www.surgicalneurologyint.com

ScientificScholar[®] Knowledge is power Publisher of Scientific Journals

Editor-in-Chief: Nancy E. Epstein, MD, Professor of Clinical Neurosurgery, School of Medicine, State U. of NY at Stony Brook. Editor

Surgical Neurology International

SNI: Spine

Open Access

Nancy E. Epstein, MD Professor of Clinical Neurosurgery, School of Medicine, State U. of NY at Stony Brook

Relationship between 25-hydroxy Vitamin D level and surgical site infection in spine surgery

Serena Liu¹, Aron Sulovari², Peter Joo¹, Caroline Thirukumaran¹, Lancelot Benn³, Addisu Mesfin⁴

¹Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, ²Department of Anesthesiology, Westchester Medical Center, Valhalla, New York, 3Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Howard University College of Medicine, 4Department of Orthopaedics, MedStar Orthopaedic Institute, Washington, United States.

E-mail: Serena Liu - serenaebliu@gmail.com; Aron Sulovari - aron.sulovari@gmail.com; Peter Joo - peter.y.joo@gmail.com; Caroline Thirukumaran - caroline_thirukumaran@urmc.rochester.edu; Lancelot Benn - lancelotbenn@gmail.com; *Addisu Mesfin - amesfin@gmail.com



Original Article

First Author Serena Liu

*Corresponding author: Addisu Mesfin, Department of Orthopaedics, MedStar Orthopaedic Institute, Washington, United States.

amesfin@gmail.com

Received: 25 February 2024 Accepted: 25 April 2024 Published: 24 May 2024

DOI 10.25259/SNI_135_2024

Quick Response Code:



ABSTRACT

Background: 25-hydroxy Vitamin D (25[OH]D) level has been shown to have antimicrobial and wound healing effects in animal models. Low preoperative 25(OH)D has been shown to correlate with surgical site infection (SSI) in thoracolumbar spine surgery.

Methods: This study involved 545 patients undergoing thoracolumbar spine surgery from 2012 to 2019 at an academic medical center. We evaluated the serum 25(OH)D level (i.e., adequate level = level 30-60 ng/dL), along with SSI, body mass index, and smoking status. Statistical analysis was done using bivariate analysis with Fisher's exact, Wilcoxon rank-sum test and multivarible logisitic regression analyses.

Results: We included 545 patients in the study, and there were no statistical differences in the average preoperative 25(OH)D between SSI and non-SSI groups. The average 25(OH)D in the non-SSI group was $31.6 \text{ ng/dL} \pm 13.6$, and the SSI group was 35.7 ng/dL \pm 20.2 (P = 0.63).

Conclusion: SSI rates following thoracolumbar spine surgery were not affected by preoperative 25(OH)D levels.

Keywords: Fusion, Laminectomy, Spine, Surgical site infection, Vitamin D

INTRODUCTION

Surgical site infection (SSI) following spine surgery can range from 0.2% to 16.7%.^[6,7] Despite the importance of this topic, the current understanding of risk factors still needs to be improved.^[8] In adult orthopedic surgery patients, the prevalence of Vitamin D hypovitaminosis has been noted to be 43%, likely increasing the rate of SSI.^[1,4] SSI was defined as a deep SSI needing irrigation and debridement.^[10] This study investigated whether low preoperative 25-hydroxy Vitamin D (25[OH]D) levels increased the risk of postoperative SSI following thoracolumbar spine surgery.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

With IRB approval, we evaluated Vitamin D levels in 545 patients undergoing thoracolumbar spine surgery (2012-2019) surgical procedures performed by one fellowship-trained orthopedic

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, transform, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms. ©2024 Published by Scientific Scholar on behalf of Surgical Neurology International

spine surgeon at a single academic center. The primary outcome of the study was the presence of SSI within 12 months of surgery (SSI group and non-SSI group).

Antibiotic protocol

All patients received preincisional antibiotics (cephalexin without penicillin allergy). During surgery, we utilized a solution containing povidone-iodine and normal saline irrigation of the wound while, followed by placement of intrawound vancomycin powder (i.e., above/below fascia lumbar/thoracic fusions 2 g, 1 g for lumbar laminectomies, and 250 mg for microdiscectomies).^[4,5,9]

Most preoperative 25(OH)D levels were obtained within 30 days of surgery [Table 1]. In our institution an adequate 25(OH)D was identified as 30-60 ng/dL. Greater than 60 ng/dL was identified as potentially harmful. Low 25(OH)D or deficiency (<20 ng/dL) and insufficient (21–29 ng/dL) levels were also defined.^[3] Multiple other variables were studied [Tables 2 and 3].

Statistical analysis

Bivariate analysis (i.e., using Fisher's exact test and Wilcoxon rank-sum test) compared characteristics between non-SSI and SSI cohorts. We also utilized multivariable logistic regression analyses [Tables 2 and 4].

