

Article

The geographical diversification in varanid lizards: the role of mainland versus island in driving species evolution

Xia-Ming ZHU^a, Yu DU^{b,c}, Yan-Fu QU^b, Hong LI^b, Jian-Fang GAO^a, Chi-Xian LIN^c, Xiang JI^{b,*}, and Long-Hui LIN^{a,*}

^aHangzhou Key Laboratory for Ecosystem Protection and Restoration, College of Life and Environmental Sciences, Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou, 311121, China, ^bJiangsu Key Laboratory for Biodiversity and Biotechnology, College of Life Sciences, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, 210023, China and ^cHainan Key Laboratory for Herpetological Research, College of Fisheries and Life Science, Hainan Tropical Ocean University, Sanya, 572022, China

*Address correspondence to Long-Hui Lin and Xiang Ji, E-mail: linlh@outlook.com and jixiang@njnu.edu.cn

Handling editor: Zhi-Yun Jia

Received on 25 June 2019; accepted on 19 January 2020

Abstract

Monitor lizards (Varanidae) inhabit both the mainland and islands of all geological types and have diversified into an exceptionally wide range of body sizes, thus providing an ideal model for examining the role of mainland versus island in driving species evolution. Here we use phylogenetic comparative methods to examine whether a link exists between body size-driven diversification and body size-frequency distributions in varanid lizards and to test the hypothesis that island lizards differ from mainland species in evolutionary processes, body size, and life-history traits (offspring number and size). We predict that: 1) since body size drives rapid diversification in groups, a link exists between body size-driven diversification and body size-frequency distributions; 2) because of various environments on island, island species will have higher speciation, extinction, and dispersal rates, compared with mainland species; 3) as a response to stronger intraspecific competition, island species will maximize individual ability associated with body size to outcompete closely-related species, and island species will produce smaller clutches of larger eggs to increase offspring quality. Our results confirm that the joint effect of differential macroevolutionary rates shapes the species richness pattern of varanid lizards. There is a link between body size-driven diversification and body sizefrequency distributions, and the speciation rate is maximized at medium body sizes. Island species will have higher speciation, equal extinction, and higher dispersal rates compared with mainland species. Smaller clutch size and larger hatchling in the island than in mainland species indicate that offspring quality is more valuable than offspring quantity for island varanids.

Key words; body size, island, mainland, trait-driven diversification, Varanidae

Islands are the hotspots of biodiversity for their high levels of endemism, even if the number of species is lower than that on the mainland (Whittaker and Fernández-Palacios 2007). As a result of the strong oceanic influence, island climates are fairly anomalous (Whittaker and Fernández-Palacios 2007). Island-specific environment, combined with other factors (i.e., geographical isolation and ecological release), may affect lineage diversification (Losos and Ricklefs 2009). Yet as natural laboratories, it remains unclear

165

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

[©] The Author(s) (2020). Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Editorial Office, Current Zoology.

whether island species have higher diversification rates. Compared with their fellow mainland organisms, island species face fewer competitors and predators (lower interspecific predation pressures) and few preys (stronger intraspecific competition; MacArthur et al. 1972; Novosolov et al. 2016), thus having a suite of traits variation (Adler and Levins 1994). These include morphology (e.g., a tendency toward medium body size; Clegg and Owens 2002; Lomolino et al. 2012; Sandvig et al. 2019), behavior (increased intraspecific aggression; Robinson-Wolrath and Owens 2003), and life-history strategy (a shift to *K* strategy; Slavenko et al. 2015; Schwarz and Meiri 2017). Besides those biotic factors, some abiotic factors, such as isolation and area, have an indirect effect on animal body sizes, by influencing the identities and numbers of species that occur on islands (Raia and Meiri 2006).

There are 2 opposing arguments on the morphological difference between island and mainland species. The "island rule" describes a trend toward gigantism of small species and dwarfism of large species on islands (van Valen 1973). This rule represents the combined influences of ecological release (lacking competitors and predators), immigrant selection (small species likely to be limited by dispersal distances), thermoregulation and endurance climatic and environmental stress, and resource limitation (Lomolino et al. 2012). But the pattern is not general, especially for reptiles: snakes support the rule at the intraspecific level, whereas turtles and lizards disagree (Boback and Guyer 2007; Meiri 2007; Itescu et al. 2018).

