SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 17 November 2016 Accepted: 6 March 2017 Published online: 06 April 2017

OPEN The demographic response of a deciduous shrub (the Indigofera bungeana complex, Fabaceae) to the Pleistocene climate changes in **East Asia**

Xue-Li Zhao^{1,2}, Xin-Fen Gao¹, Zhang-Ming Zhu³, Yun-Dong Gao¹ & Bo Xu¹

East Asia harbors the highest level of floristic diversity among the world's temperate regions. Despite the increase in phylogeographic studies of temperate plants in East Asia, far less attention has been paid to widely distributed deciduous shrubs that widespread across several floral regions. We sequenced two chloroplast DNA (cpDNA) fragments (ndhJ-trnF and trnD-trnT) and one nuclear DNA (Pgk1) of 472 individuals from 51 populations of such a group, the Indigofera bungeana complex. We used population genetic data as well as ecological niche modelling to examine the evolutionary history and glacial refugia during the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) of this group. We recovered 133 cpDNA and 68 nuclear haplotypes. The star-phylogeny of the recovered cpDNA and nuclear haplotypes and demographic analyses suggested distinct range expansion of *I. bungeana* complex have occurred during the early and middle Pleistocene. The climate change of the LGM might have affected little on the distribution of this complex based on the niche modelling. However, these climate changes and geographic isolation probably resulted in fixtures of the private haplotypes and genetic differentiations between regions. Our results suggested that this arid-tolerant species complex may have different responses to the Quaternary climate changes with those climate-sensitive species.

It is now well appreciated that climate oscillations during the Quaternary have profoundly shaped the geographic distributions and current genetic diversity of many temperate species in the Northern Hemisphere¹. Two general hypotheses on forest responses to the Quaternary climate changes in East Asia have been proposed^{2,3}. Palaeovegetation data from East Asia showed that temperate forests in this region were considerably more restricted than today and would have retreated southward to c. 30°N during the LGM². Conversely, Harrison et al. suggested that temperate forests in this region did not migrate on a large scale, but rather retained in local low-altitude refugia and formed discontinuous forest vegetation during glacial periods³. A limited number of phylogeographic studies appear to support the latter hypothesis. For example, in northern and northeast China, two species of shrubs, Ostryopsis davidiana Decne. and Quercus mongolica Fischer ex Ledebour, survived in multiple glacial refugia and showed regional postglacial expansions^{4, 5} while in southern and southeast China multiple refugia were recovered for a few trees and accompanying species, such as Tetrastigma hemsleyanum Diels & Gilg⁶, the East Asian Kirengeshoma⁷, and the species of the fir genus⁸. In subtropical China, the evergreen broad-leaved forest constituents conform to either an in situ survival model or an expansion-contraction model, such as Castanopsis tibetana, Machilus thunbergii and Schima superba⁹, Sargentodoxa cuneata¹⁰, and Loropetalum chinense¹¹. In addition, in the eastern Himalaya, some species from multiple refugia during the Last Glacial Maximum expanded their range to colonize extensive regions before the middle Quaternary^{12–14}, although some climate-sensitive trees retreated and recolonized high-altitude regions after the LGM^{15, 16}. Up to now, no studies

¹CAS Key Laboratory of Mountain Ecological Restoration and Bioresource Utilization & Ecological Restoration and Biodiversity Conservation Key Laboratory of Sichuan Province, Chengdu Institute of Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, P. O. Box 416, Chengdu, Sichuan, 610041, China. ²College of Forestry, Southwest Forestry University, Kunming, 650224, China. ³Institute of Ecology and Geobotany, Yunnan University, Kunming, 650091, China. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to X.-F.G. (email: xfgao@cib.ac.cn)



Figure 1. Geographic distribution of cpDNA haplotypes detected in *Indigofera bungeana* complex. The haplotypes found in more than one population are color-coded, while private haplotype particular to each population are shown in white. Figure was generated in DIVA-GIS 7.5 (http://www.diva-gis.org).

have examined the phylogeographic structure of a single species/species complex or monophyletic group whose current distributions cover all of these regions in East Asia.

In this study, we focused on the phylogeographic patterns of the *Indigofera bungeana* complex (Fabaceae), a complex of deciduous shrubs widespread in temperate East Asia (between c. 23°N and 45°N in latitude, 95°E and 135°E in longitude), with a continuous geographic distribution covering southern China, northern China and the Hengduan Mountains region (HMR). Four species have been ascribed to this complex¹⁷, *I. bungeana* Walpers, *I. amblyantha* Craib, *I. silvestrii* Pampanini, and *I. ramulosissima* Hosokawa. Species delimitations between them remains unclear due to the lack of clear morphological and genetic gaps. Our unpublished phylogenetic analyses suggested that numerous individuals of each species intermixed, but formed a highly supported monophyletic clade sister to the species of *Indigofera* from the Cape region of South Africa. We therefore treated them as a single evolutionary lineage in our phylogeographic analyses. Members of this complex grow in sunny, arid habitats at elevations between 100–2700 m¹⁷. Their widespread distribution provides a unique opportunity to examine how plants responded to past climate changes over a large region in East Asia.

We sequenced two types of DNA fragments with contrasting backgrounds of inheritance. First, two maternally inherited chloroplast DNAs (cpDNAs) were used, as in most phylogeographic studies^{16, 18}, due to the merits of rare recombinations and smaller effective population size¹⁹. This type of population genetic data allows an inference of historical range shifts and recolonization routes^{20–22}. Second, we also sequenced one nuclear DNA fragment. Population data from nuclear genetic polymorphisms can confirm the phylogeographic inferences from cpDNA^{23–26}. Sequence variation data from a single nuclear locus is becoming popular for such an aim^{23, 27}.

We finally used ecological niche modelling to infer the possible distributions of this complex during the LGM in East Asia. We expected that the simulated distributions should be consistent with the phylogeographic inferences of the population genetic data. We aimed to address the following questions: (1) Are phylogeographic inferences from cpDNA data consistent with those from nuclear DNA data? (2) When and how did this complex obtain its widespread distribution in East Asia? (3) Did the *I. bungeana* complex retreat southward or survive *in situ* during the LGM?

Results

cpDNA variation and haplotype structure. The total alignment of the *ndhJ-trn*F and *trnD-trn*T fragments across the 472 individuals sampled was 2933 bp, containing 155 substitutions and 43 indels (insertion/ deletion) (4–116 bp). A total of 133 chlorotypes (C1–C133) was identified, 104 (78.2%) of which occurred in a single population (Table 1). The most common haplotypes, C5, C23 and C24, were found in 5 (9.8%) populations, respectively. Total haplotype (H_d) and nucleotide (π) diversity of the cpDNA data was 0.982 and 0.0033,



Figure 2. The evolutionary relationships among cpDNA haplotypes of *Indigofera bungeana* complex. (**a**) NJ phylogenetic tree of the 133 cpDNA haplotypes. Numbers above/below branches represent Bayesian posterior probabilities/NJ support values. (**b**) Maximun parsimony network. The size of circles corresponds to the frequency of each haplotype and black dots represent missing haplotypes (not sampled or extincted). Lineages (A, B, C) and clades (a1, a2, a3, a4, a5) correspond to the lineages and clades in Table 1 and Supplementary Table S1.

respectively. Seven of the 51 populations surveyed contained only one haplotype, whereas the remaining populations were polymorphic (Table 1; Fig. 1).

Phylogenetic trees reconstructed using NJ and Bayesian methods were largely consistent in topology. All chlorotypes from the *I. bungeana* complex comprised a monophyletic lineage with three clades (Fig. 2). The basal A clade included only two chlorotypes, C62 and C63, which were restricted to a single population of *I. silvestrii* (HMR: MX3); the second B clade contained three, C8, C9 and C47, distributed in the Hengduan Mountains region and southern China. Clade C included all the remaining numerous chlorotypes with unresolved



Figure 3. Geographic distribution of *Pgk1* haplotypes detected in *Indigofera bungeana* complex. The haplotypes found in more than one population are color-coded, while private haplotype particular to each population are shown in white. Figure was generated in DIVA-GIS 7.5 (http://www.diva-gis.org.).

