



Article The Number of Monthly Night Shift Days and Depression Were Associated with an Increased Risk of Excessive Daytime Sleepiness in Emergency Physicians in South Korea

Song Yi Park ¹, Hyung Min Lee ² and Jiyoung Kim ^{3,*}

- ¹ Department of Emergency Medicine, College of Medicine, Dong-A University, Busan 48114, Korea
- ² Department of Emergency Medicine, Hallym University Sacred Heart Hospital, Anyang 14068, Korea
 ³ Department of Neurology and Sleep Disorder Center, Bio Medical Research Institute, Pusan National
- University Hospital, School of Medicine, Pusan National University, Busan 50612, Korea
- Correspondence: bijoukim78@gmail.com; Tel.: +82-051-240-8687

Abstract: This study aimed to report the prevalence and identify the factors associated with excessive daytime sleepiness (EDS) among emergency physicians in South Korea. We analyzed the Korean Emergency Physicians Survey data from 15 January to 26 February 2021. EDS was evaluated using the Epworth sleepiness scale, and a score of 11 or more indicated the presence of EDS. We conducted univariable and multivariable logistic regression analyses to verify the associated factors. A total of 1307 participants responded to the survey, and the response rate was 61.3%. Nine hundred fifty-four participants were included in the study. Two hundred ninety-three participants were classified as the EDS group, and six hundred sixty-one were classified as the non-EDS group. The prevalence of EDS was 30.7% (95% confidence interval (CI), 27.8–33.6%). Monthly night-shift days (odds ratio (OR) 1.106, 95% CI 1.028–1.191) and depression (OR 2.635, 95% CI 1.799–3.861) were significantly associated with an increased risk of EDS, and fair sleep quality (OR 0.560, 95% CI 0.318–0.985) was associated with a decreased risk of EDS. Almost one in three emergency physicians in South Korea suffer from daytime sleepiness. The number of monthly night-shift days and depression were associated with an increased risk of EDS.

Keywords: prevalence; emergency medicine; physicians; disorders of excessive somnolence; republic of Korea

1. Introduction

Emergency medical centers never close their doors, and emergency physicians are always ready to meet the patients, day or night, whether they are emergent or not. Emergency physicians are night-shift workers. Many studies have reported the risks related to nightshift work, such as hypertension, coronary artery disease, metabolic disease, substance abuse, and depression [1–4]. However, one significant issue of night-shift work is sleep disruption, such as sleep restriction, sleep deprivation, and extended wakefulness [4]. Sleep disruption has been reported to severely affect cognitive and psychomotor function [5]. Sleep disruption lowers attention and working memory; thus, acute sleep deprivation may make the subject more susceptible to errors [6].

However, excessive daytime sleepiness (EDS) of medical personnel following circadian rhythm disturbance is another critical issue since it can threaten patients' and medical personnel's safety. In a study of Japanese physicians having on-call/overnight working, the prevalence of EDS was 3.5% which is lower than the prevalence of multiethnic Asian adults (9.2%); however, 19.0% of physicians had experienced a medical incident within the previous month [7,8]. In a study of Chinese nurses, the prevalence of EDS (ESS \geq 14) was 16.1%; 45.9% of nurses had experienced adverse events within the past 12 months [9]. EDS was associated with an increased risk of motor vehicle accidents [10,11]. A study of



Citation: Park, S.Y.; Lee, H.M.; Kim, J. The Number of Monthly Night Shift Days and Depression Were Associated with an Increased Risk of Excessive Daytime Sleepiness in Emergency Physicians in South Korea. *Behav. Sci.* 2022, *12*, 279. https://doi.org/10.3390/bs12080279

Academic Editors: Annamaria Di Fabio, Alessio Gori, Andrea Svicher and Agnieszka Woźniewicz

Received: 6 July 2022 Accepted: 8 August 2022 Published: 11 August 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Saudi Arabia adults reported about 10% of respondents had sleep-related motor vehicle accidents or near-miss in the preceding year [12]. These risks are not an exception for emergency physicians.

Factors associated with EDS differed from study populations. A study on EDS in Australian workers found that factors associated with EDS were around the age of 50, higher body mass index, poorer diet, and poorer mental health [13]. In Brazil's study, family income was associated with EDS [14]. However, few studies have reported factors associated with EDS in emergency physicians.

In the past 25 years, board-certified emergency physicians in Korea have grown to more than two thousand. However, there are no studies on EDS prevalence and associated factors among emergency physicians. Moreover, few studies have reported the prevalence of EDS in a single emergency physician group. The purpose of this study was to report the prevalence of EDS and identify the factors associated with EDS among emergency physicians in South Korea.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Population

We retrospectively analyzed the Korean Emergency Physicians Survey (KEPS) data. The Korean Society of Emergency Medicine (KSEM) has investigated its members' workload, working environment, lifestyles, and health status every five years since 2010 [15]. The last survey was distributed via email and mobile phone messages to all emergency physicians registered as KSEM members from 15 January to 26 February 2021. A total of 2138 emergency physicians were registered in KSEM during the survey period. We used a sample size calculator, and the calculated sample size for the survey was 326, with a 95% confidence level and 5% margins of error [16].

2.2. Study Variables and Measurements

EDS was evaluated using the Epworth sleepiness scale (ESS), a widely used tool to assess recent daytime sleepiness. The ESS is a self-administered questionnaire with eight questions. Participants were asked to rate their likelihood of falling asleep on a 4-point scale (0–3) while engaging in eight different activities. The ESS scores range from 0 to 24. A higher ESS score indicates a higher average sleep propensity in daily life or daytime sleepiness [17]. The Korean version of the ESS was used in the survey, previously validated in the Korean language [18]. An ESS score of 11 or more indicated the presence of EDS.

The following variables, presumed to be associated with EDS, were collected from the survey data and compared between the groups with EDS and without EDS [13,19]. Demographic variables (age, gender, and marital status), lifestyle variables (alcohol consumption, smoking, and amount of exercise per week), and dietary habits (regular breakfast and regular night snacks) were assessed with a 5-point Likert scale, such that 5 indicated "strongly agree" and 1 indicated "strongly disagree." To examine workloads and working environment, the annual number of patients visiting the emergency department (ED volume), monthly working days, monthly night-shift days, and monthly working hours were surveyed by self-reporting. Subjective awareness of ED safety and a guarantee of mealtime (mealtime guaranteed) were evaluated. Satisfaction with the shift schedule (schedule satisfaction), income (income satisfaction), and job (job satisfaction) were also used as working environment indicators. The questions were rated on a 5-point Likert scale, such that 5 indicated "very satisfied" and 1 indicated "very unsatisfied." To examine sleep- and health-related variables, average sleep hours for off-duty days and self-reported sleep quality were analyzed. The question was, "How do you rate your sleep quality?" and were rated on a 5-point Likert scale from very satisfied to very unsatisfied. In addition, selfperceived health status (health perspective) was investigated on a 5-point scale. The KEPS included the adult APGAR (Access, Priorities, Growth, Assistance, and Responsibility) and Patient Health care Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) for screening mental health and depression. The adult APGAR (consisting of five items including components of Access, Priorities, Growth, Assistance, and Responsibility, a total of 10 points) is a brief, self-scoring instrument designed to assist physicians in assessing and monitoring their wellness status [20]. An APGAR of 5 or less is considered in a state of stress. The PHQ-9 is a self-administered questionnaire with nine questions to screen for depression and its severity. Each item is scored on a scale of 0–3 points for 27 points. The KEPS used the Korean version of the PHQ-9, previously validated in the Korean population [21]. A score of 11 or greater indicated the presence of depression.