The other argument "island syndrome" suggests that island species have a tendency toward greater body size, as higher population densities lead to reduced reproductive output (Adler and Levins 1994). Natural selection can alter female strategies with a change of population density (Chitty 1960; Sinervo et al. 2000). At high density, females favor laying fewer but high-quality offspring. At low density, females tend to lay many but small offspring. Thus, for lifehistory, island animals will shift toward "K strategy", selecting for offspring quality, rather than offspring number (MacArthur and Wilson 1967; MacArthur et al. 1972; Adler and Levins 1994; Slavenko et al. 2015). In reptiles, trait shifts following the predictions of the "island syndrome" are common. Island lizards lay smaller clutches of larger hatchlings than the closely-related similarsize mainland species (Raia et al. 2010; Novosolov et al. 2013; Schwarz and Meiri 2017). Meanwhile, lizards with different kinds of reproduction (variable/invariant clutch sizes) respond differently to "island syndrome": lizards with variable clutch size decrease clutch size and increase egg volume and hatchling size; the other lizards decrease clutch size and increase brood frequency, but not hatchling or egg size (Schwarz and Meiri 2017).

Monitor lizards of the family Varanidae (containing 80 species that all belong to 1 genus, *Varanus*; Uetz and Hošek 2019) provide an ideal model for examining the role of mainland versus island in driving species evolution. This group inhabits mainland east to Africa and south to Australia continent, and also inhabits islands of all geological types (oceanic, land-bridge, and continental fragment islands) in New Guinea, Philippines, Indonesia, and Solomon Islands (Pianka et al. 2004; Koch et al. 2013). Varanid lizards have diversified into an exceptionally wide range of body sizes the smallest short-tailed monitor *Varanus sparnus* (116 mm snout–vent length [SVL]; Doughty et al. 2014) to the largest Komodo dragon *V. komodoensis* (1,540 mm SVL; Ciofi et al. 2007).

Here we hypothesize that island lizards differ from mainland species in evolutionary processes, morphological trait (body size), and life-history traits (clutch size and hatchling mass) using phylogenetic comparative methods. We predict that: 1) since body size drives rapid diversification in groups, a link exists between body size-driven diversification and body size-frequency distributions 2) because of various environments on island, island species will have higher speciation, extinction, and dispersal rates, compared with mainland species; and 3) as a response to stronger intraspecific competition, island species will maximize individual ability associated with body size to outcompete closely-related species, and island species will produce smaller clutches of larger eggs to increase offspring quality.

Materials and Methods

Data collection

We collected the following morphology and life-history data of monitor lizards from published literature: largest SVL of males (60 species) and females (50 species), largest clutch size (44 species), and largest hatchling mass (35 species; Supplementary Table S1). For geographic state speciation and extinction (GeoSSE) analysis, we classified species as island (21 species), mainland (14 species), or both (25 species; Figure 1A), using distribution data from Lin and Wiens (2017) and distribution map of IUCN (https://www.iucnred list.org/). For Brownian motion (BM), Ornstein–Uhlenbeck (OU), ordinary least squares (OLS), and phylogenetic generalized least square (PGLS) analyses, we classified species as mainland species (>80% of their distribution area is on the mainland) and island species (<20% of their distribution area is on the mainland, that is, excluding *V. dumerilii* and *V. salvator*).

We obtained a time-calibrated phylogeny from Lin and Wiens (2017), including 60 varanid species (75% of the 80 currently described species; Uetz and Hošek 2019). For each consequent analysis, we prune the phylogeny according to extant trait data, using packages "ape" (Paradis et al. 2004) and "geiger" (Harmon et al. 2008).

Body size-driven diversification

To determine if body size influences rates of species diversification, we used the quantitative state speciation and extinction (QuaSSE) model implemented in package "diversitree" (FitzJohn 2010, 2012) to examine continuous speciation rate. We used the lowest delta Akaike information criterion (Δ AIC) score to choose the best-fit model among models (see Supplementary Table S2) with following changes in speciation rate: constant (no relationship), linear (increases or decreases linearly), sigmoidal (with a sigmoidal curve) and hump (i.e., maximum rate occurs in median body size value), and 3 drift models.

We tested whether the pattern of body size evolution in island lizards differed from mainland species by fitting 2 BM models and 3 OU models using package "OUwie" (Beaulieu et al. 2012). Three key parameters describing morphological evolution in these models: the rate of adaptation to the optimal state (α), evolutionary rate (σ^2), and optimum value (θ). Single-rate BM (BM1) is the simplest BM model, with a single σ^2 . Multi-rate BM (BMS) is a complex BM model, with different σ^2 between the island and mainland. OU1 is the simplest OU model with a single θ . The OUM model has different θ , but with a single σ^2 for island and mainland species. Finally, the OUMV model is an OU model with different σ^2 and θ for island and mainland species. We sampled potential histories for distribution in proportion to their posterior probability (Huelsenbeck et al. 2003) by creating 1,000 stochastic character maps with the *make.-simmap* function in package "phytools" (Revell 2012).

