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#### Abstract

Synuclein is an intrinsically disordered protein whose aggregation is implicated in Parkinson's disease. A second member of the synuclein family, $\beta$ synuclein, shares significant sequence similarity with $\alpha$-synuclein but is much more resistant to aggregation. $\beta$-Synuclein is missing an 11-residue stretch in the central non- $\beta$-amyloid component region that forms the core of $\alpha$-synuclein amyloid fibrils, yet insertion of these residues into $\beta$-synuclein to produce the $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$ construct does not markedly increase the aggregation propensity. To investigate the structural basis of these different behaviors, quantitative nuclear magnetic resonance data, in the form of paramagnetic relaxation enhancement-derived interatomic distances, are combined with molecular dynamics simulations to generate ensembles of structures representative of the solution states of $\alpha$-synuclein, $\beta$-synuclein, and $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$. Comparison of these ensembles reveals that the differing aggregation propensities  of $\alpha$-synuclein and $\beta$-synuclein are associated with differences in the degree of residual structure in the C -terminus coupled to the shorter separation between the N - and C -termini in $\beta$-synuclein and $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$, making protective intramolecular contacts more likely.


Intrinsically disordered proteins (IDPs) are involved in myriad biological processes, including cellular signaling, molecular recognition, and transcriptional regulation. ${ }^{1-5}$ Additionally, members of this class of proteins have been implicated in a number of debilitating protein misfolding disorders. ${ }^{6}$ For instance, $\mathrm{A} \beta$ peptides and $\alpha$-synuclein ( $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ ) are the primary constituents of the amyloid deposits found in Alzheimer's disease and Parkinson's disease, respectively. ${ }^{7-9}$ A description of the native state ensembles of IDPs in terms of the constituent structures and their relative populations is vital to understanding both the function and the aggregation process of these proteins. The absence of persistent secondary and tertiary structure elements in IDPs does not preclude the presence of well-defined conformational preferences. Indeed, residual structure, often in the form of transient long-range contacts, has been detected in many IDPs, ${ }^{10-22}$ and some exhibit pockets of structure that have a propensity to bind small molecules. ${ }^{23,24}$

The heterogeneity and broadness of the ensembles of structures characteristic of disordered states of proteins make the determination of the conformational properties of IDPs particularly challenging. For example, nuclear Overhauser effect (NOE)-based nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) measurements are sensitive only up to separations of $\sim 0.5 \mathrm{~nm}$, the result being that transient tertiary interactions in disordered states are unlikely to be detected using this approach. Despite this limitation, it has been possible to extract some structural information about disordered states from certain types of X-ray techniques and NMR spectroscopy measurements. For
instance, small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) ${ }^{25,26}$ and diffusion NMR spectroscopy ${ }^{27}$ have been used to determine the molecular dimensions of IDPs. NMR observables such as residual dipolar couplings (RDCs) have proven to be a useful source of detailed structural information about disordered states, ${ }^{10,14,16,28-33}$ and methods are also emerging for utilizing chemical shifts. ${ }^{18-22,34-37}$

Paramagnetic relaxation enhancement (PRE) experiments overcome the limitations of NOE measurements in probing the conformational properties of IDPs by utilizing the longer-range dipolar interactions between unpaired electrons in paramagnetic probes and atomic nuclei, which can be detected experimentally at distances up to $\sim 2.0 \mathrm{~nm}$. The paramagnetic probe is often a free radical, typically a nitroxide spin-label covalently attached to a cysteine residue introduced into the protein of interest by site-specific mutagenesis. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ HSQC spectra are then recorded with the spin-label in its paramagnetic (oxidized) and diamagnetic (reduced) states. The enhancement of the transverse relaxation of each proton due to the free electron of the oxidized spin-label can be quantified by comparing the intensities of each proton resonance measured for each spin-label state. ${ }^{38}$ From the resultant intensity ratios $\left(I_{\text {ox }} / I_{\text {red }}\right)$, the $r^{-6}$ average of the distance between the free
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Figure 1. Alignment of the amino acid sequences of $\alpha \mathrm{S}, \beta \mathrm{S}$, and $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$. Amino acids are colored according to the chemical nature of their side chains. The region shaded in Cambridge blue indicates the 11 residues from $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ that were inserted into $\beta \mathrm{S}$ to form $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$.
electron and each proton (typically the backbone amide hydrogen) in the protein can be deduced. ${ }^{38-40}$ The fact that this distance is a time and ensemble average over the duration of the experiment and the ensemble of molecules present is an important consideration in the analysis of PRE data. When PRE-NMR experiments are conducted with the spin-label attached at a number of different positions in the protein, sufficient distances for characterizing key features of the conformational ensemble of the protein can be obtained. ${ }^{17}$

IDPs have also been characterized using molecular dynamics (MD) simulations, ${ }^{41-46}$ although such techniques are hampered by the need to explore very large regions of conformational space. Because this is computationally expensive, implicit solvent models are often used. ${ }^{17,47-53}$ Regardless of whether implicit or explicit solvent models are used, however, compact structures tend to be favored relative to more extended conformational states, most likely because most force fields have been parametrized to reproduce structural data for natively folded proteins. This is, however, an area of intense research in which rapid progress can be expected. Conducting the simulations at high temperatures allows more expanded structures to be sampled, but with the concomitant risk of compromising the physical relevance of the structures that are explored. Adding restraints derived from experimental data can, however, help to overcome this problem, while simultaneously biasing sampling toward relevant structures and restricting the conformational space that is explored, thereby reducing the simulation time and computational expense required for converged simulations. ${ }^{17,54,55}$ Such restrained MD simulations can also be seen to aid the interpretation of experimental data in terms of structures and their populations, particularly for IDPs where the experimental data are in general averages over many disparate structures. Because of this factor, it is important to apply the restraints as averages, which can be achieved by averaging over time ${ }^{56,57}$ or space, ${ }^{58-61}$ i.e., over ensembles of structures. Care must be taken, however, to ensure that there are sufficient data to warrant the additional degrees of freedom that result from averaging over multiple replicas or time points. Additionally, nonlinearly averaged restraints can result in overor underestimation of the population of structures with short distances. ${ }^{62,63}$ In this context, it has been recently recognized that the use of replica averaging represents an implementation of the maximum entropy principle to incorporate the experimental information into the molecular dynamics simulations. ${ }^{62-65}$

In this work, we consider two related and similarly sized (127 and 140 residues) IDPs, $\alpha$-synuclein ( $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ ) and $\beta$-synuclein $(\beta S) .{ }^{66-68}$ Despite their significant sequence similarity (Figure 1), these two proteins differ considerably in their behavior and medical significance. In particular, while $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ aggregates to form the Lewy bodies characteristic of Parkinson's disease, $\beta \mathrm{S}$ does not appear to aggregate in vivo and has even been shown to inhibit fibril formation by $\alpha \mathrm{S}$. ${ }^{69,70}$ To explore the reasons for such differences between $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ and $\beta \mathrm{S}$, we introduced a construct of $\beta \mathrm{S}, \beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}{ }^{71}$ which incorporates residues $71-82$ of the non- $\beta$ amyloid component (NAC) region of $\alpha$ S (Figure 1) to determine whether this highly hydrophobic 11-residue region, which is absent in the sequence of $\beta \mathrm{S}$, is sufficient to induce $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ like aggregation behavior in $\beta \mathrm{S}$. Despite the fact that the NAC region is thought to be the primary determinant of $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ aggregation ${ }^{72}$ and to be necessary for fibril formation, particularly residues $63-74,{ }^{73,74}$ the aggregation properties of $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$ are closer to those of $\beta \mathrm{S},{ }^{71}$ which is much less aggregation prone than $\alpha \mathrm{S}$.