2.3. Study Outcomes

The primary outcome of the study was the prevalence of EDS among emergency physicians. The secondary outcome was the factors associated with EDS.

2.4. Statistical Analysis

Missing data unavoidable in the survey study were addressed using Little's MCAR test [22]. The ratio of missing data for each variable ranged from 0.3% (sleep quality) to 7.5% (job satisfaction), and the total number of missing values was 16%. Therefore, Little's MCAR test was performed, and it was not significant ($\chi^2 = 428.682$, df = 355, p < 0.01). This indicates that these data were not missing completely at random and that multiple imputations are appropriate for the dataset. Multiple imputations were conducted for missing values with MAR. Five datasets were calculated and analyzed with a pooled dataset. Descriptive statistics were performed for demographic and EDS-related variables. Independent t-tests and chi-squared tests were performed to determine differences between groups with and without EDS. A multivariable logistic regression analysis using the "Enter" method (all variables in a univariate model are entered in a single step) was performed to calculate odds ratios (ORs) at 95% confidence intervals (CIs) and investigate the association between EDS and other variables. The mediation effect between the associated factors was analyzed by Baron and Kenny's three-stage mediation analysis [23]. A two-sided p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 26 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

3. Results

A total of 1307 emergency physicians responded to the survey, and the response rate was 61.3% (1307/2138). However, 353 did not respond to the EDS questionnaire and were excluded. Finally, 954 participants were included in the study population. Among them, 293 participants were classified as the EDS group, and 661 were classified as the non-EDS group (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Flow chart of the study population. EDS = excessive daytime sleepiness.

3.1. Characteristics of Participants

The mean age was 42.5 years, and 88.7% were men. The participants' demographic, lifestyle, workload, working environment, and sleep-related variables are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Characteristics of participants.

	Participants	Total Group (N = 954)	EDS Group (N = 293)	Non-EDS Group (N = 661)	<i>p</i> -Value
Demographic variables					
Age	954	42.5 ± 6.1	42.1 ± 5.7	42.7 ± 6.3	0.175
Gender	954				0.112
Men		846 (88.7)	267 (91.1)	579 (87.6)	
Woman		108 (11.3)	26 (8.9)	82 (12.4)	
Marital status	945				0.632
Married		832 (88.0)	254 (87.3)	578 (88.4)	
Others		113 (12.0)	37 (12.7)	76 (11.6)	
Lifestyle variables					
Alcohol consumption	945				0.233
No		566 (59.9)	166 (57.0)	400 (61.2)	
Yes		379 (40.1)	125 (43.0)	254 (38.8)	
Smoking	948				0.034
No		702 (74.1)	203 (69.5)	499 (76.1)	
Yes		246 (25.9)	89 (30.5)	157 (23.9)	
Number of exercises per week	954	1.8 ± 1.8	1.7 ± 1.9	1.8 ± 1.8	0.367
Regular breakfast ^a	947	2.5 ± 1.4	2.5 ± 1.3	2.5 ± 1.4	0.561
Regular night snacks ^a	947	2.7 ± 1.2	2.9 ± 1.2	2.6 ± 1.3	0.004
Workloads and working environment variables					
ED volume ^c	884	34,375.6 ± 20,317.8	$36,\!280.8\pm20,\!831.2$	33,393.9 ± 21,975.8	0.042
Monthly working days	954	11.7 ± 4.2	11.6 ± 3.8	11.7 ± 4.3	0.918
Monthly night-shift days	954	5.9 ± 2.4	6.2 ± 2.2	5.7 ± 2.5	0.007
Monthly working hours	954	152.8 ± 51.0	151.1 ± 57.9	153.6 ± 47.6	0.480
ED safety ^a	903	2.9 ± 1.0	2.8 ± 1.0	3.0 ± 1.1	0.054
Mealtime guaranteed ^a	950	2.5 ± 1.2	2.4 ± 1.1	2.6 ± 1.2	0.013
Schedule satisfaction ^b	949	3.4 ± 0.9	3.3 ± 0.9	3.5 ± 0.9	< 0.001
Income satisfaction ^b	954	2.8 ± 1.0	2.6 ± 1.0	2.8 ± 1.0	0.008
Job satisfaction ^b	882	3.2 ± 0.9	3.2 ± 0.9	3.3 ± 1.0	0.235
Sleep and health-related variables					
Sleep hours	954	7.3 ± 1.4	7.3 ± 1.4	7.4 ± 1.4	0.285
Sleep quality	951				< 0.001
Not very worried		80 (8.4)	15 (5.1)	65 (9.9)	
Not worried		193 (20.3)	37 (12.7)	156 (23.7)	
Fair		232 (24.4)	64 (21.9)	168 (25.5)	
Worried		293 (30.8)	109 (37.3)	184 (27.9)	
Very worried		153 (16.1)	67 (22.9)	86 (13.1)	
Health perspective	949				< 0.001
Very unhealthy		26 (2.7)	13 (4.5)	13 (2.0)	
Unhealthy		178 (18.8)	81 (27.8)	97 (14.7)	
Fair		423 (44.6)	121 (41.6)	302 (45.9)	
Healthy		280 (29.5)	68 (23.4)	212 (32.3)	
Very healthy		42 (4.4)	8 (2.7)	34 (5.2)	
Wellness	907				< 0.001
APGAR score > 5		311 (34.3)	67 (23.8)	244 (39.0)	
APGAR score ≤ 5		596 (65.7)	215 (76.2)	381 (61.0)	
Depression	908				< 0.001
PHQ-9 < 11		650 (71.6)	147 (51.9)	503 (80.5)	
$PHQ-9 \ge 11$		258 (28.4)	136 (48.1)	122 (19.5)	

Variables are presented as the mean \pm standard deviation and number (%). The survey questions on regular breakfast ^a, regular night snack ^a, ED safety ^a and mealtime guaranteed ^a were developed on a 5-point Likert scale; 5 points were strongly agreed upon, and 1 point was strongly disagreed. The survey questions on schedule satisfaction ^b, income satisfaction ^b, and job satisfaction ^b were developed on a 5-point Likert scale; 5 points were very satisfied, and 1 point was very unsatisfied. ED volume ^c means the annual number of patients visiting the emergency medical center. EDS = excessive daytime sleepiness, ED = emergency department, APGAR score = access priorities growth assistance responsibility score, PHQ-9 = patient health care questionnaire-9, ESS = Epworth sleepiness scale.

