TRAUMA SURGERY



Leave it or fix it? How fixation of a small posterior malleolar fragment neutralizes rotational forces in trimalleolar fractures

Julia Evers¹ · Maren Fischer² · Michael Raschke¹ · Oliver Riesenbeck¹ · Alexander Milstrey¹ · Dominic Gehweiler² · Boyko Gueorguiev² · Sabine Ochman¹

Received: 28 October 2020 / Accepted: 4 January 2021 / Published online: 28 January 2021 © The Author(s) 2021, corrected publication 2021

Abstract

Introduction This study investigated the effects of a small posterior malleolar fragment (PMF), containing less than 25% articular surface area, on ankle joint stability via computed tomography (CT) scanning under full weight bearing in a human cadaveric ankle fracture model.

Materials and methods A trimalleolar fracture with a PMF of less than 25% articular surface area was created in 6 pairs of fresh-frozen human cadaveric lower legs. The specimens were randomized into 2 groups stabilized by internal fixation including a positioning screw for syndesmotic reconstruction. In Group I the PMF was addressed by direct screw osteo-synthesis, whereas in Group II the fragment was not fixed. Six predefined distances within the ankle were measured under axial loading. CT scans of each specimen were performed in intact and fixated states in neutral position, dorsiflexion and plantar-flexion of the ankle.

Results In plantar-flexion, significant differences were detected between the groups with regard to rotational instability. Group II demonstrated a significantly increased inward rotation of the fibula compared with Group I.

No significant differences were detected between the groups for each one of the measured distances in any of the three foot positions.

Conclusions Additional reduction and fixation of a small PMF seems to neutralize rotational forces in the ankle more effectively than a sole syndesmotic screw. Clinically, this becomes relevant in certain phases of the gait cycle. Direct screw osteosynthesis of a small PMF stabilizes the ankle more effectively than a positioning screw.

Keywords Trimalleolar fracture \cdot Computed tomography \cdot Ankle \cdot Fracture \cdot Posterior malleolar fragment \cdot Positioning screw \cdot Osteosynthesis

Introduction

The ideal treatment of a posterior malleolar fracture fragment (PMF) is an ongoing debate in the current literature. Not just the size of the fragment is important but also its shape in relation to the syndesmotic complex [1-4].

Anwar et al. were able to show that a direct screw osteosynthesis is beneficial versus an indirect screw

osteosynthesis of PMFs [5]. Additionally, a meta-analysis and a clinical long-term study showed favorable results for the former [6, 7]. Gardner et al. reported that the stability achieved with a direct screw osteosynthesis of a PMF is superior to syndesmotic screw stabilization under torsional loading [8]. However, this type of loading loading is not the normal one an ankle has to bear during walking. This study was conducted to mimic loading of the ankle under full weight bearing during different phases of the gait cycle. It analyzed ankles with a trimalleolar fracture in three different positions of the foot - neutral position (NP), dorsiflexion (DF) and plantar-flexion (PF) – under axial loading to measure six predefined distances within the ankle that could point to signs of instability. The main difference between the two study groups was related to the treatment of the PMF. Following fixation of the medial and lateral malleolus and

Julia Evers Julia.evers@ukmuenster.de

¹ University Hospital Muenster, Clinic for Trauma, Hand and Reconstructive Surgery, Albert-Schweitzer Campus 1, W1, 48149 Muenster, Germany

² AO Research Institute Davos, Clavadelerstrasse 8, 7270 Davos, Switzerland

stabilizing the ankle with a syndesmotic screw, the PMF was treated with a direct screw osteosynthesis in Group I, while in Group II the PMF was not addressed. The treatment strategy for Group II represents the standard of care in most hospitals.

Materials and methods

Ethical approval for the study was given by the institutional review board.

Six pairs of fresh-frozen (-20 °C) human cadaveric lower legs without any prior fractures were used in this study.

A range of motion (ROM) of at least 15° dorsiflexion and 25° plantar-flexion of the ankle was defined as necessary and tested in all ankles.

