



King Saud University

Saudi Pharmaceutical Journal

www.ksu.edu.sa  
www.sciencedirect.com



## ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# The Effect of Pioglitazone on Pharmacokinetics of Carbamazepine in Healthy Rabbits



Issam Abushammala \*

Department of Pharmaceutics and Industrial Pharmacy, College of Pharmacy, Al-Azhar University-Gaza, Gaza, P.O Box: 1277, Palestine

Received 13 March 2014; accepted 5 July 2014

Available online 9 July 2014

## KEYWORDS

Pioglitazone;  
Drug–Drug interaction;  
Carbamazepine;  
Pharmacokinetic;  
CYP3A4

**Abstract** *Introduction:* Drug–drug interactions can lead to serious and potentially lethal adverse events. In recent years, several drugs have been withdrawn from the market due to interaction-related adverse events. The objective of this study was to evaluate the pharmacokinetic interaction between pioglitazone (PG) and carbamazepine (CBZ) in healthy male rabbits.

*Methods:* A randomized, two-crossover design study was conducted in six healthy male rabbits. The study consisted of two periods: period one, when each rabbit received a single dose of 70 mg CBZ-suspension. Period two, when each rabbit received a single dose of 70 mg CBZ-suspension co-administered with a single dose of 1.5 mg PG with a washout period of one week between the two periods. Serial blood samples were collected over a period of 48 h. Chemiluminescent enzyme immunoassay (CLEIA) was used to measure CBZ in serum. Pharmacokinetic (PK) parameters  $C_{max}$ ,  $T_{max}$ ,  $t_{1/2}$ ,  $AUC_{0-t}$ ,  $AUC_{0-\infty}$ , and  $k_e$  were determined for the two periods using non-compartmental analysis.

*Results:* In the two periods of treatment,  $C_{max}$ ,  $T_{max}$ ,  $AUC_{0-t}$ ,  $AUC_{0-\infty}$ ,  $t_{1/2}$  and  $k_e$  for CBZ were administered alone and in combination with PG.  $C_{max}$ , the mean peak plasma concentration was  $4.33 \pm 2.4 \mu\text{g/mL}$  versus  $4.76 \pm 2.1 \mu\text{g/mL}$ ,  $t_{max}$ , time taken to reach, was  $2.91 \pm 1.11 \text{ h}$  versus  $3.6 \pm 1.83 \text{ h}$ , total area under the curve  $AUC_{0-t}$  was  $64.90 \pm 43.6 \mu\text{g}\cdot\text{h/mL}$  versus  $102.90 \pm 66.9 \mu\text{g}\cdot\text{h/mL}$ ,  $AUC_{0-\infty}$  was  $74.0 \pm 52.6 \mu\text{g}\cdot\text{h/mL}$  versus  $124.3 \pm 85 \mu\text{g}\cdot\text{h/mL}$ ,  $t_{1/2}$  was  $14.10 \pm 2.5 \text{ h}$  versus  $16.43 \pm 6.43 \text{ h}$  and elimination rate constant  $k_e$  was  $0.050 \pm 0.009 \text{ h}^{-1}$  versus  $0.057 \pm 0.049 \text{ h}^{-1}$ , respectively. No statistical differences were found in pharmacokinetic of CBZ in both cases ( $P > 0.05$ ).

*Conclusion:* The result of the study demonstrated that PG does not affect pharmacokinetic parameters of CBZ. Therefore, no cautions regarding dose or administration pattern of CBZ with PG should be taken.

© 2014 King Saud University. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

\* Tel.: +970599019757.

E-mail address: [issam.abushammala@uv.es](mailto:issam.abushammala@uv.es).

Peer review under responsibility of King Saud University.



Production and hosting by Elsevier

## 1. Introduction

Interaction between drugs represents a major clinical concern for health care professionals and their patients. It occurs when one therapeutic agent either alters the concentrations or the biological effect of another agent (GhavamI et al., 2013). Many

clinically important drug interactions are the result of induction or inhibition of cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzymes, the major drug-metabolizing enzymes mainly present in the liver (Wilkinson, 2005; Sahi et al., 2003). It has been estimated that 70% of human drug oxidation can be attributed to six main enzymes CYP1A2, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, 2E1 and 3A4 (Tanaka, 1999). Patients affected by both diabetes type 2 DM and epilepsy can be treated by pioglitazone and carbamazepine at the same time. Pioglitazone (PG) is a thiazolidinedione compound used in the treatment of type 2 DM. It is an insulin sensitizer that acts as an agonist of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor subtype gamma (PPAR- $\gamma$ ), (Yki-Jarvinen, 2004; Lehmann et al., 1995). It is well-absorbed, with a mean absolute bioavailability of 83% and reaching maximum concentrations in around 1.5 h (Hanefeld, 2001; Eckland and Danhof, 2000). Moreover, it is extensively metabolized by hydroxylation and oxidation to active and inactive metabolites in the liver predominantly via cytochrome P450 (CYP) isoenzymes, CYP2C8 and CYP3A4 (Hanefeld, 2001; Eckland and Danhof, 2000).