3.2. *Prevalence of EDS*

The prevalence of EDS was 30.7% (95% CI, 27.8–33.6%) (Table 1). The ESS score distribution of participants is shown in Figure 2. The results revealed that EDS was more prevalent in participants who smoke, have regular night snacks, work in more ED volumes, have more monthly night-shift days and less guaranteed mealtime, are less satisfied with schedule and income, and have poor sleep quality and perceive themselves as unhealthy. They showed a significant difference in APGAR and PHQ-9 scores compared with the non-EDS group.



Number of Participants

Figure 2. Distribution of the Epworth sleepiness scale among the emergency physicians. The *x*-axis is the Epworth sleepiness scale, and the *y*-axis is the number of participants. An Epworth sleepiness scale of 11 or more colored orange indicated the presence of excessive daytime sleepiness.

3.3. Factors Associated with EDS

Univariable and multivariable logistic regression analyses revealed factors associated with EDS (Table 2). In the univariable analysis, smoking, regular night snack habits, monthly night-shift days, poor sleep quality, and health perspectives were associated with an increased risk for EDS. A stress condition with an APGAR score of 5 or less and depression with a PHQ-9 score of 10 or less were significantly increased risks for EDS. In contrast, guaranteed mealtime, schedule, income satisfaction, and a positive perception of health were associated with a decreased risk of EDS.

However, in the multivariable regression model, monthly night-shift days and depression were significantly associated with an increased risk of EDS, and fair health status was associated with a decreased risk of EDS. It was possible to consider that night-shift days acted as a mediator of depression rather than an independently associated factor of EDS. Thus, the mediation effect of night-shift days on depression was analyzed. Baron and Kenny's three-stage mediation analysis was applied. In step 1, the correlation between night-shift days and depression was insignificant in both non-respondent (r = 0.01) and the respondent group (r = 0.09). There was no mediating effect on depression or a possible mediating effect on depression. Monthly night-shift days and depression were independently associated factors for EDS without mediating effects in this study.