RESULTS

The average 25(OH)D in the non-SSI group was 31.6 ng/dL \pm 13.6, and 35.7 ng/dL \pm 20.2 in SSI group (p=0.63) [Table 1].

Of 545 patients who met the inclusion criteria, the SSI rate was 1.65% (nine patients) [Table 5].

The SSI group had a higher proportion of smokers, 33.3%, versus 20.9% in the non-SSI group (P = 0.29) [Table 5]. Most of the SSI group was identified as the American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) class 3 or 4 (62.5%), whereas most of the non-SSI group was identified as ASA 1 or 2 (53.6%, P = 0.29) [Table 5]. There was no statistical significance in infection rates for revision compared to nonrevision surgeries (P = 0.61) [Table 5].

Culture data

Six patients' cultures grew single Gram positives (i.e., *Bacillus* species or Methicillin-sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus* [MSSA]) or Gram-negatives (i.e., *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Proteus mirabilis*) or two *Pseudomonas* species. Three patients' cultures were polymicrobial and included *Staphylococcus epidermis*, *Bacillus* species, *Micrococcus* species; *Enterococcus faecium*, *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*; *P. mirabilis*, diphtheroid species.

 Table 1: Bivariate analysis of Vitamin D data in non-SSI and SSI groups.

	Non-SSI (<i>n</i> =536), %	SSI (<i>n</i> =9), %	P-value
25(OH) D (ng/dL) (mean±SD) 25(OH) D	31.6±13.6	35.7±20.2	0.63
<20 ng/mL deficiency 20–29 ng/mL insufficiency	21.7 27.9	33.3 11.1	0.041*
30–60 ng/mL adequate	46.8	33.3	
>60 ng/mL potentially harmful	3.6	22.2	
Season			
Winter: December-March	32.7	44.4	0.51
Spring: April–May	12.9	22.2	
Summer: June–August	26.7	22.2	
Fall: September-	27.8	11.1	
November			

**P*<0.05 is significant, SSI: Surgical site infection, SD: Standard deviation, 25(OH) D: 25-hydroxy vitamin D

Table 2: Multivariate logistic regression model using EOMguidelines.

Units	Multivariate	P-value	
	OR (95% CI)		
25(OH) D level			
<20 ng/dL deficiency	Ref		
20-29 ng/dL insufficiency	0.26 (0.021-3.07)	0.282	
30–60 ng/dL adequate	0.12 (0.013-1.04)	0.054	
>60 ng/dL potentially harmful	1.59 (0.13–19.21)	0.714	
Gender			
Male	Ref		
Female	9.45 (0.91–97.94)	0.060	
Age			
Years	1.08 (1.00-1.17)	0.053	
BMI			
Kg/m ²	1.08 (0.96-1.22)	0.201	
Surgery			
Thoracolumbar/thoracic	3.11 (0.38-25.19)	0.287	
Diagnosis			
Deformity	2.36 (0.24-23.48)	0.465	
Diabetes			
No	Ref		
Yes	3.70 (0.55-24.88)	0.178	
Rheumatoid arthritis			
No	Ref		
Yes	13.09 (0.81–211.10)	0.070	
BMI: Body mass index, EOM: Endocrine Society, CI: Confidence interval, OR: Odds ratio, 25(OH) D: 25-hydroxy vitamin D			

Multivariable analysis

We did not find a significant association between preoperative 25(OH)D levels and an increased risk for postoperative SSIs.

Table 3: Multivariate logistic regression model using IOM guidelines.			
Units	Multivariate	P-value	
	OR (95% CI)		
Gender			
Male	Ref		
Female	7.84 (0.79–77.99)	0.079	
Age			
Years	1.06 (0.99-1.14)	0.080	
BMI			
Kg/m ²	1.08 (0.96-1.21)	0.215	
Surgery			
Thoracolumbar/thoracic	3.52 (0.48-26.05)	0.217	
Diagnosis			
Deformity	3.04 (0.36-25.77)	0.307	
Diabetes			
No	Ref		
Yes	3.68 (0.56-24.27)	0.176	
Rheumatoid arthritis			
No	Ref		
Yes	5.99 (0.45-80.38)	0.177	
25(OH) D level			
<12 ng/dL deficiency	Ref		
12–20 ng/dL insufficiency	0.64 (0.046-8.98)	0.743	
20–50 ng/dL adequate	0.13 (0.01-2.00)	0.143	
>50 ng/dL potentially harmful	0.29 (0.014-5.96)	0.423	
BMI: Body mass index CI: Confidenc	e interval OR· Odds rat	io 25(OH)	

BMI: Body mass index, CI: Confidence interval, OR: Odds ratio, 25(OH) D: 25-hydroxy Vitamin D, IOM: Institute of medicine

Table 4: Multivariate logistic regression model using Vitamin D as a continuous variable.