To fully understand the reasons for these differing aggregation behaviors, it is necessary to characterize the ensemble of structures sampled by each protein under the same conditions under which aggregation occurs. $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ in solution has been the subject of very many experimental, ${ }^{75-86}$ computational, ${ }^{83,87}$ and hybrid ${ }^{10-12,17,19,20,88-92}$ investigations. While each study has highlighted different structural features, there is a general agreement that in solution, the C-terminal region of $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ appears to provide some protection to the remainder of the protein, including the aggregation prone central NAC region. To date, the structural propensities of $\beta \mathrm{S}$ have been characterized only experimentally. ${ }^{13,15,93}$ To build upon this, PRE-NMR experiments were conducted on $\beta \mathrm{S}$ and $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$, and distances derived from the experimental data were used as replica-averaged restraints in MD simulations to generate ensembles of structures representative of the native states of these proteins. Comparison of these ensembles and the ensemble of structures previously generated for $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ reveals specific differences in the structural preferences of the three proteins and allows the effects of the hydrophobic core on the structural properties of these forms of synuclein, including their different aggregation propensities, to be examined at a molecular level.

## - METHODS

Protein Preparation. ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-labeled $\beta \mathrm{S}$ and $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$ were expressed and purified as described previously. ${ }^{71}$ A QuickChange (Stratagene) site-directed mutagenesis kit was used to engineer cysteine mutations at positions A30, S42, S64, F89, A102, S118, and A134 in $\beta$ S and A30, S42, S64, A113, and A145 in $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$. Mutation sites were selected to minimize structural perturbations and to correspond as closely as possible to the $\alpha$ S mutation sites (Q24, S42, Q62, S87, N103, and N122). The nitroxide spin-label MTSL (1-oxyl-2,2,5,5-tetramethyl-3-pyrroline-3-methylmethanethiosulfonate) (Toronto Research Chemicals Inc.) was attached to the introduced cysteine residue in each variant in a thiol-specific reaction. The cysteine variants were first reduced with 5 mM DTT, which was subsequently removed using a 20 mL HiTrap desalting column (Amersham-Pharmacia) connected to an Akta fast protein liquid chromatography instrument (Amersham-Pharmacia). Immediately following DTT removal, the protein solution was incubated overnight with a 10 -fold molar excess of MTSL. After incubation, unreacted MTSL was removed with a HiTrap desalting column. Uniform labeling was confirmed using mass spectrometry. Analysis of the spin-labeled variants using circular dichroism showed no evidence of any conformational changes. Moreover, the amide proton and nitrogen chemical shifts in the HSQC spectra were not significantly altered, even for residues in the vicinity of the spin-label (Figures S1 and S2 of the Supporting Information).

NMR Spectroscopy. Two-dimensional gradient-enhanced ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ HSQC of $\beta \mathrm{S}$ and $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$ was conducted following protocols described previously ${ }^{12}$ at the EPSRC-supported biomolecular NMR facility (Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge) on a Bruker Avance 700 MHz spectrometer operating at $10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Experimental samples contained $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ uniformly ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-labeled protein with MTSL attached in 10 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7.4 ), 100 mM NaCl , and $10 \% \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Control samples contained $100 \mu \mathrm{M}{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ labeled protein and $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ spin-labeled protein. The uniformity of the $I_{\mathrm{ox}} / I_{\text {red }}$ calculated from the control spectra showed that there were no complications arising from the reduction method and that aggregation did not occur. Backbone NMR assignments for $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ and $\beta \mathrm{S}$ were obtained by standard triple-resonance methods as previously described. ${ }^{71,75}$ Assignment of $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$ was obtained with truncated tripleresonance $\mathrm{CBCA}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{NH}$ and HNCO experiments, and an overlay of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ HSQC spectra confirmed that the chemical shifts of the added and original residues conformed to the chemical shifts of these residues in $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ and $\beta \mathrm{S}$, respectively (Figure S3 of the Supporting Information). For each spinlabeled mutant, an HSQC spectrum was first acquired with the label in its oxidized state. A 5 -fold molar excess of sodium ascorbate was then added from a concentrated stock solution to reduce the spin-label without altering significantly the sample volume or pH . After incubation for at least 20 h , a second HSQC spectrum was acquired with all parameters remaining unchanged. HSQC spectra were collected using 16 scans per increment, with 1024 complete points for the direct dimension and 128 complex points for the indirect dimension. NMR data were processed with NMRPipe ${ }^{94}$ and analyzed with Sparky. ${ }^{95}$ Harsh resolution enhancing functions were not used to avoid nonuniform effects on cross-peak intensities, and cross-peaks exhibiting severe overlap were omitted from further analysis.

Distance Calculations. The electron-proton distances were calculated from the intensity ratios $\left(I_{\mathrm{ox}} / I_{\text {red }}\right)$ as described previously, ${ }^{12}$ including the modifications introduced by Allison et al. ${ }^{17}$ as detailed below. Residue-specific values of $R_{2}$ were used where available; otherwise, the average over all residues was used.

Examination of the effect of introducing uncertainty of up to $15 \%$ in $I_{\text {ox }} / I_{\text {red }}$ on the calculated distance showed that variation of up to $10 \%$ in $I_{\mathrm{ox}} / I_{\text {red }}$ results in propagated uncertainties of less than -0.19 or 0.38 nm in the calculated distance, which is a tolerable level. Distances were therefore used as restraints only if the difference between each replicate value of $I_{\mathrm{ox}} / I_{\text {red }}$ and the average value was less than $10 \%$ of the average $I_{\mathrm{ox}} / I_{\mathrm{red}}$ value. The fraction of the experimental data that was discarded in this way for each protein is listed in Table 3 along with the total number of distance restraints for each protein. For each protein, $20 \%$ of the distances were removed from the "working" data set to be used for independent cross-validation.

During ensemble-averaged simulations using PRE-derived distance restraints, the calculated distance, $d_{i j}^{\text {calc }}(t)$, is allowed to vary freely within $d_{i j}^{\exp }(t)-L$ and $d_{i j}^{\exp }(t)+U$, where $L$ and $U$ are the distances to the lower and upper bounds, respectively, of the flat bottom of the harmonic square well. Detailed investigations using synthetic data have shown that the optimal choices for $L$ and $U$ to best reproduce the distribution of distances as well as the $r^{-6}$ average are 0.1 and 0.8 nm , respectively. ${ }^{17,96}$

As a general rule, $I_{\mathrm{ox}} / I_{\text {red }}$ values of $<0.15$ are unreliable, ${ }^{38}$ as any experimental uncertainty is large relative to the size of the measured $I_{\mathrm{ox}} / I_{\mathrm{red}}$. Distances calculated from experimental $I_{\mathrm{ox}} /$ $I_{\text {red }}$ values of $<0.15$ were therefore assigned only an upper bound corresponding to $d_{i j}^{0.15}+U$, where $d_{i j}^{0.15}$ is the distance calculated from an $I_{\mathrm{ox}} / I_{\mathrm{red}}$ value of 0.15 . The nature of the equations used to calculate the distances means that for a high $I_{\mathrm{ox}} / I_{\text {red }}$, a small change in $I_{\mathrm{ox}} / I_{\text {red }}$ results in a large change in the calculated distance. Thus, $I_{\mathrm{ox}} / I_{\text {red }}$ values of $>0.85$ were used as "negative" restraints by assigning only a lower bound corresponding to $d_{i j}^{0.85}-L$, where $d_{i j}^{0.85}$ is the distance calculated from an $I_{\text {ox }} / I_{\text {red }}$ value of 0.85 .

