

Study on Proteomics-Based Aortic Dissection Molecular Markers Using iTRAQ Combined With Label Free Techniques

Ting Deng^{1,2,3,4†}, Yongguang Liu^{5†}, Akindavyi Gael^{2,3,4,6}, Xiaohua Fu⁷, Xiaofang Deng⁸, Yunfeng Liu^{2,3,4}, Yizhang Wu^{2,3,4}, Yingzhi Wu^{2,3,4}, Huimin Wang^{2,3,4}, Yuying Deng^{2,3,4}, Jun Lai^{2,3,4} and Qiang Fu^{2,3,4,6*}

¹Department of Cardiovascular Disease, First Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou, China, ²Department of Cardiology, Laboratory of Heart Center, Heart Center, Zhujiang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China, ³Guangdong Provincial Biomedical Engineering Technology, Research Center for Cardiovascular Disease, Guangdong, China, ⁴Sino-Japanese Cooperation Platform for Translational Research in the Heart Failure, Guangzhou, China, ⁵Department of Organ Transplantation, Zhujiang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China, ⁶Department of Invasive Technology, Zhujiang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China, ⁸Department of Neonatology, Guangdong Provincial People's Hospital, Guangzhou, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Yih-Kuen Jan, The University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, United States

Reviewed by:

Yang-Yang Qu, Southeast University, China Alexander E. Berezin, Zaporizhia State Medical University, Ukraine

*Correspondence:

Qiang Fu fuqiang671866@163.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Clinical and Translational Physiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Physiology

> Received: 26 January 2022 Accepted: 23 May 2022 Published: 15 July 2022

Citation:

Deng T, Liu Y, Gael A, Fu X, Deng X, Liu Y, Wu Y, Wu Y, Wang H, Deng Y, Lai J and Fu Q (2022) Study on Proteomics-Based Aortic Dissection Molecular Markers Using iTRAQ Combined With Label Free Techniques. Front. Physiol. 13:862732. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2022.862732 **Background:** Aortic dissection refers to the separation of aortic media and extension along the long axis to form the true and false chambers of the aortic wall. 65–70% of the patients died of cardiac tamponade, arrhythmia, dissection rupture, etc. At present, echocardiography, computed tomography angiography (CTA), etc. are the main diagnosis tools for aortic dissection. To date, there is no rapid serum molecular marker that can be used for differential diagnosis and risk assessment.

Objectives: To screen serum molecular markers systematically amid aortic dissection and acute coronary syndrome and to preliminarily identify the pathogenesis of acute aortic dissection.

Methods: Related disputes cases of all hospitals were statistically analyzed for the AAD medical disputes ratio, early death ratio and misdiagnosis ratio from the database of Guangdong Province Medical Disputes Coordination Committee from 2013 to 2017. Serum and Aortic tissues samples were respectively quantified by iTRAQ and label-free analysis, further validated by ELISA and protein verified by immunofluorescence and Western blot from AAD and control patients enrolled from the Zhujiang Hospital of Southern Medical University and Guangdong Province people's Hospital from 2016 to 2018.

Results: AAD cases ratio accounted for 15.29% in all 150 cardiovascular disputes, 59.26% in all cardiovascular death less than 24 h, and 88.89% in the patients who remained undiagnosed at the time of death, 84 proteins (66 and 18 upregulated and downregulated, respectively) were identified by iTRAQ and 16 proteins (9 and 7 upregulated and downregulated, respectively) by Label-free. Nine proteins (Lumican, FGL1, PI16, MMP9, FBN1, MMP2, VWF, MMRN1, and PF4) related to the

July 2022 | Volume 13 | Article 862732

1

pathogenesis of aortic dissection were identified by David /Ease and String techniques as candidate biomarkers for verification test. Four proteins (Lumican, FGL1, PI16, and MMP9) were found to be statistically different after ELISA verification. The expression of FGL1, PI16, and MMP9 proteins was pathologically significantly increased except for Lumican. Histologically, TGF- β 1, α -SMA, and Collagen1 were also significantly higher in the aortic group.

Conclusion: Lumican, FGL1, PI16, and MMP9 may be potential biomarkers in AAD patients, and the Lumican-mediated TGF- β 1 pathway is likely to be involved in the pathogenesis of aortic dissection.

Keywords: aortic dissection, proteomic, iTRAQ, label-free, Lumican

1 INTRODUCTION

Acute aortic dissection (AAD) is a major vascular disease with high mortality and poor prognosis. As a life-threatening cardiovascular disease, the related untreated mortality rate is about 1–2% per hour after the onset of symptoms (Hagan et al., 2000). Early diagnosis is crucial and can even save lives (Klompas, 2002). One of the main challenges in establishing the diagnosis is to differentiate AAD from other sudden severe chest pain diseases, especially acute myocardial infarction (AMI) and pulmonary embolism (PE), because patients suffering from these diseases present similar symptoms but require different treatments. Misdiagnosis of AAD often leads to catastrophic bleeding or AAD deterioration, especially when thrombolytic drugs are used improperly (Wilcox et al., 1988; Butler et al., 1990).

According to 2014 ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of aortic dissection, imaging remained the main method of diagnosis and differential diagnosis (Suzuki et al., 2008). However, CTA, transthoracic or transesophageal ultrasound, and other imaging methods are timeconsuming and present various risks in the process of examination. The detection of serological markers is more objective, and blood marker testing can reduce patient movement. Unfortunately, there are currently no widely available AAD biomarkers with high sensitivity and specificity. At present, research on aortic dissection markers are mainly based on the pathophysiological development process of the disease, which mainly includes smooth muscle protein, matrix metalloprotease, elastic protein fragment, D-dimer, and some other proteins. However, these molecules cannot be clinically widely used because of the lack of specificity and sensitivity.

The combination of isobaric Tags for Relative and Absolute Quantitation (iTRAQ) and label-free methods can be used to identify biomarkers of various diseases (Wen et al., 2009). Combining these two methods, we proceeded to determine the serum biomarkers released after aortic dissection, which can provide a wider range of proteomics for AAD diagnosis. Discovery of new molecular markers, the diagnosis of aortic dissection can be more sensitive and specific, reduce the dependence on imaging equipment and physicians' experience, provide reliable and economical tools for lower-level hospitals that lack the support of relevant conditions, thereby reducing misdiagnosis and delays, and achieve the purpose of optimal diagnosis and treatment.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Ethical Approval of the Study Protocol

Written informed consent was obtained from all the participants before enrollment. The protocol of this study was carried out according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Medical Ethics Committee in Zhujiang Hospital (Case No: 2018-XXGNK-001). The protocol is available at www.clinicaltrials.gov (ChiCTR1800015743). This trial was conducted and monitored according to the guidelines for Good Clinical Practice. The design of this study is shown in **Figure 1**.

2.2 Analysis of Cardiovascular Disputes Cases

We collected cardiovascular dispute cases registered in the database of the Guangdong Province Medical Disputes Coordination Committee in all hospitals in the Guangdong Province from January 2013 to December 2017. Patients were divided into two groups (AAD group and non-AAD group). The overall mortality rate, 24 h mortality rate, and undiagnosed mortality rate were separately calculated according to different levels of hospitals (**Figure 2**).

2.3 Analysis of Clinical Sera and Tissues Samples

2.3.1 Materials Collection

Seventy-seven AAD patients (AAD group) with sudden chest pain within 72 h and 73 patients with non-AAD chest pain were enrolled from the Zhujiang Hospital of Southern Medical University and Guangdong Province people's Hospital for serum analysis from January 2016 to December 2018. Nine AAD patients and nine organ donors' aortic tissues were





collected from the same institutions. Serum samples were collected immediately after admission and kept at a temperature of 4°C for 1 h and centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 15 min. After obtaining informed consent, the aortic tissue specimens were retrieved, rinsed with PBS, labeled, aliquoted, and quickly put into liquid nitrogen for rapid freezing. Both

serum and tissue specimens were stored at -80°C until further analysis.

2.3.1.1 Serum Collection

The inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) age: ≥ 18 years old; 2) no gender restrictions; 3) patients with chest pain within 72 h; 4)

TABLE 1 | Clinical features of the iTRAQ, label-free analysis subjects.