	Population		Longitude	tude Latitude E cpDNA				Pgk1			
Species	Code (Region)	Location	(E)	(N)	(m)	n	Chlorotype nos.	Lineage	n	Haplotype nos.	Lineage
	JY1 (B)	Jiyuan, HEN	112°16′04″	35°11′23″	1650	4	C37(3), C38(1)	С	6	H2(2), H21(2), H22(1), H23(1)	III
	JZ (C)	Jinzhai, AH	115°51′22″	31°14′10″	427	9	C43(5), <u>C44(2)</u> , C45(2)	С	16	H6(9), H21(4), H22(1), H26(1), H27(1)	II
	MX2 (A)	Maoxian, SC	103°51′36″	31°41′24″	1800	12	<u>C61(12)</u>	С	22	H22(4), H43(17), H44(1)	III
	RC (B)	Ruicheng, SX	110°32′28″	34°47′33″	1350	10	C40(1), <u>C70(4)</u> , C71(1), <u>C72(1)</u> , <u>C73(3)</u>	С	20	H5(1), H6(6), H21(9), H48(1), H49(1), H50(1), H51(1)	ш
	SNJ (C)	Shennongjia, HUB	110°22′58″	31°28′29″	1367	10	C67(1), <u>C77(9)</u>	С	20	H6(18), H21(2)	III
I. amblyantha	SQ (C)	Shiquan, SHX	108°15′00″	33°03′09″	530	15	$\begin{array}{c} C5(1), C71(1), \underline{C78(3)}, \\ \underline{C79(1)}, \underline{C80(4)}, \underline{C81(3)}, \\ \underline{C82(1)}, \underline{C83(1)} \end{array}$	С	16	H2(1), H16(2), H17(2), H21(2), H43(6), H54(1), H55(1), H56(1)	I, III
	TMS2 (C)	Tianmushan, ZJ	119°27′22″	30°20′16″	600	5	C23(1), C91(4)	С	10	H2(1), H21(2), H54(7)	III
	WN2 (C)	Wuning, JX	114°55′12″	29°18′36″	500	10	C24(3), C45(4), C52(1), C100(1), <u>C103(1)</u>	С	18	H16(5), H17(10), H37(1), H38(1), <u>H61(1)</u>	I
	WN3 (C)	Wuning, JX	114°55′12″	29°22′12″	850	13	C24(3), C43(1), <u>C104(1)</u> , <u>C105(8)</u>	С	26	H6(8), H21(13), H54(1), H62(4)	III
	XIX1 (C)	Xixia, HEN	111°47′44″	33°37′38″	1500	10	<u>C116(2)</u> , <u>C117(2)</u> , <u>C118(5)</u> , <u>C119(1)</u> , <u>C120(1)</u>	С	20	H6(11), H21(5), <u>H65(2)</u> , <u>H66(2)</u>	III
	XY (C)	Xinyang, HEN	114°04′24″	31°49′07″	438	9	<u>C124(4)</u> , <u>C125(1)</u> , <u>C126(1)</u> , <u>C127(1)</u> , <u>C128(2)</u>	С	16	H2(1), H6(6), H21(7), H68(2)	Ш
Continued											

	Population		Longitude	Latitude	E	cpDl	cpDNA		Pgk1			
Species	Code (Region)	Location	(F)	(N)	(m)	n	Chlorotype pos	Lineage	n	Hanlotyne nos	Lineage	
species	AL (B)	Anlong, GZ	105°35′24″	25°04′48″	1415	11	C1(8), C2(3)	C	n 22	H1(20), H2(2)	III	
	CP (B)	Changning BI	116°06′53″	40°13′57″	150	9	<u>C3(1)</u> , C4(2), C5(5),	C	20	H2(8), H3(2), H4(6),	ш	
	DEA (C)	Dafang GZ	105°42/49″	26°50/24″	1277	0	C6(1)	B C	20	H5(2), H6(1), H7(1)		
	DIA (C)	Datalig, GZ	105 45 48	20 39 24	1377	,	$\underline{C7(4)}, C8(5), C9(2)$ C10(2), C11(1), C12(3),	В, С	20	H8(1), H9(2), H10(1),		
	DFE (C)	Danfeng, SHX	110°16′12″	33°42′36″	570	10	$\frac{C13(1)}{C13(1)}, \frac{C14(1)}{C14(1)}, \frac{C15(1)}{C15(1)}, \frac{C16(1)}{C16(1)}$	С	20	$\frac{H10(2)}{H11(13)}, \frac{H12(1)}{H12(1)}, \frac{H13(1)}{H14(1)}$	I, III	
	DQ (A)	Deqin, YN	98°54′36″	28°01′48″	1930	7	<u>C17(6)</u> , <u>C18(1)</u>	С	18	H1(16), H2(2)	III	
	HS (C)	Huangshan, AH	118°14′23″	31°14′31″	500	9	$\frac{C20(1)}{C23(1)}, \frac{C21(1)}{C22(1)}, \frac{C22(1)}{C23(1)}, \frac{C24(1)}{C25(2)}, \frac{C26(1)}{C27(1)}, \frac{C25(2)}{C26(1)}, \frac{C27(1)}{C27(1)}$	С	20	H15(7), H16(1), H17(3), H18(7), H19(2)	I, III	
	HZ (B)	Huozhou, SX	111°55′28″	36°36′14″	1150	10	<u>C28(1)</u> , <u>C29(8)</u> , <u>C30(1)</u>	С	16	H2(11), H20(5)	III	
	JN (B)	Jinan, SD	117°03′36″	36°38′24″	250	9	<u>C31(6)</u> , C32(3)	С	20	H2(17), H3(3)	III	
	JR (C)	Jurong, JS	119°05′15″	32°08′33″	150	10	C23(5), C27(1), <u>C33(1)</u> , <u>C34(1)</u> , <u>C35(1)</u> , C36(1)	С	14	H15(4), H16(2), H18(6), H19(2)	I, III	
	JY2 (B)	Jiyuan, HEN	112°16′04″	35°11′23″	1250	10	C37(3), C38(1), <u>C39(1)</u> , C40(2), <u>C41(3)</u>	С	16	H2(10), <u>H24(2)</u> , <u>H25(4)</u>	III	
	JZG (A)	Jiuzhaigou, SC	103°51′01″	33°17′24″	2200	12	C36(6), C42(6)	С	16	H2(2), H3(1), H6(12), H22(1)	III	
	KLQQ (B)	Kelaqinqi, IM	118°41′02″	41°55′17″	600	10	C5(10)	С	20	H2(3), H3(1), H5(4), H7(3), H22(4), <u>H28(1)</u> , H29(1), H30(3)	III	
	LC (C)	Liancheng, FJ	116°42′45″	25°35′06″	360	10	C36(1), <u>C46(9)</u>	С	20	H15(13), H17(1), H18(6)	Ι	
	LD1 (A)	Luding, SC	102°10′12″	29°37′12″	1150	12	C47(8), <u>C48(2)</u> , C49(2)	B, C	20	H31(2), H32(18)	III	
	LD3 (A)	Luding, SC	102°04′48″	29°37′48″	1800	9	<u>C50(9)</u>	С	16	H32(16)	III	
	LS (C)	Lushang, JX	116°01′12″	29°30′36″	650	10	C24(2), C43(2), C51(3), C52(1), <u>C53(1)</u> , <u>C54(1)</u>	С	18	H16(2), H17(8), <u>H35(1)</u> , <u>H36(1)</u> , H37(4), H38(1), H39(1)	Ι	
	LY (C)	Leye, GX	106°25′12″	24°40′48″	1000	10	C1(10)	С	22	H1(20), H2(2)	III	
	MEX (B)	Meixian, SHX	107°53′44″	34°05′14″	830	9	<u>C55(5)</u> , <u>C56(2)</u> , <u>C57(1)</u> , <u>C58(1)</u>	С	20	H3(5), H6(10), H21(1), H29(1), <u>H40(1)</u> , <u>H41(2)</u>	III	
I. bungeana	MX1 (A)	Maoxian, SC	103°43′48″	31°34′48″	1700	8	<u>C59(7)</u> , <u>C60(1)</u>	С	20	H2(3), H6(14), <u>H42(3)</u>	III	
	MY (B)	Miyun, BJ	116°47′11″	40°34'22"	440	10	C4(1), C5(7), <u>C64(1)</u> , <u>C65(1)</u>	С	20	H2(13), H3(1), H4(1), H5(2), H7(2), H30(1)	III	
	NC (C)	Nanchuan, CQ	107°09′36″	29°01′12″	1300	10	C8(1), C9(5), <u>C66(1)</u> , C67(3)	B, C	12	H16(8), H38(1), H47(3)	I	
	NQ (B)	Neiqiu, HEB	114°16′48″	37°18′36″	500	10	C5(6), <u>C68(3)</u> , C69(1)	С	20	H2(15), H4(1), H22(4)	III	
	SME (C)	Shimen, HUN	110°51′36″	29°58′12″	335	5	C36(2), C74(2), C75(1)	С	6	H16(1), H38(1), H47(2), H52(2)	I, III	
	SMI (A)	Shimian, SC	102°18′36″	29°15′36″	850	10	C47(8), C49(1), <u>C76(1)</u>	B, C	20	H32(17), H33(2), H53(1)	III	
	SX (B)	Shexian, HEB	113°30′13″	36°43′45″	608	10	C69(2), <u>C84(1)</u> , <u>C85(2)</u> , <u>C86(1)</u> , <u>C87(1)</u> , <u>C88(2)</u> , <u>C89(1)</u>	С	20	H2(9), H3(2), H22(3), H57(4), H58(2)	III	
	TMS1 (C)	Tianmushan, ZJ	119°27′22″	30°20′16″	450	5	C23(2), <u>C90(1)</u> , C91(1), <u>C92(1)</u>	С	10	H15(6), H18(4)	Ι	
	TT (C)	Tiantai, ZJ	121°02′32″	29°14′28″	753	10	C23(3), C26(1), C75(1), C93(1), <u>C94(1)</u> , <u>C95(1)</u> , <u>C96(1)</u> , <u>C97(1)</u>	С	14	H1(1), H2(1), H15(5), H18(5), <u>H59(2)</u>	I, III	
	WM (C)	Wangmo, GZ	106°06′36″	25°19′48″	1030	8	C1(7), <u>C98(1)</u>	С	22	H1(22)	III	
	WN1 (C)	Wuning, JX	115°00'36″	29°10′12″	150	10	C24(2), C45(1), <u>C99(1)</u> , C100(2), <u>C101(1)</u> , <u>C102(2)</u>	С	20	H16(2), H17(10), H37(2), H38(1), H39(1), H60(4)	Ι	
	WT (B)	Wutai, HEB	113°32′10″	38°43′09″	1100	9	C32(7), C69(2)	С	14	H2(14)	III	
	WX2 (A)	Weixi, YN	99°16′12″	27°12′36″	2320	9	$\begin{array}{c} C27(1), C74(1), C107(1), \\ \underline{C111(2)}, \underline{C112(1)}, \\ \underline{C113(1)}, \underline{C114(1)}, \\ \underline{C115(1)} \end{array}$	С	12	H16(3), H17(4), H38(1), H47(2), H60(1), <u>H64(1)</u>	Ι	
	XIX2 (C)	Xixia, HEN	111°29′43″	33°18′42″	650	10	<u>C121(10)</u>	С	16	H1(5), H16(2), <u>H67(9)</u>	I, III	
	XX (B)	Xingxian, SX	111°16′37″	38°13′08″	1633	9	<u>C122(7)</u> , <u>C123(2)</u>	С	18	H2(17), H22(1)	III	
	YJ (C)	Yinjiang, GZ	108°33′36″	27°59′24″	765	9	C8(6), C9(3)	В	10	H1(8), H17(2)	I, III	
	ZD (B)	Zhidan, SHX	108°16′35″	36°33′18″	1220	9	$\frac{C129(3)}{C131(2)}, \frac{C130(4)}{C131(2)},$	С	20	H3(1), H5(5), H6(7), H20(5), H22(1), H29(1)	III	
	ZL (B)	Zhuolu, HEB	115°17′26″	39°53′45″	616	10	C5(4), C6(1), <u>C132(4)</u> , <u>C133(1)</u>	С	14	H2(2), H5(8), H6(1), H22(3)	III	
Continued												