Promegraphic variables		Univariable L	Univariable Logistic Regression		Multivariable Logistic Regression		
Demographic variables set set set App 0.987 0.987 0.987 0.987 0.987 Male 1.000 1.000 1.000 Female 0.088 0.432-1.094 1.714 0.996-2.949 Martial status 1.000 1.000 1.000 Set 0.650-1.736 Urbers 1.056 0.723-1.099 1.062 0.650-1.736 Lifestyle variables 1.000 1.000 Set 1.58 0.656-1.259 Smoking 1.000 1.000 1.000 Set 1.252 Set 1.389 0.325-1.022 1.050 0.962-1.147 Yees 0.366 0.895-1.022 1.050 0.962-1.125 Set Set 1.022-1.188 0.766 0.656-1.259 Set No 0.662-1.125 Set Set Set 1.022-1.188 0.766 0.662-1.125 Set Set Set 1.022-1.137 1.050 0.962-1.126 Set Set Set 1.061 1.001-1.000 Set		OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI		
Age 0.964 0.962-1.007 0.987 0.957-1.017 Male 1.000 -	Demographic variables						
Gender Jacobi (Set Set Set Set Set Set Set Set Set Set	Age	0.984	0.962-1.007	0.987	0.957-1.017		
Male 1.000	Gender						
Fenale 0.688 0.432-1.094 1.74 0.096-2.949 Marriad stansa 1.000 1.002 0.650-1.736 Lifestyle variables 1.000 0.650-1.736 Lifestyle variables 1.000 1.000 Alcohol consumption 1.000 1.000 Yes 1.192 0.900-1.579 0.909 0.656-1.259 Smoking 1.000 1.000 1.000 Ves 0.966 0.895-1.042 1.050 0.962-1.147 Ves 0.966 0.895-1.042 1.050 0.962-1.147 Week 0.966 0.895-1.042 1.050 0.962-1.147 Werkloads and working 1.177 1.052-1.317 1.080 0.952-1.224 Workloads and working days 0.998 0.966-1.032 0.995 0.0391-1.010 Monthly working days 0.998 0.966-1.032 0.995 0.991-1.010 1.000-1.000 Monthly working days 0.998 0.966-1.032 0.998 0.991-1.010 1.002-1.191 Monthly working days 0.998	Male	1.000		1.000			
Married 1.000 1.000 1.000 Others 1.065 0.723-1.099 1.062 0.650-1.736 Itfestyle variables Alcohol consumption No 1.000 Smoking No 1.000 .	Female	0.688	0.432-1.094	1.714	0.996-2.949		
Married 1.000	Marital status						
Others 1.065 0.723-1.099 1.062 0.650-1.736 Lifestyle variables No 1.000 No 1.000 Yes 1.192 0.900-1.579 0.909 0.656-1.259 Smoking 1.000 1.000 1.000 Second 1.000 Yes 1.389 0.022-1.888 0.766 0.948-1.125 Number of exercises per 0.966 0.895-1.042 1.050 0.962-1.147 Regular night snack * 0.970 0.876-1.074 1.807 0.964-1.226 Regular night snack * 1.177 1.052-1.317 1.080 0.952-1.224 Workhoads and working environment variables environtvariables <t< td=""><td>Married</td><td>1.000</td><td></td><td>1.000</td><td></td></t<>	Married	1.000		1.000			
Lifestyle variables Lifestyle variables Lifestyle variables Lifestyle variables Alcohol consumption 1.000 1000 Yes 1.192 0.900-1.579 0.9099 0.656-1.259 Smoking	Others	1.065	0.723-1.099	1.062	0.650 - 1.736		
Alcofic consumption Interpret in the second	Lifestyle variables						
No 1.000 1.192 0.900-1.579 0.9099 0.656-1.259 Smoking	Alcohol consumption						
Yes 1.192 0.900-1.579 0.909 0.656-1.259 Smoking 1.000 1.000 1.000 Yes 1.389 1.022-1.888 0.786 0.548-1.125 Number of exercises per week 0.966 0.895-1.042 1.050 0.962-1.147 Regular night snack ^a 1.177 1.052-1.317 1.080 0.952-1.224 Workloads and working environment variables environment variables 0.996 0.995-1.022 0.995 0.935-1.040 Monthly working days 0.998 0.966-1.032 0.995 0.935-1.040 0.000 1.000-1.000 1.000-1.000 1.000-1.000 1.000-1.000 Monthly working days 0.999 0.996-1.002 0.998 0.994-1.001 1.025-1.149 1.105 0.749-1.060 1.028-1.191 Monthly working days 0.999 0.996-1.002 0.998 0.994-1.001 1.000 1.000 1.028-1.191 Monthly working days 0.999 0.996-1.002 0.8981 0.749-1.060 1.028-1.191 Monthly working days 0.999 0.996-1.002 0.8981 0.749-1.106	No	1.000		1.000			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c } \hline Sincking & 100 & 100 & 100 & 100 \\ \hline No & 1.00 & 1.00 & 100 \\ \hline No & 1.39 & 1.022-1.888 & 0.786 & 0.548-1.125 \\ \hline Number of exercises per & 0.966 & 0.895-1.042 & 1.050 & 0.962-1.147 \\ \hline Regular breakfast ^{a} & 0.970 & 0.876-1.074 & 1.807 & 0.964-1.226 \\ \hline Regular breakfast ^{a} & 0.970 & 0.876-1.074 & 1.807 & 0.964-1.226 \\ \hline Regular breakfast ^{a} & 1.177 & 1.052-1.317 & 1.080 & 0.952-1.224 \\ \hline Workloads and working \\ environment variables & & & & & & \\ \hline ED volume ^{c} & 1.000 & 1.000-1.000 & 1.000 & 1.000-1.000 \\ \hline Monthly working days & 0.998 & 0.966-1.032 & 0.995 & 0.953-1.040 \\ \hline Monthly working days & 0.999 & 0.996-1.002 & 0.988 & 0.994-1.011 \\ \hline ED safety ^{a} & 0.870 & 0.756-1.002 & 0.981 & 0.749-1.160 \\ \hline Monthly working hours & 0.999 & 0.996-1.002 & 0.989 & 0.994-1.001 \\ \hline ED safety ^{a} & 0.870 & 0.760 & 0.651-0.888 & 0.959 & 0.774-1.188 \\ \hline Income satisfaction ^{b} & 0.817 & 0.780-1.063 & 1.209 & 0.88-1.101 \\ \hline Job satisfaction ^{b} & 0.911 & 0.780-1.063 & 1.209 & 0.889-1.478 \\ \hline Sleep and heilth-related & & & & & & \\ \hline Variables & & & & & & & & \\ \hline Not very worried & 1.000 & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline Not very worried & 1.003 & 0.531-2.019 & 0.634 & 0.305-1.319 \\ \hline Not very worried & 1.035 & 0.531-2.019 & 0.634 & 0.305-1.319 \\ \hline Not very worried & 1.035 & 0.531-2.019 & 0.634 & 0.305-1.319 \\ \hline Not very worried & 1.035 & 0.531-2.019 & 0.634 & 0.305-1.319 \\ \hline Not very worried & 1.035 & 0.531-2.019 & 0.634 & 0.305-1.319 \\ \hline Not very worried & 1.035 & 0.531-2.019 & 0.634 & 0.305-1.319 \\ \hline Not very worried & 1.035 & 0.531-2.019 & 0.634 & 0.305-1.319 \\ \hline Not very worried & 1.035 & 0.531-2.019 & 0.634 & 0.305-1.319 \\ \hline Not very worried & 1.035 & 0.531-2.019 & 0.634 & 0.308-1.303 \\ Very unhealthy & 1.000 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Yes	1.192	0.900-1.579	0.909	0.656-1.259		
No 1.000 Yes 1.389 1.022-1.888 0.786 0.548-1.125 Number of exercises per week 0.966 0.895-1.042 1.050 0.962-1.147 Regular night snack a* 0.970 0.876-1.074 1.807 0.962-1.147 Regular night snack a* 1.177 1.052-1.317 1.080 0.952-1.224 Workloads and working environment variables 1.000-1.000 Monthly working days 0.998 0.966-1.032 0.995 0.953-1.040 Monthly working days 0.998 0.966-1.032 0.998 0.994-1.01 Doubling started * 0.870 0.756-1.002 0.981 0.749-1.060 Monthly working hours 0.999 0.996-1.002 0.998 0.994-1.01 ED safety * 0.870 0.756-1.002 0.881 0.749-1.060 Monthly working hours 0.999 0.996-1.002 0.989 0.941-1.01 Do staf safetion * 0.827 0.718-0.953 0.904 0.743-1.100 Job satisfaction * 0.827	Smoking			01707	0.000 1.20		
Yes 1.389 1.022-1.888 0.786 0.548-1.125 Number of exercises per week 0.966 0.895-1.042 1.050 0.962-1.147 Regular breakfast ^a 0.970 0.876-1.074 1.807 0.964-1.226 Regular birs ack ^a 1.177 1.052-1.317 1.080 0.952-1.224 Workloads and working 0.000-1.000 1.000 1.000-1.000 Monthly might shift days 0.998 0.966-1.032 0.995 0.953-1.040 Monthly might shift days 0.899 0.996-1.002 0.998 0.994-1.001 ED safety ^a 0.870 0.756-1.002 0.891 0.749-1.060 Mealtime guaranteed ^a 0.864 0.769-0.970 0.965 0.844-1.105 Schedule satisfaction ^b 0.827 0.718-0.953 0.904 0.743-1.188 Income satisfaction ^b 0.911 0.780-1.063 1.209 0.989-1.478 Sleep quality Not very worried 1.035	No	1.000		1.000			
Number of exercises per week 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 Regular night snack * 0.970 0.876-1.074 1.807 0.962-1.147 Regular night snack * 0.970 0.876-1.074 1.807 0.962-1.147 Regular night snack * 1.177 1.052-1.317 1.080 0.952-1.224 Workloads and working	Yes	1.389	1.022-1.888	0.786	0.548 - 1.125		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Number of exercises per	11007	11022 11000	0.1 00	010 10 11120		
network 0.970 0.876-1.074 1.807 0.964-1.226 Regular night snack ^a 1.177 1.052-1.317 1.080 0.952-1.224 Workloads and working environment variables ED volume ^c 1.000 1.000-1.000 1.000-1.000 Monthly working days 0.988 0.966-1.032 0.995 0.953-1.040 Monthly working days 0.999 0.996-1.002 0.998 0.994-1.001 ED soltume suranteed ^a 0.864 0.769-0.970 0.965 0.844-1.105 Schedule satisfaction ^b 0.760 0.651-0.888 0.959 0.774-1.188 Income satisfaction ^b 0.760 0.651-0.063 1.209 0.989-1.478 Sleep and health-related variables 0.947 0.856-1.047 0.988 0.882-1.106 Sleep and health-related 0.996 0.318-0.183 0.305-1.319 0.565 0.560 0.318-0.985 <td>week</td> <td>0.966</td> <td>0.895-1.042</td> <td>1.050</td> <td>0.962-1.147</td>	week	0.966	0.895-1.042	1.050	0.962-1.147		
Negation tright snack a 1.177 1.032 1.037 1.030 0.932 1.224 Workloads and working environment variables -	Regular breakfast ^a	0.970	0 876-1 074	1 807	0 964-1 226		
