



Article

# Ultratrace Detection of Histamine Using a Molecularly-Imprinted Polymer-Based Voltammetric Sensor

Maedeh Akhoundian, Axel Rüter and Sudhirkumar Shinde \*

Department of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Health and Society, Malmö University, Malmö SE-20506, Sweden; m.akhoundian@yahoo.com (M.A.); axel.ruter@fkem1.lu.se (A.R.)

\* Correspondence: sudhirkumar.shinde@mah.se; Tel.: +46-703-463-963

Academic Editor: Alexander Star

Received: 6 February 2017; Accepted: 17 March 2017; Published: 21 March 2017

Abstract: Rapid and cost-effective analysis of histamine, in food, environmental, and diagnostics research has been of interest recently. However, for certain applications, the already-existing biological receptor-based sensing methods have usage limits in terms of stability and costs. As a result, robust and cost-effective imprinted polymeric receptors can be the best alternative. In the present work, molecularly-imprinted polymers (MIPs) for histamine were synthesized using methacrylic acid in chloroform and acetonitrile as two different porogens. The binding affinity of the MIPs with histamine was evaluated in aqueous media. MIPs synthesized in chloroform displayed better imprinting properties for histamine. We demonstrate here histamine MIPs incorporated into a carbon paste (CP) electrode as a MIP-CP electrode sensor platforms for detection of histamine. This simple sensor format allows accurate determination of histamine in the sub-nanomolar range using an electrochemical method. The sensor exhibited two distinct linear response ranges of  $1 \times 10^{-10}$ – $7 \times 10^{-9}$  M and  $7 \times 10^{-9}$  –  $4 \times 10^{-7}$  M. The detection limit of the sensor was calculated equal to  $7.4 \times 10^{-11}$  M. The specificity of the proposed electrode for histamine is demonstrated by using the analogous molecules and other neurotransmitters such as serotonin, dopamine, etc. The MIP sensor was investigated with success on spiked serum samples. The easy preparation, simple procedure, and low production cost make the MIP sensor attractive for selective and sensitive detection of analytes, even in less-equipped laboratories with minimal training.

Keywords: histamine imprinted polymers; Ultratraces; sensor

#### 1. Introduction

Histamine (β-imidazolylethylamine) is a biogenic amine. It is an important mediator involved in various physiological and pathological processes, including neurotransmission and numerous brain functions, secretion of some hormones, regulation of gastrointestinal, circulatory functions, and inflammatory reactions [1]. However, a high level of histamine in the human body causes an allergy-like syndrome called histamine intolerance [2] or histamine poisoning (toxic level 50 mg per 100 g of product) [3,4]. The source of elevated histamine level is due to fermented foods, such as fish, cheese, sauerkraut, beer, wine, processed meat [2], and spoilage of the foodstuff, in particular seafood, due to uncontrolled microbial growth [5]. Therefore, monitoring of histamine is a critical task for the food industry and food safety.

A number of methods for the determination of histamine have been reported including thin-layer chromatography [6,7], gas chromatography [8,9], capillary zone electrophoresis [10], and high-performance liquid chromatography [11–14], as well as fluorimetric [15] and colorimetric assays [16]. These methods require extensive sample processing such as pretreatment of samples.

In addition, it involves qualified analysts. These tedious and time-consuming methods result in expensive and slow sample throughput. In this regard, enzyme-based methods [e.g., enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)] [17,18] offer rapid means of detection, but necessitate the use of unstable enzymes, expensive test kits, and tend to overestimate histamine [19].

Different types of electrochemical sensors with chemical modifications [20–22] or with immobilized amine oxidases and dehydrogenases [23–28] have been described in several reports of histamine determination.

The development of stable histamine receptor with a capacity to detect low histamine concentrations (nM range) is an urgent need in the biomedical and diagnostics research [29–34]. In this direction, MIP-based sensors have attracted much interest due to easy preparation, good stability, and robustness. In the literature, histamine-imprinted polymers and MIP-based sensors have already been reported for histamine recognition [35–37] in surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SER) [38], thermal [39], quartz crystal microbalance (QCM) [40], amperometric [41], and impedimetric [34,42] sensors.

