Check for updates

REVIEW

In vivo genome editing in animals using AAV-CRISPR system: applications to translational research of human disease [version 1; referees: 2 approved]

Cia-Hin Lau¹, Yousin Suh ¹2-5

¹Department of Mechanical and Biomedical Engineering, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, SAR, China ²Department of Genetics, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, New York, USA ³Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, New York, USA ⁴Department of Medicine, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, New York, USA ⁵Institute for Aging Research, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, New York, USA

First published: 20 Dec 2017, **6**(F1000 Faculty Rev):2153 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.11243.1)

Latest published: 20 Dec 2017, 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):2153 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.11243.1)

Abstract

Adeno-associated virus (AAV) has shown promising therapeutic efficacy with a good safety profile in a wide range of animal models and human clinical trials. With the advent of clustered regulatory interspaced short palindromic repeat (CRISPR)-based genome-editing technologies, AAV provides one of the most suitable viral vectors to package, deliver, and express CRISPR components for targeted gene editing. Recent discoveries of smaller Cas9 orthologues have enabled the packaging of Cas9 nuclease and its chimeric guide RNA into a single AAV delivery vehicle for robust in vivo genome editing. Here, we discuss how the combined use of small Cas9 orthologues, tissue-specific minimal promoters, AAV serotypes, and different routes of administration has advanced the development of efficient and precise in vivo genome editing and comprehensively review the various AAV-CRISPR systems that have been effectively used in animals. We then discuss the clinical implications and potential strategies to overcome off-target effects, immunogenicity, and toxicity associated with CRISPR components and AAV delivery vehicles. Finally, we discuss ongoing non-viral-based ex vivo gene therapy clinical trials to underscore the current challenges and future prospects of CRISPR/Cas9 delivery for human therapeutics.

Open Peer Re	eview	
Referee Statu	is: 🗸 🗸	
	Invited 1	Referees 2
version 1 published 20 Dec 2017	~	*

F1000 Faculty Reviews are commissioned from members of the prestigious F1000 Faculty. In order to make these reviews as comprehensive and accessible as possible, peer review takes place before publication; the referees are listed below, but their reports are not formally published.

- 1 Jin-Soo Kim, Seoul National University, Korea, South
- 2 Dirk Grimm, Heidelberg University Hospital, Germany

Discuss this article

Comments (0)

 $\label{eq:corresponding} Corresponding authors: Cia-Hin Lau (lauciahin_4275@yahoo.com), Yousin Suh (yousin.suh@einstein.yu.edu)$

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

How to cite this article: Lau CH and Suh Y. *In vivo* genome editing in animals using AAV-CRISPR system: applications to translational research of human disease [version 1; referees: 2 approved] *F1000Research* 2017, **6**(F1000 Faculty Rev):2153 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.11243.1)

Copyright: © 2017 Lau CH and Suh Y. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Grant information: This work was funded by National Institutes of Health grants AG017242, GM104459, and CA180126 (to Yousin Suh).

First published: 20 Dec 2017, 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):2153 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.11243.1)

Introduction

CRISPR (clustered regulatory interspaced short palindromic repeat)/Cas9-based RNA-guided DNA endonuclease is transforming biomedical science research and has quickly become the preferred genome-editing platform for interrogating endogenous gene function in vivo1,2. The CRISPR-based genome-editing tool has revolutionized the gene-editing technique because of its simplicity in target design, affordability, high efficiency, versatility, and multiplexing capability3. The commonly used CRISPR system can be implemented in mammalian cells by co-expressing Cas9 nuclease along with chimeric guide RNA (gRNA), which is derived from a synthetic fusion of the CRISPR RNA array (crRNA) and trans-activating crRNA (tracrRNA)³. The target site sequence of gRNA needs to be immediately followed by an optimal protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) sequence according to the species-derived Cas9 nuclease used at the 3' end^{4,5}. The background of and the recent developments in the CRISPRbased targeted genome-editing toolboxes have been extensively reviewed recently^{1,2,6}. Various applications of CRISPR technologies for genome engineering and medical research have also been reviewed recently^{2,6,7}.

The CRISPR/Cas9 complex can be introduced into the cell in the forms of DNA, messenger RNA (mRNA), or protein⁸. The DNA encoding Cas9 and gRNA can be delivered into the cell using the plasmid and viral expression vectors⁸. Through microinjection, liposome-mediated transfection, electroporation, or nucleofection⁹, several recent studies have shown that the delivery format of active Cas9 protein/gRNA ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complex has lower off-target effects due to rapid clearance of RNPs from the cell⁸⁻¹⁰ and rapid gene editing as they cleave chromosomal DNA almost immediately after delivery9,10 as compared with plasmid DNA transfection. However, the delivery formats of mRNA and protein pose certain technical challenges in vivo. For example, many of the genetic brain disorders affect very large brain areas and more than a single structure in the brain¹¹. Therefore, global gene delivery to the central nervous system is a key to achieve effective therapies for neurological disorders. Although a recent study has successfully demonstrated genome editing in the mouse brain by local delivery of RNPs¹², it is technically challenging to deliver the RNPs globally to the central nervous system. Thus, the viral-based in vivo genome editing remains a popular choice to achieve stable or elevated expression of Cas9 and single-guide RNA (sgRNA) required for establishing animal disease models and therapeutic gene editing in animals. Indeed, it has been demonstrated that systemic delivery of adeno-associated virus (AAV) vectors enabled uniform and broad vector distribution, and subsequently led to extensive and widespread transgene expression in the adult mouse central nervous system¹³⁻¹⁵.

Animal models are preferred over cell models, as they help understand disease mechanisms at the physiological and systemic levels. Somatic mutagenesis via *in vivo* genome editing provides an ideal platform to accelerate the generation of transgenic animals for rapid exploration of human diseases and correcting genetic defects in gene therapy. *In vivo* genome editing also avoids laborious germline targeting and high costs of maintaining transgenic neonates through adulthood in animals with a long life span. Obviously, somatic mutagenesis in adult animals has proven technically more challenging than gene editing of one-cell-stage embryos or zygotes or pluripotent stem cells. The major hurdle is to efficiently deliver the CRISPR components *in vivo*. To date, various viral, non-viral (for example, lipid nanoparticles), and physical (for example, hydrodynamic injection) based delivery approaches of the CRISPR/Cas9 complex have been adopted for *in vivo* genome editing. The pros and cons of these delivery methods have been extensively reviewed recently^{16–18}.

Given the great potential of viral vectors in gene and cell therapy, five major classes of viral vectors—retroviruses¹⁹, lentiviruses^{20,21}, adenoviruses^{22,23}, AAVs^{24,25}, and baculoviruses^{26,27}—have been employed to deliver CRISPR components into mammalian cells for targeted genome editing. The advantages and disadvantages of using these viral vectors for in vivo delivery of the CRISPR transgenes have been extensively reviewed^{24,28-30}. In Table 1, we listed general characteristics and applications of various viral delivery vectors. Among these, the AAV vector is the overall focus of this review. The AAV system provides major advantages for research and therapeutics, including a very mild immune response and toxicity elicited by AAV in animal models. Moreover, AAVs remain primarily episomal upon transduction, avoiding random integration of the viral genetic materials into the host genome that can disrupt neighboring gene function and cause insertional mutagenesis³¹. Indeed, there has been no reported case of disease caused by AAV in humans³¹. Additionally, AAV can exist longterm as concatemers in non-dividing cells for stable transgene expressions³¹. Given a good safety profile of AAV and therapeutic efficacy in a wide range of animal models and human clinical trials (ClinicalTrials.gov), AAV is thought to be one of the most suitable viral vectors for gene therapeutic applications and gene transfer in vivo. Furthermore, there is a wide range of AAV serotypes that can be selected to infect specific tissues in vivo. For these reasons, here, we provide an overview of the state of the art of various AAV-CRISPR systems as well as their principal vector designs for in vivo genome editing in animals. Senís and colleagues were amongst the first to exploit and demonstrate the use of the AAV vectors to package, deliver, and express CRISPR components for targeted gene editing in hard-to-transfect cells and the liver of adult mice³². Subsequently, an increasing number of the studies used AAV vectors to deliver the CRISPR components into animals for in vivo genome editing. Of note, for certain applications such as packaging of a very large transgene^{29,33}, genome-wide screening^{20,34,35}, and production of vaccines and recombinant proteins36,37, the other viral vectors may be a better option. However, in-depth discussions of the other viral delivery vehicles for the CRISPR components are beyond the scope of this review.

Here, we aim to review various AAV-CRISPR systems recently demonstrated in mice and to discuss how the combined use of tissue-specific minimal promoters, AAV serotypes, different routes of administration, and small Cas9 orthologues has enabled investigators to achieve maximal efficiency and specificity for *in vivo* genome editing. In addition, we discuss the clinical implications and potential strategies to overcome off-target effects,

Characteristics for a typical vector	Retrovirus ^{19,38,39}	Lentivirus ^{20,21,38,40,41}	Adenovirus ^{22,42–48}	Adeno-associated virus ^{30,32,49–52}	Baculovirus ^{27,29,53}
Common viral type	γ-retroviruses	HIV-1	Ad5	AAV2	AcMNPV
Viral envelope	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
Nucleocapsid shape	Icosahedral	Icosahedral	Icosahedral	Icosahedral	Rod
Viral size	80–130 nm	80–130 nm	70–105 nm	18–26 nm	250–300 nm 30–60 nm
Viral genome structure	Linear ssRNA	Linear ssRNA	Linear dsDNA	Linear ssDNA	Circular dsDNA
Viral genome size	8.3 kb	9.7 kb	36 kb	4.7 kb	80–180 kb
Packaging capacity	<8.0 kb	<8.0 kb	<30 kb	<4.5 kb	>38 kb
Transgene is flanked by	LTRs	LTRs	ITRs	ITRs	Tn7s
Viral generation approach	Triple-plasmid transfection	Triple-plasmid transfection	Homologous recombination	Triple-plasmid transfection	Site-specific transposition
Competent cell used	Stbl3	Stbl3	AdEasier-1	Stbl3	DH10Bac
Host cells used	HEK293T	HEK 293T	HEK293T or HER911	HEK293T	Sf9 or Sf21
Cells infected	Dividing	Dividing or non-dividing	Dividing or non-dividing	Dividing or non-dividing	Dividing or non-dividing
Transduction efficiency	Moderate	High	Very high	High	High
Transgene expression	Stable	Stable	Transient	Transient	Transient
Immune response	Moderate	Low	High	Very Iow	Very Iow
Toxicity	High	Moderate	High	Low	Low
Random genome integration	Yes	Yes	No	Generally no (recombinant AAV has a low frequency of host genome integration events)	Q
Biosafety levels	BSL-2	BSL-2	BSL-2	BSL-1	BSL-1
Common applications	Generating stable cell and gene transfer, cancer and stem cell research	Transduce difficult-to- transfect cell, genome- wide screens	Vaccine production, cancer immune therapy	Gene delivery <i>in vivo</i> , optogenetics	Recombinant proteins and vaccine production
Clinical trials	Very popular	Very popular	Popular	Increasing popularity	Growing interest
First <i>ex vivo</i> gene transfer clinical trial started (disease, ClinicalTrials.gov ID)	1990 (severe combined immunodeficiency, NCT00001255)	2007 (lymphoma, NCT00569985)	2000 (hepatocellular carcinoma, NCT00300521)	None	None
First <i>in vivo</i> gene transfer clinical trial started (disease, ClinicalTrials.gov ID)	None	2014 (sickle cell anemia, NCT02186418)	1993 (cystic fibrosis, NCT00004779)	1999 (cystic fibrosis, NCT00004533)	None
	-				

immunogenicity, and toxicity associated with CRISPR components and AAV delivery vehicles. Finally, we discuss the promises and hurdles associated with ongoing *ex vivo* gene therapy clinical trials.

AAV-CRISPR-based in vivo genome editing in mice

At least 33 published studies successfully used CRISPR/Cas9 alongside AAV vectors for in vivo genome editing in mice (Table 2). The AAV-CRISPR system is particularly useful for editing disease-associated genes in the brain or central nervous system of mice because of the inability of most cationic nanocarriers to cross the blood-brain barrier, high transduction efficiency of AAV vectors in the brain, and non-dividing properties of neurons for long-term therapeutic effects. The AAV-CRISPR system has also been successfully used to restore the gene function in muscle-associated diseases such as Duchenne muscular dystrophy⁵⁴⁻⁵⁷ and diseases associated with the eye^{5,58-60}, liver^{4,49,61}, heart⁶²⁻⁶⁴, and lung⁶⁵. This AAV-CRISPR-mediated gene editing in mice provided proof-of-principle studies for human disease modeling, gene therapy, or gene functional characterizations. We discuss recent developments and advancements in four critical aspects of the AAV-CRISPR system that have enabled efficient and precise in vivo genome editing in mice.

