



Draft Genome Sequences of Two Avian Pathogenic *Escherichia coli* Strains of Clinical Importance, E44 and E51

Troels Ronco,^a Marc Stegger,^b Paal S. Andersen,^b Karl Pedersen,^a Lili Li,^c Ida C. N. Thøfner,^d Rikke H. Olsen^d

National Veterinary Institute, Technical University of Denmark, Frederiksberg, Denmark^a; Department of Microbiology and Infection Control, Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark^b; College of Light Industry and Food Sciences, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, Guangdong, People's Republic of China^c; Department of Veterinary Disease Biology, University of Copenhagen, Frederiksberg, Denmark^d

Avian pathogenic *Escherichia coli* strains have remarkable impacts on animal welfare and the production economy in the poultry industry worldwide. Here, we present the draft genomes of two isolates from chickens (E44 and E51) obtained from field outbreaks and subsequently investigated for their potential for use in autogenous vaccines for broiler breeders.

Received 8 June 2016 Accepted 10 June 2016 Published 4 August 2016

Citation Ronco T, Stegger M, Andersen PS, Pedersen K, Li L, Thøfner ICN, Olsen RH. 2016. Draft genome sequences of two avian pathogenic *Escherichia coli* strains of clinical importance, E44 and E51. Genome Announc 4(4):e00768-16. doi:10.1128/genomeA.00768-16.

Copyright © 2016 Ronco et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Troels Ronco, troro@vet.dtu.dk.

A vian pathogenic *Escherichia coli* (APEC) causing colibacillosis in commercial poultry is an important bacterial pathogen (1). Whereas "colibacillosis" commonly refers to systemic or localized infection in broilers, ascending infections due to *E. coli* in breeders and layers may lead to infection of the reproductive tract (2), with significant impacts on animal welfare and the poultry production economy (3). Nevertheless, there are few commercially available vaccines for the protection of layers and broilers against *E. coli* infection. Consequently, the use of autogenous *E. coli* vaccines is a common practice (4). The aims of using these vaccines are two-fold: direct protection of the breeders and indirect protection of the offspring through the passage of maternally derived antibodies.

In recent years, outbreaks due to *E. coli* in broiler breeders and broilers have increased in Scandinavian countries, expediting the introduction of a new autogenous *E. coli* vaccine program for broiler breeders. Here we present the draft genomes of two *E. coli* isolates (E44 and E51) included in this autogenous vaccine.

Fragment libraries were constructed using a Nextera XT kit (Illumina) followed by 251-bp paired-end sequencing (MiSeq; Illumina) according to manufacturer's instructions. Genomics Workbench 6.5 (CLC bio) was used for *de novo* assembly of the raw reads. It resulted in totals for size of assembly/ N_{50} of 5,125,126 bp/83,776 bp and 5,178,940 bp/100,046 bp, total numbers of contigs of 195 and 217, and average coverages/G+C contents of 91×/50.5% and 58×/50.5% for E44 and E51, respectively.

The contigs were annotated in the NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Automatic Annotation Pipeline (PGAAP) (5). In total, E44 had 5,171 putative genes, of which 4,868 were protein-coding sequences (CDSs), whereas E51 had 5,305 putative genes, including 4,986 protein CDSs.

Various types of virulence genes that previously have been associated with APEC isolates (6) were extracted from NCBI and identified in the draft genomes using MyDbFinder 1.1 (https://cge .cbs.dtu.dk/services/MyDbFinder/). E44 carried fewer virulence genes (*fimA*, *fimC*, *iroN*, *iss*, *iucA*, *iucD*, *ompA*, and *vat*) than E51 (*cvaB/C*, *cvi*, *fimA*, *fimC*, *fyuA*, *ibeA*, *iroN*, *irp2*, *iss iucA*, *iucD*, and *ompA*). According to PathogenFinder (7), both E44 and E51 were predicted to be human pathogens, with probabilities of 93% and 94%, because they matched 533 and 856 pathogenic families, respectively. None of the strains carried any antibiotic resistance genes, as verified using ResFinder 2.1 (8). *In silico* typing using MLST 1.8 (9) and SerotypeFinder 1.1 (10) showed that the sequence types (STs)/serotypes of E44 and E51 were O78:H4/ST117 and O2:H5/ST140, respectively. Field production data from farms using the E44/E51-based vaccine, experimental data obtained from *in vivo* infection models, and further genome analyses could provide useful knowledge regarding development of new vaccines and insight into virulent properties.

Accession number(s). The two whole-genome shotgun projects have been deposited in DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under the accession numbers LXWV00000000 (E44) and LYPJ00000000 (E51). The versions described in this paper are the first versions.

REFERENCES

- 1. Dho-moulin M, Fairbrother JM. 1999. Avian pathogenic *Escherichia coli* (APEC). Vet Res **30:**299–316.
- Olsen RH, Thøfner IC, Pors SE, Pires dos Santos T, Christensen JP. 2016. Experimental induced avian *E. coli* salpingitis: significant impact of strain and host factors on the clinical and pathological outcome. Vet Microbiol 188:59-66. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.vetmic.2016.04.011.
- 3. Landman WJ, van Eck JH. 2015. The incidence and economic impact of the *Escherichia coli* peritonitis syndrome in Dutch poultry farming. Avian Pathol 44:370-378. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/ 03079457.2015.1060584.
- Landman WJ, Buter GJ, Dijkman R, van Eck JH. 2014. Molecular typing of avian pathogenic *Escherichia coli* colonies originating from outbreaks of *E. coli* peritonitis syndrome in chicken flocks. Avian Pathol 43:345–356. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03079457.2014.935291.
- Angiuoli SV, Gussman A, Klimke W, Cochrane G, Field D, Garrity G, Kodira CD, Kyrpides N, Madupu R, Markowitz V, Tatusova T, Thomson N, White O. 2008. Toward an online repository of standard operating procedures (SOPs) for (meta)genomic annotation. Omics 12:137–141. http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/omi.2008.0017.
- Ewers C, Li G, Wilking H, Kießling S, Alt K, Antáo EM, Laturnus C, Diehl I, Glodde S, Homeier T, Böhnke U, Steinrück H, Philipp HC,

Wieler LH. 2007. Avian pathogenic, uropathogenic, and newborn meningitis-causing *Escherichia coli*: how closely related are they? Int J Med Microbiol **297**:163–176. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmm.2007.01.003.

- Cosentino S, Voldby Larsen M, Møller Aarestrup F, Lund O. 2013. Pathogenfinder—distinguishing friend from foe using bacterial whole genome sequence data. PLoS One 8:e77302. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0077302.
- 8. Zankari E, Hasman H, Cosentino S, Vestergaard M, Rasmussen S, Lund O, Aarestrup FM, Larsen MV. 2012. Identification of acquired antimi-

crobial resistance genes. J Antimicrob Chemother 67:2640–2644. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dks261.

- Larsen MV, Cosentino S, Rasmussen S, Friis C, Hasman H, Marvig RL, Jelsbak L, Sicheritz-Pontén T, Ussery DW, Aarestrup FM, Lund O. 2012. Multilocus sequence typing of total-genome-sequenced bacteria. J Clin Microbiol 50:1355–1361. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.06094-11.
- Joensen KG, Tetzschner AM, Iguchi A, Aarestrup FM, Scheutz F. 2015. Rapid and easy *in silico* serotyping of *Escherichia coli* using whole-genome sequencing (WGS) data. J Clin Microbiol 53:2410–2426. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00008–15.