Comparing diversification and dispersal between regions

We tested the differences in evolutionary processes (speciation, extinction, and dispersal rates) between island and mainland species using the GeoSSE model (Goldberg et al. 2011), implemented in package "diversitree" (FitzJohn 2012). The GeoSSE model is an extension of the binary state speciation and extinction model (Maddison et al. 2007), including 3 speciation parameters, 2 extinction parameters, and dispersal parameters. The speciation parameters s_{Island} and $s_{Mainland}$ represent a species occurring on island or mainland; and s_{Btw} represents a species occurring on both islands and the mainland. The extinction parameters included in the model are x_{Island} and x_{Mainland}. Two dispersal parameters included the model are d_{Island} and $d_{Mainland}$, respectively, representing range expansion of island and mainland lineages. We tested a set of 13 distinct models (see Supplementary Table S3), all these 7 parameters can be allowed to vary freely or equally between island and mainland. We tested a model in which all parameters were free to vary, setting 1 or more parameters to be equal between 2 regions for a series of constrained submodels on this basis. We used the lowest ΔAIC score to choose the best-fit model. To account for model uncertainty, we sampled the posterior probability distribution of those parameters using Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC), with a broad exponential prior (mean of 0.5), run for 1,000 generations.

Comparing traits evolution between regions

To test if reproductive traits differed between island and mainland species, we used both OLS and PGLS regressions, with clutch size and hatchling mass as the response variables, and female body size and region (island versus mainland) as the explanatory variables. The ecological settings of large islands resemble the mainland, because of numerous predators and competitors (Badano et al. 2005).

Hence we also run similar analyses for species inhabiting small islands (excluding islands >50,000 km²; Novosolov and Meiri 2013) and the mainland. We performed all analyses using packages "caper" (Orme et al. 2018) and "rms" (Harrell 2015).

Results

Body size-driven diversification in varanids

Body size-frequency distributions have a hump-shaped pattern (Figure 1B). QuaSSE analysis indicates that the hump model (w = 0.40) is preferred among the 7 models (constant, linear, sigmoidal, hump, and 3 drift models) of body size-driven diversification (Figure 1C, Supplementary Table S2). The hump-shaped trait-driven speciation model indicates that speciation rates are highest ($\lambda max = 0.137$) close to medium values (log_{SVL} around 2.568), and lowest ($\lambda min = 0.007$) at extreme value, consistent with body size-frequency distributions (Figure 1B).

The mean body size of island species is 2.70 (log-transformation, Standard deviation [SD] = 0.17), and that of mainland species is 2.56 (SD = 0.30). The best-supported and simplest model (BM1 model, w = 0.40) among the 5 models (BM1, BMS, OU1, OUM, and OUMV) indicates that neither the evolutionary rates nor the optimum values in body size differ between mainland and island varanids (Table 1).

Comparing diversification and dispersal between regions

GeoSSE analysis indicates that the best-supported model is the model setting equal extinction rate for islands and the mainland ($x_{Island} = x_{Mainland}$; Supplementary Table S3). In this model, the speciation rate is higher for island species (s_{Island} , range from 0.06 to 0.21 lineages Myr⁻¹) than for mainland species ($s_{Mainland}$, range from 4.54 × 10⁻⁵ to 0.09 lineages Myr⁻¹), and the speciation rate is low for species occurring on both islands and mainland (s_{Brw} ; Figure 1D, Supplementary Table S3). Dispersal from island to

Figure 1. Phylogeny (A) is shown with colors indicating quantitative values for body size (SVL), colored fonts indicate regions [Lin and Wiens (2017) with some modifications]. Histogram of body size-frequency distributions (B), and the preferred models (C) of body size-driven diversification. Rates of speciation (D) and dispersal (E) for island and mainland varanids. Probability density plots are based on 1,000 MCMC samples of the best-fit model under GeoSSE.