Negati Hight and the form of the for	Regular night snack ^a	1 177	1 052-1 317	1.080	0.952-1.224		
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Produce and voltage is a seried of the $	Workloads and working	1.177	1.002 1.017	1.000	0.952 1.224		
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$	environment variables						
Index of the second	ED volumo ^c	1.000	1 000 1 000	1 000	1 000 1 000		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Monthly working days	0.008	0.966 1.032	0.995	0.953 1.040		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Monthly night shift days	1.094	1 022 1 140	1 106	1 028 1 101		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Monthly monthing hours	0.000	1.022 - 1.149	0.008	0.004 1.001		
DD safety 0.500 0.730-1.002 0.931 0.749-1.000 Mealtime guaranteed ^a 0.864 0.769-0.970 0.965 0.844-1.105 Schedule satisfaction ^b 0.760 0.651-0.888 0.959 0.774-1.188 Income satisfaction ^b 0.827 0.718-0.953 0.904 0.743-1.100 Job satisfaction ^b 0.911 0.780-1.063 1.209 0.989-1.478 Sleep and health-related variables 9 9 9 Sleep pours 0.947 0.856-1.047 0.988 0.882-1.106 Sleep quality 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.001 Not very worried 1.035 0.531-2.019 0.634 0.305-1.319 Fair 1.648 0.874-3.106 0.560 0.318-0.985 Worried 2.569 1.391-4.745 0.796 0.486-1.303 Very worried 3.366 1.760-6.436 0.996 0.638-1.556 Health perspective 1000 1.000 1.001 1.041 Urp healthy 0.328 0.145-0.740 1.262 0.565-6.870 Fair <td>ED cafoty ^a</td> <td>0.999</td> <td>0.390-1.002</td> <td>0.998</td> <td>0.994-1.001</td>	ED cafoty ^a	0.999	0.390-1.002	0.998	0.994-1.001		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Mostime guaranteed a	0.870	0.750-1.002	0.065	0.844 1 105		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Called dula anti-faction b	0.004	0.709-0.970	0.903	0.774 1 189		
Income satisfaction 6 0.8270.718-0.9330.9040.74.5-1.100Job satisfaction b 0.9110.780-1.0631.2090.989-1.478Sleep and health-related	Schedule satisfaction	0.760	0.651-0.888	0.939	0.774-1.188		
Job satisfaction b0.9110.780-1.0631.2090.989-1.478Sleep and health-relatedvariablesSleep hours0.9470.856-1.0470.9880.882-1.106Sleep qualityNot very worried1.0001.000Not worried1.0350.531-2.0190.6340.305-1.319Fair1.6480.874-3.1060.5600.318-0.985Worried2.5691.391-4.7450.7960.486-1.303Very worried3.3661.760-6.4360.9960.638-1.556Health perspective1.000Unhealthy0.8420.369-1.9211.9700.565-6.870Fair0.4100.184-0.9111.6660.661-4.200Healthy0.3280.145-0.7401.2620.526-3.041Very healthy0.3260.080-0.7001.4160.590-3.398Wellness1.0001.000APGAR score > 51.0001.0001.000APGAR score ≤ 52.0291.484-2.7761.3950.953-2.043Depression1.0001.000PHQ-9 < 11	Income satisfaction ^b	0.827	0.718-0.953	0.904	0.743-1.100		
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } Sleep hours 0.947 0.856-1.047 0.988 0.882-1.106 \\ \hline Sleep quality & 1.000 & 1.000 \\ \hline Not very worried 1.035 0.531-2.019 0.634 0.305-1.319 \\ \hline Not worried 1.035 0.531-2.019 0.634 0.305-1.319 \\ \hline Fair 1.648 0.874-3.106 0.560 0.318-0.985 \\ \hline Worried 2.569 1.391-4.745 0.796 0.486-1.303 \\ \hline Very worried 3.366 1.760-6.436 0.996 0.638-1.556 \\ \hline Health perspective & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Job satisfaction ^b	0.911	0.780-1.063	1.209	0.989-1.478		
variables Sleep hours 0.947 0.856-1.047 0.988 0.882-1.106 Sleep quality Not very worried 1.000 . . . Not worried 1.035 0.531-2.019 0.634 0.305-1.319 Fair 1.648 0.874-3.106 0.560 0.318-0.985 Worried 2.569 1.391-4.745 0.796 0.486-1.303 Very worried 3.366 1.760-6.436 0.996 0.638-1.556 Health perspective Very unhealthy 1.000 1.000 . . . Unhealthy 0.842 0.369-1.921 1.970 0.565-6.870 . Fair 0.410 0.184-0.911 1.666 0.661-4.200 . Uvery healthy 0.328 0.145-0.740 1.262 0.526-3.041 Very healthy 0.236 0.080-0.700 1.416 0.590-3.398 Wellness <	Sleep and health-related						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	variables						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Sleep hours	0.947	0.856-1.047	0.988	0.882-1.106		
Not very worried1.0001.000Not worried1.0350.531–2.0190.6340.305–1.319Fair1.6480.874–3.1060.5600.318–0.985Worried2.5691.391–4.7450.7960.486–1.303Very worried3.3661.760–6.4360.9960.638–1.556Health perspective1.000Unhealthy0.8420.369–1.9211.9700.565–6.870Unhealthy0.8420.369–1.9211.9700.565–6.870Fair0.4100.184–0.9111.6660.661–4.200Healthy0.3280.145–0.7401.2620.526–3.041Very healthy0.2360.080–0.7001.4160.590–3.398Wellness1.0001.000APGAR score > 51.0001.0002.953–2.043Depression1.0001.000PHQ-9 < 11	Sleep quality						
Not worried1.0350.531-2.0190.6340.305-1.319Fair1.6480.874-3.1060.5600.318-0.985Worried2.5691.391-4.7450.7960.486-1.303Very worried3.3661.760-6.4360.9960.638-1.556Health perspective </td <td>Not very worried</td> <td>1.000</td> <td></td> <td>1.000</td> <td></td>	Not very worried	1.000		1.000			
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Not worried	1.035	0.531-2.019	0.634	0.305-1.319		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Fair	1.648	0.874-3.106	0.560	0.318-0.985		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c } Very worried & 3.366 & 1.760-6.436 & 0.996 & 0.638-1.556 \\ \hline Health perspective & & & & & & & \\ \hline Very unhealthy & 1.000 & 1.000 & & & & \\ Unhealthy & 0.842 & 0.369-1.921 & 1.970 & 0.565-6.870 \\ \hline Fair & 0.410 & 0.184-0.911 & 1.666 & 0.661-4.200 \\ \hline Healthy & 0.328 & 0.145-0.740 & 1.262 & 0.526-3.041 \\ \hline Very healthy & 0.236 & 0.080-0.700 & 1.416 & 0.590-3.398 \\ \hline Wellness & & & & & & \\ APGAR score > 5 & 1.000 & & 1.000 \\ \hline APGAR score \le 5 & 2.029 & 1.484-2.776 & 1.395 & 0.953-2.043 \\ \hline Depression & & & & & & \\ PHQ.9 < 11 & 1.000 & & & 1.000 \\ \hline PHQ.9 \ge 11 & 3.570 & 2.635-4.835 & 2.635 & 1.799-3.861 \\ \hline \end{array}$	Worried	2.569	1.391-4.745	0.796	0.486-1.303		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c } Health perspective & 1.000 & 1.000 \\ \hline Very unhealthy & 1.000 & 0.369-1.921 & 1.970 & 0.565-6.870 \\ \hline Unhealthy & 0.842 & 0.369-1.921 & 1.970 & 0.565-6.870 \\ \hline Fair & 0.410 & 0.184-0.911 & 1.666 & 0.661-4.200 \\ \hline Healthy & 0.328 & 0.145-0.740 & 1.262 & 0.526-3.041 \\ \hline Very healthy & 0.236 & 0.080-0.700 & 1.416 & 0.590-3.398 \\ \hline Wellness & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $	Very worried	3.366	1.760-6.436	0.996	0.638-1.556		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c } Very unhealthy & 1.000 & 1.000 \\ Unhealthy & 0.842 & 0.369-1.921 & 1.970 & 0.565-6.870 \\ Fair & 0.410 & 0.184-0.911 & 1.666 & 0.661-4.200 \\ Healthy & 0.328 & 0.145-0.740 & 1.262 & 0.526-3.041 \\ Very healthy & 0.236 & 0.080-0.700 & 1.416 & 0.590-3.398 \\ Wellness & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $	Health perspective						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Very unhealthy	1.000		1.000			
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Unhealthy	0.842	0.369-1.921	1.970	0.565-6.870		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Fair	0.410	0.184-0.911	1.666	0.661-4.200		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Healthy	0.328	0.145-0.740	1.262	0.526-3.041		
Wellness1.000APGAR score > 51.000APGAR score \leq 52.0291.484–2.7761.3950.953–2.043DepressionPHQ-9 < 11	Very healthy	0.236	0.080-0.700	1.416	0.590-3.398		
$\begin{array}{cccc} APGAR \mbox{ score } > 5 & 1.000 & 1.000 \\ APGAR \mbox{ score } \le 5 & 2.029 & 1.484-2.776 & 1.395 & 0.953-2.043 \\ \hline Depression & & & & & & & \\ PHQ-9 < 11 & 1.000 & & 1.000 & & \\ PHQ-9 \ge 11 & 3.570 & 2.635-4.835 & 2.635 & 1.799-3.861 \\ \end{array}$	Wellness						
$\begin{array}{ccccc} APGAR \mbox{ score} \leq 5 & 2.029 & 1.484-2.776 & 1.395 & 0.953-2.043 \\ \hline Depression & & & & & & \\ PHQ-9 < 11 & 1.000 & & & 1.000 \\ PHQ-9 \geq 11 & 3.570 & 2.635-4.835 & 2.635 & 1.799-3.861 \\ \hline \end{array}$	APGAR score > 5	1.000		1.000			
Depression1.0001.000PHQ-9 < 11	APGAR score ≤ 5	2.029	1.484-2.776	1.395	0.953-2.043		
PHQ-9 < 11 1.000 1.000 PHQ-9 ≥ 11 3.570 2.635-4.835 2.635 1.799-3.861	Depression						
$PHQ-9 \ge 11$ 3.570 2.635-4.835 2.635 1.799-3.861	PHQ-9 < 11	1.000		1.000			
	$PHQ-9 \ge 11$	3.570	2.635-4.835	2.635	1.799-3.861		

