Hindawi Canadian Journal of Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology Volume 2019, Article ID 7240356, 6 pages https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/7240356

Review Article

Sterol Carrier Protein Inhibition-Based Control of Mosquito Vectors: Current Knowledge and Future Perspectives

Hirunika Perera and Tharaka Wijerathna

Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Kelaniya, Colombo, Sri Lanka

Correspondence should be addressed to Tharaka Wijerathna; tharaka.wijerathna90@gmail.com

Received 3 April 2019; Accepted 16 June 2019; Published 10 July 2019

Academic Editor: José A. Oteo

Copyright © 2019 Hirunika Perera and Tharaka Wijerathna. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Cholesterol is one of the most vital compounds for animals as it is involved in various biological processes and acts as the structural material in the body. However, insects do not have some of the essential enzymes in the cholesterol biosynthesis pathway and this makes them dependent on dietary cholesterol. Thus, the blocking of cholesterol uptake may have detrimental effects on the survival of the insect. Utilizing this character, certain phytochemicals can be used to inhibit mosquito sterol carrier protein-2 (AeSCP-2) activity via competitive binding and proven to have effective insecticidal activities against disease-transmitting mosquitoes and other insect vectors. A range of synthetic compounds, phytochemicals, and synthetic analogs of phytochemicals are found to have AeSCP-2 inhibitory activity. Phytochemicals such as alpha-mangostin can be considered as the most promising group of compounds when considering the minimum environmental impact and availability at a low cost. Once the few limitations such as very low persistence in the environment are addressed successfully, these chemicals may be used as an effective tool for controlling mosquitoes and other disease-transmitting vector populations.

1. Introduction

A diverse array of tropical diseases is transmitted to humans through infective insect vectors [1]. These vectors include mosquitoes, sand flies, houseflies, blackflies, tsetse flies, and kissing bugs, which are responsible for the transmission of some of the most virulent diseases in the world [1]. Among these insects, mosquitoes are the most dangerous group. Different species of mosquitoes transmit a range of diseases, namely, malaria, dengue, lymphatic filariasis, dirofilariasis, and Japanese encephalitis [1, 2].

The control of vector-borne diseases depends on the successful control of the vector populations [3]. During the pre-DDT (dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane) era, main vector control strategies were environmental management and biological control, which included drainage of swamps and other mosquito breeding sites, clearing of vegetation to remove vector resting places and various other traditional methods [4, 5]. With the discovery of DDT as an insecticide, vector control became more effective. However,

the uncontrolled use of this chemical caused very serious damage to the ecosystem and animals. Furthermore, the mosquitoes developed resistance to DDT [6–8]. This ruled out the use of a single chemical continuously as the ultimate solution against vector-borne diseases. The resistance was also observed for several other commonly used insecticides [9]. This phenomenon in arthropods pointed out the need for more effective new insecticides to be used in a controlled manner preventing any chance of developing resistance.

Insects do not have some of the essential enzymes in the cholesterol biosynthesis pathway and are incapable of de novo synthesis of cholesterol [10, 11]. This makes them dependent on external sources for cholesterol, an essential compound in their body structures and a precursor for important biocompounds such as molting hormones [12, 13]. Therefore, the inhibition of any vital step of the cholesterol uptake will be fatal to the insect. This can be used as a vector control strategy in the fight against vector-borne diseases. Hence, the current review focuses on discussing available knowledge on the inhibition of cholesterol uptake

in mosquitoes with the objective of identifying opportunities and drawbacks of using this method in broadening the tools for vector control.

2. Cholesterol as a Vital Compound for Insects

As in most eukaryotic organisms, cholesterol plays an essential role in the stability and architecture of the plasma membranes and acts as a precursor for other metabolites including molting hormones and other signalling molecules which affects the development of insects [14].

Cholesterol is important to maintain the integrity of the membranes and facilitate cell signalling [15–17]. It is an amphipathic molecule with both hydrophilic and hydrophobic domains. Because of this amphipathic nature and the shape of the cholesterol molecule, it binds with the fatty acid chain on the nearest phospholipid molecule making it difficult for some water-soluble molecules to pass through [18]. However, cholesterol also helps in separating phospholipids preventing the crystallization of fatty acid chains by coming together [18, 19]. Thus, cholesterol maintains cellular membrane without becoming too firm or too fluid. Cholesterol also involves in protein sorting and signal transduction between cells by being a part in lipid rafts [20–22], which is very important for the communication between cells preventing the body becoming a group of unrelated cells.