In the current work, a histamine MIP has been developed and used for fabrication of voltammetric sensor. The developed sensor has been successfully applied for histamine determination in serum samples. The developed methodology offers advantages such as simplicity, precision, short analysis time, low cost of analysis and instrumentation, with comparable selectivity and sensitivity with advanced instruments.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

Dopamine hydrochloride, histamine, and serotonin hydrochloride was received from Sigma, (Steinheim, Germany). H-His-OH (99%) was received from Bachem Biochemica GmBH (Heidelberg, Germany) and Boc-His-OH was received from Calbiochem-Novabiochem AG (Läufelfingen, Switzerland). Methacrylic acid (MAA) and ethylene glycol dimethacrylate (EGDMA) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH (Taufkirchen, Germany). EGDMA was washed consecutively with 10% NaOH, water, and brine and then dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and filtered prior to distillation under reduced pressure. MAA was also distilled under reduced pressure. The initiator azo-N,N'-bisdivaleronitrile (ABDV) was purchased from Wako Chemicals and used without further purification. Chloroform (CHCl<sub>3</sub>), extra dry, and acetonitrile (MeCN), extra dry, were received from Acros Organics (Geel, Belgium). The porogens were kept under nitrogen atmosphere over molecular sieves and were used without further purification. Graphite flake powder (325 mesh) was received from Alfa Aesar and carbon (mesoporous nanopowder, <500 nm particle size) was received from Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany). Paraffin oil was received from Kebo AB (Stockholm, Sweden).

### 2.1. Polymer Synthesis

Imprinted polymers were prepared using bulk polymerization (Table 1) in the following manner: Template histamine (0.24 mmol), functional monomer MAA (1.2 mmol), and EDGMA (6 mmol) were dissolved in 1.5 mL dry chloroform (MIP1) and 1.5 mL dry acetonitrile (MIP2). The initiator ABDV (1% w/w of total monomers) was added to the solution which was transferred to screw-capped glass vials, cooled to 0 °C, and purged with a flow of dry nitrogen for 10 min. The glass vials were sealed with silicone tape while still under cooling and the polymerization was initiated by placing the vials at 50 °C for 48 h. The polymers were lightly crushed and the template was extracted with methanol: acetic acid/90:10 for 24 h. This was followed by further lightly crushing the particles without fractionation to evaluate their binding properties. Corresponding non-imprinted polymers (NIP1/NIP2) were prepared in the same manner described above, but with the omission of the template molecule from the pre-polymerization solution.

Sensors 2017, 17, 645 3 of 10

Polymer	Template (mmol)	MAA (mmol)	EGDMA (mmol)	Solvent
MIP1	0.24	1.2	6	CHCl <sub>3</sub>
NIP1	-	1.2	6	CHCl <sub>3</sub>
MIP2	0.24	1.2	6	MeCN
NIP2	-	1.2	6	MeCN

**Table 1.** Composition of histamine imprinted polymer by bulk polymerization.

### 2.2. Binding Analysis

Increasing amount of histamine (0 to 1 mM) 1 mL solution was suspended in the 10 mg MIP and NIP particles. Rebinding tests of the polymeric materials were all performed in a 50 mM PBS solution (pH 7.4) with an equilibration time of 4 h followed by analysis with UV-VIS spectroscopy measuring absorbance at 208 nm. Measurements were performed on a BIOTEK POW-ERWAVE XS plate reader in a 96-well quartz plate.

## 2.3. Preparation of the Sensors

In order to fabricate the sensor, 11 mg of nano-carbon (NC) and 0.2 g of graphite was dispersed in 2 mL of dimethylformamide (DMF) and sonicated for 30 min, and allowed to dry in an oven at 80 °C overnight. This mixture was then added to the 11 mg of MIP1/NIP1 and homogenized in a mortar. Subsequently, 57.6 mg of paraffin oil was added to the mixture to form a homogeneous paste. This paste was used to fill a hole (4.00 mm in diameter, 3 mm in depth) at the end of the electrode body. The excess material from the surface of the electrode was removed by polishing on a paper sheet, thus devising the MIP-CP electrode. For the normal mixing electrode, all of the steps were repeated except the dispersion and sonication steps otherwise described.

