

# Multilocus Genotyping of *Giardia duodenalis* in Alpine Musk Deer (*Moschus chrysogaster*) in China

Zhaohui Cui<sup>1,2</sup>, Qilin Wang<sup>2</sup>, Xiyao Huang<sup>1</sup>, Jiayi Bai<sup>1</sup>, Bingyang Zhu<sup>1</sup>, Bingchen Wang<sup>1</sup>, Xiaohang Guo<sup>1</sup>, Meng Qi<sup>2\*</sup> and Junqiang Li<sup>3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Key Laboratory of Biomarker Based Rapid-Detection Technology for Food Safety of Henan Province, Food and Pharmacy College, Xuchang University, Xuchang, China, <sup>2</sup> College of Animal Science and Technology, Tarim University, Alar, China, <sup>3</sup> College of Veterinary Medicine, Henan Agricultural University, Zhengzhou, China

## **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Razakandrainibe Romy, Université de Rouen, France

#### Reviewed by:

Mohamed Mammeri, Ecole Nationale Veterinaire D'alfort, France Abdelmounaim Mouhajir, Université de Rouen, France

#### \*Correspondence:

Meng Qi qimengdz@163.com Junqiang Li lijunqiangcool@126.com

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Parasite and Host, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology

Received: 17 January 2022 Accepted: 28 March 2022 Published: 20 April 2022

#### Citation:

Cui Z, Wang Q, Huang X, Bai J, Zhu B, Wang B, Guo X, Qi M and Li J (2022) Multilocus Genotyping of Giardia duodenalis in Alpine Musk Deer (Moschus chrysogaster) in China. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 12:856429. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2022.856429 Giardia duodenalis is the underlying cause of a significant number of outbreaks of gastrointestinal illness in humans and animals worldwide. The purpose of this study was to elucidate the prevalence and genetic diversity of G. duodenalis in captive alpine musk deer (Moschus chrysogaster) in China. A total of 202 fecal samples were collected from three farms in Gansu Province, China. Identification of G. duodenalis was conducted by nested PCR targeting the genes coding for SSU rRNA,  $\beta$ -giardin (bg), glutamate dehydrogenase (gdh) and triosephosphate isomerase (tpi). The overall prevalence of G. duodenalis in captive alpine musk deer in surveyed area was 19.3% (39/202). Two G. duodenalis genetic assemblages were identified, namely assemblage A and E. Mixed genotype infections (A+E) were found in 15.4% (6/39) of positive samples. Multilocus genotyping (MLG) analysis of G. duodenalis isolates revealed six novel assemblage A MLGs formed by two newly-described MLG-subtypes which belonged to subassemblage AI. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report on MLG of G. duodenalis isolates in captive alpine musk deer in China. The presence of zoonotic assemblages and sub-assemblages of G. duodenalis in deer species suggests that these animals may potentially act as a reservoir of this protozoan for humans.

Keywords: Giardia duodenalis, alpine musk deer, multilocus genotyping, zoonotic potential, China

# INTRODUCTION

*Giardia duodenalis* (also known as *Giardia lamblia* and *Giardia intestinalis*) is the most prevalent protozoan pathogen, commonly found in the intestinal tract of humans and animals worldwide (Adam, 2021). Transmission of *G. duodenalis* infection occurs by several routes either directly (i.e., person-to-person, animal-to-animal, or zoonotic infection) or indirectly (i.e., water or food) (Dixon, 2021). Approximately 280 million people are considered to be infected with *G. duodenalis* worldwide, with infection rates at the range of 8.0–30.0% in developing countries and 0.4–7.5% in developed countries (Feng and Xiao, 2011; Ryan and Zahedi, 2019). Giardiasis is generally a self-limiting clinical illness in humans, whereas it can be threatening to infants, young children, the elderly, institutionalized individuals, travelers, and immunocompromised individuals (Cacciò et al., 2018; Cai et al., 2021). Nitroimidazoles (e.g., metronidazole and tinidazole) are the most commonly drugs used to treat

giardiasis, although requiring multiple doses and being often associated with adverse effects (Argüello-García et al., 2020).

To date, according to the reservoir and genetic characteristics of the protozoan, eight Giardia species have been recognized (Ryan et al., 2019). However, most studies for both public and veterinary health have focused on the taxonomy, population genetics, and epidemiology of G. duodenalis (Li et al., 2017a; Xiao and Feng, 2017). Based on studies employing iso-enzymatic and nucleic acid polymorphisms, G. duodenalis is known as a multispecies complex, consisting of eight genetic assemblages (A-H) considering different host distribution (Cacciò et al., 2018); assemblages A and B are commonly found in humans and occasionally in other mammals; assemblages C and D are often found in canids; assemblage E mainly infects ungulates; assemblages F, G, and H are specific to cats, rodents and pinnipeds, respectively (Ryan and Cacciò, 2013; Cai et al., 2021). Furthermore, putative sub-assemblages have been identified within assemblage A (AI-III) and assemblage B (BIII and BIV) using a multilocus genotyping (MLG) approach (Capewell et al., 2021).

China has the largest wild and captive populations of alpine musk deer (Moschus chrysogaster) in the world, which are mainly distributed in the Qinghai Tibet Plateau, Sichuan and Gansu Province (Jiang et al., 2021). Musk, produced by adult male alpine musk deer, is an important raw material for preparations of the traditional Chinese medicine and the fragrance industry. However, illegal hunting, habitat fragmentation, and other human activities have decimated wild alpine musk deer populations in China (Cai et al., 2020). For these reasons, the alpine musk deer has been listed as an endangered species by the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) and as category I-protected wild animal in China. Although the Chinese government has encouraged enterprises to participate in programs of breeding of captive alpine musk deer, gastrointestinal infections are the most significant threats to population growth and breeding scale whose fatality rate is approximately 30% (Li et al., 2017b). Currently, little information is available on the prevalence and genetic characteristics of G. duodenalis in cervids in China.