The molt-promoting hormones such as ecdysone and 20-hydroxyecdysone in insects are produced using cholesterol as precursors [14, 23]. These hormones are commonly known as ecdysteroids, and they are essential for the molting process of insects which in turn facilitate the growth and development [24-26]. The juvenile hormone (JH) in insects prevents the secretion of ecdysteroids, and the decline of JH to zero results in the secretion of ecdysteroids which initiates the next molt. Subsequent hormonal actions result in the rise of JH levels, which decrease the ecdysteroid hormone secretion. The ecdysis is triggered by this decline of ecdysteroid levels [26, 27]. In the absence of cholesterol at required levels to maintain these hormone levels, ecdysis or the molting in insects will not take place and may result in growth disruptions, which cause the death of the insect at the larval stage without further development [26, 27].

Cholesterol synthesis takes place within animal and plant cells through a series of enzyme-catalyzed reactions. Biosynthesis of cholesterol uses acetyl-CoA produced in mitochondria through glycolysis or β -oxidation of fatty acids in mitochondria and peroxisome as precursors [28, 29]. The first step is the formation of mevalonate, which is initiated by the condensation of two acetyl-CoA molecules to form acetoacetyl-CoA in the presence of enzyme thiolase. Next, HMG-CoA synthase incorporates another acetyl-CoA molecule to produce HMG-CoA. As the final and the ratelimiting step of mevalonate production, HMG-CoA reductase converts HMG-CoA into mevalonate [30, 31]. Following a series of successive enzyme-catalyzed reactions, farnesyl pyrophosphate is produced. In the presence of squalene synthase, farnesyl pyrophosphate is converted to 30-carbon molecule squalene, which is the precursor for the production of all steroids [31]. Squalene is first converted to

lanosterol, and various cholesterols are produced through discrete reactions. These cholesterols are either used as precursors for hormones and structural components of the cells and tissues or stored in fat cells in body tissues such as adipose tissue, liver, and gall bladder [32]. The enzyme, squalene synthase which is essential for the conversion of farnesyl pyrophosphate into squalene in this cholesterol synthesis pathway, and at least two other key enzymes, namely, squalene monooxygenase and lanosterol synthase are absent in insects [10, 11, 33]. This is the reason why insects are incapable of de novo synthesis of cholesterol and thus solely rely on dietary sources to obtain sterols such as phytols, stigmasterol β -sitosterol, and campesterol essential for the growth, development, and reproduction [34].

3. Sterol Carrier Protein-Mediated Cholesterol Uptake and Its Inhibition

Cholesterol must be transported from midgut to the site of utilization through membranes and hydrophilic media, such as intercellular fluid, blood, and cellular fluids. This is done only with a way to shield it from the aquaphilic environment. The proteins in the SCP-2 gene family, sterol carrier protein-2 (SCP-2) and sterol carrier protein--x (SCP-x), have been identified as molecules which assist the organism for the transport of cholesterol through these hydrophilic environments [35, 36].

Unlike vertebrates, insect cells do not transfer cholesterol between the midgut and the fat body through receptormediated endocytosis of lipoproteins [37]. Due to its hydrophobic nature, cholesterol may readily diffuse into the outer layer of the cell membrane and proteins such as SCP-2 desorb the molecules from the membrane and deliver them to site of storage or into metabolic pathways [38]. Therefore, any method which reduces the amount of available SCP-2 for cholesterol to bind may reduce the cholesterol uptake in an insect. At the genetic level, overexpression or knockdown of AeSCP-2 gene expression is shown to affect cholesterol uptake [39-41]. The knockdown of AeSCP-2 in larva by the injection of small double-stranded AeSCP-2 RNA resulted in high mortalities in developing adults and reduced egg viability in Aedes aegypti [40]. Rearing of larvae in radioactive [3H] cholesterol and measuring the level of [3H] cholesterol in extracted lipids indicated that knockdown of the AeSCP-2 gene resulted in 33% reduction of accumulated cholesterol in pupae [40]. In the presence of a molecule capable of binding with the same active site as cholesterol, the amount of AeSCP-2 available for cholesterol to bind is reduced. This inhibits or significantly reduces the uptake of cholesterol. Many studies have made attempts to discover chemical compounds that inhibit the action of SCP-2 via competitive binding. Some of them are synthetic organic compounds [42], while some of them are phytochemicals [43-45]. Also, there are some synthetic analogs of phytochemicals which have shown to have the ability to inhibit the action of SCP-2. Around 57 organic compounds have been identified as sterol carrier protein inhibitors (SCPIs), out of around 16,000 compounds from chemical libraries [42]. Among these, five major organic compounds have been

TABLE 1: Synthetic organic compounds with AeSCP-2 inhibitory activity against Ae. aegypti [42, 43].