Molecular Dynamics Simulations. All simulations were conducted using an in-house version of the CHARMM biomolecular simulation package ${ }^{97}$ that has been modified to allow restraints to be applied across multiple replicas. The Newtonian equations of motion were integrated using the Velocity Verlet algorithm, ${ }^{98}$ and the Nose-Hoover thermostat ${ }^{99,100}$ was employed so that a canonical ensemble was sampled. The CHARMM19 polar hydrogen representation ${ }^{101}$ was used, and bond lengths were constrained with the SHAKE algorithm, ${ }^{102}$ allowing for an integration time step of 2 fs . A set of unrelated, expanded starting structures for each protein were chosen from high-temperature ( 500 K ) simulations with the EEF1 ${ }^{103}$ implicit solvent model. The final ensemble for each simulation was obtained by pooling together all of the structures obtained during the production phase; if multiple replicas were used, these were pooled, as well.

Random Coil Model. A reference random coil model for each protein was produced by truncating the nonbonded interactions so that only the repulsive part of the Lennard-Jones potential remained. Molecular dynamics simulations were run in vacuum with no electrostatic interactions. The temperature, $T$, was typically $500-600 \mathrm{~K}$ to enhance the rate of sampling, but the nature of the resulting ensemble was similar at lower
values of $T$. The coordinates were saved every 20 ps for 200 ns , giving 10000 structures in total.

The intensity ratios expected for a purely random coil were computed by first calculating the $r^{-6}$-averaged distances between the $\mathrm{C} \alpha$ atoms of the spin-labeled residues and the amide hydrogens of all other residues. These distances were converted into intensity ratios by following the inverse of the procedure used to calculate distances from intensity ratios.

Molecular Dynamics Simulations with Replica-Averaged Distance Restraints. Restrained simulations were conducted using molecular dynamics with replica-averaged distance restraints derived from PRE-NMR measurements. In this approach, ${ }^{47,50,54,59,104-113}$ the restraints are applied to multiple independent replicas simulated in parallel. A restraint coordinate, $\rho$, is defined as the difference between the current average of each observable across all replicas, $f_{i}^{\text {calc }}$, and the experimentally derived restraint, $f_{i}^{\text {exp }}$, averaged over all $N^{\text {res }}$ restraints:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(t)=\frac{1}{N^{\mathrm{res}}} \sum_{i=1}^{N^{\mathrm{res}}}\left(f_{i}^{\mathrm{exp}}-f_{i}^{\mathrm{calc}}\right)^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{i}^{\text {exp }}$ refers to the $r^{-6}$-averaged distance $d_{i j}^{\text {exp }}$ derived from the experimental PRE-NMR data as detailed above and $f_{i}^{\text {calc }}$ was calculated from the simulated structures according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}^{\text {calc }}=d_{i j}^{\text {calc }}(t)=\left[\frac{1}{N^{\text {rep }}} \sum_{i=1}^{N^{\text {rep }}} r_{i j, k}^{-6}(t)\right]^{-1 / 6} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{i j, k}(t)$ is the distance between residues $i$ and $j$ calculated from replica $k$ of the restrained ensemble at time $t$ and $N^{\text {rep }}$ is the number of replicas. $r_{i j, k}$ was defined as being between the $\mathrm{C} \alpha$ atom of spin-labeled residue $i$ and the amide hydrogen of residue $j$. A flat bottom restraint potential was used, meaning that the contribution of a given distance $d_{i j}$ to the restraint coordinate is zero if $d_{i j}^{\exp }(t)-L<d_{i j}^{\text {calc }}(t)<d_{i j}^{\exp }(t)+U$.

An energy penalty of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\alpha N^{\text {rep }}}{2}\left[\rho(t)-\rho_{0}(t)\right]^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is added to the potential energy if $\rho(t)>\rho_{0}(t)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{0}(t)=\min _{0} \leq \tau \leq \rho(t) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\alpha$ is a force constant associated with the restraints. In this way, as the simulation proceeds, the ensemble of structures is progressively biased toward structures that, on average, satisfy the restraints.

The replica-averaged MD simulations were conducted using the SASA ${ }^{114,115}$ implicit solvation model with default cutoff distances for nonbonded and electrostatic interactions and rectangular periodic boundary conditions. Following the protocol developed using synthetic data for $\alpha$ S, ${ }^{17} 24$ replicas were simulated in parallel. The molecules were first heated to 700 K in 50 K increments ( 10 ps per temperature), and then $\alpha$ was increased from its starting value of $500 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \AA^{-2}$ to its final value of $364500 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \AA^{-2}$ by a factor of 3 every 10 ps. After a brief equilibration ( 200 ps ), the coordinates were collected every 5 ps for 400 ps per replica, giving 1920 structures in total. The temperature, $T$, was then lowered by 25 K and the system re-equilibrated before 1920 structures were collected at the new $T$. $Q$ values quantifying the agreement with the experimental data (see below) were calculated at each $T$ so
that the agreement with experiment could be monitored constantly. The cooling-equilibration-collection cycle was continued until the various $Q$ values (Table 3) were simultaneously minimized. An additional 5760 structures (1.2 ns per replica) were collected at this optimal $T$ for further analysis.

Analysis. Calculation of $R_{g}$ and $R_{h}$. The geometric radius of gyration, $R_{g}$, was calculated from the heavy atoms of each structure using CHARMM analysis facilities. For comparison with experimental data, the hydrodynamic radius, $R_{\mathrm{b}}$, of each ensemble was computed. For each protein, the $R_{\mathrm{h}}$ of 200 randomly selected structures of varying degrees of compactness was computed using HYDROPRO ${ }^{116}$ with default settings and six sizes of minibeads ranging from 0.18 to 0.28 nm . The molecular weight and partial specific volume were evaluated from the amino acid sequence. Relationships between $R_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{-1}$ and $R_{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{-1}$ were then determined by linear regression (uncertainty represents standard error) (Table 1).