Thus, the aim of the present study was to investigate the prevalence and genetic diversity of *G. duodenalis* in captive alpine musk deer. The findings discussed herein provide insights into the development of preventive measures against *Giardia* infection.

# MATERIAL AND METHODS

### **Ethics Statement**

This study was performed with strict adherence to the recommendations of the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Ministry of Health, China. The research protocol was reviewed and approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Tarim University (approval no. ECTU 2020-0013). Farm owners' consent was obtained prior to proceeding to fecal sample collection from selected animals.

### Samples

In September 2020, 202 fecal samples were collected from three farms in Gansu Province, China (Figure 1). Alpine musk deer animals were shed-fed and housed in separate breeding houses

according to age. Fresh fecal samples were taken from the soil immediately after defecation using a sterile disposal latex glove, ensure absence of exogenous contamination. Subsequently, samples were placed individually into a disposable plastic container, recorded the date, site, age, and number. All animals from which fecal samples were obtained were apparently in good health with no signs of diarrhea at the time of sample collection. Samples were transferred to the laboratory in an insulated container on ice and stored at 4°C prior to DNA extraction.

# **DNA Extraction and Genotyping**

Genomic DNA was extracted from approximately 200 mg of each precipitated sample using the E.Z.N.A.<sup>®</sup> Stool DNA kit (Omega Bio-tek Inc., Norcross, GA, USA), according to manufacturer's instructions. The extracted DNA was stored at -20°C until PCR assay. Four genes were used for *G. duodenalis* genotyping by nested PCR, namely SSU rRNA,  $\beta$ -giardin (*bg*), glutamate dehydrogenase (*gdh*), and triosephosphate isomerase (*tpi*) (**Table 1**). Positive (DNA from an isolate known to harbor the four surveyed loci) and negative (reagent-grade water) controls were included in each PCR amplification.

## Sequence and Phylogenetic Analysis

All positive secondary PCR products from SSU rRNA, bg, gdh, and tpi genes were bi-sequenced by GENEWIZ (Suzhou, China). Nucleotide sequences were aligned and edited with DNAstar Lasergene Editseq 7.1.0 (https://www.dnastar.com/software/ lasergene/) and Chromas Pro 2.1.10 (http://technelysium.com. au/wp/chromaspro/). Genotypes and subtypes of *G. duodenalis* were determined by aligning reference sequences available in NCBI GenBank database using ClustalX 2.1 (http://www.clustal. org/). To determine genetic diversity among the isolates, concatenated sequences (bg-tpi-gdh) from each isolate at the three analyzed loci were aligned with reference sequences. Neighbor-joining (NJ) analysis was performed using MEGA 7.0 (http://www.megasoftware.net/) to infer the phylogenetic relationships of concatenated sequences based on the Kimura-2 parameter model.

# **Nucleotide Sequence Accession Numbers**

Representative nucleotide sequences of *bg* and *tpi* genes of *G. duodenalis* are available in the NCBI GenBank database under the accession numbers OM273018-OM273020, respectively.

# RESULTS

# Prevalence and Assemblages of *G. duodenalis*

Overall, a total of 39 samples (19.3%, 39/202) were confirmed to be *G. duodenalis* by PCR at the SSU rRNA locus (**Table 2**). Prevalence rate by region was as follows: Yuzhong A (22.2%, 8/36), Yuzhong B (24.6%, 16/65), and Zhuanglang (14.9%, 15/101). In addition, the infection was numerically more frequent in adults (>1 year, 20.6%, 35/170) compared to young animals (<6 month, 12.5%, 4/32). Subsequently, all *G. duodenalis*-positive samples were genotyped by MLG of SSU rRNA, *bg, tpi* and *gdh* genes. Two *G. duodenalis* 



FIGURE 1 | Sampling sites. No copyright permission was required. The figure was designed with the software ArcGIS 10.2. The map has been originally modified and assembled according to permission and attribution guidelines of the National Geomatics Center of China (http://www.ngcc.cn).

| Target Gene | Primer sequences (5'- 3')          | Annealing | Target size | Reference               |
|-------------|------------------------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------------------|
|             | Gia2029 (AAGTGTGGTGCAGACGGACTC)    | 55°C      |             |                         |
| SSU rRNA    | Gia2150c (CTGCTGCCGTCCTTGGATGT)    |           |             |                         |
|             | RH11 (CATCCGGTCGATCCTGCC)          | 59°C      | 292 bp      | (Appelbee et al.,       |
|             | RH4 (AGTCGAACCCTGATTCTCCGCCCAGG)   |           |             | 2003)                   |
|             | AL3543 (AAATIATGCCTGCTCGTCG)       | 50°C      |             |                         |
| tpi         | AL3546 (CAAACCTTITCCGCAAACC)       |           |             |                         |
|             | AL3544 (CCCTTCATCGGIGGTAACTT)      | 50°C      | 530 bp      | (Sulaiman et al., 2003) |
|             | AL3545 (GTGGCCACCACICCCGTGCC)      |           |             |                         |
|             | GDH1 (TTCCGTRTYCAGTACAACTC)        | 50°C      |             |                         |
| gdh         | GDH2 (ACCTCGTTCTGRGTGGCGCA)        |           |             |                         |
| -           | GDH3 (ATGACYGAGCTYCAGAGGCACGT)     | 50°C      | 530 bp      | (Cacciò et al., 2008)   |
|             | GDH4 (GTGGCGCARGGCATGATGCA)        |           |             |                         |
|             | G7 (AAGCCCGACGACCTCACCCGCAGTGC)    | 58°C      |             |                         |
| bg          | G759 (GAGGCCGCCCTGGATCTTCGAGACGAC) |           |             |                         |
|             | 2005F (GAACGAACGAGATCGAGGTCCG)     | 55°C      | 511 bp      | (Lalle et al., 2005)    |
|             | 2005R (CTCGACGAGCTTCGTGTT)         |           |             |                         |