Compounds	(IC ₅₀ /EC ₅₀) μΜ
SCPIs	
N-(4-{[4-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)-1,3-thiazol-2-yl] amino}phenyl)acetamidehydrobromide (SCPI-1)	0.347 (IC ₅₀)
8-Chloro-2-(3-methoxyphenyl)-4,4-dimethyl-4,5-dihydroisothiazolo[5,4-c]quinoline-1(2H)-thione (SCPI-2)	0.059 (IC ₅₀)
3-(4-Bromophenyl)-5-methoxy-7-nitro-4H-1,2,4-benzoxadiazine (SCPI-3)	0.159 (IC ₅₀)
4,4,8-Trimethyl-5-(3-methylbutanoyl)-4,5-dihydro-	0.065
1H-[1,2]dithiolo[3,4-c]quinoline-1-thione (SCPI-4)	(IC_{50})
3-Bromo-N-{2-[(4-chloro-2-nitrophenyl)amino]	0.042
ethyl}-4-ethoxybenzamide (SCPI-5)	(IC_{50})
Synthetic analogs of curcumin	10.11
(2E,6E)-2-6-Bis(3,4,5-trimethoxybenzylidene)	12.11
cyclohexanone	(EC ₅₀) 62.87
(2E,6E)-2-6-Bis(furan-2-ylmethylene)	
cyclohexanone	(EC_{50})
(1E,4E)-1,5-Bis(4-hydroxyphenyl) penta-1,4-dien-3-one	2.38 (EC ₅₀)
(1E,4E)-1-(2-Hydroxyphenyl)-5-phenylpenta- 1,4-dien-3-one	2.02 (EC ₅₀)
1,5-Bis-(3,4,5-trimethoxy-phenyl)-penta- 1,4-dien-3-one	0.65 (EC ₅₀)

identified based on the AeSCP-2 inhibitory activity. These were named SCPI-1, SCPI-2, SCPI-3, SCPI-4, and SCPI-5 (Table 1). The affinity to AeSCP-2 has shown promising results where SCPI-5 and SCPI-2 were having a higher affinity than others. This study by Kim et al. [42] revealed that in mosquitoes, the effectiveness of inhibiting the cholesterol uptake varied in the order SCPI-3 > SCPI-2 > SCPI-4 > SCPI-1 > SCPI-5. Both mosquito sterol carrier protein-2 (AeSCP-2) and mosquito sterol carrier protein-x (AeSCP-x) are inhibited, but AeSCP-2 inhibition found to be more effective in making lethal impacts on mosquitoes. Subsequent studies revealed that SCPI-1 and SCPI-2 have broad toxicity in mosquito species such as Anopheles gambiae, Culex quinquefasciatus, and Culex pipiens [36, 46]. Furthermore, it is reported that there is an increase in the toxicity of insecticides such as permethrin when used with AeSCP-2 inhibitors [46]. Moreover, it is also evident that the AeSCP-2-mediated cholesterol uptake pathway is important for dengue viral production in Aedes mosquitoes and the inhibition of AeSCP-2 activity results in repressed virus production within mosquito cells in vitro [47]. Curcumin is another compound which is known to have various biological properties including antimicrobial, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and anticancer properties [48]. Synthetic analogs of this compound are also known to have AeSCP-2 inhibitory activity [43]. Among these (Table 1), 1,5-bis-(3,4,5-trimethoxy-phenyl)-penta-1,4-dien-3-one is the most effective compounds in the inhibition of AeSCP-2 activity. However, only one of the curcumin analogs, (2E,6E)-2-6bis(furan-2-ylmethylene) cyclohexanone analyzed here, exhibited larvicidal activity against Ae. aegypti larva.

In addition to these compounds tested for larvicidal activity, some phytochemicals have also shown promising results in inhibiting AeSCP-2 (Table 2). The compound named quercetin, isolated from the plant *Saxifraga stolonifera* (creeping saxifrage), indicated to have an AeSCP-2 inhibitory activity [43]. Another compound named α -mangostin isolated from plant *Garcinia mangostana* (mangosteen) has given more promising results as it exhibits larvicidal effects against six species of mosquitoes, namely, *Aedes aegypti, Anopheles stephensi, Anopheles gambiae, Culex pipiens pipiens, Anopheles quadrimaculatus*, and *Culex quinquefasciatus* [45].