Carbamazepine (CBZ) is one of the most commonly prescribed antiepileptic drugs and is also used in the treatment of trigeminal neuralgia and psychiatric disorders, particularly bipolar depression (Galal et al., 2004). It has a dissolution dependent oral bioavailability due to its low solubility in water ( $113 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ ,  $25^\circ\text{C}$ ) exhibiting a slow and irregular gastrointestinal absorption (Sethia and Squillante, 2004; Barakat and Radwan, 2006). CBZ is a potent inducer of CYP isoenzymes CYP1A2, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, and CYP3A4 (Anderson, 1998; Spina et al., 2005). However, it is metabolized by CYP3A4 to give carbamazepine-10,11-epoxide, the major active metabolite representing 80% of CBZ in man (Kerr et al., 1994; Patsalos et al., 2002). So, CBZ shows a relatively short half-life, in chronic treatment, due to autoinduction of the drug metabolism (Giunchedi et al., 1991). Furthermore, its half-life may be shortened by coadministration of other CYP3A4 inducer drugs (Galal et al., 2004).

PG showed in vitro inductive effects on CYP3A4 (Sahi et al., 2003). This could influence pharmacokinetic of CBZ. Alteration in pharmacokinetics of such antiepileptic may cause toxicity or loss of seizure control. Therefore, this study was conducted to assess the possibility of potential interaction of PG with CBZ.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Animals

Six healthy male rabbits with mean weight  $3.4 \pm 0.12$  kg, aged 7–9 months were enrolled in the study. The rabbits were obtained from Asdda for animal production and welfare center, where follow up care and clinical examination were performed and rabbits' health state was certified (khanunis, Palestine). Rabbits were fasted for 12 h with free access to water by ad libitum before the beginning of the study.

The study was carried out at the Al-Azhar University-Gaza, College of Pharmacy, Gaza, Palestine. The study was approved by the institutional ethics committee and was conducted under supervision of a veterinary physician.

### 2.2. Study design

A single dose, two-crossover design study was conducted in rabbits. There was a washout period of one week between the two doses. The rabbits were divided into two groups. The first one received a 70 mg dose of CBZ oral suspension, whereas the second group received the same dose of CBZ co-administered with a single dose 1.5 mg of PG as a suspension prepared in laboratory. PG tablets were pulverized and a weight of the powder equivalent to 15 mg PG was suspended in 20 ml distilled water. Carbamazepine suspension (2%, Tegretol, Novartis) and pioglitazone tablet (30 mg, Actos, Takeda) were purchased from a local pharmacy (Gaza, Palestine). After one week the second group received CBZ alone and the first received CBZ concurrently with PG to complete the cross-over design. The dose was given by means of a syringe connected to an oral gavage. It was put in the corner of the mouth and the liquid was pushed down slowly, to avoid choking. General clinical safety was assessed by physical examination during the study, washout period and at the end of the study.

### 2.3. Blood sampling

Rabbits were placed in the rabbit restraining box device. The marginal ear vein was located and the hair was removed. Gentle stroking and tapping of the ear may make the vein more visible. Local anesthetic was applied to prevent the jerking of the rabbit as a result of venipuncture 15 min before starting the study by inserting a small needle (23 gauge) butterfly attached to a syringe in the marginal ear vein (Parasuraman et al., 2010). Serial venous blood samples were collected (1 ml) in vacutainer tubes according to the time schedule 0.0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, 24.0 and 48 h after receiving the dose. Blood samples were centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 5 min and serum was transferred into clean plastic tubes. Serum samples (ca. 200  $\mu\text{l}$ ) were kept in refrigerator until being analyzed within 24 h.

### 2.4. Analysis of serum samples

The analysis was performed by the carbamazepine kit based on chemiluminescent enzyme immunoassay (CLEIA) and Immulite 1000 immunoassay system (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics).