Continued

Population I			Longitude	Latitude	E	cpD	NA		Pgk1			
Species	Code (Region)	Location	(E)	(N)	(m)	n	Chlorotype nos.	Lineage	n	Haplotype nos.	Lineage	
I. ramulosissima	HL (C)	Hualian, TW	121°33′36″	24°10′48″	650	1	<u>C19(1)</u>	С	2	H15(2)	Ι	
I. silvestrii	LD2 (A)	Luding, SC	102°17′24″	29°51′01″	2280	9	C47(9)	В	16	H32(9), H33(5), <u>H34(2)</u>	III	
	MX3 (A)	Maoxian, SC	103°43′48″	31°34′48″	1435	9	<u>C62(7)</u> , <u>C63(2)</u>	А	20	H45(16), H46(4)	III	
	WX1 (A)	Weixi, YN	99°16′12″	27°12′36″	2320	9	C36(1), C67(3), <u>C106(1)</u> , C107(1), <u>C108(1)</u> , <u>C109(1)</u> , <u>C110(1)</u>	С	16	H1(1), H16(8), H17(3), H38(2), H60(1), <u>H63(1)</u>	I, III	

Table 1. Locations of populations of *Indigofera bungeana* complex sampled, sample sizes (n), frequencies of chloroplast and *Pgk1* haplotypes per population, the geographic region (Figs 1 and 2) and lineage (Figs 3a and 4a) for each population. Frequencies of chlorotypes and *Pgk1* haplotypes are shown in parentheses. Private haplotype particular to each population are underlined. Abbreviations: HEN, Henan; SC, Sichuan; HUB, Hubei; SX, Shanxi; SHX, Shannxi; ZJ, Zhejiang; JX, Jiangxi; GZ, Guizhou; BJ, Beijing; YN, Yunnan; AH, Anhui; SD, Shandong; JS, Jiangsu; IM, Inner Mongolia; FJ, Fujian; GX, Guangxi; CQ, Chongqing; HEB, Hebei; HUN, Hunan; Taiwan, TW.

relationships, indicating radiative diversification. The haplotype network showed the star phylogeny, and most cpDNA haplotypes were arranged as a radiative phylogenetic tree relative to the central ones, e.g. C67, C36, C24, C75, C74 and C8 (Fig. 1). The dating analyses under different substitution rates suggested that the common ancestor of the *I. bungeana* complex originated during the Pliocene, and those of clades A, B and C in the Pliocene to early Pleistocene. Diversification of haplotypes in clade C, which comprised almost all the chlorotypes, was estimated to have occurred before the LGM (see Supplementary Table S1).

Pgk1 variation and haplotype structure. The alignment of *Pgk1* across the 434 individuals was 792 bp, containing 58 substitutions and 8 indels (4–28 bp). These polymorphisms defined 68 haplotypes, with 42 (61.8%) haplotypes unique to a single population (Table 1). The most common haplotypes H2 and H6 occurred in 20 (39.2%) and 13 (25.7%) populations, respectively. Total haplotype (H_d) and nucleotide (π) diversity of the *Pgk1* was 0.927 and 0.0106, respectively. Among the 51 populations surveyed, five were fixed for a single haplotype, and the remaining ones were polymorphic (Table 1; Fig. 3).

Nuclear (*Pgk1*) haplotypes clustered into three clades (Fig. 4). The basal clade comprised the haplotypes occurring in the HMR and southern China, and clade II included H26 and H27 that were restricted to a population of *I. amblyantha* (southern China: JZ), while the clade III comprised the remaining haplotypes that occurred throughout the whole distribution areas of *I. bungeana* complex. The haplotype network showed the same star phylogeny with most haplotypes relative to the central ones (e.g. H1, H2, H16 and H17) which occurred at a high frequency (Fig. 4b). The crown age of the *I. bungeana* complex estimated from *Pgk1* was 1.47 (95% HPD: 0.75–2.37) Ma. The common ancestors of clades I, II and III were estimated to have occurred 0.67 (95% HPD: 0.26–1.28) Ma, 0.35 (95% HPD: 0.01–0.93) Ma and 0.98 (95% HPD: 0.51–1.66) Ma (Table S3), respectively.

Genetic differentiation. The SAMOVA analysis failed to uncover any reliable population genetic group in either the cpDNA or nuclear datasets (see Supplementary Fig. S1). We therefore divided all the populations into three groups according to the classical phytogeographic boundaries defined by Wu & Wu²⁸: (A) Hengduan Mountains region (HMR), (B) Northern China, (C) Southern China (see Figs 1 and 2).

The level of total genetic diversity H_T (cpDNA: 0.991; Pgk1: 0.939) across the overall populations was much higher than the average within-population gene diversity H_S (Table 2). The highest genetic diversity occurred in southern China (cpDNA: 0.982; Pgk1: 0.922), in accordance with the occurrence of the most divergent haplotypes in this region (Figs 1 and 2). For both cpDNA and Pgk1 datasets, a significantly larger N_{ST} than G_{ST} value across overall populations was detected (Table 2), indicating the presence of a significant phylogeographic structure.