 Table 1. Model fit and estimated parameters of supported BM and OU models

Model		ΔΑΙΟ	w	σ^2		θ		
				Island	Mainland	Island	Mainland	
BM	BM1	0	0.40	2.50	$\times 10^{-3}$	2.743		
	BMS	0.85	0.26	3.43×10^{-3}	1.90×10^{-3}	2.655	2.745	
OU	OU1	2.00	0.15	2.52	$\times 10^{-3}$	2.742		
	OUM	3.12	0.08	2.47	$\times 10^{-3}$	2.617	2.760	
	OUMV	2.85	0.10	$3.43 imes 10^{-3}$	1.90×10^{-3}	2.655	2.745	

The parameters are: σ^2 , the rate of stochastic trait evolution; θ , the evolutionary trait optimum. BM and OU models are: BM1, the simplest BM model with a single σ^2 for the whole clade; BMS, a complex BM model, with different σ^2 for mainland and island species; OU1, the simplest OU model, with a single θ ; OUM, a complex OU model with a single σ^2 but different θ ; OUMV, a complex OU model with different σ^2 and θ . Parameter estimates are reported as mean across 1,000 stochastic maps generated using SIMMAP.

mainland (d_{Island}) is almost 10 times more frequent than dispersal from mainland to island ($d_{Mainland}$; Figure 1E, Supplementary Table S3).

The island syndrome in varanids

PGLS analysis shows a strong influence of female body size on reproductive traits (clutch size: $r^2 = 0.366$, $F_{3, 40} = 7.681$, P < 0.001; hatchling mass: $r^2 = 0.844$, $F_{3, 31} = 56.08$, P < 0.001), with no significant interaction between region and female body size. We adopted the results of the PGLS model, for the Δ AIC value in the PGLS model indicates that: 1) clutch size is smaller on islands than on the mainland; and 2) there is no significant difference in hatchling mass between island and mainland varanids, but island varanids show a trend of increased hatchling mass (Table 2; Figure 2A,B). When excluding species inhabiting large islands (>50,000 km²), the PGLS model provides a better fit than the OLS model, which indicates that: 1) clutch size is smaller on islands than on the mainland; and 2) hatchling mass is larger on island than on the mainland; and 2) hatchling mass is larger on island than on the mainland (Supplementary Table S4, Figure 2C,D).

Discussion

Body size distributions and speciation

Body size plays a major role in geographic range size (Gaston and Blackburn 1996; Inostroza-Michael et al. 2018), and evolutionary history, including speciation, extinction, and dispersal rates (Cardillo et al. 2005; Fontanillas et al. 2007; Wollenberg et al. 2011). Body size among closely-related species may influence body size-frequency distributions through changing evolutionary history. In this study body size-frequency distributions have a hump-shaped pattern (Figure 1B), which was consistent with the speciation pattern (Figure 1C). Using the QuaSSE algorithm, a hump-shaped model for speciation was chosen, with the fastest rate slightly above the intermediate size. There are 3 scenarios about the evolutionary tendency in body sizes. First, Cope's rule claims that body size increases over evolutionary time because the largest body size has the greatest fitness (Cope 1887; Brown and Maurer 1989; Avaria-Llautureo et al. 2012). Previous studies on Oryzomyini rodents (Avaria-Llautureo et al. 2012), mammals in general (Raia et al. 2012) and 2 common groups of snakes in North America (Crotalinae and Thamnophiini; Burbrink and Myers 2014) supported Cope's rule. Second, miniaturization hypothesis: a

lineage unusually prefers the evolution of small adult size (Yeh 2002). Animals with small body sizes have smaller and more strongly fragmented ranges because of limited dispersal capabilities and low physiological tolerances, facilitating reproductive isolation, and speciation (Wollenberg et al. 2011). The studies on therocephalians and Lampropeltini snakes supported miniaturization hypothesis. Ancestral therocephalian was a large macro-predator, and later evolved toward small body size (Brocklehurst 2019). Diversification in Lampropeltini snakes decreased with increasing body size (Burbrink and Myers 2014). Third, the highest speciation rate is at the modal body size, such as primates (FitzJohn 2010) and Serpentes (Feldman et al. 2016). Our results support this last scenario. In a certain amount of time for speciation, high speciation rate lead to high species richness, which could be attributable to great reproductive potential (e.g., Brown et al. 1993; cf. Jones and Purvis 1997), ecological dominance in terms of population density (Damuth 1993), small geographic range sizes (and thus high spatial turnover, e.g., Brown and Nicoletto 1991; Agosta and Bernardo 2013), and great variety of ecological niches available to medium-sized species (e.g., Hutchinson and MacArthur 1959). Overall, there exists a link between trait-driven diversification and body size-frequency distributions, and the highest speciation rate is at the modal body size.

In a multivariate context, BM is the best model not just when evolution proceeds according to BM, but also when evolution is so complex that a single, simplistic model works best (Adams and Collyer 2018). BM1 model indicates that either the evolutionary rates or the optimum values in body size do not differ between mainland and island varanids (Table 1), which do not support the "island syndrome." The "island rule" cannot be agreed or opposed by our results, because we do not have enough island-mainland pairs of closely-related species to test.