genetic assemblages were identified among samples: assemblage A (72.2%, 26/39) and assemblage E (17.9%, 7/39). Mixed genotype infections (A+E) were found in 6 of 39 samples.

# **Polymorphisms at Single Loci**

Amplification of the bg gene was obtained from 18 of 39 *G. duodenalis*-positive isolates; among these, 13/18 (72.2%) of isolates were identified as belonging to genetic assemblage A and

5/18 (27.8%) of assemblage E (**Table 3**). Within assemblage A isolates, three subtypes were formed and designated as A1 (n=4), A2 (n=1), and A3 (n=8). Compared to the sequence MK610391, A1 sequences exhibited one single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) (C327T), whereas A2 sequence contained three SNPs (T302C, G308A, and C327T). A3 sequences were identical to MK610392. Moreover, the five assemblage E sequences were identical to the sequence MK610387.

#### TABLE 2 | Prevalence of G. duodenalis by location in Gansu Province, China.

| Farm       | N/T (%)       | assemblages (n)           | SSU rRNA (n)   | <i>tpi</i> (n) | <i>gdh</i> (n) | <i>bg</i> (n) |
|------------|---------------|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|
| Yuzhong A  | 8/36 (22.2)   | A (7), E (1)              | A (7), E (1)   | A (3)          | A (2)          | A (2)         |
| Yuzhong B  | 16/65 (24.6)  | A (9), E (2),<br>A+E (5)  | A (11), E (5)  | A (11)         | A (1), E (2)   | A (4), E (2)  |
| Zhuanglang | 15/101 (14.9) | A (10), E (4),<br>A+E (1) | A (10), E (5)  | A (9), E (1)   | A (4), E (1)   | A (7), E (3)  |
| Total      | 39/202 (19.3) | A (26), E (7),<br>A+E (6) | A (28), E (11) | A (23), E (1)  | A (7), E (3)   | A (13), E (5) |
| Age        |               |                           |                |                |                |               |
| <6 month   | 4/32 (12.5)   | A (1), E (2),<br>A+E (1)  | A (1), E (3)   | A (1)          | E (1)          | E (2)         |
| >1 year    | 35/170 (20.6) | A (25), E (5),<br>A+E (5) | A (27), E (8)  | A (22), E (1)  | A (7), E (2)   | A (13), E (3) |

N, number of positives for G. duodenalis; T, total analysis samples.

TABLE 3 | Multi-locus characterization of G. duodenalis isolates in alpine musk deer in China based on bg, gdh and tpi genes.

| Isolate Code    | bg            | tpi           | gdh | MLG Type   |
|-----------------|---------------|---------------|-----|------------|
| 22              | PN            | A1            | A1  |            |
| 32              | A1 (OM273018) | A1            | PN  |            |
| 47,80           | A1            | A1            | A1  | Al-novel 1 |
| 65              | A1            | A1            | PN  |            |
| 71              | PN            | A1            | PN  |            |
| 78              | PN            | A1            | PN  |            |
| 79              | E             | A1            | PN  |            |
| 81              | PN            | A1            | PN  |            |
| 86              | E             | A2 (OM273020) | E   | Excluded   |
| 100             | PN            | A1            | E   |            |
| 104             | PN            | A1            | PN  |            |
| 115             | A2 (OM273019) | A1            | PN  |            |
| 120             | A3            | A3            | PN  |            |
| 147             | E             | A2            | PN  |            |
| 152             | E             | PN            | E   |            |
| 157,172,195,207 | A3            | A3            | A2  | Al-novel 2 |
| 163             | PN            | E1            | PN  |            |
| 173             | E             | PN            | PN  |            |
| 182             | A3            | A3            | PN  |            |
| 199             | PN            | A3            | PN  |            |
| 204             | A3            | A3            | PN  |            |
| 217             | A3            | A3            | PN  |            |

PN, PCR negative.

Sequence analysis of the *tpi* locus revealed that 23 out of 24 successfully amplified isolates were identified as assemblage A, whereas only one was classified as assemblage E. The single assemblage E sequence was 100% identical to the sequence KT922262. Among assemblage A sequences, A1 (n=12) and A3 (n=9) sequences were identical to the sequences MK639171 and MK639172, respectively. In addition, A2 (n=2) sequences showed a SNP (C21T) compared to the sequence MK639173. At the *gdh* locus, seven and three isolates were successfully amplified and identified as assemblage A and E, respectively. The subtypes A1 (n=3) and A2 (n=4) were consistent with the sequences MN047217 and the MK645799, respectively. Moreover, the three assemblage E sequences were identical to the sequence MK645786.