	AAD	Control	P value	
N	15	15	/	
Age (mean±SD)	56.93 ± 13.24	63.87 ± 12.39	0.150 ^a	
Gender (male/female, n)	14/1	13/2	1.000 ^b	
Hypertension (N)	14	8	0.035 ^b	
Smoke (N)	10	6	0.272 ^b	
Diabetes	1	4	0.330 ^b	
Weight	73.83 ± 11.16	60.37 ± 8.40	0.001 ^a	
Height (N)	1.69 ± 0.04	1.68 ± 0.07	0.701 ^a	
BMI	25.73 ± 3.38	21.3 ± 2.22	<0.001 ^a	
HGB	137.4 ± 18.5	128.7 ± 15.0	0.171 ^a	
PLT	196.4 ± 95.1	267.4 ± 94.4	0.050 ^a	
RBC	4.44 ± 0.70	4.43 ±1.01	0.962 ^a	
WBC	12.33 ± 5.08	9.15 ± 2.40	0.037 ^a	
ALT	19.5 (13.3, 27.5)	36.5 (27.0, 59.0)	0.007 ^C	
AST	19.0 (16.0,32.0)	76.0 (27.8, 218.5)	0.004 ^C	
CK	95.0 (69.0, 209.0)	177.0 (99.8, 2307.1)	0.081 ^C	
CKMB	8.7 (6.3, 16.4)	25.6 (15.5, 182.3)	0.001 ^C	
Creatinine	110.3 (91.7, 163.8)	120.0 (93.0, 146.0)	0.727 ^c	
INR	1.07 ± 0.07	1.11 ± 0.20	0.449 ^a	
APTT	37.6 (34.3, 40.0)	37.7 (33.5, 42.3)	0.852 ^c	
FIB	3.75 (2.01, 6.04)	3.71 (3.29, 4.06)	0.868 ^c	

^at-test.

^bChi-square test.

^CMann-Whitney U test. BMI, Body Mass Index; HGB, hemoglobin; PLT, platelets; RBC, red blood cell; WBC, white blood cell; ALT, alanine transaminase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; CK, creatine kinase; CKMB, creatine kinase-MB; INR, International normalized ratio; APTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; FIB, fibrinogen.

TABLE 2 | Clinical features of the validation analysis subjects.

	AAD	Control	P value	
N	77	73	/	
Age (mean±SD)	57.88 ± 12.33	59.34 ± 10.12	0.431 ^a	
Gender (male/female, n)	60/17	58/15	0.819 ^b	
Hypertension (N)	69	51	0.003 ^b	
Smoke (N)	48	46	0.620 ^b	
Diabetes	20	18	0.853 ^b	
Weight T	68.72 ± 12.36	63.22 ± 10.02	0.003 ^a	
Height HP (N)	1.66 ± 0.07	1.65 ± 0.08	0.498 ^a	
BMI	24.99 ± 3.60	23.23 ± 2.90	0.001 ^a	
HB	132.0 ± 17.2	130.3 ± 30.0	0.670 ^a	
PLT	208.6 ± 102.2	234.7 ± 85.2	0.092 ^a	
RBC	4.46 (4.15,4.88)	4.53 (4.09,5.33)	0.319 ^c	
WBC	11.87 ± 4.29	9.76 ± 3.80	0.002 ^a	
ALT	19.5 (15.0,29.3) 28.0 (19.5,40.0)		0.006 ^c	
AST	21.0 (18.0,30.0)	19.0 (26.0,70.0)	0.039 ^c	
СК	99.9 (65.3,179.5)	139.4 (77.4,666.8)	0.005 ^c	
CKMB	9.85 (7.93,14.15)	18.85 (12.50,57.05)	<0.001 ^c	
SCR	9.85 (7.93,14.15)	84.6 (69.0,106.2)	0.092 ^c	
INR	1.12 (1.05,1.22)	1.03 (0.95,1.12)	<0.001 ^c	
APTT	39.1 (35.6,42.9)	38.1 (34.7,42.4)	0.543 ^c	
FIB	4.10 (3.04,6.23)	3.42 (2.95,24.14)	0.024 ^c	

^at-test.

^bChi-square test.

^CMann-Whitney U test. BMI, Body Mass Index; HGB, hemoglobin; PLT, platelets; RBC, red blood cell; WBC, white blood cell; ALT, alanine transaminase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; CK, creatine kinase; CKMB, creatine kinase-MB; INR, International normalized ratio; APTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; FIB, fibrinogen.

patients with acute aortic dissection confirmed by aortic CTA or angiography. The exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) pregnant or lactating women; 2) history of cardiopulmonary resuscitation **TABLE 3** | Clinical features of Western blot, immunofluorescence analysis subjects.