All specimens were thawed for 24 h at room temperature before preparation and testing. Amputation of each specimen was performed at the level of tibial tuberosity.

The specimens were assigned pairwise to two study groups with six specimen each (n=6) and an equal number of right and left legs in each group.

Trimalleolar fractures (Supination-Eversion Fracture Stadium IV according to Lauge-Hansen classification or 44-B3.3 according to the AO/OTA classification) were created in all specimens. Starting with a standardized osteotomy of the distal fibula, a Weber B fracture was simulated with an additional dissection of the anterior syndesmosis. Then a fracture of the medial malleolus was created. Finally, a PMF categorized as type-1 (postero-lateral oblique type) according to Haraguchi et al. [9] and type-2 according to Bartoníček et al. [1], was set, involving less than 25% of the articular surface area with an angle of 20° to the bimalleolar axis. Form and size of the created PMF were verified with a CT scan.

Thereafter, the fractures were fixed with sequential open reduction internal fixation (ORIF) technique of the medial and lateral malleolus, including a positioning screw for syndesmotic reconstruction [10].

In Group I, the PMF was fixed additionally with one partially threaded 4.0 mm lag-screw of appropriate length after direct open reduction (Fig. 1a,b), while the PMF of the specimens in Group II was treated conservatively (Fig. 2a,b). Reduction of all fractures was verified with fluoroscopic control (ARCADIS Varic, Siemens Healthcare GmbH, Erlangen, Germany).

In preparation for testing, the proximal 5 cm of the tibia and fibula were embedded in a polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) block (Beracryl; Suter Kunststoffe AG, Fraubrunnen, Switzerland). The arches of the feet were stabilized by a moulded PMMA sole from plantar, customized individually for each foot. The specimens were mounted for testing in an air pressure-controlled frame (Fig. 3). Axial loading in NP was performed with vertically placed sole, for loading in DF and PF wooden wedge-shaped blocks were positioned under the PMMA sole.

Subsequently, weight-bearing CT scans of each specimen were obtained in NP, 15° DF and 25° PF of the ankle under 720 N static load in a Somatom Emotion CT scanner (Siemens Healthcare GmbH, Erlangen, Germany) with a 0.63 mm slice resolution. All measurementsfor every ankle were conducted in neutral position(NP), according to the neutral zero method.

Each specimen was loaded three times in intact state - in NP, DF and PF - and then three times following both fractured state and reconstructed state with fixation with the same three different positions of the foot.

The quality of reduction was verified using image processing software (OsiriX Lite, Bernex, Switzerland). Less than 2 mm step-off was considered a good reduction. Furthermore, the size of the PMF was determined with the same software and measured as percentage of the involved articular surface area.

Six distances were defined and measured in each specimen's state and foot position.



Fig. 1 a, **b** Radiographs in two planes of a specimen after osteotomy and osteosynthesis with fixation of PMF (Group I)





Fig. 3 A specimen mounted for CT scanning under axial loading in neutral position in the air-pressure-controlled frame

The osseous gap of the anterior syndesmosis (Syn_ant), the gap of the posterior syndesmosis (Syn_post) and the tibiofibular clear space (Syn_trans) were measured one centimeter above the joint line.Moreover, both the rotation of the fibula and its translation in relation to the tibia were quantified according to a method described by Zwipp [11] (Fig. 4). In addition, the medial clear space (MCS) was measured one centimeter below the joint line (Fig. 5) [12].

All measurements were carried out by three experienced foot and ankle surgeons. Each measurement was repeated 3 times to calculate its median and $1^{st}/3^{rd}$ quartiles (Table 1).

All data was collected under loading in both the state and the fractured state after osteosynthesis of the specimens.

For each separate measure, the changes between the intact state and the state after osteosynthesis were evaluated.