Table 1

| protein | relationship | correlation <br> coefficient |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ | $R_{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{-1}=0.0148( \pm 0.0003)+0.4882( \pm 0.0038) R_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{-1}$ | 0.994 |
| $\beta \mathrm{~S}$ | $R_{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{-1}=0.0163( \pm 0.0002)+0.4537( \pm 0.0042) R_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{-1}$ | 0.991 |
| $\beta \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$ | $R_{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{-1}=0.0151( \pm 0.0002)+0.4943( \pm 0.0044) R_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{-1}$ | 0.990 |

These equations were used to convert the calculated $R_{\mathrm{g}}$ of each structure into an $R_{h}$. The overall $\left\langle R_{h}{ }^{-1}\right\rangle^{-1}$ was then computed according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle R_{\mathrm{h}}^{-1}\right\rangle^{-1}=\left(\frac{1}{N^{\text {struct }}} \sum_{k=1}^{N^{\text {struct }}} R_{h, k}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N^{\text {struct }}$ is the number of structures in the ensemble, to reflect the averaging inherent in the experimental measurement.

Compaction Factors. Compaction factors, $C_{f}$, quantifying the degree of compaction relative to that of a fully unfolded (random coil) and natively folded state were calculated according to ${ }^{27}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\mathrm{f}}=\frac{R_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{U}}-R_{\mathrm{h}}^{\exp }}{R_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{U}}-R_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{F}}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{\mathrm{h}}^{\text {exp }}$ is the experimental $R_{\mathrm{h}}$ and $R_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{F}}$ and $R_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{U}}$ are the $R_{\mathrm{h}}$ values expected if the protein is natively folded ( F ) and fully unfolded (U), respectively:

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{F}}=4.75 N^{0.29} \\
& R_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{U}}=2.21 N^{0.57} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Q Values. The agreement between the synthetic or experimental observables and those calculated from a calculated ensemble was quantified with a "quality factor": ${ }^{117}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\frac{\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{N^{\text {obs }}}\left(f_{k}^{\text {calc }}-f_{k}^{\text {exp }}\right)^{2}}}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{N^{\text {obs }}}\left(f_{k}^{\exp }\right)^{2}}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N^{\text {obs }}$ is the number of observables of that type (e.g., working or free PRE distances) and the $f_{k}^{\text {calc }}$ values are the averages over the pooled ensemble.


Figure 2. Intensity ratios $I_{\mathrm{ox}} / I_{\mathrm{red}}$ for each spin-label position for $(\mathrm{A}) \alpha \mathrm{S},{ }^{12,17}$ (B) $\beta \mathrm{S}$, and (C) $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$. The experimental data are shown as black bars, and the $I_{\mathrm{ox}} / I_{\mathrm{red}}$ values calculated from the random coil ensemble are plotted as thick red lines. PRE-NMR experiments were conducted on $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ uniformly ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-labeled protein with MTSL attached in 10 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7.4 ), 100 mM NaCl , and $10 \% \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The experimental $I_{\mathrm{ox}} / I_{\mathrm{red}}$ values are those processed for use in the simulations (see Methods); thus, any $I_{\mathrm{ox}} / I_{\mathrm{red}}$ of $<0.15$ or $>0.85$ has been set to 0.15 or 0.85 , respectively. If no bar is present, then either $I_{\mathrm{ox}} / I_{\mathrm{red}}$ was not measured for this residue or it was discarded because of an uncertainty of $>10 \%$.

Distance Comparison Maps. Distance comparison (DC) maps were created by plotting the root-mean-square (rms) distance between two residues, $i$ and $j$, normalized by the rms distance predicted for a purely random coil:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left\langle d_{i j}^{\text {cald }^{2}}\right\rangle^{1 / 2}}{\left\langle d_{i j}^{\mathrm{ra}^{2}}\right\rangle^{1 / 2}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rms inter-residue distances for the calculated ensemble were calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle d_{i j}^{\text {calc }^{2}}\right\rangle^{1 / 2}=\frac{1}{N^{\text {struct }}} \sum_{k=1}^{N^{\text {struct }}}\left(d_{i j, k}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{\text {struct }}$ is the number of structures in the calculated ensemble. The rms inter-residue distances for a random coil were calculated according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\left(d_{i j}^{\mathrm{rc}}\right)^{2}\right\rangle^{1 / 2}=5.31\left(N^{\mathrm{sep}}\right)^{0.6} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which predicts the rms distance between two residues with sequence separation $N^{\text {sep }}$ for a random flight chain with excluded volume and dihedral angles taken from a Protein Data Bank coil library. ${ }^{118}$ Similar results were obtained if $\left\langle\left(d_{i j}^{\text {rc }}\right)^{2}\right\rangle^{1 / 2}$ was calculated from the random coil model of the protein in question. The normalization by $\left\langle\left(d_{i j}^{\mathrm{rc}}\right)^{2}\right\rangle^{1 / 2}$ is important because it removes the dependence of the inter-residue distance on the sequence separation, allowing pairs of residues with different sequence separations and also proteins of different lengths to be compared.
${ }^{3}$ J Couplings. The ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HNH} \alpha}$ couplings were calculated for each structure using the GROMACS ${ }^{1 \mathrm{f9}}$ program $\mathrm{g}_{-}$chi with default settings, values for the Karplus relation parameters of $A$ $=6.4, B=-1.4$, and $C=1.9,{ }^{120}$ and an offset of $-60^{\circ}$ and then averaged over all structures in an ensemble.

Residual Dipolar Couplings. Residual dipolar couplings were calculated for each structure using steric PALES ${ }^{121}$ with
default settings and then averaged over all structures in an ensemble.

Solvent Accessible Surface Area. The solvent accessible surface area of each structure was calculated using the algorithm of Lee and Richards, ${ }^{122}$ as implemented in CHARMM, using default settings, including a probe radius of 0.16 nm .

Aggregation Propensity. Aggregation propensity profiles $\left(Z_{\text {agg }}^{\text {prof }}\right)$ of $\alpha \mathrm{S}, \beta \mathrm{S}$, and $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$ were computed using an updated version of the Zyggregator algorithm, ${ }^{123}$ which predicts the aggregation propensity of peptides and proteins in aqueous solution from the physicochemical properties of their constituent amino acids and compares this to the aggregation propensity of a set of randomly generated amino acid sequences of the same length. ${ }^{124} Z_{\text {agg }}^{\text {prof }}$ indicates the regions that are most prone to aggregation.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Detection of Nonrandom Structure. PRE-NMR experiments combined with the calculation of ensembles of structures consistent with the NMR data have already been conducted for $\alpha$ S. ${ }^{12,17}$ The ensemble of structures obtained was validated by comparison with independent experimental data. Here we describe similar experiments and calculations for $\beta \mathrm{S}$, and for the artificial construct $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$. We note that in all cases, the nonacetylated form of the protein was studied, as this is the form of the heterologously expressed protein studied experimentally, and the simulations aimed to match the experiments as closely as possible.

In discussing these three proteins, we define the N -terminal and central regions as those regions that can form $\alpha$-helical lipid-bound structure: ${ }^{125}$ residues $1-98$ in $\alpha \mathrm{S}$, residues $1-65$ in $\beta \mathrm{S}$, and, assuming the additional 11 residues from $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ extend the helical region of $\beta \mathrm{S}$, residues $1-76$ in $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$, with the remainder of each protein being designated as the C-terminal region.