N 9 9 / Age (mean±SD) 49.33 ± 6.61 50.11 ± 7.51 0.819° Gender (male/female, n) $8/1$ $8/1$ 1.000° Hypertension (N) 7 5 0.620° Smoke (N) 6 5 1.000° Diabetes 0 0 1.000° HB 130.8 ± 19.6 107.6 ± 38.9 0.136° PLT 220.0 (164.5,283.0) 125.0 (82.5,264.0) 0.401° RBC 4.28 ± 0.66 3.53 ± 1.10 0.097° WBC 9.43 (7.57,15.76) 11.30 (6.68,17.70) 0.895° ALT 21.0 (11.5,40.0) 21.0 (18.0,63.5) 0.331° AST 20.0 (18.0,25.5) 53.0 (25.5,126.5) 0.007° CK 95.0 (40.0,136.5) 299.8 (79.5,1862.7) 0.102° CKMB 14.5 (10.5,22.8) 40.1 (19.2,44.5) 0.019° CR 87.6 (68.7,105.5) 146.0 (96.3,210.6) 0.24° DDI 2080 (1275,14695)		AD	CONTROL	P	
Age (mean \pm SD)49.33 \pm 6.6150.11 \pm 7.510.819Gender (male/female, n)8/18/11.000Hypertension (N)750.620Smoke (N)651.000Diabetes001.000HB130.8 \pm 19.6107.6 \pm 38.90.136PLT220.0 (164.5,283.0)125.0 (82.5,264.0)0.401RBC4.28 \pm 0.663.53 \pm 1.100.097WBC9.43 (7.57,15.76)11.30 (6.68,17.70)0.895ALT21.0 (11.5,40.0)21.0 (18.0,63.5)0.331AST20.0 (18.0,25.5)53.0 (25.5,126.5)0.007'CK95.0 (40.0,136.5)299.8 (79.5,1862.7)0.102'CKMB14.5 (10.5,22.8)40.1 (19.2,44.5)0.019'CR87.6 (68.7,105.5)146.0 (96.3,210.6)0.24'DDI2080 (1275,14695)7580 (2865,10000)0.400'INR1.18 \pm 0.121.44 \pm 0.400.095'APTT46.4 \pm 9.040.8 \pm 12.00.279'FIB4.61 \pm 2.047.00 \pm 2.140.027'	N	9	9		
Gender (male/female, n) $8/1$ $8/1$ 1.000^{1} Hypertension (N)75 0.620^{1} Smoke (N)65 1.000^{1} Diabetes00 1.000^{1} HB 130.8 ± 19.6 107.6 ± 38.9 0.136^{1} PLT $220.0 (164.5, 283.0)$ $125.0 (82.5, 264.0)$ 0.401^{1} RBC 4.28 ± 0.66 3.53 ± 1.10 0.097^{4} WBC $9.43 (7.57, 15.76)$ $11.30 (6.68, 17.70)$ 0.895^{1} ALT $21.0 (11.5, 40.0)$ $21.0 (18.0, 63.5)$ 0.331^{1} AST $20.0 (18.0, 25.5)$ $53.0 (25.5, 126.5)$ 0.007^{4} CK $95.0 (40.0, 136.5)$ $299.8 (79.5, 1862.7)$ 0.102^{2} CKMB $14.5 (10.5, 22.8)$ $40.1 (19.2, 44.5)$ 0.019^{4} DDI $2080 (1275, 14695)$ $7580 (2865, 10000)$ 0.400^{4} INR 1.18 ± 0.12 1.44 ± 0.40 0.095^{2} APTT 46.4 ± 9.0 40.8 ± 12.0 0.279^{4}	Age (mean±SD)	49.33±6.61	50.11±7.51	0.819 ^a	
Hypertension (N)75 0.620^{1} Smoke (N)65 1.000^{1} Diabetes00 1.000^{1} HB 130.8 ± 19.6 107.6 ± 38.9 0.136^{1} PLT220.0 (164.5,283.0) $125.0 (82.5,264.0)$ 0.401^{1} RBC 4.28 ± 0.66 3.53 ± 1.10 0.097^{1} WBC $9.43 (7.57,15.76)$ $11.30 (6.68,17.70)$ 0.895^{1} ALT21.0 (11.5,40.0)21.0 (18.0,63.5) 0.331^{1} AST20.0 (18.0,25.5) $53.0 (25.5,126.5)$ 0.007^{1} CK95.0 (40.0,136.5)299.8 (79.5,1862.7) 0.102^{2} CKMB14.5 (10.5,22.8)40.1 (19.2,44.5) 0.019^{1} CR87.6 (68.7,105.5)146.0 (96.3,210.6) 0.24^{4} DDI2080 (1275,14695)7580 (2865,10000) 0.400^{1} INR 1.18 ± 0.12 1.44 ± 0.40 0.095^{1} APTT 46.4 ± 9.0 40.8 ± 12.0 0.279^{1} FIB 4.61 ± 2.04 7.00 ± 2.14 0.027^{1}	Gender (male/female, n)	8/1	8/1	1.000 ^b	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Hypertension (N)	7	5	0.620 ^b	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Smoke (N)	6	5	1.000 ^b	
HB 130.8 ± 19.6 107.6 ± 38.9 0.136° PLT $220.0 (164.5,283.0)$ $125.0 (82.5,264.0)$ 0.401° RBC 4.28 ± 0.66 3.53 ± 1.10 0.097° WBC $9.43 (7.57,15.76)$ $11.30 (6.68,17.70)$ 0.895° ALT $21.0 (11.5,40.0)$ $21.0 (18.0,63.5)$ 0.331° AST $20.0 (18.0,25.5)$ $53.0 (25.5,126.5)$ 0.007° CK $95.0 (40.0,136.5)$ $299.8 (79.5,1862.7)$ 0.102° CR $87.6 (68.7,105.5)$ $146.0 (96.3,210.6)$ 0.024° DDI $2080 (1275,14695)$ $7580 (2865,10000)$ 0.400° INR 1.18 ± 0.12 1.44 ± 0.40 0.095° APTT 46.4 ± 9.0 40.8 ± 12.0 0.276° FIB 4.61 ± 2.04 7.00 ± 2.14 0.027°	Diabetes	0	0	1.000 ^b	
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} {\sf PLT} & 220.0 \ (164.5,283.0) & 125.0 \ (82.5,264.0) & 0.401^4 \\ {\sf RBC} & 4.28 \pm 0.66 & 3.53 \pm 1.10 & 0.097^4 \\ {\sf WBC} & 9.43 \ (7.57,15.76) & 11.30 \ (6.68,17.70) & 0.895^4 \\ {\sf ALT} & 21.0 \ (11.5,40.0) & 21.0 \ (18.0,63.5) & 0.331^4 \\ {\sf AST} & 20.0 \ (18.0,25.5) & 53.0 \ (25.5,126.5) & 0.007^4 \\ {\sf CK} & 95.0 \ (40.0,136.5) & 299.8 \ (79.5,1862.7) & 0.102^4 \\ {\sf CR} & 87.6 \ (68.7,105.5) & 146.0 \ (96.3,210.6) & 0.024^4 \\ {\sf DDI} & 2080 \ (1275,14695) & 7580 \ (2865,10000) & 0.400^4 \\ {\sf INR} & 1.18 \pm 0.12 & 1.44 \pm 0.40 & 0.095^4 \\ {\sf APTT} & 46.4 \pm 9.0 & 40.8 \pm 12.0 & 0.279^4 \\ {\sf FIB} & 4.61 \pm 2.04 & 7.00 \pm 2.14 & 0.027^4 \\ \end{array}$	HB	130.8 ± 19.6	107.6 ± 38.9	0.136 ^a	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	PLT	220.0 (164.5,283.0)	125.0 (82.5,264.0)	0.401 ^c	
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} WBC & 9.43 & (7.57,15.76) & 11.30 & (6.68,17.70) & 0.895' \\ ALT & 21.0 & (11.5,40.0) & 21.0 & (18.0,63.5) & 0.331' \\ AST & 20.0 & (18.0,25.5) & 53.0 & (25.5,126.5) & 0.007' \\ CK & 95.0 & (40.0,136.5) & 299.8 & (79.5,1862.7) & 0.102' \\ CKMB & 14.5 & (10.5,22.8) & 40.1 & (19.2,44.5) & 0.019' \\ CR & 87.6 & (68.7,105.5) & 146.0 & (96.3,210.6) & 0.024' \\ DDI & 2080 & (1275,14695) & 7580 & (2865,10000) & 0.400' \\ INR & 1.18 \pm 0.12 & 1.44 \pm 0.40 & 0.095' \\ APTT & 46.4 \pm 9.0 & 40.8 \pm 12.0 & 0.279' \\ FIB & 4.61 \pm 2.04 & 7.00 \pm 2.14 & 0.027' \\ \end{array}$	RBC	4.28 ± 0.66 3.53 ± 1.10		0.097 ^a	
$ \begin{array}{ccccc} \text{ALT} & 21.0 (11.5, 40.0) & 21.0 (18.0, 63.5) & 0.331^{\circ} \\ \text{AST} & 20.0 (18.0, 25.5) & 53.0 (25.5, 126.5) & 0.007^{\circ} \\ \text{CK} & 95.0 (40.0, 136.5) & 299.8 (79.5, 1862.7) & 0.102^{\circ} \\ \text{CKMB} & 14.5 (10.5, 22.8) & 40.1 (19.2, 44.5) & 0.019^{\circ} \\ \text{CR} & 87.6 (68.7, 105.5) & 146.0 (96.3, 210.6) & 0.024^{\circ} \\ \text{DDI} & 2080 (1275, 14695) & 7580 (2865, 10000) & 0.400^{\circ} \\ \text{INR} & 1.18 \pm 0.12 & 1.44 \pm 0.40 & 0.095^{\circ} \\ \text{APTT} & 46.4 \pm 9.0 & 40.8 \pm 12.0 & 0.279^{\circ} \\ \text{FIB} & 4.61 \pm 2.04 & 7.00 \pm 2.14 & 0.027^{\circ} \\ \end{array} $	WBC	9.43 (7.57,15.76) 11.30 (6.68,17.7		0.895 ^c	
AST 20.0 (18.0,25.5) 53.0 (25.5,126.5) 0.007' CK 95.0 (40.0,136.5) 299.8 (79.5,1862.7) 0.102' CKMB 14.5 (10.5,22.8) 40.1 (19.2,44.5) 0.019' CR 87.6 (68.7,105.5) 146.0 (96.3,210.6) 0.224' DDI 2080 (1275,14695) 7580 (2865,10000) 0.400' INR 1.18 ± 0.12 1.44 ± 0.40 0.095' APTT 46.4 ± 9.0 40.8 ± 12.0 0.279' FIB 4.61 ± 2.04 7.00 ± 2.14 0.027'	ALT	21.0 (11.5,40.0)	21.0 (18.0,63.5)	0.331 ^c	
CK 95.0 (40.0,136.5) 299.8 (79.5,1862.7) 0.102' CKMB 14.5 (10.5,22.8) 40.1 (19.2,44.5) 0.019' CR 87.6 (68.7,105.5) 146.0 (96.3,210.6) 0.024' DDI 2080 (1275,14695) 7580 (2865,10000) 0.400' INR 1.18 ± 0.12 1.44 ± 0.40 0.095' APTT 46.4 ± 9.0 40.8 ± 12.0 0.279' FIB 4.61 ± 2.04 7.00 ± 2.14 0.027'	AST	20.0 (18.0,25.5) 53.0 (25.5,126		0.007 ^c	
$\begin{array}{c cccc} CKMB & 14.5 & (10.5,22.8) & 40.1 & (19.2,44.5) & 0.019' \\ CR & 87.6 & (68.7,105.5) & 146.0 & (96.3,210.6) & 0.024' \\ DDI & 2080 & (1275,14695) & 7580 & (2865,10000) & 0.400' \\ INR & 1.18 \pm 0.12 & 1.44 \pm 0.40 & 0.095' \\ APTT & 46.4 \pm 9.0 & 40.8 \pm 12.0 & 0.279' \\ FIB & 4.61 \pm 2.04 & 7.00 \pm 2.14 & 0.027' \\ \end{array}$	CK	95.0 (40.0,136.5) 299.8 (79.5,1862.		0.102 ^c	
$\begin{array}{cccc} CR & 87.6 & (68.7,105.5) & 146.0 & (96.3,210.6) & 0.024' \\ DDI & 2080 & (1275,14695) & 7580 & (2865,10000) & 0.400' \\ INR & 1.18 \pm 0.12 & 1.44 \pm 0.40 & 0.095' \\ APTT & 46.4 \pm 9.0 & 40.8 \pm 12.0 & 0.279' \\ FIB & 4.61 \pm 2.04 & 7.00 \pm 2.14 & 0.027' \end{array}$	CKMB	14.5 (10.5,22.8)	40.1 (19.2,44.5)	0.019 ^c	
DDI 2080 (1275,14695) 7580 (2865,10000) 0.400' INR 1.18 ± 0.12 1.44 ± 0.40 0.095' APTT 46.4 ± 9.0 40.8 ± 12.0 0.279' FIB 4.61 ± 2.04 7.00 ± 2.14 0.027'	CR	87.6 (68.7,105.5)	146.0 (96.3,210.6)	0.024 ^c	
INR 1.18 ± 0.12 1.44 ± 0.40 0.095° APTT 46.4 ± 9.0 40.8 ± 12.0 0.279° FIB 4.61 ± 2.04 7.00 ± 2.14 0.027°	DDI	2080 (1275,14695)	7580 (2865,10000)	0.400 ^c	
APTT 46.4 ± 9.0 40.8 ± 12.0 0.279 ⁶ FIB 4.61 ± 2.04 7.00 ± 2.14 0.027 ⁶	INR	1.18 ± 0.12	1.44 ± 0.40	0.095 ^a	
FIB 4.61 ± 2.04 7.00 ± 2.14 0.027	APTT	46.4 ± 9.0	40.8 ± 12.0	0.279 ^a	
	FIB	4.61 ± 2.04	7.00 ± 2.14	0.027 ^a	

