 12 Bashashati, M., Moradi, M. and Sarosiek, I. (2017) Interleukin-6 in irritable bowel syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis of IL-6 (-G174C) and circulating IL-6 levels. *Cytokine* **99**, 132–138, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cyto.2017.08.017
- 13 Rana, B.K., Flatt, S.W., Health, D.D., Pakiz, B., Quintana, E.L., Natarajan, L. et al. (2017) The IL-6 gene promoter SNP and plasma IL-6 in response to diet intervention. *Nutrients* 9, 552, https://doi.org/10.3390/nu9060552
- 14 Tempelhof, S., Rupp, S. and Seil, R. (1999) Age-related prevalence of rotator cuff tears in asymptomatic shoulders. *J. Shoulder Elbow Surg.* **8**, 296–299, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1058-2746(99)90148-9
- 15 Hashimoto, T., Nobuhara, K. and Hamada, T. (2003) Pathologic evidence of degeneration as a primary cause of rotator cuff tear. *Clin. Orthop Relat. Res.* **415**, 111–120, https://doi.org/10.1097/01.blo.0000092974.12414.22
- 16 Pandey, V. and Jaap Willems, W. (2015) Rotator cuff tear: a detailed update. Asia Pac. J. Sports Med. Arthrosc. Rehabil. Technol. 2, 1–14
- 17 Poree, B., Kypriotou, M., Chadjichristos, C., Beauchef, G., Renard, E., Legendre, F. et al. (2008) Interleukin-6 (IL-6) and/or soluble IL-6 receptor down-regulation of human type II collagen gene expression in articular chondrocytes requires a decrease of Sp1.Sp3 ratio and of the binding activity of both factors to the C0L2A1 promoter. *J. Biol. Chem.* **283**, 4850–4865, https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M706387200
- 18 Liu, H., Lyu, D., Zhang, Y., Sheng, L. and Tang, N. (2017) Association between the IL-6 rs1800795 polymorphism and the risk of cervical cancer: a meta-analysis of 1210 cases and 1525 controls. *Technol. Cancer Res. Treat.* **16**, 662–667, https://doi.org/10.1177/1533034616672806
- 19 Chen, L., Zhang, Z., Huang, J. and Jin, M. (2018) Association between rs1800795 polymorphism in the interleukin-6 gene and the risk of polycystic ovary syndrome: a meta-analysis. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 97, e11558, https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000011558
- 20 Ghavimi, R., Sharifi, M., Mohaghegh, M.A., Mohammadian, H., Khadempar, S. and Rezaei, H. (2016) Lack of association between rs1800795 (-174 G/C) polymorphism in the promoter region of interleukin-6 gene and susceptibility to type 2 diabetes in Isfahan population. *Adv. Biomed. Res.* **5**, 18
- 21 Dar, S.A., Haque, S., Mandal, R.K., Singh, T., Wahid, M., Jawed, A. et al. (2017) Interleukin-6-174G>C (rs1800795) polymorphism distribution and its association with rheumatoid arthritis: a case-control study and meta-analysis. *Autoimmunity* **50**, 158–169
- 22 Saxena, M., Agrawal, C.G., Srivastava, N. and Banerjee, M. (2014) Interleukin-6 (IL-6)-597 A/G (rs1800797) & -174 G/C (rs1800795) gene polymorphisms in type 2 diabetes. *Indian J. Med. Res.* 140, 60–68
- 23 Lajunen, T.K., Jaakkola, J.J. and Jaakkola, M.S. (2016) Interleukin 6 SNP rs1800797 associates with the risk of adult-onset asthma. *Genes Immun.* 17, 193–198, https://doi.org/10.1038/gene.2016.8
- 24 Chou, S.C., Ko, H.W. and Lin, Y.C. (2016) CRP/IL-6/IL-10 single-nucleotide polymorphisms correlate with the susceptibility and severity of community-acquired pneumonia. *Genet. Test Mol. Biomarkers* 20, 732–740, https://doi.org/10.1089/gtmb.2016.0156
- 25 George, B.C., Dunnington, G.L. and DaRosa, D.A. (2018) Trainee autonomy and patient safety. Ann. Surg. 267, 820–822, https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.00000000002599
- 26 Piper, C.C., Hughes, A.J., Ma, Y., Wang, H. and Neviaser, A.S. (2018) Operative versus nonoperative treatment for the management of full-thickness rotator cuff tears: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Shoulder Elbow Surg.* 27, 572–576, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jse.2017.09.032