RHEUMATOLOGY

Letter to the Editor (Case report)

Rheumatology 2020;59:e95-e98 doi:10.1093/rheumatology/keaa281 Advance Access Publication 27 July 2020

Tofacitinib in patients with refractory Takayasu's arteritis

Rheumatology key message

 Tofacitinib may be an alternative for the treatment of refractory TAK.

DEAR EDITOR, Takayasu's arteritis (TAK) is a systemic vasculitis with a relapse rate of up to 70%, especially during the course of glucocorticoid (GC) tapering. Combination of GC-sparing agents early in the treatment is the general management principle in clinical practice. Conventional synthetic disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (cs-DMARDs) are effective in most cases. However, some TAK patients are refractory to all currently available cs-DMARDs. For these refractory patients, biologics including tocilizumab (TCZ) and TNF-inhibitor are recommended as alternatives based on the EULAR recommendations [1]. Nonetheless, there are still some patients who fail to respond to biologics. Tofacitinib, a Janus kinase (JAK) inhibitor, was demonstrated in an animal model of large vessel vasculitis by Zhang et al. recently to be effective in inhibiting vessel inflammation [2]. Therefore, we hypothesized that tofacitinib may be effective in treating TAK and we investigated the efficacy and safety of tofacitinib in five refractory Chinese TAK patients.

From August 2018, five consecutive patients with refractory TAK were treated with tofacitinib at a dose of 5 mg twice daily. All patients failed to achieve remission despite standard therapy including glucocorticoid, cs-DMARDs and biologics (i.e. TCZ). The patients had evidence of active disease including fever, carotidynia, myalgia, arthralgia, aggravated hypertension, iritis and persistent elevated inflammatory biomarkers when being treated with tofacitinib. In four patients, reduction of prednisone dosage to <15 mg daily was unsuccessful and pulse methylprednisolone therapy failed in one patient. These patients were followed at baseline, 1 month, then every 3 months after they were treated with tofacitinib to evaluate the efficacy and safety of the therapy. Their clinical symptoms, signs, laboratory tests and serial vascular Doppler imaging examinations were carried out as evidence for comprehensive disease activity evaluation at each follow-up visit (Table 1).

The demographic data, baseline clinical manifestations, angiographic involvements and medication history of these patients are shown in Table 1. The follow-up

time of these five patients ranged from 6 months to 18 months. Patient 1 was followed-up for over 18 months. In patients 1, 3, 4 and 5, clinical symptoms suggesting active disease, including fever, carotidynia, myalgia, arthralgia and iritis disappeared after 4 weeks treatment with tofacitinib. Two observations were made in the process. Firstly, the ESR and high-sensitive CRP (hs-CRP) returned to normal range in patients 1, 3, 4 and 5. Secondly, the improvement and stabilizations of artery stenosis and mural thickness were observed by vascular Doppler in patients 1, 3 and 4 (Supplementary Table S1, available at Rheumatology online). Reduction of prednisone dosage from 15 mg to 10 mg daily in patient 1 and from 27.5 mg to 10 mg daily in patient 5 were successful. In patients 3, 4 and 5, dosage of prednisone was maintained at 10 mg or 15 mg daily during their use of tofacitinib. Our target maintenance dosage of prednisone is 10 mg daily in concord with the recommendation of EULAR [3]. Further medication adjustment of patients with persistent remission would be discussed with patients after comprehensive angiography evaluation, considering both high-cost and side-effect of immunosuppressive agents. The usage of tofacitinib in patient 1 was continued for >18 months with sustained inactive disease. No adverse event was observed during the follow-up period. In particular, complete blood counts, renal and liver function remained within normal range, and no patient developed bacterial or viral infection (such as herpes zoster).