Small Cas9 orthologues

Even though the AAV vector is an extremely attractive delivery vehicle for CRISPR, its relatively small viral genome-packaging capacity has limited its use for delivering large transgenes. Using dual-vector AAV system or smaller Cas9 orthologues along with truncated regulatory elements (promoter and polyadenylation signal) has greatly circumvented the transgene packaging issue (Figure 1A). Owing to a small AAV viral genome-packaging capacity (~4.8 kb), it has been technically challenging to co-package Streptococcus pyogenes-derived Cas9 (SpCas9) (4.1 kb) and multiple sgRNAs into all-in-one AAV vectors for multiplex genome editing. Recently, it has been demonstrated that when very small promoters were used (for example, miCMV promoter and H1 promoter to drive the expressions of SpCas9 and its gRNA, respectively), it was possible to package both SpCas9 and its gRNA into a single vector³². However, the number of promoters that can be selected for tissue-specific transduction is limited. To circumvent this issue, the previously reported dual-vector system was adopted to express SpCas9 nuclease from one vector and to express its gRNAs together with a fluorescent reporter gene from another vector²⁵. Recently, St1Cas9 (~3.3 kb) from Streptococcus thermophilus⁶⁶ and a rationally designed truncated form of SpCas967 were developed to fit SpCas9 and its gRNA into a single AAV construct. Unfortunately, St1Cas9 requires a more complex PAM sequence that limits the number of targetable loci66, and truncated SpCas9 has a much lower efficiency than its wild-type counterpart⁶⁷.

To overcome these drawbacks, other recently discovered small Cas9 orthologues, including *Staphylococcus aureus*-derived Cas9 (SaCas9, 3.16 kb)⁴ and *Campylobacter jejuni*-derived Cas9 (CjCas9, 2.95 kb)⁵, have been used to package the Cas9 and its gRNA into a single AAV delivery vehicle for *in vivo* genome editing. To date, at least 11 independent *in vivo* studies have used

the AAV-SaCas9 system to edit disease-associated genes in a variety of tissues, including brain⁶⁸, muscle^{54,55,57,69}, retina⁵⁸, heart⁷⁰, and liver^{4,49,71}. More recently, the quadruplex gRNAs/SaCas9 vector consisting of SaCas9 and multiplex sgRNAs was successfully delivered using AAV-DJ/8 for in vivo excision of HIV-1 proviral DNA in various solid tissues/organs via a single intravenous injection in humanized bone marrow/liver/thymus (BLT) mice with chronic HIV-1 infection⁷². In the all-in-one vector system, CjCas9 can be packaged with multiple gRNAs and even a fluorescent reporter gene into a single AAV vector⁵. Even though CjCas9 represents the smallest Cas9 orthologue characterized to date, only one in vivo study has demonstrated the use of AAV vectors to deliver CjCas9 into the mouse's retina for the treatment of age-related macular degeneration because of the recent development of CjCas95. Excitingly, AAV-CjCas9 offers the possibility of editing multiplex genes and tracking the expression of fluorescent reporter genes at high efficiency by simply introducing a single type of AAV vector into the mouse body. The CRISPR-mediated genome-editing specificities can be further improved by adopting truncated gRNAs73 and small chemical molecules to regulate Cas9 activity74 or to enhance doublestranded break-induced homologous recombination efficiency75 without sacrificing on-target genome-editing efficiencies.

Natural and engineered AAV serotypes

Multiple naturally occurring AAV serotypes have been harnessed to deliver the CRISPR/Cas9 complex into different tissues and organs in mice (Figure 1B). AAV1 is particularly efficient to drive CRISPR transgene expression in the brain^{25,65,76}, whereas AAV8 appears well suited for the transduction of liver^{4,49,61,71,77} and muscle⁵⁵ in mice. AAV9 has the general ability to transduce all major tissues, including muscle^{54,56,69}, retina⁵, heart^{62–64,78}, and lung⁶⁵, in mice. Recently, the AAV9-CRISPR system has been successfully used to identify functional tumor suppressors in glioblastoma by performing high-throughput mutagenesis in the brain of conditional-Cas9 mice to recapitulate human glioblastoma⁷⁹. AAV2⁵⁹ and AAV5⁵⁸ have also been used to transduce retina, whereas AAV6⁵⁷ enables CRISPR-mediated gene editing in muscle tissue.

In order to alter tropism, reduce blockage by neutralizing antibodies, or enhance transduction efficiency, AAV capsids have been chemically or genetically modified to produce hybrid capsids by combining the properties of multiple serotypes, capsid shuffling, directed evolution, rational mutagenesis, or carrying peptide insertions that introduce the novel receptor-binding activity. AAV variants with engineered capsids (AAV2-retro, AAV2g9, AAV-DJ, and AAV-DJ/8) have been used to package CRISPR for transduction in the mouse brain. An in vivo-directed evolutionengineered AAV variant, rAAV2-retro, permits robust retrograde access to projection neurons in functionally connected and highly distributed networks with a high efficiency for neural circuit dissection and in vivo genome editing68. An AAV chimera derived from AAV2 and AAV9, AAV2g9, enables preferential, robust, and widespread neuronal transduction within the mouse brain for CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene deletion for the treatment of neurological disorders⁸⁰. To provide substantially higher infectivity rates across a broad range of tissues than any known native serotypes,

Target tissue in mouse (disease or phenotype)	Promoter – regulates CRISPR or reporter	AAV serotype	Route of administration (injection site)	Application (gene)	Phenotypic impact or therapeutic outcome	Remark	Reference
Brain (study gene function)	pMecp2 promoter - SpCas9 hSyn1 promoter - GFP, KASH U6 promoter - gRNA	AAV1	Stereotactic injection (dentate gyrus)	Gene knockout (<i>Mecp2</i> , <i>Dnmt1</i> , <i>Dnmt3a</i> , and <i>Dnmt3b</i>)	Impaired contextual memory and memory formation	Can edit multiple genes simultaneously	25
Brain (study brain circuit)	hSyn1 promoter - SaCas9, Cre recombinase, GCaMP6f calcium sensor CAG promoter - tdTomato U6 promoter - Grna	AAV2-retro	Stereotactic injection (pontine nucleus, dorsal striatum)	Gene knockout (<i>tdTomato</i>)	Enabled efficient mapping, monitoring, and manipulation of projection neurons	Modified AAV2 capsid with a 7-mer peptide	68
Brain (Huntington disease)	CMV promoter - SpCas9, eGFP U6 promoter - gRNA H1 promoter - gRNA	AAV1	Stereotactic injection (striata)	SNP- dependent editing (<i>Htt</i>)	Reduced expression of mutant Htt allele in mouse brain	Use transgenic Huntington disease model	76
Brain (schizophrenia)	EF1a promoter - tdTomato CBh promoter - ScGFP hSyn1 promoter - ScGFP GFAP promoter - ScGFP U6 promoter - gRNA	AAV2g9	Stereotaxic injections (intracerebroventricular, cerebrospinal fluid)	Gene deletion (<i>pre-miR137</i>)	Displayed preferential, robust, and widespread neuronal transduction within the brain	Use Cas9 mice and an AAV chimeric derived from AAV2 and AAV9	80
Brain (inducible genome editing)	Dox inducible Tight promoter - SpCas9 TRE3G promoter - SpCas9 pMecp2 - SpCas9 CMV promoter - TetR, GFP, KASH H1/TO promoter - gRNA U6/TO promoter - gRNA	AAV-DJ/8 AAV-DJ/8	Stereotaxic injection (basal and lateral amygdala)	Gene induction (<i>Tet2</i>)	Edited the genomes of neurons <i>in vivo</i> within the mouse brain in a Dox-dependent manner	Doxycycoline- dependent gRNA expression	8
Brain (study gene function)	CBh promoter - Cre recombinase hSyn1 promoter - GFP, KASH U6 promoter - gRNA	AAV1	Stereotactic injection (prefrontal cortex)	Gene knock-in (<i>NeuN</i>)	NeuN protein depletion only in the injected region	Use Cre-dependent SpCas9 <i>Rosa26</i> knock-in mice	65
Brain (glioblastoma)	U6 promoter - gRNA GFAP promoter - Cre recombinase	AAV9	Stereotactic injection	Gene mutation (<i>Trp53</i> , <i>Nf1</i> , or <i>Rb1</i>)	Induced tumor formation that recapitulates human glioblastoma	Use LSL-Cas9 mice	79
Brain (precise genome editing)	EFS promoter - SpCas9 U6 promoter - gRNA	AAV1	Intraventricular and stereotactic injections	Gene knock-in (<i>Camk2a</i> , <i>Erk2</i> , Actb)	vSLENDR enabled efficient homology-directed repair in post-mitotic neurons in developing, adult, aged, and pathological brains	Use wild-type and Cas9 mice	82
Brain (study gene function)	U6 promoter - gRNA CBh promoter - Cre recombinase	AAV	Stereotactic injection (pyramidal neurons and microglia in hippocampus)	Gene disruption (<i>Cnr2</i>)	Decreased contextual fear memory, enhanced spatial working memory	Use Camk2a-Cas9, Gad2-Cas9, and Cx3cr1-Cas9 mice	83

Reference	84	54	22 2	50	57	69	IJ	28	20
Remark	Use hSOD1G93A ALS mouse model	Use mdx mouse model of DMD	Use mdx mouse model of DMD	Use mdx mouse model of DMD	Use mdx4cv mouse model of DMD	Use dy2J/dy2J mice	Smallest Cas9 orthologue (smaller than SaCas9)	Use self-limiting CRISPR/Cas9 system	Use Thy1-YFP transgenic mice
Phenotypic impact or therapeutic outcome	Decreased D-amino acid oxidase and increased D-serine, and caspase9 activation	Partially recovered muscle functional deficiencies	Improved muscle function	Enhanced skeletal muscle function	Improved muscle function	Improved muscle histopathology and function	Reduced the size of laser-induced choroidal neovascularization	Effectively removed intronic mutation in <i>Cep290</i> with minimized immune response	Effective gene knockout without affecting retinal function
Application (gene)	Gene knockdown (<i>lgf1</i>)	Exon deletion (<i>Dmd</i>)	(<i>Dmd</i>)	Exon deletion (<i>Dma</i>)	Exon deletion and gene knock-in (<i>Dmd</i>)	Gene correction (<i>Lama2</i>)	Gene knockout (<i>Rosa26, Vegfa</i> , and <i>Hif1a</i>)	Gene deletion (<i>Cep290</i>)	Gene knockout (<i>YFP</i>)
Route of administration (injection site)	Intrathecal injection	Intramuscular (tibialis anterior) and intraperitoneal (intraperitoneal space) injections	Intramuscular (tibialis anterior), intraperitoneal (intraperitoneal space), and intravenous (tail vein) injections	Intraperitoneal, intramuscular, and retro-orbital (venous sinus) injections	Intramuscular (tibialis anterior) and systemic (retro-orbital) injection	Intraperitoneal injection	Intramuscular (tibialis anterior) and intravitreal injection	Subretinal injection	Intravitreal (intraocular) injection
AAV serotype	scAAV9	AAV9	AAV8	AAV9	AAV6	AAV9	AAV9	AAV5	AAV2
Promoter – regulates CRISPR or reporter	CMV promoter - SpCas9 U6 promoter - gRNA	CMV promoter - SaCas9 EFS promoter - SaCas9 CAGGS promoter - tdTomato U6 promoter - gRNA	CMV promoter - SaCas9 U6 promoter - gRNA	CMV promoter - SpCas9 U6 promoter - gRNA	CK8 promoter - SpCas9, SaCas9 CMV promoter - mCherry U6 promoter - gRNA	CMV promoter - SaCas9 U6 promoter - gRNA	EFS promoter - CjCas9 Spc512 promoter - CjCas9 U6 promoter - gRNA	CMV promoter - SpCas9, SaCas9 hSyn1 promoter - eGFP GFAP promoter - eGFP U6 promoter - gRNA	pMecp2 promoter - SpCas9 hSyn1 promoter - mCherry, KASH U6 promoter - gRNA
Target tissue in mouse (disease or phenotype)	Central nervous system (amyotrophic lateral sclerosis)	Muscle (Duchenne muscular dystrophy)	Muscle (Duchenne muscular dystrophy)	Muscle (Duchenne muscular dystrophy)	Muscle (Duchenne muscular dystrophy)	Muscle (congenital muscular dystrophy type 1A)	Retina (age-related macular degeneration)	Retina (Leber Congenital Amaurosis 10)	Retina (retinal gene editing)