Table 2. Factors associated with EDS.

The survey questions on regular breakfast ^a, regular night snack ^a, ED safety ^a, and mealtime guaranteed ^a were developed on a 5-point Likert scale; 5 points were strongly agreed upon, and 1 point was strongly disagreed. The survey questions on schedule satisfaction ^b, income satisfaction ^b, and job satisfaction ^b were developed on a 5-point Likert scale; 5 points were very satisfied, and 1 point was very unsatisfied. ED volume ^c means the annual number of patients visiting the emergency medical center. EDS = excessive daytime sleepiness, ED = emergency department, APGAR score = access priorities growth assistance responsibility score, PHQ-9 = patient health care questionnaire-9, OR = odds ratio, CI = confidence interval.

4. Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to report EDS prevalence and associated factors among emergency physicians in South Korea. It was conducted nationwide, and the survey response rate was sufficiently high (approximately 61%). We, therefore, consider that the participants were fairly representative of emergency physicians

throughout South Korea. The prevalence of EDS was 30.7%, and factors that increased the risk of EDS were monthly night-shift days, depression (PHQ-9 \geq 11), and a decreased risk of EDS was fair sleep quality.

The prevalence of EDS among the general population in Korea has been reported to be 12.2% [24]. The prevalence of EDS among emergency physicians in this study is more than twice that of the general population in Korea. The prevalence of EDS among physicians ranges widely from study to study. However, most studies report that the EDS prevalence among physicians is higher than that of the general population. A study of EDS on academic physicians reported that 28.6% of physicians felt sleepy during the daytime (ESS score > 10) [25]. Another study of EDS on attending physicians reported that 15.9% of physicians were found to be sleepy (ESS score > 10) [26]. The EDS prevalence (ESS > 10) of the emergency physician in Saudi Arabia was 41.2% [27]. According to the Korean Emergency Medicine Resident Survey 2020, the prevalence of EDS among emergency physicians is certainly higher than that of the general population and physicians of other specialties.