The treatment of TAK is a big challenge due to high relapse rate. Although high dosage corticosteroid can always control recurrence or flare, maintenance therapy is very challenging. EULAR recommends early administration of a GC-sparing drug in TAK [3]. Efficacy of cs-DMARDs (including methotrexate, LEF, mycophenolate mofetil, azathioprine, CYC) and TNF inhibitors in TAK were reported only in retrospective case series and uncontrolled studies. Although the TCZ trial failed to meet the primary end points, signals for efficacy were seen and long-term extension data published recently indicate GC-sparing effect [4]. There is no high-quality evidence showing superiority of biologics over cs-DMARDs in TAK. cs-DMARD was recommended to be combined with corticosteroid as the initial therapy when the diagnosis of TAK was made, and biologicals (TNF-inhibitor or TCZ) were used as the second line agents in relapsing patients [1].

Tofacitinib is a JAK/signal transducer and activator (STAT)-dependent pathway inhibitor. It might be effective in treating autoimmune disease by suppressing the pathogenic Th1, Th2 and Th17 cells, activated dendritic cells and B cells [5]. Zhang et al. researchers had demonstrated in a mouse model of GCA that tofacitinib could suppress innate and adaptive immunity in the

TABLE 1 Clinical manifestations in patients receiving tofacitinib with refractory Takayasu's arteritis at baseline and during follow-up

	Patient 1	Patient 2	Patient 3	Patient 4	Patient 5
Gender At haseline	Female	Female	Female	Female	Female
Age – years Disease duration – months	29 51	23 66	19 4	22 18	17 23
Symptoms and/or signs suggesting active disease	Fever	Aggravated hypertension	Fever, carotidynia, arthralgia, myalgia	Carotidynia	Iritis (New occurrence of stenosis and vessel thickeness of left CCA)
Vessel lesions Stenosis	Rt-CCA, Rt-SCA, Lt-SCA, Rt-AxA	Rt-CCA, Lt-CCA, Lt-SCA, Lt-VA, BCT, Ao-Arch, Tho-Ao, Abd-Ao, SMA, IMA, CA, Lt-RA	Lt-CCA, Rt-CCA, Lt-SCA	Lt-SCA	Lt-CCA
Occlusion	Rt-SCA, Rt-VA	I	ı	ı	ı
Thickened vessel wall	Rt-CCA, Lt-CCA, Rt-SCA, Lt-SCA, Rt-AxA, Lt- AxA,Abd-Ao	Rt-CCA, Lt-CCA, Lt-SCA, Lt-VA, BCT, Ao-Arch, Tho-Ao, Abd-Ao, SMA, CA, Lt-RA	Rt-CCA, Lt-CCA, Rt-SCA, Lt-SCA, Lt-AxA, Ao-Arch	Rt-CCA, Lt-CCA, Rt-SCA, Lt-SCA	Lt-CCA
Numano' angiographic classification	>	>	lla	_	_
Pulse methylprednisolone therapy treatment before tofacitinib	Yes	No	ON	ON	ON
Immunosuppressant drugs before tofacitinib	MTX, CYC, AZA, MMF, LEF, tacrolimus, tocilizumab	MTX, MMF, LEF, tocilizumab	CYC, tocilizumab	MMF, MTX, AZA	MMF, MTX, tocilizumab
Prednisone – mg/day	15	15	15	10	27.5
ESR – mm/1st hr	06	29	82	70	16
Hs-CRP - mg/l	91.8	22.2	180.1	129.25	19.2
<i>During follow-up</i> Prednisone – mg/dav					
Last visit	10	15	15	10	10
ESR – mm/1st hr					
1 month	34	32	74	40	2
3 months	18	21	31	8	3
6 months	8	27	16	2 ^a	6 ^a
12 months	4	I	I	I	I
18 months	5^{a}	1	1	1	1
Hs-CRP – mg/l					
1 month	18.4	35.5	56.7	17.46	0.07
3 months	10.2	34.2	6.3	0.97	4.08
6 months	1.2	38.8	0.8	<1.2ª	0.3 ^a
					(continued)

(continued)