Target tissue in mouse (disease or phenotype)	Promoter – regulates CRISPR or reporter	AAV serotype	Route of administration (injection site)	Application (gene)	Phenotypic impact or therapeutic outcome	Remark	Reference
Retina (retinal degeneration)	CMV promoter - SpCas9, tdTomato U6 promoter - gRNA	AAV8	Subretinal injection	Gene knockout (<i>Nrl</i>)	Prevented retinal degeneration, improved rod survival, and preserved cone function	Use mouse models of retinal degeneration	60
Retina (angiogenesis)	pICAM2 - SpCas9 U6 promoter - gRNA	AAV1	Intravitreal injection	Gene silencing (<i>VEGFR2</i>)	Abrogated angiogenesis	Use mouse models of oxygen-induced retinopathy and laser-induced choroid neovascularization	85
Liver (ornithine transcarbamylase)	TBG promoter - SaCas9 U6 promoter - gRNA	AAV8	Intravenous injection (temporal vein)	Gene knock-in (<i>Otc</i>)	Increased survival in mice challenged with a high-protein diet	Use adult OTC- deficient mice	49
Liver (hereditary tyrosinemia)	EF1a promoter - GFP U6 promoter - gRNA	AAV8	Systemic (tail vein) injection	Gene knock-in (<i>Fah</i>)	Rescued disease symptoms such as weight loss and liver damage	Use a mouse model of human hereditary tyrosinemia	61
Liver (total cholesterol)	TBG promoter - SaCas9 U6 promoter - gRNA	AAV8	Systemic (tail vein) injection	Gene knockout (<i>Pcsk9</i>)	Reduced serum Pcsk9 and total cholesterol levels	Smaller Cas9 orthologue than SpCas9	4
Liver (LDL cholesterol)	CB promoter - EmGFP U6 promoter - gRNA	AAV8	Intraperitoneal injection	Gene knockout (Ldlr, Apob)	Resulted in severe hypercholesterolemia and atherosclerosis	Use Cas9 targeted transgenic mice	77
Liver (hemophilia B)	HCRhAATp promoter - SaCas9 U6 promoter - gRNA	AAV8	Systemic (tail vein) injection	Gene knock-in (<i>F9</i>)	Restored hemostasis	Use F9-mutated mice	71
Heart (PRKAG2 cardiac syndrome)	CMV promoter - SpCas9 U6 promoter - gRNA	AAV9	Systemic injection	Gene knockout (<i>Prkag2</i>)	Restored the morphology and function of the heart after disrupting the mutant <i>Prkag2</i> allele	Use H530R <i>Prkag2</i> transgenic knock-in mice	62
Heart (cardiac disease modeling)	Myh6 promoter - SpCas9, GFP, TdTomato CMV promoter - ZsGreen U6 promoter - gRNA	AAV9	Intraperitoneal injection	Gene deletion (<i>Myh6</i>)	Displayed severe cardiomyopathy and loss of cardiac function	Use postnatal cardiac-Cas9 transgenic mice	63
Heart (cardiac myocyte maturation)	cTNT promoter - Cre recombinase U6 promoter - gRNA	AAV9	Subcutaneous injection	Gene knockout (<i>Jph2</i> , <i>Ryr2</i>)	Disrupted T-tubule structure and maturation	Use RosaCas9GFP/ Cas9GFP neonatal mice	78
Heart (dystrophic cardiomyopathy)	CK7-miniCMV promoter - SaCas9 U6 promoter - gRNA	AAV rh74	Systemic (retro-orbital, intraperitoneal) injection	Gene excision (Dmd)	Restored dystrophin expression and cardiac function	Use mdx/Utr*/- dystrophic mice	20

Target tissue in mouse (disease or phenotype)	Promoter – regulates CRISPR or reporter	AAV serotype	Route of administration (injection site)	Application (gene)	Phenotypic impact or therapeutic outcome	Remark	Reference
Heart (cardiac gene function)	CB promoter - SpCas9 U6 promoter - gRNA	AAV9	Intracardiac	Gene disruption (<i>Myh6, Sav1</i> , and <i>Tbx20</i>)	Resulted in mosaic pattern of gene disruption	Use Myh6-Cre transgenic mice	64
Lung (lung adenocarcinoma)	EFS promoter - Renilla luciferase, Cre recombinase U6 promoter - gRNA	AAV9	Intranasal (nostril) and intratracheal (trachea) injections	Gene knock-in (<i>p53</i> , <i>Lkb1</i> , and <i>Kras</i>)	Led to macroscopic tumors of lung adenocarcinoma pathology	Use Cre-dependent SpCas9 <i>Rosa26</i> knock-in mice	65
Liver, heart, muscle (host immune response)	SMVP promoter - SpCas9, SpCas9-VPR CASI promoter - SpCas9 CAG promoter - tdTomato U6 promoter - gRNA	AAV9	Intramuscular and intraperitoneal injections	Gene activation (<i>Msth, Fst, Pd-I1</i> , and <i>Cd47</i>)	Modest activation of <i>Pd-I1</i> and <i>Cd47</i>	Use AAV-split-Cas9 system	50
Circulating lymphocytes, spleen, liver, heart, lung, and kidney (eradication of HIV-1 DNA)	CMV promoter - SaCas9 U6 promoter - gRNA	AAV9	Systemic (tail vein) injection and retro- orbital inoculation	Gene knockout (HIV-1 DNA)	Eradication of HIV-1 DNA	Use transgenic mice and rats encompassing the HIV-1 genome	86
Spleen, lungs, heart, colon, and brain (HIV-1 proviral DNA excision)	CMV promoter - SaCas9 U6 promoter - gRNA	AAV-DJ/8	Systemic (tail vein) injection	Gene deletion (HIV-1 DNA)	Induced efficient excision of HIV-1 proviral DNA	Use HIV-1 Tg26 transgenic mice and humanized BLT mice with chronic HIV-1 infection	72
AAV, adeno-associated vi a hybrid of promoter com enhancer and chicken B-i transducer; <i>Cep290</i> , cen regularly interspaced sho elongation factor-1 alpha, <i>Gad2</i> , glutantic acid dec: hepatic control region of t insulin-like growth factor density lipoprotein recept intercellular adhesion mol harbor locus in mouse, <i>PJ</i> , SNP, single-nucleotide po <i>Thy1</i> , tymus cell antigen domain protein receptor,	us; ALS, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis obsed of the CMV immediate-early enl uctin promoter followed by a splice do rosomal protein 290; CjCas9, <i>Campyli</i> t palindromic repeat; cTNT, cardiac tr EFS, EF1 a short; eGFP, enhanced gr trbcs, EF1 a short; eGFP, enhanced gr trboxyase 2; GCaMP6f, green fluoreso me Apo E/C1 gene and the human ant : <i>Jph2</i> , junctophilin-2; KASH, nuclear n 1; <i>Nrl</i> , neural retina-specific leucine z ecule 2 promotier. <i>Prkag2</i> , protein kina- ecule 2 promotier. Forcas9, <i>Streptococcus</i> , 1 theta; THE3G, Tet-On 3G inducible, <i>I</i> , PR, a fusion of VP64–p65–Rta; vSLEh	:: Apob, apolip hancer, CBA p nor and splice oponin T prom een fluorescent een fluorescent een calcium ir l±trypsin prom rtransmbran rtransmbran rtransmbran rtransmbran rtransmbran rtransmbran rtransmbran vdy. dystrophir dypyrococcus & phylococcus & phylococcus & phylococcus & vyogenes Cas, phylococcus & phylococcus & vyogenes Cas, phylococcus & vyogenes Cas, phylococcus & vyogenes Cas, phylococcus & vyodenes Cas, vyodenes Cas, v	oprotein B; BLT, bone marrow romoter, and CBA intron 1/exo acceptor; CB, chicken beta a 2as9, CK7, CK8, striated mus oter; <i>Cx3cr1</i> , chemokine (C- protein; <i>ErK2</i> , mitogen-activa clicator; GFAP, glial fibrillary a clicator; SFAP, glial fibrillary a clicator; SFAP, glial fibrillary a clicator; SFAP, glial fibrillary a clicator; SFAP, glial fibrillary a clicator; GFAP, glial fibrillary a clicator; SFAP, glial fibrillary a clicator; GFAP, glial fibrillary a clicator; SFAP, glial fibrillary a clicator; GFAP, gliator; gli	//iver/thymus; CAG, 2n 1; <i>Camk2a</i> , calci actin promoter; CBh cle-restricted promo (3-C motif) receptor (3-C motif	a hybrid of CMV early enhancer and um/calmodulin-dependent protein kin a hybrid form of the CBA promoter; C ster; CMV, cytornegalovirus; Cnr2, can 1; Dmd, Duchenne muscular dystroph : F9, coagulation factor 1X; Fah, fuma uman immunodeficiency virus; hSm ne homolog; Lama2, laminin alpha 2; Msth, myostatin; Myh6, myosin heav n convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9; P2 mation related protein 53; R9 1, RB tra- containing 1; SMVP, a hybrid of SV40 e cine binding globulin; Tbx20, Tbx 20 cos vira hDR; YFP, yellow fluorescent pro	chicken beta-actin promote ase II alpha; CASI, a hybrio 2d47, integrin-associated s nabinoid receptor 2; CRISF vy; <i>Dnmt</i> , DNA methyltrans vy: <i>Dnmt</i> , DNA methyltrans (CRIAATp, an enhancer elt 1, human synapsin I; <i>Ht</i> , in LDL, Iow-density lipoprotel y polypeptide 6; <i>NeuN</i> , neu <i>9-11</i> , programmed death-lig anscriptional corepressor 1; <i>iTet2</i> , tet methylcytosine d al gowth factor A; <i>VEGFR</i> 2 tein.	r; CAGGS, of CMV gnal R, clustered erase; EF1a, erent of the untingtin; <i>Ig1</i> , iv. <i>Ldn</i> , low- rronal nuclear nud 1; pICAM2, <i>Roa26</i> , a safe <i>Roa26</i> , a safe <i>Roa26</i> , a safe rowygenase 2; vxygenase 2; kinase insert



Figure 1. CRISPR/Cas9-based *in vivo* genome editing by using small Cas9 orthologues, different routes of AAV administration, tissuespecific minimal promoters, and AAV serotypes. (A) AAV-CRISPR vectors. *Campylobacter jejuni*-derived Cas9 (CjCas9) is the smallest Cas9 orthologue (984 amino acids, 2.95 kb). *Staphylococcus aureus*-derived Cas9 (SaCas9) (1,053 amino acids, 3.16 kb) is smaller than the most widely used Cas9 derived from *Streptococcus pyogenes* (SpCas9) (1,368 amino acids, 4.10 kb). Owing to the large size of SpCas9, the SpCas9 gene and two gRNAs are packaged into two separate AAV vectors. The SpCas9-based dual-vector system enables one vector to express SpCas9 and another to express multiple gRNAs and a fluorescent reporter gene. Two gRNAs can be packaged with the SaCas9 into a single AAV vector if minimal promoter and polyadenylation signal are used. In the all-in-one vector system, CjCas9 enables packaging with two gRNAs and a fluorescent reporter gene, enhanced green fluorescent protein (*eGFP*), into a single AAV vector. (**B**) AAV serotypes for tissue-targeted delivery. (**C**) Tissue-specific minimal promoters for driving CRISPR expression. (**D**) Different routes of AAV administration into various tissues of a mouse. AAV, adeno-associated virus; CRISPR, clustered regulatory interspaced short palindromic repeat; gRNA, guide RNA.

the AAV-DJ was engineered by DNA family shuffling to create a hybrid capsid from eight different native serotypes⁸⁷. AAV-DJ/8 was created by introducing two point mutations in the heparinbinding domain of AAV-DJ to increase uptake in brain tissue *in vivo*, leading to a similar ability to infect heart and brain tissues with AAV8 and AAV9^{87,88}. In fact, AAV-DJ/8 has been success-fully used alongside the CRISPR/Cas9 system with doxycycline-dependent gRNA expression for inducible genome editing of neurons *in vivo* within the mouse brain⁸¹. AAV-DJ/8 has also been successfully used to package and deliver the CRISPR/SaCas9 vectors for *in vivo* excision of HIV-1 proviral DNA in animal models⁷².

Recently, the capsids of AAV9, which is the most efficient native serotype characterized to date for *in vivo* transduction of the brain, have been further engineered for better performance in transductions and tissue targeting specificity. These include AAV-PHP.B

(a chimeric AAV9 variant generated by inserting a 7-mer sequence, TLAVPFK, on AAV9 capsid)13, AAV-AS (a chimeric AAV9 variant generated by inserting a poly-alanine peptide on AAV9 capsid)¹⁴, and AAV9/3 (double tyrosine-mutant form of AAV9)⁸⁹, all of which were shown to be more efficient in transductions of the adult mouse central nervous system after intravenous injection compared with the wild-type AAV9. Furthermore, some of these engineered AAV capsids could de-target the native AAV tropism without affecting vector production, capsid assembly, infectivity, and gene transfer. Therefore, these novel engineered vectors offer promising options to package the CRISPR/Cas9 complex to efficiently edit disease-associated genes in the mouse brain or any other tissues. Additionally, self-complementary AAV9 (scAAV9) can be used to significantly decrease the time required for the onset of CRISPR expression in the central nervous system of mice⁸⁴. Second-strand synthesis is a rate-limiting step for efficient transduction by recombinant AAV vectors³¹. The

use of double-stranded self-complementary AAV (scAAV) has improved the transduction efficiencies by bypassing the secondstrand synthesis step, but cloning capacity was further reduced to accommodate the complementary strand⁹⁰.