# **MLG and Phylogenetic Analysis**

In total, seven isolates were successfully sequenced at *bg*, *tpi* and *gdh* loci, and formed six assemblage A MLGs after removal of

sequences of mixed infection samples (**Table 3**). The six identified assemblage A MLGs were composed of two novel MLG-subtypes (AI-novel 1 and AI-novel 2); AI-novel 1 was found in two isolates, whereas AI-novel 2 was identified in four isolates. Phylogenetic relationships of assemblage A MLGs with reference genotypes are shown in **Figure 2**. Based on phylogenetic analysis, all assemblage A MLGs identified herein were clustered in the MLG AI branch, with MLG AI-novel 1 clustered closer to MLG AI-1, whereas MLG AI-novel 2 clustered closer to MLG AI-2.

# DISCUSSION

Six species of musk deer (*Moschus* spp.) have historically been bred in China, which include siberian musk deer (*M. moschiferus*), forest musk deer (*M. berezovskii*), black musk deer (*M. fuscus*), alpine



musk deer (*M. chrysogaster*), himalayan musk deer (*M. leucogaster*), and anhui musk deer (*M. anhuiensis*) (Fan et al., 2018). In previous studies, deer have been considered as a major reservoir of viruses, bacteria, and parasites for humans and livestock (Böhm et al., 2007; Mehrpad et al., 2018). In particular, a recent study has suggested the potential emergence of a new reservoir of SARS-CoV-2 viruses in free-ranging white-tailed deer, which may open new pathways for evolution, transmission to other wildlife species, and potential spillback of novel variants to humans (Hale et al., 2022).

Giardia spp. infects a broad range of hosts including humans, livestock, companion animals, wildlife and birds (Ryan et al., 2021). However, information on the distribution, molecular characteristics and zoonotic potential of Giardia in cervids is scant. To date, G. duodenalis infections in cervids have been reported in several countries, including Australia, Bangladesh, Canada, Croatia, Italy, Japan, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Spain, Sweden, USA and China, with the infection rates ranging from 0.6% to 24.0% (Table 4). Interestingly, the rodent-specific species Giardia microti has been isolated in roe deer (Capreolus capreolus) in Croatia (Beck et al., 2011). In the present study, the prevalence of G. duodenalis was 19.3% (39/202) in alpine musk deer, which is higher than that reported in sika deer (0.6% and 0.8%) and forest musk deer (2.2%) in China. The discrepancies in infection rates of G. duodenalis may be related to the differences in geographical location, sampling season, animal species, and sample size. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to isolate and characterize G. duodenalis from alpine musk deer in China using MLG.

Methods based on sequence analysis of SSU rRNA, *gdh*, *bg* and *tpi* genes have currently been widely used for genotyping *G*. *duodenalis* isolates from human and animal samples in order to obtain high-sequencing resolution (Feng and Xiao, 2011). To date, molecular studies have identified *G. duodenalis* in fallow deer, forest musk deer, moose, red deer, reindeer, roe deer, sambar deer, spotted

deer and white-tailed deer with a worldwide distribution (Table 4). In addition to zoonotic assemblages A and B, other G. duodenalis assemblages including E (mainly found in hoofed mammals) and D (mainly found in canines) have also been reported occasionally in these animal hosts (Beck et al., 2011; Huang et al., 2018), which suggests potential transmission routs of G. duodenalis assemblages between humans, livestock, companion animals and cervids. In the present study, both assemblage A and E were identified, and assemblage A was the predominant genotype. Mixed infections were observed in alpine musk deer at both assemblage and subassemblage levels, which may be a result of infection with Giardia parasites with different genetic profiles. Assemblages A is responsible for most giardiasis cases in humans, especially in South America and the Middle East (Xiao and Feng, 2017; Ryan et al., 2021). Interestingly, the host-adapted genotype assemblage E which was approximately 87% similar to assemblages A in genome, has been reported in at least 57 human giardiasis cases in Brazil, Egypt, Vietnam, Australia and New Zealand (Abdel-Moein and Saeed, 2016; Fantinatti et al., 2016; Zahedi et al., 2017; Garcia-R et al., 2021; Iwashita et al., 2021). Collectively, the presence of zoonotic assemblages of G. duodenalis in alpine musk deer in China suggests that these animals may potentially act as a reservoir of G. *duodenalis* for humans.

Moreover, in order to elucidate the genetic diversity of *G. duodenalis* in alpine musk deer, positive samples identified in the present were subjected for sub-genotyping by MLG. Moderate genetic variation was observed within assemblage A sequences, whereas no genetic variation was noticed within assemblage E sequences, which may be due to the low allelic sequence heterozygosity (ASH) in the genomes of assemblages A and E (Kooyman et al., 2019). In addition, the six assemblage A MLGs were composed of two novel MLG-subtypes which belonged to sub-assemblage AI. Among the three sub-assemblages within assemblage