### 2.5. Pharmacokinetic analysis

The plasma pharmacokinetic parameters were estimated, which included the observed maximum plasma concentration  $C_{\text{max}}$ , the time to reach  $C_{\text{max}}$ , ( $T_{\text{max}}$ ) and the area under the plasma concentration–time curve from 0 h to last measurable concentration ( $\text{AUC}_{0-t}$ ) and 0 h to infinity ( $\text{AUC}_{0-\infty}$ ).  $C_{\text{max}}$  and  $T_{\text{max}}$  were directly determined from the serum concentration versus time curves. The area under the curve from 0 h to t ( $\text{AUC}_{0-t}$ ) was calculated by the linear trapezoidal rule. The area under the curve from 0 h to infinity ( $\text{AUC}_{0-\infty}$ ) was estimated by summing the area from  $\text{AUC}_{0-t}$  and  $\text{AUC}_{0-\infty}$ , where  $\text{AUC}_{0-\infty} = \text{AUC}_{0-t} + C_t / k_e$ , with ' $C_t$ ' defined as the last measured serum concentration at time t, and  $k_e$  is the

elimination rate constant. The elimination rate constant  $k_e$  was estimated by the least squares regression of plasma concentration–time data points lying in the terminal region by using semilogarithmic dependence that corresponds to first-order kinetics. The half-life  $t_{1/2}$  was calculated as  $0.693/k_e$ . Pharmacokinetic analysis was performed by means of model independent method (Non-Compartmental Approach) WinNonlin Professional Software (Version 6.3, Pharsight Corporation, Cary, NC).

### 2.6. Statistical analysis

Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was used to compare the calculated pharmacokinetic parameters of carbamazepine for the two periods, using general linear model procedures, in which sources of variation were subject and period. The statistical analysis was performed using SPSS, version 16. P-value  $\leq 0.05$  was considered statistically significant.

### 3. Results

The mean plasma concentrations of carbamazepine when administered alone or in combination with pioglitazone are shown in Fig. 1. The concentration time profile obviously indicated that the two periods are comparable. The mean pharmacokinetic parameters of carbamazepine administered alone or in combination with pioglitazone as well as the statistical significance following their comparison are given in Table 1.

In the two periods of treatments,  $C_{max}$ ,  $T_{max}$ ,  $AUC_{0-t}$ ,  $AUC_{0-\infty}$ ,  $t_{1/2}$  and  $k_e$  for CBZ were administered alone and co-administered with PG. The mean peak plasma concentration  $C_{max}$  was  $4.33 \pm 2.4 \mu\text{g/mL}$  versus  $4.76 \pm 2.1 \mu\text{g/mL}$ ,  $t_{max}$ , time taken to reach  $C_{max}$ , was  $2.91 \pm 1.11 \text{ h}$  versus  $3.6 \pm 1.83 \text{ h}$ , total area under the curve  $AUC_{0-t}$  was  $64.90 \pm 43.6 \mu\text{g}\cdot\text{h/mL}$  versus  $102.90 \pm 66.9 \mu\text{g}\cdot\text{h/mL}$ ,  $AUC_{0-\infty}$  was  $74.0 \pm 52.6 \mu\text{g}\cdot\text{h/mL}$  versus  $124.3 \pm 85 \mu\text{g}\cdot\text{h/mL}$ ,  $t_{1/2}$  was  $14.10 \pm 2.5 \text{ h}$  versus  $16.43 \pm 6.43 \text{ h}$  and elimination rate constant was  $0.050 \pm 0.009 \text{ h}^{-1}$  versus  $0.057 \pm 0.049 \text{ h}^{-1}$ , respectively.

Statistically insignificant differences were found in all pharmacokinetic parameters of CBZ in both cases ( $P > 0.05$ ).

### 4. Discussion

The six healthy male rabbits completed the study without any deviations. The utility of rabbit as a model to study drug–drug interactions is well documented (Stargrove et al., 2008; Riviere, 2007). All six rabbits had completed the study and there were no death or replacement during the study. Clinical physical examination during and post study indicated no abnormalities. The present study showed good tolerability of both formulations.