Hierarchical AMOVA revealed low levels of regional and species differentiation (Table 3; see Supplementary Figs S2–S5). Variations among regions accounted for 5.26% and 23.53% of the total genetic variation for cpDNA and *Pgk1* datasets, respectively. Populations in the HMR and southern China showed significantly higher variation among populations than within populations, while extremely lower differentiation among populations than within populations, while extremely lower differentiation among populations than within populations. The highest level of genetic differentiation among populations (cpDNA: PV = 77.54%, F_{ST} = 0.775; *Pgk1*: PV = 86.74%, F_{ST} = 0.867) was observed in the HMR, which was consistent with the significantly higher G_{ST} values than in other regions (Table 3). Complex and heterogeneous climate and topography may serve as a favorable condition for isolation, drift and barriers of gene flow in the HMR. When each species was analyzed separately, differences among species explained 7.56% (F_{CT} = 0.076) and 4.76% (F_{CT} = 0.048), those among populations within species 53.33 (F_{SC} = 0.577) and 76.12% (F_{SC} = 0.799), and those within populations 39.11% (F_{ST} = 0.609) and 19.11% (F_{ST} = 0.809) of the total cpDNA and nuclear DNA genetic variation, respectively (Table 3).

Tests of demographic expansion. Under a model of population expansion, the major clades identified in the cpDNA (clade A, B and C) and *Pgk1* (clade I, II and III) phylogeny displayed a bimodal or multimodal mismatch distribution (Fig. S6). However, none (except cpDNA clade A and *Pgk1* clade I) of the statistical comparisons between these observed distributions and simulated ones under a sudden expansion model significantly rejected the expansion model (*P* values > 0.05 based on *SSD* and *H*_{Rag}, Table 4). Nonsignificant *SSD* and raggedness index, as well as a significant large negative *F*_S (C: *F*_S = -24.002, *P* < 0.001; III: *F*_S = -21.123, *P* < 0.01)



Figure 4. The evolutionary relationships among *Pgk1* haplotypes of *Indigofera bungeana* complex. (**a**) NJ phylogenetic tree of the 68 *Pgk1* haplotypes. Numbers above/below branches represent Bayesian posterior probabilities/NJ support values. (**b**) Maximun parsimony network. The size of circles corresponds to the frequency of each haplotype and black dots represent missing haplotypes (not sampled or extincted). Lineages (I, II, III) and clades (b1, b2, b3, b4, b5) correspond to the lineages and clades in Table 1 and Supplementary Table S1.

	cpDNA						Pgk1						
Region	n	Hs	H_{T}	G _{ST}	$N_{\rm ST}$	n	Hs	H_{T}	G _{ST}	N _{ST}			
Hengduan Mountains region	27	0.389	0.962	0.595	0.792**	22	0.391	0.909	0.570	0.871**			
Northern China	39	0.581	0.954	0.392	0.494	23	0.608	0.814	0.253	0.260			
Southern China	72	0.626	0.982	0.362	0.525**	39	0.514	0.922	0.442	0.761**			
Total	133	0.549	0.991	0.446	0.578**	69	0.510	0.939	0.457	0.800**			

Table 2. Estimates of average gene diversity within populations (H_S) of *Indigofera bungeana* complex, total gene diversity (H_T), inter-population (G_{ST}), and number of substitution types (N_{ST}) for cpDNA and *Pgk1* across regions. n, no. of haplotypes. **P < 0.001.

		cpDNA					Pgk1				
Partitioning	Source of variation	d.f.	SS	VC	PV (%)	F-statistics	d.f.	SS	VC	PV (%)	F-statistics
	Among regions	2	135.039	0.262	5.26	$F_{\rm CT} = 0.053^{**}$	2	704.066	1.095	23.53	$F_{\rm CT} = 0.235^{**}$
By region	Among populations	48	1310.154	2.723	54.67	$F_{\rm SC} = 0.577^{**}$	48	2251.382	2.719	58.45	$F_{\rm SC} = 0.764^{**}$
	Within populations	421	839.881	1.995	40.06	$F_{\rm ST} = 0.599^{**}$	817	Pgk1 d.f. SS VC PV 2 704.066 1.095 23.5 48 2251.382 2.719 58.4 817 684.495 0.838 18.0 10 559.867 3.123 86.7 185 88.322 0.477 13.2 14 43.593 0.144 19.4 247 147.968 0.599 80.5 24 1647.923 4.136 78.0 385 448.204 1.164 21.5 3 208.638 0.209 4.76 47 2746.810 3.337 76.1 817 684.495 0.838 19.1 10 356.539 2.021 65.3 179 191.461 1.070 34.6 35 2235.144 3.645 82.6 588 450.084 0.765 17.3 2 155.127 4.451 83.5 49 42	18.01	$F_{\rm ST} = 0.820^{**}$	
Hengduan Mountains	Among populations	10	464.935	4.697	77.54	$F_{\rm ST} = 0.775^{**}$	10	559.867	3.123	86.74	$F_{\rm ST} = 0.867^{**}$
region	Within populations	95	129.263	1.361	22.46		185	88.322	0.477	13.26	
Northern China	Among populations	14	297.642	2.064	47.18	$F_{\rm ST} = 0.472^{**}$	14	43.593	0.144	19.43	$F_{\rm ST} = 0.194^{**}$
Northern China	Within populations	123	284.156	2.310	52.82		247	147.968	0.599	80.57	
Southern China	Among populations	24	538.577	2.238	51.59	$F_{\rm ST} = 0.516^{**}$	24	1647.923	4.136	78.03	$F_{\rm ST} = 0.780^{**}$
	Within populations	203	426.463	2.101	48.41		385	448.204	1.164	21.97	
By species	Among species	3	140.890	0.385	7.56	$F_{\rm CT} = 0.076^*$	3	208.638	0.209	4.76	$F_{\rm CT} = 0.048^{**}$
	Among populations	47	1295.303	2.720	53.33	$F_{\rm SC} = 0.577^{**}$	47	2746.810	3.337	76.12	$F_{\rm SC} = 0.799^{**}$
	Within populations	421	839.881	1.995	39.11	Pgk1 F-statistics d.f. SS VC PV (9 $F_{CT} = 0.053^{**}$ 2 704.066 1.095 23.53 $F_{SC} = 0.577^{**}$ 48 2251.382 2.719 58.45 $F_{ST} = 0.599^{**}$ 817 684.495 0.838 18.01 $F_{ST} = 0.775^{**}$ 10 559.867 3.123 86.74 $R_{ST} = 0.775^{**}$ 10 559.867 3.123 86.74 $R_{ST} = 0.472^{**}$ 14 43.593 0.144 19.43 $R_{ST} = 0.472^{**}$ 14 43.593 0.144 19.43 $R_{ST} = 0.516^{**}$ 24 1647.923 4.136 78.03 $R_{ST} = 0.516^{**}$ 24 1647.923 4.136 78.03 $R_{ST} = 0.076^{*}$ 3 208.638 0.209 4.76 $R_{ST} = 0.609^{**}$ 817 684.495 0.838 19.11 $F_{ST} = 0.525^{**}$ 10 356.539 2.021 65.39 $R_{ST} = 0.550^{**}$ 35 2	19.11	$F_{\rm ST} = 0.809^{**}$			
Lambhantha	Among populations	10	220.881	2.096	52.50	$F_{\rm ST} = 0.525^{**}$	10	356.539	2.021	65.39	$F_{\rm ST} = 0.654^{**}$
1. ambiyanina	Within populations	96	182.073	1.897	47.50		179	191.461	1.070	34.61	
T humanana	Among populations	35	921.163	2.587	55.02	$F_{\rm ST} = 0.550^{**}$	35	2235.144	3.645	82.65	$F_{\rm ST} = 0.826^{**}$
1. bungeunu	Within populations	301	636.697	2.115	44.98		Pgk1 d.f. SS VC 2 704.066 1.095 48 2251.382 2.719 817 684.495 0.838 10 559.867 3.123 185 88.322 0.477 14 43.593 0.144 247 147.968 0.599 24 1647.923 4.136 385 448.204 1.164 3 208.638 0.209 47 2746.810 3.337 817 684.495 0.838 10 356.539 2.021 179 191.461 1.070 35 2235.144 3.645 588 450.084 0.765 2 155.127 4.451 49 42.950 0.877 / / / / 20 155.127 4.451 49 42.950 0.877 // / / / <td>0.765</td> <td>17.35</td> <td></td>	0.765	17.35		
I cilucetnii	Among populations	2	153.259	8.417	90.54	$F_{\rm ST} = 0.905^{**}$	2	155.127	4.451	83.55	$F_{\rm ST} = 0.835^{**}$
I. silvestrii	Within populations	24	21.111	0.880	9.46		49	42.950	0.877	16.45	
I. ramulosissima	Among populations	1	1	/	/	1	/	/	1	1	1
1. Tumuiosissimu	Within populations	1	1	/	/	1	/	/	1	1	1
Total populations	Among populations	50	1436.193	2.891	59.17	$F_{\rm ST} = 0.592^{**}$	50	2955.448	3.429	80.36	$F_{\rm ST} = 0.804^{**}$
	Within populations	421	839.881	1.995	40.83		817	684.495	0.838	19.64	

Table 3. Hierarchical analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) of cpDNA and Pgk1 for Indigofera bungeana complex, partitioned by species and region, respectively. d.f., degree of freedom; SS, sum of squares; VC, variance of components; PV, percentage of variation *P < 0.01, **P < 0.001.