Statistical analysis

All descriptive results are given in terms of median and 1st/3rd quartile. The analysis was performed using SPSS software package (version 25, IBM, Armonk, New York, USA). The Wilcoxon Signed-Rank test and the Mann–Whitney test were used to detect significant differences between paired

Fig. 4 a, **b** CT scan of an ankle in neutral position. **a** visualisation of a reference line 1 cm above the joint line in the coronal plane. **b** visualisation of the measures: Syn_post (7.27 mm), Syn_trans (1.23 mm), Syn_ant (4.75 mm), Rotation (89,58°) and Translation (1.55 cm). The tangential line just in front of the tibia is taken as a reference line for the measurements of the rotational angle and translation of the fibula [11]





Fig. 5 MSC measurement in an ankle in neutral position for the MCS 1 centimeter below the joint line

and unpaired groups of measures, respectively. The level of significance was set at p > 0.05 for all statistical tests. Bonferroni correction was considered for multiple comparisons.

Results

The absolute measures demonstrated slightly smaller values, especially with regard to MCS and Syn_Trans, when compared to previous CT studies under weight bearing (Table 1) [13].

Rotational changes of the fibulae in the intact ankles between NP, DF and PF showed a heterogenic array of values. Some fibulae demonstrated an inward rotation, some- an outward rotation, independent from the dorsi- or plantarflexion of the foot. The median values reflect these findings, as there is no difference visible between the foot positions.

A negative value represents an outward rotation, while a positive value - an inward rotation (Tables 2 and 3).

Analyzing the changes in the measures comparing Group I and Group II showed differences, especially for rotation.

Regarding the rotational movement of the distal fibula, a significantly bigger change in inward rotation was registered in Group II (median 3.5°) versus Group I (median 1.5°) under PF of the ankle (p = 0.003).

In NP of the ankle, no significant changes (p=0.636)were detected, whereas in DF we could see a trend to significant difference (p = 0.053). Additionally, in NP and DF the fibula tended to show an increased inward rotation in Group II compared to Group I.

Looking at the measures related to the anterior or posterior syndesmosis (Syn_ant, Syn_post) or tibiofibular clear space (Syn_trans), no distinctive changes were found.

Syn_ant showed a median change of 0.65 mm (± 0.11 mm standard deviation) in all examined positions of the ankle, whereas the Syn_trans median change in those positions was $0.92 \text{ mm} (\pm 0.29 \text{ mm})$ - as measured between the intact state and the fractured state after osteosynthesis.

Syn post resulted in median change of 0.81 mm $(\pm 0.25 \text{ mm}).$

Specifically, for changes in Syn_trans and Syn_post in Group II, these distances tended to be smaller without fixation of the PMF due to an instability of the fracture fragment (Fig. 6).

The differences of these measures between Group I and Group II were as small or only slightly larger than the CT slice resolution and therefore not representative.

MCS also demonstrated no significant no significant differences between the two groups, with changes of approximately, were approximately 0.2 mm and therefore also below CT's slice resolution.

During the examination of translational movements at the distal fibula the changes in the measures were approximately 0.7 mm.

Table 1 Median and 1st/3rd quartiles of the measures among all intact specimens in different foot positions

| | Neutral position | | Dorsiflexion | | Plantar-flexion | |
|-----------------------|------------------|---|--------------|---|-----------------|---|
| | Median | 1 st /3 rd quartile | Median | 1 st /3 rd quartile | Median | 1 st /3 rd quartile |
| Syn_ ant [mm] | 2.88 | 2.2/3.4 | 3.3 | 2.7/3.9 | 2.43 | 1.8/3.1 |
| Syn_ post [mm] | 6.87 | 6.4/7.4 | 7.04 | 6.2/7.5 | 6.46 | 5.7/6.7 |
| Syn_ trans [mm] | 1.76 | 1.5/2.6 | 1.94 | 1.6/2.8 | 1.35 | 1.1/2.2 |
| MCS [mm] | 2.17 | 1.8/2.4 | 2.07 | 1.6/2.3 | 2.47 | 2/2.8 |
| Rotation [°] | 89.04 | 87.4/91.8 | 89.55 | 87.2/92.5 | 89.73 | 85.6/92.1 |
| Transla- tion [mm] | 11.93 | 10.4/14.1 | 12.6 | 10.9/14.8 | 12.39 | 10.2/13.4 |