| Location    | Host                   | Positive % (N/T) | Assemblage (n)                         | Sub-Assemblage (n) | Reference                              |
|-------------|------------------------|------------------|--|--------------------|--|
| Australia   | Sambar deer, red deer, | 0.6 (10/1563)    | A (10)                                 | A-I (1), A-III (9) | (Koehler et al., 2016)                 |
| Bandladesh  | Spotted deer           | 3 3 (1/30)       | Δ (1)                                  |                    | (Karim et al. 2021)                    |
| Canada      | Boroal caribou         | 2.0 (2/140)      | A (1)                                  |                    | (1000000000000000000000000000000000000 |
| China       | Silve deer             | 2.0 (3/149)      | E (6)                                  |                    | (Johnson et al., 2010)                 |
| Ghina       | Earost muck door       | 0.0 (5/002)      | (0) = (2)                              |                    | (Song et al., 2018)                    |
|             | Sike door              | 2.2 (0/220)      | A(2), E(3)                             |                    | (Song et al., 2010)                    |
|             | Albino music door      | 10.2 (20/202)    | A(2), E(3)<br>$A(22), E(5), A \in (6)$ |                    | (Ma et al., 2021)                      |
| Oractic     | Alphie musk deer       | 19.3 (39/202)    | A(22), E(3), A+E(0)                    |                    | (Reals at al. 2011)                    |
| Groatia     | Red deer               | 1.1 (4/3/4)      | A (3), D (1)                           |                    | (Beck et al., 2011)                    |
| lt-L.       | Roe deer               | 24.0 (5/21)      | A (2), D (2), G. Microti (1)           |                    | (Beck et al., 2011)                    |
| Italy       | Fallow deer            | 11.5 (16/139)    | A (8)                                  | A-I (8)            | (Lalle et al., 2007)                   |
|             | Fallow deer            | 0.70/ (0/074)    | A (8)                                  | A-III (8)          | (Caccio et al., 2008)                  |
| Japan       | Sika deer              | 0.7% (2/271)     | A (2)                                  |                    | (Yamazaki et al., 2018)                |
| Netherlands | Roe deer               | 5 00( (0/11 A)   | A (1)                                  |                    | (van der Glessen et al., 2006)         |
| Norway      | Reindeer               | 5.0% (6/114)     | A (6)                                  | AI (6)             | (Idland et al., 2021)                  |
|             | Reindeer               |                  | A (6)                                  |                    | (Robertson et al., 2007)               |
|             | Moose                  | 1 7 (5 (000)     | A (13)                                 |                    | (Robertson et al., 2007)               |
|             | Red deer               | 1.7 (5/289)      |  |                    | (Hamnes et al., 2006)                  |
|             | Roe deer               | 15.5 (45/291)    |  |                    | (Hamnes et al., 2006)                  |
|             | Reindeer               | 7.1 (11/115)     |  |                    | (Hamnes et al., 2006)                  |
|             | Moose                  | 12.3 (56/455)    |  |                    | (Hamnes et al., 2006)                  |
| Poland      | Red deer               | 1.6 (1/61)       | A (1)                                  | A-III (1)          | (Solarczyk et al., 2012)               |
|             | Roe deer               | 4.0% (2/50)      | A (2)                                  | A-I (2)            | (Solarczyk et al., 2012)               |
|             | Red deer               | 17.9 (5/28)      | B (4)                                  |                    | (Stojecki et al., 2015)                |
|             | Roe deer               | 22.9 (11/48)     | B (8)                                  |                    | (Stojecki et al., 2015)                |
|             | Moose                  | 17.0 (4/23)      |  |                    | (Stojecki et al., 2015)                |
| Spain       | Roe deer               | 8.9 (19/212)     | A (7)                                  | A-II (7)           | (García-Presedo et al., 2013)          |
|             | Roe deer               | 5.4 (12/224)     |  |                    | (Castro-Hermida et al., 2011b)         |
|             | Deer                   | 7.7 (14/181)     |  |                    | (Castro-Hermida et al., 2011a)         |
|             | Fallow deer            |                  | A (1), E (1)                           |                    | (Lebbad et al., 2010)                  |
|             | Moose                  |                  | A (1)                                  |                    | (Lebbad et al., 2010)                  |
| USA         | White-tailed deer      | 1.3 (1/80)       | A (1)                                  |                    | (Santin and Fayer, 2015)               |
|             | White-tailed deer      | 1.3 (5/394)      |  |                    | (Rickard et al., 1999)                 |
|             | White-tailed deer      | 3.8 (1/26)       | A (1)                                  |                    | (Trout et al., 2003)                   |
|             | Reindeer               |                  | A (1)                                  |                    | (Miska et al., 2009)                   |

| TABLE 4 | Giardia duodenalis | infection rates  | and o | nenotypes i | n cervids   | worldwide |
|---------|--------------------|------------------|-------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
|         |                    | in nootion rates | ana   |             | 11 001 1103 | wonaviac. |

N=number of positives for G. duodenalis; T, total analysis samples.

A, sub-assemblage AI is most commonly found in animals, whereas sub-assemblage AII is mostly found in humans; sub-assemblage AIII is rare and has been found in wild ruminants and two human giardiasis cases in Romania and New Zealand (Feng and Xiao, 2011; Cai et al., 2021; Ryan et al., 2021). In published studies, both sub-assemblage AI, AII and AIII were identified in various deer (**Table 4**). Further studies based on MLG analysis are necessary to gain a better understanding on the potential role of deer in the zoonotic transmission of *G. duodenalis*.

# CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, this is the first report of *G. duodenalis* in alpine musk deer with a high prevalence in China. Two *G. duodenalis* assemblages were identified, assemblage A and E. Moderate genetic diversity was observed within assemblage A sequences based on MLG analysis. Six assemblage A MLGs were identified which were composed of two novel MLG-subtypes belonging to sub-assemblage AI. Collectively, zoonotic assemblages of *G. duodenalis* identified in the present study point out that alpine musk deer may potentially act as reservoirs of this protozoan to humans.

# DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/supplementary material.

# **ETHICS STATEMENT**

The animal study was reviewed and approved by Research Ethics Committee of Tarim University. Written informed consent was obtained from the owners for the participation of their animals in this study.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

ZC, QW, XH, JB, BZ, BW, and XG performed the experiments. ZC drafted the manuscript. MQ and JL critically revised the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

## FUNDING

The research was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32102689), Science and Technology Planning Project of Henan Province (222102110240), the

# REFERENCES

- Abdel-Moein, K. A., and Saeed, H. (2016). The Zoonotic Potential of *Giardia Intestinalis* Assemblage E in Rural Settings. *Parasitol. Res.* 115 (8), 3197–3202. doi: 10.1007/s00436-016-5081-7
- Adam, R. D. (2021). Giardia Duodenalis: Biology and Pathogenesis. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 34 (4), e0002419. doi: 10.1016/s0304-4017(02)00422-3
- Appelbee, A. J., Frederick, L. M., Heitman, T. L., and Olson, M.E. (2003). Prevalence and Genotyping of Giardia duodenalis From Beef Calves in Alberta, Canada. Vet. Parasitol. 112 (4), 289–294. doi: 10.1016/s0304-4017(02)00422-3
- Argüello-García, R., Leitsch, D., Skinner-Adams, T., and Ortega-Pierres, M. G. (2020). Drug Resistance in *Giardia*: Mechanisms and Alternative Treatments for Giardiasis. *Adv. Parasitol.* 107, 201–282. doi: 10.1016/bs.apar.2019.11.003
- Beck, R., Sprong, H., Lucinger, S., Pozio, E., and Cacciò, S. M. (2011). A Large Survey of Croatian Wild Mammals for *Giardia Duodenalis* Reveals a Low Prevalence and Limited Zoonotic Potential. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis.* 11 (8), 1049–1055. doi: 10.1089/vbz.2010.0113
- Böhm, M., White, P. C., Chambers, J., Smith, L., and Hutchings, M. R. (2007). Wild Deer as a Source of Infection for Livestock and Humans in the UK. *Vet. J.* 174 (2), 260–276. doi: 10.1016/j.tvjl.2006.11.003
- Cacciò, S. M., Beck, R., Lalle, M., Marinculic, A., and Pozio, E. (2008). Multilocus Genotyping of *Giardia Duodenalis* Reveals Striking Differences Between Assemblages A and B. *Int. J. Parasitol.* 38 (13), 1523–1531. doi: 10.1016/ j.ijpara.2008.04.008
- Cacciò, S. M., Lalle, M., and Svärd, S. G. (2018). Host Specificity in the Giardia Duodenalis Species Complex. Infect. Genet. Evol. 66, 335–345. doi: 10.1016/ j.meegid.2017.12.001
- Cai, W., Ryan, U., Xiao, L., and Feng, Y. (2021). Zoonotic Giardiasis: An Update. Parasitol. Res. 120 (12), 4199–4218. doi: 10.1007/s00436-021-07325-2
- Cai, Y., Yang, J., Wang, J., Yang, Y., Fu, W., Zheng, C., et al (2020). Changes in the Population Genetic Structure of Captive Forest Musk Deer (*Moschus Berezovskii*) With the Increasing Number of Generation Under Closed Breeding Conditions. *Animals (Basel)* 10 (2), 255. doi: 10.3390/ani10020255
- Capewell, P., Krumrie, S., Katzer, F., Alexander, C. L., and Weir, W. (2021). Molecular Epidemiology of *Giardia* Infections in the Genomic Era. *Trends Parasitol.* 37 (2), 142–153. doi: 10.1016/j.pt.2020.09.013
- Castro-Hermida, J. A., García-Presedo, I., Almeida, A., González-Warleta, M., Correia Da Costa, J. M., and Mezo, M. (2011a). *Cryptosporidium* Spp. And *Giardia Duodenalis* in Two Areas of Galicia (NW Spain). *Sci. Total Environ.* 409 (13), 2451–2459. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.03.010
- Castro-Hermida, J. A., García-Presedo, I., González-Warleta, M., and Mezo, M. (2011b). Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* in Roe Deer (*Capreolus Capreolus*) and Wild Boars (*Sus Scrofa*) in Galicia (NW, Spain). *Vet. Parasitol.* 179 (1-3), 216–219. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2011.02.023
- Dixon, B. R. (2021). Giardia Duodenalis in Humans and Animals Transmission and Disease. Res. Vet. Sci. 135, 283–289. doi: 10.1016/j.rvsc.2020.09.034
- Fan, Z., Li, W., Jin, J., Cui, K., Yan, C., Peng, C., et al (2018). The Draft Genome Sequence of Forest Musk Deer (*Moschus Berezovskii*). *GigaScience* 7 (4), giy038. doi: 10.1093/gigascience/giy038
- Fantinatti, M., Bello, A. R., Fernandes, O., and Da-Cruz, A. M. (2016). Identification of Giardia Lamblia Assemblage E in Humans Points to a New Anthropozoonotic Cycle. J. Infect. Dis. 214 (8), 1256–1259. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiw361
- Feng, Y., and Xiao, L. (2011). Zoonotic Potential and Molecular Epidemiology of Giardia Species and Giardiasis. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 24 (1), 110–140. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00033-10
- García-Presedo, I., Pedraza-Díaz, S., González-Warleta, M., Mezo, M., Gómez-Bautista, M., Ortega-Mora, L. M., et al (2013). The First Report of *Cryptosporidium Bovis*, C. Ryanae and Giardia Duodenalis Sub-Assemblage

Program for Young and Middle-aged Leading Science, Technology, and Innovation of Xinjiang Production & Construction Group (2018CB034), and Scientific research project of Xuchang University (2022GJPY009 and 2022YB034).