Alpha-mangostin and panthenol isolated from *Garcinia mangostana* have been identified based on molecular docking method and this shows the potential of these plant-derived chemicals to bind with AeSCP-2 and inhibit its action [5]. Furthermore, the same technique revealed that the terpene, α -amyrin extracted from *Calotropis gigantea*, has an effective AeSCP-2 inhibitory potential [50].

Synthetic analogs of curcumin are very easy to synthesize and a very rich source of potential insecticides due to diverse chemical structure. But still the synthesis of these compounds requires advance laboratory settings, and the cost of the process is also high. Considering the requirement of a less expensive and locally available material for vector control, phytochemicals could be selected over synthetic compounds.

However, very few compounds with AeSCP-2 inhibitory activity are discovered so far. Among the few compounds, α -mangostin is shown to be more promising against the control of Ae. aegypti with the lowest 24 h LC₅₀ values (Table 2). However, more compounds will be discovered in the future, and the effectiveness of these compounds on other species should be assessed before selecting the best compound to be used against insect vectors.

4. Challenges and Future Perspectives

Insecticide resistance is one of the main issues in the control of vector-borne diseases. The first report for insecticide resistance was reported in the 1940s with the excessive use of DDT [8]. At least, 100 species of mosquitoes and other disease-transmitting vectors are reportedly resistant to insecticides [51]. There is always a chance of developing resistance in insects against insecticides despite the nature of the insecticide, whether it is a biological control agent or a chemical compound [52, 53]. Therefore, any reason for the development of such resistance must be prevented. The resistance can be managed through removing selection pressure by rotation of insecticides or killing resistant strains by using a mixture of insecticides [54]. Use of integrated vector control approaches is also recommended to minimize the risk of developing resistance and maximize the effectiveness of vector control [55].

Furthermore, it is vital to make sure that the insecticides have no effect on other animals. Most of the compounds tested in reported studies are specific for mosquito SCP-2,

Compound/extraction	Plant species	Part of the plant	$LC_{50} \mu gml^{-1}$	Reference		
lpha-Mangostin			$19.4 \mu \mathrm{gml}^{-1}$ (Ae. aegypti)	Ee et al. [44]		
			5.0 (Ae. aegypti)			
	Garcinia mangostana	Pericarp of the fruit	4.0 (An. gambiae)	Larson et al. [45]		
			11.0 (An. quadrimaculatus)			
			6.0 (An. stephensi)			
					6.0 (Cx. pipiens pipiens)	
			8.0 (Cx. pipiens quinquefasciatus)			
			23.3 (Ae. aegypti)	Sasikumar and Ghosh, [49]		
Quercetin	Saxifraga stolonifera	Whole plant	$7.53 \mu\mathrm{gml}^{-1}$ (Ae. aegypti)	Anstrom et al. [43]		
Dulxanthone	Garcinia mangostana	Pericarp of the fruit	8.81(Ae. aegypti)	Sasikumar and Ghosh, [49]		

TABLE 2: Phytochemicals with SCP-2 inhibitory activity against medically important insects.

indicating a less likelihood of harming nontargeted species. However, further studies are necessary to confirm the environmental friendliness.

It is also important to pay attention to the practical considerations associated with the use of these compounds in the control of disease-transmitting vectors. For instance, the persistence of the compound in the environment must be determined and precautions must be taken to maximize the half-life of these compounds in the environment. However, the time must be enough to be effective on the vectors and should not be too persistent to have other environmental impacts. The use of naturally occurring compounds such as α -mangostin may exclude this problem as it does not cause other environmental effects [56]. α-Mangostin was found to be having reduced larvicidal activity upon exposure to sunlight for 6 h [45]. This is the issue associated with most of the phytochemicals as they are not photostable. Therefore, these compounds will require careful preparation such as slow release formulations combined with UV-blocking additives as used for methoprene larvicides which allowed them to remain biologically active for months in the outside environment [45, 57]. Furthermore, the plant species explained here may not be available in all geographical settings. And the discovery of more and more compounds is essential for the mixed use of insecticides.