| Table 2 Changes in the |
|------------------------------|
| measures between intact |
| ankles and their state after |
| osteosynthesis in Group I |

| Specimens and positions | Syn_ ant [mm] | Syn_ trans [mm] | Syn_ post [mm] | MCS [mm] | Rotation [°] | Transla- tion [mm] |
|-------------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------|--------------|-----------------------|
| 1R NP | 1.38 | 2.16 | 1.6 | - 0.02 | 0.71 | - 0.1 |
| 1R DF | 1.53 | 1.69 | 1.47 | 0.34 | - 0.33 | - 0.39 |
| 1R PF | 0.77 | 2.15 | 1.73 | 0.17 | 1.78 | - 1.11 |
| 2R NP | 0.27 | 1 | 1.44 | 0.69 | 0.59 | - 0.89 |
| 2R DF | - 0.23 | 1.34 | 1.11 | 0.31 | - 0.92 | - 0.95 |
| 2R PF | 1.12 | 0.51 | 1.31 | 1 | - 0.46 | 0.37 |
| 4L NP | 0.38 | 1.17 | 1.32 | -0.14 | 0.74 | - 0.56 |
| 4L DF | 0.23 | 0.69 | 0.79 | 0.23 | 1.54 | - 0.56 |
| 4L PF | 0.62 | 0.96 | 1.03 | 0.48 | - 1.34 | 1.14 |
| 5R NP | 0.13 | 1.33 | 1.63 | 0.06 | 1.54 | -0.82 |
| 5R DF | 0.07 | 0.74 | 1.3 | - 0.03 | 0.24 | - 1.39 |
| 5R PF | 0.6 | 1.1 | 1.76 | 0.33 | 3.08 | - 0.76 |
| 7L NP | 0.18 | 0.36 | 0.45 | 0.19 | 0.06 | - 0.96 |
| 7L DF | - 0.05 | 0.8 | 0.46 | 0.35 | - 0.61 | - 0.7 |
| 7L PF | 0.21 | 1.09 | 0.5 | 0.81 | 0.86 | - 0.51 |
| 8R NP | 1.08 | 0.03 | 1.24 | 0.34 | -0.71 | - 0.04 |
| 8R DF | 0.73 | 0.47 | 1.01 | 0.32 | 1.61 | - 0.76 |
| 8R PF | 0.81 | - 0.53 | 0.84 | 0.07 | - 1.38 | 0.03 |

| Table 3 Changes in the |
|------------------------------|
| measurements between intact |
| ankles and their state after |
| osteosynthesis in Group II |