^at-test. ^bChi-square test.

^CMann-Whitney U test. BMI, Body Mass Index; HGB, hemoglobin; PLT, platelets; RBC, red blood cell; WBC, white blood cell; ALT, alanine transaminase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; CK, creatine kinase; CKMB, creatine kinase-MB; INR, International normalized ratio; APTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; FIB, fibrinogen.

(CPR) and cardiac interventional therapy or surgery in 1 week; 3) severe hepatorenal insufficiency; 4) patients in shock requiring vasoactive drugs on admission. The first 15 patients in the AAD group and control group were analyzed by iTRAQ and label-free. All enrolled patients' serum was tested for ELISA. The clinical features of the two groups were summarized (**Table 1**). The average age of AAD and ACS patients was 56.93 \pm 13.24 years and 63.87 \pm 12.39 years, respectively. ELISA was used to verify the clinical features of 77 AAD and 73 non-AAD patients as summarized (**Table 2**). The mean age of AAD patients was 57.88 \pm 12.33 years. The average age of ACS patients was 59.34 \pm 10.12 years. There was no significant difference in age distribution between the two groups (p > 0.05).

2.3.1.2 Tissues Samples Collection

The inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) age: ≥ 18 years old; 2) no gender restrictions; 3) patients with acute aortic dissection confirmed by aortic CTA or angiography; 4) aortic valve replacement is required in patients with AAD. The exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) pregnant or lactating women; 2) history of CPR and cardiac interventional therapy or surgery in 1 week; 3) severe hepatorenal insufficiency; 4) patients in shock requiring vasoactive drugs on admission; 5) hereditary aortic dissection patients. The average age of AAD patients was 49.3 ± 6.61 years old. The average age of organ donors was 50.1 ± 7.51 years old (**Table 3**).

2.3.2 Biomarker Verification Method

2.3.2.1 iTRAQ Multiplex Labeling and Chromatography

The 14 most abundant proteins were retrieved from each group of serum samples using Agilent multiple affinity removal liquid chromatography column-Human 14 (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, United States). Each sample was dissolved, reduced, and alkylated, then digested overnight with trypsin [w (trypsin): w (protein) = 1:20] at 37°C. ITRAQ reagent labeling was carried out in accordance with AB SCIEX's iTRAQ labeling kit specification (Zhang et al., 2016). ITRAQ 118 119 121 was used in the control group and iTRAQ 113 114 117 was used in the AAD group. The marked peptides of each group were mixed and graded by the Agilent 1260 Infinity II HPLC system (Zhai et al., 2013).

2.3.2.2 Label-Free Sample Preparation and MS Analysis for *Experiments*

Agilent multiple affinity removal LC column-human 14 of the corresponding samples was used to remove the high abundance protein, and the low abundance component solution was obtained. Mass spectrometry was performed after FASP digestion, and each sample was separated by a nanoliter flow rate Easy nLC system. Buffer A solution was 0.1% formic acid aqueous solution and B solution was 0.1% formic acid acetonitrile aqueous solution (acetonitrile is 80%). The chromatographic column was equilibrated with 100% liquid A, and the samples were separated from the automatic sampler to the analytical column (Thermo scientific, Acclaim PepMap RSLC 50 µm × 15 cm, nano viper, P/N164943) at a flow rate of 300 nl/min. After chromatographic separation was carried out, samples were analyzed by a Q-Exactive Plus spectrometer. The analysis time was 60 min (Zhang et al., 2016).

2.3.2.3 Bioinformatics Analysis

For the analysis of iTRAQ and label-free markers, the ProteinPilot search engine (AB Sciex) was used for protein identification by searching for human species in the UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot database. For the bioinformatics analysis of differentially expressed proteins, GeneGO MetaCoreTM soft (https://portal.genego.com/) was used. After comparing proteins in label-free and iTRAQ, common target-related proteins were found to appear in both lists. In addition, the possible signal network of BF may be predicted by the automatic expansion of common target-related proteins; this emphasizes the most unique approach in the network, including the pathways that include the most protein nodes, it also shows the subcellular location of proteins in the network. Finally, we used GO seq for the GO enrichment analysis, pathway enrichment analysis was assessed by hypergeometric test, protein interaction prediction was confirmed by protein interaction database String, and the Cytoscape software was used for interaction network display.

2.3.3 ELISA Analysis

We used Lumican (abcam, United States), PF4 (abcam, United States), VWF (abcam, United States), MMP9 (R&D Systems Company, United States), MMP2 (R&D Systems Company, United States), MMRN1 (Biomatik, United States), FGL1 (Biomatik, United States), FBN1 (Biomatik, United States), and PI16 (abbexa, United Kingdom) to detect the aforementioned proteins by the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, ELISA. The procedure was carried out in strict accordance with the manufacturer's instructions, the samples were diluted 20–100 times differently, the original standard provided by the kit was gradually diluted, and the standard curve was used to calculate the biomarker concentration in the sample.

2.3.4 Immunofluorescence Staining

The formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded aortic sections were deparaffinized, dehydrated, antigen-repaired, and blocked with 5% BSA for 40 min at room temperature, followed by PI16 (1:200, NVUS, United States), FGL1 (1:200, NOVUS, United States), MMP9 (1:100, Abcam, United States), and LUM (1:100, Abcam, United States) at 4°C overnight. The sections were washed with PBS and then incubated with a secondary antibody conjugated to Alexa Fluor dye (1:500) for 2 h at room temperature. The nuclei were stained with DIPA for 5 min at room temperature. Images were captured using the Image-Pro Plus V4.5 software. Fluorescence was detected by using a Leica SP5 confocal microscope (Leica Microsystems Inc., Wetzlar, Germany). The magnification was 200 folds and the average signal intensity normalized to the aortic region between the groups was compared.