Island diversification and dispersal rates

Our GeoSSE analysis indicates that a model setting equal extinction rate for island and mainland species is preferred, whereas speciation and dispersal rates are higher for island species than mainland species (Supplementary Table S3). Higher extinction rates are found in insular and large-sized reptile species (Slavenko et al. 2016). Varanid lizards arose in Laurasia and subsequently spread to Africa and Australia, or arose in Gondwana and subsequently dispersed to other regions (Pianka et al. 2004). Molecular evidence indicates that varanid lizards disperse to Africa possibly via an Iranian route during 41 million years ago (Mya), and to Australia in the Late Eocene-Oligocene 32 Mya, consistent with an Asian origin (Vidal et al. 2012). Based on the phylogeny from Lin and Wiens (2017), the average node age is 4.211 (0.449-20.842) Mya for island species, and 8.153 (2.217-26.951) Mya for mainland species. The oldest varanid V. griseus (a node age of 26.951 Mya) is a mainland species, and the youngest V. melinus and V. cerambonensis (a node age of 0.449 Mya for both species) are island species (Lin and Wiens 2017). Given that varanids have originated on the mainland, mainland areas have more time to accumulate species.

The pattern of varanid species richness could be the result of the joint action of speciation time, speciation rate, and dispersal rate. As mentioned above, island species are younger than mainland species. Shorter time for island species to speciate and more frequently dispersal from island to mainland leads to lower species richness on island, whereas higher speciation rate for island species leads to higher species richness. These 3 factors result in roughly the same number of species between regions (39 on mainland and 46 on island, Figure 1A). Higher island dispersal may be related to considered



Figure 2. Relationship of clutch size and hatchling mass with female body size (SVL) on islands (blue circles) and the mainland (red triangles). Lines represent PGLS regressions, and 1 line was shown in Plot B because the difference between island and mainland species was not significant. (A, B) Results between all islands and mainland; (C, D) results between small islands (<50,000 km²) and mainland.

Table 2. Results for OLS and PGLS regressions of clutch size (logCS \sim logSVL + region) and hatchling mass (logHM \sim logSVL + region) evaluating the effect of region on reproductive traits

Model	Ν	lnLik	ΔΑΙC	w	λ	Slope (±SE)	r^2	F	P-value
OLS	44	3.88	6.23	0.04	_	0.98 (0.15)	0.54	23.73	< 0.001
PGLS	44	5.99	0	0.96	0.67	0.92 (0.19)	0.37	11.8	< 0.001
OLS	35	16.58	7.25	0.03	_	1.68 (0.11)	0.89	135.1	< 0.001
PGLS	35	19.21	0	0.97	0.61	1.56 (0.13)	0.84	86.83	< 0.001
	Model OLS PGLS OLS PGLS	ModelNOLS44PGLS44OLS35PGLS35	Model N InLik OLS 44 3.88 PGLS 44 5.99 OLS 35 16.58 PGLS 35 19.21	Model N lnLik ΔAIC OLS 44 3.88 6.23 PGLS 44 5.99 0 OLS 35 16.58 7.25 PGLS 35 19.21 0	Model N lnLik ΔAIC w OLS 44 3.88 6.23 0.04 PGLS 44 5.99 0 0.96 OLS 35 16.58 7.25 0.03 PGLS 35 19.21 0 0.97	Model N lnLik ΔAIC w λ OLS 44 3.88 6.23 0.04 - PGLS 44 5.99 0 0.96 0.67 OLS 35 16.58 7.25 0.03 - PGLS 35 19.21 0 0.97 0.61	ModelNlnLik ΔAIC w λ Slope ($\pm SE$)OLS443.886.230.04-0.98 (0.15)PGLS445.9900.960.670.92 (0.19)OLS3516.587.250.03-1.68 (0.11)PGLS3519.2100.970.611.56 (0.13)	ModelNlnLikΔAIC w λ Slope (±SE) r^2 OLS443.886.230.04-0.98 (0.15)0.54PGLS445.9900.960.670.92 (0.19)0.37OLS3516.587.250.03-1.68 (0.11)0.89PGLS3519.2100.970.611.56 (0.13)0.84	ModelNlnLikΔAIC w λ Slope (±SE) r^2 F OLS443.886.230.04-0.98 (0.15)0.5423.73PGLS445.9900.960.670.92 (0.19)0.3711.8OLS3516.587.250.03-1.68 (0.11)0.89135.1PGLS3519.2100.970.611.56 (0.13)0.8486.83

anomalous climate (strong oceanic influence) for similar latitude and limited carrying capacity (Whittaker and Fernández-Palacios 2007). In summary, our results confirm that joint effect of differential macroevolutionary rates shapes species richness pattern of varanid lizards, with higher speciation rate for island species, equal extinction rate, and more frequent dispersal from islands to mainland.