In this study, the significant factors associated with developing EDS were depression and monthly night-shift days. A study of British workers showed that most can synchronize their circadian systems to night shifts within a week [29]. However, it can take weeks for workers to re-entrain to day shifts [30–32]. This means that as the number of monthly night shifts increases, there will not be enough time to restore their circadian rhythm, which will eventually lead to EDS. Frequent night shifts and consequent sleep deprivation increase the risk for major depression [33]. Depression as a risk factor for EDS has also been reported in other studies [34,35]. However, this study found that monthly night-shift days and depression were independently associated factors for EDS without mediating effects. The causal relationship between EDS and night-shift days is intuitively clear. However, whether depression was the cause or result of EDS was not established. Further research is needed on whether other factors cause depression or EDS causes depression.

How many night shifts in a month would be appropriate for emergency physicians to work without EDS? The tolerable number of night shifts may vary depending on the workload and working environments of each emergency medical center and emergency physician. This study showed a small but significant difference in night-shift days between the EDS and the non-EDS group (6.2 \pm 2.2 versus 5.7 \pm 2.5, p = 0.007). In Korea's current emergency medical service system, it is estimated that night shifts more than six times a month are not tolerable for emergency physicians. However, in a study of EDS among emergency medicine residents in Korea, the monthly night-shift days did not significantly differ between the EDS and non-EDS groups. They were not associated factors [26]. The study explained this finding by noting that the working hours of residents were limited to less than 80 h per week, and rest time the next day of the night shift was guaranteed by a policy for the improvement of training conditions and status of medical residents, enacted and enforced since 2016 [36,37]. As trainees, emergency medicine residents usually work with supervisors and their peers. However, emergency physicians in local emergency medical centers of non-training hospitals usually work alone. The difference between an emergency physician and an emergency medicine resident is considered the difference in the working environment.

Although this is the first nationwide report on EDS among emergency physicians in Korea, it has several limitations. First, there is still a possibility of nonrespondent bias (selection bias due to nonrespondents) inherent to survey research. Second, this study investigated self-perceived EDS, and no objective assessment of sleep problems was conducted. Physicians in the EDS group did not differ in sleep hours but in sleep quality. Thus, EDS appears more susceptible to quality than quantity of sleep [38]. However, we did not investigate which sleep problems, including sleep initiation, sleep maintenance, and sleep disorders, such as sleep apnea, appeared in participants. In future studies, objectively measuring sleep quality using polysomnography will be necessary. In conclusion, according to this study, the prevalence of EDS among emergency physicians in Korea is about three times higher than that of the general population, and almost one in three emergency physicians suffers daytime sleepiness. The number of monthly night-shift days and depression were associated with an increased risk of EDS. The health status of the medical staff is closely related to not only the patient's clinical outcome but also the patient's safety. We suggest that follow-up qualitative studies on the cause of depression and prospective longitudinal studies on the workload and working environment, including monthly night-shift days, are necessary.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, S.Y.P. and J.K.; methodology, S.Y.P. and J.K.; software, H.M.L.; validation, S.Y.P., H.M.L. and J.K.; formal analysis, S.Y.P.; investigation, H.M.L. and S.Y.P., resources, H.M.L.; data curation, S.Y.P.; writing—original draft preparation, S.Y.P.; writing—review and editing, S.Y.P. and J.K.; visualization, S.Y.P.; supervision, J.K.; project administration, H.M.L.; funding acquisition, S.Y.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by The Dong-A University Research Funding, grant number (20220305).

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Pusan National University Hospital (approval No. 2110-017-108).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all participants involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: The data supporting this study's findings are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available because they contain information that could compromise the privacy of research participants.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Cheng, W.-J.; Cheng, Y. Night shift and rotating shift in association with sleep problems, burnout and minor mental disorder in male and female employees. *Occup. Environ. Med.* **2017**, *74*, 483–488. [CrossRef]
- Havakuk, O.; Zukerman, N.; Flint, N.; Sadeh, B.; Margolis, G.; Konigstein, M.; Keren, G.; Aviram, G.; Shmilovich, H. Shift work and the risk of coronary artery disease: A cardiac computed tomography angiography study. *Cardiology* 2018, 139, 11–16. [CrossRef]
- Su, T.C.; Lin, L.Y.; Baker, D.; Schnall, P.L.; Chen, M.F.; Hwang, W.C.; Chen, C.F.; Wang, J.D. Elevated blood pressure, decreased heart rate variability and incomplete blood pressure recovery after a 12-hour night shift work. *J. Occup. Health* 2008, *50*, 380–386.
 [CrossRef]
- 4. Potter, G.D.; Skene, D.J.; Arendt, J.; Cade, J.E.; Grant, P.J.; Hardie, L.J. Circadian rhythm and sleep disruption: Causes, metabolic consequences, and countermeasures. *Endocr. Rev.* 2016, *37*, 584–608. [CrossRef]
- 5. Dawson, D.; Reid, K. Fatigue, alcohol and performance impairment. *Nature* 1997, 388, 235. [CrossRef]
- 6. Joo, E.Y.; Yoon, C.W.; Koo, D.L.; Kim, D.; Hong, S.B. Adverse effects of 24 hours of sleep deprivation on cognition and stress hormones. *J. Clin. Neurol.* 2012, *8*, 146–150. [CrossRef]
- Kaneita, Y.; Ohida, T. Association of current work and sleep situations with excessive daytime sleepiness and medical incidents among Japanese physicians. J. Clin. Sleep Med. 2011, 7, 512–522. [CrossRef]
- Ng, T.P.; Tan, W.C. Prevalence and determinants of excessive daytime sleepiness in an Asian multi-ethnic population. *Sleep Med.* 2005, *6*, 523–529. [CrossRef]
- 9. Chen, L.; Luo, C.; Liu, S.; Chen, W.; Liu, Y.; Li, Y.; Du, Y.; Zou, H.; Pan, J. Excessive daytime sleepiness in general hospital nurses: Prevalence, correlates, and its association with adverse events. *Sleep Breath.* **2019**, *23*, 209–216. [CrossRef]
- 10. Garbarino, S.; Durando, P.; Guglielmi, O.; Dini, G.; Bersi, F.; Fornarino, S.; Toletone, A.; Chiorri, C.; Magnavita, N. Sleep apnea, sleep debt and daytime sleepiness are independently associated with road accidents. A cross-sectional study on truck drivers. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0166262. [CrossRef]
- 11. Pack, A.I.; Pack, A.M.; Rodgman, E.; Cucchiara, A.; Dinges, D.F.; Schwab, C.W. Characteristics of crashes attributed to the driver having fallen asleep. *Accid. Anal. Prev.* **1995**, *27*, 769–775. [CrossRef]
- 12. AlShareef, S.M. Excessive daytime sleepiness and associations with sleep-related motor vehicle accidents: Results from a nationwide survey. *Sleep Breath.* **2021**, *25*, 1671–1676. [CrossRef]
- 13. Ng, W.L.; Freak-Poli, R.; Peeters, A. The prevalence and characteristics associated with excessive daytime sleepiness among Australian workers. *J. Occup. Environ. Med.* **2014**, *56*, 935–945.