A large range of other plant extracts with a potential AeSCP-2 inhibitory activity has shown insecticidal effects against various species of mosquitoes [58–61]. However, the exact mode of action of these compounds is yet to be understood. Therefore, studies should be focused on confirming the mode of action of these compounds as the inhibition of AeSCP-2 acts more specifically on a target group of insects in relation to compounds with a different mode of actions.

Interestingly, SCP-2 is not critical for survival in vertebrates [62, 63]. Moreover, empirical evidence has confirmed that α -mangostin has no adverse biological impact on rats [45]. Therefore, it is apparent that the compounds which inhibit cholesterol uptake in insects are less likely to be harmful to mammals. As shown by Kim et al. [42], α -mangostin also affects *Manduca sexta*, which is a species of the order Lepidoptera, a totally different order from mosquitoes, which means these compounds may also act on insects other than medically important species. Therefore, these compounds must be used with care preventing the

exposure of other beneficial insects to them. Molecular level studies have revealed the structural differences that cause the differential specificity of SCP-2 inhibitory compounds [39, 64]. These studies and future studies on the structural characteristics of SCP-2 molecules of other species may provide insights into how we can make structural alterations to SCP inhibitor molecules in the way of increasing the specificity, targeting only one group or a single species of insects. However, this requires a lot of long-term molecular level studies and high-cost laboratory procedures and thus may take a long time to achieve. Almost no studies are conducted on the possibility of using this method against medically important insects other than mosquitoes. Therefore, exposure trials are required to assess the effectiveness of these SCP inhibitors against other insect vectors, especially, sand flies, kissing bugs, and tsetse flies, which are responsible for a large number of deaths in African and Asian regions, annually.

5. Conclusions

Inhibition of sterol carrier proteins (SCPs) in mosquitoes and other insect vectors using a compound that acts through competitive binding is a promising method in controlling mosquito vector populations in disease-endemic areas if used with proper care and integrated approaches. Currently, available knowledge is limited and more studies are required to fill the gaps of understandings.

Abbreviations

SCP-2: Sterol carrier protein-2

DDT: Dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane

JH: Juvenile hormone

SCPIs: Sterol carrier protein inhibitors.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Authors' Contributions

HP and TW compiled the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

References

- [1] World Health Organization, "Vector-borne diseases," 2009, https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/vector-borne-diseases.
- [2] L. Roberts and J. Janovy, Foundations of Parasitology, McGraw-Hill Publishing Company, New York, NY, USA, 8th edition, 2009.
- [3] F. A. Genta, H. M. Diaz-Albiter, P. Salgueiro, and B. Gomes, "Control of vector-borne human parasitic diseases," *BioMed Research International*, vol. 2016, Article ID 1014805, 4 pages, 2016.
- [4] J. Keiser, B. H. Singer, and J. Utzinger, "Reducing the burden of malaria in different eco-epidemiological settings with environmental management: a systematic review," *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, vol. 5, no. 11, pp. 695–708, 2005.
- [5] R. Kumar, B. Shanmugapriya, K. Thiyagesan, S. Kumar, and S. Xavier, "A search for mosquito larvicidal compounds by blocking the sterol carrying protein, AeSCP-2, through computational screening and docking strategies," *Pharma-cognosy Research*, vol. 2, no. 4, p. 247, 2010.
- [6] J. Hamon, R. Subra, S. Sales, and J. Coz, "Presence in the southwestern part of Upper Volta of a population of *Anopheles gambiae* resistant to DDT," *Med Trop (Mars)*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 521–528, 1968.
- [7] A. W. Brown, "The insecticide-resistance problem: a review of developments in 1956 and 1957," *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 309–321, 1958.
- [8] A. W. Brown, "Insecticide resistance in mosquitoes: a pragmatic review," *Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 123–140, 1986.
- [9] World Health Organisation, "Insecticide resistance," 2019, https://www.who.int/malaria/areas/vector_control/insecticide_ resistance/en/.
- [10] M. L. Grieneisen, "Recent advances in our knowledge of ecdysteroid biosynthesis in insects and crustaceans," *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 115– 132, 1994.
- [11] P. Beydon, J.-P. Girault, and R. Lafont, "Ecdysone metabolism in *Pieris brassicae* during the feeding last larval instar," *Ar-chives of Insect Biochemistry and Physiology*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 139–149, 1987.
- [12] D. H. R. Barton, P. G. Feakins, J. P. Poyser, and P. G. Sammes, "A synthesis of the insect moulting hormone, ecdysone, and related compounds," *Journal of the Chemical Society C: Organic*, vol. 11, no. 11, pp. 1584–1591, 1970.
- [13] X. Bellés, D. Martín, and M.-D. Piulachs, "The mevalonate pathway and the synthesis of juvenile hormone in insects," *Annual Review of Entomology*, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 181–199, 2005.
- [14] M. L. McKean, Biochemistry of Steroids and Other Isopentenoids, University Park Press, Baltimore, MD, USA, 1977.
- [15] M. R. Krause and S. L. Regen, "The structural role of cholesterol in cell membranes: from condensed bilayers to lipid rafts," *Accounts of Chemical Research*, vol. 47, no. 12, pp. 3512–3521, 2014.
- [16] R. Sheng, Y. Chen, H. Yung Gee et al., "Cholesterol modulates cell signaling and protein networking by specifically interacting with PDZ domain-containing scaffold proteins," *Nature Communications*, vol. 3, no. 1, p. 1249, 2012.
- [17] S. K. Patra, "Dissecting lipid raft facilitated cell signaling pathways in cancer," *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) Reviews on Cancer*, vol. 1785, no. 2, pp. 182–206, 2008.