### 2.4. General Method for Electrochemical Measurements

Electrochemical data was obtained with a system using an Ivium-stat potentiostat/galvanostat. The measurements were performed in a three-electrode system: working electrode (MIP/NIP-based CP electrodes), a counter electrode (platinum), and a reference electrode (Ag/AgCl). Electrochemical measurement of histamine concentration was performed according to the following procedure: The solution containing 20 mL of potassium hexacyanoferrate (0.1 M K<sub>3</sub>[Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub>]) and potassium chloride (0.1 M KCl) was added to the cell as a blank. Before each determination, histamine stock solution was prepared in PBS (0.1 M, pH = 7), spiked to the blank solution and stirred for 15 s. The MIP-CP electrode was immediately placed into the electrochemical cell and the cyclic voltammetry technique was applied using scan rate = 50 mV/s, Estep = 10 mV, and equilibration time = 5 s. The modified electrode was rinsed with milliQ water and polished on the paper sheet after each measurement.

## 2.5. The Measurement of Histamine in Real Samples

In order to determine the histamine in real sample, a certain amount of histamine stock solution was spiked in to the serum sample and it was diluted with milliQ water in a 1:20 ratio. The prepared sensor was then immersed in to the spiked serum sample and solution was stirred for 15 s. Cyclic voltammetry responses were recorded immediately after equilibration time of the program, which was 5 s.

#### 3. Results

## 3.1. Molecularly-Imprinted Polymers for Histamine


## 3.1.1. Polymer Synthesis

The preparation of histamine MIPs was done following established procedure with slight modifications (Table 1) starting from prepolymerization mixtures containing histamine as the template,

methacrylic acid (MAA) as functional monomers, and ethylenglycol dimethacrylate (EGDMA) as a crosslinking monomer. In order to study the effect of porogen on histamine imprinting, we chose  $CHCl_3$  and MeCN over highly-hygroscopic polar dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) among mostly used porogens reported earlier. After free radical polymerization, the resulting polymers were freed from the template by washing with acidic methanol, leaving binding sites complementary to a relatively narrow group of histamine.

## 3.1.2. Optical Batch Rebinding Experiment

At this stage, we were interested in measuring histamine in biological fluids at neutral pH and aqueous environment. The functional monomer MAA [43] (the pKa 6.5), which was used by Bongaers et al. [42], Horemans et al. [40], and Trikka et al. [35], is a deprotonated form (COO<sup>-</sup>) at neutral pH, which binds to histamine. The MIP synthesized from MAA is suitable for rebinding of histamine at pH 7.4 [34,35]. Therefore, we performed rebinding experiments for synthesized MIPs at pH 7.4 using PBS buffer followed by analysis with UV spectrophotometer. For the rebinding experiment, 10 mg of MIP and NIP powder were added to 1 mL of histamine between 0 to 1 mM concentration ranges. The resulting suspensions were shaken for 4 h at room temperature. The supernatant was collected after centrifugation and the free concentration of histamine was determined by UV-VIS spectroscopy. Hereby, the amount of bound histamine per gram of MIP or NIP was calculated and the binding isotherms were constructed. The binding isotherms for the MIP and NIP were prepared in CHCl<sub>3</sub> and MeCN are demonstrated in Figure 1a,b. The polymer prepared in CHCl<sub>3</sub> displayed better imprinting performance compared to those in MeCN. This is due to the difference in polarity (chloroform is less polar than acetonitrile) a large concentration of prepolymer complex would be expected using chloroform as a porogen [44]. Further, Trikka et al. [35] has confirmed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy that interaction between histamine and MAA are mainly hydrogen bonding when chloroform is used as a solvent. The best performing MIP and NIP prepared in CHCl<sub>3</sub> was further used for MIP-modified carbon paste (CP) electrodes.



**Figure 1.** Rebinding isotherm of histamine (a) MIP1, NIP1; (b) MIP2, NIP2 in 50 mM PBS buffer (pH 7.4). MIP1 and MIP2 (corresponding NIPs) were prepared in CHCl<sub>3</sub> and MeCN, respectively.