The backbone assignments of $\alpha \mathrm{S}^{75}$ and $\beta \mathrm{S}^{71}$ were previously described. For the $\beta S_{\mathrm{HC}}$ construct, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ HSQC
spectrum overlaps with that of $\beta \mathrm{S}$, and the additional 11 residues from $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ exhibit chemical shifts in $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$ that correspond closely to their resonances in $\alpha$ S (Figure S3 of the Supporting Information). This allowed a backbone assignment strategy in which the identification of individual amino acid resonances was confirmed by a combination of CBCA(CO)NH and HNCO triple-resonance experiments. The chemical shifts for $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$ have been deposited in the BioMagResBank.

Seven distinct single-residue cysteine mutations were introduced into $\beta \mathrm{S}$, and five into $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$, to which the MTSL spin-label was subsequently attached. The positions of the cysteine mutations were kept as consistent as possible among the three proteins (Table 3 and Figure 2) to facilitate comparisons. For each cysteine mutant of each protein, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ HSQC spectra were collected with the spin-label in both its oxidized state and its reduced state. The heights of individual NMR resonances were then used to calculate the intensity ratios shown in Figure 2.

A decrease in the intensity ratio is expected for residues proximal in sequence to the spin-label attachment site. The predicted pattern of intensity ratios stemming from this effect is illustrated by the red lines in Figure 2, which show the intensity ratios calculated from random coil representations of each protein. Additional regions with intensity ratios lower than these values correspond to internuclear distances that are significantly shorter than in a random coil ensemble. All three proteins exhibit such long-range contact formation, indicative of nonrandom structure, suggesting that they are more compact than a random coil of the same sequence. Control experiments in which the HSQC spectra were collected for a mixture of isotopically labeled protein and spin-labeled protein confirmed that the observed intensity decreases were due to intramolecular contact formation, rather than from intermolecular contact formation due to aggregation (data not shown).

Examination of the intensity ratios in more detail reveals that the majority of the contact formation is between residues of intermediate (up to 30 residues apart) sequence separation, indicative of local structural collapse. In particular, the decreases in the intensity ratios for residues around spin-label positions Q24, A30, and A30 and Q62, S64, and S64 for $\alpha \mathrm{S}, \beta \mathrm{S}$, and $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$, respectively, and S 42 in $\beta \mathrm{S}$ and $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$ extend further from the spin-label in both directions than what is predicted by the random coil model. However, when the spin-label is attached at position $\mathrm{N} 103, \mathrm{~A} 102$, or A 113 in $\alpha \mathrm{S}, \beta \mathrm{S}$, or $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$, respectively, only residues located on the N -terminal side of the spin-label show decreased intensity ratios, suggestive of an extended Cterminus in all three proteins. In $\alpha \mathrm{S}$, attaching the spin-label at position S42 results in lower intensity ratios for C-terminal residues from position 110 onward, and some evidence of the reciprocal interaction can be seen for spin-label position N122. Neither of these effects is observed for $\beta \mathrm{S}$ or $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$, suggestive of fewer, or at least different, patterns of long-range contact formation for these proteins. Overall, the intensity ratios suggest some local compaction in the N -terminal and central regions of all three proteins, and more extended structure in the C-terminal regions, particularly for $\beta \mathrm{S}$ and $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$.

Generation of Ensembles of Structures. To determine the molecular details of the structures giving rise to the PRENMR data, ensembles of structures compatible with the PREderived distances were determined using replica-averaged restrained MD (PRE-RMD) simulations. ${ }^{12,17,48}$ To account for the averaging inherent in the experimental data, the PRE-
derived distance restraints were applied to multiple (24) independent replicas simulated in parallel. At each point in time, a restraint coordinate, $\rho$, was obtained by comparing the $r^{-6}$ average of each distance across all replicas to the experimental value (eq 1). An energy penalty, the magnitude of which depends on the magnitude of $\rho$, was applied only if the value of $\rho$ at that time point was greater than the previous minimum (eq 3). In this way, the simulations were progressively biased toward structures that, on average, satisfy the restraints. The majority of the simulation parameters, including the number of replicas, were optimized previously so they would be suitable for reproducing disordered state ensembles. ${ }^{17}$ In particular, an asymmetric flat bottom harmonic potential was adopted to ensure that the structures generated are not overly compact, as can be the case for $r^{-6}$-averaged distance restraints like those used here. The only parameter that was changed in this work is the simulation temperature, which is used to tune the average dimensions of the structures that make up the ensemble, as quantified by the harmonic average of the hydrodynamic radius, $\left\langle R_{h}{ }^{-1}\right\rangle^{-1}$, so that it matches the experimentally determined value. This tuning was shown greatly to improve the accuracy of the ensemble, measured in terms of the reproduction of distributions of structural properties. ${ }^{17}$ To provide further evidence that the ensembles of structures produced here are valid representations of the experimental ensembles, cross-validation, in which only $80 \%$ of the PRE-derived distance restraints were used in the PRE-RMD calculations ("working") and the remaining 20\% provide a "free" data set whose satisfaction is not preordained by their inclusion as restraints, was conducted. For all three proteins, the agreement with the "free" set of PRE-derived distances is almost as good as that of the "working" PREderived distances (Table 3).

Molecular Dimensions. Two different ensembles of $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ restrained with PRE-derived distances have been obtained previously, one with an average $R_{\mathrm{h}}$ close to $2.7 \mathrm{~nm},{ }^{12}$ consistent with the experimental $R_{\mathrm{h}}$ of 2.66 nm measured in unbuffered $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $298 \mathrm{~K}(2.66 \mathrm{~nm}),{ }^{76}$ and one with an average $R_{\mathrm{h}}$ of 3.2 $\mathrm{nm},{ }^{17}$ to match the experimental $R_{\mathrm{h}}$ values of 3.20 and 3.19 nm measured in subsequent PFG-NMR experiments at 288 K in unbuffered $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{126}$ and in 20 mM phosphate buffer ( pH 6.5 ) with $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl},{ }^{13}$ respectively. The latter ensemble also made use of an additional 118 distance restraints obtained after determination of the first ensemble as well as the original 478 distance restraints. The $R_{\mathrm{h}}$ values of the $\beta \mathrm{S}$ and $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$ ensembles were matched to experimental values measured under conditions as close as possible to those of the PRE-NMR experiments [ pH 6.5 for $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ and pH 7.4 for $\beta \mathrm{S}$ and $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}, 100$ $\mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 288 \mathrm{~K}$ (Table 2)] by tuning the simulation temperature.