Tissue-specific minimal promoters

A tissue-specific promoter is important to ensure the expression of the CRISPR transgene in a tissue- or organ-specific manner. Various truncated or minimal tissue-specific promoters have been used to drive the expression of CRISPR in specific tissues upon injection of the AAV vectors into the mouse body (Figure 1C). For example, the 235 base pair (bp) mouse pMecp2 (methyl CpG binding protein 2) and 485 bp human hSyn1 (human synapsin I) promoters have been used to efficiently and specifically drive the expression of CRISPR and other transgenes in the mouse brain²⁵ and retina⁵⁹. A striated muscle-restricted promoter, CK8, enabled specific expression of SpCas9 and SaCas9 for gene editing in the muscle of the mdx mouse model of Duchenne muscular dystrophy upon intramuscular (tibialis anterior) or systemic (retro-orbital) injection of AAV6 vectors⁵⁷. The liver-specific TBG (thyroxine binding globulin)^{4,49} and cardiac-specific Myh6 (myosin heavy polypeptide 6)63 promoters have been successfully used to drive the expression of CRISPR for in vivo genome editing in mouse liver and heart, respectively. More general promoters such as CMV (cytomegalovirus)^{54,58,60,62} and EFS (elongation factor-1 alpha short)^{5,65} can be used to express the CRISPR in multiple tissues, including muscle, retina, heart, and lung, for simultaneous gene editing of various tissues in mice. Compared with the CMV promoter, the elongation factor-1 alpha (EF1a) promoter tends to give more consistent and prolonged expression regardless of cell type or physiology^{91,92}. EF1a is more stable in long-term culture because it maintains high transgene expression and stability and the copy number of episomal vectors⁹¹. Thus, despite a stronger gene expression driven by the CMV promoter in many mammalian cell types, EF1a is preferred for efficient transgene expression in mouse embryonic stem cell lines⁹³ and for engineering stable tumor cell lines⁹². The library of minimal tissue-specific promoters alongside AAV vectors used for controlling the expression of CRISPR or other transgenes in vivo is expanding with the development of more tightly regulated synthetic hybrid promoters, such as widely used CAG, CAGGS, CASI, CBh, GFAP, TRE3G, SMVP, Spc512, H1/T0, CK7-miniCMV, pICAM2, HCRhAATp, and Tight promoters (Table 2).

Chimeric gRNA expression is frequently driven by an RNA polymerase III promoter, most often by the human U6 small nuclear (U6) promoter. The human U6 promoter has been shown to be more efficient than its murine homolog for short hairpin RNA (shRNA) expression in both human and murine cells⁹⁴. The human U6 promoter was also proven more efficient than the human H1 promoter in driving shRNA expression for the long-term inhibition of gene expression *in vitro* and *in vivo*⁹⁵. However, the use of the U6 promoter to overexpress shRNAs *in vivo* can induce severe hepatotoxicity and inflammatory side effects due to abundant shRNA production⁹⁶. The weaker H1 promoter may offer a safer option in this case, but its therapeutic efficacy is dependent on the sequence of the shRNA⁹⁶. Some promoters

require a particular nucleotide as the transcription start site (TSS) to initiate transcription. For instance, the human or mouse U6 promoters require a guanine nucleotide (G) at the 5' end of the transcript for effective transcription⁹⁷. To use the U6 promoter for driving gRNA expression, the first nucleotide of the transcribed gRNA should be a G to maximize U6 promoter activity⁹⁷. If the G is absent at the TSS of the gRNA sequence, the human RNA polymerase III promoter H1 can be used to drive the expression of the gRNA⁷⁶. The use of H1 promoter-expressed gRNAs could enhance the versatility of the CRISPR technology by expanding the targetable genome98. For multiplex CRISPR/Cas9-based genome editing such as large genomic DNA deletion or synergistically enhanced gene activation and repression, four independent polymerase III promoters (human U6 promoter, murine U6 promoter, 7SK, and H1) can be used to express four gRNAs in a single expression cassette²¹. The use of distinct polymerase III promoters can avoid the problem of genetic recombination in a viral vector and the loss of the proximal gRNA due to identical DNA sequences of the promoters⁹⁹. The doxycycline-dependent promoters such as H1/TO and U6/TO can also be used for inducible in vivo genome editing by supplying animals with Dox-containing food to regulate the expression of the gRNA in a Dox-dependent manner⁸¹. The introduction of Dox inhibits Tet repressor (TetR) binding and induces gRNA expression⁸¹.

Local and global delivery of AAV vectors

The route of administration of AAV-CRISPR vectors into the mouse body also determines the efficacy and specificity of gene editing for *in vivo* therapeutics (Figure 1D). Given the inability of the most native AAV serotypes (except for AAV9¹⁰⁰, AAVrh8¹⁰¹, and AAVrh10¹⁰²) to cross the blood-brain barrier, stereotactic^{25,65,76} and intrathecal⁸⁴ injections are still the preferred routes to systemic injection in order to introduce the AAV-CRISPR vectors into specific regions of the mouse brain or central nervous system for therapeutic gene editing. For the stereotactic injection, AAV-CRISPR vectors are intracranially injected into the brain by using a stereotaxic apparatus and the injection micropipette. In the intrathecal injection approach, AAV-CRISPR vectors are injected into the spinal canal or subarachnoid space to reach cerebrospinal fluid. For efficient therapeutic gene editing in the mouse muscle tissue, intramuscular (via tibialis anterior), intraperitoneal (via intraperitoneal space), or intravenous (via the tail vein, temporal vein, and retro-orbital) injections are the preferred methods to introduce AAV-CRISPR vectors, as have been demonstrated for the mdx mouse model of Duchenne muscular dystrophy⁵⁵⁻⁵⁷. Subretinal^{58,60} or intravitreal59,85 injections are used to deliver AAV-CRISPR vectors into the mouse retina for gene editing. As most of the AAV vectors will accumulate in the mouse liver tissue upon systemic injection, intravenous injection by tail vein or temporal vein can efficiently edit the genes associated with liver diseases^{4,49,71}. Systemic injection remains preferred to local injection in the liver tissue because the delivery is more uniform and the distribution of viral vectors is broader. It is also a good delivery mode for gene editing in multiple tissues, as it is almost non-invasive to the body. On the other hand, intracardiac⁶⁴, subcutaneous⁷⁸, intraperitoneal⁶³, or systemic^{62,70} injection has been used to introduce AAV9 vectors carrying CRISPR transgene into the mouse heart for gene editing. Intranasal and intratracheal injections are used to locally deliver the AAV-CRISPR vehicles into the mouse lung tissue⁶⁵. Therefore, the selection of an effective route of injections is dependent on the target tissue, AAV serotypes, and tissue-specific promoters used.

CRISPR/Cas9-based human gene and cell therapies

In August 2016, the first human clinical trial using a CRISPR-based gene-editing technique was started for cancer immunotherapy of metastatic non-small cell lung cancer¹⁰³. Subsequently, 10 more early phase clinical trials are underway (ClinicalTrials.gov). One of these clinical trials has proceeded to phase 2 for the treatment of advanced esophageal cancer. Six of these early phase clinical trials are using the CRISPR/Cas9 system to create genetically altered immune cells, which are infused back into patients with an advanced stage of lung, bladder, prostate, renal, gastric, esophageal, or nasopharyngeal carcinoma or lymphoma to target and eradicate cancer cells (Table 3). In general, the programmed cell death protein 1 gene (PDCD1) will be knocked out in autologous T cells. These engineered T cells then will be selected and expanded ex vivo before infusion back into the patients. PDCD1, more commonly known as PD-1, is an inhibitory cell surface receptor involved in the regulation of T-cell function during immune response and tolerance. Knockout of PD-1 in T cells extracted from the patient's blood could prevent cells' immune response from switch-off after re-introduction of the gene-edited cells into the patient's bloodstream and attack and defeat the cancer cells104. In fact, antibodies (for example, nivolumab and pembrolizumab) that neutralize PD-1 with high response and low adverse effect rates have been successfully used for cancer immunotherapy in human clinical trials^{105,106}. Gene editing is expected to inhibit PD-1 with a greater certainty, and by multiplying the cells in the laboratory, scientists can enhance the efficacy of triggering an immune response against tumors¹⁰⁴.

These interventional studies aimed to evaluate the clinical response, safety, and maximal tolerant dose of the CRISPRmediated *PD-1* knockout T cells in treating advanced-stage malignancies upon being infused back into patients. An anticancer chemotherapy drug, cyclophosphamide, will be administered for a few days to modify the immune micro-environment before cell infusion or to deplete regulatory T cells (Tregs) before collecting peripheral blood. After cell infusion, the interleukin-2 cytokine that has both immune-modulating and anti-tumor properties will be administered to sustain the survival of infused T cells. For cancer immunotherapy of lymphoma or leukemia, an anti-metabolite anti-cancer chemotherapy drug, fludarabine, will be co-administered with the cyclophosphamide before cell infusion. Biomarkers and immunological markers will be closely monitored after cell infusion to determine whether the injections are causing any serious adverse effects and to evaluate whether the patients will receive benefits from the treatment.

In another CRISPR/Cas9-based ex vivo gene therapy clinical trial, the CRISPR/Cas9 complex will be used to disrupt the C-C chemokine receptor type 5 (CCR5) gene in the CD34⁺ hematopoietic stem or progenitor cells from donors (NCT03164135). Then, these CCR5 modified CD34⁺ cells from donors will be infused into the HIV-infected patients with AIDS and hematological malignancies. In principle, HIV-1 virus requires CCR5 receptor on the surface of host immune cells (for example, T cells) to infect the cell; hence, disrupting or blocking the CCR5 receptor may make the immune cell resistant to the virus infection. When the CCR5-modified immune cells from the donor are mixed inside the body with the CCR5-positive immune cells from HIV-infected patients, the HIV-1 virus will infect and kill the CCR5-positive immune cells and the CCR5-negative immune cells will survive and eventually take over the immune function. The primary objectives of this clinical trial are to determine the safety of the allotransplantation of these CD34⁺ cells and to evaluate the resistance to the HIV-1 virus in HIV-infected patients after infusion of these CD34⁺ cells. Prior to the cell infusion, the patients will be treated with anti-retroviral therapy to achieve undetectable HIV-1 virus in the peripheral blood. During the follow-up, HIV-1 viral load, CD4+ T cells, and CCR5-negative cell counts in the peripheral blood will be monitored in HIV-infected patients.

Another promising ex vivo gene therapy clinical trial is the combined use of CRISPR/Cas9 gene-editing technology and chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)-based cancer immunotherapy (NCT03166878). The CAR-expressed T cells can be engineered to recognize leukemia antigens such as CD19 on B cells for the treatment of relapsed or refractory B-cell malignancies. However, the cost and technical difficulties in the production and expansion of CAR-expressed T cells have hindered the wide application of personalized autologous CAR-T cell therapy. Thus, universal CAR-T cells derived from healthy unrelated donors are developed to overcome some of these drawbacks. To evade hostmediated immunity and deliver anti-leukemic effects without graft-versus-host disease in patients with relapsed or refractory B-cell malignancies, gene-disrupted allogeneic CD19-directed BBζ CAR-T cells (known as UCART019) are developed by combining the lentiviral delivery of CAR and CRISPR mRNA electroporation to disrupt both of the endogenous T-cell receptor (TCR) and beta-2 microglobulin (B2M) genes. The TCR and B2M play important roles in triggering the immune response; hence, disrupting both of these genes could minimize immunogenicity associated with allotransplantation. The primary goal of these allogeneic CD19 CAR-T cells is to evaluate the feasibility, safety, and in vivo persistence of UCART019 adoptively transferred