Our finding of extremely high island to mainland colonization rate is surprising. Unfortunately, the fossil record of varanids is unavailable at this time. Groups with a more complete record may offer a more robust system to test for differences in island and mainland dispersal using fossil data.

The island effect in life-history evolution

Our PGLS model analysis indicates that clutch size is smaller on islands than on the mainland, and that there is no significant

difference in hatchling mass between island and mainland varanids, but island varanids show a trend of producing larger hatchlings (Figure 2). That trend for the hatchling size is significant when excluding species inhabiting large islands (>50,000 km²) from the island species (Figure 2). Smaller clutch size and larger hatchling in island than in mainland species indicate that island varanids prefer offspring quality over quantity. Laying fewer but larger offspring is considered to be a combined result of stronger intraspecific competition and lower interspecific competition (MacArthur and Wilson 1967; Adler and Levins 1994; Schwarz and Meiri 2017). Offspring size is a crucial life-history trait because of its direct consequences for both parental and offspring fitness (Sinervo et al. 1992; Sakai and Harada 2004; Ji et al. 2007). Natural selection can favor females producing fewer, high-quality offspring (K strategy) at high density (Sinervo et al. 2000). Larger islands (mainland-like; Whittaker and Fernández-Palacios 2007) contain more competitors

and predators, and more available niches, reducing the effects of insularity. Our result suggests that island area impacts the effect of "island syndrome," particularly in a specific clade.

In summary, our results confirm the joint effect of differential macroevolutionary rates on the formation of species richness pattern in varanid lizards, a link between trait-driven diversification and body size-frequency distributions, and the highest speciation rate in species with medium body sizes. Speciation and dispersal rates are higher in island species, whereas extinction rate does not differ between island and mainland species. Island varanids produce fewer but larger offspring, suggesting that offspring quality is more valuable than offspring quantity for island species.

Funding

This work was supported by grants from the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province to L.-H.L. (LY17C030003), National Natural Science Foundation of China to L.-H.L. (31971414) and X.J. (31470471), and Finance Science and Technology Project of Hainan Province to Y.D. (ZDYF2018219). We thank an anonymous reviewer for his/her helpful comments.

Author Contributions

L.-H.L. and X.J. conceived the ideas. X.J. supervised the study. X.-M.Z., Y.D., Y.-F.Q., H.L., J.-F.G., C.-X.L., and L.-H.L. collected and analyzed the data. L.-H.L. and X.J. wrote the article. All authors reviewed and contributed to editing of the manuscript and approved of its final publication.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary material can be found at https://academic.oup.com/cz.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Adams DC, Collyer ML, 2018. Multivariate phylogenetic comparative methods: evaluations, comparisons, and recommendations. *Syst Biol* 67:14–31.
- Adler GH, Levins R, 1994. The island syndrome in rodent populations. *Q Rev Biol* 69:473–490.
- Agosta SJ, Bernardo J, 2013. New macroecological insights into functional constraints on mammalian geographical range size. *Proc Biol Sci* 280: 20130140.
- Avaria-Llautureo J, Hernández CE, Boric-Bargetto D, Canales-Aguirre CB, Morales-Pallero B et al., 2012. Body size evolution in extant oryzomyini rodents: cope's rule or miniaturization? *PLoS ONE* 7:e34654.
- Badano EI, Regidor HA, Núñez HA, Acosta R, Gianoli E, 2005. Species richness and structure of ant communities in a dynamic archipelago: effects of island area and age. J Biogeogr 32:221–227.
- Beaulieu JM, Jhwueng DC, Boettiger C, O'Meara BC, 2012. Modeling stabilizing selection: expanding the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck model of adaptive evolution. *Evolution* 66:2369–2383.
- Boback SM, Guyer C, 2007. Empirical evidence for an optimal body size in snakes. *Evolution* 57:345–451.
- Brocklehurst N, 2019. Morphological evolution in therocephalians breaks the hypercarnivore ratchet. Proc Biol Sci 286:20190590.
- Brown JH, Marquet PA, Taper ML, 1993. Evolution of body size: consequences of an energetic definition of fitness. Am Nat 142:573–584.