Units	Multivariate	P-value
	OR (95% CI)	
Gender		
Male	Ref	
Female	6.34 (0.71-56.86)	0.099
Age		
Years	1.05 (0.98–1.12)	0.151
BMI		
Kg/m ²	1.10 (0.98–1.23)	0.098
25(OH) D		
ng/dL	0.99 (0.93–1.05)	0.729
Surgery		
Thoracolumbar/thoracic	3.28 (0.41-26.54)	0.265
Diagnosis		
Deformity	3.06 (0.34-27.16)	0.316
Diabetes		
No	Ref	
Yes	4.25 (0.69-26.04)	0.117
Rheumatoid arthritis		
No	Ref	
Yes	6.01 (0.48–75.39)	0.164
BMI: Body mass index, CI: Confi D: 25-hydroxy Vitamin D	dence interval, OR: Odds ra	atio, 25(OH)

However, the odds of SSIs were lower among those with higher 25(OH)D levels (except for the potentially harmful

 Table 5: Bivariate analysis of patient characteristics in non-SSI and SSI cohorts.

Non-SSI (n=536),%SSI (n=9),%P-value (n=9),%Gender $(n=536), %$ $(n=9), %$ Male 50.4 11.1 0.02^* Female 49.6 88.9 Age (years) $56\pm15.6^\circ$ 64 ± 7.5 0.07 BMI $31.6\pm6.5^\circ$ 34.8 ± 9.6 0.36 Race/ethnicity $$	and SSI cohorts.			
Gender Male 50.4 11.1 0.02^* Female 49.6 88.9 Age (years) $56\pm 15.6^+$ 64 ± 7.5 0.07 BMI $31.6\pm 6.5^+$ 34.8 ± 9.6 0.36 Race/ethnicity $41.22.2$ 0.78 Hispanic 3.2 0 Asian 0.8 0 Native American 0.4 0 White 78.5 77.8 Smoking $1.22.2$ 0.78 No 79.1 66.7 0.29 Yes 20.9 33.3 Diabetes $1.22.2$ $1.22.2$ Rheumatoid arthritis $1.22.2$ $1.22.2$ No 92.5 77.8 0.15 Yes 7.5 22.2 $2.22.2$ Rheumatoid arthritis $1.07.2$ 53.6 37.5 No 97.6 88.9 0.21 Yes $2.4.4$ 11.1 $1.23.22.2$ Rheumatoid arthritis $1.07.2$ 53.6 37.5 No 97.6 88.9 0.21 Yes $2.3.3$ $3.3.3$ $3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.$		Non-SSI	SSI	P-value
Male 50.4 11.1 0.02* Female 49.6 88.9		(<i>n</i> =536), %	(<i>n</i> =9), %	
Female49.688.9Age (years) $56\pm15.6^{\dagger}$ 64 ± 7.5 0.07 BMI $31.6\pm6.5^{\dagger}$ 34.8 ± 9.6 0.36 Race/ethnicity $4frican-American$ 17.2 22.2 0.78 Hispanic 3.2 0 $Asian$ 0.8 0 Native American 0.4 0 $White$ 78.5 77.8 Smoking 0.4 0 $White$ 78.5 77.8 No 79.1 66.7 0.29 29 Yes 20.9 33.3 0.15 Diabetes 0 92.5 77.8 0.15 No 92.5 77.8 0.15 Yes 7.5 22.2 22.2 Rheumatoid arthritis 0 97.6 88.9 0.21 Yes 2.4 11.1 ASA 1 or 2 53.6 37.5 0.29 3 or 4 46.4 62.5 0.084 Deformity 5.2 33.3 0.052 Diagnosis 0 0.11 0 Surgery type 0 0.052 0.0052 Lumbar discectomy 33.6 33.3 0.052 Lumbar discectomy 21.3 0 0 Thoracolumbar/ 7.8 33.3 0.052 Lumbar laminectomy 21.3 0 0.61 Revision surgery Yes 12.69 0.00 0.61	Gender			
Female49.688.9Age (years) $56\pm15.6^+$ 64 ± 7.5 0.07 BMI $31.6\pm6.5^+$ 34.8 ± 9.6 0.36 Race/ethnicity $4frican-American$ 17.2 22.2 0.78 Hispanic 3.2 0 $Asian$ 0.8 0 Native American 0.4 0 $White$ 78.5 77.8 Smoking V V 66.7 0.29 Yes 20.9 33.3 0.15 Diabetes V V 0.15 No 92.5 77.8 0.15 Yes 7.5 22.2 0.15 Rheumatoid arthritis V 0.21 No 97.6 88.9 0.21 Yes 2.4 11.1 0.84 I or 2 53.6 37.5 0.29 3 or 4 46.4 62.5 0.15 Diagnosis U U 0.161 Degenerative 81.6 66.7 0.084 Deformity 5.2 33.3 0.052 Jumor 3.2 0 0 0.612 Surgery type U U 0.052 Lumbar discectomy 33.6 33.3 0.052 Lumbar laminectomy 21.3 0 0 Thoracolumbar/ 7.8 33.3 0.052 Lumbar laminectomy 21.3 0 0.61		50.4	11.1	0.02*