| Specimen and position | Syn_ ant [mm] | Syn_ trans [mm] | Syn_ post [mm] | MCS [mm] | Rotation [°] | Transla- tion [mm] |
|-----------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------|--------------|-----------------------|
| 1L NP | 0.1 | - 0.92 | 0.85 | 0.23 | - 0.72 | - 0.76 |
| 1L DF | - 1.02 | - 0.3 | 0.34 | 0.13 | 1.39 | - 2.16 |
| 1L PF | - 0.94 | 0.42 | 1.41 | 0.27 | 2.07 | - 2.6 |
| 2L NP | 1.88 | - 1.55 | 0.4 | 0.23 | - 3.74 | 1.63 |
| 2L DF | 0.86 | - 0.29 | 0.66 | 0.31 | - 3.56 | 0.46 |
| 2L PF | 2.01 | 0.8 | 0.26 | 0.14 | - 5.03 | 1.09 |
| 4R NP | 0.92 | 0.85 | - 1.19 | 0.31 | - 0.62 | 0.36 |
| 4R DF | 0.68 | 1.09 | 1.08 | 0,23 | 0.16 | - 0.06 |
| 4R PF | - 0.62 | 0.7 | -0.27 | 0.35 | 2.57 | -0.48 |
| 5L NP | - 0.26 | - 0.18 | - 0.47 | 0.05 | 1.77 | - 0.44 |
| 5L DF | - 0.86 | - 0.19 | - 0.38 | 0.08 | 2.18 | - 0.7 |
| 5L PF | - 0.62 | 0.7 | -0.27 | 0.35 | 2.57 | -0.48 |
| 7R NP | - 0.59 | - 0.47 | 0.62 | 0.19 | 4.32 | -0.11 |
| 7R DF | - 0.1 | - 0.15 | 0.56 | 0.05 | 6.2 | -0.87 |
| 7R PF | 0.09 | - 0.31 | 0.97 | 0.45 | 3.7 | 0.3 |
| 8L NP | - 0.79 | - 1.46 | - 0.37 | 0.14 | 4.56 | - 0.59 |
| 8L DF | -0.88 | - 0.58 | - 0.37 | - 0.01 | 3.24 | - 0.49 |
| 8L PF | 0.04 | -0.77 | 0.21 | 0.38 | 3.57 | 0.29 |

Discussion

For many years, the PMF was treated depending on its size, according to the recommendations by Nelson and Jensen [14].

Further studies of the ankle demonstrated the complexity of the joint movement [15] allowing only little alterations in a trimalleolar ankle fracture with rates for arthrosis as high as 34% [16]. Therefore, the idea arose that not only the size of a PMF mattered but rather its configuration and its linkage to other anatomical structures [1–4].



Fig. 6 Axial CT slice of a specimen in neutral position under axial loading. With dislocation of the posterior fracture fragment in Group II, the distances for Syn_trans and Syn_post tended to be smaller than in Group I

To mimic the actual standard of care, we reconstructed our trimalleolar fractures according to the AO standards and therefore implanted a positioning screw to address the instability of the syndesmosis additionally. We applied axial loading in three different foot positions to mimic the maximal load to the ankle joint in certain key moments of the gait cycle [17]. Since torsional moments have already been proven to stress the restoration of syndesmotic stability, we refrained from their application [8].

Inman could show that the talus does a rotational movement while changing from DF into of the foot. While the axis of the talus is oriented to the medial part of the ankle in PF, its orientationchanges to the lateral direction of the ankle in DF [15].

Different examinations analyzed the motion of the distal syndesmosis during the stance phase of the gait cycle. From heel strike to mid-stance, in these studies the fibula everted, externally rotated relative to the tibia, and then moved to the reverse direction from mid-stance to toe-off [4, 18]. In our study, we aimed to mimic loading of an ankle under full weight bearing in different phases of the gait cycle.

Similarly to other biomechanical research, we have to accept some weaknesses because our study design can only reflect the actual in vivo conditions to a limited extend. Some inherent limitations of the human cadaveric studies are related to poor bone quality due to advanced age of the donors and the thawing process. Furthermore, we did not simulate the muscle forces acting at the lower legs.

To eliminate differences between the feet, we only compared the intact state of an ankle under axial loading in NP, DF and PF to its reconstructed state after osteosynthesis after osteosynthesis under the exactly same conditions. Our measures in DF and PF did not reflect the normal range of motion of a young healthy individual, but these measures were obtainable in every specimen of our study.

Our median values were equivalent whencompared with current clinical weight-bearing CT studies [13]. This verified the clinical relevance of our simulated weight-bearing model.

Previous research demonstrated that weight-bearing CT scans are comparable to non-weight-bearing CT scans of the ankle with a plantigrade foot referring to translational and rotational movements of the distal fibula related to [13, 19]. Examining the standard measurements in the ankle related to the MCS and the tibiofibular distances demonstrated that weight bearing had no effect on the tibiofibular joint congruency, but on MCS, which tended to be smaller under weight bearing when compared with CT scanning slices without axial loading [13].