#### 3.2. MIP-CP Electrode

## 3.2.1. Fabrication of MIP-CP Electrode


In the first steps of the fabrication process for optimization of composite, we have tested different sequences of mixing of nano carbon (NC), graphite and MIP/NIP as follows: (1) graphite + NC + MIP/NIP normal mix, these powders were physically mixed together as a dry powder; (2) graphite + NC + MIP/NIP (dispersed) powders were mixed together and dispersed in DMF, sonicated, and dried; (3) graphite + NC (dispersed) + MIP/NIP, graphite, and NC was dispersed in DMF, sonicated, and dried followed by mixing of MIP/NIP powder as described in material and methods. Paraffin oil was added to prepare the paste in all the composites. Subsequently, electrochemical responses of hexacyanoferrate

Sensors 2017, 17, 645 5 of 10

solution with different concentrations of histamine were investigated for all electrodes (Figure 2). The MIP-CP electrode prepared by the graphite + NC (dispersed) + MIP/NIP method gave a linear electrochemical signal in cyclic voltammetric measurement (Figure 3). This is most likely related to accessibility of MIP for recognition of histamine in the composite materials.



**Figure 2.** MIP-CP electrode responses to histamine. Cyclic voltammograms in different molar concentrations of histamine:  $1 \times 10^{-10}$  (a);  $2 \times 10^{-9}$  (b);  $4 \times 10^{-9}$  (c);  $7 \times 10^{-9}$  (d);  $2 \times 10^{-7}$  (e); and  $4 \times 10^{-7}$  (f). All of the histamine solutions were made in 0.1 M solution of hexacyanoferrate (III) and KCl as blank.



**Figure 3.** Comparison of voltammetric responses to histamine for different electrode composites. All of the histamine solutions were made in the 0.1 M solution of hexacyanoferrate (III) and KCl as a blank.

# 3.2.2. Selectivity of the Modified CP Electrode

The MIP-CP electrode was evaluated using structurally-similar compounds and neurotransmitters. In order to accomplish these experiments, the MIP-CP electrode was immersed in individual solution (concentration =  $7 \times 10^{-9}$  M) and the electrochemical detection process was carried out according to the above mentioned procedure. Figure 4 illustrates the responses of histamine and other histamine-like compounds, including the neurotransmitters serotonin and dopamine, in the histamine MIP-CP electrode under the exact same conditions. As can be seen, the responses of histamine to the MIP-CP electrode is the highest compared to other analytes, followed by H-His-OH amino acid having a similar

Sensors 2017, 17, 645 6 of 10

structure. It is interesting to note that when the MIP-CP electrode was tested for Boc-His-OH, a lower response was observed. This may suggest that primary amine of histamine and MAA is important for interaction involved in molecular recognition.





Figure 4. Selectivity investigation by cyclic voltammetry; electrochemical response of MIP-CP electrode for histamine and other similar structure compounds. [Histamine and all analytes] = 0.004  $\mu$ M, scan rate = 50 mV/s,  $E_{step}$  = 10 mV and equilibration time = 5 s.

# 3.3. Analytical Characterization

## 3.3.1. Calibration of the MIP-CP Electrode

The developed sensor was used for calibration curve plotting. It is worth noting that the values of the current response used for the calibration curve are actually the absolute values of the oxidative peak current observed for blank solution and after spiking of different concentrations of histamine solutions. The calibration graph obtained for histamine determination of the prepared sensor is shown in Figure 5 that exhibited two distinct linear response ranges of  $1 \times 10^{-10}$ – $7 \times 10^{-9}$  and  $7 \times 10^{-9}$ – $4 \times 10^{-7}$  M with the detection limit of  $7.4 \times 10^{-11}$  M (S/N = 3).



**Figure 5.** Calibration curve obtained for the developed sensor; (insets are showing two linear ranges of histamine,  $1 \times 10^{-10}$ – $7 \times 10^{-9}$  M and  $7 \times 10^{-9}$ – $4 \times 10^{-7}$  M).

#### 3.3.2. Histamine Determination in Human Plasma

The analytical utility of the method was assessed by applying it to the determination of histamine in human serum samples. Percent recovery of histamine was obtained demonstrating that the proposed sensor is a promising approach in sensor preparation and histamine analysis. The results for the determination of histamine in different samples are summarized in Table 2.

Sample	Spiked (mol·L <sup>-1</sup> )	Found (mol·L <sup>-1</sup> )	Recovery (%)	RSD (%)
	$5.0 \times 10^{-10}$	$5.2 \times 10^{-10}$	104	2.02
Human serum	$4.0 \times 10^{-9}$	$4.2 \times 10^{-9}$	105	3.58
	$2.0 \times 10^{-7}$	$1.9 \times 10^{-7}$	95	3.42

Table 2. Determination of histamine in human serum.