2.3.5 Western Blot Analysis

Western blot was utilized on aortic tissue samples from nine patients with aortic dissection and nine normal organs donor to verify the results of four proteins (Lumican, FGL1, PI16, and MMP9). Briefly, 20 µg of protein were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE gel and transferred to the nitrocellulose membrane. The membrane was blocked with 5% skim milk overnight, then incubated at 4°C with PI16 (1:500, NOVUS, United States), FGL1 (1:500, NOVUS, United States), MMP9 (1:1,000, Abcam, United States), Lumican (1:1,000,Abcam, United States) overnight, and GAPDH (1:10,000, Bioworld, United States) antibodies and was then incubated with antirabbit or anti-mouse secondary antibodies (Boster, China) at a dilution of 1:8,000. Signals were detected using an enhanced chemiluminescence reagent (Pierce). Band density was quantified using the Image-Pro Plus software, and fold changes in Lumican, PI16, MMP9, and FGL1 densities were normalized to positive control and GAPDH levels.

3 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 20.0 (SPSS, Chicago, USA) and GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad Inc. La Jolla, CA). Data are expressed as the mean \pm SD and medians (interquartile range or n (%)). All continuous variables were tested for the normal distribution of data with the Shapiro-Wilk test. Comparisons of continuous variables between two groups were conducted with the independent-samples T-test or the Mann-Whitney U test. The chi-square test was performed to

TABLE 4 | Clinical features of the medical dispute analysis subjects.

	AD	nonAD	Р
N	26	144	/
Age (mean±SD)	47.31 ± 13.55	55.65 ± 16.35	0.015 ^a
Gender (male/female, n)	20/6	103/41	0.571 ^b
Rate of mortality (diagnosis is not clear)	88.89%	66.40%	0.025 ^b
Mortality ratio	_	_	0.784 ^b
First-class hospital	5	25	_
Second-class hospital	9	42	_
Third-class hospital	12	77	_

^at-test.

^bChi-square test. AD,Aortic dissection



compare qualitative parameters between two groups. Independent and joint detection of candidate biomarkers were amalyzed by receiver operator characteristic (ROC) curve and logical regression modeling. p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

4 RESULTS

4.1 AAD Distribution Within Cardiovascular Disputes Cases

In the past 5 years, the AAD cases ratio accounted for 16.27% in all cardiovascular disputes, 84.6% in all cardiovascular death less than 48 h, and 88.89% in the patients who remained undiagnosed at the time of death. Overall mortality rate, 24 h mortality rate, and undiagnosed mortality rate were separately calculated according to different levels of hospitals (**Table 4**; **Figure 3**).

4.2 Identification and Functional Classification of Serum Proteome

A total of 725 proteins were identified by iTRAQ and 84 were differentially expressed proteins, of which 66 were up-regulated (A/B > 1.2) and 18 were down-regulated (A/B < 1.2). Label-free identified 490 proteins of which 16 were differentially expressed. 9 were up-regulated (A/B > 1.2) and 7 were down-regulated (A/B < 1.2). The cluster analysis of differentially expressed proteins showed that the protein expression in the AAD group was significantly higher than that in the control group (**Figure 4A**).

According to Gene Ontology (GO), the differential proteins and temptation-free proteins obtained by the two methods were classified according to "molecular function," "biological process," and "cell composition," and sorted according to the number of proteins. The GO analysis showed that the differential protein of the AAD group was concentrated in the extracellular matrix compared with the control group, and the extracellular component was the main component (**Figure 4B**).



FIGURE 4 (**(A)** In the heat map of the 127 differential metabolites in AAD and ACS, the colors changing from blue to red indicate more metabolites. In the correlation network of metabolites with |r| > 1, red plots indicate upregulated metabolites and blue plots indicate downregulated metabolites in the two groups. (**B**) Bioinformatics analysis for the differentially expressed proteins in the AAD and ACS group. Diagram showing the biological process (BP) and cellular component (CC) of differentially expressed proteins of the two groups using the DAVID analysis. (**C**) Network of AAD VS. ACS clustered by the Ingenuity Pathway Analysis. Protein–protein interaction was generated by Cytoscape between the AAD vs. ACS group.

Q6UXB8

P0DJI8

P04275

P14780

P35555

P08253

Q14126

P51884

075882

P23142

PI16

SAA1

VWF

MMP9

FBN1

MMP2

DSG2

LUM

ATRN

FBLN1

1.223

2.19

1 536

1.518

1 4 1 5

1.099

1.092

1.038

1.033

0.999

Up/down

Up

Up

Up

Up

Up

Up

Uр

Un

Up

Uр

Down

Down

Down

Down

Down

Accession	Name	Biological process	Protein class	AAD:CON
P02741 CRP Ac		Acute-phase response	_	11.38
P05109	S100A8	DNA replication	Signaling molecule	3.65
D3DQX7	SAA1	Cellular component movement	Transporter	35.08
Q08830	FGL1	Cell adhesion	Signaling molecule	2.26
P0DJI8	SAA1	Cellular component movement	Defense protein	2.003

Cellular component movement

Anatomical structure morphogenesis

Cell adhesion

Cellular process

Cellular process

Cell arowth

TABLE 5 | Subset of differentially expressed proteins between the AAD and control groups.

This table lists the highest Unused ProtScores from the upregulated and downregulated proteins. AAD, acute aortic dissection; CON, normal controls.

FABLE 6 Label-free with or without protein results.					
Protein IDs	Protein IDs	Biological process	Protein class	AAD/Control	
P02776	PF4	_	Chemokine	Control	
Q5T9B9	ENG	_	_	Control	
A0A024RDA6	IGFBP7	Regulation of cell growth	_	AAD	
P07737	PFN1	Cellular process	_	AAD	
Q08830	FGL1	Cell adhesion	Signaling molecule	AAD	
Q13201	MMRN	-	Extracellular matrix glycoprotein	AAD	

Immunity protein

Protease inhibitor

Metalloprotease

Metalloprotease

Extracellular matrix

Cadherin

_

Cell adhesion molecule

Transporter

This table lists all or nothing from the upregulated proteins and downregulated proteins. AAD, acute aortic dissection.

For the biological function analysis, the map was drawn based on the proteins with the highest scores in the IPA and PPI analysis. Exploring the global PPI that may use Cytoscape, we found that FGL1, PI16, MMP9, and Lumican were involved in platelet aggregation, blood coagulation, and many other processes. At the same time, FGL1 improved TGF- β 1-induced fibrosis by regulating hemostasis, platelet aggregation, coagulation, and many other processes (**Figure 4C**).

For screening biomarkers, the study selected upregulated secretory proteins (proteins that could not be downregulated by ELISA). Considering that the most abundant protein albumin in the serum may be affected by liver function, infection, nutrition, and many other factors, it was excluded from the verification list. Therefore, four proteins were provided for verification: FGL1, PI16, MMP9, and Lumican.

4.3.1 Verification of Candidate Molecular Markers

Based on iTRAQ and label-free proteomics findings, Lumican, MMP9, PI16, FGL1, FBN1, PF4, MMP2, VWF, and MMRN1 were selected as potential biomarkers for verification targets. Different expressions of individual proteins in AAD (N = 77) and non-AAD (N = 73) groups (**Tables 5, 6**) were analyzed. Excluding the proteins with no significant difference between the two groups, four proteins (Lumican, PI16, FGL1, and MMP9) with statistical difference were selected as the final molecular markers. Their expression in aortic dissection group was

significantly higher than that in the control group (p < 0.05) (Figure 5).