- [18] P. L. Yeagle, "Cholesterol and the cell membrane," *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)*—*Reviews on Biomembranes*, vol. 822, no. 3-4, pp. 267–287, 1985.
- [19] K. K. Halling, B. Ramstedt, J. H. Nyström, J. P. Slotte, and T. K. M. Nyholm, "Cholesterol interactions with fluid-phase phospholipids: effect on the lateral organization of the bilayer," *Biophysical Journal*, vol. 95, no. 8, pp. 3861–3871, 2008.
- [20] K. Simons and E. Ikonen, "How cells handle cholesterol," *Science*, vol. 290, no. 5497, pp. 1721–1726, 2000.
- [21] K. Simons and D. Toomre, "Lipid rafts and signal transduction," *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 31–39, 2000.
- [22] L. J. Pike, "Lipid rafts," Journal of Lipid Research, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 655–667, 2003.
- [23] A. De Loof, T. Briers, and R. Huybrechts, "Presence and function of ecdysteroids in adult insects," *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B: Comparative Biochemistry*, vol. 79, no. 4, pp. 505–509, 1984.
- [24] S.-M. Chan, S. M. Rankin, and L. L. Keeley, "Characterization of the molt stages in *Penaeus vannamei*: setogenesis and hemolymph levels of total protein, ecdysteroids, and glucose," *The Biological Bulletin*, vol. 175, no. 2, pp. 185–192, 1988.
- [25] J. W. Truman, D. B. Rountree, S. E. Reiss, and L. M. Schwartz, "Ecdysteroids regulate the release and action of eclosion hormone in the tobacco hornworm, *Manduca sexta* (L.)," *Journal of Insect Physiology*, vol. 29, no. 12, pp. 895–900, 1983.
- [26] P. Gullan and P. S. Cranston, Insects: An Outline of Entomology, Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester, England, 4th edition, 2011.
- [27] J. W. Truman, "Hormonal control of insect ecdysis: endocrine cascades for coordinating behavior with physiology," *Vita*mins & Hormones, vol. 73, pp. 1–30, 2005.
- [28] S. K. Krisans, "Cell compartmentalization of cholesterol biosynthesis," *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, vol. 804, no. 1, pp. 142–164, 1996.
- [29] E. Schwenk and N. T. Werthessen, "Studies on the biosynthesis of cholesterol. III. Purification of C14-cholesterol from perfusions of livers and other organs," *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 334–341, 1952.
- [30] B. Alberts, A. Johnson, J. Lewis, M. Raff, K. Roberts, and P. Walter, *Molecular Biology of the Cell*, Garland Science, New York, NY, USA, 1st edition, 2002.
- [31] N. M. F. S. A. Cerqueira, E. F. Oliveira, D. S. Gesto et al., "Cholesterol biosynthesis: a mechanistic overview," *Biochemistry*, vol. 55, no. 39, pp. 5483–5506, 2016.
- [32] A. Angel and J. Farkas, "Regulation of cholesterol storage in adipose tissue," *Journal of Lipid Research*, vol. 15, no. 5, pp. 491–499, 1974.
- [33] D. Moncecchi, E. J. Murphy, D. R. Prows, and F. Schroeder, "Sterol carrier protein-2 expression in mouse L-cell fibroblasts alters cholesterol uptake," *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* (BBA)—Lipids and Lipid Metabolism, vol. 1302, no. 2, pp. 110–116, 1996.
- [34] L. I. Gilbert, R. Rybczynski, and J. T. Warren, "Control and biochemical nature of the ecdysteroidogenic pathway," Annual Review of Entomology, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 883–916, 2002.
- [35] Q. Lan and R. J. Massey, "Subcellular localization of the mosquito sterol carrier protein-2 and sterol carrier protein-x," *Journal of Lipid Research*, vol. 45, no. 8, pp. 1468–1474, 2004.
- [36] R. T. Larson, V. Wessely, Z. Jiang, and Q. Lan, "Larvicidal activity of sterol carrier protein-2 inhibitor in four species of mosquitoes," *Journal of Medical Entomology*, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 439–444, 2008.