Lepojärvi et al. demonstrated in a weight-bearing CT study that in NP of the loaded ankle, the fibula was located anteriorly in the tibial incisura in 88% of the subjects. When the ankle was rotated, median anteroposterior motion was 1.5 mm and median rotation of the fibula was 3°. Between internal and external rotation there was no significant change in tibiofibular clear space [20].

Chao et al. showed that the posterior syndesmosis absorbs part of the weight-bearing load during the stance phase of the gait cycle, while the load on the posterior part of the distal tibia is increased when moving the ankle from DF to PF [21].

Fitzpatrick et al. demonstrated in a CT study of human cadaver specimens with weight bearing in NP that the overall anterior–posterior reduction of the syndesmosis was generally unaffected by a posterior malleolus fracture except the situation withmalreduction of a large fragment. The medical–lateral syndesmotic reduction was affected by the conditions of the posterior malleolus fixation, with malreduction of the posterior malleolus leading to syndesmotic malreduction. Rotational instability was not analyzed [22].

In contrast, NP we exposed our specimens to axial loadingin DF and PF and observed an increased inward rotation of the fibula during PF in those ankles, where the PMF was not stabilized by a screw osteosynthesis (Group II). With the use of a syndesmotic screw alone, the rotational instability of the fibula in relation to the tibia could not be restored.

Our study showed distinct fibular movement with missing reduction of a small PMF.

Although only axial and no torsional loading was applied during the CT scanning, rotational instability was could be detected, which could be explained by the shape of the talus and its inward directed pseudorotation during PF [16], and the increased loading of the PMF during PF [10, 21].

The syndesmotic screw seems to be too weak to compensate the torsional moments which apply especially in plantarflexion in the ankle joint. Therefore, an osteosynthesis of the small PMF stabilizes the ankle and neutralizes acting torsional moments.

Acknowledgements The Principal Author would like to thank the Deanship of Medical Faculty of Westphalian William University, Münster, Germany, for promotion. The authors are not compensated and there are no other institutional subsidies, corporate affiliations or funding sources supporting this work unless clearly documented and disclosed. This investigation war performed with the assistance of the AO Foundation via AOTRAUMA Network (Grant No.: AR2013_06). No benefits in any form have been received or will be received from a commercial party related directly or indirectly to the subject of this article.

Author contributions Julia Evers initiated and conducted the study, and contributed to major parts of the data analysis and writing of the paper. Maren Fischer conducted the study and contributed to the data analysis. Michael Raschke supported the initiation of the study and revised the paper critically. Oliver Riesenbeck contributed to major parts of the statistical analysis and revised the paper critically. Alexander Milstrey analyzed of the CT data and helped write the paper. Dominic Gehweiler analyzed the CT data and revised the paper critically. Boyko Gueorguiev helped initiating and designing the study and analyzed the data. Sabine Ochman helped initiating and designing the study, analyzed the CT data and contributed to writing the paper.

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of Interest The authors declare that they have no Conflict of interest.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Bartoniček J, Rammelt S, Tuček M, Naňka O (2015) Posterior malleolar fractures of the ankle. Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg 41:587–600
- Drijhout van Hooff CC, Verhage SM, Hoogendoorn JM (2015) Influence of fragment size and postoperative joint congruency on long-term outcome of posterior malleolar fractures. Foot Ankle Int 36(6):673–678
- Evers J, Barz L, Wähnert D, Grüneweller N, Raschke MJ, Ochman S (2015) Size matters: The influence of the posterior fragment on patient outcomes in trimalleolar ankle fractures. Injury https://doi. org/10.1016/S0020-1383(15)30028-0
- Wang C, Yang J, Wang S, Ma X, Wang X, Huang J, Zhang C, Chen L, Geng X, Wang K (2015) Three-dimensional motions of distal syndesmosis during walking. J Orthop Res 24(10): 166