4.3.2 ROC Curve Analysis of the Diagnostic Value of Lumican, PI16, MMP9, and FGL1

The ROC curve was selected for AAD diagnosis by Lumican, MMP9, PI16, and FGL1, and the cutoff value of the maximum Youden index was selected to obtain appropriate sensitivity and specificity. Lumican AUC (0.636), sensitivity 70.1%, specificity 57.5%; PI16 AUC (0.739), sensitivity 55.8%, specificity 78.1%; FGL1 AUC (0.607), sensitivity 90.9%, specificity 43.8%. The AUC (0.641) of MMP9 had a sensitivity of 84.4% and a specificity of 43.8%. PI16 + FGL1 AUC (0.769), sensitivity of 71.4%, specificity of 71.2%; Lumican + PI16 AUC (0.742), sensitivity 90.9%, specificity 43.8%; Lumican + FGL1 AUC (0.653), sensitivity 59.7%, specificity 71.2%. PI16 + FGL1 + Lumican combined with AUC (0.780), sensitivity 67.5%, specificity 76.7% (**Table 7**; **Figure 6**). Among all these results, Lumican + PI16 displayed the highest sensitivity and the combination of 3 proteins displayed the highest specificity.

Logical regression analysis of biomarkers in the diagnosis of AAD: the combined prediction model was established using Lumican test results and PI16 and FGL1 as independent variables and dependent variables: logarithm (p)= -4.861 + 0.561 * Lumican + 1.783 * PI16+0.983 * FGL1. Then variables and statistics were substituted into the model (**Table 8**).



FIGURE 5 Among the patients with acute aortic dissection (AAD) and Acute coronary syndrome (ACS), (A) Lumican, (B) Peptidase inhibitor 16, (C) Fibrinogen-like protein 1 and (D) Matrix metalloproteinase-9 expression level exhibit significant differences between the two group ($\rho < 0.05$); (E) Profibrin 1 antigen (FBN1), (F) platelet factor 4 (PF4), (G) the Von Willebrand factor (VWF), (H) matrix metalloproteinase-2 (MMP-2), (I) MMRN1 ($\rho > 0.05$) expression level did not exhibit any significant differences between the two groups ($\rho > 0.05$).

TABLE 7 The diagnostic efficiency analysis of four AAD biomarkers.							
	AUC	p-value	95% CI	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	Cutoff (ng/dl)	Youden index
LUMICAN	0.636	0.004	0.547-0.725	70.1	57.5	1.865	0.276
PI16	0.739	0.000	0.661-0.816	55.8	78.1	1.973	0.339
FGL1	0.607	0.024	0.512-0.702	90.9	43.8	0.223	0.347
MMP9	0.641	0.003	0.552-0.731	84.4	43.8	0.99	0.282
PI16 + LUM	0.742	0.000	0.665-0.819	90.9	43.8	0.33	0.347
PI16 + FGL1	0.769	0.000	0.693-0.845	71.4	71.2	0.515	0.426
LUMICAN + FGL1	0.653	0.001	0.565-0.741	59.7	71.2	0.517	0.309
LUMICAN + FGL1 + PI16	0.780	0.000	0.707-0.852	67.5	76.7	0.675	0.442



TABLE 8 Logistic regression	analysis results	of AAD	diagnosis	with	Lumican,
PI16, and FGL1.					

	В	SE	WALD	р	OR	95% CI
LUMICAN	0.561	0.278	4.062	0.044	1.752	1.016-3.022
PI16	1.783	0.37	23.189	0.000	5.945	2.878-12.28
FGL1 CONSANT	0.983 -4.861	0.397 0.975	6.126 24.848	0.013 0.000	2.673 0.008	1.227–5.824

4.4 Identification of TGF-β1-Mediated Signaling Pathway Relative Proteins in Aortic Tissues Samples

In order to verify the relative proteins involved in the TGF- β 1mediated signaling pathway, immunofluorescence staining and Western blot analyses were performed on the ascending aortic artery samples from the deceased AAD patients and organ donors groups. Fibrosis-related α -sma and collagen 1 were significantly higher in the AAD patients group. PI16, MMP9, FGL1, and TGF- β 1 were significantly higher in the aortic wall of AAD patients (p < 0.001). Lumican was significantly higher in the serum of AAD patients but no significant difference in the Western blot of human tissue specimens (**Figures 7, 8**).

5 DISCUSSION

AAD is a life-threatening emergency cardiovascular event with a 1–2% increase in mortality every hour after onset. The AAD misdiagnosis rate in the emergency room accounts for 38% (Song et al., 2013). Delayed diagnosis significantly increases the risk of death. Many patients die of aortic rupture or serious complications before a definite diagnosis is established, and a considerable proportion of cases of undiagnosed eventually end up in medical disputes.

Over the past few decades, the number of cases involving medical disputes in some developed countries has increased dramatically, such as the United Kingdom (Goldenberg et al., 2012), the

United States (Quraishi et al., 2012), Australia (Jena et al., 2011), New Zealand (Blake, 2010), and Japan (Hiyama et al., 2009). According to a national survey in China, there were 9,831 disputes with 5,519 injured medical personnel in 2006. In 2007, 73.3% of mainland Chinese hospitals reported violent incidents. Because of the sudden occurrence and rapid development of some diseases, some studies have found that patients who die within 48 h are more prone to class I diagnostic errors. A report by the American Institute of Medical Research found that diagnostic errors resulted in about 10% of patients death and as many as 17% of hospital adverse events (McCarthy, 2015). Some studies also found cardiovascular disease were the most common diagnosis in emergency department, and aortic dissection and myocardial infarction caused more death and missed grade I diagnosis (80% and 66.7% per group) (Liu et al., 2017). In this study, we used data from the Guangdong Medical Coordination Committee during 2013-2017. Over the past 5 years, there were a total of 170 cases of medical disputes in cardiovascular patients. Whether different hospitals' level or physicians' experience, the AAD medical disputes ratio, early death ratio, and misdiagnosis ratio remain high. The delay in the diagnosis of AAD is a recognized problem (patient transfer risks, imaging time-consuming, and physicians' misunderstanding) that needs to be improved because the mortality rate is as high as 1%/h before diagnosis (Harris et al., 2011). Contributing to the diagnosis of diseases and benefit patients and caregivers, it is urgent to identify fast, noninvasive, economical, and effective biomarkers.

Cardiac biomarkers, such as for myocardial necrosis (cardiac troponin) and heart failure (natriuretic peptide), have been shown to be successful (Nienaber and Eagle, 2003; Hochholzer et al., 2010; van Kimmenade and Januzzi, 2011). Cardiac troponin is a single biomarker with sufficient sensitivity and specificity, in addition to its favorable release time process, it covers the time window necessary for unambiguity in the clinical environment. According to guidelines, cardiac troponin (cTnT) can furthermore classify ACS and is used as prognosis for MI outcome. Based on a long history of understanding MI mechanism, cTnT has been gradually selected as biomarker for myocardial injury (Cullen et al., 2014). Moreover, current





studies are mainly trying to investigate hotspots for AAD markers focused on the pathophysiological development of the disease. Protein markers studies mainly include smooth muscle protein, matrix metalloproteinases, elastin fragments, D-dimer, sST2, and some other proteins, relatively due to various courses of the disease, such as aortic wall degradation, vascular smooth muscle injury, cell necrosis, inflammation, coagulation activation, and extracellular matrix remodeling (Shao et al., 2014). Soluble ST2 has been validated as a biomarker for acute aortic dissection (Wang et al., 2018). The changes of these serum proteins in aortic dissection have been relatively clearly studied, but none of them have good clinical diagnostic value. There is still a long way to determine for AAD pathophysiological mechanism and its biomarkers study. Furthermore discovery and screening researches are still of great value.