- [37] H. K. Yun, Z. E. Jouni, and M. A. Wells, "Characterization of cholesterol transport from midgut to fat body in *Manduca sexta* larvae," *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, vol. 32, no. 9, pp. 1151–1158, 2002.
- [38] W. Stremmel, J. Pohl, A. Ring, and T. Herrmann, "A new concept of cellular uptake and intracellular trafficking of longchain fatty acids," *Lipids*, vol. 36, no. 9, pp. 981–989, 2001.
- [39] D. H. Dyer, S. Lovell, J. B. Thoden, H. M. Holden, I. Rayment, and Q. Lan, "The structural determination of an insect sterol carrier protein-2 with a ligand-bound C16 fatty acid at 1.35-Å resolution," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 278, no. 40, pp. 39085–39091, 2003.
- [40] E. J. Blitzer, I. Vyazunova, and Q. Lan, "Functional analysis of AeSCP-2 using gene expression knockdown in the yellow fever mosquito, *Aedes aegypti*," *Insect Molecular Biology*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 301–307, 2005.
- [41] I. Vyazunova, V. Wessley, M. Kim, and Q. Lan, "Identification of two sterol carrier protein-2 like genes in the yellow fever mosquito, *Aedes aegypti*," *Insect Molecular Biology*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 305–314, 2007.
- [42] M.-S. Kim, V. Wessely, and Q. Lan, "Identification of mosquito sterol carrier protein-2 inhibitors," *Journal of Lipid Research*, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 650–657, 2005.
- [43] D. M. Anstrom, X. Zhou, C. N. Kalk, B. Song, and Q. Lan, "Mosquitocidal properties of natural product compounds isolated from Chinese herbs and synthetic analogs of curcumin," *Journal of Medical Entomology*, vol. 49, no. 2, pp. 350–355, 2012.
- [44] G. C. L. Ee, S. Daud, Y. H. Taufiq-Yap, N. H. Ismail, and M. Rahmani, "Xanthones fromGarcinia mangostana (guttiferae)," *Natural Product Research*, vol. 20, no. 12, pp. 1067– 1073, 2006.
- [45] R. T. Larson, J. M. Lorch, J. W. Pridgeon, J. J. Becnel, G. G. Clark, and Q. Lan, "The biological activity of α-mangostin, a larvicidal botanic mosquito sterol carrier protein-2 inhibitor," *Journal of Medical Entomology*, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 249–257, 2010.
- [46] T. Li, Q. Lan, and N. Liu, "Larvicidal activity of mosquito sterol carrier protein-2 inhibitors to the insecticide-resistant Mosquito Culex quinquefasciatus (Diptera: Culicidae)," Journal of Medical Entomology, vol. 46, no. 6, pp. 1430–1435, 2009.
- [47] Q. Fu, B. Inankur, J. Yin, R. Striker, and Q. Lan, "Sterol carrier protein 2, a critical host factor for dengue virus infection, alters the cholesterol distribution in mosquito Aag2 cells," *Journal of Medical Entomology*, vol. 52, no. 5, pp. 1124–1134, 2015.
- [48] P. Anand, S. G. Thomas, A. B. Kunnumakkara et al., "Biological activities of curcumin and its analogues (Congeners) made by man and Mother Nature," *Biochemical Pharmacology*, vol. 76, no. 11, pp. 1590–1611, 2008.
- [49] K. Sasikumar and A. R. Ghosh, "Larvicidal activity of prenyl xanthones from *Garcinia mangostana* against dengue vector," *Bangladesh Journal of Pharmacology*, vol. 12, no. 3, p. 282, 2017.
- [50] P. Suresh Kumar, A. Chezhian, P. Senthil Raja, and J. Sathiyapriya, "Computational selections of terpenes present in the plant *Calotropis gigantea* as mosquito larvicide's by blocking the sterol carrying protein, AeSCP-2. Bangladesh," *Bangladesh Journal of Pharmacology*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 1–5, 2012.
- [51] World Health Organization, "Vector resistance to pesticides," in Fifteenth Report of the WHO Expert Committee on Vector Biology and Control, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 1992, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1574907.

