

## molecular<br>systems biology

## Kinase-two-hybrid: towards the conditional interactome

David Ochoa & Pedro Beltrao

The dynamics of the protein–protein interaction network and how it responds to biological perturbations remain difficult to assay by most traditional techniques. A novel kinase-dependent yeast two-hybrid framework by Stelzl and colleagues (Grossmann et al, <sup>2015</sup>) provides a new prism to study how tyrosine phosphorylation regulates the changes in the interactome under varying conditions.

Mol Syst Biol. (2015) 11: 798 See also: [A Grossmann](http://dx.doi.org/10.15252/msb.20145968) et al (March 2015)

he static nodes-and-edges view of the cell's interaction network is coming to an end as different technologies are allowing us to measure how the cell changes in response to different conditions (Ideker & Krogan, 2012). While some proteins cooperate to form relatively stable molecular factories such as the polymerases or the proteasome, others join forces from time to time to respond appropriately to external or internal stimuli. Similarly to the way that signalling pathways respond to a given stimulus, the interactome perceives perturbations and mobilizes the proteins that are required for elaborating a precise response. Although our understanding of the static version of the interactome has improved considerably during the past decade (Stelzl et al, 2005; Gavin et al, 2006; Krogan et al, 2006), the transient interactions and the mechanisms regulating them are a lot less understood. Grossmann et al (2015) address this challenge by developing a method for identifying protein interactions that depend on tyrosine phosphorylation events.

Protein post-translational modifications (PTMs) such as phosphorylation are one of the many mechanisms used by the cell to exert control over protein interactions. When a residue is modified, the binding affinity of the protein increases towards certain "reader" domains that interpret the modification in the context of the whole protein (Seet et al, 2006). For example, 14– 3–3 domains can bind to serine and threonine phosphosites, while the SH2 domains are known to recognize tyrosine phosphopeptides. These interactions can be affected by changes in cellular conditions via the activation of different protein kinases and the reversible recruitment of phosphobinding domains to phosphorylated proteins. Grossmann et al (2015) modified the standard yeast two-hybrid protocol (Y2H) by including active tyrosine kinases when assaying phospho-binding proteins as baits. Contrary to the canonical protocol, this system accounts for the major regulatory role of PTMs. For the first time, the authors were able to experimentally determine a large number of phospho-dependent protein interactions in a single experiment. As a result, they provided a list of 291 interactions that require tyrosine kinases and 336 interactions that are not kinase dependent.

Any new methodology requires a careful validation. Consequently, Grossmann et al (2015) extensively benchmarked the new yeast two-hybrid method against different validation sets. Firstly, a comparison of the identified kinase-dependent interactions with interactions previously described in the literature suggested that the majority of interactions remain to be discovered. Secondly, interactions were also mined for the presence of known tyrosine binding motifs, which explain  $\sim 1/6$  of the novel interactions. Finally, a subset of interactions was retested by co-IP assays in the presence/ absence of kinases and using mutated versions of the binding domains. In summary, the findings strongly support the view that the identified interactions are dependent on phosphorylation even if the majority cannot be explained by the known specificities of the corresponding phosphobinding domains. Additional work will be required to determine why known binding linear motifs only explain a small fraction of the phosphorylation dependence of these interactions.

The authors have exemplarily analysed in more detail the interaction between TSPAN2 and the phosphotyrosine-binding proteins GRB2 and PIK3R3. They showed that mutations of tyrosine residues of TSPAN2, in particular of Y124, strongly affected the interaction to GRB2/PIK3R3. Mutation of Y124 also significantly reduced the membrane protrusion phenotype that is induced by the expression of TSPAN2 in HEK293 cells. As illustrated by these followup experiments, the set of phosphodependent interactions collected by Grossmann et al (2015) serves as a useful resource that can be further explored by future studies.

This work is one of the recent method developments that are extending highthroughput technologies to allow the largescale characterization of "differential" cellular interaction networks (Ideker & Krogan, 2012). While the first decade of systems biology has charted out a detailed but static picture of the cell, these new methods will allow us to quickly determine how cells change as they react to cues. Developments in differential interaction network methods and single-cell technologies are opening up new fascinating research questions. What is the repertoire of different cellular states that exist and how specific are they? What

European Molecular Biology Laboratory, European Bioinformatics Institute (EMBL-EBI), Cambridge, UK. E-mail: pbeltrao@ebi.ac.uk DOI 10.15252/msb.20156107



## Figure 1. Protein interactions dependent on tyrosine phosphorylation.

(A) Conditional interaction networks. Signalling responses affect PTMs, such as tyrosine phosphorylation, which in turn trigger the formation of conditional (PTM-dependent) interactions. (B) Schematic of the modified Y2H assay presented by Grossman et al Active tyrosine kinases were added to allow detecting pY-dependent interactions between bait and prey proteins.

controls the transition between states and how deterministic and stable are they? The new method developed by Grossmann et al (2015) will contribute to addressing these fascinating questions.

## References

Gavin A-C, Aloy P, Grandi P, Krause R, Boesche M, Marzioch M, Rau C, Jensen LJ, Bastuck S, Dümpelfeld B, Edelmann A, Heurtier M-A, Hoffman V, Hoefert C, Klein K, Hudak M, Michon A-M, Schelder M, Schirle M, Remor M et al (2006) Proteome survey reveals modularity of the yeast cell machinery. Nature 440: 631 – 636 Grossmann A, Benlasfer N, Birth P, Hegele A, Wachsmuth F, Apelt L, Stelzl U (2015)

Phospho-tyrosine dependent protein-protein interaction network. Mol Syst Biol 11: 794 Ideker T, Krogan NJ (2012) Differential network biology. Mol Syst Biol 8: 565

- Krogan NJ, Cagney G, Yu H, Zhong G, Guo X, Ignatchenko A, Li J, Pu S, Datta N, Tikuisis AP, Punna T, Peregrín-Alvarez JM, Shales M, Zhang X, Davey M, Robinson MD, Paccanaro A, Bray JE, Sheung A, Beattie B et al (2006) Global landscape of protein complexes in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Nature 440: 637 – 643
- Seet BT, Dikic I, Zhou M-M, Pawson T (2006) Reading protein modifications with interaction domains. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 7: 473 – 483
- Stelzl U, Worm U, Lalowski M, Haenig C, Brembeck FH, Goehler H, Stroedicke M, Zenkner M, Schoenherr A, Koeppen S, Timm J, Mintzlaff S, Abraham C, Bock N, Kietzmann S, Goedde A, Toksöz E, Droege A, Krobitsch S, Korn B et al (2005) A human protein-protein interaction network: a resource for annotating the proteome. Cell 122: 957 – 968



License: This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.