Furthermore, with the continuous development and innovation of related technologies proteomics have been clinically used as a marker finding for a long time in the absence of mechanism key points (Arab et al., 2006; Pontillo et al., 2015; de Franciscis et al., 2016; Mesaros and Blair, 2016). Lumican had been reported as a diagnostic marker with sensitivity and specificity of 73.33% and 98.33%,



respectively, while it was, respectively, 93.33% and 68.33% for D-dimer (Xiao et al., 2016). Moreover, fibrillin-1, emilin-1, decorin, protein DJ-1, and histone H4 were confirmed as diagnostic markers via Western blot signs of the expression of transforming growth factor- β 1 (TGF- β 1) signal increase and damaged aortic wall remodeling (Zhang et al., 2015). Withal, fibronectin, and Lumican were selected as differential proteins between the aortic dissection and control group (Gu et al., 2011) and it was found that the expression of extracellular superoxide dismutase SOD was lower than that of the control group (p < 0.001) (Liao et al., 2008). In principle, more beneficial quantification with combined high-throughput genomics or proteomics

biomolecular data sets methods can acquire greater proteomic coverage than traditional research methods (Kell and Oliver, 2004; Garland et al., 2012; Feala et al., 2013). In this study, iTRAQ and label-free techniques were used to identify serum samples from AAD and ACS patients. The most relevant proteins were selected after a wide range of two overlaps. In this study, a total of nine proteins were found to most likely be tested for serum ELISA in a large number of patients. Three related markers: PI16, Lumican and FGL1 were found, and the ROC curve was drawn to reflect the sensitivity and specificity. The area under the PI16 curve alone was 0.739, the sensitivity was 55.8%, and the specificity was 78.1%, while the area under the PI16 combined with Lumican ROC curve was 0.742, the sensitivity was 90.9%, and the specificity was 43.8%. The area under the ROC curve of the three proteins was 0.78, the sensitivity was 67.5%, and the specificity was 76.7%. The optimal sensitivity and specificity of PI16, Lumican and FGL1 could improve the diagnostic accuracy of AAD. At present, our main diagnosis method still relies on CTA, but CTA takes a long time [18]. Misdiagnosis and delayed diagnosis will lead to medical disputes, and therefore, other simple and inexpensive biomarkers for ADD diagnosis is important in clinical practice.

Based on KEGG (https://www.genome.jp/kegg/pathway. html), Lumican is an important ECM component of the aortic wall that is synthesized in the smooth muscle cells (Qin et al., 2001) of the aortic wall (Naito, 2005). It supports the differentiation of aortic smooth muscle cells and related elastic fiber arrangement and growth, and plays an important role in cell proliferation, migration, and tissue repair (Saika et al., 2003). Jin et al. (2019) found that the knockdown of FGL1 inhibited the production of TGF-β1. In response to profibrotic TGF-B1 signaling, Lumican enhances and accelerates the formation of collagen fibrils, so Lumican expression is positively correlated with profibrotic cytokines (Krishnan et al., 2012). Lumican and FGL1 may be involved in the TGF-B1 signaling pathway-related protein synthesis. The TGF-B1 signaling pathway inducing fibrosis synthesis may play a key role in aortic wall injury and repair. In general, TGF-B1 induced fibrosis participates widely in AAD mechanism such as endothelial malfunction, intima weakening, vascular wall injury and repair, therefore we came up with a hypothesis: the pathogenesis of aortic dissection maybe related to the Lumicanmediated TGF-B1 pathway. Based on immunofluorescence and Western blot of aortic wall specimen of the AAD and organ donors groups, fibrosis-related a-sma and collagen 1 were significantly higher. PI16, MMP9, FGL1, and TGF-\u00b31 were significantly higher in the aortic wall of AAD patients than those of organ donors. These results prove that TGF-B1 mediated fibrosis plays key role in AAD injury and repair process. As for Lumican, previous study showed that Lumican levels was higher in AAD patients than healthy volunteers [42]. Lumican expression was detected in the intima and media of the ascending aorta in patients with AAD [43]. From a clinical point of view, we speculated that the reason for the different expression trends of Lumican in serum and tissue is that Lumican was produced and released into the blood when the aortic dissection tissue re-ruptured, and therefore this protein was highly expressed in the blood compared to that in the tissue. Hence, a hypothesis was put forward: Lumican can be used as an early diagnostic marker for aortic dissection. Lumican activation maybe initially involved as a trigger to the TGF-\u00b31-mediated fibrosis pathway, but further studies still need to be verified through an animal model.

As mentioned above, aortic dissection is a high-risk disease with extremely high mortality. The current pathogenesis is unclear and lacks diagnostic markers of high-specificity and sensitivity. In this study, four effective diagnostic markers were found by combined proteomics methods. Through Elisa verification of a large number of clinical specimens and western-blot verification of aortic tissue specimens, we concluded that: Lumican, PI16, MMP9, and FGL1 protein may be a potential biomarker in patients with aortic dissection, and the pathogenesis of aortic dissection may be related to lumican mediated TGF- β 1 pathway. However, there are still some limitations in our study. As a single-center study, our findings should be validated by a multicenter study with a larger population to confirm the diagnostic efficacy and accuracy of this novel assay. In particular, our validation population should include normal people without disease. Future validation studies evaluating Lumican, FGL1, and PI16, and comparing them with other biomarkers, such as NT-proBNP, cardiac troponins and DDI, are thus needed. Finally, further medical image-based confirmatory diagnoses are still essential in clinical practice.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The name of the repository and accession number can be found below: ProteomeXchange, http://www.proteomexchange. org/, PXD032857.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The protocol of this study was carried out according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Medical Ethics Committee in Zhujiang Hospital (Case No: 2018-XXGNK-001). The protocol is available at www.clinicaltrials.gov (ChiCTR1800015743). The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct, and intellectual contribution to the work and approved it for publication.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Nature Science Foundation of China (No. 81573732), Guangdong Provincial Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine (No. 20221143) and Guangdong Natural Science Foundation (No. 2015A030313304).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphys.2022.862732/full#supplementary-material

Supplementary Figure S1 | Nomogram for predicting AAD probability.

REFERENCES

- Arab, S., Gramolini, A. O., Ping, P., Kislinger, T., Stanley, B., van Eyk, J., et al. (2006). Cardiovascular Proteomics. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 48, 1733–1741. doi:10. 1016/j.jacc.2006.06.063
- Blake, P. (2010). Medical Mishap: No Fault Compensation as an Alternative to Civil Litigation - a Review of 30 years' Experience with the New Zealand Accident Compensation Corporation System. *Med. Leg. J.* 78, 126–137. doi:10. 1258/mlj.2010.010027
- Butler, J., Davies, A. H., and Westaby, S. (1990). Streptokinase in Acute Aortic Dissection. BMJ 300, 517–519. doi:10.1136/bmj.300.6723.517
- de Franciscis, S., Metzinger, L., and Serra, R. (2016). The Discovery of Novel Genomic, Transcriptomic, and Proteomic Biomarkers in Cardiovascular and Peripheral Vascular Disease: The State of the Art. *BioMed Res. Int.* 2016, 1–10. doi:10.1155/2016/7829174
- Feala, J. D., Abdulhameed, M. D. M., Yu, C., Dutta, B., Yu, X., Schmid, K., et al. (2013). Systems Biology Approaches for Discovering Biomarkers for Traumatic Brain Injury. J. Neurotrauma 30, 1101–1116. doi:10.1089/ neu.2012.2631
- Garland, P., Broom, L. J., Quraishe, S., Dalton, P. D., Skipp, P., Newman, T. A., et al. (2012). Soluble Axoplasm Enriched from Injured CNS Axons Reveals the Early Modulation of the Actin Cytoskeleton. *Plos One* 7, e47552. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0047552
- Goldenberg, S. D., Volpé, H., and French, G. L. (2012). Clinical Negligence, Litigation and Healthcare-Associated Infections. J. Hosp. Infect. 81, 156–162. doi:10.1016/j.jhin.2012.04.020
- Gu, G., Cheng, W., Yao, C., Yin, J., Tong, C., Rao, A., et al. (2011). Quantitative Proteomics Analysis by Isobaric Tags for Relative and Absolute Quantitation Identified Lumican as a Potential Marker for Acute Aortic Dissection. J. Biomed. Biotechnol. 2011, 1–10. doi:10. 1155/2011/920763
- Hagan, P. G., Nienaber, C. A., Isselbacher, E. M., Bruckman, D., Karavite, D.
 J., Russman, P. L., et al. (2000). The International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection (IRAD). *JAMA* 283, 897–903. doi:10.1001/jama.283.
 7.897
- Harris, K. M., Strauss, C. E., Eagle, K. A., Hirsch, A. T., Isselbacher, E. M., Tsai, T. T., et al. (2011). Correlates of Delayed Recognition and Treatment of Acute Type A Aortic Dissection. *Circulation* 124, 1911–1918. doi:10.1161/ circulationaha.110.006320
- Hiyama, T., Yoshihara, M., Tanaka, S., and Chayama, K. (2009). Trend in Japanese Malpractice Litigation Involving Gastrointestinal Endoscopy. Am. J. Gastroenterol. 104, 251–252. doi:10.1038/ajg.2008.20
- Hochholzer, W., Morrow, D. A., and Giugliano, R. P. (2010). Novel Biomarkers in Cardiovascular Disease: Update 2010. Am. Heart J. 160, 583–594. doi:10.1016/j. ahj.2010.06.010
- Jena, A. B., Seabury, S., Lakdawalla, D., and Chandra, A. (2011). Malpractice Risk According to Physician Specialty. N. Engl. J. Med. 365, 629–636. doi:10.1056/ nejmsa1012370
- Jin, H., Kang, G.-Y., Jeon, S., Kim, J.-M., Park, Y. N., Cho, J., et al. (2019). Identification of Molecular Signatures Involved in Radiation-Induced Lung Fibrosis. J. Mol. Med. 97, 37–47. doi:10.1007/s00109-018-1715-9
- Kell, D. B., and Oliver, S. G. (2004). Here Is the Evidence, Now what Is the Hypothesis? the Complementary Roles of Inductive and Hypothesis-Driven Science in the Post-genomic Era. *Bioessays* 26, 99–105. doi:10. 1002/bies.10385
- Klompas, M. (2002). Does This Patient Have an Acute Thoracic Aortic Dissection? JAMA 287, 2262–2272. doi:10.1001/jama.287.17.2262
- Krishnan, A., Li, X., Kao, W.-Y., Viker, K., Butters, K., Masuoka, H., et al. (2012). Lumican, an Extracellular Matrix Proteoglycan, Is a Novel Requisite for Hepatic Fibrosis. *Lab. Invest.* 92, 1712–1725. doi:10.1038/ labinvest.2012.121
- Cullen, L., Than, M., and Peacock, W. F. (2014). Undetectable Hs-cTnT in the Emergency Department and Risk of Myocardial Infarction. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 64, 632–633.
- Liao, M., Liu, Z., Bao, J., Zhao, Z., Hu, J., Feng, X., et al. (2008). A Proteomic Study of the Aortic Media in Human Thoracic Aortic Dissection: Implication for