# 3.4. Comparison of the Developed Method and Other Previously Reported Electrochemical Methods

In Table 3, the analytical parameter of reported electrochemical methods for quantification of histamine are summarized. Compared to most of other methods, the approach presented here shows a wide dynamic range and has a lower detection limit. The proposed procedures for making MIP-CP electrode and analytical method developed using bulk imprinted polymer is very simple and inexpensive. In this proposed sensor, the low detection limit, wide linear working range, and comparable sensitivity and selectivity to the advanced instrumentation is noteworthy.

<b>Table 3.</b> Comparison of analytical parameters of the proposed sensor and some other previously-reported
histamine electrochemical sensors.

Method	Electrode	Linear Range ( $mol \cdot L^{-1}$ )	Detection Limit $(mol \cdot L^{-1})$	Reference
Impedimetry	Polymer-coated Al	$1.2 \times 10^{-8}$ – $2.0 \times 10^{-9}$	$2.0 \times 10^{-9}$	[42]
Voltammetry	Glassy carbon	$2.0 \times 10^{-4}$ – $5.0 \times 10^{-6}$	$0.3 \times 10^{-6}$	[21]
Amperometry	Screen-printed	$6.0 \times 10^{-5}$ – $8.0 \times 10^{-6}$	$8.1 \times 10^{-6}$	[45]
Amperometry	Heterogeneous carbon	$8.9 \times 10^{-5}$ $-4.5 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.8 \times 10^{-6}$	[46]
Voltammetry	SWCNT-modified carbon paste	$7.2 \times 10^{-4}$ $-4.5 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.3 \times 10^{-6}$	[20]
Chronopotentiometry	Gold	$8.9 \times 10^{-4}$ – $1.8 \times 10^{-5}$	$2.4 \times 10^{-6}$	[28]
Chronopotentiometry	Glassy carbon	$8.1 \times 10^{-4}$ – $1.8 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.2 \times 10^{-5}$	[47]
Amperometry	Boron-doped diamond	$8.1 \times 10^{-3}$ – $4.5 \times 10^{-5}$	$4.0 \times 10^{-5}$	[48]
Voltammetry	Gold micro electrode	$4.9 \times 10^{-8}$ – $9.9 \times 10^{-12}$	$3.1 \times 10^{-12}$	[49]
Voltammetry	NC/MIP/CPE	$4 \times 10^{-7}  7 \times 10^{-9}$ and $7 \times 10^{-9}  10 \times 10^{-10}$	$7.4\times10^{-11}$	This work

## 4. Conclusions

Histamine, a biogenic amine, is an indicator in pathophysiology, microbial infection and food safety. Routinely, faster detection of histamine is performed by time-consuming chemical methods or ELISA. Therefore, MIPs, as synthetic receptors, are a cost-effective alternative. In this study, histamine-imprinted polymers were prepared by bulk imprinted polymerization in chloroform and acetonitrile. The imprinted polymer prepared in chloroform displayed better imprinting performance in a neutral buffer. Modification of carbon paste (CP) electrode with histamine MIPs as recognition elements lead to an excellent sensor for histamine. As a proof of principle, the measurement of histamine in the human serum is demonstrated using MIP-based voltammetric sensor.

**Acknowledgments:** We acknowledge Börje Sellergren and Tautgirdas Ruzgas for discussion. We thank Bo Mattiasson and Gizem Ertürk for the invitation to submit in the special issue entitled "Biosensors and Molecular Imprinting".

**Author Contributions:** S.S. designed the experiments; A.R. synthesized MIP and performed histamine rebinding study; M.A. performed the sensor construction, testing, and validation study. S.S. and M.A. analyzed data and wrote the manuscript.