- [52] J. Hemingway and H. Ranson, "Insecticide resistance in insect vectors of human disease," *Annual Review of Entomology*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 371–391, 2000.
- [53] H. Ranson, R. N'Guessan, J. Lines, N. Moiroux, Z. Nkuni, and V. Corbel, "Pyrethroid resistance in African Anopheline mosquitoes: what are the implications for malaria control?," *Trends in Parasitology*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 91–98, 2011.
- [54] World Health Organization, "Global plan for insecticide resistance management in malaria vectors," in *Global Malaria Programme*, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2012, https://www.who.int/malaria/publications/atoz/gpirm/en/.
- [55] World Health Organization, "Global strategic framework for integrated vector management," 2004, http://apps.who.int/iris/ bitstream/handle/10665/68624/WHO_CDS_CPE_PVC_2004_ 10.pdf;jsessionid=38CD8DD1B143D5C95C70CC4CC4B21AA 5?sequence=1.
- [56] V. Bullangpoti, S. Visetson, J. Milne, M. Milne, C. Sudthongkong, and S. Pronbanlualap, "Effects of alpha-mangostin from mangosteen pericarp extract and imidacloprid on Nilaparvata lugens (Stal.) and non-target organisms: toxicity and detoxification mechanism," Communications in Agricultural and Applied Biological Sciences, vol. 72, no. 3, pp. 431–441, 2007.
- [57] L. Lorenz and M. Maia, "The use of plants in vector control," in *Biological and Environmental Control of Disease Vectors*, M. M. Cameron and L. M. Lorenz, Eds., pp. 42–66, CABI, Boston, MA, USA, 1st edition, 2013.
- [58] U. S. Murty, K. Sriram, and K. Jamil, "Effect of leaf extract of *Polyalthia longifolia* (Family: Annonaceae) on mosquito larvae and pupae of *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Diptera: *Culicidae*) Say of different habitats," *International Pest Control*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 52-53, 1997.
- [59] N. Pathak, P. K. Mittal, O. P. Singh, D. V. Sagar, and P. Vasudevan, "Larvicidal action of essential oils from plants against the vector mosquitoes Anopheles stephensi (Liston) Culex quinquefasciatus and Aedes aegypti," International Pest Control, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 53–55, 2000.
- [60] M. J. Perich, C. Wells, W. Bertsch, and K. E. Tredway, "Toxicity of extracts from three *Tagetes* against adults and larvae of yellowfever mosquito and *Anopheles stephensi* (Diptera: *Culicidae*)," *Journal of Medical Entomology*, vol. 31, no. 6, pp. 833–837, 1994.
- [61] S. Andrade-Ochoa, J. Correa-Basurto, L. M. Rodríguez-Valdez, L. E. Sánchez-Torres, B. Nogueda-Torres, and G. V. Nevárez-Moorillón, "In vitro and in silico studies of terpenes, terpenoids and related compounds with larvicidal and pupaecidal activity against Culex quinquefasciatus Say (Diptera: Culicidae)," Chemistry Central Journal, vol. 12, no. 1, p. 53, 2018.
- [62] D. Klipsic, D. Landrock, G. G. Martin et al., "Impact of SCP-2/ SCP-x gene ablation and dietary cholesterol on hepatic lipid accumulation," *American Journal of Physiology-Gastrointes*tinal and Liver Physiology, vol. 309, no. 5, pp. G387–G399, 2015.
- [63] F. Kannenberg, P. Ellinghaus, G. Assmann, and U. Seedorf, "Aberrant oxidation of the cholesterol side chain in bile acid synthesis of sterol carrier protein-2/sterol carrier protein-x knockout mice," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 274, no. 50, pp. 35455–35460, 1999.
- [64] K. K. Singarapu, J. T. Radek, M. Tonelli, J. L. Markley, and Q. Lan, "Differences in the structure and dynamics of the apoand palmitate-ligated forms of *Aedes aegypti* sterol carrier protein 2 (AeSCP-2)," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 285, no. 22, pp. 17046–17053, 2010.