ClinicalTrials.	gov identifier NCT02793856	NCT02863913	NCT02867345	NCT02867332	NCT03081715	NCT03044743
Finish date	April 2018	September 2019	December 2020	November 2020	December 2018	March 2022
Start date	August 2016	September 2016	November 2016	2016 2016	March 2017	April 2017
Principle	Target cancer cell	Target cancer cell	Target cancer cell	Target cancer cell	Target cancer cell	Target EBV- associated cancer cell
Primary objective and study	design A dose-escalation study to evaluate the safety of <i>ex vivo</i> knocked-out, expanded, and selected <i>PD-1</i> knockout engineered T cells that are infused back into the patient for the treatment of metastatic non- small cell lung cancer	A dose-escalation study of <i>ex vivo</i> knocked-out, expanded, and selected <i>PD-1</i> knockout engineered T cells that are infused back into the patient for the treatment of muscle-invasive bladder cancer	A dose-escalation study of <i>ex vivo</i> knocked-out, expanded, and selected <i>PD-1</i> knockout engineered T cells that are infused back into the patient for the treatment of castration- resistant prostate cancer	A dose-escalation study of <i>ex vivo</i> knocked-out, expanded, and selected <i>PD-1</i> knockout engineered T cells that are infused back into the patient for the treatment of metastatic advanced renal cancer	Evaluate the safety of <i>ex vivo</i> knocked-out, expanded, and selected <i>PD-1</i> knockout T cells that are infused back into the patient for the treatment of advanced esophageal cancer	Evaluate the safety and clinical response of cell therapy using CRISPR-Cas9-mediated <i>PD-1</i> knockout EBV-CTL cells for the treatment of advanced-stage EBV-associated malignancies
Type	Ex vivo	Ex vivo	Ex vivo	Ex vivo	Ex vivo	Ex vivo
Phase	Phase 1	Phase 1	Phase 1	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 1/2
Intervention	Biological: CRISPR/Cas9- mediated <i>PD-1</i> knockout T cells from autologous origin Drug: cyclophosphamide, interleukin-2	Biological: CRISPR/Cas9- mediated <i>PD-1</i> knockout T cells from autologous origin Drug: cyclophosphamide, interleukin-2	Biological: CRISPR/Cas9- mediated <i>PD-1</i> knockout T cells from autologous origin Drug: cyclophosphamide, interleukin-2	Biological: CRISPR/Cas9- mediated <i>PD-1</i> knockout T cells from autologous origin Drug: cyclophosphamide, interleukin-2	Biological: CRISPR/Cas9- mediated <i>PD-1</i> knockout T cells from autologous origin Drug: cyclophosphamide, interleukin-2	Biological: CRISPR/Cas9- mediated <i>PD-1</i> knockout T cells from autologous origin Drug: fludarabine, cyclophosphamide, interleukin-2
Condition	Metastatic non- small cell lung cancer	Muscle-invasive bladder cancer stage IV	Hormone-refractory prostate cancer	Metastatic renal cell carcinoma	Advanced esophageal cancer	Gastric carcinoma stage IV, nasopharyngeal carcinoma stage IV, T-cell lymphoma stage IV, adult Hodgkin lymphoma stage IV, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma stage IV

te ClinicalTrials. gov identifier	NCT03164135	NCT03166878	NCT03057912	9 NCT03332030	r NCT03342547	3 NCT03167450	CCR5, C-C
Finish da	May 2021	May 2022	January 2019	June 2015	Decembe 2020	June 2018	receptor; (
Start date	May 2017	June 2017	January 2018	November 2017	January 2018	October 2017	chimeric antiger
Principle	Target CCR5- positive immune cell	Target cancer cell	Disrupt HPV E6/E7 DNA	Collection of stem cells	Genome- wide genetic screening	I	oglobulin; CAR,
Primary objective and study design	Evaluate the safety and feasibility of allotransplantation with CRISPR/Cas9 <i>CCR5</i> gene modified CD34 ⁺ hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells in HIV-infected patients with hematological malignances	Evaluate the feasibility, safety, and <i>in vivo</i> persistence of UCART019 adoptively transferred T cells in patients with relapsed or refractory CD19 ⁺ leukemia and lymphoma	An open-label and triple-cohort study to evaluate the safety and efficacy of TALEN and CRISPR/ Cas9 plasmids for the treatment of HPV persistency and HPV- related cervical intraepithelial neoplasia	Establish an iPSC bank for disease phenotypic characterization, drug screening, and identification that can reverse or alleviate the disease phenotypes	Identify and establish a list of host cellular proteins that mediate norovirus infection in a stem cell- derived human intestinal enteroid model	Study the attitudes, beliefs, and opinions of those with SCD, parents of those with SCD, and providers on the use of CRISPR/ Cas9 gene-editing	IS and worldwide. B2M, beta-2-mici
Type	Ex vivo	Ex vivo	oviv nl	Ex vivo	Ex vivo	Cross- sectional	ed in the U
Phase	Phase 1	Phase 1/2	Phase 1	Phase 1	Phase 1	Observational	cal trials perform
Intervention	Biological: CRISPR/Cas9- mediated <i>CCR5</i> modified CD34 ⁺ hematopoietic stem/ progenitor cells from donors Drug: anti-retroviral therapy	Biological: gene-disrupted allogeneic CD19-directed BBÇ CAR-T cells (termed UCART019) will be generated by combining the lentiviral delivery of CAR and CRISPR RNA electroporation to disrupt endogenous <i>TCR</i> and <i>B2M</i> genes	Biological: TALEN and CRISPR/Cas9	Biological: establish isogenic NF1 wild-type (NF1+/*), NF1 heterozygous (NF1+/-), and NF1 homozygous (NF1-/-) patient-specific iPSC lines using CRISPR/Cas9 technology	Biological: knockout CRISPR and gain-of-function CRISPR SAM Procedure: duodenal biopsy	Overall genetic literacy, CRISPR-specific literacy, and general attitudes and beliefs toward CRISPR	s.gov database on human clinic
Condition	HIV-1-infection	B-cell lymphoma B-cell lymphoma	Human papillomavirus- related malignant neoplasm	Neurofibromatosis type 1	Gastrointestinal infection	Sickle cell disease	Based on ClinicalTrial

T cells in patients with relapsed or refractory CD19⁺ leukemia and lymphoma. The amount of UCART019 cells (engraftment), humoral host immunity, and anti-tumor response upon UCART019 cell infusions will be monitored.

Owing to the tissue complexities in humans, toxicity concern, and potential complications such as host immune response following a high dose of AAV vectors used to achieve significant therapeutic efficacy¹⁰⁷, the AAV-CRISPR viral delivery system is restricted to ex vivo gene editing or genetic manipulation in animals. One of the promising strategies to overcome this issue was to mutagenize the surface-exposed tyrosine residues on the AAV capsid in order to avoid AAV degradation by the host cell proteasome machinery and to improve AAV intracellular trafficking to the nucleus, which can lead to high transduction efficiency at lower vector doses¹⁰⁷. The combined use of capsidmodified and genome-modified next-generation AAV vectors has allowed higher transduction efficiency and transgene expression at further reduced doses¹⁰⁸. To date, the AAV-CRISPR geneediting system was tested in non-human animals only, but the first in vivo gene therapy using CRISPR/Cas9 technology will be carried out in human clinical trials soon. In January 2018, an open-label and triple-cohort study will be conducted to evaluate the safety of therapeutic doses and the dosing regimen of CRISPR/ Cas9 plasmid to treat human papillomavirus (HPV) persistency and HPV-related cervical intraepithelial neoplasia. Given the important roles that E6 and E7 play in HPV-driven carcinogenesis, CRISPR/Cas9-mediated disruption of HPV16 and HPV18 E6/E7 DNA could be an attractive approach for therapeutic interventions by significantly downregulating the expression of E6/E7 in order to induce HPV-associated cell apoptosis and to inhibit cell growth.

Promises and hurdles associated with the AAV-CRISPR system for future clinical applications

An increasing number of studies in mice have clearly demonstrated that the combined use of tissue-specific minimal promoters, natural and engineered AAV serotypes, different routes of administration, and small Cas9 orthologues enables efficient packaging and precise delivery of AAV-CRISPR vectors for targeted *in vivo* genome editing in specific tissues with minimized side effects. Nevertheless, special considerations are required when selecting tissue-specific promoters, natural and engineered AAV serotypes, or routes of administration to avoid non-specific delivery and transgene expression of the AAV. In addition, the off-target effects, toxicity, and immunogenicity associated with CRISPR/Cas9 delivery remain to be fully resolved.

Non-specific delivery and transgene expression

Tissue-specific promoters and AAV serotypes might still be able to transduce and induce transgene expression in healthy tissues or other non-target organs if a strong promoter or a high dose of viral vectors is introduced into the body by intravenous injection. Despite a significant improvement in the efficiency and specificity of newly discovered natural and engineered AAV variants for systemic delivery in mice, the toxicity and side effects associated with the non-specific delivery of the transgenes to the non-target tissues and organs remain a concern^{13,89}. It is easier to get delivery vehicles taken up by liver than other organs upon systemic injection. Therefore, it is more challenging to specifically target non-liver organs than liver via a systemic delivery approach. For instance, recently discovered synthetic vectors, AAV-PHP.B¹³ and tyrosine-mutant AAV⁸⁹, were found to transduce the adult mouse central nervous system more efficiently and widely than the natural AAV9 after intravenous injection. However, these synthetic vectors can also transduce the liver and other peripheral organs upon systemic delivery^{13,89}. In this case, local injections such as stereotaxic injection into the brain can minimize the possible complications or adverse side effects associated with non-specific expression of CRISPR in healthy tissues or other non-target organs.

Off-target effects

Even though CRISPR/Cas9 predominantly recognizes the intended target sites, a series of high-throughput genome-wide methods such as multiplex Digenome-seq^{109,110}, ChIP-seq^{111,112}, GUIDE-seq¹¹³, and whole-genome sequencing¹¹⁴ as well as targeted deep sequencing¹¹², Cas9 toxicity screens¹¹⁵, and SITE-seq biochemical methods¹¹⁶ have revealed evidence of off-target effects due to target mismatch tolerance of CRISPR/Cas9. As inter-individual natural genetic variation can affect CRISPR/Cas9 specificity¹¹⁷, a recently developed CIRCLE-seq approach could be used to identify genome-wide off-target mutations of CRISPR/Cas9 that are associated with cell type-specific single-nucleotide polymorphisms to provide personalized specificity profiles¹¹⁸. Notably, given the same target sequence of gRNA, off-target sites of Cas9 before and after being fused to a catalytic enzyme (for example, cytidine deaminase base editor and chromatin modifiers) could be different; therefore, independent assessment of their genome-wide specificities is recommended¹¹⁹. Imprecise repair of Cas9-induced DNA double-stranded breaks can give rise to deleterious structural chromosomal rearrangements such as deletions, inversions, and translocations, which in turn may activate oncogenes or cause genome instability¹²⁰. Hence, high-throughput screenings of CRISPR/Cas9 off-target activity and further improvement in the fidelity of CRISPR/Cas9 on-target activity are essential for safety in clinical gene transfer applications.

There are many ways to minimize CRISPR/Cas9 off-target effects in the human genome. For example, a recently developed RNA-targeting Cas9 (RCas9) system could avoid permanent off-target genetic lesions in DNA-mediated CRISPR-based therapeutics¹²¹. The RCas9 system consists of a fusion of rationally truncated dCas9 protein and PilT N-terminus (PIN) domain that can be packaged into the AAV vector for eliminating toxic microsatellite repeat expansion RNA or reducing repetitive RNA level without targeting the DNA¹²¹. With a similar strategy, systemic delivery of dCas9/gRNA by AAV9 significantly reduced pathological RNA foci, rescued chloride channel 1 protein expression, and decreased myotonia in myotonic dystrophy mice by impeding the transcription of expanded microsatellite repeats¹²². Another way is to deliver purified Cas9 RNPs rather than plasmid expression vectors^{10,123}. Despite some technical constraints in non-viral delivery methods for in vivo administration, the use of Cas9 RNPs can limit the duration of Cas9

expression and decrease the chance of Cas9 nuclease cleaving at non-specific sites in a genome because of the rapid clearance from the cell^{10,123}. Complementing the CRISPR-based editing capability with conditional genetic manipulation tools such as photoactivatable Cas9^{124,125}, chemical-inducible Cas9^{126,127}, or multiple inputs logic gate genetic circuits^{128,129} enables the precise spatial and temporal control of Cas9 activity inside the cell, which in turn leads to the reduction in off-target activity. Alternatively, a pair of Cas9 nickases^{130–132}, dCas9-FokI¹³³, or high-fidelity Cas9 variants such as SpCas9-HF1¹³⁴, eSpCas9¹³⁵, and HypaCas9¹³⁶ can be used to minimize undesired off-target mutagenesis.

The use of a scarless genome-editing strategy for targeted point mutation knock-in can also minimize unwanted mutation formations to favor the desired clean base editing outcomes^{137,138}. For a point mutation knock-in, the efficiency of precise sequence replacement by CRISPR-mediated homology-directed repair (HDR) could be significantly increased by using asymmetric donor DNA¹³⁹, HDR enhancer¹⁴⁰, or short ssDNA donor oligonucleotides as a donor template instead of long plasmid donor¹³⁹ or by inhibiting non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) activity¹⁴¹. Also, the artificial chimeric RNAs¹⁴²—truncated^{73,113} and chemically modified¹⁴³ gRNAs-were shown to have lower off-target activity than the original gRNAs. A number of bioinformatics analysis tools such as GuideScan144, CRISPRdirect145, and Cas-OFFinder¹⁴⁶ permit the specific design of gRNAs to avoid binding at the non-intended target sites in the human genome. The specificity of the gRNA designed can be improved by selecting a target sequence with two Gs at the 5' terminus¹³¹ and avoiding potential mismatches at the seed sequence or basepairing adjacent to the PAM¹⁴⁷. Another innovative way to improve the specificity and reduce the toxicity of the CRISPR/ Cas9 is co-delivery of DNA decoys or competitive inhibitor oligonucleotides bearing all possible off-target sequences that can sequester and prevent the CRISPR/Cas9 from binding to the off-target sites within a host genome. A similar concept has been successfully demonstrated to alleviate the off-target effects of RNA interference by using RNA decoys to reduce the sense strand activity of shRNAs¹⁴⁸, while artificial microRNA (miRNA) sponges have been used to inhibit miRNA function and its ability to regulate natural mRNAs¹⁴⁹. In addition, a recently developed miRNA-responsive CRISPR/Cas9 switch could be useful for cell type-specific genome editing by sensing endogenous miRNA activities¹⁵⁰.