- Brown JH, Maurer BA, 1989. Macroecology: the division of food and space among species on continents. *Science* 243:1145–1150.
- Brown JH, Nicoletto PF, 1991. Spatial scaling of species composition: body masses of North American land mammals. Am Nat 138:1478–1512.
- Burbrink FT, Myers EA, 2014. Body size distributions at community, regional or taxonomic scales do not predict the direction of trait-driven diversification in snakes in the United States. *Global Ecol Biogeogr* 23:490–503.
- Cardillo M, Mace GM, Jones KE, Bielby J, Bininda-Emonds ORP et al., 2005. Multiple causes of high extinction risk in large mammal species. *Science* **309**:1239–1241.
- Chitty D, 1960. Population forces in the vole and their relevance to general theory. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol 22:277–280.
- Ciofi C, Puswati J, Winana D, de Boer ME, Chelazzi G et al., 2007. Preliminary analysis of home range structure in the Komodo monitor, *Varanus komodoensis. Copeia* 2007:462–470.
- Clegg SM, Owens PF, 2002. The 'island rule' in birds: medium body size and its ecological explanation. *Proc Biol Sci* 269:1359–1365.
- Cope ED, 1887. The Origin of the Fittest. New York (NY): Arno Press.
- Damuth J, 1993. Cope's rule, the island rule and the scaling of mammalian population density. *Nature* **365**:748–750.
- Doughty P, Kealley L, Fitch A, Donnellan SC, 2014. A new diminutive species of *Varanus* from the Dampier Peninsula, Western Kimberley Region, Western Australia. *Rec West Aust Mus* 29:128–140.
- Feldman A, Sabath N, Pyron RA, Mayrose I, Meiri S, 2016. Body sizes and diversification rates of lizards, snakes, amphisbaenians and the tuatara. *Global Ecol Biogeogr* 25:187–197.
- FitzJohn RG, 2010. Quantitative traits and diversification. Syst Biol 59: 619-633.
- FitzJohn RG, 2012. Diversitree: comparative phylogenetic analyses of diversification in R. *Methods Ecol Evol* **3**:1084–1092.
- Fontanillas E, Welch JJ, Thomas JA, Bromham L, 2007. The influence of body size and net diversification rate on molecular evolution during the radiation of animal phyla. BMC Evol Biol 7:95.
- Gaston KJ, Blackburn TM, 1996. Conservation implications of geographic range size-body size relationships. *Conserv Biol* 10:638–646.
- Goldberg EE, Lancaster LT, Ree RH, 2011. Phylogenetic inference of reciprocal effects between geographic range evolution and diversification. *Syst Biol* 60:451–465.
- Harmon LJ, Weir JT, Brock CD, Glor RE, Challenger W, 2008. GEIGER: investigating evolutionary radiations. *Bioinformatics* 24:129–131.
- Harrell FE Jr, 2015. *Rms: regression modeling strategies*. [cited 15 March 2019]. Available from: https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/rms/index. html.
- Huelsenbeck JP, Nielsen R, Bollback JP, 2003. Stochastic mapping of morphological characters. Syst Biol 52:131–158.
- Hutchinson GE, MacArthur RH, 1959. A theoretical ecological model of size distributions among species of animals. Am Nat 93:117–125.
- Inostroza-Michael O, Hernández CE, Rodríguez-Serrano E, Avaria-Llautureo J, Rivadeneira MM, 2018. Interspecific geographic range size-body size relationship and the diversification dynamics of Neotropical furnariid birds. *Evolution* 72:1124–1133.
- Itescu Y, Schwarz R, Donihue CM, Slavenko A, Roussos SA et al., 2018. Inconsistent patterns of body size evolution in co-occurring island reptiles. *Global Ecol Biogeogr* 27:538–550.
- Ji X, Du WG, Lin ZH, Luo LG, 2007. Measuring temporal variation in reproductive output reveals optimal resource allocation to reproduction in the northern grass lizard *Takydromus septentrionalis*. Biol J Linn Soc 91: 315–324.
- Jones KE, Purvis A, 1997. An optimum body size for mammals? Comparative evidence from bats. *Funct Ecol* 11:751–756.
- Koch A, Ziegler T, Böhme W, Arida E, Auliya M, 2013. Pressing problems: distribution, threats, and conservation status of the monitor lizards (Varanidae: Varanus ssp.) of Southeast Asia and the Indo-Australian archipelago. *Herpetol Conserv Biol* 8:1–62.
- Lin LH, Wiens JJ, 2017. Comparing macroecological patterns across continents: evolution of climatic niche breadth in varanid lizards. *Ecography* 40: 960–970.

Lomolino MV, Sax DF, Palombo MR, van der Geer AA, 2012. Of mice and mammoths: evaluations of causal explanations for body size evolution in insular mammals. *J Biogeogr* **39**:842–854.