Age (years) $56\pm15.6^{\dagger}$ 64 ± 7.5 0.07 BMI $31.6\pm6.5^{\dagger}$ 34.8 ± 9.6 0.36 Race/ethnicity 4 frican-American 17.2 22.2 0.78 Hispanic 3.2 0 0 Asian 0.8 0 0 Native American 0.4 0 0 White 78.5 77.8 5 Smoking 0 79.1 66.7 0.29 Yes 20.9 33.3 0 Diabetes 0 92.5 77.8 0.15 Yes 7.5 22.2 22.2 77.8 0.15 Yes 2.4 11.1 45.4 66.7 0.29 Yes 2.4 11.1 45.4 66.7 0.084 Deformity 5.2 33.3 33.3 0.052 Diagnosis 0 $0.01.1$ 0 0.052 Lumbar discectomy 33.6 33.3 0.052 Lumbar discectomy 33.6 33.3 0.052 Lumbar laminectomy 21.3 0 0.052 Lumbar laminectomy 21.3 0 0.052 Lumbar laminectomy 21.3 0 0.00 Thoracolumbar/ 7.8 33	Female			
BMI $31.6\pm6.5^{\dagger}$ 34.8 ± 9.6 0.36 Race/ethnicity 4 frican-American 17.2 22.2 0.78 Hispanic 3.2 0 A sian 0.8 0 Native American 0.4 0 W hite 78.5 77.8 Smoking V V 0.29 Y No 79.1 66.7 0.29 Yes 20.9 33.3 0.15 Diabetes V V 0.15 Yes 7.5 22.2 0.15 Rheumatoid arthritis V 0.21 No 97.6 88.9 0.21 Yes 2.4 11.1 ASA 1 or 2 53.6 37.5 0.29 3 or 4 46.4 62.5 0.29 Diagnosis 0 0.11 0 Degenerative 81.6 66.7 0.084 Deformity 5.2 33.3 0.052 Lumbar discectomy 33.6 33.3 0.052 Lumbar discectomy 33.6 33.3 0.052 Lumbar laminectomy 21.3 0 0 Thoracolumbar/ 7.8 33.3 10.10 Kevision surgery Y Y Y Yes 12.69 0.00 0.61				0.07
Race/ethnicity African-American 17.2 22.2 0.78 Hispanic 3.2 0 Asian 0.8 0 Native American 0.4 0 White 78.5 77.8 Smoking	0,			
African-American 17.2 22.2 0.78 Hispanic 3.2 0 Asian 0.8 0 Native American 0.4 0 White 78.5 77.8 Smoking				
Hispanic 3.2 0 Asian 0.8 0 Native American 0.4 0 White 78.5 77.8 Smoking 79.1 66.7 0.29 Yes 20.9 33.3 0 Diabetes 75 22.2 No 92.5 77.8 0.15 Yes 7.5 22.2 Rheumatoid arthritis 0 97.6 88.9 0.21 Yes 2.4 11.1 ASA 1 1 1 ASA 1 1 or 2 53.6 37.5 0.29 3 or 4 46.4 62.5 1 Diagnosis Degenerative 81.6 66.7 0.084 0 1 Deformity 5.2 33.3 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 Surgery type 1 10.1 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	•	17.2	22.2	0.78
Asian 0.8 0 Native American 0.4 0 White 78.5 77.8 Smoking 79.1 66.7 0.29 Yes 20.9 33.3 0 Diabetes 7.5 22.2 No 92.5 77.8 0.15 Yes 7.5 22.2 Rheumatoid arthritis 7.5 22.2 No 97.6 88.9 0.21 Yes 2.4 11.1 ASA 1 or 2 53.6 37.5 0.29 3 or 4 46.4 62.5 0 Diagnosis 0 7 0.084 Deformity 5.2 33.3 0 Trauma 10.1 0 0 Surgery type 1 1 0 Lumbar discectomy 33.6 33.3 0.052 Lumbar laminectomy 21.3 0 0 Thoracolumbar/ 7.8 33.3 1 <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td>			0	
White 78.5 77.8 Smoking 79.1 66.7 0.29 Yes 20.9 33.3 0 Diabetes 92.5 77.8 0.15 Yes 7.5 22.2 0 Rheumatoid arthritis 0 97.6 88.9 0.21 Yes 2.4 11.1 0 0 Jord 46.4 62.5 0.29 3 Diagnosis 0 0 0 0 Deformity 5.2 33.3 0 0 Trauma 10.1 0 0 0 Surgery type 1 1 0 0 Lumbar discectomy 33.6 33.3 0.052 Lumbar posterior 37.3 33.3 0 Thoracolumbar/ 7.8 33.3 1 Lumbar laminectomy 21.3 0	1	0.8	0	
Smoking 79.1 66.7 0.29 Yes 20.9 33.3 Diabetes 92.5 77.8 0.15 Yes 7.5 22.2 Rheumatoid arthritis 0 97.6 88.9 0.21 Yes 2.4 11.1 10 10 ASA 1 1012 53.6 37.5 0.29 3 or 4 46.4 62.5 10 10 10 10 Degenerative 81.6 66.7 0.084 10 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Native American	0.4	0	