Comparison of the $R_{\mathrm{h}}$ values of $\alpha \mathrm{S}, \beta \mathrm{S}$, and $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$ must take into account their different sequence lengths. The compaction factor ${ }^{27}$ (see Methods), $C_{f}$, allows for this difference by comparing the experimental $R_{\mathrm{h}}$ to that expected if the polypeptide were to exist in a compact folded state or to be fully unfolded (i.e., random coil-like). A $C_{f}$ of 1.0 indicates compaction typical of a natively folded protein, while a $C_{f}$ of zero indicates random coill-like dimensions. According to this measure, the dimensions of $\beta \mathrm{S}\left(C_{\mathrm{f}}=0.45\right)$ and $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}\left(C_{\mathrm{f}}=\right.$ 0.23 ) resemble those of partially unfolded proteins that retain some nonlocal interactions, such as reduced hen egg white lysozyme at pH 2.0 or BPTI at $\mathrm{pH} 4.5\left(C_{\mathrm{f}}=0.35\right),{ }^{27}$ with $\beta \mathrm{S}$ slightly more compact and $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$ more unfolded. In contrast, $\alpha \mathrm{S}$

Table 2. Predicted ${ }^{a}$ and Experimental ${ }^{b, c} R_{h}$ Values (nanometers) and Compaction Factors ${ }^{\boldsymbol{d}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ for $\alpha \mathrm{S}, \beta \mathrm{S}$, and $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$ in Various States

|  |  | U | F | $\mathrm{NaCl}^{b}$ | NaCl and $\mathrm{SDS}^{c}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\alpha \mathrm{~S}$ | $R_{\mathrm{h}}$ | 3.70 | 1.99 | 3.19 | 2.46 |
|  | $C_{\mathrm{f}}$ |  | 0.61 | 0.30 | 0.725 |
| $\beta \mathrm{~S}$ | $R_{\mathrm{h}}$ | 3.60 | 1.97 | 3.24 | 3.22 |
|  | $C_{\mathrm{f}}$ |  | - | 0.22 | 0.23 |
| $\beta \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$ | $R_{\mathrm{h}}$ | 3.77 | 2.01 | - | 2.97 |
|  | $C_{\mathrm{f}}$ |  | - | - | 0.46 |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{U}$ and F refer to the $R_{\mathrm{h}}$ values predicted according to eq $7^{27}$ for a fully unfolded and a compact folded polypeptide, respectively. ${ }^{b}$ Measured by PFG-NMR on $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ protein in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and 20 mM phosphate buffer ( pH 6.5 ) with 100 mM NaCl at $288 \mathrm{~K} .{ }^{13}$ Note that the $R_{\mathrm{h}}$ measured by PFG-NMR for $100 \mu \mathrm{M} \alpha \mathrm{S}$ in unbuffered $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at 288 K is almost identical ( 3.20 nm )..$^{126}{ }^{c}$ Measured by PFG-NMR on $70 \mu \mathrm{M}$ protein in 10 mM phosphate buffer ( pH 7.7 ) with 100 mM NaCl and 0.5 mM SDS at $298 \mathrm{~K} .{ }^{129}{ }^{d}$ Calculated according to eq $6 .{ }^{27}$
$\left(C_{f}=0.72\right)$ is significantly more compact, exhibiting a degree of expansion similar to that of the low- pH molten globule state of myoglobin. ${ }^{27}$

An alternative to the $R_{\mathrm{h}}$ value for quantifying the size of a molecule is the radius of gyration $\left(R_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$. It should be pointed out, however, that because the $R_{\mathrm{h}}$ is defined as the radius of a hard sphere with the observed diffusion rate, this parameter reflects only approximately the apparent size adopted by the solvated, tumbling molecule. $R_{\mathrm{g}}$ is defined as the mass-weighted average distance of each atom from the center of mass of the molecule, and therefore, calculating its value from the sets of coordinates obtained from an MD simulation is simple and fast. The $R_{\mathrm{h}}$ and $R_{\mathrm{g}}$ values are related to each other and can be interconverted by the approach described in Methods.

The broad distributions of the $R_{\mathrm{g}}$ values of the ensembles (Figure 3) reflect the wide variety of structures populated at least transiently by IDPs. Comparison with the $R_{g}$ distributions of the random coil models of each protein, however, reveals that the range of structures accessible to each protein is restricted to conformations that are significantly more compact than those expected for a random coil, reflecting the non-zero $C_{\mathrm{f}}$ values. Also consistent with the trends observed for the compaction factors, the difference distribution of the $R_{\mathrm{g}}$ (Figure 3D) of $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$ differs from those of $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ and $\beta \mathrm{S}$ in a manner that indicates that the shift toward structures with smaller $R_{\mathrm{g}}$ values in the PRE-RMD simulations, compared to those expected for a random coil, is more pronounced for $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$ than for the other two proteins. As with the $R_{\mathrm{h}}$ values, however, it is not
appropriate to compare directly the $R_{\mathrm{g}}$ probability distributions of the three different synucleins because of their different sequence lengths.

Comparison with Experimental Data Not Used as Restraints. The most stringent test of how well a simulation reproduces the actual ensemble of structures is a quantitative comparison with independent experimental data. As noted above, the agreement between the experimentally derived and calculated "free" PRE distances is almost as good as for the "working" PRE distances (Table 3), allowing a high level of confidence that the ensembles of structures provide a good representation of the long-range structural properties of $\alpha \mathrm{S}, \beta \mathrm{S}$, and $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$.

Table 3. Summary of the Experimental Restraints and How Well They Were Satisfied during the PRE-RMD Simulations ${ }^{a}$

|  | data |  |  |  |  |  | $Q$ values |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| protein | $N_{\text {PRE }}$ | $N_{\text {wPRE }}$ | $N_{\text {fPRE }}$ | \% discarded |  | $Q_{R_{\mathrm{h}}}$ | $Q_{\text {wPRE }}$ | $Q_{\text {fPRE }}$ |  |
| $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ | 595 | 476 | 119 | 17 |  | 0.006 | 0.19 | 0.20 |  |
| $\beta \mathrm{~S}$ | 635 | 508 | 127 | 17 |  | 0.005 | 0.20 | 0.19 |  |
| $\beta \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$ | 578 | 462 | 116 | 3 |  | 0.020 | 0.20 | 0.28 |  |

${ }^{a} N_{\text {PRE }}$ is the total number of distances derived from the PRE-NMR experiment, and $N_{\text {wPRE }}$ and $N_{\text {fPRE }}$ are the numbers of distances in the working and free data sets, comprising 80 and $20 \%$ of the total data, respectively. The percentage of the experimental data that was discarded due to uncertainties of $>10 \%$ is also shown. The $Q$ values (eq 8) quantify how well the experimental $\left\langle R_{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{-1}\right\rangle^{-1}\left(Q_{R_{\mathrm{h}}}\right)$ and the working ( $Q_{\text {wPRE }}$ ) and free ( $Q_{\text {fPRE }}$ ) distances were satisfied by the ensemble of structures obtained using PRE-RMD.

While the primary aim of this work was to reproduce the long-range structure of the three proteins, NMR data reporting on more local structural properties, namely ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HNH} \alpha}$ couplings and amide $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ RDCs, were calculated for $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ and $\beta \mathrm{S}$ for comparison with experimental values. ${ }^{11,13}$ Similar data are not available for $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$. The ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HNH} \alpha}$ couplings calculated from the PRE-RMD ensembles of $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ and $\beta \mathrm{S}$ structures are slightly greater than 5 Hz throughout the sequence, and those calculated from the random coil ensembles are slightly less than 5 Hz (Figure 4A,B). Neither set of calculated ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HNHa}}$ couplings for either protein bears a close resemblance to the experimentally measured values, which in general are larger and fluctuate more dramatically along the sequence. Although no experimental data are available for $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HNHa}}$ couplings were calculated from the PRE-RMD and random coil ensembles for


Figure 3. $R_{g}$ probability distributions for (A) $\alpha \mathrm{S}$, (B) $\beta \mathrm{S}$, and (C) $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$. The random coil ensembles (see the text for a definition) are colored black, and the ensembles calculated using PRE-RMD are colored red. Representative structures are shown for various values of $R_{g}$. The $R_{g}$ distributions are shown rather than the $R_{\mathrm{h}}$ distributions because the former are faster to calculate, but the $R_{\mathrm{h}}$ distributions are similar. ( D ) Distributions of the difference between the random coil and PRE-RMD ensemble $R_{\mathrm{g}}$ probabilities $\left[\Delta p\left(R_{\mathrm{g}}\right)=p\left(R_{\mathrm{g}}^{\text {random coil }}\right)-p\left(R_{\mathrm{g}}^{\text {PRE-RMD }}\right)\right]$.