As recognized, the primary organ involved in drug metabolism is the liver which includes a very important family of enzymes called cytochrome P450 (CYP). More than 50% of all drugs are metabolized at least in part by CYP3A4 or CYP2D6 (Guengerich et al., 1998). As a result, many drug interactions are a consequence of inhibition or induction of cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzymes (GhavamI et al., 2013). As known, carbamazepine is metabolized by CYP3A4 to give carbamazepine-10,11-epoxide (Kerr et al., 1994), so co administration of CBZ with CYP3A4 inducers or inhibitors can affect its plasma concentration (Galal et al., 2004). Previous studies demonstrated that the mean serum concentration of carbamazepine was lower when the drug was given in combination with CYP3A4 inducers such as phenytoin (59.4%), primidone (58.2%), phenobarbital (65.7%) and valproate (83.0%) than when carbamazepine was given alone (100%), (Brodie et al., 1983; Rambeck et al., 1987). PG has an inductive effect on CYP3A4 activity, which was established by in vitro testing using primary human hepatocytes (Sahi et al., 2003). An *in vivo* study showed similar effects of PG, where it was found that sub-chronic concurrent administration of PG (10 mg/kg) with phenytoin (30 mg/kg), antiepileptic drug metabolized mainly by CYP3A4 (Cuttle et al., 2000; Komatsu et al., 2000), was associated with significant reduction in its plasma concentration in rats.

Despite in vitro effect of PG on CYP3A4, the pharmacokinetics of CBZ was not affected in rabbits. In vitro results are




Figure 1 Mean concentration–time profiles of CBZ when administered alone and in combination with PG.

**Table 1** Summary of mean pharmacokinetic parameters of CBZ 70 mg alone and in combination with 1.5 mg of PG in rabbits (n = 6).

Pharmacokinetic parameters <sup>a</sup>	CBZ <sup>b</sup> Mean ± SD <sup>d</sup>	CBZ + PG <sup>c</sup> Mean ± SD <sup>d</sup>	p-Value <sup>e</sup>
C <sub>max</sub> (µg/ml)	4.33 ± 2.41	4.76 ± 2.16	0.697
T <sub>max</sub> (h)	2.91 ± 1.11	3.6 ± 1.83	0.328
AUC <sub>0-48</sub> (µg.h/ml)	64.90 ± 43.6	102.90 ± 66.9	0.328
AUC <sub>0-∞</sub> (µg.h/ml)	74.00 ± 52.6	124.30 ± 85	0.726
t <sub>1/2</sub> (h)	14.10 ± 2.5	16.43 ± 6.43	0.269
K <sub>e</sub> (h <sup>-1</sup> )	0.050 ± 0.0090	0.057 ± 0.049	0.245

<sup>a</sup> Abbreviations are C<sub>max</sub>: Maximum serum concentration, T<sub>max</sub>: Time taken to reach C<sub>max</sub>, AUC<sub>0-48</sub>: Area under the curve from 0 h to 48 h, AUC<sub>0-∞</sub>: Area under the curve from 0 h to infinity, t<sub>1/2</sub>: Terminal half-life and K<sub>e</sub>: Terminal elimination constant.

<sup>b</sup> Rabbits received 70 mg CBZ.

<sup>c</sup> Rabbits received CBZ in combination with 1.5 mg PG.

<sup>d</sup> SD: Standard deviation.

<sup>e</sup> Statistical significance p ≤ 0.05.

not necessarily extrapolated to *in vivo* model. St John's Wort, *in vitro* CYP3A4 inducer, did not significantly affect the pharmacokinetic parameters of CBZ (Burstein et al., 2000).

We think that the suitable interpretation of our results that pioglitazone has the potential to cause drug–drug interactions through induction of CYP3A4 if sufficient concentration (50 µM) is achieved in the liver.

## 5. Conclusions

It has been found that PG does not affect the pharmacokinetics of CBZ.

## Conflict of Interest

There is no conflict of interest.

## Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank Mr. Mohammed Abuaffash, the director of Medical Relief Society-Gaza for providing the analysis facility and for Dr. Ali Abuzaid for his consultation about statistical analysis.