- Losos JB, Ricklefs RE, 2009. Adaptation and diversification on islands. *Nature* **457**:830–836.
- MacArthur RH, Diamond JM, Karr JR, 1972. Density compensation in island faunas. *Ecology* 53:330–342.
- MacArthur RH, Wilson EO, 1967. The Theory of Island Biogeography. Princeton (NJ): Princeton University Press.
- Maddison WP, Midford PE, Otto SP, 2007. Estimating a binary character's effect on speciation and extinction. *Syst Biol* 56:701–710.
- Meiri S, 2007. Size evolution in island lizards. *Global Ecol Biogeogr* 16: 702–708.
- Novosolov M, Meiri S, 2013. The effect of island type on lizard reproductive traits. *J Biogeogr* 40:2385–2395.
- Novosolov M, Raia P, Meiri S, 2013. The island syndrome in lizards. *Global Ecol Biogeogr* 22:184–191.
- Novosolov M, Rodda GH, Feldman A, Kadison AE, Dor R et al., 2016. Power in numbers. Drivers of high population density in insular lizards. *Global Ecol Biogeogr* 25:87–95.
- Orme D, Freckleton R, Thomas G, Petzoldt T, Fritz S et al., 2018. Caper: comparative analysis of phylogenetics and evolution in R. [cited 15 March 2019]. Available from: https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/caper/index. html.
- Paradis E, Claude J, Strimmer K, 2004. APE: analyses of phylogenetics and evolution in R language. *Bioinformatics* 20:289–290.
- Pianka ER, King DR, King RA, 2004. Varanoid Lizards of the World. Bloomington (IN): Indiana University Press.
- Raia P, Carotenuto F, Passaro F, Fulgione D, Fortelius M, 2012. Ecological specialization in fossil mammals explains Cope's rule. Am Nat 179: 328–337.
- Raia P, Guarino FM, Turano M, Polese G, Rippa D et al., 2010. The blue lizard spandrel and the island syndrome. *BMC Evol Biol* 10:289.
- Raia P, Meiri S, 2006. The island rule in large mammals: paleontology meets ecology. *Evolution* 60:1731–1742.
- Revell LJ, 2012. Phytools: an R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other things). *Methods Ecol Evol* 3:217–223.

- Robinson-Wolrath SI, Owens IPF, 2003. Large size in an island-dwelling bird: intraspecific competition and the dominance hypothesis. *J Evol Biol* 16: 1106–1114.
- Sakai S, Harada Y, 2004. Size-number trade-off and optimal offspring size for offspring produced sequentially using a fixed amount of reserves. J Theor Biol 226:253–264.
- Sandvig EM, Coulson T, Clegg SM, 2019. The effect of insularity on avian growth rates and implications for insular body size evolution. *Proc Biol Sci* 286:20181967.
- Schwarz R, Meiri S, 2017. The fast-slow life-history continuum in insular lizards: a comparison between species with invariant and variable clutch sizes. *J Biogeogr* 44:2808–2815.
- Sinervo B, Zamudio K, Doughty P, Huey RB, 1992. Allometric engineering: a causal analysis of natural selection on offspring size. *Science* 258: 1927–1930.
- Sinervo B, Svensson E, Comendant T, 2000. Density cycles and an offspring quantity and quality game driven by natural selection. *Nature* 406: 985–988.
- Slavenko A, Itescu Y, Foufopoulos J, Pafilis P, Meiri S, 2015. Clutch size variability in an ostensibly fix-clutched lizard: effects of insularity on a Mediterranean gecko. *Evol Biol* 42:129–136.
- Slavenko A, Tallowin OJS, Itescu Y, Raia P, Meiri S, 2016. Late Quaternary reptile extinctions: size matters, insularity dominates. *Global Ecol Biogeogr* 25:1308–1320.
- Uetz P, Hošek J, 2019. The reptile database. [cited 26 May 2019]. Available from: http://www.rep tile-database.org.
- van Valen L, 1973. A new evolutionary law. Evol Theor 1:1-30.
- Vidal N, Marin J, Sassi J, Battistuzzi FU, Donnellan S et al., 2012. Molecular evidence for an Asian origin of monitor lizards followed by tertiary dispersals to Africa and Australasia. *Biol Lett* 8:853–855.
- Whittaker RJ, Fernández-Palacios JM, 2007. Island Biogeography: Ecology, Evolution, and Conservation. New York (NY): Oxford University Press.
- Wollenberg KC, Vieites DR, Glaw F, Vences M, 2011. Speciation in little: the role of range and body size in the diversification of Malagasy mantellid frogs. BMC Evol Biol 11:217.
- Yeh J, 2002. The effect of miniaturized body size on skeletal morphology in frogs. *Evolution* 56:628–641.