Sensors 2017, 17, 645 8 of 10

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

1. Jutel, M.; Watanabe, T.; Akdis, M.; Blaser, K.; Akdis, C.A. Immune regulation by histamine. *Curr. Opin. Immunol.* **2002**, *14*, 735–740. [CrossRef]

- 2. Maintz, L.; Novak, N. Histamine and histamine intolerance. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 2007, 85, 1185–1196. [PubMed]
- 3. Taylor, S.L.; Eitenmiller, R.R. Histamine food poisoning: Toxicology and clinical aspects. *CRC Crit. Rev. Toxicol.* **1986**, *17*, 91–128. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Lehane, L.; Olley, J. Histamine fish poisoning revisited. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 2000, 58, 1–37. [CrossRef]
- 5. Fernandes, J.O.; Judas, I.C.; Oliveira, M.B.; Ferreira, I.M.P.L.V.O.; Ferreira, M.A. A GC-MS method for quantitation of histamine and other biogenic amines in beer. *Chromatographia* **2001**, *53*, S327–S331. [CrossRef]
- 6. Tao, Z.; Sato, M.; Han, Y.; Tan, Z.; Yamaguchi, T.; Nakano, T. A simple and rapid method for histamine analysis in fish and fishery products by tlc determination. *Food Control* **2011**, 22, 1154–1157. [CrossRef]
- 7. Lieber, E.R.; Taylor, S.L. Thin-layer chromatographic screening methods for histamine in tuna fish. *J. Chromatogr. A* **1978**, *153*, 143–152. [CrossRef]
- 8. Antoine, F.R.; Wei, C.I.; Otwell, W.S.; Sims, C.A.; Littell, R.C.; Hogle, A.D.; Marshall, M.R. Gas chromatographic analysis of histamine in mahi-mahi (coryphaena hippurus). *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2002**, *50*, 4754–4759. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 9. Hwang, B.-S.; Wang, J.-T.; Choong, Y.-M. A rapid gas chromatographic method for the determination of histamine in fish and fish products. *Food Chem.* **2003**, *82*, 329–334. [CrossRef]
- 10. Zhang, L.-Y.; Sun, M.-X. Determination of histamine and histidine by capillary zone electrophoresis with pre-column naphthalene-2,3-dicarboxaldehyde derivatization and fluorescence detection. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2004**, 1040, 133–140. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 11. Bauza, T.; Blaise, A.; Daumas, F.; Cabanis, J.C. Determination of biogenic amines and their precursor amino acids in wines of the vallée du rhône by high-performance liquid chromatography with precolumn derivatization and fluorimetric detection. *J. Chromatogr. A* 1995, 707, 373–379. [CrossRef]
- 12. Jensen, T.B.; Marley, P.D. Development of an assay for histamine using automated high-performance liquid chromatography with electrochemical detection. *J. Chromatogr. B: Biomed. Sci. Appl.* **1995**, *670*, 199–207. [CrossRef]
- 13. Önal, A. A review: Current analytical methods for the determination of biogenic amines in foods. *Food Chem.* **2007**, *103*, 1475–1486. [CrossRef]
- 14. Proestos, C.; Loukatos, P.; Komaitis, M. Determination of biogenic amines in wines by HPLC with precolumn dansylation and fluorimetric detection. *Food Chem.* **2008**, *106*, 1218–1224. [CrossRef]
- 15. Bjornsdottir-Butler, K.; Bencsath, F.A.; Benner, J.R.A. Modification and single-laboratory validation of aoac official method 977.13 for histamine in seafood to improve sample throughput. *J. AOAC Int.* **2015**, *98*, 622–627. [PubMed]
- 16. Patange, S.B.; Mukundan, M.K.; Ashok Kumar, K. A simple and rapid method for colorimetric determination of histamine in fish flesh. *Food Control* **2005**, *16*, 465–472. [CrossRef]
- 17. Pessatti, T.L.; Fontana, J.D.; Pessatti, M.L. Spectrophotometric determination of histamine in fisheries using an enzyme immunoassay method. *Meth. Mol. Biol.* **2004**, *268*, 311–316.
- 18. Cinquina, A.L.; Longo, F.; Calí, A.; De Santis, L.; Baccelliere, R.; Cozzani, R. Validation and comparison of analytical methods for the determination of histamine in tuna fish samples. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2004**, *1032*, 79–85. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 19. Ben-Gigirey, B.; Craven, C.; An, H. Histamine formation in albacore muscle analyzed by aoac and enzymatic methods. *J. Food Sci.* **1998**, *63*, 210–214. [CrossRef]
- 20. Stojanović, Z.S.; Mehmeti, E.; Kalcher, K.; Guzsvány, V.; Stanković, D.M. Swcnt-modified carbon paste electrode as an electrochemical sensor for histamine determination in alcoholic beverages. *Food Anal. Methods* **2016**, *9*, 2701–2710. [CrossRef]
- 21. Degefu, H.; Amare, M.; Tessema, M.; Admassie, S. Lignin modified glassy carbon electrode for the electrochemical determination of histamine in human urine and wine samples. *Electrochim. Acta* **2014**, 121, 307–314. [CrossRef]