Figure 4. Comparison of experimentally measured ${ }^{11,13}$ and calculated NMR observables for ( A and D$) \alpha \mathrm{S}$, ( B and E ) $\beta \mathrm{S}$, and $(\mathrm{C}$ and F$) ~ \beta \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$. ( $\mathrm{A}-$ C) ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HNHa}}$ couplings (black) measured experimentally, (red) calculated from the PRE-RMD ensembles, and (green) calculated from random coil ensembles. (D-F) Amide $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ RDCs measured experimentally in (black) C8E5/octanol or (blue) Pfl bacteriophage, (red) calculated from the PRE-RMD ensembles, and (green) calculated from random coil ensembles.


Figure 5. Distance comparison (DC) maps for the (A) $\alpha \mathrm{S}$, (B) $\beta \mathrm{S}$, and (C) $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$ ensembles determined by PRE-RMD. The top half shows the full DC map, whereas the bottom half shows only the scaled distances that are less than $75 \%$ of that expected for a random coil polymer and occur between pairs of oppositely charged residues. The same color scale is used for all the DC maps to aid comparisons.
comparison with those calculated from the $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ and $\beta \mathrm{S}$ ensembles. The couplings calculated from the PRE-RMD ensemble are again close to 5 Hz , whereas those calculated from the random coil ensemble lie between 5 and 6 Hz and fluctuate somewhat throughout the sequence, an observation likely to be due to the more compact nature of the $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$ structures inducing more local structure formation.

The magnitudes of the RDCs calculated from both the PRERMD and random coil ensembles of $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ and $\beta \mathrm{S}$ are more similar to those of the experimental RDCs (Figure 4D,E). The larger RDC values observed experimentally for the C-termini are not found in the values obtained from the random coil ensemble but are detectable in the RDCs calculated from the PRE-RMD ensemble. Again, however, the residue-specific variations in the experimental data are for the most part not accurately reproduced in either of the calculated ensembles.

Overall, the lack of agreement between the experimental ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HNHa}}$ couplings and those calculated from the PRE-RMD ensembles, coupled to the similarity between those calculated from the PRE-RMD and random coil ensembles, suggests that local residue-specific conformational preferences are not well reproduced in the PRE-RMD ensembles. This result is not surprising, given that the type of restraints used provides information about the long-range residual structures of the
proteins under investigation, but not about their local conformations. Rather, it should serve as a warning that reproducing experimental data describing one structural aspect of a protein, particularly a disordered protein, does not imply that other structural properties will be accurately described. RDCs report on both local and global structure, so the improved agreement of the PRE-RMD ensemble with the experimental data for the C-termini is likely to reflect the fact that the long-range structure, in the form of the replicaaveraged PRE distances, of this ensemble is in good agreement with that observed experimentally. However, the remaining discrepancies, as with the ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HNHa}}$ couplings, most likely result from the fact that the local structure is not well replicated in the calculated ensembles. A more accurate representation of such local conformations should be obtained by using additional restraints, such as ${ }^{3} J$ couplings and chemical shifts. These calculations were not performed here because the aspect of primary interest was the comparison of the long-range conformational behaviors of $\alpha \mathrm{S}, \beta \mathrm{S}$, and $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$ and whether any differences observed might be linked to their differing aggregation propensities.

Residual Structure Propensities. The nature of the structures comprising each ensemble is summarized in the distance comparison (DC) maps ${ }^{17}$ (Figure 5). In contrast to


Figure 6. Free energy landscapes of structural ensembles determined for (A) $\alpha \mathrm{S}$, (B) $\beta \mathrm{S}$, and (C) $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$ ensembles. The free energy is defined as $F\left(R_{g}, S A S A\right)=-\ln p\left(R_{g}, S A S A\right)$. Examples of structures found at various points on each landscape are given, and the position of the experimental micelle-bound structure of $\alpha \mathrm{S}^{137}$ and a homology model of $\beta \mathrm{S}$ based on the $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ structure are indicated by filled cyan circles.
the residual contact probability ( RCP ) maps used previously to characterize disordered state ensembles, ${ }^{12,48,49}$ which report on inter-residue distances of $<0.85 \mathrm{~nm}$, DC maps reflect the position of the center of the distance distribution relative to that of a random coil. DC values of $<1.0$ indicate compaction, and those $>1.0$ represent expansion relative to the random coil. DC maps were used here because unlike RCP maps, they report on aspects of the distribution not accessible experimentally.

For $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$, the scaled long-range distances are shorter than for either $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ or $\beta \mathrm{S}$, reflecting its larger compaction factor (Table 2). The DC maps for $\alpha \mathrm{S}, \beta \mathrm{S}$, and $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$ (Figure 5), however, all contain distinct regions of inter-residue distances that differ from those expected for a random coil, as indicated by DC values significantly less than or greater than 1.0 , suggesting the presence of nonrandom residual structure. In both $\beta \mathrm{S}$ and $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$, the C-terminal residues exhibiting the shortest distances to residues $1-40$ of the N -termini are broadened and include residues located closer to the N -termini compared to that in $\alpha \mathrm{S}$. In $\beta$ S, the shortest distances are to residues 70-110, and in $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$, they are to residues $80-145$; in $\alpha \mathrm{S}$, they are to residues 100-140. This observation may reflect additional shielding from intermolecular interaction of the central region in $\beta \mathrm{S}$ and $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$, in keeping with the lower aggregation propensity of both of these polypeptides.

Within the N-termini of all three proteins are clusters of residues close together in sequence separated by distances that are, on average, similar to those observed in a random coil. Such DC values could result from random coil or $\alpha$-helical structure or some combination of the two, as the expected inter-residue distances are effectively the same for short sequence separations. ${ }^{127}$ Additionally, all three proteins, and in particular $\beta \mathrm{S}$, exhibit distances between residues within the C-terminal regions (residues $100-140$ for $\alpha \mathrm{S}, 100-134$ for $\beta \mathrm{S}$, and $110-145$ for $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$ ) that are larger on average than in a random coil. This result could be indicative of either extended $\beta$-strand-like or PPII structure, each of which is characterized by rms inter-residue distances longer than those of a random flight chain. ${ }^{127}$ For $\beta$ S, PPII structure is most likely to be present, as the C -terminus of $\beta \mathrm{S}$ contains eight proline residues, which are known to disrupt $\beta$-sheet formation, and indeed, PPII structure has been observed experimentally. ${ }^{13}$ The experimental data for $\alpha \mathrm{S}$, in contrast, suggest a much lower PPII propensity, ${ }^{13,75}$ indicating that DC values of $>1.0$ in the Cterminus of this protein are more likely to correspond to
extended $\beta$-strand-like structure. Greater $\beta$-strand content in $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ than in $\beta \mathrm{S}$ is in keeping with the recent observation that $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ variants that populate $\beta$-strand structure more highly also aggregate faster. ${ }^{128}$ There are fewer experimental data available for $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$, but the cross-peaks in the NMR HSQC spectra overlay with those of $\beta \mathrm{S}$ for a majority of the sequence (Figure S3 of the Supporting Information), indicating that the secondary structure preferences of the C-terminal region of $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$ are likely to be similar to those of $\beta \mathrm{S}$. Interestingly, the Cterminal region of $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$ does not contain as many DC values greater than 1.0 as $\beta \mathrm{S}$, suggesting that the insertion of the $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ hydrophobic core may have an indirect effect on the structural propensities of this region of the protein.