No 79.1 66.7 0.29 Yes 20.9 33.3 0 Diabetes 92.5 77.8 0.15 No 92.5 77.8 0.15 Yes 7.5 22.2 0 Rheumatoid arthritis 0 97.6 88.9 0.21 Yes 2.4 11.1 0 0 Yes 2.4 11.1 0 0 ASA 1 0 25 0 0 J or 2 53.6 37.5 0.29 3 0 0 J or 2 53.6 37.5 0.29 3 0 0 0 Degenerative 81.6 66.7 0.084 0 0 0 0 Tumor 3.2 0	White	78.5	77.8	
No 79.1 66.7 0.29 Yes 20.9 33.3 0 Diabetes 92.5 77.8 0.15 No 92.5 77.8 0.15 Yes 7.5 22.2 0 Rheumatoid arthritis 0 97.6 88.9 0.21 Yes 2.4 11.1 0 0 Yes 2.4 11.1 0 0 ASA 1 0 25 0 0 J or 2 53.6 37.5 0.29 3 0 0 J or 2 53.6 37.5 0.29 3 0 0 0 Degenerative 81.6 66.7 0.084 0 0 0 0 Tumor 3.2 0	Smoking			
Diabetes No 92.5 77.8 0.15 Yes 7.5 22.2 Rheumatoid arthritis No 97.6 88.9 0.21 Yes 2.4 11.1 ASA 1 or 2 53.6 37.5 0.29 3 or 4 46.4 62.5 Diagnosis Degenerative 81.6 66.7 0.084 Deformity 5.2 33.3 Tumor Trauma 10.1 0 Surgery type Lumbar discectomy 33.6 33.3 0.052 Lumbar discectomy 21.3 0 Thoracolumbar/ Thoracolumbar/ 7.8 33.3 thoracic fusion Revision surgery Yes 12.69 0.00 0.61	e	79.1	66.7	0.29
No 92.5 77.8 0.15 Yes 7.5 22.2 Rheumatoid arthritis 97.6 88.9 0.21 Yes 2.4 11.1 11.1 ASA 1 1 11.1 ASA 1 10.2 53.6 37.5 0.29 3 or 4 46.4 62.5 10 10 Diagnosis 0 0 10 10 Degenerative 81.6 66.7 0.084 Deformity 5.2 33.3 10 Trauma 10.1 0 0 Surgery type 10.1 0 0 Lumbar discectomy 33.6 33.3 0.052 Lumbar posterior 37.3 33.3 10 Lumbar laminectomy 21.3 0 10 Thoracolumbar/ 7.8 33.3 10 Thoracic fusion 78 33.3 10 Revision surgery 12.69 0.00 0.61	Yes	20.9	33.3	
Yes 7.5 22.2 Rheumatoid arthritis 97.6 88.9 0.21 Yes 2.4 11.1 48.4 11.1 ASA 1 1 or 2 53.6 37.5 0.29 3 or 4 46.4 62.5 10 10 10 Degenerative 81.6 66.7 0.084 10 10 Deformity 5.2 33.3 10 11 10	Diabetes			
Rheumatoid arthritis 97.6 88.9 0.21 Yes 2.4 11.1 ASA 1 11.1 ASA 1 10 2 3 or 4 46.4 62.5 Diagnosis 0 0 Degenerative 81.6 66.7 0.084 Deformity 5.2 33.3 101 0 Surgery type 0 10.1 0 0 Surgery type 10.1 0 0 0 Lumbar discectomy 33.6 33.3 0.052 Lumbar posterior 37.3 33.3 10.52 Lumbar laminectomy 21.3 0 0 Thoracolumbar/ 7.8 33.3 10 Revision surgery Yes 12.69 0.00 0.61	No	92.5	77.8	0.15
No 97.6 88.9 0.21 Yes 2.4 11.1 11.1 ASA 1 1 11.1 ASA 1 1 11.1 1 or 2 53.6 37.5 0.29 3 or 4 46.4 62.5 11.1 Diagnosis 0 10.1 0 Deformity 5.2 33.3 11.1 Tumor 3.2 0 11.1 Surgery type 10.1 0 0 Lumbar discectomy 33.6 33.3 0.052 Lumbar posterior 37.3 33.3 10.1 Lumbar laminectomy 21.3 0 11.1 Thoracolumbar/ 7.8 33.3 11.1 Kevision surgery Yes 12.69 0.00 0.61	Yes	7.5	22.2	
Yes 2.4 11.1 ASA 1 or 2 53.6 37.5 0.29 3 or 4 46.4 62.5 0 Diagnosis 0 0 0 Deformity 5.2 33.3 0 Tumor 3.2 0 0 Trauma 10.1 0 0 Surgery type 1 1 0 Lumbar discectomy 33.6 33.3 0.052 Lumbar discectomy 37.3 33.3 1 spinal fusion 1 0 1 Lumbar laminectomy 21.3 0 0 Thoracolumbar/ 7.8 33.3 1 ktoracic fusion 1 0 1 Revision surgery Yes 12.69 0.00 0.61	Rheumatoid arthritis			
ASA 1 or 2 53.6 37.5 0.29 3 or 4 46.4 62.5 Diagnosis Degenerative 81.6 66.7 0.084 Deformity 5.2 33.3 Tumor 3.2 0 Trauma 10.1 0 Surgery type Lumbar discectomy 33.6 33.3 0.052 Lumbar discectomy 37.3 33.3 spinal fusion Lumbar laminectomy 21.3 0 Thoracolumbar/ 7.8 33.3 thoracic fusion Revision surgery Yes 12.69 0.00 0.61	No	97.6	88.9	0.21