Patient 5 Possible Patient 4 Yes Patient 3 Yesb Patient 2 Resistent -1 Patient 1 6.5 1.3^a Yes Response towards tofacitinib **TABLE 1 Continued** 12 months 18 months

arteritis (TAK) at baseline and follow-up were shown. During use to normal ranges in these patients. Dosage of Ao: aorta; AxA: axillary RA: renal artery; common carotid artery; Hs-CRP: high-sensitive CRP; IMA: inferior mesenteric artery; Lt: left; and the inflammatory markers returned of tofacitinib ceased The demographic data and clinical characteristics of five patients receiving tofacitinib with refractory Takayasu's 3, 4 and 5, 5, aTested in local laboratory, in patient 1, of tofacitinib, symptoms suggesting active disease disappeared 1 and CA: celiac axis; CCA: patient subclavian artery; SMA: superior mesenteric .⊑ prednisone was reduced to 10 mg artery; BCT: brachiocephalic trunk;

vessel wall. The production of IFN-gamma, IL-17 and IL-21 was decreased. In addition, adventitia microvascular angiogenesis was suppressed and the hyperplastic intima growth was relieved. The amount of tissue-resident memory T cells in the vessel wall was also reduced by tofacitinib in their study [2]. Recently, two patients with refractory TAK were reported with good response to tofacitinib [6, 7], while two other patients were resistant to it [8]. These case reports demonstrated signals for possible efficacy of tofacitinib in some refractory TAK patients.

The result of our observation is promising, but a prospective clinical trial is required to further investigate the efficacy and safety of tofacitinib in the treatment of refractory TAK. The major limitations of this report are lack of repeated examination of CT angiography, relative short follow-up period, and no reduction of glucocorticoid dosage in three patients.

Funding: No specific funding was received from any funding bodies in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors to carry out the work described in this manuscript.

Disclosure statement: The authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at Rheumatology online.

Jing Li¹, Mengtao Li⁰, Xinping Tian⁰ and Xiaofeng Zeng¹

¹Department of Rheumatology, Peking Union Medical College Hospital, Peking Union Medical College and Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, National Clinical Research Center for Dermatologic and Diseases (NCRC-DID), Beijing, China

Accepted 27 April 2020

Correspondence to: Xinping Tian, Department of Rheumatology, Peking Union Medical College Hospital, Peking Union Medical College and Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, National Clinical Research Center for Dermatologic and Immunologic Diseases (NCRC-DID), No.1 Shuai-Fu-Yuan, Wangfujing Street, Dongcheng District, Beijing 100730, P. R. China. E-mail: tianxp6@126.com

References

- 1 Hellmich B, Agueda A, Monti S et al. 2018 Update of the EULAR recommendations for the management of large vessel vasculitis. Ann Rheum Dis 2020;79: 19–30.
- 2 Zhang H, Watanabe R, Berry GJ et al. Inhibition of JAK-STAT signaling suppresses pathogenic immune responses in medium and large vessel vasculitis. Circulation 2018;137:1934–48.
- 3 Comarmond C, Biard L, Lambert M *et al.* Long-term outcomes and prognostic factors of complications in Takayasu arteritis: a multicenter study of 318 patients. Circulation 2017;136:1114–22.

https://academic.oup.com/rheumap

- 4 Nakaoka Y, Isobe M, Takei S *et al.* Efficacy and safety of tocilizumab in patients with refractory Takayasu arteritis: results from a randomised, double-blind, placebocontrolled, phase 3 trial in Japan (the TAKT study). Ann Rheum Dis 2018;77:348–54.
- 5 Tanaka Y. Recent progress and perspective in JAK inhibitors for rheumatoid arthritis: from bench to bedside. J Biochem 2015;158:173–9.
- 6 Sato S, Matsumoto H, Temmoku J et al. A case of Takayasu arteritis complicated by refractory ulcerative
- colitis successfully treated with tofacitinib. Rheumatology 2020;59:1773–5.
- 7 Yamamura Y, Matsumoto Y, Asano Y *et al.* Refractory Takayasu arteritis responding to the oral Janus kinase inhibitor, tofacitinib. Rheumatol Adv Pract 2020;4: rkz050.
- 8 Palermo A, Marvisi C, Casali M *et al.* Tofacitinib for the treatment of refractory Takayasu's arteritis: description of 2 cases. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2020;38 Suppl 124(2): 234–35.

e98