A-II in Roe Deer (*Capreolus Capreolus*) in Spain. *Vet. Parasitol.* 197 (3-4), 658–664. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2013.07.002

- Garcia-R, J. C., Ogbuigwe, P., Pita, A. B., Velathanthiri, N., Knox, M. A., Biggs, P. J., et al. (2021). First Report of Novel Assemblages and Mixed Infections of *Giardia Duodenalis* in Human Isolates From New Zealand. *Acta Trop.* 220, 105969. doi: 10.1016/j.actatropica.2021.105969
- Hale, V. L., Dennis, P. M., McBride, D. S., Nolting, J. M., Madden, C., Huey, D., et al. (2022). SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Free-Ranging White-Tailed Deer. *Nature* 602 (7897):481–486. doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-04353-x
- Hamnes, I. S., Gjerde, B., Robertson, L., Vikøren, T., and Handeland, K. (2006). Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* in Free-Ranging Wild Cervids in Norway. *Vet. Parasitol.* 141 (1-2), 30–41. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2006.05.004
- Huang, J., Zhang, Z., Zhang, Y., Yang, Y., Zhao, J., Wang, R., et al. (2018). Prevalence and Molecular Characterization of *Cryptosporidium* Spp. And *Giardia Duodenalis* in Deer in Henan and Jilin, China. *Parasit. Vectors* 11 (1), 239. doi: 10.1186/s13071-018-2813-9
- Idland, L., Juul, A. M., Solevåg, E. K., Tysnes, K. R., Robertson, L. J., and Utaaker, K. S. (2021). Occurrence of Faecal Endoparasites in Reindeer (*Rangifer Tarandus*) in Two Grazing Areas in Northern Norway. *Acta Vet. Scand.* 63 (1), 13. doi: 10.1186/s13028-021-00578-y
- Iwashita, H., Sugamoto, T., Takemura, T., Tokizawa, A., Vu, T. D., Nguyen, T. H., et al. (2021). Molecular Epidemiology of Giardia Spp. In Northern Vietnam: Potential Transmission Between Animals and Humans. *Parasite Epidemiol. Control* 12, e00193. doi: 10.1016/j.parepi.2020.e00193
- Jiang, F., Gao, H., Qin, W., Song, P., Wang, H., Zhang, J., et al. (2021). Marked Seasonal Variation in Structure and Function of Gut Microbiota in Forest and Alpine Musk Deer. *Front. Microbiol.* 12. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.699797
- Johnson, D., Harms, N. J., Larter, N. C., Elkin, B. T., Tabel, H., and Wei, G. (2010). Serum Biochemistry, Serology, and Parasitology of Boreal Caribou (*Rangifer Tarandus Caribou*) in the Northwest Territories, Canada. J. Wildl. Dis. 46 (4), 1096–1107. doi: 10.7589/0090-3558-46.4.1096
- Karim, M. R., Li, J., Rume, F. I., Sumon, S. M. R., Selim, A. S. M., Hoda, N., et al (2021). Occurrence and Molecular Characterization of *Cryptosporidium* Spp. And *Giardia Duodenalis* Among Captive Mammals in the Bangladesh National Zoo. *Parasitol. Int.* 84, 102414. doi: 10.1016/j.parint.2021.102414
- Koehler, A. V., Haydon, S. R., Jex, A. R., and Gasser, R. B. (2016). *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* Taxa in Faecal Samples From Animals in Catchments Supplying the City of Melbourne With Drinking Water, (2011 to 2015). *Parasit. Vectors* 9 (1), 315. doi: 10.1186/s13071-016-1607-1
- Kooyman, F. N. J., Wagenaar, J. A., and Zomer, A. (2019). Whole-Genome Sequencing of Dog-Specific Assemblages C and D of Giardia Duodenalis From Single and Pooled Cysts Indicates Host-Associated Genes. *Microb. Genom.* 5 (12), e000302. doi: 10.1099/mgen.0.000302
- Lalle, M., Frangipane di Regalbono, A., Poppi, L., Nobili, G., Tonanzi, D., Pozio, E., et al. (2007). A Novel *Giardia Duodenalis* Assemblage A Subtype in Fallow Deer. J. Parasitol. 93 (2), 426–428. doi: 10.1645/GE-983R.1
- Lalle, M., Pozio, E., Capelli, G., Bruschi, F., Crotti, D., and Cacciò, S. M. (2005). Genetic Heterogeneity at the Beta-Giardin Locus Among Human and Animal Isolates of *Giardia Duodenalis* and Identification of Potentially Zoonotic Subgenotypes. *Int. J. Parasitol.* 35 (2), 207–213. doi: 10.1016/ j.ijpara.2004.10.022
- Lebbad, M., Mattsson, J. G., Christensson, B., Ljungström, B., Backhans, A., Andersson, J. O., et al. (2010). From Mouse to Moose: Multilocus Genotyping of *Giardia* Isolates From Various Animal Species. *Vet. Parasitol.* 168 (3-4), 231–239. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2009.11.003
- Li, Y., Hu, X., Yang, S., Zhou, J., Zhang, T., Qi, L., et al (2017b). Comparative Analysis of the Gut Microbiota Composition Between Captive and Wild Forest Musk Deer. *Front. Microbiol.* 8. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.01705