	Clade	Tajima's D (P- value)	Fu's F _s (P-value)	au (95% CI)	H _{Rag} (P- value)	SSD (P-value)	Expansion time (Ma)
	А	0.196 (0.513)	1.591 (0.794)	0.000 (0.000-0.506)	0.676 (0.890)	0.302 (0.000)	NC
	В	-0.021 (0.504)	4.586 (0.961)	0.021 (0.000-4.496)	0.053 (0.680)	0.058 (0.570)	NC
cpDNA	С	-2.096 (0.000)	-24.002 (0.000)	8.185 (5.779–11.267)	0.005 (0.400)	0.001 (0.310)	$t_{min} = 0.698$ (0.493-0.961), $t_{max} = 0.232$ (0.164-0.320)
	Ι	1.345 (0.868)	-1.013 (0.506)	0.326 (0.203–0.834)	0.010 (1.000)	0.445 (0.000)	NC
Pgk1	II	-0.105 (0.440)	1.920 (0.873)	11.896 (0.012–97.896)	0.292 (0.110)	0.106 (0.120)	NC
	III	-0.599 (0.306)	-21.123 (0.004)	2.605 (0.227-9.494)	0.012 (0.770)	0.009 (0.390)	0.060 (0.005-0.220)

Table 4. Mismatch distribution and neutrality tests for populations of clades of Indigofera bungeana complex. NC, not calculated; SSD, sum of squared deviations; H_{Rag} , Harpending's raggedness index. t_{min} : time estimated basing on the minimum cpDNA substitution rate $(1 \times 10^{-9} \text{ s s}^{-1} \text{y}^{-1})$. t_{max} : time estimated basing on the maximum cpDNA substitution rate $(3 \times 10^{-9} \text{ s s}^{-1} \text{y}^{-1})$.

and Tajima's D (C: D = -0.599, P = 0.306; III: D = -2.096, P < 0.001) values, indicated a historical demographic expansion within cpDNA clade C and Pgk1 clade III. Based on the corresponding τ , and assuming minimum and maximum substitution rates of 1.0×10^{-9} and 3.0×10^{-9} s s⁻¹y⁻¹, the expansion of clade C was estimated to have occurred at 698 (95% CI: 493-961) and 232 (95% CI: 164-320) thousand years ago (Kya), respectively. Bayesian skyline plots suggested that effective population size of the cpDNA clade C increased quickly (Fig. S7). The expansion for the Pgk1 clade III was estimated to have occurred 60 (95% CI: 5-220) Kya (Table 4).

Present and past distribution modelling. The AUC value for the current potential distribution of the I. bungeana complex was high (0.984), indicating a good predictive model performance. The projection of the model over present bioclimatic conditions shows a good habit suitability between 23°N and 45°N in East Asia (Fig. 5a). With 0.15 chosen as the threshold suitability, the CCSM (Fig. 5b) and MIROC (Fig. 5c) models yielded largely similar paleo-distributions in the LGM, while the MIROC inferred a more similar distribution range to the present day. However, the areas with high suitability (>0.60) were slightly decreased but significantly fragmented in both the CCSM and MIROC models compared with the present distribution, indicating possible habitat loss and fragmentation during the LGM.



Figure 5. Modelled climatically suitable areas for *Indigofera bungeana* complex at different times using Maxent. Niche model results were modified in ArcGIS version 10.0 (http://www.esri.com/software/arcgis/arcgis-for-desktop). (a) The present; the last glacial maximum (LGM: c. 21 ka BP) under the (b) CCSM and (c) MIROC models, and (d) the last interglacial (LIG: c. 130 ka BP). The logistic value of habit suitability is shown according to the color-scale bars.

Discussion

Pleistocene expansion. Chloroplast and nuclear datasets revealed high levels of genetic diversity of *I. bungeana* complex (Table 4). In addition, genetic differentiation among 'the assumed species' were very small, but extremely high among populations or regions using either cpDNA or nuclear DNA markers (Table 4). The small genetic differentiations seem not to support the previous taxonomic delimitations within this complex¹⁷. Relatively few haplotypes but high proportions of private haplotypes were detected across the investigated populations or regions in this study (Table 1). Most populations across the different regions are dominated by numerous private haplotypes although a few common haplotypes were also found between populations of the local regions (Figs 3 and 4).

This phylogeographic pattern may be better explained by the hypothesis that all examined populations have experienced a common expansion followed by the fast isolations²⁹. The star phylogeny of haplotypes, an evidence of common expansion, was also observed for both chloroplast and nuclear haplotypes (Figs 3 and 4). The BSP analysis, which is based on coalescent methods, revealed that the effective population sizes (N_e) increased in the early and middle Pleistocene. What's more, the results of mismatch distribution and neutral tests further supported the expansion hypothesis. All these available evidences seem to support that a common expansion have occurred within *I. bungeana* complex. The range expansions detected in cpDNA clade C and nuclear clade III were estimated to have occurred approximately between 60 and 961 Kya, in the early and middle Pleistocene. Although we could not pinpoint the expansion accurately, it is highly possible that climate change of the Quaternary might have facilitated this expansion³⁰. Some cold-tolerant plants, such as the species of the fir genus, expanded extensively and continuously in high-elevation regions during the Quaternary³¹⁻³⁴.

However, it remains unknown how the ancestral haplotypes disappeared in different regions/populations. It is highly likely that geographic isolations following the range expansions promoted the private haplotypes displaced the ancestral ones. Such scenarios were usually found for isolated species or populations^{35–37}. The Quaternary climate changes after the range expansions should have mainly accounted for such fixtures of the private haplotypes in the different regions and great among-regions differentiations. The limited seed and pollen dispersals of this specie complex may have also played an important role.

In situ survival in most distributions of the complex during the LGM. Although northern and southern China have never been covered by large ice sheets during the LGM, it is estimated that the climate was cooler by at least 7-10/4-6 °C and dryer by c. 200-300/400-600 mm yr⁻¹ than the present, respectively³⁸⁻⁴⁰. The Quaternary climate changes have strongly affected distribution and genetic diversity of the temperate plants in East Asia, resulted in experienced glacial southward migrations, or *in situ* glacial survival^{18, 26}. Species of the *I. bungeana* complex have high cold tolerance as well as drought tolerance with the most northward distributions between c. 23°N and 45°N in the genus⁴¹.

Most private haplotypes in this complex derived from the range expansion in the early and middle Pleistocene, earlier than the LGM. Our simulations of the distributions of the *I. bungeana* complex during the LGM also indicated that the distribution did not migrate southward significantly although the core distributions shrank, which is also consistent with the species with similar distribution in East Asia, such as *Juglans cathayensis*⁴², *Quercus variabilis*⁴³, and *Tetrastigma hemsleyanum*⁴⁴.

Given the above evidence, we tentatively suggested that the *I. bungeana* complex might have survived *in situ* or in multiple large refugia in response to the climate change of the LGM. However, this and other Quaternary climate oscillations might have together accelerated the regional isolations of the *I. bungeana* complex that promoted the fixture of the numerous private haplotypes. Our results seem to suggest not all woody species growing under the temperate deciduous forests in northern China migrated southward³. However, these climatic changes might have promoted the species or genetic differentiations of plants occurring in East Asia as suggested by Qian and Ricklefs².