22. Geto, A.; Tessema, M.; Admassie, S. Determination of histamine in fish muscle at multi-walled carbon nanotubes coated conducting polymer modified glassy carbon electrode. *Synth. Met.* **2014**, *191*, 135–140. [CrossRef]

- 23. Bao, L.; Sun, D.; Tachikawa, H.; Davidson, V.L. Improved sensitivity of a histamine sensor using an engineered methylamine dehydrogenase. *Anal. Chem.* **2002**, *74*, 1144–1148. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Keow, C.M.; Abu Bakar, F.; Salleh, A.B.; Heng, L.Y.; Wagiran, R.; Bean, L.S. An amperometric biosensor for the rapid assessment of histamine level in tiger prawn (penaeus monodon) spoilage. *Food Chem.* **2007**, *105*, 1636–1641. [CrossRef]
- 25. Niculescu, M.; Frébort, I.; Peč, P.; Galuszka, P.; Mattiasson, B.; Csöregi, E. Amine oxidase based amperometric biosensors forhistamine detection. *Electroanalysis* **2000**, *12*, 369–375. [CrossRef]
- 26. Yamamoto, K.; Takagi, K.; Kano, K.; Ikeda, T. Bioelectrocatalytic detection of histamine using quinohemoprotein amine dehydrogenase and the native electron acceptor cytochrome c-550. *Electroanalysis* **2001**, *13*, 375–379. [CrossRef]
- 27. Zeng, K.; Tachikawa, H.; Zhu, Z.; Davidson, V.L. Amperometric detection of histamine with a methylamine dehydrogenase polypyrrole-based sensor. *Anal. Chem.* **2000**, 72, 2211–2215. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 28. Sarada, B.V.; Rao, T.N.; Tryk, D.A.; Fujishima, A. Electrochemical oxidation of histamine and serotonin at highly boron-doped diamond electrodes. *Anal. Chem.* **2000**, 72, 1632–1638. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 29. Barbara, G.; Stanghellini, V.; De Giorgio, R.; Cremon, C.; Cottrell, G.S.; Santini, D.; Pasquinelli, G.; Morselli-Labate, A.M.; Grady, E.F.; Bunnett, N.W.; et al. Activated mast cells in proximity to colonic nerves correlate with abdominal pain in irritable bowel syndrome. *Gastroenterology* **2004**, *126*, 693–702. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 30. Wood, J.D. Histamine, mast cells, and the enteric nervous system in the irritable bowel syndrome, enteritis, and food allergies. *Gut* 2006, 55, 445–447. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 31. Lin, R.Y.; Schwartz, L.B.; Curry, A.; Pesola, G.R.; Knight, R.J.; Lee, H.-S.; Bakalchuk, L.; Tenenbaum, C.; Westfal, R.E. Histamine and tryptase levels in patients with acute allergic reactions: An emergency department–based study. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 2000, 106, 65–71. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Chhabra, J.; Li, Y.Z.; Alkhouri, H.; Blake, A.E.; Ge, Q.; Armour, C.L.; Hughes, J.M. Histamine and tryptase modulate asthmatic airway smooth muscle gm-csf and rantes release. *Eur. Respir. J.* **2007**, 29, 861. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Adlesic, M.; Verdrengh, M.; Bokarewa, M.; Dahlberg, L.; Foster, S.J.; Tarkowski, A. Histamine in rheumatoid arthritis. *Scand. J. Immunol.* **2007**, *65*, 530–537. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Peeters, M.; Troost, F.J.; Mingels, R.H.G.; Welsch, T.; van Grinsven, B.; Vranken, T.; Ingebrandt, S.; Thoelen, R.; Cleij, T.J.; Wagner, P. Impedimetric detection of histamine in bowel fluids using synthetic receptors with ph-optimized binding characteristics. *Anal. Chem.* **2013**, *85*, 1475–1483. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Trikka, F.A.; Yoshimatsu, K.; Ye, L.; Kyriakidis, D.A. Molecularly imprinted polymers for histamine recognition in aqueous environment. *Amino Acids* **2012**, *43*, 2113–2124. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Allender, C.J.; Richardson, C.; Woodhouse, B.; Heard, C.M.; Brain, K.R. Pharmaceutical applications for molecularly imprinted polymers. *Int. J. Pharm.* **2000**, *195*, 39–43. [CrossRef]
- 37. Tong, A.; Dong, H.; Li, L. Molecular imprinting-based fluorescent chemosensor for histamine using zinc(ii)–protoporphyrin as a functional monomer. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2002**, *466*, 31–37. [CrossRef]
- 38. Gao, F.; Grant, E.; Lu, X. Determination of histamine in canned tuna by molecularly imprinted polymers-surface enhanced raman spectroscopy. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2015**, *901*, 68–75. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 39. Peeters, M.; Kobben, S.; Jiménez-Monroy, K.L.; Modesto, L.; Kraus, M.; Vandenryt, T.; Gaulke, A.; van Grinsven, B.; Ingebrandt, S.; Junkers, T.; et al. Thermal detection of histamine with a graphene oxide based molecularly imprinted polymer platform prepared by reversible addition–fragmentation chain transfer polymerization. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2014**, 203, 527–535. [CrossRef]
- 40. Horemans, F.; Alenus, J.; Bongaers, E.; Weustenraed, A.; Thoelen, R.; Duchateau, J.; Lutsen, L.; Vanderzande, D.; Wagner, P.; Cleij, T.J. MIP-based sensor platforms for the detection of histamine in the nano- and micromolar range in aqueous media. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2010**, *148*, 392–398. [CrossRef]
- 41. Pietrzyk, A.; Suriyanarayanan, S.; Kutner, W.; Chitta, R.; D'Souza, F. Selective histamine piezoelectric chemosensor using a recognition film of the molecularly imprinted polymer of bis(bithiophene) derivatives. *Anal. Chem.* 2009, *81*, 2633–2643. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