Immunogenicity

Owing to the exogenous nature of AAV and CRISPR components, host immune responses can attenuate therapeutic effects and cause side effects. Thus, the toxicity and immunogenicity associated with AAV and CRISPR components should be circumvented for safer and higher efficacy in clinical gene therapy applications. Even though the AAV generally elicits a very mild immune response and does not induce extensive cellular damage *in vivo*, certain AAV serotypes such as AAV9 may evoke humoral immune responses, as indicated by the presence of capsid-specific antibodies⁵⁰. While transient immunosuppression is one of the possible ways to mitigate the host immune response following the delivery of AAV vectors¹⁵¹, it is not feasible for long-term therapeutic treatments of chronic diseases and is prone to adverse complications such as infections and malignancies. Empty capsid mutants can be used as decoys to overcome pre-existing humoral immunity by adsorbing antibodies in the bloodstream upon systemic delivery of both empty and functional AAVs¹⁵². Alternatively, the AAV capsids can be genetically engineered or mutated to reduce the binding affinity and the neutralizing effects of AAV antibodies^{15,153-155}. In addition to AAVs, the presence of Cas9-specific antibodies in Cas9-exposed animals indicated that Cas9 could evoke deleterious cellular and humoral immune responses in vivo⁵⁰. Expression of Cas9 in vivo could affect the transcription of the genes associated with the immunological processes. This in turn may destabilize the host immune system, elicit significant cellular infiltration or expansion, and induce enlargement of the draining lymph nodes with increased immune cell counts⁵⁰. The Cas9-responsive T-cell clonotype described previously could serve as a distinctive biomarker to assess Cas9-specific immunity before clinical implementation of the CRISPR system⁵⁰. To minimize the immune response due to prolonged expression of Cas9, conditional genome editing with the self-limiting CRISPR/Cas9 system⁵⁸ or light-inducible^{124,125} or chemical-inducible^{126,127} Cas9 can be used to minimize the duration of Cas9 expression in the body.

Conclusions and perspectives

The AAV-CRISPR system holds enormous translational potential to develop curative therapeutic options for patients with severe and life-threatening genetic diseases by permanently editing disease-causing or risk genes in the human body. The delivery, efficacy, and safety issues in treating complex heritable and somatic disorders have to be fully resolved to fulfill this promise. Thus far, the ex vivo approach has been adopted to overcome the technical challenges associated with the in vivo delivery of the AAV-CRISPR viral vectors in humans. The ex vivo gene-editing approach is commonly used for the therapeutic treatment of blood disorders, cancers, and immune-related diseases. In the near future, in vivo genome editing is expected to offer better avenues to treat a wide range of human hereditary diseases in adults. In vivo gene therapy in humans provides several advantages over the ex vivo approach, and sometimes a combination of the two is necessary to achieve a good therapeutic outcome. For example, in vivo photoreceptor cell rescue can be used to halt retinal degeneration to preserve existing vision, while ex vivo photoreceptor cell replacement can be used to restore lost vision in patients with retinal dystrophy¹⁵⁶. After in vivo photoreceptor cell rescue, the retinal environment may become more permissive for transplanted photo-receptor survival and *de novo* synaptogenesis via the *ex vivo* approach¹⁵⁶.

The AAV vector already has a long history of success in clinical trials *in vivo*, but owing to the relatively recent arrival of CRISPR technology, the AAV-CRISPR system has yet to be tested *in vivo* for human gene therapy trials. Nevertheless, three ongoing human clinical trials (NCT03041324, NCT02702115, and NCT02695160) have used AAV vectors to deliver zinc finger nucleases, an earlier and well-established gene-editing tool, to the liver tissue for the treatment of hemophilia B and mucopolysaccharidosis. Similarly, the AAV vectors may be

used for CRISPR delivery for human clinical trials in the future. Excitingly, the first *in vivo* human gene therapy trials using CRISPR/Cas9 plasmid administration to treat human HPV-related malignant neoplasms will be initiated in January 2018 (NCT03057912).

Although it is more feasible to deliver the transgene *ex vivo* than *in vivo*, the *in vivo* approach eliminates the need for cell transplants. Therefore, *in vivo* therapy can avoid potential graft-versus-host disease and immunosuppression-related complications such as infections and malignancies, as seen in cellular therapy. Recently, various genetically engineered AAV variants or structure-guided derivations of AAV mutants have been developed to significantly improve the efficiency and specificity for *in vivo* delivery¹⁵⁷. Compared with existing AAV serotypes, these newly engineered AAV capsids enabled higher transduction efficiency at a targeted tissue by altering the native tropism of the AAV capsid ^{13–15,158} and had low immunogenicity by evading pre-existing anti-AAV capsid neutralizing antibodies in the human

body^{159,160}. Given the encouraging results obtained with the next-generation synthetic AAV capsids in animals, this synthetic AAV vector may also be used to deliver CRISPR/Cas9 transgene in humans for *in vivo* genome editing in the near future. Further improvement in the performance of engineered AAV variants and CRISPR components is necessary to realize the full potential of the AAV-CRISPR system for *in vivo* genome editing.

Competing interests

No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information

This work was funded by National Institutes of Health grants AG017242, GM104459, and CA180126 (to Yousin Suh).

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

References

- 1. Lau CH, Suh Y: Genome and Epigenome Editing in Mechanistic Studies of Human Aging and Aging-Related Disease. *Gerontology*. 2017; 63(2): 103–17. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Barrangou R, Doudna JA: Applications of CRISPR technologies in research and beyond. Nat Biotechnol. 2016; 34(9): 933–41.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- F Cong L, Ran FA, Cox D, et al.: Multiplex genome engineering using CRISPR/Cas systems. Science. 2013; 339(6121): 819–23.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Ran FA, Cong L, Yan WX, et al.: In vivo genome editing using Staphylococcus aureus Cas9. Nature. 2015; 520(7546): 186–91.
- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
 Kim E, Koo T, Park SW, et al.: In vivo genome editing with a small Cas9 orthologue derived from Campylobacter jejuni. Nat Commun. 2017; 8: 14500. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- F Komor AC, Badran AH, Liu DR: CRISPR-Based Technologies for the Manipulation of Eukaryotic Genomes. *Cell.* 2017; 168(1–2): 20–36.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Xiong X, Chen M, Lim WA, et al.: CRISPR/Cas9 for Human Genome Engineering and Disease Research. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet. 2016; 17: 131–54. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Kouranova E, Forbes K, Zhao G, et al.: CRISPRs for Optimal Targeting: Delivery of CRISPR Components as DNA, RNA, and Protein into Cultured Cells and Single-Cell Embryos. Hum Gene Ther. 2016; 27(6): 464–75. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Liang X, Potter J, Kumar S, et al.: Rapid and highly efficient mammalian cell engineering via Cas9 protein transfection. J Biotechnol. 2015; 208: 44–53. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Kim S, Kim D, Cho SW, et al.: Highly efficient RNA-guided genome editing in human cells via delivery of purified Cas9 ribonucleoproteins. Genome Res. 2014; 24(6): 1012–9.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Fornito A, Zalesky A, Breakspear M: The connectomics of brain disorders. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2015; 16(3): 159–72.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Staahl BT, Benekareddy M, Coulon-Bainier C, et al.: Efficient genome editing in the mouse brain by local delivery of engineered Cas9 ribonucleoprotein complexes. Nat Biotechnol. 2017; 35(5): 431–4.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- F Deverman BE, Pravdo PL, Simpson BP, et al.: Cre-dependent selection yields AAV variants for widespread gene transfer to the adult brain. Nat Biotechnol. 2016; 34(2): 204–9.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 14. Choudhury SR, Harris AF, Cabral DJ, et al.: Widespread Central Nervous System

Gene Transfer and Silencing After Systemic Delivery of Novel AAV-AS Vector. Mol Ther. 2016; 24(4): 726–35. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

- Choudhury SR, Fitzpatrick Z, Harris AF, et al.: In vivo Selection Yields AAV-B1 Capsid for Central Nervous System and Muscle Gene Therapy. Mol Ther. 2016; 24(7): 1247–57.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Yin H, Kauffman KJ, Anderson DG: Delivery technologies for genome editing. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2017; 16(6): 387–99.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Song M: The CRISPR/Cas9 system: Their delivery, in vivo and ex vivo applications and clinical development by startups. *Biotechnol Prog.* 2017; 33(4): 1035–45.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
 - Fubilieu Abstract | Fubilsher Full Text
- He ZY, Men K, Qin Z, et al.: Non-viral and viral delivery systems for CRISPR-Cas9 technology in the biomedical field. Sci China Life Sci. 2017; 60(5): 458–67. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Williams MR, Fricano-Kugler CJ, Getz SA, et al.: A Retroviral CRISPR-Cas9 System for Cellular Autism-Associated Phenotype Discovery in Developing Neurons. Sci Rep. 2016; 6: 22611.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- F Koike-Yusa H, Li Y, Tan EP, et al.: Genome-wide recessive genetic screening in mammalian cells with a lentiviral CRISPR-guide RNA library. Nat Biotechnol. 2014; 32(3): 267–73.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Kabadi AM, Ousterout DG, Hilton IB, *et al.*: Multiplex CRISPR/Cas9-based genome engineering from a single lentiviral vector. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2014; 42(19): e147.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Wang D, Mou H, Li S, et al.: Adenovirus-Mediated Somatic Genome Editing of Pten by CRISPR/Cas9 in Mouse Liver in Spite of Cas9-Specific Immune Responses. Hum Gene Ther. 2015; 26(7): 432–42.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Cheng R, Peng J, Yan Y, et al.: Efficient gene editing in adult mouse livers via adenoviral delivery of CRISPR/Cas9. FEBS Lett. 2014; 588(21): 3954–8.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Schmidt F, Grimm D: CRISPR genome engineering and viral gene delivery: a case of mutual attraction. *Biotechnol J.* 2015; 10(2): 258–72.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Swiech L, Heidenreich M, Banerjee A, et al.: In vivo interrogation of gene function in the mammalian brain using CRISPR-Cas9. Nat Biotechnol. 2015; 33(1): 102–6. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Mansouri M, Ehsaei Z, Taylor V, et al.: Baculovirus-based genome editing in primary cells. Plasmid. 2017; 90: 5–9.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text



- Mansouri M, Bellon-Echeverria I, Rizk A, et al.: Highly efficient baculovirus mediated multigene delivery in primary cells. Nat Commun. 2016; 7: 11529. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Chen X, Gonçalves MA: Engineered Viruses as Genome Editing Devices. Mol 28. Ther. 2016; 24(3): 447-57. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Kwang TW, Zeng X, Wang S: Manufacturing of AcMNPV baculovirus vectors to 29. enable gene therapy trials. Mol Ther Methods Clin Dev. 2016; 3: 15050. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Epstein BE, Schaffer DV: Combining Engineered Nucleases with Adeno-30 associated Viral Vectors for Therapeutic Gene Editing. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2017; 1016 29-42 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 31. Mingozzi F, High KA: Therapeutic in vivo gene transfer for genetic disease using AAV: progress and challenges. Nat Rev Genet. 2011; 12(5): 341–55. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Senís E, Fatouros C, Große S, et al.: CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome engineering: an adeno-associated viral (AAV) vector toolbox. Biotechnol J. 2014; 9(11): 1402-12. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Zhu H, Lau C, Goh S, et al.: Baculoviral transduction facilitates TALEN-mediated 33. targeted transgene integration and Cre/LoxP cassette exchange in humaninduced pluripotent stem cells. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013; 41(19): e180. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Joung J, Konermann S, Gootenberg JS, et al.: Genome-scale CRISPR-Cas9 34. knockout and transcriptional activation screening. Nat Protoc. 2017; 12(4): 828-63.
 - PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- F Klann TS, Black JB, Chellappan M, et al.: CRISPR-Cas9 epigenome editing 35 enables high-throughput screening for functional regulatory elements in the human genome. Nat Biotechnol. 2017; 35(6): 561-8. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Morris SJ, Turner AV, Green N, et al.: Laboratory-Scale Production of 36. Replication-Deficient Adenovirus Vectored Vaccines. Methods Mol Biol. 2016; 1349: 121-35. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Garnier A, Côté J, Nadeau I, et al.: Scale-up of the adenovirus expression 37. system for the production of recombinant protein in human 293S cells. Cytotechnology. 1994; 15(1–3): 145–55. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Fricano-Kugler CJ, Williams MR, Salinaro JR, et al.: Designing, Packaging, and Delivery of High Titer CRISPR Retro and Lentiviruses via Stereotaxic Injection. 38. J Vis Exp. 2016; (111). PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Yi Y, Noh MJ, Lee KH: Current advances in retroviral gene therapy. Curr Gene 39 Ther. 2011; 11(3): 218-28.
- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 40. Dropulić B: Lentiviral vectors: their molecular design, safety, and use in laboratory and preclinical research. Hum Gene Ther. 2011; 22(6): 649-57. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Mátrai J, Chuah MK, VandenDriessche T: Recent advances in lentiviral vector 41. development and applications. Mol Ther. 2010; 18(3): 477–90. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Li C, Guan X, Du T, et al.: Inhibition of HIV-1 infection of primary CD4⁺ T-cells by gene editing of CCR5 using adenovirus-delivered CRISPR/Cas9. J Gen Virol. 42. 2015; 96(8): 2381-93. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Gwiazda KS, Grier AE, Sahni J, et al.: High Efficiency CRISPR/Cas9-mediated 43. Gene Editing in Primary Human T-cells Using Mutant Adenoviral E4orf6/ E1b55k "Helper" Proteins. Mol Ther. 2016; 24(9): 1570-80. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 44. St George JA: Gene therapy progress and prospects: adenoviral vectors. Gene Ther. 2003; 10(14): 1135-41. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Kochanek S: High-capacity adenoviral vectors for gene transfer and somatic 45. gene therapy. Hum Gene Ther. 1999; 10(15): 2451–9. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Lusky M: Good manufacturing practice production of adenoviral vectors for 46. clinical trials. Hum Gene Ther. 2005; 16(3): 281-91. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Volpers C, Kochanek S: Adenoviral vectors for gene transfer and therapy. *J Gene Med.* 2004; 6(Suppl 1): S164–71. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text 47.
- McConnell MJ, Imperiale MJ: Biology of adenovirus and its use as a vector for gene therapy. *Hum Gene Ther.* 2004; **15**(11): 1022–33. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text 48.
- F Yang Y, Wang L, Bell P, et al.: A dual AAV system enables the Cas9-49. mediated correction of a metabolic liver disease in newborn mice. Nat Biotechnol. 2016; 34(3): 334-8. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Chew WL, Tabebordbar M, Cheng JK, et al.: A multifunctional AAV-CRISPR-Cas9