Oxidative Stress. J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg. 136, 65-72. doi:10.1016/j.jtcvs. 2007.11.017

- Liu, D., Gan, R., Zhang, W., Wang, W., Saiyin, H., Zeng, W., et al. (2017). Autopsy Interrogation of Emergency Medicine Dispute Cases: How Often Are Clinical Diagnoses Incorrect? J. Clin. Pathol. 71, 67–71. doi:10.1136/jclinpath-2017-204484
- McCarthy, M. (2015). Diagnostic Error Remains a Pervasive, Underappreciated Problem, US Report Says. BMJ 351, h5064. doi:10.1136/bmj.h5064
- Mesaros, C., and Blair, I. A. (2016). Mass Spectrometry-Based Approaches to Targeted Quantitative Proteomics in Cardiovascular Disease. *Clin. Proteomics* 13, 20. doi:10.1186/s12014-016-9121-1
- Naito, Z. (2005). The Role of Small Leucine-Rich Proteoglycan (SLRP) Family in Pathological Lesions and Cancer Cell Growth. J. Nippon. Med. Sch. 72, 137–145. doi:10.1272/jnms.72.137
- Nienaber, C. A., and Eagle, K. A. (2003). Aortic Dissection: New Frontiers in Diagnosis and Management. *Circulation* 108, 628–635. doi:10.1161/01.cir. 0000087009.16755.e4
- Pontillo, C., Filip, S., Borràs, D. M., Mullen, W., Vlahou, A., and Mischak, H. (2015). CE-MS-based Proteomics in Biomarker Discovery and Clinical Application. *Prot. Clin. Appl.* 9, 322–334. doi:10.1002/prca.201400115
- Qin, H., Ishiwata, T., and Asano, G. (2001). Effects of the Extracellular Matrix on Lumican Expression in Rat Aortic Smooth Muscle Cellsin Vitro. J. Pathol. 195, 604–608. doi:10.1002/path.994
- Quraishi, N. A., Hammett, T. C., Todd, D. B., Bhutta, M. A., and Kapoor, V. (2012). Malpractice Litigation and the Spine: the NHS Perspective on 235 Successful Claims in England. *Eur. Spine J.* 21, 196–199. doi:10.1007/ s00586-012-2203-5
- Saika, S., Miyamoto, T., Tanaka, S.-i., Tanaka, T., Ishida, I., Ohnishi, Y., et al. (2003). Response of Lens Epithelial Cells to Injury: Role of Lumican in Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 44, 2094–2102. doi:10.1167/iovs.02-1059
- Shao, N., Xia, S., Wang, J., Zhou, X., Huang, Z., Zhu, W., et al. (2014). The Role of D-Dimers in the Diagnosis of Acute Aortic Dissection. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 41, 6397–6403. doi:10.1007/s11033-014-3520-z
- Song, Y., Xie, Y., Liu, F., Zhao, C., Yu, R., Ban, S., et al. (2013). Expression of Matrix Metalloproteinase-12 in Aortic Dissection. BMC Cardiovasc Disord. 13, 34. doi:10.1186/1471-2261-13-34
- Suzuki, T., Distante, A., Zizza, A., Trimarchi, S., Villani, M., Salerno Uriarte, J. A., et al. (2008). Preliminary Experience with the Smooth Muscle Troponin-like Protein, Calponin, as a Novel Biomarker for Diagnosing Acute Aortic Dissection. *Eur. Heart J.* 29, 1439–1445. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehn162
- van Kimmenade, R. R. J., and Januzzi, J. L. (2011). Emerging Biomarkers in Heart Failure. *Clin. Chem.* 58, 127–138.
- Wang, Y., Tan, X., Gao, H., Yuan, H., Hu, R., Jia, L., et al. (2018). Magnitude of Soluble ST2 as a Novel Biomarker for Acute Aortic Dissection. *Circulation* 137, 259–269. doi:10.1161/circulationaha.117.030469
- Wen, T., Liu, L., and Xiong, G.-Z. (2009). Matrix Metalloproteinase Levels in Acute Aortic Dissection, Acute Pancreatitis and Other Abdominal Pain. *Emerg. Med.* J. 26, 715–718. doi:10.1136/emj.2008.067645
- Wilcox, R. G., Olsson, C. G., Skene, A. M., Von Der Lippe, G., Jensen, G., Hampton, J. R., et al. (1988). Trial of Tissue Plasminogen Activator for Mortality Reduction in Acute Myocardial Infarction. *Lancet* 332, 525–530. doi:10. 1016/s0140-6736(88)92656-6
- Xiao, Z., Xue, Y., Yao, C., Gu, G., Zhang, Y., Zhang, J., et al. (2016). Acute Aortic Dissection Biomarkers Identified Using Isobaric Tags for Relative and Absolute Quantitation. *BioMed Res. Int.* 2016, 1–7. doi:10.1155/2016/ 6421451
- Zhai, L., Chang, C., Li, N., Duong, D. M., Chen, H., Deng, Z., et al. (2013). Systematic Research on the Pretreatment of Peptides for Quantitative Proteomics Using a C18 Microcolumn. *Proteomics* 13, 2229–2237. doi:10. 1002/pmic.201200591
- Zhang, D.-M., Feng, L.-X., Liu, M., Jin, W.-H., Luo, J., Nie, A.-Y., et al. (2016). Possible Target-Related Proteins and Signal Network of Bufalin in A549 Cells Suggested by Both iTRAQ-Based and Label-free Proteomic Analysis. *Proteomics* 16, 935–945. doi:10.1002/pmic.201500418
- Zhang, K., Pan, X., Zheng, J., Xu, D., Zhang, J., and Sun, L. (2015). Comparative Tissue Proteomics Analysis of Thoracic Aortic Dissection with Hypertension

Using the iTRAQ Technique. Eur. J. Cardio-Thorac 47, 431-438. doi:10.1093/ ejcts/ezu171

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors, and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Deng, Liu, Gael, Fu, Deng, Liu, Wu, Wu, Wang, Deng, Lai and Fu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.