- Li, J., Wang, H., Wang, R., and Zhang, L. (2017a). *Giardia Duodenalis* Infections in Humans and Other Animals in China. *Front. Microbiol.* 8. doi: 10.3389/ fmicb.2017.02004
- Ma, N., Wang, H. X., Tao, W. F., Xue, N. Y., Bai, J. Y., Zhao, Q., et al. (2021). Detection of Point Prevalence and Assemblages of Giardia Spp. In Dairy Calves and Sika Deer, Northeast China. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis.* 21 (9), 685–691. doi: 10.1089/vbz.2020.2757
- Mehrpad, S., Cleveland, C. A., DeNicola, A., Dubey, J. P., and Yabsley, M. J. (2018). Survey for Selected Pathogens in Philippine Deer (*Rusa Marianna*) From Guam, Marianna Islands, USA. *Vet. Parasitol. Reg. Stud. Rep.* 11, 36–40. doi: 10.1016/j.vprsr.2017.11.010
- Miska, K. B., Jenkins, M. C., Trout, J. M., Santín, M., and Fayer, R. (2009). Detection and Comparison of *Giardia* Virus (GLV) From Different Assemblages of *Giardia Duodenalis*. J. Parasitol. 95 (5), 1197–1200. doi: 10.1645/GE-1876.1
- Rickard, L. G., Siefker, C., Boyle, C. R., and Gentz, E. J. (1999). The Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* and Giardia Spp. In Fecal Samples From Free-Ranging White-Tailed Deer (*Odocoileus Virginianus*) in the Southeastern United States. J. Vet. Diagn. Invest. 11 (1), 65–72. doi: 10.1177/104063879901100111
- Robertson, L. J., Forberg, T., Hermansen, L., Hamnes, I. S., and Gjerde, B. (2007). *Giardia Duodenalis* Cysts Isolated From Wild Moose and Reindeer in Norway: Genetic Characterization by PCR-Rflp and Sequence Analysis at Two Genes. *J. Wildl. Dis.* 43 (4), 576–585. doi: 10.7589/0090-3558-43.4.576
- Ryan, U., and Cacciò, S. M. (2013). Zoonotic Potential of *Giardia. Int. J. Parasitol.* 43 (12-13), 943–956. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2013.06.001
- Ryan, U. M., Feng, Y., Fayer, R., and Xiao, L. (2021). Taxonomy and Molecular Epidemiology of *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* - a 50 Year Perspective, (1971-2021). *Int. J. Parasitol.* 51 (13-14), 1099–1119. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2021.08.007
- Ryan, U., Hijjawi, N., Feng, Y., and Xiao, L. (2019). *Giardia*: An Under-Reported Foodborne Parasite. *Int. J. Parasitol.* 49 (1), 1–11. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2018.07.003
- Ryan, U., and Zahedi, A. (2019). Molecular Epidemiology of Giardiasis From a Veterinary Perspective. Adv. Parasitol. 106, 209–254. doi: 10.1016/ bs.apar.2019.07.002
- Santin, M., and Fayer, R. (2015). Enterocytozoon Bieneusi, Giardia, and Cryptosporidium Infecting White-Tailed Deer. J. Eukaryot. Microbiol. 62 (1), 34–43. doi: 10.1111/jeu.12155
- Solarczyk, P., Majewska, A. C., Moskwa, B., Cabaj, W., Dabert, M., and Nowosad, P. (2012). Multilocus Genotyping of *Giardia Duodenalis* Isolates From Red Deer (*Cervus Elaphus*) and Roe Deer (*Capreolus Capreolus*) From Poland. *Folia Parasitol.* (*Praha*) 59 (3), 237–240. doi: 10.14411/fp.2012.032
- Song, Y., Li, W., Liu, H., Zhong, Z., Luo, Y., Wei, Y., et al. (2018). First Report of Giardia Duodenalis and Enterocytozoon Bieneusi in Forest Musk Deer (Moschus Berezovskii) in China. Parasit. Vectors 11 (1), 204. doi: 10.1186/ s13071-018-2681-3

- Stojecki, K., Sroka, J., Caccio, S. M., Cencek, T., Dutkiewicz, J., and Kusyk, P. (2015). Prevalence and Molecular Typing of *Giardia Duodenalis* in Wildlife From Eastern Poland. *Folia Parasitol. (Praha)* 62, 2015.042. doi: 10.14411/ fp.2015.042
- Sulaiman, I. M., Fayer, R., Bern, C., Gilman, R. H., Trout, J. M., Schantz, P. M., et al. (2003). Triosephosphate Isomerase Gene Characterization and Potential Zoonotic Transmission of *Giardia Duodenalis. Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 9 (11), 1444– 1452. doi: 10.3201/eid0911.030084
- Trout, J. M., Santin, M., and Fayer, R. (2003). Identification of Assemblage A Giardia in White-Tailed Deer. J. Parasitol. 89 (6), 1254–1255. doi: 10.1645/GE-3165RN
- van der Giessen, J. W., de Vries, A., Roos, M., Wielinga, P., Kortbeek, L. M., Mank,, et al. (2006). Genotyping of *Giardia* in Dutch Patients and Animals: A Phylogenetic Analysis of Human and Animal Isolates. *Int. J. Parasitol.* 36 (7), 849–858. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2006.03.001
- Xiao, L., and Feng, Y. (2017). Molecular Epidemiologic Tools for Waterborne Pathogens Cryptosporidium Spp. And Giardia Duodenalis. Food Waterborne Parasitol. 8-9, 14–32. doi: 10.1016/j.fawpar.2017.09.002
- Yamazaki, A., Izumiyama, S., Yagita, K., Kishida, N., Kubosaki, A., Hara-Kudo, Y., et al. (2018). The Molecular Detection of *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* in Sika Deer (*Cervus Nippon Centralis*) in Japan. *Food Saf. (Tokyo)* 6 (2), 88–95. doi: 10.14252/foodsafetyfscj.2017029
- Zahedi, A., Field, D., and Ryan, U. (2017). Molecular Typing of Giardia Duodenalis in Humans in Queensland - First Report of Assemblage E. Parasitology 144 (9), 1154–1161. doi: 10.1017/S0031182017000439

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Cui, Wang, Huang, Bai, Zhu, Wang, Guo, Qi and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.