and its host response. Nat Methods. 2016; 13(10): 868-74. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

- During MJ: Adeno-associated virus as a gene delivery system. Adv Drug Deliv 51 Rev. 1997; 27(1): 83-94. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Kotterman MA, Schaffer DV: Engineering adeno-associated viruses for clinical 52 gene therapy. Nat Rev Genet. 2014; 15(7): 445-51. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Airenne KJ, Hu YC, Kost TA, et al.: Baculovirus: an insect-derived vector for 53. diverse gene transfer applications. Mol Ther. 2013; 21(4): 739-49. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Tabebordbar M, Zhu K, Cheng JKW, et al.: In vivo gene editing in dystrophic 54 mouse muscle and muscle stem cells. Science. 2016; 351(6271): 407-11. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- F Nelson CE, Hakim CH, Ousterout DG, et al.: In vivo genome editing improves 55. muscle function in a mouse model of Duchenne muscular dystrophy. Science. 2016; 351(6271): 403–7. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Long C, Amoasii L, Mireault AA, et al.: Postnatal genome editing partially 56 restores dystrophin expression in a mouse model of muscular dystrophy. Science. 2016; 351(6271): 400-3. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Benatsson NE, Hall JK, Odom GL, et al.: Muscle-specific CRISPR/Cas9 57 dystrophin gene editing ameliorates pathophysiology in a mouse model for Duchenne muscular dystrophy. Nat Commun. 2017; 8: 14454. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Ruan GX, Barry E, Yu D, et al.: CRISPR/Cas9-Mediated Genome Editing as a Therapeutic Approach for Leber Congenital Amaurosis 10. Mol Ther. 2017; 25(2): 331-41. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Hung SS, Chrysostomou V, Li F, et al.: AAV-Mediated CRISPR/Cas Gene 59 Editing of Retinal Cells In vivo. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2016; 57(7): 3470-6.
- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation F Yu W, Mookherjee S, Chaitankar V, et al.: Nrl knockdown by AAV-delivered
- CRISPR/Cas9 prevents retinal degeneration in mice. Nat Commun. 2017; 8: 14716. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Yin H, Song CQ, Dorkin JR, et al.: Therapeutic genome editing by combined viral and non-viral delivery of CRISPR system components in vivo. Nat 61.
- Biotechnol. 2016; 34(3): 328-33. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Xie C, Zhang YP, Song L, et al.: Genome editing with CRISPR/Cas9 in postnatal 62. mice corrects PRKAG2 cardiac syndrome. Cell Res. 2016; 26(10): 1099-111. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- F Carroll KJ, Makarewich CA, McAnally J, et al.: A mouse model for adult 63 cardiac-specific gene deletion with CRISPR/Cas9. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2016: 113(2): 338-43. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Johansen AK, Molenaar B, Versteeg D, *et al.*: Postnatal Cardiac Gene Editing Using CRISPR/Cas9 With AAV9-Mediated Delivery of Short Guide RNAs Results in Mosaic Gene Disruption. *Circ Res.* 2017; 121(10): 1168–81. 64. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Platt RJ, Chen S, Zhou Y, et al.: CRISPR-Cas9 knockin mice for genome 65 editing and cancer modeling. Cell. 2014; 159(2): 440-55. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Kleinstiver BP, Prew MS, Tsai SQ, et al.: Engineered CRISPR-Cas9 nucleases with altered PAM specificities. Nature. 2015; 523(7561): 481-5. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Fishimasu H, Ran FA, Hsu PD, et al.: Crystal structure of Cas9 in complex with guide RNA and target DNA. Cell. 2014; 156(5): 935–49. 67. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Tervo DG, Hwang B, Viswanathan S, et al.: A Designer AAV Variant Permits Efficient Retrograde Access to Projection Neurons. Neuron. 2016; 92(2): 372–82. 68 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Kemaladewi DU, Maino E, Hyatt E, et al.: Correction of a splicing defect in 69. a mouse model of congenital muscular dystrophy type 1A using a homologydirected-repair-independent mechanism. Nat Med. 2017; 23(8): 984–9. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- El Refaey M, Xu L, Gao Y, et al.: In vivo Genome Editing Restores Dystrophin 70. Expression and Cardiac Function in Dystrophic Mice. Circ Res. 2017; 121(8): 923-9
- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Ohmori T, Nagao Y, Mizukami H, et al.: CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing via postnatal administration of AAV vector cures haemophilia B mice. Sci Rep. 2017; 7(1): 4159. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

72 F Yin C, Zhang T, Qu X, et al.: In vivo Excision of HIV-1 Provirus by saCas9 and Multiplex Single-Guide RNAs in Animal Models. Mol Ther. 2017; 25(5):

1168-86.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation

- F Li Y, Sander JD, Reyon D, et al.: Improving CRISPR-Cas nuclease specificity using truncated guide RNAs. Nat Biotechnol. 2014; 32(3): 279–84. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Davis KM, Pattanayak V, Thompson DB, et al.: Small molecule-triggered Cas9 protein with improved genome-editing specificity. Nat Chem Biol. 2015; 11(5): 316–8.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

- 75. F Yu C, Liu Y, Ma T, et al.: Small molecules enhance CRISPR genome editing in pluripotent stem cells. Cell Stem Cell. 2015; 16(2): 142–7. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Monteys AM, Ebanks SA, Keiser MS, et al.: CRISPR/Cas9 Editing of the Mutant Huntingtin Allele In Vitro and In Vivo. Mol Ther. 2017; 25(1): 12–23.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Jarrett KE, Lee CM, Yeh YH, et al.: Somatic genome editing with CRISPR/Cas9 generates and corrects a metabolic disease. Sci Rep. 2017; 7: 44624.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Guo Y, VanDusen NJ, Zhang L, et al.: Analysis of Cardiac Myocyte Maturation Using CASAAV, a Platform for Rapid Dissection of Cardiac Myocyte Gene Function In Vivo. Circ Res. 2017; 120(12): 1874–88.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- F Chow RD, Guzman CD, Wang G, et al.: AAV-mediated direct in vivo CRISPR screen identifies functional suppressors in glioblastoma. Nat Neurosci. 2017; 20(10): 1329–41.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation

- F Murlidharan G, Sakamoto K, Rao L, et al.: CNS-restricted Transduction and CRISPR/Cas9-mediated Gene Deletion with an Engineered AAV Vector. Mol Ther Nucleic Acids. 2016; 5(7): e338.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 81. F de Solis CA, Ho A, Holehonnur R, *et al.*: The Development of a Viral Mediated CRISPR/Cas9 System with Doxycycline Dependent gRNA Expression for Inducible *In vitro* and *In vivo* Genome Editing. *Front Mol Neurosci.* 2016; 9: 70. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Nishiyama J, Mikuni T, Yasuda R: Virus-Mediated Genome Editing via Homology-Directed Repair in Mitotic and Postmitotic Cells in Mammalian Brain. Neuron. 2017; 96(4): 755–768.e5.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Li Y, Kim J: Distinct roles of neuronal and microglial CB2 cannabinoid receptors in the mouse hippocampus. *Neuroscience*. 2017; 363: 11–25. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Lin H, Hu H, Duan W, et al.: Intramuscular Delivery of scAAV9-hIGF1 Prolongs Survival in the hSOD1G93A ALS Mouse Model via Upregulation of D-Amino Acid Oxidase. Mol Neurobiol. 2016; 1–14.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Huang X, Zhou G, Wu W, et al.: Genome editing abrogates angiogenesis in vivo. Nat Commun. 2017; 8(1): 112.
 - PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- F Kaminski R, Bella R, Yin C, et al.: Excision of HIV-1 DNA by gene editing: a proof-of-concept in vivo study. Gene Ther. 2016; 23(8–9): 690–5.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Grimm D, Lee JS, Wang L, et al.: In vitro and in vivo gene therapy vector evolution via multispecies interbreeding and retargeting of adeno-associated viruses. J Virol. 2008; 82(12): 5887–911.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Hashimoto H, Mizushima T, Chijiwa T, et al.: Efficient production of recombinant adeno-associated viral vector, serotype DJ/8, carrying the GFP gene. Virus Res. 2017; 238: 63–8.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Iida A, Takino N, Miyauchi H, *et al.*: Systemic delivery of tyrosine-mutant AAV vectors results in robust transduction of neurons in adult mice. *Biomed Res Int.* 2013; 2013: 974819.
- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- McCarty DM, Monahan PE, Samulski RJ: Self-complementary recombinant adeno-associated virus (scAAV) vectors promote efficient transduction independently of DNA synthesis. *Gene Ther.* 2001; 8(16): 1248–54.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Wang X, Xu Z, Tian Z, et al.: The EF-1α promoter maintains high-level transgene expression from episomal vectors in transfected CHO-K1 cells. J Cell Mol Med. 2017; 21(11): 3044–54.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Teschendorf C, Warrington KH Jr, Siemann DW, et al.: Comparison of the EF-1 alpha and the CMV promoter for engineering stable tumor cell lines using recombinant adeno-associated virus. Anticancer Res. 2002; 22(6A): 3325–30. PubMed Abstract
- Chung S, Andersson T, Sonntag KC, et al.: Analysis of different promoter systems for efficient transgene expression in mouse embryonic stem cell lines. Stem Cells. 2002; 20(2): 139–45.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Roelz R, Pilz IH, Mutschler M, et al.: Of mice and men: human RNA polymerase III promoter U6 is more efficient than its murine homologue for shRNA expression from a lentiviral vector in both human and murine progenitor cells.