- 14. Hara, C.; Rocha, F.L.; Lima-Costa, M.F.F. Prevalence of excessive daytime sleepiness and associated factors in a Brazilian community: The Bambuí study. *Sleep Med.* 2004, *5*, 31–36. [CrossRef]
- 15. Lee, H.M.; Cho, K.H.; Yang, H.J.; Kim, I.B.; Lee, K.J.; Han, S.B.; Oh, S.H.; Kim, C.; Park, J.S.; Kang, Y.J. Final report of 2015 Korean emergency physician survey (KEPS). *J. Korean Soc. Emerg. Med.* **2016**, *27*, 1–24.
- 16. Taherdoost, H. Determining sample size; how to calculate survey sample size. Int. J. Econ. Manag. Syst. 2017, 2, 237–239.
- 17. Johns, M.W. A new method for measuring daytime sleepiness: The Epworth sleepiness scale. Sleep 1991, 14, 540–545. [CrossRef]
- 18. Cho, Y.W.; Lee, J.H.; Son, H.K.; Lee, S.H.; Shin, C.; Johns, M.W. The reliability and validity of the Korean version of the Epworth sleepiness scale. *Sleep Breath.* **2011**, *15*, 377–384. [CrossRef]
- Haddock, C.K.; Poston, W.S.; Jitnarin, N.; Jahnke, S.A. Excessive daytime sleepiness in firefighters in the central United States. J. Occup. Environ. Med. 2013, 55, 416–423. [CrossRef]
- 20. Bintliff, S. The adult APGAR: A guide to physician wellness. Int. J. Dermatol. 2012, 7, 868–869. [CrossRef]
- Park, S.-J.; Choi, H.-R.; Choi, J.-H.; Kim, K.-W.; Hong, J.-P. Reliability and validity of the Korean version of the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9). Anxiety Mood 2010, 6, 119–124.
- Pedersen, A.B.; Mikkelsen, E.M.; Cronin-Fenton, D.; Kristensen, N.R.; Pham, T.M.; Pedersen, L.; Petersen, I. Missing data and multiple imputation in clinical epidemiological research. *Clin. Epidemiol.* 2017, *9*, 157. [CrossRef]
- 23. Albert, J.M.; Nelson, S. Generalized causal mediation analysis. *Biometrics* 2011, 67, 1028–1038. [CrossRef]
- Joo, S.; Baik, I.; Yi, H.; Jung, K.; Kim, J.; Shin, C. Prevalence of excessive daytime sleepiness and associated factors in the adult population of Korea. *Sleep Med.* 2009, 10, 182–188. [CrossRef]
- Ozder, A.; Eker, H.H. The prevalence of excessive daytime sleepiness among academic physicians and its impact on the quality of life and occupational performance. *Int. J. Occup. Med. Environ. Health.* 2015, 28, 721–730. [CrossRef]
- Singh, R.; Undevia, N.S.; Schuman, C.; Attarian, H. Excessive daytime sleepiness among attending physicians: A pilot survey study at an academic institution. *Sleep Med.* 2011, 12, 808–810. [CrossRef]
- Alhifzi, S.; Al-Ghonimy, A.; Al Aboudi, M.; Al Abdullah, R.; Olaish, A.; BaHammam, A.S. Assessment of sleep quality, daytime sleepiness, and depression among emergency physicians working in shifts. J. Nat. Sci. Med. 2018, 1, 17. [CrossRef]
- Park, S.Y.; Cho, K.H.; Kim, H.J.; Kim, I.B.; Seo, B.S.; Choi, S.J.; Yoon, Y.S.; Key, D.; Park, K.H.; Lee, E.S. Excessive Daytime Sleepiness and Its Associated Factors among Emergency Medicine Residents in South Korea: A Nationwide Survey. *Emerg. Med. Int.* 2021, 2021, 6628361. [CrossRef]
- 29. Barnes, R.; Deacon, S.; Forbes, M.; Arendt, J. Adaptation of the 6-sulphatoxymelatonin rhythm in shiftworkers on offshore oil installations during a 2-week 12-h night shift. *Neurosci. Lett.* **1998**, *241*, 9–12.
- 30. Midwinter, M.J.; Arendt, J. Adaptation of the melatonin rhythm in human subjects following nightshift work in Antarctica. *Neurosci. Lett.* **1991**, 122, 195–198. [CrossRef]
- Ross, J.K.; Arendt, J.; Horne, J.; Haston, W. Night-shift work in Antarctica: Sleep characteristics and bright light treatment. *Physiol. Behav.* 1995, 57, 1169–1174. [CrossRef]
- 32. Gibbs, M.; Hampton, S.; Morgan, L.; Arendt, J. Predicting circadian response to abrupt phase shift: 6-sulphatoxymelatonin rhythms in rotating shift workers offshore. *J. Biol. Rhythm.* **2007**, *22*, 368–370. [CrossRef]
- Roberts, R.E.; Duong, H.T. The prospective association between sleep deprivation and depression among adolescents. *Sleep* 2014, 37, 239–244. [CrossRef]
- Bixler, E.O.; Vgontzas, A.N.; Lin, H.-M.; Calhoun, S.L.; Vela-Bueno, A.; Kales, A. Excessive daytime sleepiness in a general population sample: The role of sleep apnea, age, obesity, diabetes, and depression. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 2005, 90, 4510–4515. [CrossRef]
- Breslau, N.; Roth, T.; Rosenthal, L.; Andreski, P. Daytime sleepiness: An epidemiological study of young adults. *Am. J. Public Health* 1997, 87, 1649–1653. [CrossRef]
- 36. Huh, S. Will the year 2016 augur well for better patient safety and health of residents in Korea according to the enactment of the Act for improving the resident training environment and enhancing resident's status? J. Educ. Eval. Health Prof. 2016, 13, 2. [CrossRef]
- 37. Sohn, S.; Seo, Y.; Jeong, Y.; Lee, S.; Lee, J.; Lee, K.J. Changes in the working conditions and learning environment of medical residents after the enactment of the Medical Resident Act in Korea in 2015: A national 4-year longitudinal study. *J. Educ. Eval. Health Prof.* **2021**, *18*, 7. [CrossRef]
- Lang, C.J.; Appleton, S.L.; Vakulin, A.; McEvoy, R.D.; Vincent, A.D.; Wittert, G.A.; Martin, S.A.; Grant, J.F.; Taylor, A.W.; Antic, N. Associations of undiagnosed obstructive sleep apnea and excessive daytime sleepiness with depression: An Australian population study. J. Clin. Sleep Med. 2017, 13, 575–582. [CrossRef]