1 or 2 53.6 37.5 0.29 3 or 4 46.4 62.5 Diagnosis 0 Deformity 5.2 33.3 Tumor 3.2 0 Trauma 10.1 0 Surgery type 1 10.1 0 Lumbar discectomy 33.6 33.3 0.052 Lumbar discectomy 21.3 0 0 Thoracolumbar/ 7.8 33.3 10.1 Revision surgery Yes 12.69 0.00 0.61	Yes	2.4	11.1	
3 or 4 46.4 62.5 Diagnosis Degenerative 81.6 66.7 0.084 Deformity 5.2 33.3 1 Tumor 3.2 0 0 Trauma 10.1 0 0 Surgery type 10.1 0 0 Lumbar discectomy 33.6 33.3 0.052 Lumbar discectomy 37.3 33.3 0 spinal fusion 1 0 1 Lumbar laminectomy 21.3 0 0 Thoracolumbar/ 7.8 33.3 1 thoracic fusion 12.69 0.00 0.61	ASA			
Diagnosis 81.6 66.7 0.084 Deformity 5.2 33.3 Tumor 3.2 0 Trauma 10.1 0 Surgery type 10.1 0 Lumbar discectomy 33.6 33.3 0.052 Lumbar discectomy 37.3 33.3 10.152 Lumbar posterior 37.3 33.3 10.152 Lumbar posterior 37.3 33.3 10.152 Lumbar posterior 37.3 33.3 10.152 Lumbar laminectomy 21.3 0 11.162 Thoracolumbar/ 7.8 33.3 11.162 Revision surgery Yes 12.69 0.00 0.61	1 or 2	53.6	37.5	0.29
Degenerative 81.6 66.7 0.084 Deformity 5.2 33.3 Tumor 3.2 0 Trauma 10.1 0 Surgery type 10.1 0 Lumbar discectomy 33.6 33.3 0.052 Lumbar posterior 37.3 33.3 10.1 spinal fusion 10.1 0 10.1 10 Lumbar laminectomy 21.3 0 10.1 10 Thoracolumbar/ 7.8 33.3 10 10.1 Revision surgery 12.69 0.00 0.61	3 or 4	46.4	62.5	
Degenerative 81.6 66.7 0.084 Deformity 5.2 33.3 Tumor 3.2 0 Trauma 10.1 0 Surgery type 10.1 0 Lumbar discectomy 33.6 33.3 0.052 Lumbar posterior 37.3 33.3 10.1 spinal fusion 10.1 0 10.1 10 Lumbar laminectomy 21.3 0 10.1 10 Thoracolumbar/ 7.8 33.3 10 10.1 Revision surgery 12.69 0.00 0.61	Diagnosis			
Deformity5.233.3Tumor3.20Trauma10.10Surgery type10.10Lumbar discectomy33.633.30.052Lumbar posterior37.333.3spinal fusion11.30Lumbar laminectomy21.30Thoracolumbar/7.833.3thoracic fusion12.690.000.61		81.6	66.7	0.084
Trauma10.10Surgery type0Lumbar discectomy33.6Jumbar discectomy37.3Jumbar posterior37.3Spinal fusion0Lumbar laminectomy21.3Lumbar laminectomy7.8Jumbar/7.8Revision surgery12.69Yes12.690.000.61		5.2	33.3	
Surgery typeLumbar discectomy33.633.30.052Lumbar posterior37.333.3spinal fusion	Tumor	3.2	0	
Lumbar discectomy33.633.30.052Lumbar posterior37.333.3spinal fusion1.30Lumbar laminectomy21.30Thoracolumbar/7.833.3thoracic fusion8Revision surgeryYes12.690.000.61	Trauma	10.1	0	
Lumbar posterior37.333.3spinal fusion	Surgery type			
spinal fusion Lumbar laminectomy 21.3 0 Thoracolumbar/ 7.8 33.3 thoracic fusion Revision surgery Yes 12.69 0.00 0.61		33.6	33.3	0.052
spinal fusion Lumbar laminectomy 21.3 0 Thoracolumbar/ 7.8 33.3 thoracic fusion Revision surgery Yes 12.69 0.00 0.61	Lumbar posterior	37.3	33.3	
Thoracolumbar/ thoracic fusion7.833.3Revision surgery Yes12.690.000.61				
thoracic fusionRevision surgeryYes12.690.000.61	Lumbar laminectomy	21.3	0	
Revision surgery Yes12.690.000.61	Thoracolumbar/	7.8	33.3	
Yes 12.69 0.00 0.61	thoracic fusion			
	Revision surgery			
No 87.31 100.00	Yes	12.69	0.00	0.61
	No	87.31	100.00	
*P<0.05 is significant, except for revision surgery. P<0.299 is significant,	*P<0.05 is significant, except	for revision surger	rv. <i>P</i> <0.299 is si	gnificant.
[†] Mean±Standard deviation, SSI: Surgical site infection, BMI: Body mass				