Exp Hematol. 2010; 38(9): 792–7. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- Mäkinen PI, Koponen JK, Kärkkäinen AM, et al.: Stable RNA interference: comparison of U6 and H1 promoters in endothelial cells and in mouse brain. J Gene Med. 2006; 8(4): 433–41.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Sun CP, Wu TH, Chen CC, et al.: Studies of efficacy and liver toxicity related to adeno-associated virus-mediated RNA interference. *Hum Gene Ther.* 2013; 24(8): 739–50.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Larson MH, Gilbert LA, Wang X, et al.: CRISPR interference (CRISPRi) for sequence-specific control of gene expression. Nat Protoc. 2013; 8(11): 2180–96.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Ranganathan V, Wahlin K, Maruotti J, et al.: Expansion of the CRISPR-Cas9 genome targeting space through the use of H1 promoter-expressed guide RNAs. Nat Commun. 2014; 5: 4516.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 99. Vidigal JA, Ventura A: Rapid and efficient one-step generation of paired gRNA CRISPR-Cas9 libraries. Nat Commun. 2015; 6: 8083. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Saraiva J, Nobre RJ, Pereira de Almeida L: Gene therapy for the CNS using AAVs: The impact of systemic delivery by AAV9. J Control Release. 2016; 241: 94–109.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- Vagner T, Dvorzhak A, Wójtowicz AM, et al.: Systemic application of AAV vectors targeting GFAP-expressing astrocytes in Z-Q175-KI Huntington's disease mice. Mol Cell Neurosci. 2016; 77: 76–86.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 102. Tanguy Y, Biferi MG, Besse A, et al.: Systemic AAVrh10 provides higher transgene expression than AAV9 in the brain and the spinal cord of neonatal mice. Front Mol Neurosci. 2015; 8: 36. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 103. Cyranoski D: CRISPR gene-editing tested in a person for the first time. Nature. 2016; 539(7630): 479. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 104. Cyranoski D: Chinese scientists to pioneer first human CRISPR trial. Nature. 2016; 535(7613): 476–7. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 105. Costa R, Carneiro BA, Agulnik M, et al.: Toxicity profile of approved anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibodies in solid tumors: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. Oncotarget. 2017; 8(5): 8910–20. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 106. Zhang T, Xie J, Arai S, et al.: The efficacy and safety of anti-PD-1/PD-L1 antibodies for treatment of advanced or refractory cancers: a meta-analysis. Oncotarget. 2016; 7(45): 73068–79. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 107. Zhong L, Li B, Mah CS, et al.: Next generation of adeno-associated virus 2 vectors: point mutations in tyrosines lead to high-efficiency transduction at lower doses. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008; 105(22): 7827–32. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Ling C, Li B, Ma W, et al.: Development of Optimized AAV Serotype Vectors for High-Efficiency Transduction at Further Reduced Doses. Hum Gene Ther Methods. 2016; 27(4): 143–9.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Kim D, Kim S, Kim S, et al.: Genome-wide target specificities of CRISPR-Cas9 nucleases revealed by multiplex Digenome-seq. Genome Res. 2016; 26(3): 406–15.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

- 110. Kim D, Bae S, Park J, et al.: Digenome-seq: genome-wide profiling of CRISPR-Cas9 off-target effects in human cells. Nat Methods. 2015; 12(3): 237–43, 1 p following 243. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 111. Kuscu C, Arslan S, Singh R, et al.: Genome-wide analysis reveals characteristics of off-target sites bound by the Cas9 endonuclease. Nat Biotechnol. 2014; 32(7): 677–83. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- F O'Geen H, Henry IM, Bhakta MS, et al.: A genome-wide analysis of Cas9 binding specificity using ChIP-seq and targeted sequence capture. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015; 43(6): 3389–404.
- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 113. F Tsai SQ, Zheng Z, Nguyen NT, et al.: GUIDE-seq enables genome-wide profiling of off-target cleavage by CRISPR-Cas nucleases. Nat Biotechnol. 2015; 33(2): 187–97. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 114. Yang L, Grishin D, Wang G, et al.: Targeted and genome-wide sequencing reveal single nucleotide variations impacting specificity of Cas9 in human stem cells. Nat Commun. 2014; 5: 5507. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Morgens DW, Wainberg M, Boyle EA, *et al.*: Genome-scale measurement of offtarget activity using Cas9 toxicity in high-throughput screens. *Nat Commun.* 2017; 8: 15178.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 116. Cameron P, Fuller CK, Donohoue PD, et al.: Mapping the genomic landscape of

CRISPR-Cas9 cleavage. Nat Methods. 2017; 14(6): 600–6. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- 117. Scott DA, Zhang F: Implications of human genetic variation in CRISPR-based therapeutic genome editing. *Nat Med.* 2017; 23(9): 1095–101. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 118. F Tsai SQ, Nguyen NT, Malagon-Lopez J, et al.: CIRCLE-seq: a highly sensitive in vitro screen for genome-wide CRISPR-Cas9 nuclease off-targets. Nat Methods. 2017; 14(6): 607–14. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 119. Kim D, Lim K, Kim ST, et al.: Genome-wide target specificities of CRISPR RNAguided programmable deaminases. Nat Biotechnol. 2017; 35(5): 475–80. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Koo T, Lee J, Kim JS: Measuring and Reducing Off-Target Activities of Programmable Nucleases Including CRISPR-Cas9. Mol Cells. 2015; 38(6): 475–81.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

- 121. F Batra R, Nelles DA, Pirie E, et al.: Elimination of Toxic Microsatellite Repeat Expansion RNA by RNA-Targeting Cas9. Cell. 2017; 170(5): 899–912.e10. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 122. F Pinto BS, Saxena T, Oliveira R, et al.: Impeding Transcription of Expanded Microsatellite Repeats by Deactivated Cas9. Mol Cell. 2017; 68(3): 479–490.e5. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 123. Kim K, Park SW, Kim JH, et al.: Genome surgery using Cas9 ribonucleoproteins for the treatment of age-related macular degeneration. Genome Res. 2017; 27(3): 419–26.
- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text 124. Nihongaki Y, Kawano F, Nakajima T, *et al.*: Photoactivatable CRISPR-Cas9 for
- optogenetic genome edition. Natalinia 1, et al.: Probactivatable Chisr necession PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 125. F Polstein LR, Gersbach CA: A light-inducible CRISPR-Cas9 system for control of endogenous gene activation. Nat Chem Biol. 2015; 11(3): 198–200. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 126. Dow LE, Fisher J, O'Rourke KP, et al.: Inducible in vivo genome editing with CRISPR-Cas9. Nat Biotechnol. 2015; 33(4): 390–4. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 127. Liu KI, Ramli MN, Woo CW, et al.: A chemical-inducible CRISPR-Cas9 system for rapid control of genome editing. Nat Chem Biol. 2016; 12(11): 980–7. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Liu Y, Zeng Y, Liu L, et al.: Synthesizing AND gate genetic circuits based on CRISPR-Cas9 for identification of bladder cancer cells. Nat Commun. 2014; 5: 5393.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- 129. Weinberg BH, Pham NT, Caraballo LD, et al.: Large-scale design of robust genetic circuits with multiple inputs and outputs for mammalian cells. Nat Biotechnol. 2017; 35(5): 453–62. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Shen B, Zhang W, Zhang J, et al.: Efficient genome modification by CRISPR-Cas9 nickase with minimal off-target effects. Nat Methods. 2014; 11(4): 399–402. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Cho SW, Kim S, Kim Y, et al.: Analysis of off-target effects of CRISPR/Casderived RNA-guided endonucleases and nickases. *Genome Res.* 2014; 24(1): 132–41.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

- 132. F Ran FA, Hsu PD, Lin CY, et al.: Double nicking by RNA-guided CRISPR Cas9 for enhanced genome editing specificity. Cell. 2013; 154(6): 1380–9. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 133. Guilinger JP, Thompson DB, Liu DR: Fusion of catalytically inactive Cas9 to Fok/ nuclease improves the specificity of genome modification. Nat Biotechnol. 2014; 32(6): 577–82. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- F Kleinstiver BP, Pattanayak V, Prew MS, et al.: High-fidelity CRISPR-Cas9 nucleases with no detectable genome-wide off-target effects. Nature. 2016; 529(7587): 490–5.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 135. F Slaymaker IM, Gao L, Zetsche B, *et al.*: Rationally engineered Cas9
- nucleases with improved specificity. Science. 2016; 351(6268): 84–8. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Chen JS, Dagdas YS, Kleinstiver BP, et al.: Enhanced proofreading governs CRISPR-Cas9 targeting accuracy. Nature. 2017; 550(7676): 407–10.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 137. F Komor AC, Kim YB, Packer MS, et al.: Programmable editing of a target base in genomic DNA without double-stranded DNA cleavage. Nature. 2016; 533(7603): 420-4. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Fim K, Ryu SM, Kim ST, et al.: Highly efficient RNA-guided base editing in mouse embryos. Nat Biotechnol. 2017; 35(5): 435–7.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation

- 139. Richardson CD, Ray GJ, DeWitt MA, et al.: Enhancing homology-directed genome editing by catalytically active and inactive CRISPR-Cas9 using asymmetric donor DNA. Nat Biotechnol. 2016; 34(3): 339–44. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 140. Song J, Yang D, Xu J, et al.: RS-1 enhances CRISPR/Cas9- and TALEN-mediated knock-in efficiency. Nat Commun. 2016; 7: 10548. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 141. F Maruyama T, Dougan SK, Truttmann MC, et al.: Increasing the efficiency of precise genome editing with CRISPR-Cas9 by inhibition of nonhomologous end joining. Nat Biotechnol. 2015; 33(5): 538–42. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 142. Cho SW, Kim S, Kim JM, *et al.*: Targeted genome engineering in human cells with the Cas9 RNA-guided endonuclease. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2013; **31**(3): 230–2. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Hendel A, Bak RO, Clark JT, et al.: Chemically modified guide RNAs enhance CRISPR-Cas genome editing in human primary cells. Nat Biotechnol. 2015; 33(9): 985–9.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 144. Perez AR, Pritykin Y, Vidigal JA, et al.: GuideScan software for improved single
- and paired CRISPR guide RNA design. Nat Biotechnol. 2017; 35(4): 347–9. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 145. Naito Y, Hino K, Bono H, et al.: CRISPRdirect: software for designing CRISPR/ Cas guide RNA with reduced off-target sites. Bioinformatics. 2015; 31(7): 1120–3. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 146. Bae S, Park J, Kim JS: Cas-OFFinder: a fast and versatile algorithm that searches for potential off-target sites of Cas9 RNA-guided endonucleases. *Bioinformatics*. 2014; 30(10): 1473–5. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 147. Zhang XH, Tee LY, Wang XG, et al.: Off-target Effects in CRISPR/Cas9-mediated Genome Engineering. Mol Ther Nucleic Acids. 2015; 4(11): e264. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 148. F Mockenhaupt S, Grosse S, Rupp D, et al.: Alleviation of off-target effects from vector-encoded shRNAs via codelivered RNA decoys. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2015; 112(30): E4007–16. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 149. Tay FC, Lim JK, Zhu H, et al.: Using artificial microRNA sponges to achieve microRNA loss-of-function in cancer cells. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2015; 81: 117–27. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 150. F Hirosawa M, Fujita Y, Parr CJC, et al.: Cell-type-specific genome editing with a microRNA-responsive CRISPR-Cas9 switch. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017; 45(13): e118. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Manning WC, Zhou S, Bland MP, et al.: Transient immunosuppression allows transgene expression following readministration of adeno-associated viral vectors. Hum Gene Ther. 1998; 9(4): 477–85.
- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

 152.
 Mingozzi F, Anguela XM, Pavani G, et al.: Overcoming preexisting humoral immunity to AAV using capsid decoys. Sci Transl Med. 2013; 5(194): 194ra92.

 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 153. Huttner NA, Girod A, Perabo L, et al.: Genetic modifications of the adenoassociated virus type 2 capsid reduce the affinity and the neutralizing effects of human serum antibodies. Gene Ther. 2003; 10(26): 2139–47. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 154. Arbetman AE, Lochrie M, Zhou S, et al.: Novel caprine adeno-associated virus (AAV) capsid (AAV-Go.1) is closely related to the primate AAV-5 and has unique tropism and neutralization properties. J Virol. 2005; 79(24): 15238–45. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Maheshri N, Koerber JT, Kaspar BK, et al.: Directed evolution of adenoassociated virus yields enhanced gene delivery vectors. Nat Biotechnol. 2006; 24(2): 198–204.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 156. Eakondi B: In vivo versus ex vivo CRISPR therapies for retinal dystrophy. Expert Rev Ophthalmol. 2016; 11(6): 397–400. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 157. Grimm D, Büning H: Small But Increasingly Mighty: Latest Advances in AAV Vector Research, Design, and Evolution. Hum Gene Ther. 2017; 28(11): 1075–86. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 158. Paulk NK, Pekrun K, Zhu E, et al.: Bioengineered AAV Capsids with Combined High Human Liver Transduction In vivo and Unique Humoral Seroreactivity. Mol Ther. 2017; pii: S1525-0016(17)30437-9. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 159. Tse LV, Klinc KA, Madigan VJ, et al.: Structure-guided evolution of antigenically distinct adeno-associated virus variants for immune evasion. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2017; 114(24): E4812–E4821. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 160. Li C, Wu S, Albright B, *et al.*: Development of Patient-specific AAV Vectors After Neutralizing Antibody Selection for Enhanced Muscle Gene Transfer. *Mol Ther.* 2016; 24(1): 53–65. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Open Peer Review

Current Referee Status:

Editorial Note on the Review Process

F1000 Faculty Reviews are commissioned from members of the prestigious F1000 Faculty and are edited as a service to readers. In order to make these reviews as comprehensive and accessible as possible, the referees provide input before publication and only the final, revised version is published. The referees who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations but without their reports on earlier versions (any comments will already have been addressed in the published version).

The referees who approved this article are:

Version 1

1 **Dirk Grimm** Department of Infectious Diseases, Virology, Heidelberg University Hospital, Heidelberg, Germany

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Jin-Soo Kim Department of Chemistry, Seoul National University, Seoul, 151-742, Korea, South Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