## References

- Anderson, G.D., 1998. A mechanistic approach to antiepileptic drug interactions. *The Annals of Pharmacotherapy*. 32, 554–563.
- Barakat, N.S., Radwan, M.A., 2006. In vitro performance of carbamazepine loaded to various molecular weights of poly (D, L-lactide-coglycolide). *Drug Delivery*. 13 (1), 9–18.
- Brodie, M.J., Forrest, G., Rapeport, W.G., 1983. Carbamazepine 10, 11 epoxide concentrations in epileptics on carbamazepine alone and in combination with other anticonvulsants. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*. 343 (16), 747–749.
- Burstein, A., Horton, R., Dunn, T., Alfaro, R., Piscitelli, S., Theodore, W., 2000. Lack of effect of St John's Wort on carbamazepine pharmacokinetics in healthy volunteers. *Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics*. 68, 605–612.
- Cuttle, L., Munns, A., Hogg, N., Scott, J., Hooper, W., et al, 2000. Phenytoin metabolism by human cytochrome P450: involvement of P450 3A and 2C forms in secondary metabolism and drug-protein adduct formation. *Drug Metabolism and Disposition* 28 (8), 945–950.
- Eckland, D., Danhof, M., 2000. Clinical pharmacokinetics of pioglitazone. *Experimental and Clinical Endocrinology & Diabetes*. 108 (2), 234–242.
- Galal, S., EL Massik, M., Abdallah, O., Daabis, N., 2004. Study of *in vitro* release characteristics of carbamazepine extended release semisolid matrix filled capsules based on gelucires. *Drug Development and Industrial Pharmacy*. 30 (8), 817–829.
- GhavamI, H., Shayanfar, A., Samini, M., Abolghasem Jouyban, A., 2013. Effect of Pioglitazone on Plasma Levels of Phenytoin in Rats. *Journal of Cardio-Thoracic Medicine* 1 (3), 100–103.
- Giunchedi, P., Conte, U., La Manna, A., 1991. Carbamazepine modified release dosage forms. *Drug Development and Industrial Pharmacy*. 17, 1753–1764.
- Guengerich, F., Hosea, N., Parikh, A., Bell- Parikh, L., et al, 1998. Twenty years of biochemistry of human P450s: purification, expression, mechanism and relevance to drugs. *Drug Metabolism and Disposition* 26, 1175–1178.
- Hanefeld, M., 2001. Pharmacokinetics and clinical efficacy of pioglitazone. *International Journal of Clinical Practice*. Supplement. 121, 19–25.
- Kerr, B.M., Thummel, K.E., Wurden, C.J., et al, 1994. Human liver carbamazepine metabolism. Role of CYP3A4 and CYP2C8 in 10,11-epoxide formation. *Biochemical Pharmacology*. 47, 1969–1979.
- Komatsu, T., Yamazaki, H., Asahi, S., Gillam, E., Guengerich, F., et al, 2000. Formation of a dihydroxy metabolite of phenytoin in human liver microsomes/cytosol: roles of cytochromes P450 2C9, 2C19, and 3A4. *Drug Metabolism and Disposition*. 28 (11), 1361–1368.
- Lehmann, J., Moore, L., Smith-Oliver, T., et al, 1995. An antidiabetic thiazolidinedione is a high affinity ligand for peroxisome-proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPARγ). *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 270 (22), 12953–12956.
- Parasuraman, S., Raveendran, R., Kesavan, R., 2010. Blood sample collection in small laboratory animals. *Journal of Pharmacology and Pharmacotherapeutics*. 1 (2), 87–93.
- Patsalos, P., Froscher, W., Pisani, F., Rijn, C., 2002. The importance of drug interactions in epilepsy therapy. *Epilepsia*. 43 (4), 365–385.
- Rambeck, B., May, T., Juergens, U., 1987. Serum concentrations of carbamazepine and its epoxide and diol metabolites in epileptic patients: the influence of dose and comedication. *Therapeutic Drug Monitoring*. 9, 298–303.
- Riviere JE: *Comparative pharmacokinetics: Principles, techniques and applications*. John Wiley & Sons Publisher, second edition 2007.
- Sahi, J., Black, C., Hamilton, G., Zheng, X., et al, 2003. Comparative effects of thiazolidinediones on *in vitro* p450 enzyme induction and inhibition. *Drug Metabolism and Disposition*. 31, 439–446.
- Sethia, S., Squillante, E., 2004. Solid dispersion of carbamazepine in PVP K30 by conventional solvent evaporation and supercritical methods. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*. 272 (1–2), 1–10.

- Spina E, Perucca E, Levy RH. Predictability of metabolic antiepileptic drug interactions. In *Antiepileptic Drugs: Combination Therapy and Interactions*, eds Majkowski J, Bourgeois B, Patsalos PN, Mattson RH. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 2005.
- Stargrove MB, Treasure J, and McKee DL: *Herb, nutrient, and drug Interactions: Clinical implications and therapeutic strategies*. Elsevier Health Sciences, first edition 2008.
- Tanaka, E., 1999. Clinically significant pharmacokinetic drug interactions between antiepileptic drugs. *Journal of Clinical Pharmacy and Therapeutics*. 24, 87–92.
- Wilkinson, G., 2005. Drug metabolism and variability among patients in drug response. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. 352, 2211–2221.
- Yki-Jarvinen, H., 2004. Thiazolidinediones. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. 351, 1106–1118.