Methods

Population sampling. A total of 472 individuals representing four species were collected from 51 populations, with 1–13 individuals per population (spaced at least 50 m apart), covering the whole range of the *I. bungeana* complex in China (see Supplementary Table S2). We tentatively ascribed all collected materials to four species names in the Flora of China¹⁷. Voucher specimens are deposited in Herbarium of Chengdu Institute of Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CDBI).

DNA extraction, amplification and sequencing. Total genomic DNA was extracted from silica-gel-dried leaves using the plant genomic DNA extraction kit (TIANGEN Biotech., Beijing, China). After preliminary screening of ten chloroplast fragments (i.e., atpF-atpH, rpl32-trnL, rps16-trnQ, ndhJ-trnF, matK, trnL-trnF, ndhC-trnV, trnD-trnT, ndhF-rpl32 and psbA-trnH) for the representative samples of *I. bungeana* complex, we chose two cpDNA intergenic spacer (IGS) regions (ndhJ-trnF and trnD-trnT)⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷ for the phylogeo-graphic study. In addition, Pgk1, a single-copy nuclear (scn) gene responsible for coding plastid phosphoglycerate kinase isoenzymes, was surveyed among 51 populations using two new primers (see Supplementary Table S3) designed on the basis of sequences obtained using the primers of Huang *et al.*⁴⁸. All the chlorotypes and nuclear haplotypes from *Indigofera bungeana* were deposited in GenBank under accession numbers (submitted).

All amplifications were performed in 25 μ L reactions containing 17 μ L deionized sterile water, 1.5 μ L of 25 mM MgCl₂, 2.5 μ L Taq reaction buffer, 2 μ L of 2.5 mM dNTP, 0.5 μ L of each primer at 10 pmol mL⁻¹, 0.5 μ L (2.5 unit) Taq DNA polymerase (TIANGEN, Beijing, China), and 0.5 μ L genomic DNA (10–50 ng). The PCR amplifications were performed as follows: initial denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min, followed by 33 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 45 s, annealing (54 °C, 30 s for *ndh*J-*trn*F and *trn*D-*trn*T; 58 °C, 30 s for *Pgk1*), and extension at 72 °C (1 min for *ndh*J-*trn*F; 90 s for *trn*D-*trn*T; 45 s for *Pgk1*), and a final extension at 72 °C for 7 min prior to holding at 12 °C forever. PCR products were purified using an E.Z.N.A gel extraction kit (OMEGA, Biotech., USA). The purified PCR products were sequenced by Life TechnologiesTM (Shanghai, China).

Population genetic analyses. Sequences were assembled and edited with Sequencher 4.1 (Gene Codes, Ann Arbor, MI), aligned using Clustal X 1.81^{49} and subsequent manual adjustments. Nuclear (*Pgk1*) allelic phases were resolved using the algorithm of PHASE²⁷ implemented in DnaSP 5.0^{50} , using 1,000 iterations with a 1,000 generation burn-in iterations and a thinning interval of 10. Indels were treated as single mutation events and coded as substitution (A or T). Haplotypes of cpDNA (chlorotypes) and *Pgk1* were recognized using DNASP 5.0^{50} . Genealogical relationships among chlorotypes and nuclear (*Pgk1*) haplotypes were constructed using a statistical parsimony algorithm⁵¹ as implemented in Network v. 4.6 (http://fluxus-engineering.com).

Population gene diversity ($H_{\rm S}$, $H_{\rm T}$) and between-population differentiation ($G_{\rm ST}$, $N_{\rm ST}$) were estimated using PERMUT⁵² with the 1,000 permutations test. A higher $N_{\rm ST}$ than $G_{\rm ST}$ usually indicates the presence of phylogeographic structure, that is, the more frequent occurrence of closely related haplotypes in the same area than less closely related haplotypes⁵². A comparison was made between $N_{\rm ST}$ and $G_{\rm ST}$ using the *U*-statistics test.

The spatial analysis of molecular variance (SAMOVA) was conducted using SAMOVA 1.0^{53} to define the groups of populations that are geographically homogeneous and maximally differentiated. The SAMOVA analysis was conducted with the number of groups (*K*) ranging from 2 to 20. To verify the consistency, we ran the analysis five times for each *K* value with 1,000 independent iterations, starting from 100 random initial conditions. We assessed the optimal *K* as the one for which F_{CT} (i.e., the genetic variance owing to divergences between groups) was the highest and significant.

Hierarchical analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) was performed in ARLEQUIN 3.1⁵⁴ to estimate the partition of genetic variance among groups, within and among populations. In the AMOVA analysis, populations were partitioned by geography or species, respectively. Geographical groups were obtained from SAMOVA analysis. If the SAMOVA analysis was unable to detect suitable groups, populations were grouped following Wu & Wu²⁸.

Phylogenetic analyses and divergence time estimation. Two species of *Indigofera (I. szechuensis* Craib and *I. lenticellata* Craib) were chosen as outgroups in the phylogenetic analyses according to the phylogenetic analyses of *Indigofera* (our unpublished results). Phylogenetic relationships of the chlorotypes and nuclear (*Pgk1*) haplotypes were reconstructed with Neighbor-joining (NJ) and Bayesian inference (BI) methods, using MEGA 5.05^{55} and MrBayes 3.1^{56} , respectively. In the NJ analysis, we used the Kimura's 2-parameter model⁵⁷. Confidence values at the nodes were tested by performing 1,000 bootstrap replicates. Prior to BI analyses, the optimal nucleotide substitution model was determined using jModeltest $2.1.2^{58}$ via the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC)⁵⁹. The TVM + I + G model (cpDNA) and GTR + I + G model (*Pgk1*) were selected as the best-fit models. Four Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) chains were run for 20,000,000 generations, starting from random trees and sampling one tree per 1,000 generations with the first 4,000,000 samples discarded as burn-in. The program Tracer 1.5^{60} was used to check the parameter convergence and effective sample size. A 50% majority-rule consensus tree was summarized with posterior probabilities as nodal support.

A likelihood-ratio test⁶¹ in PAUP 4.10b⁶² suggested that the chloroplast and nuclear datasets rejected a strict molecular clock (P < 0.01), therefore we used a relaxed molecular clock. Divergence times between the chloroplast and nuclear haplotype clades were estimated under a Bayesian approach⁶³ in BEAST 1.6.2⁶⁴. As there is no fossil record of *Indigofera*, we adopted a substitution rate method. The cpDNA substitution rates for most angiosperm species have been estimated to vary between 1.0×10^{-9} and 3.0×10^{-9} substitutions per site per year (s s⁻¹y⁻¹)⁶⁵. Given the uncertainties of these rate values in *Indigofera*, we used a minimum (1.0×10^{-9} s s⁻¹y⁻¹), mean (2.0×10^{-9} s s⁻¹y⁻¹) and maximum (3.0×10^{-9} s s⁻¹y⁻¹) substitution rate, respectively. For *Pgk1*, we adopted a nucleotide substitution rate of 13.6×10^{-9} s s⁻¹y⁻¹ according to Huang *et al.*⁶⁶.

Demographic analyses. To test the assumption of selective neutrality, we performed Tajima's D^{67} and Fu's F_8^{68} tests, which are expected to show significant negative values under population expansion and positive under a population bottleneck. A mismatch distribution analysis⁶⁹ (MDA) was also conducted to explore the demographic history of major chlorotypes and nuclear (*Pgk1*) haplotype clades. Populations that have experienced expansion are expected to have a unimodal shape, whereas stable populations are expected to have a bi- or multi-modal mismatch distribution. The goodness-of-fit was assessed by the sum of squared deviations (*SSD*), Harpending's raggedness index⁷⁰ (H_{Rag}) and 95% confidence interval (CI) around τ under a sudden-expansion model. Statistical significance was determined by 1,000 bootstrap replicates. These analyses were conducted using ARLEQUIN 3.1⁵⁸. To obtain estimates of changes in demographic growth over the history of major clades, the historical demographic dynamics of the *I. bungeana* complex were inferred from Bayesian skyline plot (BSP) analyses using BEAST 1.6.2⁶⁴. Linear and stepwise models were explored using an uncorrelated lognormal relaxed clock. Runs consisted of 50,000,000 generations, with trees sampled every 1000 generations. The BSP was visualized in the program Tracer version 1.5, which summarizes the posterior distribution of population size over time.