index, ASA: American Society of Anesthesiologists

index, ASA: American Society of Anesthesion

group) [Table 1]. We did not identify a statistical difference in SSI rates based on gender, age, surgery, smoking status revision surgery, diagnosis, diabetes mellitus, rheumatoid arthritis and 25(OH)D, and other factors identified in multivariable logistic regression analyses [Tables 2-4].

DISCUSSION

We evaluated the role of preoperative 25(OH)D levels regarding the incidence of SSI following 545 thoracolumbar operations;

Study	Design	Population	Sample Size	Variable evaluated	Study findings
Bogunovic <i>et al</i> .	Retrospective cohort	Patients scheduled for orthopedic surgery	723	Serum vitamin D level.	It is common for patients undergoing orthopedic surgery to have low serum Vitamin D levels.
Donnally III <i>et al</i> .	Retrospective cohort	Patients who underwent lumbar spinal fusion	150	Pre and postoperative Vitamin D levels	Pre and postoperative Vitamin D levels were not significantly associated with risk of pseudarthrosis, revision surgery, hardware failure, or 1-year VAS pain score after lumbar spine fusion surgery.
Holick et al.	Systematic review	-	-	Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D	There is not sufficient evidence to recommend screening individuals who are not at risk for vitamin D deficiency.
Mesfin <i>et al</i> .	Case-control	Patients undergoing spine tumor surgery	151	Protocol to reduce SSI	Betadine irrigation and intrawound vancomycin powdered a significant decrease in SSI rates following spine tumor surgery.
Onishi <i>et al</i> .	Retrospective cohort	Spinal surgery patients	323	Two methods to reduce SSI	Normal saline irrigation after 90 s of 1% PVP-I pooling every 1.5 h was associated with a reduction in the incidence of deep SSI.
Ravindra <i>et al</i> .	Prospective study	Elective spinal fusion patients	133	Serum Vitamin D level	Serum Vitamin D levels may affect nonunion rate and time to fusion.
Schimmel <i>et al</i> .	Case-control	Spinal fusion patients	171	Risk factors for SSI	Patients who had had a previous spinal surgery are a high-risk group for infection compared with those who never had surgery.
Stoker <i>et al</i> .	Retrospective cohort	Spinal fusion patients	313	Serum Vitamin D level	It is common for patients' spinal fusion to have abnormal serum Vitamin D levels.
Ter Gunne and Cohen	Retrospective cohort	Spine surgery patients	3174	Risk factors for SSI	A prior SSI, diabetes, and obesity all increased the risk of SSI
Zajonz <i>et al</i> .	Prospective cohort	Patients with PPI after total hip and knee arthroplasty	240	Postoperative 25 OH Vitamin D3 and calcium levels	Acute PPIs of the hip and knee joints show significantly reduced calcium and 25 OH Vitamin D3 levels compared with chronic infections.