- Anwar A, Zhang Z, Lv D, Lv G, Zao Z, Wang Y, Cai Y, Qasim W, Nazir MU, Lu M (2018) Biomechanical efficacy of AP, PA lag screws and posterior plating for fixation of posterior malleolar fractures: a three dimensional finite element study, BMC Musculoskelet Disord 19(1): 73
- Verhage SM, Hoogendoorn JM, Krijnen P, Schipper IB (2018) When and how to operate the posterior malleolus fragment in trimalleolar fractures: a systematic literature review. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 138:1213–1222
- Weigelt L, Hasler J, Flury A, Dimitriou D, Helmy N (2020) Clinical and radiological mid- to long-term results after direct fixation of posterior malleolar fractures through a posterolateral approach. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg
- Gardner MJ, Brodsky A, Briggs SM, Nielson JH, Lorich DG (2006) Fixation of posterior malleolar fractures provides greater syndesmotic stability. Clin Orthop Relat Res; 447:165-71
- Haraguchi N, Haruyama H, Toga H, Kato F (2006) Pathoanatomy of Posterior Malleolar Fractures of the Ankle. JBJS Am 88(5): 1085–92
- Evers J, Fischer M, Zderic I, Wähnert D, Richards RG, Gueorguiev B, Raschke MJ, Ochman S (2018) The role of a small posterior malleolar fragment in trimalleolar fractures. Bone Joint J 100-B:95–100
- 11. Zwipp H (1994) Chirurgie des Fußes. Springer-Verlag: 171 [German]
- Grass R, Rammelt S, Biewener A, Zwipp H (2003) Peroneus longus ligamentoplasty for chronic instability of the distal tibiofibular sydesmosis. Foot Ankle Int 24(5): 392–7
- Shakoor D, Osgood GM, Brehler M, Zbijewski WB, de Cesar Netto C, Shafiq B, Orapin J, Thawait GK, Shon LC, Demehri S (2019) Cone-beam CT measurements of distal tibio-fibular syndesmosis in asymptomatic uninjured ankles: does weight-bearing matter? Skeletal Radiology 48(4):583–594
- 14. Nelson MC, Jensen NK (1940) Surg Gynec Obst 71:509-514
- 15. Inman VT (1976) The joints of the Ankle. The Williams & Wilkins Company, Baltimore, p 54
- Lindsjö U (1985) Operative treatment of ankle fracture-dislocations. A follow-up study of 306/321 consecutive cases. Clin Orthop Relat Res Oct (199): 28–38.
- van den Bekerom MPJ, Haverkamp D, Kloen P (2009) Biomechanical and Clinical Evaluation of Posterior Malleolar Fractures. A Systematic Review of the Literature. J Trauma 66:279–284
- Hu WK, Chen DW, Li B, Yan YF, Yu GR (2019) Motion of the distal tibiofibular syndesmosis under different loading patterns: a biomechanical study. J Orthop Surg (Hong Kong) 27(2): 23094990109842879
- Hoogervorst P, Working ZM, El Naga A, Marmor M (2019) In Vivo CT analysis of physiological fibular motion at the level of the ankle syndesmosis during plantigrade weightbearing. Foot Ankle Spec 12 (3): 233–237
- Lepojärvi S, Niinimäki J, Pakarinen H, Leskelä HV (2016) Rotational dynamics of the normal distal tibiofibular joint with weightbearing computed tomography. Foot Ankle Int 27(6): 627–35
- Chao EY, Arminger RS, Yoshida H Lim J, Haraguchi N (2007) Virtual interactive musculoskeletal system (VIMS) in orthopaedic research, education and clinical patient care. J Orthop Surg Res 2: 2
- Fitzpatrick E, Goetz JE, Sittapairoj T, Siddappa VH, Femino JE, Phisitkul P (2018) Effect of posterior malleolus fracture on syndesmotic reduction: a cadaveric study. J Bone Joint Surg Am 100(3): 243–248

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.