Free Energy Landscapes. A more global perspective on the nature of the structures sampled by each of the three proteins can be gained by examining the free energy landscapes (Figure 6), which show the probability of the occurrence of different combinations of $R_{\mathrm{g}}$ and solvent accessible surface area (SASA). $\beta$ S exhibits the narrowest range of SASA and $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$ the widest; this pattern reflects the relationship between the $C_{f}$ values of the three proteins (Table 2). In all cases, the structures with the lowest $R_{\mathrm{g}}$ values encompass a wide range of SASA values; similarly, there is a large range of $R_{g}$ values corresponding to the largest SASA values. Thus, having a small $R_{\mathrm{g}}$ poses few restrictions on the fraction of the surface area that is exposed. This may facilitate the role of $\alpha S$ as a hub protein, ${ }^{130}$ as a larger surface area allows for a diverse range of binding partners. ${ }^{2}$ The greater similarity between the $F\left(R_{\mathrm{g}}, \mathrm{SASA}\right)$ landscapes of $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ and $\beta \mathrm{S}$ suggests that the insertion of the central NAC region into $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$ causes it to behave more like $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ in this respect.

Implications for Aggregation. The construction and study of $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$ was initiated with the aim of understanding whether investigation of the transient long-range interactions can provide insight about why the aggregation rate of $\beta \mathrm{S}$ is lower than that of $\alpha \mathrm{S} .{ }^{71}$ It was originally thought that the fundamental cause of the different aggregation propensities of $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ and $\beta \mathrm{S}$ was simply the absence of 11 residues (73-83) from the NAC region of $\beta \mathrm{S}^{72,131}$ (Figure 1). Contrary to this expectation, however, $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$, which contains residues $73-83$ of $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ within the $\beta \mathrm{S}$ sequence following residue 72 , was found to have aggregation properties similar to those of $\beta S .{ }^{129}$ Further investigations, including analysis of the aggregation properties of two deletion mutants, $\alpha \Delta 73-83$ and $\alpha \Delta 71-82$, showed that the most likely reason for the similar aggregation behavior of $\beta \mathrm{S}$
and $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$ is the inclusion of E 83 in the $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$ construct. ${ }^{71}$ This negatively charged residue is thought to disrupt the intermolecular interactions of the hydrophobic core and may therefore act as an aggregation "gatekeeper". ${ }^{72,73}$ It has also been shown that the aggregation properties of $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ and $\beta \mathrm{S}$ can be effectively interchanged by swapping six residues among them (63-66, 71 and 72). ${ }^{74}$ Further evidence of the role of residue E83 in $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ aggregation comes from a study that showed that the interaction of dopamine and related derivatives with residues 125-129 of $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ is mediated by electrostatic interactions between the ligand and E83, with replacement of glutamine by alanine preventing dopamine from inhibiting $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ aggregation. ${ }^{132}$ Additionally, the incorporation of charged residues into the hydrophobic core of full-length $\alpha$ S decreases the rate of fibril formation, suggesting that the lower experimental and theoretical aggregation propensities of $\beta \mathrm{S}$ and $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$, both of which have a net charge greater than that of $\alpha \mathrm{S}$, may be due to intermolecular repulsion between charged residues. ${ }^{22,73}$

The role of charge in preventing aggregation is not confined to the intermolecular interactions. While any contacts made by the C-terminus with the NAC region are thought to be hydrophobic in nature, interactions with the N -terminus are most likely to be electrostatic. ${ }^{10}$ The lower panels of the DC maps (Figure 5) indeed reveal that many of the inter-residue distances that are on average considerably shorter than would be expected for a random coil polymer occur between oppositely charged residues. The increased negative charge of the C-terminal regions of $\beta \mathrm{S}$ and $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$ may therefore enhance these intramolecular electrostatic interactions. Correspondingly, comparison of the DC maps shows that the scaled distances between the N - and C -terminal regions of $\beta \mathrm{S}$ and $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$ are shorter than those of $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ (Figure 5), and the bottom panels show that many of the shortest scaled average interresidue distances in $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$ occur between oppositely charged residues. Moreover, the predicted aggregation propensities of the C -terminal regions of $\beta \mathrm{S}$ and $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$ are even lower than that of $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ (Figure 7). In addition to the effects of the long-range


Figure 7. Aggregation propensity, $Z_{\mathrm{agg}}^{\text {prof }}$, predicted using the Zyggregator algorithm ${ }^{123}$ for (black, solid) $\alpha \mathrm{S}$, (red, solid) $\beta \mathrm{S}$, and (green, dashed) $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$. The residue numbers and gaps correspond to the sequence alignment shown in Figure 1. The gray line at $Z_{\text {agg }}^{\text {prof }}=1$ indicates the threshold for classifying a sequence as being aggregation prone; regions exhibiting $Z_{\text {agg }}^{\text {prof }}$ values greater than this are considered to be aggregation prone.
conformational properties on aggregation, contributions from the local secondary structure propensities can be expected. ${ }^{128}$ The importance of electrostatic interactions between the N and C-terminal regions in determining the aggregation properties is also supported by experimental data. C-Terminal truncation mutants of $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ aggregate faster than the wild type
only if the truncation removes the majority of the charged residues from the C-terminal region. ${ }^{133}$ Additionally, the binding of positively charged polyamines such as spermine to the C-terminal region increases the aggregation rates of $\beta \mathrm{S}$ in SDS and $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ in the absence of the addition of SDS. ${ }^{129,133-136}$ Thus, features apparent in the PRE-RMD ensembles correlate with the experimental data and provide further support for the suggestion that charge plays a key role in controlling the aggregation propensities of the synucleins.

## - CONCLUSIONS

We have exploited the opportunities offered by the use of PREderived distances as replica-averaged structural restraints in MD simulations to increase the amount of information available from experimental measurements by providing atomic-level structural detail. Analysis of the transient long-range intramolecular interactions shows that the distances between the N and C-terminal regions of all three proteins are shorter than expected for random coil structures, indicative of interactions between the two regions that may be electrostatic in nature. The resemblance between the structural propensities of $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$ and $\beta \mathrm{S}$ echoes their similar aggregation propensities, with the main difference likely to be related to aggregation between these two proteins and being that $\alpha \mathrm{S}$ and $\beta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{HC}}$ exhibit a greater number of inter-residue distances between the N - and C terminal regions that are shorter than expected for a random coil. As interactions between the N - and C-terminal regions are expected to be electrostatic in nature, this factor strengthens the case for charge playing a key role in modulating the aggregation properties of these polypeptides.
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