42. Bongaers, E.; Alenus, J.; Horemans, F.; Weustenraed, A.; Lutsen, L.; Vanderzande, D.; Cleij, T.J.; Troost, F.J.; Brummer, R.J.; Wagner, P. A MIP-based biomimetic sensor for the impedimetric detection of histamine in different ph environments. *Phys. Status Solidi* (*A*) **2010**, 207, 837–843. [CrossRef]

- 43. Sellergren, B. Molecular imprinting by noncovalent interactions. Enantioselectivity and binding capacity of polymers prepared under conditions favoring the formation of template complexes. *Die Makromol. Chem.* 1989, 190, 2703–2711. [CrossRef]
- 44. Yan, M. Molecularly Imprinted Materials: Science and Technology; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2004.
- 45. Henao-Escobar, W.; del Torno-de Román, L.; Domínguez-Renedo, O.; Alonso-Lomillo, M.A.; Arcos-Martínez, M.J. Dual enzymatic biosensor for simultaneous amperometric determination of histamine and putrescine. *Food Chem.* **2016**, *190*, 818–823. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Veseli, A.; Vasjari, M.; Arbneshi, T.; Hajrizi, A.; Švorc, L'.; Samphao, A.; Kalcher, K. Electrochemical determination of histamine in fish sauce using heterogeneous carbon electrodes modified with rhenium(IV) oxide. Sens. Actuators B Chem. 2016, 228, 774–781. [CrossRef]
- 47. Stojanović, Z.S.; Švarc-Gajić, J.V. A simple and rapid method for histamine determination in fermented sausages by mediated chronopotentiometry. *Food Control* **2011**, 22, 2013–2019. [CrossRef]
- 48. Švarc-Gajić, J.; Stojanović, Z. Determination of histamine in cheese by chronopotentiometry on a thin film mercury electrode. *Food Chem.* **2011**, 124, 1172–1176. [CrossRef]
- 49. Akbari-adergani, B.; Norouzi, P.; Ganjali, M.R.; Dinarvand, R. Ultrasensitive flow-injection electrochemical method for determination of histamine in tuna fish samples. *Food Res. Int.* **2010**, *43*, 1116–1122. [CrossRef]



© 2017 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).