Present and past distribution modelling. We used the maximum entropy modelling implemented in MAXENT $3.3.3k^{71}$ to infer the potential geographic range of the *I. bungeana* complex at the present, the LGM (ca. 21 ka) and last interglacial (LIG, ca. 130 ka) based on the bioclimatic layers downloaded from the WorldClim database⁷² (http://www.worldclim.org) at 2.5-arcmin resolution. The paleo-climatic conditions during the LIG were simulated by Community Climate System Model⁷³ (CCSM), while we used two available models for the LGM: CCSM and MIROC⁷⁴. Distribution records of the species of *I. bungeana* complex were sourced from the database of GBIF (http://www.gbif.org/) and Chinese Virtual Herbarium (http://www.cvh.org.cn), as well as our own field collections. After initial screening for duplicates and records aggregation into a 2.5 resolution raster, 181 unique records were used. Highly correlated variables (r > 0.7) were excluded, and we ultimately selected five bioclimatic variables (i.e., annual mean temperature, temperature seasonality, mean temperature of driest quarter, precipitation of wettest month, and precipitation of warmest quarter). To statistically evaluate model performance, we used the area under the "Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) Curve"⁷⁵ (AUC), a threshold-independent measure of model performance as compared to null expectations.

References

- 1. Hewitt, G. M. Genetic consequences of climatic oscillations in the Quaternary. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B: Biol. Sci.* **359**, 183–195 (2004).
- Qian, H. & Ricklefs, R. E. Large-scale processes and the Asian bias in species diversity of temperate plants. Nature 407, 180–182 (2000).
- 3. Harrison, S. P., Yu, G., Takahara, H. & Prentice, I. C. Diversity of temperate plants in east Asia. Nature 413, 129-130 (2001).
- Tian, B. et al. Phylogeographic analyses suggest that a deciduous species (Ostryopsis davidiana Decne., Betulaceae) survived in northern China during the Last Glacial Maximum. J. Biogeogr. 36, 2148–2155 (2009).
- 5. Zeng, Y. F., Wang, W. T., Liao, W. J., Wang, H. F. & Zhang, D. Y. Multiple glacial refugia for cool-temperate deciduous trees in northern East Asia: the Mongolian oak as a case study. *Mol. Ecol.* 24, 5676–5691 (2015).
- Wang, Y. H. *et al.* Molecular phylogeography and ecological niche modelling of a widespread herbaceous climber, *Tetrastigma hemsleyanum* (Vitaceae): insights into Plio-Pleistocene range dynamics of evergreen forest in subtropical China. *New Phytol.* 206, 852–867 (2015).
- Qiu, Y. X. et al. Molecular phylogeography of East Asian Kirengeshoma in relation to Quaternary climate change and land-bridge configurations. New Phytol. 183, 480–495 (2009).
- 8. Peng, Y. L. *et al.* Phylogeographic analysis of the fir species in southern China suggests complex origin and genetic admixture. *Ann. Forest Sci.* **69**, 409–416 (2012).
- 9. Fan, D. M. et al. Idiosyncratic responses of evergreen broad-leaved forest constituents in China to the late Quaternary climate changes. Sci. Rep. 6, 31044 (2016).
- Tian *et al.* Repeated range expansions and inter-/postglacial recolonization routes of Sargentodoxa cuneata (Oliv.) Rehd. et Wils. (Lardizabalaceae) in subtropical China revealed by chloroplast phylogeography. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 85, 238–246 (2015).
- 11. Gong *et al.* From glacial refugia to wide distribution range: demographic expansion of *Loropetalum chinense* (Hamamelidaceae) in Chinese subtropical evergreen broadleaved forest. *Org. Divers. Evol.* **16**, 23–38 (2016).
- 12. Wang, L. Y., Ikeda, H., Liu, T. L., Wang, Y. J. & Liu, J. Q. Repeated range expansion and glacial endurance of *Potentilla glabra* (Rosaceae) in the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. J. Integr. Plant Biol. 51, 698–706 (2009).
- 13. Sun, Y. S., Ikeda, H., Wang, Y. J. & Liu, J. Q. Phylogeography of *Potentilla fruticosa* (Rosaceae) in the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau revisited: a reappraisal and new insights. *Plant Ecol. Diver.* **3**, 249–257 (2010).
- Ma, Y. Z. et al. Phylogeography of the genus Dasiphora (Rosaceae) in the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau: divergence blurred by expansion. Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 111, 777–788 (2014).
- Zhang, Q., Chiang, T. Y., George, M., Liu, J. Q. & Abbott, R. J. Phylogeography of the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau endemic Juniperus przewalskii (Cupressaceae) inferred from chloroplast DNA sequence variation. Mol. Ecol. 14, 3513–3524 (2005).
- Meng, L. H. et al. Mitochondrial and chloroplast phylogeography of Picea crassifolia Kom. (Pinaceae) in the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau and adjacent highlands. Mol. Ecol. 16, 4128–4137 (2007).
- 17. Gao, X. F. & Schrire, B. D. Flora of China, vol. 10 (ed. Wu, Z. Y. & Raven, P. H.) 137–164 (Science Press, Beijing and Missouri Botanical Garden Press, St. Louis, Missouri, 2010).