9 (1.65%) patients developed deep SSIs needing irrigation and debridement. There was no statistically significant difference in average preoperative 25(OH)D between non-SSI and SSI groups (P = 0.63), including multivariable analyses. We did not identify a higher risk of SSI in patients with higher body mass index, smoking, diabetes mellitus, rheumatoid arthritis, or ASA class. Donnally et al. performed a singlecenter retrospective study of 150 patients to investigate the relationship between 25(OH)D deficiency and outcome after lumbar spinal fusions.^[2] They found no correlation between 25(OH)D and postoperative pseudarthrosis, revision, and instrumentation complications at 1 year [Table 6].

In contrast, Ravindra et al. examined the association between perioperative 25(OH)D and nonunion rates in elective spine surgery patients. They demonstrated that insufficient 25(OH)D was associated with prolonged time to fusion and a lower fusion rate.^[6] Stoker et al. analyzed the prevalence of 25(OH)D in 313 patients undergoing spinal fusion at a single institution; 57% of the patients had 25(OH)D <30 ng/dL and 27% with levels <20 ng/dL [Table 6].^[8] In our study, the SSI group had a higher deficiency distribution <20 ng/dL than the non-SSI group (P = 0.041). Although our study did not identify a statistically significant correlation between 25(OH) D level and surgical infection rate, the odds of SSIs were lower among those with higher 25(OH)D (except for the potentially harmful group).

CONCLUSION

Our study showed no significant differences in preoperative 25(OH)D levels between non-SSI and SSI groups undergoing 545 patients with thoracolumbar surgery.

Ethical approval

The research/study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at the University of Rochester, number STUDY00000497, dated 2015.

Declaration of patient consent

The authors certify that they have obtained all appropriate patient consent.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

Addisu Mesfin MD: Speaker fees Depuy, Grant Nuvasive, Lodging/travel Medtronic.

Use of artificial intelligence (AI)-assisted technology for manuscript preparation

The authors confirm that there was no use of artificial intelligence (AI)-assisted technology for assisting in the writing or editing of the manuscript and no images were manipulated using AI.

REFERENCES

- Bogunovic L, Kim AD, Beamer BS, Nguyen J, Lane JM. Hypovitaminosis D in patients scheduled to undergo orthopaedic surgery: A single-center analysis. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2010;92:2300-4.
- 2. Donnally 3rd CJ, Sheu JI, Bondar KJ, Mouhanna JN, Li DJ, Butler AJ, *et al.* Is there a correlation between preoperative or postoperative vitamin D levels with pseudarthrosis, hardware

failure, and revisions after lumbar spine fusion? World Neurosurg 2019;130:e431-7.

- 3. Holick MF, Binkley NC, Bischoff-Ferrari HA, GordonCM, Hanley DA, Heaney RP, *et al.* Evaluation, treatment, and prevention of vitamin D deficiency: An endocrine society clinical practice guideline. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2011;96:1911-30.
- Mesfin A, Baldwin A, Bernstein DN, Emanski E, Molinari R, Menga E, *et al.* Reducing surgical site infections in spine tumor surgery: A comparison of three methods. Spine 2019;44:E1428-35.
- Onishi Y, Masuda K, Tozawa K, Karita T. Outcomes of an intraoperative povidone-iodine irrigation protocol in spinal surgery for surgical site infection prevention. Clin Spine Surg 2019;32:E449-52.
- 6. Ravindra VM, Godzik J, Dailey AT, Schmidt MH, Bisson EF, Hood RS, *et al.* Vitamin D levels and 1-year fusion outcomes in elective spine surgery: A prospective observational study. Spine 2015;40:1536-41.
- Schimmel JJ, Horsting PP, De Kleuver M, Wonders G, Van Limbeek J. Risk factors for deep surgical site infections after spinal fusion. Eur Spine J 2010;19:1711-9.
- Stoker GE, Buchowski JM, Bridwell KH, Lenke LG, Riew KD, Zebala LP. Preoperative vitamin D status of adults undergoing surgical spinal fusion. Spine 2013;38:507-15.
- 9. Ter Gunne AF, Cohen DB. Incidence, prevalence, and analysis of risk factors for surgical site infection following adult spinal surgery. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2009;34:1422-8.
- Zajonz D, Prager F, Edel M, Möbius R, Daikos A, Fakler JK, et al. The significance of the vitamin D metabolism in the development of periprosthetic infections after THA and TKA: A prospective matched-pair analysis of 240 patients. Clin Interv Aging 2018;13:1429-35.

How to cite this article: Liu S, Sulovari A, Joo P, Thirukumaran C, Benn L, Mesfin A. Relationship between 25-hydroxy Vitamin D level and surgical site infection in spine surgery. Surg Neurol Int. 2024;15:173. doi: 10.25259/SNI_135_2024

Disclaimer

The views and opinions expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of the Journal or its management. The information contained in this article should not be considered to be medical advice; patients should consult their own physicians for advice as to their specific medical needs.