- Liu, J. Q., Sun, Y. S., Ge, X. J., Gao, L. M. & Qiu, Y. X. Phylogeographic studies of plants in China: advances in the past and directions in the future. J. Syst. Evol. 50, 267–275 (2012).
- 19. Avise, J. C. Phylogeography: retrospect and prospect. J. Biogeogr. 36, 3-15 (2009).
- Taberlet, P., Fumagalli, L., Wust-Saucy, A. G. & Cosson, J. F. Comparative phylogeography and postglacial colonization routes in Europe. Mol. Ecol. 7, 453–464 (1998).
- 21. Wares, J. P. & Cunningham, C. W. Phylogeography and historical ecology of the North Atlantic intertidal. *Evolution* 55, 2455–2469 (2001).
- 22. Petit, R. J. et al. Glacial refugia: hotspots but not melting pots of genetic diversity. Science 300, 1563-1565 (2003).
- Zhang, D. X. & Hewitt, G. M. Nuclear DNA analyses in genetic studies of populations: practice, problems and prospects. *Mol. Ecol.* 12, 563–584 (2003).
- Huang, Z. S., Ji, Y. J. & Zhang, D. X. Haplotype reconstruction for scnp DNA: a consensus vote approach with extensive sequence data from populations of the migratory locust (*Locusta migratoria*). Mol. Ecol. 17, 1930–1947 (2008).
- Ryan, C. G., Paul, S. & Rodney, J. D. Nuclear gene phylogeography using PHASE: dealing with unresolved genotypes, lost alleles, and systematic bias in parameter estimation. BMC Evol. Biol. 10, 118 (2010).
- Qiu, Y. X., Fu, C. X. & Comes, H. P. Plant molecular phylogeography in China and adjacent regions: tracing the genetic imprints of Quaternary climate and environmental change in the world's most diverse temperate flora. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 59, 225–244 (2011).
- Stephens, M. & Donelly, P. A comparison of Bayesian methods for haplotype reconstruction from population genotype data. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 73, 1162–1169 (2003).
- Wu, Z. Y. & Wu, S. G. A proposal for a new floristic kingdom (realm): the E. Asiatic Kingdom, its delineation and characteristics in Floristic characteristics and diversity of East Asian plants (eds Zhang, A. L. & Wu, S. G.) 3–42 (China Higher Education Press/ Springer, Beijing/Berlin, 1998).
- Echt, C. S., DeVerno, L. L., Anzidei, M. & Vendramin, G. G. Chloroplast microsatellites reveal population genetic diversity in red pine, *Pinus resinosa* Ait. *Mol. Ecol.* 7, 307–316 (1998).
- 30. Yi, C. L., Cui, Z. J. & Xiong, H. G. Numerical periods of Quaternary glaciations in China. Quat. Sci 25, 609-619 (2005).
- Li, W. Y. & Wu, H. F. A palynological investigation on the Late Tertiary and Early Quaternary and its significance in the palaeogeographical study in central Yunnan. Acta Geogr. Sin. 33, 142–155 (1978).
- 32. Wang, W. M. Palynofloristic changes in the Neogene of south China. Acta Micropalaeontolog Sin 9, 81-95 (1992).
- Xiang, X. G., Cao, M. & Zhou, Z. K. Fossil history and modern distribution of the genus Abies (Pinaceae). Front. For. China 2, 355-365 (2007).
- 34. Peng, Y. L. *et al.* Range expansion during the Pleistocene drove morphological radiation of the fir genus (*Abies*, Pinaceae) in the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau and Himalayas. *Bot. J. Linn. Soc.* **179**, 444–453 (2015).
- Juan, A., Crespo, M. B., Cowan, R. S., Lexer, C. & Fay, M. F. Patterns of variability and gene flow in *Medicago citrina*, an endangered endemic of islands in the western Mediterranean, as revealed by amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP). *Mol. Ecol.* 13, 2679–2690 (2004).
- Barbará, T., Martinelli, G., Fay, M. F., Mayo, S. J. & Lexer, C. Population differentiation and species cohesion in two closely related plants adapted to neotropical high-altitude 'inselbergs', *Alcantarea imperialis* and *Alcantarea geniculata* (Bromeliaceae). *Mol. Ecol.* 16, 1981–1992 (2007).
- 37. Pinheiro, F. *et al.* Rock outcrop orchids reveal the genetic connectivity and diversity of inselbergs of northeastern Brazil. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **14**, 49 (2014).
- 38. Zhao, S. Physical geography of China (ed. Zhao, S.) 1-209 (Science Press, Beijing, China, 1986).
- 39. Sun, X. J. & Chen, Y. S. Palynological records of the last 11,000 yr in China. Quat. Sci. Rev. 10, 537-545 (1991).
- Zhou, Y., Qiu, G. & Guo, D. Changes of permafrost in China during Quaternary. Quaternary Geology and Environment in China (ed. Liu, T. S.) 86–94 (Science Press, Beijing, 1991).
- Yi, G. P., Chen, S. L., Xiao, X. H. & Chen, S. Y. The numerical analysis of the distribution patterns and exploration of the resources of Chinese Indigofera. Guihaia 12, 22–32 (1992).
- Bai, W. N., Wang, W. T. & Zhang, D. Y. Contrasts between the phylogeographic patterns of chloroplast and nuclear DNA highlight a role for pollen-mediated gene flow in preventing population divergence in an East Asian temperate tree. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 81, 37–48 (2014).
- Chen, D. M. et al. Phylogeography of Quercus variabilis based on chloroplast DNA sequence in East Asia: multiple glacial refugia and mainland-migrated island populations. PLoS ONE 7(10), e47268 (2012).
- Wang, Y. H. et al. Molecular phylogeography and ecological niche modelling of a widespread herbaceous climber, *Tetrastigma hemsleyanum* (Vitaceae): insights into Plio–Pleistocene range dynamics of evergreen forest in subtropical China. New Phytol. 206, 852–867 (2015).
- Taberlet, P., Gielly, L., Pautou, G. & Bouvet, J. Universal primers for amplification of three non-coding regions of chloroplast DNA. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 17, 1105–1109 (1991).
- Demesure, B., Sodzi, N. & Petit, R. J. A set of universal primers for amplification of polymorphic non-coding regions of mitochondrial and chloroplast DNA in plants. *Mol. Ecol.* 4, 129–134 (1995).
- Shaw, J., Lickey, E. B., Schilling, E. E. & Small, R. L. Comparison of whole chloroplast genome sequences to choose noncoding regions for phylogenetic studies in angiosperms: The tortoise and the hare III. Am. J. Bot. 94, 275–288 (2007).
- Huang, S. X. et al. Genes encoding plastid acetyl-CoA carboxylase and 3-phosphoglycerate kinase of the Triticum/Aegilops complex and the evolutionary history of polyploidy wheat. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 99, 8133–8138 (2002).
- Thompson, J. D., Gibson, T. J., Plewniak, F., Jeanmougin, F. & Higgins, D. G. The CLUSTAL_X windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. *Nucleic Acids Res* 25, 4876–4882 (1997).
- Librado, P. & Rozas, J. DNASP v5: a software for comprehensive analysis of DNA polymorphism data. *Bioinformatics* 25, 1451–1452 (2009).
- 51. Templeton, A. R., Crandall, K. A. & Sing, C. F. A cladistic analysis of phenotypic associations with haplotypes inferred from restriction endonuclease mapping and DNA sequence data. III. Cladogram estimation. *Genetics* **132**, 619–633 (1992).
- 52. Pons, O. & Petit, R. J. Measuring and testing genetic differentiation with ordered versus unordered alleles. *Genetics* 144, 1237–1245 (1996).
- Dupanloup, I., Schneider, S. & Excoffier, L. A simulated annealing approach to define the genetic structure of populations. *Mol. Ecol* 11, 2571–2581 (2002).
- Excoffier, L., Laval, G. & Schneider, S. ARLEQUIN (version 3.0), an integrated software package for population genetic data analysis. Evol. Bioinform. Online 1, 47–50 (2005).
- Tamura, K. et al. MEGA5: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. Mol. Biol. Evol. 28, 2731–2739 (2011).
- Ronquist, F. & Huelsenbeck, J. P. MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. *Bioinformatics* 19, 1572–1574 (2003).
- 57. Kimura, M. A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. J. Mol. Evol. 16, 111–120 (1980).

- Darriba, D., Taboada, G. L., Doallo, R. & Posada, D. jModelTest 2: more models, new heuristics and parallel computing. Nat. Methods 9, 772 (2012).
- 59. Akaike, H. A new look at the statistical model identification. IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr. 19, 716–723 (1974).
- Rambaut, A. & Drummond, A. J. Tracer v1.5. Available from: http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/Tracer (accessed: 25 December 2012) (2009).
 Huelsenbeck, J. P. & Rannala, B. Phylogenetic methods come of age: testing hypotheses in an evolutionary context. *Science* 276, 227–232 (1997)
- Swofford, D. L. PAUP* Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony* (and Other Methods). Version 4. (Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts, 1998).
- 63. Drummond, A. J., Ho, S. Y., Phillips, M. J. & Rambaut, A. Relaxed phylogenetics and dating with confidence. *PLoS Biol.* 4, e88 (2006).
- 64. Drummond, A. J. & Rambaut, A. BEAST: Bayesian evolutionary analysis by sampling trees. BMC Evol. Biol. 7, 214 (2007).
- Wolfe, K. H., Li, W. H. & Sharp, P. M. Rates of nucleotide substitution vary greatly among plant mitochondrial, chloroplast, and nuclear DNAs. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 84, 9054–9058 (1987).
- 66. Huang, S. X. *et al.* Phylogenetic analysis of the acetyl-CoA carboxylase and 3-phosphoglycerate kinase loci in wheat and other grasses. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **48**, 805–820 (2002).
- 67. Tajima, F. Statistical method for testing the neutral mutation hypothesis by DNA polymorphism. *Genetics* **123**, 585–595 (1989).
- Fu, Y. X. Statistical tests of neutrality of mutations against population growth, hitchhiking and background selection. *Genetics* 147, 915–925 (1997).
- 69. Rogers, A. R. & Harpending, H. Population growth makes waves in the distribution of pairwise genetic differences. *Mol Biol Evol* 9, 552–569 (1992).
- Harpending, H. C. Signature of ancient population growth in a low-resolution mitochondrial DNA mismatch distribution. *Hum. Biol.* 66, 591–600 (1994).
- Phillips, S. J., Anderson, R. P. & Schapire, R. E. Maximum entropy modeling of species geographic distributions. *Ecol. Modell.* 190, 231–259 (2006).
- Hijmans, R. J., Cameron, S. E., Parra, J. L., Jones, P. G. & Jarvis, A. Very high resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. Int. J. Climatol. 25, 1965–1978 (2005).
- 73. Collins, W. D. *et al.* The Community Climate System Model version 3 (CCSM3). *J. Clim.* **19**, 2122–2143, doi:10.1175/Jcli3761.1 (2006).
- 74. Otto-Bliesner, B. L. *et al.* Simulating Arctic climate warmth and icefield retreat in the Last Interglaciation. *Science* **311**, 1751–1753 (2006).
- 75. Fawcett, T. An introduction to ROC analysis. Pattern Recognition Letters 27, 861-874 (2006).

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Jian-Quan Liu (Sichuan University), David E Boufford (Harvard University) and Zhong-Hu Li (Northwest University) for their constructive comments on the manuscript. Special thanks to Chun-Qiao Peng, Wen-Bin Ju and Yi-Hua Tong for their help in the fieldwork. This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant nos 31370230, 31670192, 31200169 and 31570196), and Project of Platform Construction for Plant Resources of Sichuan Province (2016TJPT0001-3) to Xin-Fen Gao.

Author Contributions

X.L.Z. and X.F.G. conceived and designed the study. X.L.Z., X.F.G. and Z.M.Z. contributed samples. X.F.G. funded the reagents. X.L.Z. performed the experiments. X.L.Z., Z.M.Z., Y.D.G. and B.X. analysed the data. X.L.Z. and X.F.G. wrote the manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at doi:10.1038/s41598-017-00613-x

Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2017